Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Minghua Ren, First Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University, China

REVIEWED BY Shengshan Xu, Jiangmen Central Hospital, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Yuanchao Su 🔀 suyuanchao2024@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 29 November 2024 ACCEPTED 23 December 2024 PUBLISHED 14 January 2025

CITATION

Gong G, Jiang L, Zhou J and Su Y (2025) Advancements in targeted and immunotherapy strategies for glioma: toward precision treatment. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1537013. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1537013

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Gong, Jiang, Zhou and Su. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Advancements in targeted and immunotherapy strategies for glioma: toward precision treatment

Guangyuan Gong^{1†}, Lang Jiang^{1†}, Jing Zhou^{2†} and Yuanchao Su^{3*}

¹Department of Intensive Care Medicine, Jiangsu Provincial People's Hospital Chongqing Hospital (Qijiang District People's Hospital), Chongqing, China, ²Department of Thoracic Surgery, Jiangsu Provincial People's Hospital Chongqing Hospital (Qijiang District People's Hospital), Chongqing, China, ³Department of Emergency Medicine, Jiangsu Provincial People's Hospital Chongqing Hospital (Qijiang District People's Hospital), Chongqing, China

In recent years, significant breakthroughs have been made in cancer therapy, particularly with the development of molecular targeted therapies and immunotherapies, owing to advances in tumor molecular biology and molecular immunology. High-grade gliomas (HGGs), characterized by their high malignancy, remain challenging to treat despite standard treatment regimens, including surgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and tumor treating fields (TTF). These therapies provide limited efficacy, highlighting the need for novel treatment strategies. Molecular targeted therapies and immunotherapy have emerged as promising avenues for improving treatment outcomes in high-grade gliomas. This review explores the current status and recent advancements in targeted and immunotherapeutic approaches for high-grade gliomas.

KEYWORDS

high-grade glioma, immunotherapy, targeted therapy, molecular biology, treatment

1 Introduction

Gliomas, the most common primary central nervous system tumors, originate from glial cells and are classified into grades I-IV by the WHO, with grades III and IV being high-grade gliomas (1). Grade IV gliomas, including glioblastomas (GBM), are the most prevalent, comprising 46.1% of gliomas (2). High-grade gliomas encompass various subtypes such as anaplastic astrocytomas and anaplastic oligodendrogliomas, each with distinct molecular and histological characteristics. Additionally, glioblastomas are further categorized into newly diagnosed glioblastoma (nGBM) and recurrent glioblastoma multiforme (rGBM), distinguishing initial diagnoses from cases of tumor recurrence.

HGGs are highly malignant, prone to rapid recurrence, and resistant to conventional therapies like surgery, radiotherapy, and chemotherapy, with a poor prognosis and a 5-year survival rate of just 5.5% for GBM (2). The immune microenvironment plays crucial roles in disease's progression and influences the effectiveness of treatments (3-5). This poor prognosis is partly due to the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment, which includes tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs), myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), regulatory T cells (Tregs), and immune checkpoint molecules such as PD-1/PD-L1, all contributing to immune evasion and resistance to therapies (6-8). These factors present significant challenges in developing effective treatments. In addition to the immunosuppressive microenvironment, the bloodbrain barrier (BBB) significantly impedes the delivery of therapeutic agents to gliomas (9). Recent advancements have focused on strategies to enhance the permeability of the BBB or utilize alternative delivery mechanisms to improve the efficacy of immunotherapies in HGGs (10).

In recent years, molecular targeted therapies and immunotherapies have emerged as promising strategies to address these challenges (11–15). Targeted therapies aimed at specific genetic alterations, such as IDH1 mutations, EGFR amplification, and PTEN loss, are currently under investigation (6). Immune checkpoint inhibitors, including PD-1/PD-L1 and CTLA-4 blockers, have shown potential in preclinical and clinical trials, though their clinical application is hindered by the complex glioma immune microenvironment. This review aims to summarize the current status and recent advancements in molecular targeted and immunotherapeutic strategies for High-grade glioma (HGG), highlighting their potential to improve patient outcomes and the challenges that remain in their clinical implementation.

2 Molecular targeted therapy

Molecular targeted therapy designs drugs to address molecular abnormalities within tumors (16, 17), inhibiting growth and metastasis. Due to the heterogeneity of HGG, molecular targeted therapies have become a focal point in clinical research (Table 1). Recent studies in genetic profiling have highlighted the critical role of personalized medicine in tailoring treatments for HGG. By identifying genetic alterations such as EGFR mutations, IDH1 mutations, and PTEN deletions, clinicians can select targeted therapies that offer the highest potential benefit for individual patients.

2.1 Vascular endothelial growth factor inhibitors

Angiogenesis plays a key role in HGG, with VEGF inhibitors like bevacizumab targeting VEGF to block tumor growth. The AVAglio and RTOG0825 phase III trials showed that BEV modestly extended progression-free survival (PFS) in newly diagnosed glioblastoma (nGBM), reduced corticosteroid use, and improved quality of life, but had no significant effect on overall survival (OS) (18, 19). Followup from RTOG0825 revealed increased neurocognitive decline and quality-of-life deterioration in BEV-treated patients, raising concerns about its neurotoxicity (20). Safety studies suggest that BEV does not interfere with standard treatments or amplify radiotherapy toxicity (21). A phase II trial by Wirsching et al. (22) found that BEV combined with hypofractionated radiotherapy (40 Gy/15 F) significantly extended PFS (7.6 months) in elderly nGBM patients, though it did not improve OS, highlighting the need to balance BEV's benefits with its neurotoxic effects. Anlotinib, a multi-target tyrosine kinase inhibitor, targets VEGFR, PDGFR, FGFR, and c-Kit, inhibiting angiogenesis. It has a low incidence of adverse effects and significantly prolongs PFS in recurrent HGG patients (23). A 2021 phase II pretrial at the ASCO meeting showed that anlotinib was safe and tolerable in nGBM patients, with a median OS of 17.4 months and one-year PFS and OS rates of 84.0% and 100.0%, respectively, supporting further investigation (24).

