Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Tanima Bose, Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich, Germany

REVIEWED BY

Fernando Gómez-Chávez, National Polytechnic Institute (IPN), Mexico Ulrich Matthias Zissler, University of Applied Sciences Rosenheim, Germany

*CORRESPONDENCE Sven Schneider Sven.schneider@meduniwien.ac.at

RECEIVED 09 October 2024 ACCEPTED 17 April 2025 PUBLISHED 28 May 2025

CITATION

Bartosik T, Pjevac P, Séneca J, Morgenstern C, Arnoldner T, Gangl K, Sinz C, Campion NJ, Tu A, Stanek V, Bangert C, Schneider S and Eckl-Dorna J (2025) Dupilumab treatment has no effect on the nasal microbiome in patients with NSAIDexacerbated respiratory disease: a longitudinal pilot study. *Front. Immunol.* 16:1508500. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2025.1508500

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Bartosik, Pjevac, Séneca, Morgenstern, Arnoldner, Gangl, Sinz, Campion, Tu, Stanek, Bangert, Schneider and Eckl-Dorna. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Dupilumab treatment has no effect on the nasal microbiome in patients with NSAIDexacerbated respiratory disease: a longitudinal pilot study

Tina Bartosik¹, Petra Pjevac^{2,3}, Joana Séneca^{2,3}, Christina Morgenstern¹, Tamara Arnoldner⁴, Katharina Gangl¹, Christoph Sinz⁴, Nicholas James Campion¹, Aldine Tu¹, Victoria Stanek¹, Christine Bangert⁴, Sven Schneider^{1*} and Julia Eckl-Dorna¹

¹Department of Otorhinolaryngology, General Hospital and Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria, ²Department of Microbiology and Ecosystem Science, Centre for Microbiology and Environmental Systems Science, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria, ³Joint Microbiome Facility of the Medical University of Vienna and the University of, Vienna, Austria, ⁴Department of Dermatology, General Hospital and Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

Background: Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs-exacerbated respiratory disease (N-ERD) affects up to 10% of patients suffering from nasal polyps and has a severe impact on quality of life. Dupilumab, a monoclonal antibody targeting the IL-4 receptor α chain, leads to symptom relief and reduction in nasal type 2 mediator levels. Here, we investigated the impact of dupilumab treatment on the composition and diversity of the nasal microbiome.

Methodology: Nasal microbiome was analyzed by 16s rRNA gene amplicon sequencing in 28 patients before, 4, 12, and 24 weeks after dupilumab therapy.

Results: After stringent decontamination and removal of patients whose samples contained less than 500 reads at \geq one of the four visits, full datasets from 8 out of 28 patients remained for downstream analysis of microbiome data. All 8 patients showed significant reduction in TPS (total polyp score; p=0.0078) and an improvement in SNOT-22 (Sino-nasal outcome test-22, a quality of life questionnaire; p=0.0781) after dupilumab therapy. During 24 weeks of dupilumab therapy, there were no major changes in microbiome diversity or composition observed (Shannon index: V1-V4:p-adj=0.25, Chao 1 Index V1-V4:p-adj=0.31), and only 2 out of 8 patients showed a decrease in staphylococci abundance.

Conclusions: More than 70% of the samples did not pass quality control, this warrants further refinement of nasal microbiome sampling techniques and mandatory guidelines on stringent quality control for analysis of this low biomass data in future. Furthermore, dupilumab did not have an impact on microbiome diversity or composition.

KEYWORDS

Staphylococcus aureus, CRSwNP, N-ERD, dupilumab, microbiome

1 Introduction

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID)-exacerbated respiratory disease (N-ERD) affects 8-10% of patients suffering from chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps (CRSwNP) (1). In addition to nasal polyps, N-ERD patients suffer from asthma and hypersensitivity to NSAIDs. The disease burden is evidenced by a high incidence of polyp recurrence and severely impaired quality of life (2, 3). In the US and Europe, patients with nasal polyps show predominantly a type 2 inflammation profile, marked by high local T helper 2 cytokine levels and a strong eosinophilic influx in the nasal polyp tissue (4). This pattern is even more pronounced in N-ERD patients, where additionally increased levels of nasal IL-5 and eosinophilic cationic protein (ECP) are observed as compared to aspirin-tolerant CRSwNP patients (5, 6). A recent study employing global Transcriptomics in combination with genome-wide methylomics and targeted metabolomics has demonstrated that these cells display an overall reduction in DNA methylation, combined with aberrant metabolic profiles and increased chemokine expression in N-ERD patients (7). Therefore the persistent metabolic and epigenetic reprogramming in macrophages could play an important role in the pathomechanism of N-ERD.

In the last decade, monoclonal antibodies targeting mediators of the type 2 inflammation pathway have become available and are a therapeutic add-on for patients with severe, refractory disease (8, 9). For example, omalizumab, a monoclonal antibody targeting free IgE, reduces the polyp burden (10) and induces complete aspirin tolerance in more than 60% of patients (11, 12). The IL-4 receptor α chain-blocking antibody dupilumab, which targets both the IL-4 and IL-13 receptors, also improves aspirin tolerance (13) and leads to significant clinical improvement in N-ERD patients (14, 15). Furthermore, it leads to a significant reduction of type 2 inflammation associated biomarkers such as Eotaxin-3, IgE and ECP in nasal secretions (13, 16). In tissue homogenates, ECP, eotaxins and pulmonary and activation-regulated chemokine (PARC) are also significantly reduced after dupilumab therapy (16). Thus, it is thought that dupilumab reduces type 2 inflammation, IgE production, drivers of and eosinophilic inflammation locally in nasal tissue.

