#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Soohyun Kim, Konkuk University, Republic of Korea

REVIEWED BY Annalisa Di Ruscio, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and Harvard Medical School, United States Romania Stilo, University of Sannio, Italy

\*CORRESPONDENCE John Kaszycki 👿 john.kaszycki@uconn.edu

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 17 November 2024 ACCEPTED 03 February 2025 PUBLISHED 19 February 2025

#### CITATION

Kaszycki J and Kim M (2025) Epigenetic regulation of transcription factors involved in NLRP3 inflammasome and NF-kB signaling pathways. *Front. Immunol.* 16:1529756. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2025.1529756

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Kaszycki and Kim. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## Epigenetic regulation of transcription factors involved in NLRP3 inflammasome and NF-kB signaling pathways

John Kaszycki<sup>1\*†</sup> and Minji Kim<sup>2†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT, United States, <sup>2</sup>School of Pharmacy, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT, United States

The NLRP3 inflammasome and NF- $\kappa$ B signaling pathways play crucial roles in orchestrating inflammation and immune defense. This review explores the intricate relationship between these pathways and epigenetic regulation, a field of growing importance in understanding immune responses. Epigenetic modifications, including DNA methylation, histone modifications, and noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs), significantly influence the activity of genes involved in these pathways, thereby modulating inflammatory responses. The review provides a comprehensive overview of current research on how epigenetic mechanisms interact with and regulate the NLRP3 inflammasome and NF-xB signaling pathways. It delves into advanced epigenetic concepts such as RNA modifications and 3D genome organization, and their impact on immune regulation. Furthermore, the implications of these findings for developing novel therapeutic strategies targeting epigenetic regulators in inflammatory diseases are discussed. By synthesizing recent advancements in this rapidly evolving field, this review underscores the critical role of epigenetic regulation in immune signaling and highlights the potential for epigenetic-based therapies in treating a wide range of inflammatory conditions, including autoimmune disorders and cancer.

KEYWORDS

epigenetics, inflammation, NLRP3 inflammasome, NF-kB signaling, chromatin remodeling

### 1 Introduction

The intricate mechanisms governing immune responses are fundamental to the survival and well-being of organisms. Among the many pathways that regulate these responses, the NLRP3 inflammasome and NF- $\kappa$ B signaling pathways are particularly critical due to their roles in orchestrating inflammation and immune defense (1). The NLRP3 inflammasome, a multi-protein complex, is essential in detecting pathogenic microorganisms and cellular stress, leading to the activation of inflammatory responses. Meanwhile, the NF- $\kappa$ B pathway is a central mediator of immune responses, inflammation, and cell survival (2). Both pathways are intricately connected, with cross-regulatory

mechanisms ensuring the fine-tuning of immune responses. Dysregulation of these pathways is implicated in a wide range of inflammatory diseases, including autoimmune disorders, metabolic syndromes, and cancers (3, 4).

In recent years, there has been growing recognition that the NLRP3 inflammasome and NF- $\kappa$ B signaling pathways are regulated not only by genetic factors but also by epigenetic modifications—heritable changes in gene expression that do not involve alterations in the DNA sequence. Epigenetic regulation encompasses a range of mechanisms, including DNA methylation, histone modifications, and the involvement of ncRNAs, all of which contribute to the dynamic control of gene expression. These modifications can influence the accessibility of transcription factors to DNA, thereby modulating the transcriptional activity of genes involved in immune responses (5).

The NLRP3 inflammasome and NF- $\kappa$ B signaling pathways are particularly sensitive to epigenetic changes, which can either exacerbate or mitigate inflammatory responses. For example, aberrant DNA methylation patterns have been associated with chronic inflammation and autoimmune diseases, while histone modifications can either promote or repress the transcription of pro-inflammatory genes (6, 7). Furthermore, ncRNAs, including microRNAs (miRNAs), long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs), and circular RNAs (circRNAs), have been shown to play crucial roles in the post-transcriptional regulation of genes within these pathways (8).

This review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the current understanding of epigenetic regulation in the context of the NLRP3 inflammasome and NF- $\kappa$ B signaling pathways. We will explore the mechanisms by which epigenetic modifications influence these pathways, focusing on the interplay between epigenetics and transcription factors. The review will also examine the roles of advanced epigenetic mechanisms, such as RNA modifications and 3D genome organization, in regulating immune responses. Additionally, we will discuss the implications of these findings for the development of novel therapeutic strategies targeting epigenetic regulators, with a focus on inflammatory diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis (RA), inflammatory bowel disease, systemic lupus erythematosus, and cancer (9).

By synthesizing recent research in this rapidly evolving field, this review seeks to highlight the critical role of epigenetic regulation in modulating immune signaling pathways and to underscore the potential for epigenetic therapies in treating inflammatory diseases. Understanding the complex interactions between epigenetic modifications and immune signaling pathways will be crucial for developing more effective and targeted therapies for a wide range of inflammatory conditions.

### 2 Epigenetic mechanisms in immune regulation

Epigenetic mechanisms play a critical role in regulating immune responses by modulating the expression of genes involved in the development, differentiation, and function of immune cells. These mechanisms, which include DNA methylation, histone modifications, and the action of ncRNAs, provide a layer of control that allows immune cells to respond dynamically to environmental stimuli and maintain immune homeostasis (10). In recent years, research has uncovered the significant impact of these epigenetic processes on immune regulation, revealing their essential roles in both normal immune function and the pathogenesis of inflammatory and autoimmune diseases (11).

DNA methylation involves the addition of a methyl group to the 5' position of cytosine residues within CpG dinucleotides, a process catalyzed by DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs), including DNMT1, DNMT3A, and DNMT3B (12). This modification typically results in transcriptional repression, as the methylation of CpG islands in gene promoter regions can inhibit the binding of transcription factors or recruit repressive complexes that promote chromatin condensation (13). DNA methylation is crucial for regulating the differentiation and function of immune cells, such as T cells, B cells, and macrophages (14).

During T cell development, DNA methylation patterns are dynamically regulated to control the expression of key transcription factors that drive the differentiation of naïve CD4+ T cells into specialized subsets, including Th1, Th2, Th17, and regulatory T cells (Tregs). For instance, the hypermethylation of the Ifng gene, which encodes interferon-gamma (IFN- $\gamma$ ), is associated with the suppression of Th1 differentiation, while hypomethylation of this gene in differentiated Th1 cells leads to its active expression. This process is crucial for maintaining the balance between proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory T cell subsets, which is necessary for a proper immune response (15).

Aberrant DNA methylation has been linked to the pathogenesis of several autoimmune diseases, where the improper regulation of immune cell differentiation and function contributes to chronic inflammation and tissue damage. For example, in patients with SLE, hypomethylation of the CD11a gene in CD4+ T cells leads to its overexpression, which is associated with increased autoreactivity and the production of autoantibodies (16). Similarly, in RA, hypomethylation of pro-inflammatory cytokine genes, such as TNF and IL6, has been observed, leading to their overexpression and the promotion of inflammatory responses (17).

Histone modifications represent another key epigenetic mechanism that influences immune regulation. Histones, which are the protein components of chromatin, can undergo various posttranslational modifications, including acetylation, methylation, phosphorylation, and ubiquitination. These modifications occur primarily on the N-terminal tails of histones H3 and H4 and play a crucial role in determining chromatin structure and gene expression (18). Acetylation of histones, mediated by histone acetyltransferases (HATs), is generally associated with transcriptional activation. This process reduces the positive charge on histones, weakening their interaction with DNA and resulting in a more open chromatin structure that is accessible to transcription factors (19). Conversely, histone deacetylation, catalyzed by histone deacetylases (HDACs), is linked to transcriptional repression through the condensation of chromatin (18).

In immune cells, histone modifications are essential for the rapid and dynamic regulation of gene expression in response to external stimuli. For instance, upon activation of macrophages by bacterial components, such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS), there is a rapid increase in histone acetylation at the promoters of proinflammatory genes like TNF and IL6, facilitating their transcription and the subsequent inflammatory response (20). Histone methylation, another key modification, can either activate or repress transcription depending on the specific residues modified. For example, trimethylation of histone H3 lysine 4 (H3K4me3) is associated with active gene transcription and is enriched at the promoters of genes involved in T cell activation and differentiation (21). Conversely, trimethylation of histone H3 lysine 27 (H3K27me3) is a marker of transcriptional repression and is often found at the promoters of genes that need to be silenced to prevent inappropriate immune responses (7, 22).

The dysregulation of histone modifications has been implicated in the development of chronic inflammatory and autoimmune diseases. For instance, in SLE, global hypoacetylation of histones has been observed, which is associated with the repression of key regulatory genes and the promotion of autoimmunity (23). Targeting histone-modifying enzymes, such as HDAC inhibitors, has emerged as a potential therapeutic strategy for modulating immune responses and treating inflammatory diseases (6). HDAC inhibitors can restore the balance of histone acetylation and have shown promise in preclinical models of autoimmune diseases, including RA and multiple sclerosis (MS) (24).

NcRNAs have also emerged as critical regulators of immune responses. NcRNAs include miRNAs, lncRNAs, and circRNAs, all of which play roles in regulating gene expression at the transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels. MiRNAs are small, approximately 22-nucleotide long RNA molecules that regulate gene expression by binding to complementary sequences in the 3' untranslated regions (UTRs) of target mRNAs, leading to mRNA degradation or translational repression. In immune cells, miRNAs are involved in regulating processes such as T cell differentiation, cytokine production, and the resolution of inflammation (25). For example, miR-146a is a well-known miRNA that acts as a negative regulator of the NF- $\kappa$ B signaling pathway, modulating the inflammatory response by targeting key components of the pathway, such as TRAF6 and IRAK1 (26).

