Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Simona Bonavita, University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Italy

REVIEWED BY Reza Rahmanzadeh, TheUltra.ai, Switzerland Fabrícia Lima Fontes-Dantas, Rio de Janeiro State University, Brazil

*CORRESPONDENCE Dong Li Itjeksj@163.com

[†]PRESENT ADDRESSES

Yanjia Zhang,

Tianjin Key Laboratory of Birth Defects for Prevention and Treatment, Tianjin, China Dong Li, Tianjin Key Laboratory of Birth Defects for Prevention and Treatment, Tianjin, China

RECEIVED 19 November 2024 ACCEPTED 21 April 2025 PUBLISHED 20 May 2025

CITATION

Zhang Y and Li D (2025) Translational insights from EAE models : decoding MOGAD pathogenesis and therapeutic innovation. *Front. Immunol.* 16:1530977. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2025.1530977

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Zhang and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted

which does not comply with these terms.

Translational insights from EAE models : decoding MOGAD pathogenesis and therapeutic innovation

Yanjia Zhang^{1,2†} and Dong Li^{1,3*†}

¹Tianjin Children's Hospital (Tianjin University Children's Hospital), Tianjin, China, ²Department of Medicine, Tianjin University, Tianjin, China, ³Department of Neurology, Tianjin Children's Hospital (Tianjin University Children's Hospital), Tianjin, China

Myelin Oligodendrocyte Glycoprotein Antibody-Associated Disease (MOGAD) is a rare acquired demyelinating syndrome manifesting as optic neuritis (ON), transverse myelitis (TM), acute disseminated encephalomyelitis (ADEM), and brainstem encephalitis. The disease is characterized by serum autoantibodies targeting myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG), which is exclusively expressed on central nervous system (CNS) myelin and oligodendrocyte membranes. Experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) models have been instrumental in elucidating how these antibodies trigger complementdependent cytotoxicity (CDC) and antibody-dependent cellular responses, leading to inflammatory demyelination. With most patients experiencing relapses and approximately 50% developing permanent disabilities, therapeutic strategies focus on reducing relapse frequency and severity. MOG-EAE models have directly informed acute treatment approaches including corticosteroids, plasma exchange (PLEX), and intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG). Mechanistic studies in MOG-EAE models have revealed complex treatment responses and identified several translational targets, including complement inhibition, B-cell depletion strategies, and cytokine-directed therapies that are now advancing to clinical trials. Current immunosuppressive therapies include azathioprine (AZA), mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), and rituximab (RTX), with their differential efficacy in MOGAD versus MS and AQP4-NMOSD now explained by EAE model findings on distinct immunopathological mechanisms. Guided by EAE translational insights into MOGAD pathophysiology, ongoing clinical trials are evaluating novel targeted therapies including complement inhibitors, plasma cell-depleting agents, and antigen-specific tolerization approaches. These EAE-derived mechanistic insights are critical for developing personalized treatment strategies that address the unique immunopathology of this challenging condition.

KEYWORDS

myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disorder (MOGAD), experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), pathophysiology, innate immunity, adaptive immunity, treatment strategies

1 Introduction

Myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein immunoglobulin G (MOG-IgG) antibody-associated disease (MOGAD) is a rare form of acquired demyelinating syndrome (ADS), distinguished by the presence of serum autoantibodies targeting myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG). This disorder is characterized by central nervous system (CNS) demyelination with mild axonal damage, concurrent with inflammatory cell infiltration, primarily composed of CD4+ T cells and granulocytes (1).MOGAD was not initially acknowledged as a distinct disease entity, but instead was viewed as a subtype or variant of multiple sclerosis (MS) or neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (NMOSD) (2, 3). This shift in perception exemplifies the progressively nuanced understanding of autoantibodies and their associated disorders within the realm of neuroimmunology.In 2011, Mader et al. published a seminal study that delineated the clinical characteristics of MOG antibodypositive patients and systematically differentiated them from MS and NMOSD patients (4). Subsequent research in this field progressed rapidly. In 2018, an international expert panel formally proposed MOGAD as a distinct disease entity, established its diagnostic criteria, and emphasized the crucial role of MOG antibody detection in diagnosis (5). The diagnostic differences, clinical aspects, and pathophysiological mechanisms of CNS demyelinating disorders are comprehensively summarized in Table 1.