CRSwNP, N-ERD and other chronic type 2 inflammatory diseases such as type 2 asthma or atopic dermatitis (AD) are associated with epithelial barrier dysfunction. This epithelial impairment can lead to colonization with opportunistic bacteria such as Moraxella, Haemophilus, or Staphylococcus aureus (17), the latter being considered a major contributor to symptom burden in all above-mentioned diseases (18). In this context, recent findings have shown that treatment with dupilumab does not only lead to a significant clinical improvement in AD patients, but also to improved skin barrier function accompanied by reduced colonization with Staphylococcus aureus in both lesional and nonlesional skin (19-21). This reduction was shown to occur as early as 3 days after the beginning of treatment (22). However, whether and to what extent blockage of IL-4 and IL-13 may alter the respiratory microbiome in CRS or asthma patients is currently unknown (23). A recent cultivation based study assessing microbial profiles in CRSwNP patients after surgery or dupilumab indicates that *Staphylococcus aureus* prevalence remained stable under IL-4R α blockage, while it increased in patients after surgery (24).

In this study, we longitudinally assessed the effect of 24 weeks of dupilumab treatment on the composition of the nasal microbiome in patients suffering from N-ERD. Patients with N-ERD have very high levels of type 2 inflammation (6) and the cohort used for this study has been carefully selected and well characterized (13). Furthermore, we previously showed that N-ERD patients on average display a higher abundance of Staphyloccus aureus than CRSwNP or CRSsNP patients (25). As Staphylococcus aureus is thought to play an important role in driving the disease, this group is very well suited to investigate changes in this amplicon sequence variant (ASV) under dupiluma therapy. As the nasal microbiome is a low (microbial) biomass habitat, making samples particularly susceptible to sampling, handling, and sequencing contamination (26-28), we included numerous sampling and DNA extraction controls and applied stringent contamination filtering workflows to ensure the validity of our data.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study population and clinical assessments

The samples of N-ERD patients used in this study are derived from a prospective open-label single-center study at the Department of Dermatology and the Department of Otorhinolaryngology at the Medical University of Vienna, Austria (EK 1044/2020). The study was registered with EudraCT (2019-004889-18) and ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT04442256), for further details on study conduct, in- and exclusion criteria as well as clinical assessments of the study and aspirin provocation schedule, please refer to Schneider et al (13). In the aforementioned study, nasal secretions (Nasosorption FX-I, Hunt Developments (UK) Limited, Midhurst, West Sussex, United Kingdom) and nasal microbiome samples (CLASSIQSwabs, Copan Diagnostics Inc. Murietta, CA, USA) were collected from the inferior nasal turbinate at baseline, 6 to 4 weeks after start of dupilumab treatment. In parallel to collecting patient samples, air control swabs were also collected for each individual patient at each timepoint. Patients underwent aspirin provocation at baseline and 24 weeks after beginning dupilumab treatment. For clinical characteristics of patients included in the final nasal microbiome analysis, please refer to Table 1. For clinical characteristics of all 31 patients initially sampled in the study, please refer to Schneider et al (13).

2.2 Inflammatory mediators and IgE measurements

The following inflammatory mediators were measured in nasal secretions of patients pooled from both nostrils at baseline and 24 weeks after start of dupilumab therapy using the

electrochemiluminescence technology MSD multiplex U-Plex platform: Interleukin (IL)-1a, IL-1IR, IL-1RA, IL-2, IL-3, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-7, IL-8, IL-9, IL-10, IL-12/IL-23p40, IL-12p70, IL-13, IL-15, IL-16, IL-17A, IL-17E/IL-25, IL-21, IL-22, IL-27, IL-33, Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha (TNF-α), TNF-β, Interferon-gamma (IFN-γ), Thymic Stromal Lymphopoietin (TSLP), Eotaxin, Eotaxin-3, Thymus and Activation-Regulated Chemokine (TARC=CCL17), Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor-A (VEGF-A), Granulocyte Colony-Stimulating Factor (G-CSF) and Granulocyte-Macrophage Colony-Stimulating Factor (GM-CSF). All measurements were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions (https:// www.mesoscale.com/en) and as described elsewhere (13). Concentration values that fell below or above the detection limits were imputed by using the mean of the estimated lower and upper detection limits for the specific cytokine across all batch readings (13). None of the reported cytokines had missing values for the higher detection limit. Higher and lower detection limits for cytokines displayed in the figures are listed in Supplementary Table S1. For IL-13, there were a total of 3 missing values at V1 and V4 as displayed in Supplementary Table S2. Additionally, IgE was determined in nasal secretions before and after 24 weeks of dupilumab therapy by ELISA as previously described (13).

2.3 DNA extraction and 16S rRNA gene sequencing

DNA was extracted from nasal swab samples, sampling controls (air control, where sterile swabs were opened and exposed to the air of the room in which nasal sample collection was performed) and sterile, unopened swab controls with the QIAamp DNA Microbiome Kit (Qiagen, Hildesheim, Germany) following manufacturer's instructions. The V3V4 region of bacterial 16S rRNA genes was amplified and barcoded following a standardized 2-step PCR protocol (29), and amplicons libraries were sequenced on the Illumina MiSeq platform (v3 chemistry, 600 cycles) at the Joint Microbiome Facility of the Medical University of Vienna and the

TABLE 1	Patient	characteristics.
---------	---------	------------------

Age, years	Min-Max	33-68
	Median	49
Sex, n (%)	Male	5 (63%)
	Female	3 (37%)
Comorbidities, n (%)	Asthma	8 (100%)
	Allergy	5 (63%)
Number of previous surgeries	Min-Max	0-4
	Median	2.5
Clinical scores (scale), median	TPS combined (0-8)	3
	UPSIT score (0-40)	10
	SNOT-22	35

University of Vienna under project IDs JMF-2111–09 and JMF-2306-01. Amplicon pools were extracted from sequencing data using the default FASTQ workflow (Illumina, Basespace), and demultiplexed into individual libraries using the Python package demultiplex (Laros JFJ, https://github.com/jfjlaros/demultiplex), allowing 1 mismatch for barcodes and 2 mismatches for linkers and primers. Thereafter, amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) were inferred using the recommended workflow in the DADA2 R package v1.20 (30, 31). Forward and reverse sequencing reads were both trimmed at 230 nt allowing 4 and 6 expected errors, respectively. ASVs were classified using DADA2 against the SILVA database SSU Ref NR 99 release 138.1 (32) using a confidence threshold of 0.5.