2.2 Epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitors

EGFR mutations, particularly EGFR, are common in GBM and serve as key therapeutic targets in HGG (25). Nimotuzumab, a humanized monoclonal antibody targeting EGFR, was tested in Chinese nGBM patients, with Wang et al. (26) reporting good safety and tolerability, a median PFS of 10.0 months, and OS of 15.9 months in 26 patients. These results, comparable to standard therapy, were not linked to EGFR expression, consistent with a phase

Туре	Clinical phase	Treatment target	Combined treatment	PFS(m)	OS(m)	Reference
nGBM	I	EGFR	Nimotuzumab/RT/TMZ	10	15.9	(26)
Nonenhancing	Ι	IDH1	Ivosidenib	13.6	NR	(44)
nGBM	II	VEGF	Bevacizumab/Hypofractionated RT	7.6	NR	(19)
nGBM	II	EGFR	Nimotuzumab/RT/TMZ	11.9	24.5	(29)
UM-MGMT	II	mTOR	Paxalisib	8.4	17.7	(34)
BRAF-V600E	П	BRAF/MEK	Trametinib/Dabrafenib	3.8	17.6	(40)
nDIPG	III	EGFR	Nimotuzumab/RT	5.8	9.4	(31)

TABLE 1 Clinical trials of combined therapy for HGG.

III trial (27). Further studies suggested that Akt and mTORC1 signaling could predict nimotuzumab efficacy in GBM (28). In a phase II trial by Du et al. (29), nimotuzumab extended PFS to 11.9 months and OS to 24.5 months in 36 nGBM patients, with no survival difference between MGMT promoter methylation-positive and negative groups. Nimotuzumab also showed clinical efficacy in pediatric HGG, including diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma (DIPG) (30). A phase III trial across Germany, Italy, and Russia found that combining nimotuzumab with radiotherapy in pediatric DIPG patients was as effective as chemotherapy but with fewer toxicities and better safety profiles (31).

Although EGFR and EGFR mutations are prevalent in HGG, EGFR-targeting tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) and antibodies have not significantly improved survival in glioma patients (32). Greenall et al. (33) found that most EGFR-targeting antibodies were ineffective at neutralizing EGFR, while panitumumab could neutralize both wild-type EGFR and EGFR, showing strong anti-tumor effects *in vitro* and *in vivo*. This suggests panitumumab as a promising candidate for future clinical trials in glioma patients with EGFR mutations.

2.3 Mammalian target of Rapamycin inhibitors

mTOR, a critical target in the PI3K-AKT pathway, regulates cell proliferation, differentiation, and angiogenesis. Paxalisib, a small-molecule inhibitor targeting PI3K/AKT/mTOR, crosses the blood-brain barrier. A phase II trial (NCT03522298) at the 2022 ASCO Annual Meeting assessed paxalisib in MGMT promoter methylation-negative nGBM patients. The study found 60 mg to be the maximum tolerated dose, with PFS and OS of 8.4 and 17.7 months, respectively, showing improved efficacy over standard treatment (34). Paxalisib has received FDA fast track designation for GBM, with a confirmatory trial (NCT03970447) ongoing.

2.4 Mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway inhibitors

The MAPK cascade, particularly the RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK pathway, regulates cell survival, proliferation, and differentiation. The BRAF V600E mutation, present in ~6% of GBM cases, leads to persistent activation of this pathway, driving tumorigenesis. Epithelioid GBM, with BRAF V600E mutations in up to 50% of cases, has a poor prognosis, with an OS of 10 months (35, 36). Xia et al. (37) showed that vemurafenib, a BRAF inhibitor, combined with radiotherapy, reduced proliferation and increased apoptosis in BRAF V600E-mutant cells. Preliminary results from the VE-BASKET study indicated vemurafenib's anti-tumor activity in GBM patients with the mutation (38). A case report of an epithelioid GBM patient treated with dabrafenib showed disease stabilization, but progression occurred after 10 months, with death at 16 months (39). A phase II trial of dabrafenib and trametinib (MEK inhibitor) in recurrent gliomas with BRAF V600E mutations enrolled 45 patients, with 15 showing objective responses, including 3 complete responses. Median PFS and OS were 3.8 and 17.6 months, respectively, suggesting promising effects, but further studies are needed (40).

2.5 IDH1 targeted therapies

IDH1 mutations are present in over 70% of WHO grade II and III gliomas, as well as in GBM derived from these low-grade lesions. These mutations are associated with a better prognosis compared to IDH wild-type gliomas of the same grade (41). In the fifth edition of the WHO glioma classification, IDH-mutant diffuse astrocytomas are now classified as a single entity, including grades 2, 3, and 4, and are no longer subdivided into diffuse astrocytomas, anaplastic astrocytomas, and GBM. IDH-mutant GBM is now termed IDHmutant astrocytoma (1). Ivosidenib, an oral inhibitor of mutant IDH1, has been used in treating cholangiocarcinoma and chondrosarcoma (42, 43). A phase I trial by Mellinghoff et al. (44) in 66 patients with IDH1-mutant advanced GBM showed that daily 500 mg doses of ivosidenib had a favorable safety profile and reduced the volume and growth rate of non-enhancing tumors on MRI. Although many targeted therapies show limited efficacy in clinical trials, small-scale studies suggest promising preliminary results. With advances in next-generation sequencing, a deeper understanding of glioma molecular phenotypes and pathways may enhance the future utility of targeted therapies.