MOG protein, the target antigen of MOGAD, is predominantly expressed on the surface of oligodendrocytes in the outermost layer of central nervous system myelin (29). The molecular basis of its pathogenicity primarily stems from the β-sheet conformation of the MOG protein's extracelluldomain, which forms a unique immunoglobulin-like structure, exposing multiple potential antigen-binding sites (30). The binding of MOG-IgG autoantibodies (predominantly of the IgG1 subtype (31)) produced by B cells to these exposed epitopes triggers a cascade of immune responses. These responses encompass: [1] complement activation leading to the formation of membrane attack complexes (4), [2] antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (32), and [3] T cellmediated inflammatory responses (33). Furthermore, activated microglia and infiltrating macrophages secrete pro-inflammatory factors, exacerbating local inflammation (34). These complex pathological mechanisms collectively result in demyelination, oligodendrocyte death, and potential axonal damage (12). Crucially, the persistent inflammatory milieu and the presence of autoantibodies may impede the remyelination process, thereby impacting disease recovery (35). But the underlying pathogenic mechanisms, particularly the interplay between humoral and cellular immunity, remain incompletely understood.

MOGAD exhibits distinctive clinical, radiological, and pathological features compared to other types of ASD (36–39). Firstly, MOGAD manifests with a highly heterogeneous clinical presentation, predominantly encompassing phenotypes such as optic neuritis (ON), transverse myelitis, acute disseminated encephalomyelitis (ADEM), and brainstem encephalitis. These phenotypes can occur in isolation or in combination (40). Pediatric patients predominantly present with ADEM and optic neuritis, necessitating early recognition and prompt initiation of steroid therapy. Conversely, adult patients more frequently manifest with relapsing optic neuritis and myelitis, warranting particular vigilance regarding steroid dependence and relapse risk (41, 42). Secondly, MOGAD typically follows a relapsing-remitting disease course, which is potentially associated with fluctuations in antibody titers and dynamic changes in immune regulation (43). Notably, MOGAD patients often demonstrate a greater capacity for repair and more favorable prognosis compared to those with MS and NMOSD, potentially attributable to the distinct pathophysiological mechanisms underlying MOGAD (36).

In the absence of established MOGAD treatment guidelines, a comprehensive understanding of these mechanisms is imperative for guiding acute attack management, personalized symptom control, and long-term relapse prevention strategies. The current standard of care for acute MOGAD exacerbations involves intravenous high-dose methylprednisolone (IVMP), typically administered at 1g/day for 5 consecutive days (44). However, the risk of disease relapse increases significantly during the steroid tapering and discontinuation phase (45). For patients experiencing further clinical deterioration, plasma exchange or intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) may be considered as second-line therapeutic interventions (46). MOGAD therapeutic responses exhibit significant age-dependent variations. Regarding glucocorticoid sensitivity, pediatric patients respond favorably to high-dose corticosteroids during acute phases, although rapid tapering frequently precipitates relapses (41). Research indicates that children with ADEM phenotypes demonstrate steroid sensitivity, yet approximately 20% experience relapse following dose reduction (47). In contrast, while adult patients similarly exhibit marked responses to steroids during acute episodes, they face higher relapse risks, which may be independent of steroid tapering velocity (48). Some adult patients require adjunctive plasma exchange (PLEX) or intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) to manage severe exacerbations (49). Age-related distinctions also manifest in maintenance therapy selection, with pediatric patients preferentially receiving IVIG as long-term immunomodulatory treatment due to its superior efficacy compared to other immunosuppressants (such as azathioprine and mycophenolate mofetil) and its more manageable side effect profile (48). Adult patients, however, typically opt for rituximab (B-cell depleting agent) or alternative immunosuppressants, though their relapse prevention efficacy is less pronounced than in NMOSD, necessitating recognition that rituximab offers limited relapse control in certain adult patients (50).

The optimal maintenance therapy for MOGAD patients remains a subject of debate in the medical community. Frequently employed pharmacological interventions include oral corticosteroids, azathioprine (AZA), mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), and B cell-targeted biologics such as rituximab (RTX) and tocilizumab (TCZ). Studies have demonstrated that these agents can significantly mitigate the risk of disease recurrence and improve the annualized relapse rate (ARR) (51, 52).

Regarding relapse risk and treatment duration, approximately 20-34% of pediatric patients progress to relapsing disease courses,

TABLE 1 CNS demyelinating disorders comparative analysis.