Prior to downstream analysis, a stringent, multi-step decontamination procedure was employed. First, ASVs without classification or classified as eukaryotes, mitochondria, or chloroplasts, as well as well-known buffer contaminations were removed. We further used the R package decontam v. 0.20 to stringently decontaminate the dataset against a set of negative controls. Including swab controls, sampling controls, and extraction blanks (i.e. reagent blanks for the DNA extraction and PCR reagents). Decontamination with these settings resulted in the removal of all ASVs that were detected in only one out of two batches the samples were sequenced in, excluding any possible sequencing batch effect. ASVs flagged as contaminants after using a threshold of 0.99, as well as ASVs shorter than 300 bp were removed. After merging reads from left and right nostrils, all samples with >500 reads after decontamination for all 4 timepoints/patient were kept. The threshold of 500 reads was selected based on previous knowledge (25) about the low complexity of stringently decontaminated nasal microbiome samples. We additionally excluded patients 12 and 23, since at least one of their corresponding sampling controls (sterile swabs exposed to the air of the room in which sample collection was performed) had a very high yield, and patient 31 because he did not receive a second aspirin provocation. Following decontamination, all downstream analyses were performed using R v4.3.2 and Bioconductor v3.16 packages SummarizedExperiment v1.32, SingleCellExperiment v1.24, TreeSummarizedExperiment v2.8 (33), mia v1.8 (https://github.com/microbiome/mia), vegan v2.6-4 (https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan), phyloseq v1.44 (34), and microbiome v1.22 (http://microbiome.github.io), microViz v0.10.8 (35). Alpha diversity (i.e., richness and diversity indexes) was calculated on rarefied data (557 read pairs/sample) using R packages vegan and mia. Differences in richness and diversity were estimated using pairwise Wilcoxon rank tests. All p-values were adjusted for the false discovery rate using the Benjamini-Hochberg method. Beta diversity (i.e. differences in bacterial community structure) was estimated by performing a PCoA using the Aitchison distance, with R package ade4 v 1.7-22 (36). The difference in per-group centroids was tested with a PERMANOVA on Aitchison distances using R packages vegan and microviz. Differential ASV abundance testing between V1 and V4 was performed using DESeq2 level with alpha=0.05 and otherwise default parameters after adding a pseudocount of 1 to the data (37).

2.4 Statistical analysis of clinical parameters

Statistical analyses of the clinical data were done using GraphPad Prism 8.4.1 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, San Diego, CA, USA). Differences between V1 and V4 were evaluated using the Wilcoxon matched-paired signed rank test. Nonparametric tests were used due to small sample size (8 patients). Correlations between centered log ratio transformed counts of all ASVs across all four timepoints and clinical variables were estimated using R package ALDEx2 (38) using the Spearman's correlation with FDR multiple testing correction.

3 Results

3.1 Study design and baseline characteristics

All patients in this study suffered from N-ERD and were treated with dupilumab. They received aspirin challenges at baseline before treatment start (Visit=V1) and after 24 weeks (V4) of treatment to assess tolerance development toward aspirin (Figure 1A). Clinical parameters and sampling of nasal secretions and nasal microbiome were performed before (V1) as well as 4 (V2), 12 (V3) and 24 (V4) weeks after start of dupilumab treatment. Microbiome samples were available from all 4 visits from 28 patients who completed the treatment including two aspirin provocations. Thus, in total 112 microbiome samples were used for 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing (Figure 1B). After stringent decontamination (including PCR negative controls, DNA extraction control, swab controls and sampling controls) and removal of patients whose samples contained less than 500 reads at least one of the four visits, full datasets from 8 patients (32 samples) remained for downstream analysis (Supplementary Table S3). The baseline characteristics of selected patients are displayed in Table 1, for characteristics of the initial cohort of patients please refer to Schneider et al (13). 5 out of 8 patients suffered from respiratory or venom allergies (Supplementary Table S4) and all patients continued to take their medications throughout the study (Supplementary Table S5). All patients took either intranasal Mometasone and/or Fluticasone.

3.2 Reduced total polyp score (TPS), improved quality of life, and development of aspirin tolerance during 24 weeks of dupilumab treatment

After 24 weeks of dupilumab therapy, all eight patients from whom microbiome samples were available from all visits experienced a significant reduction in TPS (p=0.0078) and an improvement in SNOT-22 (p=0.0781) and UPSIT (p=0.0156) (Figures 2A–C). This was accompanied by the development of partial to complete tolerance toward aspirin in 6 out of 8 patients (Figure 2D). In nasal secretions, a significant reduction in IgE (p=0.0234, Figure 2E) and eotaxin-3 (p=0.156, Figure 2F) was observed. Nasal eotaxin (p=0.0547, Figure 2G) and IL-13 (p=0.7422, Figure 2H) levels also dropped, but did not reach statistical significance. In addition, the other investigated cytokines did not show statistical significant changes in this timeframe (Supplementary Figure 3).