3 Immunotherapy

Immunotherapy, which utilizes the body's immune system to target and eliminate tumor cells, is increasingly applied to solid tumors (45–47). However, its use in HGG faces significant challenges, including the blood-brain barrier, a highly suppressed tumor immune microenvironment, and immune resistance. Addressing these obstacles is a critical focus in glioma immunotherapy research. Glioma immunotherapy can be categorized into tumor vaccines, oncolytic viruses, immune checkpoint inhibitors, and chimeric antigen receptor T-cell (CAR-T) immunotherapy (Table 2).

3.1 Tumor vaccines

Tumor vaccines hold promise for treating HGG. Rindopepimut, targeting EGFR, initially showed benefits in PFS and OS in a phase II trial with rGBM patients treated with temozolomide (TMZ). However, a phase III trial with 745 patients found no significant difference in median OS, leading to early termination (48, 49). A phase II trial indicated that combining rindopepimut with bevacizumab (BEV) may improve PFS in rGBM patients (50).

SurVaxM, targeting survivin, demonstrated superior efficacy in a phase II trial for nGBM, with median PFS and OS of 11.4 and 25.9 months, respectively, surpassing standard treatments. A phase III trial is ongoing to confirm these findings (51). DCVax-L, an autologous dendritic cell vaccine, significantly extended OS in both nGBM and rGBM patients, with median OS of 19.3 months

TABLE 2 Clinical trials of combined	therapy for HGG.
-------------------------------------	------------------

Туре	Clinical phase	Treatment target	Combined treatment	PFS(m)	OS(m)	Reference
GBM	I	Dendritic cell vaccine	TMZ/CMVpp65-Vac	25.3	41.1	(53)
DIPG	Ι	Oncolytic virus	DNX-2401/RT	10.7	17.8	(58)
Her2+ rGBM	Ι	CAR-T	CAR-T	3.5	24.5	(73)
nGBM	II	Peptide vaccine	SurVaxM	11.4	25.9	(51)
GBM	II	Dendritic cell vaccine	Glioblastoma stem cell-like antigen	7.7	13.7	(54)
nHGG	II	GMCI	ADV-TK	8.1	17.1	(59)
GBM	II	ICI	Navurizumab	4.1	7.3	(69)
nGBM	II	ICI	Atezolizumab/RT/TMZ	10.6	19	(72)

for nGBM and 13.2 months for rGBM patients, compared to 16.5 and 7.8 months in the control groups, respectively (52).

Cytomegalovirus (CMV) antigens, expressed in over 90% of GBM cases but absent in normal brain tissue, present a novel therapeutic target. Batich et al.'s phase I trial using a dendritic cell vaccine targeting CMV pp65 showed promising results, with median PFS and OS of 25.3 and 41.1 months, respectively, and some patients progression-free for over 7 years (53). Yao et al.'s phase II trial using dendritic cell vaccines loaded with GBM stem cell-like antigens demonstrated improved survival, with B7-H4-low expressing patients showing significantly better OS, indicating B7-H4 as a new target for glioma immunotherapy (54). Neoantigen vaccines like NeoVax, tested in a phase Ib trial for nGBM, showed an OS of 16.8 months, supporting their ability to activate T-cell responses (55). Platten et al.'s phase I trial with an IDH1-targeting vaccine (IDH1-vac) led to a 3-year PFS of 63% and OS of 84%, marking a significant improvement in patient outcomes (56). ERC1671, combining whole inactivated tumor cells and tumor cell lysates, showed significant effects in rGBM patients, particularly those naive to or resistant to BEV, with an average OS of 328 days and a correlation between peripheral blood CD4+ T lymphocyte counts and survival (57). These results highlight the potential of tumor vaccines as a key component of glioma immunotherapy, warranting further investigation.

3.2 Oncolytic viruses

Oncolytic viruses eliminate tumor cells through two mechanisms: (1) direct cytotoxicity via infection and replication within tumor cells, and (2) immune activation, converting tumors from an immune "cold" to a "hot" state. Recombinant oncolytic polio/rhinovirus (PVSRIPO), targeting the CD155 receptor on tumor cells and antigen-presenting cells (APCs), induces tumor lysis and activates immune responses. In a phase I trial of 61 rGBM patients, intratumoral PVSRIPO injection improved survival, with 21% surviving beyond 3 years, and the longest survival reaching 70 months (57). PVSRIPO has received "Breakthrough Therapy" designation by the FDA, and a phase II trial is ongoing. Although primarily studied in adult HGG, its use in Diffuse Intrinsic Pontine Glioma (DIPG) is limited. Similarly, the oncolytic adenovirus DNX-2401, administered intratumorally, promotes immune infiltration and tumor responses. In a phase I trial of 11 pediatric DIPG patients, DNX-2401 followed by radiation therapy resulted in tumor shrinkage or stabilization, with a median PFS of 10.7 months and median OS of 17.8 months (58). Gene-mediated cytotoxic immunotherapy (GMCI), using a replication-deficient adenovirus to deliver the herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase (HSV-TK) gene, activates prodrugs like valganciclovir to induce cytotoxicity. In a phase II trial for HGG, the GMCI group had a median OS of 17.1 months, compared to 13.5 months in the standard treatment group, with the greatest benefit seen in patients with minimal residual tumor post-resection (59). GMCI has shown safety and efficacy in adults, with a phase I trial in pediatric HGG confirming its safety for children, supporting further studies (60).