LncRNAs are a diverse group of ncRNAs longer than 200 nucleotides, involved in various aspects of gene regulation, including chromatin remodeling, transcriptional control, and post-transcriptional processing. In the immune system, lncRNAs have been shown to regulate the expression of key immune genes and modulate the activation and differentiation of immune cells. For instance, the lncRNA NEAT1 has been implicated in the regulation of the inflammatory response by controlling the assembly of paraspeckles, nuclear bodies involved in the retention of mRNAs encoding pro-inflammatory cytokines (27). Dysregulation of lncRNAs has been linked to inflammatory diseases, where altered expression of these RNAs can contribute to the pathogenesis of conditions such as SLE and inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) (28).

CircRNAs, which form covalently closed loop structures, add another layer of complexity to the regulation of gene expression in immune cells. These molecules can function as miRNA sponges, sequestering miRNAs and preventing them from binding to their target mRNAs, thereby modulating gene expression. For instance, circ\_0005075 has been shown to regulate the expression of IL-6 and IL-1 $\beta$  in macrophages by sponging miR-431-5p, thereby modulating the inflammatory response (29). The dysregulation of circRNAs has been associated with various inflammatory conditions, and their unique structure makes them attractive targets for therapeutic intervention.

# 3 Interplay between epigenetics and transcription factors in NLRP3 and NF- $\kappa$ B pathways

The interplay between epigenetic mechanisms and transcription factors is central to the regulation of the NLRP3 inflammasome and NF- $\kappa$ B signaling pathways, both of which are critical in orchestrating immune responses and inflammation. Epigenetic modifications such as DNA methylation, histone modifications, and ncRNAs influence the activity of transcription factors, which in turn modulate the expression of genes involved in these pathways. This dynamic interaction ensures that immune responses are tightly regulated and can be adapted to various stimuli, thereby maintaining immune homeostasis and preventing excessive inflammation (5).

DNA methylation plays a crucial role in modulating the binding of transcription factors to the promoters of genes involved in the NLRP3 and NF-KB pathways. For instance, the methylation status of CpG sites within gene promoters can either facilitate or inhibit the binding of transcription factors, thereby regulating gene expression. In the context of the NLRP3 inflammasome, the transcription factor NF-KB is a key regulator that controls the expression of NLRP3 itself, as well as pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1 $\beta$  and IL-18 (30). Aberrant DNA methylation patterns within the promoters of these genes can lead to either the suppression or overexpression of NLRP3 and its associated cytokines, contributing to chronic inflammation and autoimmune diseases. For example, hypomethylation of the NLRP3 promoter has been observed in patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), leading to enhanced expression of NLRP3 and increased inflammation (31).

Histone modifications are another critical epigenetic mechanism that influences the activity of transcription factors in the NLRP3 and NF- $\kappa$ B pathways. Acetylation of histones, particularly histone H3 and H4, is associated with an open chromatin structure that allows transcription factors to access gene promoters and initiate transcription (32). The acetylation of histones at the promoters of NF- $\kappa$ B target genes, such as those encoding pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, enhances the recruitment of NF- $\kappa$ B to these sites and promotes the transcription of inflammatory genes. Conversely, deacetylation of histones by HDACs can lead to chromatin condensation and transcriptional repression, thereby limiting the activity of NF- $\kappa$ B and reducing inflammation (33).

Methylation of histones also plays a pivotal role in regulating the expression of genes involved in the NLRP3 and NF- $\kappa B$ 

pathways. For example, trimethylation of histone H3 at lysine 4 (H3K4me3) is a marker of active transcription and is often found at the promoters of genes involved in inflammatory responses. This modification facilitates the binding of NF- $\kappa$ B to its target genes, thereby promoting the expression of NLRP3 and pro-inflammatory cytokines (34). On the other hand, trimethylation of histone H3 at lysine 27 (H3K27me3) is a repressive mark that is associated with the silencing of inflammatory genes (35). The balance between these activating and repressive histone marks is crucial for the proper regulation of the NLRP3 inflammasome and NF- $\kappa$ B signaling, and dysregulation of this balance can lead to chronic inflammation and disease (36).

NcRNAs, particularly miRNAs and lncRNAs, also play a significant role in modulating the activity of transcription factors in the NLRP3 and NF-κB pathways. MiRNAs are short, ncRNAs that regulate gene expression post-transcriptionally by binding to the 3' untranslated regions (UTRs) of target mRNAs, leading to their degradation or translational repression. In the NF-κB pathway, miRNAs such as miR-146a and miR-155 have been shown to regulate the expression of key components of the pathway, including TRAF6 and IRAK1, which are upstream activators of NF-κB (37). By modulating the levels of these components, miRNAs can either enhance or suppress NF-κB activity, thereby influencing the downstream expression of inflammatory genes (38).

LncRNAs, on the other hand, can modulate the activity of transcription factors through a variety of mechanisms, including acting as molecular scaffolds, decoys, or guides for chromatin-modifying complexes. In the context of the NF- $\kappa$ B pathway, lncRNAs such as NKILA have been shown to interact directly with NF- $\kappa$ B, inhibiting its activity by preventing its phosphorylation and subsequent nuclear translocation. This interaction highlights the complexity of the regulatory networks involving lncRNAs and transcription factors, and underscores the importance of these non-coding RNAs in controlling inflammatory responses (39).

## 4 Role of long non-coding RNAs and circular RNAs

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) and circRNAs have emerged as significant regulators in various biological processes, including immune responses and inflammation. Unlike proteincoding RNAs, these ncRNAs do not encode proteins but instead function through diverse mechanisms to modulate gene expression. Their roles in the regulation of the NLRP3 inflammasome and NF- $\kappa$ B signaling pathways, which are central to inflammation and immune responses, have garnered increasing attention in recent years. Both lncRNAs and circRNAs have been shown to interact with key components of these pathways, influencing the activation and suppression of inflammatory genes and thus contributing to the fine-tuning of immune responses (40).

LncRNAs are a heterogeneous class of ncRNAs that are longer than 200 nucleotides. They can regulate gene expression at various levels, including chromatin remodeling, transcriptional control, and post-transcriptional processing. In the context of the NF- $\kappa$ B

pathway, lncRNAs have been found to interact directly with NF- $\kappa$ B or its regulators, thereby modulating the pathway's activity. For instance, the lncRNA NKILA (NF- $\kappa$ B interacting lncRNA) has been shown to inhibit NF- $\kappa$ B signaling by binding to the NF- $\kappa$ B/I $\kappa$ B complex, preventing the phosphorylation of I $\kappa$ B and the subsequent nuclear translocation of NF- $\kappa$ B. This inhibition reduces the expression of NF- $\kappa$ B target genes, which are typically involved in promoting inflammation. The role of NKILA illustrates how lncRNAs can act as negative regulators of inflammation, potentially providing a protective mechanism against chronic inflammatory conditions (41).

Another example of a lncRNA involved in the regulation of the NF- $\kappa$ B pathway is MALAT1 (Metastasis Associated Lung Adenocarcinoma Transcript 1). MALAT1 has been shown to enhance NF- $\kappa$ B signaling by promoting the nuclear translocation of the NF- $\kappa$ B subunit p65, thereby increasing the transcription of pro-inflammatory genes. This lncRNA serves as a positive regulator of inflammation and has been implicated in various inflammatory diseases, including RA and atherosclerosis. The contrasting roles of lncRNAs like NKILA and MALAT1 highlight the complexity of lncRNA-mediated regulation of the NF- $\kappa$ B pathway, where different lncRNAs can either suppress or promote inflammation depending on the context (2).

LncRNAs also play a crucial role in the regulation of the NLRP3 inflammasome, a multi-protein complex that is activated in response to cellular stress and infection, leading to the production of proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-1 $\beta$  and IL-18 (Figure 1). The lncRNA MIAT (Myocardial Infarction Associated Transcript) has been shown to regulate the NLRP3 inflammasome in macrophages. MIAT promotes the activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome by sponging miR-214-3p, a microRNA that negatively regulates NLRP3 expression (42). By sequestering miR-214-3p, MIAT prevents the repression of NLRP3, thereby facilitating inflammasome activation and the subsequent inflammatory response. This interaction exemplifies the role of lncRNAs as molecular sponges, a mechanism through which they can modulate the activity of other regulatory RNAs and influence inflammation (43).

CircRNAs, another class of ncRNAs, are formed through a process called back-splicing, where the 3' and 5' ends of an RNA molecule are covalently linked to form a closed loop structure. This unique structure makes circRNAs resistant to exonucleasemediated degradation, allowing them to persist in cells and potentially exert long-lasting regulatory effects. CircRNAs can function as microRNA (miRNA) sponges, sequestering miRNAs and preventing them from binding to their target mRNAs, thereby modulating gene expression. In the NF-KB pathway, circRNAs such as circ\_0005075 have been identified as regulators of inflammation. Circ\_0005075 acts as a sponge for miR-431-5p, a miRNA that negatively regulates the expression of IL-6 and IL-1 $\beta$ , two key proinflammatory cytokines (44). By sponging miR-431-5p, circ\_0005075 promotes the expression of these cytokines, thereby enhancing the inflammatory response. This example highlights the role of circRNAs in fine-tuning the expression of inflammatory genes through miRNA sequestration (45).

CircRNAs have also been implicated in the regulation of the NLRP3 inflammasome. For example, circ\_0003204 has been shown

10.3389/fimmu.2025.1529756

to modulate the activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome in macrophages by sponging miR-138-5p, which targets NLRP3 for degradation (46). By sequestering miR-138-5p, circ\_0003204 prevents the degradation of NLRP3, thereby promoting inflammasome activation and the production of IL-1 $\beta$ . This mechanism underscores the importance of circRNAs in regulating the balance between immune activation and suppression, with implications for the development and resolution of inflammation (47).

The dysregulation of lncRNAs and circRNAs has been associated with various inflammatory and autoimmune diseases, highlighting their potential as biomarkers and therapeutic targets. For instance, elevated levels of MALAT1 and circ\_0005075 have been observed in patients with RA, suggesting that these ncRNAs could serve as indicators of disease activity and potential targets for intervention (48). Similarly, the overexpression of MIAT has been linked to increased NLRP3 inflammasome activity in cardiovascular diseases, making it a potential target for therapeutic strategies aimed at reducing inflammation (49).