3.3 Microbial diversity and relative abundance of selected bacterial genera in the nose do not change during 24 weeks of dupilumab therapy

We next assessed potential changes in the nasal microbiome during dupilumab therapy. To that aim, we first calculated intracommunity diversity by means of the Shannon index, and species richness using the Chao1 index, and compared them across visits (Figures 3A, B). Both indices did not show significant changes at any of the selected time points during 24 weeks of dupilumab therapy as compared to baseline (Figures 3A, B) (Shannon index: V1-V4:p-adj=0.25, Chao 1 Index V1-V4:p-adj=0.31). We next calculated beta diversity to assess inter-sample diversity, community dissimilarity and potential community composition shifts during dupilumab treatment, but again no significant differences were observed between samples from the four visits (Figure 3C). Differential abundance testing revealed significantly increasing abundances of a few Corynebacterium, Staphylococcus (among them several S. aureus associated ASVs: ASV_ssr_vha (p=0.002), ASV_r8p_kle (p<0.001), ASV_mm9_js5 (p=0.002), ASV_il5_6pn (p=0.002) and ASV_906_1ja (p=0.008)), Peptoniphilus and Finegoldia after 24 weeks of dupilumab therapy as compared to baseline (Supplementary Figure 1). However, the majority of significantly differentially abundant ASVs were of very low relative abundance and prevalence, and given the low sample number, the observed differential abundances could be spurious. The most abundant Staphylococcus ASV (ASV_4pz_tc7) detected to be significantly differentially abundant between V1 and V4 (p=0.01), displayed highly variable relative abundance dynamics between patients (Supplementary Figure 2). Considering these constraints and the limited cohort size, these results should be interpreted with caution.

Finally, we analyzed the overall relative abundance of bacterial genera on an individual patient level and found that despite the general trend in increased abundance of *Staphylococcus* related ASVs in this patient cohort, a dramatic decrease was observed in 2 out of 8 patients: patient (P) 17 showed a drop from 99.8% to 0.1% and abundance in patient P16 decreased from 53.5% to 29.5% (Figure 4; Supplementary Figure 4 depicts also results of patients with missing intermediate samples). The ASVs associated with genera *Haemophilus, Moraxella, Dolosigranulum* and *Gemella* were found only in single patients. Furthermore, the prevalence of change of ASV relative abundance in individual patients was also not associated with changes in TPS, SNOT-22, UPSIT score, IL-5 or IL-13 (Supplementary Table S2).



Study design. (A) After a screening visit (VS) to assess eligibility, patients' nasal samples and clinical parameters were collected at the first study visit (V1) before aspirin provocation. Thereafter, dupilumab was administered in a biweekly manner, and nasal sampling and assessment of clinical parameters were performed 4 weeks (V2), 12 weeks (V3), and 24 weeks (V4) after the start of dupilumab treatment. At V4, the second aspirin provocation was performed. (B) Flowchart of inclusion and exclusion of samples for final microbiome analysis.

4 Discussion

Here we report on the nasal microbiome composition and longitudinal changes therein in an initial cohort of 31 N-ERD patients undergoing dupilumab treatment for 24 weeks. After stringent decontamination and filtering, samples from 8 patients with full microbiome datasets were included for downstream analysis. We found significant clinical and nasal biomarkers improvement, although no major consistent changes in microbiome composition and diversity during dupilumab therapy were observed. Selected, predominantly relatively low abundant ASVs, showed significant changes in pairwise abundance testing. While patients showed a general trend toward increased abundance of *Staphylococcus* ASVs, two patients displayed a severe drop in *Staphylococcus* abundance independently development of aspirin tolerance after 24 weeks of therapy.

Out of the samples from 28 patients subjected to 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing, full datasets of only 8 patients (29%) remained for the final analysis. This considerable loss in data is due to the known issue of very low initial microbial biomass of nasal samples (27). In another study involving nasopharyngeal swabs of 82 patients in the context of tuberculosis, a recovery rate of only 53% of samples using comparable filtering criteria was achieved (39). Including all samples in the downstream analysis, regardless of read counts and presence or absence of air and reagent control contaminations, bears the substantial risk of non-proportional target amplification of contaminant DNA deriving from environmental or reagent sources, which can severely impact the outcome (40). In this



Changes in clinical and nasal mediator responses in N-ERD patients during 24 weeks of dupilumab treatment. (A-C) Plots display median score (y-axes) of (A) total polyp score (TPS, n=8), (B) Sino-Nasal Outcome Test-22 (SNOT-22, n=7 for V1 and V2, n=8 for V3 and V4), (C) University of Pennsylvania Smell Identification Test (UPSIT, n=8) at first study visit (V1) before as well as indicated visits after start of dupilumab treatment. (D) Number of patients (y-axis) achieving complete, partial, or no tolerance during the second aspirin provocation 24 weeks after the start of dupilumab treatment. (E-H) Levels of (E) nasal IgE, (F) eotaxin-3, (G) eotaxin, and (H) IL-13 in N-ERD patients (n=8) are displayed at baseline (V1) and after 24 weeks (V4) of dupilumab treatment. The line within each box represents the median, the bottom border represents the 25th percentile, and top border the 75th percentile of the data. Whiskers extend 1.5 times the interquartile range. The significance of changes between baseline and week 24 are indicated in individual graphs.*: p<0.05; **: p<0.05; **:

context, Salter et al. demonstrated in a longitudinal study of children that the differences in nasal microbial composition were not - as initially thought - age-dependent, but could be attributed solely to the usage of four extraction kits bearing different contaminations during the study (41). Thus, to avoid potential sampling or experimental bias, we employed in the present study stringent criteria as proposed in the "RIDE" checklist (26, 42) thereby accepting the exclusion of more than 70% of the samples. This high loss shows that there is an



Changes in alpha and beta diversity as well as relative abundance of selected ASVs in N-ERD patients during 24 weeks of dupilumab treatment. (A, B) Alpha diversity before (V1) and at selected time points up to 24 weeks (V2-V4) after dupilumab treatment as shown by (A) Shannon and (B) Chao1 diversity indices. No significant differences were observed, p-values of individual comparisons are listed in Supplementary Table 2. (C) Principal Coordinates Analysis plots (PCoA) displaying beta diversity results from the 4 different visits (V1: blue, V2: yellow, V3: purple, V4: green); PERMANOVA: Timepoint, p=0.06).