3.3 Immune checkpoint inhibitors

PD-1/PD-L1 is a key immune checkpoint that enables tumor cells to evade immune surveillance (61, 62). However, clinical trials of PD-1/PD-L1 monoclonal antibodies in glioblastoma have had limited success, highlighting the need to understand resistance mechanisms. The presence of TAMs, MDSCs, and Tregs within the tumor microenvironment has been shown to interfere with the efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors (63). For instance, TAMs can express PD-L1 themselves, further contributing to the suppression of T cell activity (64). MDSCs inhibit T cell receptor signaling, reducing the effectiveness of PD-1 blockade (65). Additionally, Tregs maintain an immunosuppressive environment by expressing CTLA-4, which can negate the benefits of PD-1 inhibitors (66).

The CheckMate 498 trial found that nivolumab (PD-1 monoclonal antibody) did not improve OS in MGMT promotermethylation-negative GBM patients, while CheckMate 548 showed no improvement in PFS in MGMT promoter-methylation-positive GBM patients, although OS is still under evaluation (67, 68). Neoadjuvant PD-1 therapy has shown promise in rGBM, with a phase II trial by Schalper et al. demonstrating that nivolumab before and after surgery altered the tumor immune microenvironment and improved outcomes, with median PFS of 4.1 months and OS of 7.3 months (69). Pembrolizumab, another PD-1 monoclonal antibody, showed limited efficacy as monotherapy in rGBM due to the immunosuppressive tumor environment. A phase I trial combining pembrolizumab with stereotactic radiosurgery and bevacizumab demonstrated safety, but a phase II trial combining pembrolizumab and BEV failed to improve survival in rGBM (70). However, neoadjuvant pembrolizumab followed by adjuvant treatment significantly improved OS and PFS in rGBM patients compared to adjuvant-only therapy, with enhanced immune responses, such as Tcell clonal expansion and reduced PD-1 expression on peripheral Tcells (71). Atezolizumab (PD-L1 inhibitor) showed moderate efficacy in a phase II trial, with median OS of 19 months in nGBM patients, particularly those with MGMT-methylation (72).

3.4 CAR-T therapy

CAR-T therapy involves genetically modifying T cells to express receptors targeting tumor cell antigens, leading to tumor destruction. In GBM, common targets include HER2 and IL-13R α 2. In a Phase I trial by Ahmed et al. (73), anti-HER2 CAR-T therapy in rGBM patients showed a median OS of 11.1 months post-infusion and 24.5 months from diagnosis, suggesting a survival benefit. Brown et al. (74) reported a multi-focal GBM patient treated with resection followed by intravenous IL-13R α 2 CAR-T infusion, leading to lesion shrinkage and disease stabilization for 7.5 months, indicating preliminary efficacy in HGG treatment.

A novel target for GBM CAR-T therapy is disialoganglioside (GD2), overexpressed on GBM stem cells. In a Phase I trial, eight GD2-positive rGBM patients received fourth-generation GD2-specific CAR-T cells (4SCAR-T), showing good safety, tolerability, and a median OS of 10 months post-infusion. GD2-specific CAR-T cells also induced antigen loss and immune activation in the tumor microenvironment, highlighting potential. Larger trials are needed to confirm these findings (75). Although CAR-T therapy for HGG has mainly been explored in small Phase I/II trials, current results support further investigation in larger cohorts. Despite promising early outcomes, challenges remain in the clinical application of immune therapies for HGG. However, a deeper understanding of glioma mechanisms and immune principles may offer solutions to immune resistance, potentially leading to longer survival for HGG patients.

Recent advancements have developed innovative strategies to enhance the efficacy of CAR-T cell therapy by overcoming the BBB. For instance, genetically engineered CAR-neutrophils derived from human pluripotent stem cells demonstrate improved BBB permeability and targeted delivery of tumor-responsive nanodrugs to GBM cells, thereby increasing therapeutic specificity and reducing off-target effects (9). Additionally, iPSC-derived BBB models have proven valuable for evaluating CAR-T cell extravasation and cytotoxicity against GBM, revealing significant differences among CAR-T constructs in traversing the BBB and eliminating tumor cells, which aids in optimizing CAR-T design for better clinical outcomes (76). Furthermore, Chokshi et al. (77) reported that CAR-T cells targeting receptors such as ROBO1 have shown promising preclinical results by effectively navigating the BBB and significantly extending survival in recurrent GBM and other brain metastasis models. These approaches not only enhance the penetration of CAR-T cells across the BBB but also improve their anti-tumor efficacy, thereby expanding the clinical potential of CAR-T therapies in treating high-grade gliomas.

4 Conclusion

Immunotherapy for HGG, particularly glioblastoma, has advanced significantly, providing novel treatment options beyond conventional therapies. Oncolytic viruses, including PVSRIPO and DNX-2401, have shown promise by directly targeting tumor cells and activating immune responses, with early clinical trials indicating survival benefits. Gene-mediated cytotoxic immunotherapy (GMCI) has also improved survival in both adult and pediatric HGG patients.

Immune checkpoint inhibitors, particularly PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors, have produced mixed results in GBM, though neoadjuvant use may enhance immune responses in the tumor microenvironment. Combining these inhibitors with radiation or bevacizumab may further improve efficacy. CAR-T therapies targeting antigens like HER2, IL-13R α 2, and GD2 have shown promising early clinical outcomes, suggesting potential for durable responses in GBM treatment.

Despite progress, challenges such as immune evasion and tumor heterogeneity remain. Moreover, high costs and the complexity of patient-specific treatments limit the accessibility of CAR-T therapies, particularly in resource-limited settings. Future research will focus on overcoming these obstacles, refining treatment strategies, and ultimately improving long-term survival for GBM patients.