## 5 Epigenetic regulation through 3D genome organization

Epigenetic regulation through 3D genome organization is an emerging field that highlights the importance of the spatial arrangement of chromatin within the nucleus in controlling gene expression. This spatial organization plays a crucial role in the regulation of genes involved in immune responses, including those associated with the NLRP3 inflammasome and NF- $\kappa$ B signaling pathways. The dynamic nature of 3D genome organization allows for the precise and context-specific regulation of gene expression, which is essential for maintaining immune homeostasis and preventing aberrant inflammatory responses (50).

One of the fundamental units of 3D genome organization is the topologically associating domain (TAD). TADs are contiguous regions of the genome that interact more frequently with themselves than with neighboring regions, creating a modular organization of the genome (51). The boundaries of TADs are often defined by the binding of architectural proteins such as CTCF and cohesin, which play a key role in maintaining the structural integrity of these domains (52). This spatial proximity is particularly important in the regulation of genes involved in immune responses, where rapid and precise control of gene expression is required (53).

In the context of the NF- $\kappa$ B signaling pathway, 3D genome organization has been shown to play a critical role in the regulation of inflammatory gene expression. Upon activation of NF- $\kappa$ B, there is a reorganization of chromatin architecture that brings enhancers into close contact with the promoters of NF- $\kappa$ B target genes, thereby facilitating their transcription (54). This reorganization is mediated by the recruitment of NF- $\kappa$ B to specific genomic loci, where it interacts with other transcription factors and coactivators to promote chromatin looping. The formation of these loops allows for the coordinated regulation of multiple genes involved in the inflammatory response, ensuring a rapid and robust immune reaction (55).

Similarly, the activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome is also influenced by 3D genome organization. Recent studies have shown that the genes encoding components of the NLRP3 inflammasome, such as NLRP3 and IL1B, are located within specific TADs that facilitate their coordinated regulation (56). The spatial organization of these genes within TADs allows for the efficient activation of the inflammasome in response to stimuli, as the necessary transcriptional machinery and regulatory elements are brought into close proximity. This organization ensures that the expression of inflammasome components is tightly controlled, preventing excessive inflammation and tissue damage (57).

The impact of 3D genome organization on gene regulation is further exemplified by the role of chromatin loops in controlling the expression of cytokines and chemokines. For instance, the TNF locus, which encodes the pro-inflammatory cytokine TNF- $\alpha$ , is located within a TAD that undergoes significant remodeling upon activation of NF- $\kappa$ B (57). This remodeling involves the formation of new chromatin loops that bring enhancers into contact with the TNF promoter, thereby enhancing its transcription. The dynamic nature of these loops allows for the rapid induction of TNF- $\alpha$ expression in response to infection or injury, highlighting the importance of 3D genome organization in the regulation of inflammatory responses (57).

Epigenetic modifications such as histone modifications and DNA methylation also play a role in regulating 3D genome organization. For example, the methylation of histone H3 at lysine 9 (H3K9me3) is associated with the formation of repressive chromatin structures that limit the accessibility of transcriptional machinery to specific genomic regions (58). These repressive structures can influence the formation of TADs and chromatin loops, thereby regulating the expression of genes involved in immune responses. The interplay between epigenetic modifications and 3D genome organization adds an additional layer of complexity to the regulation of gene expression, ensuring that immune responses are tightly controlled (59).

Moreover, recent advances in techniques such as Hi-C and chromatin interaction analysis by paired-end tag sequencing (ChIA-PET) have provided insights into the role of 3D genome organization in gene regulation (60). These techniques have revealed that the spatial organization of the genome is highly dynamic, with chromatin loops and TADs undergoing constant remodeling in response to external stimuli. This dynamic nature of 3D genome organization allows for the precise regulation of immune genes, enabling cells to rapidly respond to changes in their environment (60).

The dysregulation of 3D genome organization has been implicated in various inflammatory and autoimmune diseases. For example, alterations in the spatial organization of the TNF locus have been associated with chronic inflammatory conditions such as RA and Crohn's disease (61). These alterations can lead to the inappropriate activation of inflammatory genes, contributing to the pathogenesis of these diseases. Understanding the role of 3D genome organization in the regulation of immune responses offers potential therapeutic targets for modulating inflammation and treating autoimmune diseases (62).

## 6 Metabolic reprogramming and epigenetics in inflammation

Metabolic reprogramming and epigenetics are closely intertwined processes that play a significant role in the regulation of inflammation. Immune cells undergo extensive metabolic changes in response to activation, which in turn influence their function, differentiation, and the nature of the inflammatory response. These metabolic shifts are not merely a consequence of cellular activation; they actively contribute to the regulation of gene expression through epigenetic mechanisms. The interplay between metabolism and epigenetics provides a critical layer of control over immune responses, ensuring that inflammation is appropriately regulated to protect the host without causing excessive tissue damage (63).

One of the key aspects of metabolic reprogramming in immune cells is the switch from oxidative phosphorylation to glycolysis upon activation. This shift, often referred to as the Warburg effect, is characterized by an increased reliance on glycolysis even in the presence of sufficient oxygen (64). Glycolysis provides rapid ATP production and generates intermediates that are essential for biosynthetic processes, supporting the high energy and biosynthetic demands of activated immune cells. However, this metabolic reprogramming also has profound effects on epigenetic regulation. For instance, the increased flux through glycolysis leads to the accumulation of metabolites such as acetyl-CoA and lactate, which can influence histone modifications and gene expression (65).

Acetyl-CoA, a key intermediate in glycolysis, serves as a substrate for histone acetyltransferases (HATs) that acetylate histones and promote gene transcription (66). The availability of acetyl-CoA directly affects the levels of histone acetylation, linking cellular metabolism to the regulation of gene expression. In activated immune cells, the increased production of acetyl-CoA enhances histone acetylation at the promoters of pro-inflammatory genes, facilitating their transcription. For example, in macrophages, histone acetylation is increased at the promoters of genes encoding cytokines such as IL-6 and TNF- $\alpha$ , driving the expression of these key inflammatory mediators (67). This acetylation-dependent regulation of gene expression underscores the role of metabolic reprogramming in shaping the inflammatory response through epigenetic mechanisms (68).

Another metabolite that plays a crucial role in the link between metabolism and epigenetics is lactate. During glycolysis, pyruvate is converted to lactate, leading to the accumulation of lactate in the cell. Lactate can inhibit histone deacetylases (HDACs), enzymes that remove acetyl groups from histones and suppress gene expression (69). By inhibiting HDACs, lactate promotes the maintenance of histone acetylation, thereby sustaining the transcription of proinflammatory genes. This mechanism highlights how metabolic byproducts can modulate the epigenetic landscape, influencing the persistence and intensity of inflammatory responses (70).

In addition to glycolysis, other metabolic pathways such as the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle and fatty acid oxidation also contribute to the epigenetic regulation of inflammation. The TCA cycle produces  $\alpha$ -ketoglutarate, a cofactor for DNA and histone

demethylases that remove methyl groups from DNA and histones, respectively. This demethylation activity is crucial for the activation of anti-inflammatory genes and the resolution of inflammation. For example, in regulatory T cells (Tregs),  $\alpha$ -ketoglutarate promotes the demethylation of the *Foxp3* gene, which is essential for the suppressive function of Tregs and the control of inflammation (71). The role of the TCA cycle in generating metabolites that influence epigenetic modifications underscores the importance of metabolic pathways in regulating immune responses at the epigenetic level (72).

Fatty acid oxidation is another metabolic pathway that influences epigenetic regulation in immune cells. In contrast to glycolysis, which is associated with pro-inflammatory responses, fatty acid oxidation is linked to the generation of anti-inflammatory immune cell phenotypes. For instance, in macrophages, the switch from glycolysis to fatty acid oxidation is associated with the activation of anti-inflammatory programs and the resolution of inflammation (73). This metabolic shift is accompanied by changes in histone modifications, such as increased histone methylation at anti-inflammatory genes. The interplay between fatty acid metabolism and histone modifications highlights the role of metabolic reprogramming in controlling the balance between proand anti-inflammatory responses (74).

The link between metabolism and epigenetics is not limited to histone modifications but also extends to DNA methylation. Sadenosylmethionine (SAM), a key methyl donor for DNA methylation, is generated from the amino acid methionine in a process that is closely tied to cellular metabolism. In conditions of metabolic stress, such as nutrient deprivation or hypoxia, the production of SAM can be altered, leading to changes in DNA methylation patterns that impact immune cell function and the inflammatory response (75). This connection between metabolism and DNA methylation further illustrates the intricate relationship between cellular metabolism and epigenetic regulation in controlling inflammation (76).

## 7 Inter-organellar communication in epigenetic regulation

Inter-organellar communication plays a crucial role in the regulation of cellular functions, including the epigenetic control of gene expression. The interaction between organelles such as the mitochondria, endoplasmic reticulum (ER), and nucleus is essential for coordinating cellular responses to various stimuli, including those that influence inflammation and immune responses. Recent research has highlighted how the crosstalk between these organelles contributes to the regulation of epigenetic modifications, thereby influencing the activity of key signaling pathways such as the NLRP3 inflammasome and NF- $\kappa$ B (77).

Mitochondria, often referred to as the powerhouse of the cell, are central to energy production and metabolic regulation. Beyond their metabolic functions, mitochondria also play a critical role in the regulation of epigenetic mechanisms through the generation of metabolites and reactive oxygen species (ROS). Mitochondrial metabolites, such as acetyl-CoA,  $\alpha$ -ketoglutarate, and S-adenosylmethionine (SAM), are essential substrates for enzymes that catalyze epigenetic modifications, including histone acetylation and DNA methylation (78). The production of these metabolites within the mitochondria is closely linked to the cell's metabolic state, which in turn influences the epigenetic landscape. For example, the mitochondrial production of acetyl-CoA is directly tied to histone acetylation levels, impacting the expression of genes involved in inflammation and immune responses (79).