urgent need to test and develop alternative methods for nasal sampling, ideally yielding higher biomass (43), and to implement mandatory guidelines for stringent quality control during sampling allowing for comparison between published results (27). Methodologic studies in saliva microbiome, have shown that stimulated (i.e. paraffin-stimulation) and unstimulated saliva as well as simple mouth wash show comparable results with regards to microbiome diversity (44, 45). Similar studies are warranted in the field of nasal sampling comparing i.e. brushes, scrapes or nasal washes with currently used swabs using appropriate controls. The impact of CRS on the overall diversity of the nasal microbiome has yet not fully been elucidated: While some studies observed a reduced microbial diversity in CRS-affected patients (46–49), we and others could not detect any statistically significant difference in well-established diversity indices (25, 50, 51). However, there is clear evidence for the role of *Staphylococcus aureus* and corynebacteria in the pathogenesis of CRS and their abundance is associated with type 2 mediator levels (25, 52–54). Due to the tight interaction between the microbiome, epithelium, and type 2 cytokines, it is conceivable that dupilumab may also have



an influence on barrier function and, as a consequence, on microbial composition. In AD, a significant reduction of Staphylococcus aureus colonization of the skin was demonstrated during dupilumab treatment regardless of whether samples were taken from healthy skin or lesions (19-22, 55). Interestingly, this reduction was observed as early as 3 days after the start of treatment and preceded clinical improvements or changes in serum CCL17, a marker of type 2 disease, by 11 days (22). These results suggest an important role of the microbiome in shaping the epithelial barrier. However, data regarding the effect of dupilumab on nasal microbiome are scarce: to date only one study performed in AD patients also collected swabs from the anterior nares before and 16 weeks after dupilumab therapy (20). They initially observed a significant increase of S. hominis in patients achieving significant disease improvement, but subgroup analysis showed that this result was mainly driven by a few patients. This is in accordance with our observation that there is a strong inter-individual difference between patients with regards to relative abundance changes of Staphylococcus associated ASVs during dupilumab therapy.

In contrast to the reported decrease in *Staphylococcus aureus* colonization of skin in AD patients during dupilumab therapy, we observed no consistent significant changes in the relative abundance of staphylococci in this cohort. This is in line, a recent study showing that dupilumab as opposed to surgery stabilizes *Staphylococcus aureus* prevalence using a cultivation-based approach (24).

However, our longitudinal study design does show that large, individuum-specific fluctuations in the relative abundance of Staphylococcus-related ASVs occur during dupilumab therapy. One explanation for the observed discrepancy between observations made in nasal and oral samples may be the differential microbial composition between nose and skin: In the nasal cavity, staphylococci usually shows (depending on the underlying inflammatory disease) a lower abundance of 2-30% within the local microbiome (25, 56, 57) as compared to AD, where staphylococci make up 75% or more of the skin microbiome (22, 58). Thus, as relative Staphylococcus aureus abundance drops in the skin are on average around 10% in non-lesional skin during dupilumab therapy (21, 22), such relatively small changes may not be detectable even if present in our cohort due to the small sample size, large intraindividual variability and initially low S. aureus abundance in many patients. Based on the results reported here, including the lack of any association of changes in Staphylococcus relative abundance with clinical parameters such as the development of aspirin tolerance, we propose that the link between S. aureus colonization and disease burden might not be as strong or omnipresent in type 2 diseases affecting respiratory epithelia as it is for those affecting skin, like AD.

The quest for biomarkers for evaluation of treatment success of biological treatment is ongoing. Here, we observed a significant reduction of type 2-associated biomarkers IgE and eotaxin during therapy. In this line, it would have been interesting to assess also non-typical biomarkers such as IL-24, which is tightly associated with IL-4 stimulation (59). Interestingly the effect of IL-4 can be directly counteracted by IFN- γ stimulation and this could be exploited as a novel treatment option for severe CRS. In this line, exogenous IFN-gamma application has been shown to lead to a better symptom control in a small scale study in ten treatmentresistant CRS patients with dysregulated IFN- γ production (60).

Limitations of the current study include the small initial participant number due to the design as a pilot trial and that the initial samples size calculation was based on the clinical primary endpoint of maximally tolerated aspirin levels (13). To calculate the number of patients required for detecting significant changes in the total microbial community in future larger trials, we conducted a power calculation based on Mattiello et al (61). The distribution from the included "anterior nares" dataset was applied; the estimated number of taxa was set to 50 (based on assuming a maximally double as high diversity as observed in our previous study (25)); and 5% of the most abundant taxa were assumed to be either 50% more or 50% less abundant each. At a sample size of n=90 and n=30 for case vs control this resulted in a power of 0.87, 0.95, and 0.51 for the significant detection of changes in the total microbial community, in the abundance of at least one taxon, and in the abundance of all taxa, respectively. However, the sample size of 90 patients with N-ERD and treated with dupilumab is beyond the scope of a pilot trial. This was further reduced by stringent quality control. A confounding factor might be the continuation of standard CRS therapy during the clinical trial which includes topical corticosteroid therapy. But due to the severe burden in N-ERD patients, mainstay therapy had to be continued following current guidelines (9). As in the current sub study we included clinical data of only 8 patients of the initial trial involving 31 subjects, the higher tolerance development toward aspirin observed as compared to our previous observation (13) is most likely due to the small sample size. Another limitation is that patients also received standard nasal corticosteroids for the duration of the study. This may have partly confounded our findings however due to the severe symptom burden of N-ERD patients it was not possible to stop nasal corticosteroids for the duration of the trial. Importantly, none of the patients received oral steroids or antibiotics for the duration of the study.

In summary, we showed in this pilot trial, that dupilumab does not affect the overall diversity of the nasal microbiome, but may lead to alterations in microbiome composition, including changes in *Staphylococcus* relative abundance in selected individuals. The fact that more than 70% of the samples did not yield enough biomass to be processed warrants further refinement of nasal microbiome sampling techniques and mandatory guidelines on stringent quality control for analysis of this low biomass data.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession

number(s) can be found below: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/, PRJNA1090288.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Ethics Committee of the Medical University of Vienna. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

TB: Data curation, Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. PP: Data curation, Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JS: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Writing – review & editing. CM: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. TA: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. KG: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. CS: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. NC: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. AT: Formal Analysis, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. VS: Formal Analysis, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. CB: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. SS: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JE-D: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this artile.