Author contributions

GG: Writing – original draft. LJ: Writing – original draft. JZ: Writing – original draft. YS: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Louis DN, Perry A, Wesseling P, Brat DJ, Cree IA, Figarella-Branger D, et al. The 2021 WHO classification of tumors of the central nervous system: a summary. *Neuro Oncol.* (2021) 23:1231–51. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/noab106

2. Ostrom QT, Price M, Neff C, Cioffi G, Waite KA, Kruchko C, et al. CBTRUS statistical report: primary brain and other central nervous system tumors diagnosed in the United States in 2016-2020. *Neuro Oncol.* (2023) 25:iv1-iv99. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/ noad149

3. Deng Y, Shi M, Yi L, Naveed Khan M, Xia Z, Li X. Eliminating a barrier: Aiming at VISTA, reversing MDSC-mediated T cell suppression in the tumor microenvironment. *Heliyon*. (2024) 10:e37060. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e37060

4. Zhai X, Zhang H, Xia Z, Liu M, Du G, Jiang Z, et al. Oxytocin alleviates liver fibrosis via hepatic macrophages. *JHEP Rep.* (2024) 6:101032. doi: 10.1016/j.jhepr.2024.101032

5. Zhang H, Xia T, Xia Z, Zhou H, Li Z, Wang W, et al. KIF18A inactivates hepatic stellate cells and alleviates liver fibrosis through the TTC3/Akt/mTOR pathway. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* (2024) 81:96. doi: 10.1007/s00018-024-05114-5

6. Yang K, Wu Z, Zhang H, Zhang N, Wu W, Wang Z, et al. Glioma targeted therapy: insight into future of molecular approaches. *Mol Cancer*. (2022) 21:39. doi: 10.1186/s12943-022-01513-z

7. Xia Z, Chen S, He M, Li B, Deng Y, Yi L, et al. Editorial: Targeting metabolism to activate T cells and enhance the efficacy of checkpoint blockade immunotherapy in solid tumors. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1247178. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1247178

8. Zhang X, Zhang P, Cong A, Feng Y, Chi H, Xia Z, et al. Unraveling molecular networks in thymic epithelial tumors: deciphering the unique signatures. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1264325. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1264325

9. Yasinjan F, Xing Y, Geng H, Guo R, Yang L, Liu Z, et al. Immunotherapy: a promising approach for glioma treatment. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1255611. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1255611

10. Mo F, Pellerino A, Soffietti R, Ruda R. Blood-brain barrier in brain tumors: biology and clinical relevance. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2021) 22:12654. doi: 10.3390/ ijms222312654

11. Wang Y, Ma L, He J, Gu H, Zhu H. Identification of cancer stem cell-related genes through single cells and machine learning for predicting prostate cancer prognosis and immunotherapy. *Front Immunol.* (2024) 15:1464698. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1464698

12. Sun Z, Wang J, Fan Z, Yang Y, Meng X, Ma Z, et al. Investigating the prognostic role of lncRNAs associated with disulfidptosis-related genes in clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *J Gene Med.* (2024) 26:e3608. doi: 10.1002/jgm.v26.1

13. Wang Y, Zhu H, Zhang L, He J, Bo J, Wang J, et al. Common immunological and prognostic features of lung and bladder cancer via smoking-related genes: PRR11 gene as potential immunotherapeutic target. *J Cell Mol Med.* (2024) 28:e18384. doi: 10.1111/jcmm.v28.10

14. Xiao J, Lin H, Liu B, Xia Z, Zhang J, Jin J. Decreased S1P and SPHK2 are involved in pancreatic acinar cell injury. *biomark Med.* (2019) 13:627–37. doi: 10.2217/bmm-2018-0404

15. Wang Y, He J, Zhao Q, Bo J, Zhou Y, Sun H, et al. Evaluating the predictive value of angiogenesis-related genes for prognosis and immunotherapy response in prostate adenocarcinoma using machine learning and experimental approaches. *Front Immunol.* (2024) 15:1416914. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1416914

16. Lu Z, Xu S, Ye M, Shen T, Zhang X, Rao D, et al. Comparison of pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy versus concurrent or sequential radiochemotherapy in patients with driver mutation-lacking lung adenocarcinoma presenting with recurrent laryngeal nerve invasion leading to hoarseness. *JCO*. (2024) 42:e14635–5. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2024.42.16_suppl.e14635

17. Xu S, Lu Z. Exploring FNDC4 as a biomarker for prognosis and immunotherapy response in lung adenocarcinoma. *Asian J Surg.* (2024). doi: 10.1016/j.asjsur.2024.09.054

18. Chinot OL, Wick W, Mason W, Henriksson R, Saran F, Nishikawa R, et al. Bevacizumab plus radiotherapy-temozolomide for newly diagnosed glioblastoma. N Engl J Med. (2014) 370:709–22. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1308345

19. Gilbert MR, Dignam JJ, Armstrong TS, Wefel JS, Blumenthal DT, Vogelbaum MA, et al. A randomized trial of bevacizumab for newly diagnosed glioblastoma. *N Engl J Med.* (2014) 370:699–708. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1308573

20. Wefel JS, Armstrong TS, Pugh SL, Gilbert MR, Wendland MM, Brachman DG, et al. Neurocognitive, symptom, and health-related quality of life outcomes of a

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

randomized trial of bevacizumab for newly diagnosed glioblastoma (NRG/RTOG 0825). Neuro Oncol. (2021) 23:1125–38. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/noab011

21. Saran F, Chinot OL, Henriksson R, Mason W, Wick W, Cloughesy T, et al. Bevacizumab, temozolomide, and radiotherapy for newly diagnosed glioblastoma: comprehensive safety results during and after first-line therapy. *Neuro Oncol.* (2016) 18:991–1001. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/nov300