Reactive oxygen species (ROS), another byproduct of mitochondrial metabolism, also play a significant role in epigenetic regulation. ROS can induce oxidative modifications of DNA and histones, leading to changes in gene expression (80). For instance, the oxidation of guanine to 8-oxoguanine in DNA can lead to the recruitment of the base excision repair machinery, which in turn can influence chromatin structure and gene transcription (81). Moreover, ROS-mediated modifications of histones, such as the oxidation of histone H3 at methionine 56, can alter the binding affinity of chromatin to DNA, affecting the accessibility of transcription factors and thus gene expression. This oxidative regulation of epigenetic modifications underscores the critical role of mitochondria in linking cellular metabolism to gene regulation, particularly in the context of inflammation (82).

The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is another organelle that plays a pivotal role in epigenetic regulation through its involvement in protein folding, calcium homeostasis, and lipid metabolism. The ER interacts closely with mitochondria through structures known as mitochondria-associated membranes (MAMs), which facilitate the transfer of calcium and lipids between these organelles (83). Calcium signaling between the ER and mitochondria is particularly important for the regulation of mitochondrial function and, consequently, the generation of metabolites that influence epigenetic modifications. For example, calcium flux between the ER and mitochondria can regulate the activity of mitochondrial enzymes involved in the TCA cycle, thereby influencing the production of  $\alpha$ -ketoglutarate and other metabolites that impact DNA and histone demethylation (84).

In addition to calcium signaling, the ER also plays a role in the regulation of lipid metabolism, which can influence chromatin structure and gene expression. Lipid-derived molecules, such as phosphatidylinositol and its phosphorylated derivatives, can act as signaling molecules that influence the recruitment of chromatin modifiers to specific genomic loci, thereby regulating gene transcription (85). For instance, inositol phosphates produced by the ER can regulate the activity of chromatin remodelers such as SWI/SNF, which in turn modulates the expression of genes involved in immune responses (86).

Moreover, the ER stress response, which is activated in conditions of cellular stress, can also influence epigenetic regulation. ER stress leads to the activation of the unfolded protein response (UPR), a signaling pathway that helps restore ER homeostasis by modulating protein folding and degradation (87). The UPR can also influence gene expression by regulating the activity of transcription factors such as ATF6, XBP1, and CHOP, which in turn can affect the expression of genes involved in inflammation (88). Through its influence on transcription factors, the UPR links ER stress to epigenetic modifications, thereby contributing to the regulation of inflammatory responses (89).

Inter-organellar communication also extends to the nucleus, where signals from the mitochondria and ER are integrated to regulate gene expression. For example, mitochondrial dysfunction can lead to changes in the expression of nuclear-encoded genes involved in oxidative stress responses, such as those regulated by the transcription factor NRF2 (90). NRF2 activation leads to the transcription of antioxidant genes that help mitigate the effects of ROS, thereby protecting the cell from oxidative damage. The regulation of NRF2 by mitochondrial signals illustrates how interorganellar communication can influence nuclear gene expression and, consequently, the cellular response to inflammation (91).

Similarly, the transfer of lipid signals from the ER to the nucleus can influence the activity of nuclear receptors such as peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs), which regulate the expression of genes involved in lipid metabolism and inflammation (92). PPARs can recruit chromatin remodelers and histone modifiers to target gene promoters, thereby influencing the epigenetic landscape and modulating the inflammatory response. The involvement of PPARs in the regulation of inflammation through epigenetic mechanisms highlights the integrative role of interorganellar communication in controlling immune responses (93).

## 8 Disease focus: epigenetic regulation in inflammatory diseases

Inflammation has a profound impact on the development and progression of many diseases (94–97). Epigenetic regulation plays a pivotal role in the pathogenesis of various inflammatory diseases by modulating the expression of genes involved in immune responses and inflammation. This regulation includes DNA methylation, histone modifications, and ncRNAs, all of which contribute to the complex interactions that determine the onset, progression, and severity of inflammatory conditions. Understanding the epigenetic mechanisms underlying these diseases provides insight into potential therapeutic targets and strategies for managing chronic inflammation and autoimmunity (98).

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic inflammatory disease characterized by persistent synovial inflammation and joint destruction. Epigenetic alterations, particularly DNA methylation and histone modifications, have been extensively studied in RA. Aberrant DNA methylation patterns in RA synovial fibroblasts (RASFs) contribute to the aggressive behavior of these cells, which are responsible for cartilage and bone destruction (99). Hypomethylation of pro-inflammatory cytokine genes, such as TNF and IL6, leads to their overexpression, exacerbating the inflammatory response in the joints (100). Additionally, histone modifications, such as increased histone acetylation at the promoters of these cytokines, further enhance their expression, perpetuating the chronic inflammation observed in RA (101). These epigenetic changes highlight the role of dysregulated gene expression in the pathogenesis of RA and suggest that targeting epigenetic modifiers could be a viable therapeutic strategy (17).



#### FIGURE 1

Epigenetic regulation modulating NLRP3 inflammasome activation. Epigenetic regulation of NLRP3 inflammasome activation involves several key processes, including metabolic epigenetic modulation, histone modification, DNA methylation, and noncoding RNA regulation. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) generated during metabolic activities lead to oxidative DNA damage (8-oxoguanine), prompting increased DNA repair. Lactate production through glycolysis also contributes to acetylation of histones, facilitating transcriptional activation of pro-inflammatory genes. ROS can also induce the release of damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), further enhancing inflammation. Histone modifications play a crucial role in the transcription of inflammasome-associated genes. Histone acetyltransferases (HATs) promote the acetylation of histones, resulting in an open chromatin configuration (euchromatin), which permits transcription of pro-inflammatory genes. In contrast, histone deacetylases (HDACs) compact chromatin, suppressing transcription. DNA methylation by DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) results in hypermethylation of promoter regions (e.g., CpG islands), repressing transcription. Abnormal methylation patterns are associated with aberrant inflammasome activation. Agents such as hydroxylamine, azacitidine, and decitabine can inhibit DNMTs, potentially reversing hypermethylation and restoring normal gene expression. Noncoding RNAs, including microRNAs (miRNAs) and long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs), also regulate the expression of NLRP3 inflammasome genes. miRNAs can target mRNA for degradation or inhibit translation. These epigenetic factors converge to modulate NLRP3 inflammasome activation, contributing to the inflammatory response. Ac, acetylated; ASC, apoptosis-associated speck-like protein containing a CARD; CpG, cytosinephosphate-guanine; DNMT, DNA methyltransferase; DAMPs, damage-associated molecular patterns; HAT, histone acetyltransferase; HDAC, histone deacetylase; HDM, histone demethylase; IL, interleukin; IncRNA, long noncoding RNA; Me, methylated; miRNA, microRNA; NF-κB, nuclear transcription factor kappa  $\beta$ ; NLRP3, NOD-like receptor family pyrin domain containing 3 inflammasome; ROS, reactive oxygen species. Continued arrows indicate "stimulation" and blocked arrows indicate "inhibition". Created in **BioRender com** 

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), which includes Crohn's disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), is another condition where epigenetic regulation plays a significant role. The chronic inflammation observed in IBD is driven by a complex interplay between genetic, environmental, and epigenetic factors. DNA methylation patterns in the intestinal mucosa of IBD patients differ significantly from those in healthy individuals, particularly in genes involved in immune regulation and barrier function (102). For example, hypomethylation of the TNFSF15 gene, which encodes a cytokine involved in T-cell activation, has been associated with increased expression and

contributes to the pathogenesis of IBD (103). In addition to DNA methylation, histone modifications also play a crucial role in IBD. Aberrant histone acetylation patterns have been observed in the intestinal epithelium, leading to the dysregulation of genes involved in the immune response and epithelial barrier integrity (104). These findings underscore the importance of epigenetic regulation in maintaining intestinal homeostasis and suggest that epigenetic therapies could offer new avenues for IBD treatment (105).

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a systemic autoimmune disease characterized by the production of autoantibodies and

widespread inflammation affecting multiple organs. Epigenetic alterations, particularly DNA hypomethylation, have been implicated in the pathogenesis of SLE. Hypomethylation of DNA in CD4+ T cells from SLE patients leads to the overexpression of genes involved in autoimmunity, including ITGAL (CD11a) and CD70, which contribute to the activation of autoreactive T cells and the production of autoantibodies (16). Moreover, histone modifications, such as increased acetylation of histones H3 and H4, have been associated with the overexpression of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines in SLE, contributing to the persistent inflammation observed in this disease (106). These epigenetic changes suggest that therapeutic strategies aimed at reversing DNA hypomethylation or modulating histone acetylation could be effective in managing SLE (107).

Cancer-related inflammation is another area where epigenetic regulation plays a crucial role. Chronic inflammation is a known risk factor for the development of certain cancers, and epigenetic alterations can exacerbate this process by promoting the expression of oncogenes and silencing tumor suppressor genes. For instance, in colorectal cancer, chronic inflammation is associated with the hypermethylation of the MLH1 gene, a key DNA mismatch repair gene, leading to its silencing and contributing to tumorigenesis (108). The interplay between epigenetic regulation and inflammation in cancer highlights the potential for epigenetic therapies to modulate inflammatory pathways and reduce cancer risk (109).

## 9 Epigenetic therapeutic strategies and future directions

Epigenetic therapeutic strategies have emerged as promising approaches for the treatment of inflammatory diseases, given the central role of epigenetic regulation in controlling gene expression related to inflammation and immune responses. These strategies aim to modify epigenetic marks, such as DNA methylation, histone modifications, and ncRNAs, to restore normal gene expression patterns and ameliorate disease symptoms (Figure 2). Advances in epigenetic therapies, including the development of small molecule inhibitors, gene editing technologies, and personalized medicine approaches, offer new avenues for the treatment of chronic inflammatory conditions (5).