Acknowledgments

Figure 1 was created with BioRender.com. Gudrun Kohl and Jasmin Schwarz are acknowledged for their support with sample processing, DNA extraction and amplicon preparation in the JMF laboratory.

Conflict of interest

SS served as a speaker and/or consultant and/or advisory board member for Sanofi, GSK, and Novartis. SS is an investigator for Novartis and AstraZeneca grants paid to his institution. KG served as a speaker for Menarini Pharma; KG is an investigator for Allergy Therapeutics grants paid to her institution. CB served as a speaker and/or consultant and/or advisory board member for ALK, Mylan, LEO Pharma, Pfizer, Sanofi Genzyme, Eli Lilly, Novartis, Almirall and AbbVie. CB is an investigator for Novartis, Sanofi, Abbvie, Elli Lilly, LEO Pharma, Almirall and Galderma grants paid to her institution. JE-D served as a speaker and/or consultant and/or advisory board member for Sanofi, Allergopharma, AstraZeneca, GSK, and Novartis. JE-D is an investigator for Novartis and AstraZeneca grants paid to her institution.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The JE-D declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2025. 1508500/full#supplementary-material

References

1. Rajan JP, Wineinger NE, Stevenson DD, White AA. Prevalence of aspirinexacerbated respiratory disease among asthmatic patients: A meta-analysis of the literature. J Allergy Clin Immunol. (2015) 135:676–81 e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2014.08.020

2. Bassiouni A, Wormald PJ. Role of frontal sinus surgery in nasal polyp recurrence. *Laryngoscope*. (2013) 123:36–41. doi: 10.1002/lary.v123.1

3. Schneider S, Campion NJ, Villazala-Merino S, Liu DT, Bartosik T, Landegger LD, et al. Associations between the quality of life and nasal polyp size in patients suffering from chronic rhinosinusitis without nasal polyps, with nasal polyps or aspirinexacerbated respiratory disease. *J Clin Med.* (2020) 9(4):925. doi: 10.3390/jcm9040925

4. Zhang N, Van Zele T, Perez-Novo C, Van Bruaene N, Holtappels G, DeRuyck N, et al. Different types of T-effector cells orchestrate mucosal inflammation in chronic sinus disease. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2008) 122:961–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2008.07.008

5. Stevens WW, Peters AT, Hirsch AG, Nordberg CM, Schwartz BS, Mercer DG, et al. Clinical characteristics of patients with chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps, asthma, and aspirin-exacerbated respiratory disease. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. (2017) 5:1061–70 e3. doi: 10.1016/j.jaip.2016.12.027

6. Bangert C, Villazala-Merino S, Fahrenberger M, Krausgruber T, Bauer WM, Stanek V, et al. Comprehensive analysis of nasal polyps reveals a more pronounced type 2 transcriptomic profile of epithelial cells and mast cells in aspirin-exacerbated respiratory disease. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:850494. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.850494

7. Haimerl P, Bernhardt U, Schindela S, Henkel FDR, Lechner A, Zissler UM, et al. Inflammatory macrophage memory in nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugexacerbated respiratory disease. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2021) 147:587–99. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2020.04.064

8. Li KL, Lee AY, Abuzeid WM. Aspirin exacerbated respiratory disease: epidemiology, pathophysiology, and management. *Med Sci (Basel)*. (2019) 7(3):45. doi: 10.3390/medsci7030045

9. Fokkens WJ, Lund VJ, Hopkins C, Hellings PW, Kern R, Reitsma S, et al. European position paper on rhinosinusitis and nasal polyps 2020. *Rhinology*. (2020) 58:1-464. doi: 10.4193/Rhin20.401

10. Gevaert P, Omachi TA, Corren J, Mullol J, Han J, Lee SE, et al. Efficacy and safety of omalizumab in nasal polyposis: 2 randomized phase 3 trials. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2020) 146:595–605. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2020.05.032

11. Quint T, Dahm V, Ramazanova D, Arnoldner MA, Kurz H, Janik S, et al. Omalizumab-induced aspirin tolerance in nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugexacerbated respiratory disease patients is independent of atopic sensitization. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract.* (2022) 10:506–16 e6. doi: 10.1016/j.jaip.2021.09.050

12. Hayashi H, Fukutomi Y, Mitsui C, Kajiwara K, Watai K, Kamide Y, et al. Omalizumab for aspirin hypersensitivity and leukotriene overproduction in aspirinexacerbated respiratory disease. *A Randomized Controlled Trial Am J respiratory Crit Care medicine*. (2020) 201:1488–98. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201906-1215OC

13. Schneider S, Poglitsch K, Morgenstern C, Quint T, Gangl K, Sinz C, et al. Dupilumab increases aspirin tolerance in NSAID-exacerbated respiratory disease. *Eur Respir J.* (2023) 61(3):2201335. doi: 10.1183/13993003.01335-2022

14. Wangberg H, Spierling Bagsic SR, Osuna L, White AA. Appraisal of the realworld effectiveness of biologic therapies in aspirin-exacerbated respiratory disease. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract.* (2021) 10(2):478–84.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.jaip.2021.09.030 15. Buchheit KM, Sohail A, Hacker J, Maurer R, Gakpo D, Bensko JC, et al. Rapid and sustained effect of dupilumab on clinical and mechanistic outcomes in aspirinexacerbated respiratory disease. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2022) 150(2):415–24. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2022.04.007

16. Jonstam K, Swanson BN, Mannent LP, Cardell LO, Tian N, Wang Y, et al. Dupilumab reduces local type 2 pro-inflammatory biomarkers in chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis. *Allergy*. (2019) 74:743–52. doi: 10.1111/all.2019.74.issue-4