22. Wirsching HG, Tabatabai G, Roelcke U, Hottinger AF, Jorger F, Schmid A, et al. Bevacizumab plus hypofractionated radiotherapy versus radiotherapy alone in elderly patients with glioblastoma: the randomized, open-label, phase II ARTE trial. *Ann Oncol.* (2018) 29:1423–30. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdy120

23. Yin J, Yin W, Zheng L, Li Y, Luo C, Zhang S, et al. Anlotinib as monotherapy or combination therapy for recurrent high-grade glioma: A retrospective study. *Clin Med Insights Oncol.* (2023) 17:11795549231175714. doi: 10.1177/11795549231175714

24. Lai S, Li P, Liu X, Liu G, Xie T, Zhang X, et al. Efficacy and safety of anlotinib combined with the STUPP regimen in patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma: a multicenter, single-arm, phase II trial. *Cancer Biol Med.* (2024) 21:433–44. doi: 10.20892/j.issn.2095-3941.2023.0373

25. Brennan CW, Verhaak RG, McKenna A, Campos B, Noushmehr H, Salama SR, et al. The somatic genomic landscape of glioblastoma. *Cell.* (2013) 155:462–77. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2013.09.034

26. Wang Y, Pan L, Sheng XF, Chen S, Dai JZ. Nimotuzumab, a humanized monoclonal antibody specific for the EGFR, in combination with temozolomide and radiation therapy for newly diagnosed glioblastoma multiforme: First results in Chinese patients. *Asia Pac J Clin Oncol.* (2016) 12:e23–29. doi: 10.1111/ajco.2016.12.issue-1

27. Westphal M, Heese O, Steinbach JP, Schnell O, Schackert G, Mehdorn M, et al. A randomised, open label phase III trial with nimotuzumab, an anti-epidermal growth factor receptor monoclonal antibody in the treatment of newly diagnosed adult glioblastoma. *Eur J Cancer.* (2015) 51:522–32. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2014.12.019

28. Ronellenfitsch MW, Zeiner PS, Mittelbronn M, Urban H, Pietsch T, Reuter D, et al. Akt and mTORC1 signaling as predictive biomarkers for the EGFR antibody nimotuzumab in glioblastoma. *Acta Neuropathol Commun.* (2018) 6:81. doi: 10.1186/ s40478-018-0583-4

29. Du XJ, Li XM, Cai LB, Sun JC, Wang SY, Wang XC, et al. Efficacy and safety of nimotuzumab in addition to radiotherapy and temozolomide for cerebral glioblastoma: a phase II multicenter clinical trial. *J Cancer*. (2019) 10:3214–23. doi: 10.7150/jca.30123

30. Cooney T, Lane A, Bartels U, Bouffet E, Goldman S, Leary SES, et al. Contemporary survival endpoints: an International Diffuse Intrinsic Pontine Glioma Registry study. *Neuro Oncol.* (2017) 19:1279–80. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/nox107

31. Fleischhack G, Massimino M, Warmuth-Metz M, Khuhlaeva E, Janssen G, Graf N, et al. Nimotuzumab and radiotherapy for treatment of newly diagnosed diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma (DIPG): a phase III clinical study. *J Neurooncol.* (2019) 143:107-13. doi: 10.1007/s11060-019-03140-z

 Reardon DA, Wen PY, Mellinghoff IK. Targeted molecular therapies against epidermal growth factor receptor: past experiences and challenges. *Neuro Oncol.* (2014) 16 Suppl 8:viii7–13. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/nou232

33. Greenall SA, McKenzie M, Seminova E, Dolezal O, Pearce L, Bentley J, et al. Most clinical anti-EGFR antibodies do not neutralize both wtEGFR and EGFRVIII activation in glioma. *Neuro Oncol.* (2019) 21:1016–27. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/noz073

34. Wen PY, Groot J, Battiste J, Goldlust SA, Garner JS, Friend J, et al. Paxalisib in patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma with unmethylated MGMT promoter status: Final phase 2 study results. *JCO*. (2022) 40:2047–7. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2022.40.16_suppl.2047

35. Dahiya S, Emnett RJ, Haydon DH, Leonard JR, Phillips JJ, Perry A, et al. BRAF-V600E mutation in pediatric and adult glioblastoma. *Neuro Oncol.* (2014) 16:318–9. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/not146

36. Kleinschmidt-DeMasters BK, Aisner DL, Birks DK, Foreman NK. Epithelioid GBMs show a high percentage of BRAF V600E mutation. *Am J Surg Pathol.* (2013) 37:685–98. doi: 10.1097/PAS.0b013e31827f9c5e

37. Xia H, Wu H, Chen J, Xu X, Tan W, Xu RA. Inhibitory effect of imperatorin on dabrafenib metabolism *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Chem Biol Interact*. (2024) 399:111131. doi: 10.1016/j.cbi.2024.111131

38. Kaley T, Touat M, Subbiah V, Hollebecque A, Rodon J, Lockhart AC, et al. BRAF inhibition in BRAF(V600)-mutant gliomas: results from the VE-BASKET study. *J Clin Oncol.* (2018) 36:3477–84. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2018.78.9990

39. Ceccon G, Werner JM, Dunkl V, Tscherpel C, Stoffels G, Brunn A, et al. Dabrafenib treatment in a patient with an epithelioid glioblastoma and BRAF V600E mutation. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2018) 19:1090. doi: 10.3390/ijms19041090