One of the most well-established epigenetic therapeutic strategies is the use of DNA methyltransferase inhibitors (DNMTis) to reverse aberrant DNA methylation patterns associated with inflammatory diseases. DNMTis, such as azacitidine and decitabine, inhibit the activity of DNA methyltransferases, leading to the demethylation of hypermethylated genes and the reactivation of their expression. These inhibitors are currently being evaluated in clinical trials for their efficacy in treating inflammatory conditions, showcasing their potential in reversing epigenetic alterations that contribute to immune dysregulation. Such trials aim to determine their safety,



Targeting epigenetic regulators for inflammation and diseases. Targeting epigenetic regulators for inflammation and disease treatment involves various approaches. DNA methyltransferase inhibitors, such as azacitidine, decitabine, guadecitabine, and 5-azacitidine, are commonly used for acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS). HDAC inhibitors, including Relinostat, Panobinostat, Quisinostat, and Yorinostat, modulate histone acetylation and deacetylation, affecting gene transcription in diseases like peripheral T-cell lymphoma (PTCL), multiple myeloma, and solid tumors. Noncoding RNA modulators, such as clofazimine, antimiR-122, and miR-34a-mimic, regulate gene expression post-transcriptionally and are applied in treating inflammatory conditions, hepatitis C, and cancer. Created in BioRender.com.



Interplay between epigenetic modifications and transcription factor regulation. Epigenetic regulation of transcription factors involves intricate interactions between histone modifications, DNA methylation, chromatin remodeling, and noncoding RNA mechanisms. Histone acetylation by histone acetyltransferases (HATs) and histone deacetylases (HDACs) alter chromatin structure therefore affecting transcription factor gene expression. DNA methylation mediated by DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) adds methyl groups to CpG islands, suppressing transcription by preventing transcription factor binding to promoter regions. Noncoding RNAs, including microRNAs (miRNAs) and long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs), regulate transcription factor activity by targeting mRNAs for degradation or altering chromatin states. miRNAs often function as negative regulators, while IncRNAs can act as scaffolds for chromatin-modifying complexes. These molecular processes converge to fine-tune transcriptional regulation, responding dynamically to cellular and environmental cues. Created in **BioRender.com**.

dosage, and therapeutic impact, paving the way for broader clinical applications (110, 111). These drugs have shown promise in the treatment of diseases where DNA hypermethylation contributes to pathogenesis, such as SLE (112). DNMTis have been explored as potential treatments to reverse these epigenetic changes, thereby reducing autoimmunity and inflammation (113).

Histone deacetylase inhibitors (HDACis) represent another class of epigenetic therapies with potential applications in treating inflammatory diseases. HDACis, such as vorinostat and trichostatin A, inhibit HDACs, leading to increased acetylation of histones and the activation of gene expression (114). By promoting the expression of anti-inflammatory genes and repressing the expression of proinflammatory genes, HDACis can help to restore the balance between pro- and anti-inflammatory responses. A phase I/II trial has been conducted to study the side effects and optimal dosage of vorinostat in preventing graft versus host disease in younger populations, including children, adolescents, and young adults (115, 116). Another trial involving vorinostat combined with standard treatments for glioblastoma concluded that although the primary efficacy objective was not met, vorinostat sensitivity and resistance signatures could help in patient selection (117). The ability of HDACis to modulate the epigenetic landscape and influence the expression of key inflammatory mediators makes them attractive candidates for the treatment of chronic inflammatory diseases (118).

The development of gene editing technologies, particularly CRISPR-Cas9, has opened new possibilities for targeted epigenetic therapies. CRISPR-Cas9 can be engineered to modify specific epigenetic marks at particular genomic loci, offering a highly precise approach to reprogramming gene expression (119). For example, CRISPR-based epigenome editing can be used to demethylate promoter regions of genes involved in immune regulation, thereby restoring their expression and ameliorating disease symptoms. This approach has the potential to correct epigenetic defects in a targeted manner, providing long-lasting therapeutic effects with minimal off-target effects. The use of CRISPR-Cas9 for epigenetic editing is still in its early stages, but it holds significant promise for the development of personalized therapies for inflammatory diseases (120).

NcRNAs, particularly miRNAs and lncRNAs, are also being explored as targets for epigenetic therapies. miRNAs can be modulated using antisense oligonucleotides (ASOs) or miRNA mimics to either inhibit or enhance their function (121). For example, in RA, miR-155, a pro-inflammatory miRNA, is upregulated and contributes to the pathogenesis of the disease by promoting the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines (122). Targeting miR-155 with ASOs to inhibit its activity has been proposed as a therapeutic strategy to reduce inflammation in RA. Similarly, lncRNAs that modulate the expression of inflammatory genes can be targeted using small molecules or RNA interference to restore normal gene expression patterns (123). The modulation of ncRNAs represents a promising approach to fine-tuning the epigenetic regulation of inflammation, offering a new layer of control over immune responses (124).

The integration of epigenetic therapies with personalized medicine approaches holds significant potential for improving the treatment of inflammatory diseases. Personalized medicine aims to tailor treatments to the specific genetic and epigenetic profiles of individual patients, increasing the efficacy and reducing the side effects of therapies (125). Epigenetic profiling can identify specific epigenetic alterations that contribute to disease in a particular patient, allowing for the selection of targeted therapies that address these specific changes (126). For example, patients with RA who exhibit specific patterns of DNA methylation or histone modifications may benefit from targeted DNMTis or HDACis that reverse these epigenetic marks (127). The combination of epigenetic therapies with personalized medicine approaches offers the potential for more effective and individualized treatment strategies, particularly for complex diseases like RA and SLE (128).

Future directions in epigenetic therapy research include the development of more specific and potent epigenetic modifiers, the exploration of combination therapies, and the investigation of novel epigenetic targets (129). The discovery of new epigenetic enzymes and regulators will expand the repertoire of potential therapeutic targets, while combination therapies that include epigenetic drugs alongside traditional anti-inflammatory or immunosuppressive agents may enhance treatment efficacy (130). Additionally, the identification of novel epigenetic marks, such as RNA modifications and chromatin architecture alterations, could provide new avenues for therapeutic intervention (131). Continued research into the mechanisms of epigenetic regulation and its role in inflammation will be crucial for the development of next-generation epigenetic therapies (132).

## 10 Challenges and future directions in epigenetic research

While significant progress has been made in understanding epigenetic mechanisms, translating these findings into clinical applications remains challenging. The complexity of epigenetic regulation, involving dynamic and context-specific interactions, complicates target identification (Figure 3). Additionally, the long-term effects and potential off-target consequences of epigenetic therapies are poorly understood, raising safety concerns. Gaps also exist in bridging preclinical findings to clinical outcomes due to interspecies differences and the lack of robust biomarkers for monitoring treatment responses (133). Future research should prioritize improving preclinical models, evaluating the durability of epigenetic modifications, and developing biomarkers to enhance the precision and safety of epigenetic therapies.

## **11** Conclusion

In conclusion, the intricate relationship between epigenetics and inflammation underscores the critical role of epigenetic regulation in immune responses. The NLRP3 inflammasome and NF- $\kappa$ B signaling pathways are significantly influenced by various epigenetic mechanisms, including DNA methylation, histone modifications, and ncRNAs. Dysregulation of these processes is a hallmark of many chronic inflammatory and autoimmune diseases, offering insights into disease mechanisms and potential therapeutic targets. The development of epigenetic therapies represents a promising approach to treating inflammatory conditions, with future research in this field crucial for developing nextgeneration, personalized treatments. As our understanding of epigenetic regulation in inflammation deepens, it paves the way for more effective management of chronic inflammatory diseases.

### Author contributions

JK: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. MK: Conceptualization, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Formal analysis.

### Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

### Acknowledgments

We would like to express our sincere gratitude to Baihai Jiao for his invaluable assistance and insightful contributions to the preparation of this review on epigenetic regulation of transcription factors in the NLRP3 inflammasome and NF-kB pathways. Their support has greatly enriched the quality of this work.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

### References

1. Chen M-y, Ye X-j, He X-h, Ouyang D-y. The signaling pathways regulating NLRP3 inflammasome activation. *Inflammation*. (2021) 44:1229-45. doi: 10.1007/s10753-021-01439-6

2. Shin JJ, Park J, Shin HS, Arab I, Suk K, Lee WH. Roles of lncRNAs in NF- $\kappa$ B-mediated macrophage inflammation and their implications in the pathogenesis of human diseases. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2024) 25:2670. doi: 10.3390/ijms25052670

3. Afonina IS, Zhong Z, Karin M, Beyaert R. Limiting inflammation—the negative regulation of NF- $\kappa$ B and the NLRP3 inflammasome. *Nat Immunol.* (2017) 18:861–9. doi: 10.1038/ni.3772

4. Qu L, Jiao B. The interplay between immune and metabolic pathways in kidney disease. *Cells.* (2023) 12(12):1584. doi: 10.3390/cells12121584

5. Bure IV, Nemtsova MV, Kuznetsova EB. Histone modifications and non-coding RNAs: mutual epigenetic regulation and role in pathogenesis. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2022) 23:5801. doi: 10.3390/ijms23105801

6. Wang Y, Jiao B, Hu Z, Wang Y. Critical Role of histone deacetylase 3 in the regulation of kidney inflammation and fibrosis. *Kidney Int.* (2024) 105:775-90. doi: 10.1016/j.kint.2024.01.010

7. An C, Jiao B, Du H, Tran M, Song B, Wang P, et al. JMJD3 promotes myeloid fibroblast activation and macrophage polarization in kidney fibrosis. *Br J Pharmacol.* (2023) 180(17):2250–2265. doi: 10.1111/bph.16096

8. Chen A, Yu R, Jiang S, Xia Y, Chen Y. Recent advances of microRNAs, long noncoding RNAs, and circular RNAs in preeclampsia. *Front Physiol.* (2021) 12:659638. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2021.659638

9. Ding Q, Hu W, Wang R, Yang Q, Zhu M, Li M, et al. Signaling pathways in rheumatoid arthritis: implications for targeted therapy. *Signal Transduct Targeted Ther.* (2023) 8:68. doi: 10.1038/s41392-023-01331-9