17. Celebi Sozener Z, Ozdel Ozturk B, Cerci P, Turk M, Gorgulu Akin B, Akdis M, et al. Epithelial barrier hypothesis: Effect of the external exposome on the microbiome and epithelial barriers in allergic disease. *Allergy.* (2022) 77:1418–49. doi: 10.1111/ all.15240

18. Dainichi T, Kitoh A, Otsuka A, Nakajima S, Nomura T, Kaplan DH, et al. The epithelial immune microenvironment (EIME) in atopic dermatitis and psoriasis. *Nat Immunol.* (2018) 19:1286–98. doi: 10.1038/s41590-018-0256-2

19. Hartmann J, Moitinho-Silva L, Sander N, Harder I, Hasler R, Rodriguez E, et al. Dupilumab but not cyclosporine treatment shifts the microbiome toward a healthy skin flora in patients with moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis. *Allergy*. (2023) 78:2290–300. doi: 10.1111/all.15742

20. Olesen CM, Ingham AC, Thomsen SF, Clausen ML, Andersen PS, Edslev SM, et al. Changes in skin and nasal microbiome and staphylococcal species following treatment of atopic dermatitis with dupilumab. *Microorganisms*. (2021) 9(7):1487. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms9071487

21. Callewaert C, Nakatsuji T, Knight R, Kosciolek T, Vrbanac A, Kotol P, et al. IL-4Ralpha blockade by dupilumab decreases *staphylococcus aureus* colonization and increases microbial diversity in atopic dermatitis. *J Invest Dermatol.* (2020) 140:191– 202 e7. doi: 10.1016/j.jid.2019.05.024

22. Simpson EL, Schlievert PM, Yoshida T, Lussier S, Boguniewicz M, Hata T, et al. Rapid reduction in *Staphylococcus aureus* in atopic dermatitis subjects following dupilumab treatment. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2023) 152:1179–95. doi: 10.1016/ j.jaci.2023.05.026

23. Bieber T. Targeting T2 inflammation by dupilumab impacts on the microbiomic "Menage a trois" of atopic dermatitis. *J Invest Dermatol.* (2020) 140:15–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jid.2019.07.680

24. Maniaci A, Vertillo Aluisio G, Stefani S, Cocuzza S, Lechien JR, Radulesco T, et al. Differential nasal recolonization and microbial profiles in chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps patients after endoscopic sinus surgery or dupilumab treatment: A prospective observational study. *Clin Otolaryngol.* (2024) 50(2):262–70. doi: 10.1111/coa.14246

25. Bartosik TJ, Campion NJ, Freisl K, Liu DT, Gangl K, Stanek V, et al. The nasal microbiome in patients suffering from non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs-exacerbated respiratory disease in absence of corticosteroids. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1112345. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1112345

26. Eisenhofer R, Minich JJ, Marotz C, Cooper A, Knight R, Weyrich LS. Contamination in low microbial biomass microbiome studies: issues and recommendations. *Trends Microbiol.* (2019) 27:105–17. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2018.11.003

27. Pjevac P, Bartosik T, Schneider S, Eckl-Dorna J. Pitfalls in sampling and analyzing low-biomass human nasal microbiome samples. J Allergy Clin Immunol. (2023) 152:304. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2023.04.001

28. Kennedy KM, de Goffau MC, Perez-Munoz ME, Arrieta MC, Backhed F, Bork P, et al. Questioning the fetal microbiome illustrates pitfalls of low-biomass microbial studies. *Nature*. (2023) 613:639–49. doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-05546-8

29. Pjevac P, Hausmann B, Schwarz J, Kohl G, Herbold CW, Loy A, et al. An economical and flexible dual barcoding, two-step PCR approach for highly multiplexed amplicon sequencing. *Front Microbiol.* (2021) 12:669776. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.669776

30. Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJ, Holmes SP. DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat Methods*. (2016) 13:581–3. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3869

31. Callahan BJ, Sankaran K, Fukuyama JA, McMurdie PJ, Holmes SP. Bioconductor Workflow for Microbiome Data Analysis: from raw reads to community analyses. *F1000Res.* (2016) 5:1492. doi: 10.12688/f1000research

32. Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, et al. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2013) 41:D590–6. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks1219

33. Huang R, Soneson C, Ernst FGM, Rue-Albrecht KC, Yu G, Hicks SC, et al. TreeSummarizedExperiment: a S4 class for data with hierarchical structure. *F1000Res.* (2020) 9:1246. doi: 10.12688/f1000research

34. McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PloS One.* (2013) 8:e61217. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0061217

35. Barnett D, Penders J. microViz: an R package for microbiome data visualization and statistics. J Open Source Software. (2021) 6:3201. JMA, I.C.W. doi: 10.21105/joss.03201

36. Bougeard SD. Supervised multiblock analysis in R with the ade4 package. J Stat Software. (2018) 86(1):1–17. doi: 10.18637/jss.v086.i01

37. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* (2014) 15:550. doi: 10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

38. Fernandes AD, Reid JN, Macklaim JM, McMurrough TA, Edgell DR, Gloor GB. Unifying the analysis of high-throughput sequencing datasets: characterizing RNA-seq, 16S rRNA gene sequencing and selective growth experiments by compositional data analysis. *Microbiome*. (2014) 2:15. doi: 10.1186/2049-2618-2-15

39. Ruiz-Tagle C, Ugalde JA, Naves R, Araos R, Garcia P, Balcells ME. Reduced microbial diversity of the nasopharyngeal microbiome in household contacts with latent tuberculosis infection. *Sci Rep.* (2023) 13:7301. doi: 10.1038/s41598-023-34052-8

40. Kronbichler A, Harrison EM, Wagner J. Nasal microbiome research in ANCAassociated vasculitis: Strengths, limitations, and future directions. *Comput Struct Biotechnol J.* (2021) 19:415–23. doi: 10.1016/j.csbj.2020.12.031