Comparison Parameter	MS	MOGAD	NMOSD
Diagnostic Criteria	Based on McDonald criteria (dissemination of lesions in time and space) (6)131	 Presence of at least one typical clinical demyelinating CNS event (e.g., ON, TM, ADEM) Positive serum MOG-IgG antibody test; borderline titers require supporting radiological or CSF features Exclusion of alternative diagnoses including MS, AQP4-positive NMOSD, and infectious or neoplastic disorders (1) 	AQP4-IgG positivity + core clinical features (e.g., optic neuritis, longitudinally extensive transverse myelitis) (7)
Antibody Biomarkers	No specific antibodies	MOG-IgG positive (approximately 97% of patients) (8)	AQP4-IgG positive (approximately 75% of patients) (9)
Pathophysiological Mechanism	T cells, B cells, and microglia orchestrate autoimmune processes that drive demyelination and neurodegeneration, resulting in axonal injury and sustained inflammatory response within the CNS (10, 11).	MOG antibodies bind to conformational epitopes of MOG protein, activating the complement system and inducing demyelination through CDC, ADCP, and ADCC mechanisms, characterized by perivenular primary demyelinating lesions, complement deposition, and infiltration of macrophages, microglia, CD4+ T lymphocytes, and neutrophils, ultimately resulting in neurological damage (12–17).	Anti-AQP4 antibodies attack astrocytes, initiating complement-mediated blood- brain barrier disruption and secondary demyelination (18, 19)
Optic Neuritis Characteristics	Unilateral, mild to moderate, relatively good recovery (20)	Uni- or bilateral, steroid-responsive, high recurrence risk (20)	Frequently bilateral, severe, poor visual recovery, frequent relapses (9)
Spinal Cord Lesion Features	Short-segment lesions (<3 vertebral segments) (21)	Both short and longitudinally extensive lesions possible (21)	Longitudinally extensive lesions (≥3 vertebral segments) (21)
Brain Lesions	Characteristic periventricular, callosal lesions	Subcortical white matter, brainstem, and cerebellar peduncle lesions (22)	Area postrema of medulla, hypothalamic lesions predominant, white matter lesions less common (23)
Biological Markers	CSF oligoclonal bands (>90% positive) (24)	Serum C3/C4 may be normal or slightly elevated in the acute phase, but these findings are not established diagnostic markers for MOGAD (25)	Decreased serum C3/C4 levels and elevated NLR (>2.86) may suggest NMOSD rather than MOGAD, though this is not yet an established diagnostic criterion (25, 26)
Complement System Involvement	No direct evidence of complement activation	Mild complement activation (C3, MAC deposition) (23)	Significant complement activation (C5b-9 deposition), efficacy of C5 (27)
Treatment Strategy	Disease-modifying therapies (e.g., β -interferons, fingolimod)	High-dose steroids in acute phase, some require immunosuppressive maintenance	Acute phase: steroids + plasma exchange; prevention: immunosuppressants (e.g., rituximab) or complement inhibitors (eculizumab)
Comorbidities and Complications	High comorbidity rate (depression, metabolic syndrome) (28)	Low T3 syndrome correlated with disease severity (22)	Frequently associated with other autoimmune diseases (e.g., Sjögren's syndrome, systemic lupus erythematosus) (28)
Prognostic Features	Chronic progressive neurological deterioration	Relapsing-remitting, some patients with monophasic course, overall milder disability	High relapse rate, significant disability accumulation, worse prognosis with AQP4 positivity

This comprehensive table provides a systematic comparison of three major central nervous system demyelinating disorders: Multiple Sclerosis (MS), MOG Antibody-Associated Disease (MOGAD), and Neuromyelitis Optica Spectrum Disorder (NMOSD). The comparison encompasses diagnostic criteria, biomarkers, pathophysiology, clinical manifestations, imaging characteristics, treatment approaches, and prognostic indicators.

Bold text in the tables indicates the primary category or a general description for the corresponding row's data.

particularly when MOG antibodies persist. Oral corticosteroids administered for at least three months (≥ 0.16 mg/kg/day) reduce relapse risk by 88% (53). Adult patients exhibit substantially higher relapse rates (40-80%), especially within six months following initial

presentation, thus requiring more aggressive maintenance therapy. However, standardized protocols remain elusive, and some patients may necessitate extended immunosuppression for several years (42). Complementing pharmacological approaches, nonpharmacological interventions such as long-term functional rehabilitation, regular MOG antibody serological monitoring, and longitudinal MRI surveillance are equally crucial for pediatric MOGAD patients (54).Furthermore, in pediatric patients, MOG antibody titers typically exceed those observed in adults, potentially indicating more robust immune responses and necessitating more cautious tapering strategies (41). From an age-stratified treatment perspective, pediatric patients often benefit from IVIG and gradual steroid tapering to minimize long-term adverse effects (such as growth suppression and metabolic dysregulation) (48, 50). Adult patients require careful balancing of relapse control against medication toxicity, as rituximab may increase infection susceptibility, thus demanding individualized selection (48).

Experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) has long served as a pivotal animal model in neuroimmunological research, providing fundamental insights into the pathogenesis of various demyelinating disorders (55). The evolution of MOG-specific EAE models, particularly those incorporating human MOG-specific T cells and antibodies, has created unprecedented opportunities for investigating MOGAD-specific immunopathological mechanisms (56). Elucidating the parallels between EAE and MOGAD is crucial for unraveling the underlying pathogenic mechanisms and subsequently developing more effective and targeted therapeutic strategies.