10. Fiordoro S, Rosano C, Pechkova E, Barocci S, Izzotti A. Epigenetic modulation of immune cells: mechanisms and implications. *Adv Biol Regul.* (2024) 94:101043. doi: 10.1016/j.jbior.2024.101043

11. Zhang Q, Cao X. Epigenetic remodeling in innate immunity and inflammation. *Annu Rev Immunol.* (2021) 39:279–311. doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-093019-123619

12. Ren W, Gao L, Song J. Structural basis of DNMT1 and DNMT3A-mediated DNA methylation. *Genes.* (2018) 9:620. doi: 10.3390/genes9120620

13. Ferreira HJ, Esteller M. CpG islands in cancer: heads, tails, and sides. Methods Mol Biol. (2018) 1766:49–80. doi:  $10.1007/978-1-4939-7768-0_4$ 

14. Suarez-Alvarez B, Rodriguez RM, Fraga MF, López-Larrea C. DNA methylation: a promising landscape for immune system-related diseases. *Trends Genet*. (2012) 28:506–14. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2012.06.005

15. Yang B-H, Floess S, Hagemann S, Deyneko IV, Groebe L, Pezoldt J, et al. Development of a unique epigenetic signature during *in vivo* Th17 differentiation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2015) 43:1537–48. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv014

16. Zhang Y, Zhao M, Sawalha AH, Richardson B, Lu Q. Impaired DNA methylation and its mechanisms in CD4+ T cells of systemic lupus erythematosus. J Autoimmunity. (2013) 41:92–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jaut.2013.01.005

17. Yang C, Li D, Teng D, Zhou Y, Zhang L, Zhong Z, et al. Epigenetic regulation in the pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:859400. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.859400

 Shilatifard A. Chromatin modifications by methylation and ubiquitination: implications in the regulation of gene expression. *Annu Rev Biochem.* (2006) 75:243– 69. doi: 10.1146/annurev.biochem.75.103004.142422

19. Dueva R, Akopyan K, Pederiva C, Trevisan D, Dhanjal S, Lindqvist A, et al. Neutralization of the positive charges on histone tails by RNA promotes an open chromatin structure. *Cell Chem Biol.* (2019) 26:1436–49. doi: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2019.08.002

20. Thangavel J, Samanta S, Rajasingh S, Barani B, Xuan Y-T, Dawn B, et al. Epigenetic modifiers reduce inflammation and modulate macrophage phenotype during endotoxemia-induced acute lung injury. *J Cell Sci.* (2015) 128:3094–105. doi: 10.1242/jcs.170258

21. Pekowska A, Benoukraf T, Zacarias-Cabeza J, Belhocine M, Koch F, Holota H, et al. H3K4 tri-methylation provides an epigenetic signature of active enhancers. *EMBO J.* (2011) 30:4198–210. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2011.295

22. Abu-Hanna J, Patel JA, Anastasakis E, Cohen R, Clapp LH, Loizidou M, et al. Therapeutic potential of inhibiting histone 3 lysine 27 demethylases: a review of the literature. *Clin Epigenet.* (2022) 14:98. doi: 10.1186/s13148-022-01305-8

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

23. Quintero-Ronderos P, Montoya-Ortiz G. Epigenetics and autoimmune diseases. *Autoimmune Dis.* (2012) 2012:593720. doi: 10.1155/2012/593720

24. Zhang S-Y, Zhang L-Y, Wen R, Yang N, Zhang T-N. Histone deacetylases and their inhibitors in inflammatory diseases. *Biomed Pharmacother*. (2024) 179:117295. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2024.117295

25. Moreno-Moya JM, Vilella F, Simón C. MicroRNA: key gene expression regulators. *Fertil Steril.* (2014) 101:1516–23. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2013.10.042

26. Saba R, Sorensen DL, Booth SA. MicroRNA-146a: a dominant, negative regulator of the innate immune response. *Front Immunol.* (2014) 5:578. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2014.00578

27. Pan Y, Wang T, Zhao Z, Wei W, Yang X, Wang X, et al. Novel insights into the emerging role of Neat1 and its effects downstream in the regulation of inflammation. *J Inflammation Res.* (2022) 15:557–71. doi: 10.2147/jir.s338162

28. Tsai C-Y, Shen C-Y, Liu C-W, Hsieh S-C, Liao H-T, Li K-J, et al. Aberrant noncoding RNA expression in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: consequences for immune dysfunctions and tissue damage. *Biomolecules*. (2020) 10:1641. doi: 10.3390/biom10121641

29. Bak RO, Mikkelsen JG. miRNA sponges: soaking up miRNAs for regulation of gene expression. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev: RNA*. (2014) 5:317–33. doi: 10.1002/wrna.1213

30. Liu D, Zhong Z, Karin M. NF-kappaB: A double-edged sword controlling inflammation. *Biomedicines*. (2022) 10(6):1250. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines10061250

31. Raneros AB, Bernet CR, Flórez AB, Suarez-Alvarez B. An epigenetic insight into NLRP3 inflammasome activation in inflammation-related processes. *Biomedicines*. (2021) 9:1614. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines9111614

32. Kodi T, Sankhe R, Gopinathan A, Nandakumar K, Kishore A. New insights on NLRP3 inflammasome: mechanisms of activation, inhibition, and epigenetic regulation. *J Neuroimmune Pharmacol.* (2024) 19:7. doi: 10.1007/s11481-024-10101-5

33. Rahman I, Marwick J, Kirkham P. Redox modulation of chromatin remodeling: impact on histone acetylation and deacetylation, NF-κB and pro-inflammatory gene expression. *Biochem Pharmacol.* (2004) 68:1255–67. doi: 10.1016/j.bcp.2004.05.042

34. Guo Q, Jin Y, Chen X, Ye X, Shen X, Lin M, et al. NF-kappaB in biology and targeted therapy: new insights and translational implications. *Signal Transduct Targeted Ther*. (2024) 9:53. doi: 10.1038/s41392-024-01757-9

35. Kraft K, Yost KE, Murphy SE, Magg A, Long Y, Corces MR, et al. Polycombmediated genome architecture enables long-range spreading of H3K27 methylation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci United States Am.* (2022) 119:e2201883119. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2201883119

36. Ren X, Wang R, Yu X-t, Cai B, Guo F. Regulation of histone H3 lysine 9 methylation in inflammation. *All Life*. (2021) 14:492-508. doi: 10.1080/26895293.2021.1931477

37. Han R, Gao J, Wang L, Hao P, Chen X, Wang Y, et al. MicroRNA-146a negatively regulates inflammation via the IRAK1/TRAF6/NF-kappaB signaling pathway in dry eye. *Sci Rep.* (2023) 13:11192. doi: 10.1038/s41598-023-38367-4

38. Li T, Morgan MJ, Choksi S, Zhang Y, Kim Y-S, Liu Z-g. MicroRNAs modulate the noncanonical NF-κB pathway by regulating IKKα expression during macrophage differentiation. *Nat Immunol.* (2010) 11:799. doi: 10.1038/ni.1918

 Wang F, Jiang X, Wang P. NF-κB interaction long non-coding RNA inhibits migration, invasion and epithelial-mesenchymal transition of cervical cancer cells through inhibiting NF-κB signaling pathways. *Exp Ther Med.* (2020) 20:1039–47. doi: 10.3892/etm.2020.8752

40. Lin L, Zhou G, Chen P, Wang Y, Han J, Chen M, et al. Which long noncoding RNAs and circular RNAs contribute to inflammatory bowel disease? *Cell Death Dis.* (2020) 11:456. doi: 10.1038/s41419-020-2657-z

41. Wu W, Chen F, Cui X, Yang L, Chen J, Zhao J, et al. LncRNA NKILA suppresses TGF-β-induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition by blocking NF-κB signaling in breast cancer. *Int J Cancer.* (2018) 143:2213–24. doi: 10.1002/ijc.31605

42. Xiao W, Zheng D, Chen X, Yu B, Deng K, Ma J, et al. Long non-coding RNA MIAT is involved in the regulation of pyroptosis in diabetic cardiomyopathy via targeting miR-214-3p. *Iscience*. (2021) 24(12):103518. doi: 10.1016/j.isci.2021.103518

43. Wang Y, Liu X, Shi H, Yu Y, Yu Y, Li M, et al. NLRP3 inflammasome, an immune-inflammatory target in pathogenesis and treatment of cardiovascular diseases. *Clin Trans Med.* (2020) 10:91–106. doi: 10.1002/ctm2.13

44. Zhang X, Wang S, Wang H, Cao J, Huang X, Chen Z, et al. Circular RNA circNRIP1 acts as a microRNA-149-5p sponge to promote gastric cancer progression via the AKT1/mTOR pathway. *Mol Cancer*. (2019) 18:20. doi: 10.1186/s12943-018-0935-5

45. Zajaczkowski EL, Bredy TW. Circular RNAs in the brain: a possible role in memory? *Neurosci.* (2021) 27:473–86. doi: 10.1177/1073858420963028

46. Shi R, Jin Y, Hu W, Lian W, Cao C, Han S, et al. Exosomes derived from mmu\_circ\_0000250-modified adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells promote wound healing in diabetic mice by inducing miR-128-3p/SIRT1-mediated autophagy. *Am J Physiology-Cell Physiol.* (2020) 318(5):C848–C856. doi: 10.1152/ajpcell.00041.2020

47. Lian C, Sun J, Guan W, Zhang L, Zhang X, Yang L, et al. Circular RNA circHIPK3 activates macrophage NLRP3 inflammasome and TLR4 pathway in gouty arthritis via sponging miR-561 and miR-192. *Inflammation*. (2021) 44:2065–77. doi: 10.1007/s10753-021-01483-2

48. Zhang C, Gao R, Zhou R, Chen H, Liu C, Zhu T, et al. The emerging power and promise of non-coding RNAs in chronic pain. *Front Mol Neurosci.* (2022) 15:1037929. doi: 10.3389/fnmol.2022.1037929