41. Salter SJ, Cox MJ, Turek EM, Calus ST, Cookson WO, Moffatt MF, et al. Reagent and laboratory contamination can critically impact sequence-based microbiome analyses. *BMC Biol.* (2014) 12:87. doi: 10.1186/s12915-014-0087-z

42. Greathouse KL, Sinha R, Vogtmann E. DNA extraction for human microbiome studies: the issue of standardization. *Genome Biol.* (2019) 20:212. doi: 10.1186/s13059-019-1843-8

43. Szaleniec J, Gibala A, Hartwich P, Hydzik-Sobocinska K, Konior M, Gosiewski T, et al. Challenging the gold standard: methods of sampling for microbial culture in patients with chronic rhinosinusitis. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol.* (2021) 278:4795–803. doi: 10.1007/s00405-021-06747-z

44. Makinen AI, Pappalardo VY, Buijs MJ, Brandt BW, Makitie AA, Meurman JH, et al. Salivary microbiome profiles of oral cancer patients analyzed before and after treatment. *Microbiome*. (2023) 11:171. doi: 10.1186/s40168-023-01613-y

45. Bang E, Oh S, Ju U, Chang HE, Hong JS, Baek HJ, et al. Factors influencing oral microbiome analysis: from saliva sampling methods to next-generation sequencing platforms. *Sci Rep.* (2023) 13:10086. doi: 10.1038/s41598-023-37246-2

46. De Boeck I, Wittouck S, Martens K, Claes J, Jorissen M, Steelant B, et al. Anterior nares diversity and pathobionts represent sinus microbiome in chronic rhinosinusitis. *mSphere*. (2019) 4(6):e00532-19. doi: 10.1128/mSphere.00532-19

47. Feazel LM, Robertson CE, Ramakrishnan VR, Frank DN. Microbiome complexity and *Staphylococcus aureus* in chronic rhinosinusitis. *Laryngoscope*. (2012) 122:467–72. doi: 10.1002/lary.v122.2

48. Aurora R, Chatterjee D, Hentzleman J, Prasad G, Sindwani R, Sanford T. Contrasting the microbiomes from healthy volunteers and patients with chronic rhinosinusitis. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* (2013) 139:1328–38. doi: 10.1001/jamaoto.2013.5465

49. Wang JC, Moore CA, Epperson MV, Sedaghat AR. Association of the sinonasal bacterial microbiome with clinical outcomes in chronic rhinosinusitis: a systematic review. *Int Forum Allergy Rhinol.* (2020) 10:433–43. doi: 10.1002/alr.22524

50. Mahdavinia M, Engen PA, LoSavio PS, Naqib A, Khan RJ, Tobin MC, et al. The nasal microbiome in patients with chronic rhinosinusitis: Analyzing the effects of atopy and bacterial functional pathways in 111 patients. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2018) 142:287–90 e4. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2018.01.033

51. Wei HZ, Li YC, Wang XD, Lu XX, Hu CH, He S, et al. The microbiology of chronic rhinosinusitis with and without nasal polyps. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol.* (2018) 275:1439-47. doi: 10.1007/s00405-018-4931-6

52. Huntley KS, Raber J, Fine L, Bernstein JA. Influence of the microbiome on chronic rhinosinusitis with and without polyps: an evolving discussion. *Front Allergy*. (2021) 2:737086. doi: 10.3389/falgy.2021.737086

53. Patou J, Gevaert P, Van Zele T, Holtappels G, van Cauwenberge P, Bachert C. *Staphylococcus aureus* enterotoxin B, protein A, and lipoteichoic acid stimulations in nasal polyps. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2008) 121:110–5. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2007.08.059

54. Zhu Z, Lan J, Wei R, Xu Y, Hong Y, Bao W, et al. Microbiome and Th cytokines association in chronic rhinosinusitis with or without nasal polyp. *Laryngoscope Invest Otolaryngol.* (2023) 8:335–45. doi: 10.1002/lio2.1026

55. Lee SJ, Kim SE, Shin KO, Park K, Lee SE. Dupilumab therapy improves stratum corneum hydration and skin dysbiosis in patients with atopic dermatitis. *Allergy Asthma Immunol Res.* (2021) 13:762–75. doi: 10.4168/aair.2021.13.5.762

56. Chen CH, Liou ML, Lee CY, Chang MC, Kuo HY, Chang TH. Diversity of nasal microbiota and its interaction with surface microbiota among residents in healthcare institutes. *Sci Rep.* (2019) 9:6175. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-42548-5

57. Kim HJ, Kim JH, Han SA, Kim W. Compositional alterations of the nasal microbiome and *staphylococcus aureus*-characterized dysbiosis in the nasal mucosa of patients with allergic rhinitis. *Clin Exp Otorhinolaryngol.* (2022) 15:335–45. doi: 10.21053/ceo.2021.01928

58. Khadka VD, Key FM, Romo-Gonzalez C, Martinez-Gayosso A, Campos-Cabrera BL, Geronimo-Gallegos A, et al. The skin microbiome of patients with atopic dermatitis normalizes gradually during treatment. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol.* (2021) 11:720674. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2021.720674

59. Zissler UM, Chaker AM, Effner R, Ulrich M, Guerth F, Piontek G, et al. Interleukin-4 and interferon-gamma orchestrate an epithelial polarization in the airways. *Mucosal Immunol.* (2016) 9:917–26. doi: 10.1038/mi.2015.110

60. Jyonouchi H, Sun S, Kelly A, Rimell FL. Effects of exogenous interferon gamma on patients with treatment-resistant chronic rhinosinusitis and dysregulated interferon gamma production: a pilot study. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* (2003) 129:563–9. doi: 10.1001/archotol.129.5.563

61. Mattiello F, Verbist B, Faust K, Raes J, Shannon WD, Bijnens L, et al. A web application for sample size and power calculation in case-control microbiome studies. *Bioinformatics*. (2016) 32:2038–40. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btw099