40. Wen PY, Stein A, van den Bent M, De Greve J, Wick A, de Vos F, et al. Dabrafenib plus trametinib in patients with BRAF(V600E)-mutant low-grade and high-grade glioma (ROAR): a multicentre, open-label, single-arm, phase 2, basket trial. *Lancet Oncol.* (2022) 23:53–64. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(21)00578-7

41. Yan H, Parsons DW, Jin G, McLendon R, Rasheed BA, Yuan W, et al. IDH1 and IDH2 mutations in gliomas. *N Engl J Med.* (2009) 360:765–73. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0808710

42. Tap WD, Villalobos VM, Cote GM, Burris H, Janku F, Mir O, et al. Phase I study of the mutant IDH1 inhibitor ivosidenib: safety and clinical activity in patients with advanced chondrosarcoma. *J Clin Oncol.* (2020) 38:1693–701. doi: 10.1200/JCO.19.02492

43. Lowery MA, Burris HA3rd, Janku F, Shroff RT, Cleary JM, Azad NS, et al. Safety and activity of ivosidenib in patients with IDH1-mutant advanced cholangiocarcinoma: a phase 1 study. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2019) 4:711–20. doi: 10.1016/S2468-1253(19)30189-X

44. Mellinghoff IK, Ellingson BM, Touat M, Maher E, de la Fuente MI, Holdhoff M, et al. Ivosidenib in isocitrate dehydrogenase 1-Mutated advanced glioma. *J Clin Oncol.* (2020) 38:3398–406. doi: 10.1200/JCO.19.03327

45. Xu S, Chen X, Ying H, Chen J, Ye M, Lin Z, et al. Multi-omics identification of a signature based on Malignant cell-associated ligand-receptor genes for lung adenocarcinoma. *BMC Cancer*. (2024) 24:1138. doi: 10.1186/s12885-024-12911-5

46. Li C, Liu T, Liu Y, Zhang J, Zuo D. Prognostic value of tumour microenvironment-related genes by TCGA database in rectal cancer. J Cell Mol Med. (2021) 25:5811–22. doi: 10.1111/jcmm.v25.12

47. Liu Y, Cheng L, Song X, Li C, Zhang J, Wang L. A TP53-associated immune prognostic signature for the prediction of the overall survival and therapeutic responses in pancreatic cancer. *Math Biosci Eng.* (2022) 19:191–208. doi: 10.3934/mbe.2022010

48. Schuster J, Lai RK, Recht LD, Reardon DA, Paleologos NA, Groves MD, et al. A phase II, multicenter trial of rindopepimut (CDX-110) in newly diagnosed glioblastoma: the ACT III study. *Neuro Oncol.* (2015) 17:854–61. doi: 10.1093/ neuonc/nou348

49. Weller M, Butowski N, Tran DD, Recht LD, Lim M, Hirte H, et al. Rindopepimut with temozolomide for patients with newly diagnosed, EGFRvIII-expressing glioblastoma (ACT IV): a randomised, double-blind, international phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* (2017) 18:1373–85. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(17)30517-X

50. Reardon DA, Desjardins A, Vredenburgh JJ, O'Rourke DM, Tran DD, Fink KL, et al. Rindopepimut with bevacizumab for patients with relapsed EGFRvIII-Expressing glioblastoma (ReACT): results of a double-Blind randomized phase II trial. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2020) 26:1586–94. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-1140

51. Ahluwalia MS, Reardon DA, Abad AP, Curry WT, Wong ET, Figel SA, et al. Phase IIa study of surVaxM plus adjuvant temozolomide for newly diagnosed glioblastoma. *J Clin Oncol.* (2023) 41:1453–65. doi: 10.1200/JCO.22.00996

52. Liau LM, Ashkan K, Brem S, Campian JL, Trusheim JE, Iwamoto FM, et al. Association of autologous tumor lysate-Loaded dendritic cell vaccination with extension of survival among patients with newly diagnosed and recurrent glioblastoma: A phase 3 prospective externally controlled cohort trial. *JAMA Oncol.* (2023) 9:112–21. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2022.5370

53. Batich KA, Reap EA, Archer GE, Sanchez-Perez L, Nair SK, Schmittling RJ, et al. Long-term survival in glioblastoma with cytomegalovirus pp65-Targeted vaccination. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2017) 23:1898–909. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-16-2057

54. Yao Y, Luo F, Tang C, Chen D, Qin Z, Hua W, et al. Molecular subgroups and B7-H4 expression levels predict responses to dendritic cell vaccines in glioblastoma: an exploratory randomized phase II clinical trial. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*. (2018) 67:1777–88. doi: 10.1007/s00262-018-2232-y

55. Keskin DB, Anandappa AJ, Sun J, Tirosh I, Mathewson ND, Li S, et al. Neoantigen vaccine generates intratumoral T cell responses in phase Ib glioblastoma trial. *Nature*. (2019) 565:234–9. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0792-9

56. Platten M, Bunse L, Wick A, Bunse T, Le Cornet L, Harting I, et al. A vaccine targeting mutant IDH1 in newly diagnosed glioma. *Nature*. (2021) 592:463–8. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03363-z

57. Desjardins A, Gromeier M, Herndon JE 2nd, Beaubier N, Bolognesi DP, Friedman AH, et al. Recurrent glioblastoma treated with recombinant poliovirus. *N Engl J Med.* (2018) 379:150–61. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1716435

58. Gallego Perez-Larraya J, Garcia-Moure M, Labiano S, Patino-Garcia A, Dobbs J, Gonzalez-Huarriz M, et al. Oncolytic DNX-2401 virus for pediatric diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma. *N Engl J Med.* (2022) 386:2471–81. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2202028