49. An N, Gao Y, Si Z, Zhang H, Wang L, Tian C, et al. Regulatory mechanisms of the NLRP3 inflammasome, a novel immune-inflammatory marker in cardiovascular diseases. *Front Immunol.* (2019) 10:1592. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.01592

50. Bayraktar E, Bayraktar R, Oztatlici H, Lopez-Berestein G, Amero P, Rodriguez-Aguayo C. Targeting miRNAs and other non-coding RNAs as a therapeutic approach: an update. *Non-coding RNA*. (2023) 9:27. doi: 10.3390/ncrna9020027

51. Sikorska N, Sexton T. Defining functionally relevant spatial chromatin domains: it is a TAD complicated. *J Mol Biol.* (2020) 432:653–64. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2019.12.006

52. Qiu Y, Huang S. CTCF-mediated genome organization and leukemogenesis. Leukemia. (2020) 34:2295-304. doi: 10.1038/s41375-020-0906-x

53. Gonzalez-Sandoval A, Gasser SM. On TADs and LADs: spatial control over gene expression. *Trends Genet.* (2016) 32:485–95. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2016.05.004

54. Mulero MC, Wang VY-F, Huxford T, Ghosh G. Genome reading by the NF- $\kappa$ B transcription factors. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2019) 47:9967–89. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkz739

55. Bacher S, Meier-Soelch J, Kracht M, Schmitz ML. Regulation of transcription factor NF- $\kappa$ B in its natural habitat: the nucleus. *Cells.* (2021) 10:753. doi: 10.3390/cells10040753

56. Alehashemi S, Goldbach-Mansky R. Human autoinflammatory diseases mediated by NLRP3-, Pyrin-, NLRP1-, and NLRC4-inflammasome dysregulation updates on diagnosis, treatment, and the respective roles of IL-1 and IL-18. *Front Immunol.* (2020) 11:1840. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.01840

57. Tan SYX, Zhang J, Tee W-W. Epigenetic regulation of inflammatory signaling and inflammation-induced cancer. *Front Cell Dev Biol.* (2022) 10:931493. doi: 10.3389/ fcell.2022.931493

58. Dambacher S, Hahn M, Schotta G. Epigenetic regulation of development by histone lysine methylation. *Heredity*. (2010) 105:24–37. doi: 10.1038/hdy.2010.49

59. Kumar S, Kaur S, Seem K, Kumar S, Mohapatra T. Understanding 3D genome organization and its effect on transcriptional gene regulation under environmental stress in plant: a chromatin perspective. *Front Cell Dev Biol.* (2021) 9:774719. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2021.774719

60. Li G, Cai L, Chang H, Hong P, Zhou Q, Kulakova EV, et al. Chromatin interaction analysis with paired-end tag (ChIA-PET) sequencing technology and application. *BMC Genomics*. (2014) 15:1–10. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-15-s12-s11

61. Makhatadze NJ. Tumor necrosis factor locus: genetic organisation and biological implications. *Hum Immunol.* (1998) 59:571–9. doi: 10.1016/s0198-8859(98)00056-1

62. Qiu Y, Feng D, Jiang W, Zhang T, Lu Q, Zhao M. 3D genome organization and epigenetic regulation in autoimmune diseases. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1196123. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1196123

63. Zatterale F, Raciti GA, Prevenzano I, Leone A, Campitelli M, De Rosa V, et al. Epigenetic reprogramming of the inflammatory response in obesity and type 2 diabetes. *Biomolecules.* (2022) 12:982. doi: 10.3390/biom12070982

64. López-Lázaro M. The warburg effect: why and how do cancer cells activate glycolysis in the presence of oxygen? *Anti-Cancer Agents Med Chem.* (2008) 8:305–12. doi: 10.2174/187152008783961932

65. Keating ST, El-Osta A. Epigenetics and metabolism. *Circ Res.* (2015) 116:715–36. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.303936

66. Feron O. The many metabolic sources of acetyl-CoA to support histone acetylation and influence cancer progression. *Ann Trans Med.* (2019) 7(Suppl 8): S277. doi: 10.21037/atm.2019.11.140

67. Daskalaki MG, Tsatsanis C, Kampranis SC. Histone methylation and acetylation in macrophages as a mechanism for regulation of inflammatory responses. *J Cell Physiol.* (2018) 233:6495–507. doi: 10.1002/jcp.26497

68. Neja S, Dashwood WM, Dashwood RH, Rajendran P. Histone acyl code in precision oncology: mechanistic insights from dietary and metabolic factors. *Nutrients*. (2024) 16:396. doi: 10.3390/nu16030396

69. Latham T, Mackay L, Sproul D, Karim M, Culley J, Harrison DJ, et al. Lactate, a product of glycolytic metabolism, inhibits histone deacetylase activity and promotes changes in gene expression. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2012) 40:4794–803. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks066

70. Crispo F, Condelli V, Lepore S, Notarangelo T, Sgambato A, Esposito F, et al. Metabolic dysregulations and epigenetics: a bidirectional interplay that drives tumor progression. *Cells.* (2019) 8:798. doi: 10.3390/cells8080798

71. Lu J, Liang Y, Meng H, Zhang A, Zhao J, Zhang C. Metabolic controls on epigenetic reprogramming in regulatory T cells. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:728783. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.728783

72. Gupta D, Elwakiel A, Ranjan S, Pandey MK, Krishnan S, Ambreen S, et al. Activated protein C modulates T-cell metabolism and epigenetic FOXP3 induction via  $\alpha$ -ketoglutarate. Blood Adv. (2023) 7:5055–68. doi: 10.1182/bloodadvances.2023010083

73. Batista-Gonzalez A, Vidal R, Criollo A, Carreño LJ. New insights on the role of lipid metabolism in the metabolic reprogramming of macrophages. *Front Immunol.* (2020) 10:2993. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.02993

74. Koundouros N, Poulogiannis G. Reprogramming of fatty acid metabolism in cancer. *Br J Cancer.* (2020) 122:4–22. doi: 10.1038/s41416-019-0650-z

75. Sun L, Yang X, Yuan Z, Wang H. Metabolic reprogramming in immune response and tissue inflammation. *Arteriosclerosis Thrombosis Vasc Biol.* (2020) 40:1990–2001. doi: 10.1161/atvbaha.120.314037

76. Hernández-Saavedra D, Moody L, Xu GB, Chen H, Pan Y-X. Epigenetic regulation of metabolism and inflammation by calorie restriction. *Adv Nutr.* (2019) 10:520–36. doi: 10.1093/advances/nmy129

77. So J-S. Roles of endoplasmic reticulum stress in immune responses. *Mol Cells*. (2018) 41:705–16. doi: 10.14348/molcells.2018.0241

78. Su X, Wellen KE, Rabinowitz JD. Metabolic control of methylation and acetylation. *Curr Opin Chem Biol.* (2016) 30:52–60. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2015.10.030

79. Moffett JR, Puthillathu N, Vengilote R, Jaworski DM, Namboodiri AM. Acetate revisited: A key biomolecule at the nexus of metabolism, epigenetics and oncogenesis— Part 1: Acetyl-CoA, acetogenesis and acyl-CoA short-chain synthetases. *Front Physiol.* (2020) 11:580167. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2020.580167

80. Chervona Y, Costa M. The control of histone methylation and gene expression by oxidative stress, hypoxia, and metals. *Free Radical Biol Med.* (2012) 53:1041–7. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2012.07.020

81. Giorgio M, Dellino GI, Gambino V, Pelicci PG. On the epigenetic role of guanosine oxidation. *Redox Biol.* (2020) 29:101398. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2019.101398

82. Hahm JY, Park J, Jang E-S, Chi SW. 8-Oxoguanine: from oxidative damage to epigenetic and epitranscriptional modification. *Exp Mol Med.* (2022) 54:1626–42. doi: 10.1038/s12276-022-00822-z

83. Raturi A, Simmen T. Where the endoplasmic reticulum and the mitochondrion tie the knot: the mitochondria-associated membrane (MAM). *Biochim Biophys Acta* (*BBA)-Mol Cell Res.* (2013) 1833:213–24. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2012.04.013

84. Liu Y, Chen C, Wang X, Sun Y, Zhang J, Chen J, et al. An epigenetic role of mitochondria in cancer. *Cells.* (2022) 11:2518. doi: 10.3390/cells11162518

85. Hamann BL, Blind RD. Nuclear phosphoinositide regulation of chromatin. J Cell Physiol. (2018) 233:107–23. doi: 10.1002/jcp.25886

86. Clapier CR, Cairns BR. The biology of chromatin remodeling complexes. *Annu Rev Biochem.* (2009) 78:273–304. doi: 10.1146/annurev.biochem.77.062706.153223

87. Walter P, Ron D. The unfolded protein response: from stress pathway to homeostatic regulation. *science*. (2011) 334:1081–6. doi: 10.1126/science.1209038

88. Chipurupalli S, Samavedam U, Robinson N. Crosstalk between ER stress, autophagy and inflammation. *Front Med.* (2021) 8:758311. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.758311

89. Lin Y, Jiang M, Chen W, Zhao T, Wei Y. Cancer and ER stress: Mutual crosstalk between autophagy, oxidative stress and inflammatory response. *Biomed Pharmacother*. (2019) 118:109249. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2019.109249

90. Caldeira GL, Ferreira IL, Rego AC. Impaired transcription in Alzheimer's disease: key role in mitochondrial dysfunction and oxidative stress. J Alzheimer's Dis. (2013) 34:115–31. doi: 10.3233/jad-121444

91. Saki M, Prakash A. DNA damage related crosstalk between the nucleus and mitochondria. *Free Radical Biol Med.* (2017) 107:216-27. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2016.11.050

92. Alaynick WA. Nuclear receptors, mitochondria and lipid metabolism. *Mitochondrion.* (2008) 8:329–37. doi: 10.1016/j.mito.2008.02.001

93. Fasano C, Disciglio V, Bertora S, Lepore Signorile M, Simone C. FOXO3a from the nucleus to the mitochondria: a round trip in cellular stress response. *Cells.* (2019) 8:1110. doi: 10.3390/cells8091110