59. Wheeler LA, Manzanera AG, Bell SD, Cavaliere R, McGregor JM, Grecula JC, et al. Phase II multicenter study of gene-mediated cytotoxic immunotherapy as adjuvant to surgical resection for newly diagnosed Malignant glioma. *Neuro Oncol.* (2016) 18:1137–45. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/now002

60. Kieran MW, Goumnerova L, Manley P, Chi SN, Marcus KJ, Manzanera AG, et al. Phase I study of gene-mediated cytotoxic immunotherapy with AdV-tk as adjuvant to surgery and radiation for pediatric Malignant glioma and recurrent ependymoma. *Neuro Oncol.* (2019) 21:537–46. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/noy202

61. Liu T, Li C, Zhang J, Hu H, Li C. Unveiling efferocytosis-related signatures through the integration of single-cell analysis and machine learning: a predictive framework for prognosis and immunotherapy response in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1237350. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1237350

62. Jin W, Yang Q, Chi H, Wei K, Zhang P, Zhao G, et al. Ensemble deep learning enhanced with self-attention for predicting immunotherapeutic responses to cancers. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:1025330. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1025330

63. Wang J, He Y, Hu F, Hu C, Sun Y, Yang K, et al. Metabolic reprogramming of immune cells in the tumor microenvironment. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2024) 25:12223. doi: 10.3390/ijms252212223

64. Guo F, Kong W, Li D, Zhao G, Anwar M, Xia F, et al. M2-type tumor-associated macrophages upregulated PD-L1 expression in cervical cancer via the PI3K/AKT pathway. *Eur J Med Res.* (2024) 29:357. doi: 10.1186/s40001-024-01897-2

65. Veglia F, Sanseviero E, Gabrilovich DI. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells in the era of increasing myeloid cell diversity. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2021) 21:485–98. doi: 10.1038/s41577-020-00490-y

66. Cheng W, Kang K, Zhao A, Wu Y. Dual blockade immunotherapy targeting PD-1/PD-L1 and CTLA-4 in lung cancer. *J Hematol Oncol.* (2024) 17:54. doi: 10.1186/ s13045-024-01581-2

67. Omuro A, Brandes AA, Carpentier AF, Idbaih A, Reardon DA, Cloughesy T, et al. Radiotherapy combined with nivolumab or temozolomide for newly diagnosed glioblastoma with unmethylated MGMT promoter: An international randomized phase III trial. *Neuro Oncol.* (2023) 25:123–34. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/noac099

68. Lim M, Weller M, Idbaih A, Steinbach J, Finocchiaro G, Raval RR, et al. Phase III trial of chemoradiotherapy with temozolomide plus nivolumab or placebo for newly diagnosed glioblastoma with methylated MGMT promoter. *Neuro Oncol.* (2022) 24:1935–49. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/noac116

69. Schalper KA, Rodriguez-Ruiz ME, Diez-Valle R, Lopez-Janeiro A, Porciuncula A, Idoate MA, et al. Neoadjuvant nivolumab modifies the tumor immune microenvironment in resectable glioblastoma. *Nat Med.* (2019) 25:470–6. doi: 10.1038/s41591-018-0339-5

70. Sahebjam S, Forsyth PA, Tran ND, Arrington JA, Macaulay R, Etame AB, et al. Hypofractionated stereotactic re-irradiation with pembrolizumab and bevacizumab in patients with recurrent high-grade gliomas: results from a phase I study. *Neuro Oncol.* (2021) 23:677–86. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/noaa260

 Cloughesy TF, Mochizuki AY, Orpilla JR, Hugo W, Lee AH, Davidson TB, et al. Neoadjuvant anti-PD-1 immunotherapy promotes a survival benefit with intratumoral and systemic immune responses in recurrent glioblastoma. *Nat Med.* (2019) 25:477–86. doi: 10.1038/s41591-018-0337-7

72. Weathers S-PS, Kamiya-Matsuoka C, Harrison RA, Liu DD, Dervin S, Yun C, et al. Phase I/II study to evaluate the safety and clinical efficacy of atezolizumab (atezo; aPDL1) in combination with temozolomide (TMZ) and radiation in patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma (GBM). *JCO*. (2020) 38:2511–1. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2020.38.15_suppl.2511

73. Ahmed N, Brawley V, Hegde M, Bielamowicz K, Kalra M, Landi D, et al. HER2specific chimeric antigen receptor-modified virus-specific T cells for progressive glioblastoma: A phase 1 dose-escalation trial. *JAMA Oncol.* (2017) 3:1094–101. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2017.0184

74. Brown CE, Alizadeh D, Starr R, Weng L, Wagner JR, Naranjo A, et al. Regression of glioblastoma after chimeric antigen receptor T-Cell therapy. *N Engl J Med.* (2016) 375:2561–9. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1610497

75. Liu Z, Zhou J, Yang X, Liu Y, Zou C, Lv W, et al. Safety and antitumor activity of GD2-Specific 4SCAR-T cells in patients with glioblastoma. *Mol Cancer*. (2023) 22:3. doi: 10.1186/s12943-022-01711-9

76. Huang J, Li YB, Charlebois C, Nguyen T, Liu Z, Bloemberg D, et al. Application of blood brain barrier models in pre-clinical assessment of glioblastoma-targeting CAR-T based immunotherapies. *Fluids Barriers CNS*. (2022) 19:38. doi: 10.1186/s12987-022-00342-y

77. Chokshi CR, Shaikh MV, Brakel B, Rossotti MA, Tieu D, Maich W, et al. Targeting axonal guidance dependencies in glioblastoma with ROBO1 CAR T cells. *Nat Med.* (2024) 30:2936–46. doi: 10.1038/s41591-024-03138-9