94. An C, Jiao B, Du H, Tran M, Zhou D, Wang Y. Myeloid PTEN deficiency aggravates renal inflammation and fibrosis in angiotensin II-induced hypertension. *J Cell Physiol.* (2021) 237(1):983–991. doi: 10.1002/jcp.30574

95. Jiao B, An C, Du H, Tran M, Wang P, Zhou D, et al. STAT6 deficiency attenuates myeloid fibroblast activation and macrophage polarization in experimental folic acid nephropathy. *Cells.* (2021) 10(11):1057. doi: 10.3390/cells10113057

96. Jiao B, An C, Tran M, Du H, Wang P, Zhou D, et al. Pharmacological inhibition of STAT6 ameliorates myeloid fibroblast activation and alternative macrophage polarization in renal fibrosis. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:735014. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.735014

97. Jiao B, An C, Du H, Tran M, Yang D, Zhao Y, et al. Genetic deficiency or pharmacological inhibition of cGAS-STING signalling suppresses kidney inflammation and fibrosis. *Br J Pharmacol.* (2025). doi: 10.1111/bph.17412

98. Gibson F, Hanly A, Grbic N, Grunberg N, Wu M, Collard M, et al. Epigenetic dysregulation in autoimmune and inflammatory skin diseases. *Clin Rev Allergy Immunol.* (2022) 63:447–71. doi: 10.1007/s12016-022-08956-8

99. Karouzakis E, Gay RE, Gay S, Neidhart M. Epigenetic control in rheumatoid arthritis synovial fibroblasts. *Nat Rev Rheumatol.* (2009) 5:266-72. doi: 10.1038/ nrrheum.2009.55

100. Miao C-g, Yang Y-y, He X, Xu T, Huang C, Huang Y, et al. New advances of microRNAs in the pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis, with a focus on the crosstalk between DNA methylation and the microRNA machinery. *Cell Signalling*. (2013) 25:1118–25. doi: 10.1016/j.cellsig.2013.01.024

101. Araki Y, Mimura T. The mechanisms underlying chronic inflammation in rheumatoid arthritis from the perspective of the epigenetic landscape. *J Immunol Res.* (2016) 2016:6290682. doi: 10.1155/2016/6290682

102. Loddo I, Romano C. Inflammatory bowel disease: genetics, epigenetics, and pathogenesis. Front Immunol. (2015) 6:551. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2015.00551

103. Gonsky R, Fleshner P, Deem RL, Biener-Ramanujan E, Li D, Potdar AA, et al. Association of ribonuclease T2 gene polymorphisms with decreased expression and clinical characteristics of severity in Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology*. (2017) 153:219–32. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2017.04.002

104. Barbara G, Barbaro MR, Fuschi D, Palombo M, Falangone F, Cremon C, et al. Inflammatory and microbiota-related regulation of the intestinal epithelial barrier. *Front Nutr.* (2021) 8:718356. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2021.718356

105. Jarmakiewicz-Czaja S, Zielińska M, Sokal A, Filip R. Genetic and epigenetic etiology of inflammatory bowel disease: An update. *Genes.* (2022) 13:2388. doi: 10.3390/genes13122388

106. Surace AEA, Hedrich CM. The role of epigenetics in autoimmune/ inflammatory disease. Front Immunol. (2019) 10:1525. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.01525

107. Hedrich CM. Mechanistic aspects of epigenetic dysregulation in SLE. Clin Immunol. (2018) 196:3-11. doi: 10.1016/j.clim.2018.02.002

108. Koi M, Tseng-Rogenski SS, Carethers JM. Inflammation-associated microsatellite alterations: mechanisms and significance in the prognosis of patients with colorectal cancer. World J Gastrointest Oncol. (2018) 10:1. doi: 10.4251/wjgo.v10.i1.1

109. Kraus S, Arber N. Inflammation and colorectal cancer. Curr Opin Pharmacol. (2009) 9:405–10. doi: 10.1016/j.coph.2009.06.006

110. Derissen EJ, Beijnen JH, Schellens JH. Concise drug review: azacitidine and decitabine. *Oncol.* (2013) 18:619–24. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.2012-0465

111. Ma J, Ge Z. Comparison between decitabine and azacitidine for patients with acute myeloid leukemia and higher-risk myelodysplastic syndrome: A systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Front Pharmacol.* (2021) 12:701690. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.701690

112. Xu W-S, Parmigiani RB, Marks PA. Histone deacetylase inhibitors: molecular mechanisms of action. *Oncogene*. (2007) 26:5541–52. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1210620

113. Kollias G, Douni E, Kassiotis G, Kontoyiannis D. The function of tumour necrosis factor and receptors in models of multi-organ inflammation, rheumatoid arthritis, multiple sclerosis and inflammatory bowel disease. *Ann Rheumatic Dis.* (1999) 58:132–9. doi: 10.1136/ard.58.2008.i32

114. Choi SW, Braun T, Henig I, Gatza E, Magenau J, Parkin B, et al. Vorinostat plus tacrolimus/methotrexate to prevent GVHD after myeloablative conditioning, unrelated donor HCT. *Blood.* (2017) 130:1760–7. doi: 10.1182/blood-2017-06-790469

115. Galanis E, Anderson SK, Miller CR, Sarkaria JN, Jaeckle K, Buckner JC, et al. Phase I/II trial of vorinostat combined with temozolomide and radiation therapy for newly diagnosed glioblastoma: results of Alliance N0874/ABTC 02. *Neuro-oncology*. (2018) 20:546–56. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/nox161

116. Prachayasittikul V, Prathipati P, Pratiwi R, Phanus-Umporn C, Malik AA, SChaduangrat N, et al. Exploring the epigenetic drug discovery landscape. *Expert Opin Drug Discov*. (2017) 12:345–62. doi: 10.1080/17460441.2017.1295954

117. Lo A, Qi L. Genetic and epigenetic control of gene expression by CRISPR-Cas systems. *F1000Research*. (2017) 6:F1000 Faculty Rev-747. doi: 10.12688/ f1000research.11113.1

118. Goell JH, Hilton IB. CRISPR/Cas-based epigenome editing: advances, applications, and clinical utility. *Trends Biotechnol.* (2021) 39:678–91. doi: 10.1016/j.tibtech.2020.10.012

119. Grabowska-Pyrzewicz W, Want A, Leszek J, Wojda U. Antisense oligonucleotides for Alzheimer's disease therapy: from the mRNA to miRNA paradigm. *EBioMedicine*. (2021) 74:103691. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2021.103691

120. Su LC, Huang AF, Jia H, Liu Y, Xu WD. Role of micro RNA-155 in rheumatoid arthritis. *Int J Rheumatic Dis.* (2017) 20:1631–7. doi: 10.1111/1756-185x.13202

121. Wahlestedt C. Targeting long non-coding RNA to therapeutically upregulate gene expression. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* (2013) 12:433–46. doi: 10.1038/nrd4018

122. Abdul-Maksoud RS, Sediq A, Kattaia A, Elsayed W, Ezzeldin N, Abdel Galil S, et al. Serum miR-210 and miR-155 expression levels as novel biomarkers for rheumatoid arthritis diagnosis. *Br J Biomed Sci.* (2017) 74:209–13. doi: 10.1080/09674845.2017.1343545

123. Rasool M, Malik A, Naseer MI, Manan A, Ansari SA, Begum I, et al. The role of epigenetics in personalized medicine: challenges and opportunities. *BMC Med Genomics*. (2015) 8:1–8. doi: 10.1186/1755-8794-8-s1-s5

124. Malone ER, Oliva M, Sabatini PJ, Stockley TL, Siu LL. Molecular profiling for precision cancer therapies. *Genome Med.* (2020) 12:1–19. doi: 10.1186/s13073-019-0703-1

125. Barik RR, Bhatt LK. Emerging epigenetic targets in rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatol Int. (2021) 41:2047-67. doi: 10.1007/s00296-021-04951-y

126. Ibáñez-Cabellos JS, Seco-Cervera M, Osca-Verdegal R, Pallardó FV, García-Giménez JL. Epigenetic regulation in the pathogenesis of Sjögren syndrome and rheumatoid arthritis. *Front Genet.* (2019) 10:1104. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2019.01104

127. Singh M, Kumar V, Sehrawat N, Yadav M, Chaudhary M, Upadhyay SK, et al. Current paradigms in epigenetic anticancer therapeutics and future challenges. *Semin Cancer Biol.* (2022) 83:422–40. doi: 10.1016/j.semcancer.2021.03.013

128. Zhang L, Zhou C, Zhang S, Chen X, Liu J, Xu F, et al. Chemotherapy reinforces anti-tumor immune response and enhances clinical efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Front Oncol.* (2022) 12:939249. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.939249

129. Kelly TK, De Carvalho DD, Jones PA. Epigenetic modifications as therapeutic targets. Nat Biotechnol. (2010) 28:1069–78. doi: 10.1038/nbt.1678

130. Hou J, Karin M, Sun B. Targeting cancer-promoting inflammation—have antiinflammatory therapies come of age? *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* (2021) 18:261–79. doi: 10.1038/s41571-020-00459-9

131. Dai W, Qiao X, Fang Y, Guo R, Bai P, Liu S, et al. Epigenetics-targeted drugs: current paradigms and future challenges. *Signal Transduct Targeted Ther*. (2024) 9:332. doi: 10.1038/s41392-024-02039-0

132. Gonzalez-Fierro A, Dueñas-González A. Emerging DNA methylation inhibitors for cancer therapy: challenges and prospects. *Expert Rev Precis Med Drug Dev.* (2019) 4:27–35. doi: 10.1080/23808993.2019.1571906

133. Strickland FM, Richardson BC. Epigenetics in human autoimmunity: (Epigenetics in autoimmunity—DNA methylation in systemic lupus erythematosus and beyond). *Autoimmunity*. (2008) 41:278-86. doi: 10.1080/08916930802024616