This comprehensive review aims to address critical knowledge gaps by synthesizing experimental and clinical evidence across multiple mechanistic and therapeutic domains. Our primary objectives are threefold: First, to provide a detailed analysis of MOGAD pathogenic mechanisms as elucidated through the lens of EAE models, emphasizing novel insights into disease initiation and progression. Second, to critically evaluate current therapeutic strategies in light of experimental evidence, identifying mechanisms of action and potential areas for optimization. Third, to explore emerging therapeutic approaches based on recent mechanistic discoveries, with particular emphasis on targeted interventions that may offer improved efficacy and safety profiles for diverse patient populations.

In the context of rapidly evolving MOGAD research, this narrative review seeks to provide an integrated perspective on disease mechanisms and therapeutic approaches, bridging preclinical insights with clinical applications. We systematically analyze current mainstream therapeutic strategies and comprehensively summarize ongoing clinical trials, thereby offering valuable insights for both evidence-based clinical practice and translational research directions.

In conclusion, MOGAD's age-dependent characteristics significantly influence treatment strategies: pediatric patients require focus on achieving complete remission of predominantly monophasic disease courses and minimizing steroid tapering risks, while adult patients necessitate reinforcement of long-term management for more frequently relapsing disease. Given the ongoing advancement of MOGAD-related research, this article provides a critical overview of current treatment strategies, anticipating that additional high-quality clinical studies, particularly randomized controlled trials, will furnish stronger evidence-based guidance for disease management.

2 Pathophysiology of MOGAD

Research on MOG protein has predominantly focused on its role as an autoantigen in EAE and MS (57, 58). However, MOG protein is now recognized as the principal target antigen in MOGAD (5). MOG protein is a crucial encephalitogenic protein, with expression confined to the outermost layer of CNS myelin and the plasma membrane of oligodendrocytes (59). Its extracellular domain exhibits high CNS specificity and can elicit both cellular and humoral immune responses (60, 61). In humans, MOG antibodies (MOG-Ab) exert pathogenicity by recognizing conformational epitopes of the MOG protein and forming bivalent interactions with its extracellular domain (13). This process can activate the complement system, leading to demyelination through complement-dependent cytotoxicity (CDC), antibody-dependent cellular phagocytosis (ADCP), and antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) mechanisms (14). Notably, while all MOG-IgG subclasses can induce ADCP, the MOG-IgG1 and MOG-IgG3 subclass autoantibodies are particularly potent in inducing CDC (14). Moreover, MOG protein itself can directly activate the classical pathway of the complement system by binding to complement components C1q and C3d, functioning as adhesion molecules, signaling molecules, or activators of the complement cascade (62). This process further amplifies the demyelinating effect.

The current understanding of MOGAD pathogenesis is largely derived from EAE (63, 64), and Table 2 provides a detailed overview of the pathogenic mechanisms and therapeutic insights gained from these models. Additionally, neuropathological and clinical studies have provided corroborating evidence. The CNS pathology in MOGAD patients exhibits complex histological features. Lesions are primarily characterized by confluent primary demyelination surrounding small and medium-sized veins, accompanied by relative axonal preservation in both white and cortical matter, and reactive gliosis. Furthermore, significant complement deposition, along with infiltration and activation of macrophages and microglia, has been observed (12). The inflammatory infiltrate predominantly comprises CD4+ T lymphocytes and neutrophils, reflecting the immune-mediated nature of MOGAD (15). While MOGAD patients exhibit demyelination, the damage to astrocytes and oligodendrocytes is comparatively mild (15). This characteristic suggests fundamental differences in the pathological mechanisms between MOGAD and NMOSD. Moreover, clinical observations have revealed significant peripheral immune activation in MOGAD patients, contrasted with relatively less chronic inflammation within the CNS (12). This feature stands in stark contrast to multiple sclerosis (MS). These findings provide a theoretical foundation for treating MOGAD with plasma exchange (PLEX) or specific immunosuppressants (75), while also paving the way for novel research into targeted therapeutic strategies against specific inflammatory mediators or immune cell subpopulations.

The EAE model provides a fundamental basis for understanding the immunopathological mechanisms of MOGAD; however, the clinical heterogeneity of MOGAD far exceeds the singular pathological manifestations observed in animal models.

10.3389/fimmu.2025.1530977

TABLE 2 Pathogenic mechanisms and therapeutic implications from experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis models in MOG-associated autoimmune disease.

Research Focus	Principal Findings	Underlying Mechanisms	References
EAE Model Pathogenesis	MHC II- dependent antigen presentation by B cells is essential for EAE pathogenesis	Full-length MOG protein with conformational epitopes (P42) activates B cells; MHC II-TCR interactions drive Th1/ Th17 cell activation	(5 <mark>6, 65, 66</mark>)
Pathogenicity of Anti- MOG Antibodies	Antibodies promote demyelination and inflammation via triple mechanisms	FcγR-dependent microglial activation; complement activation; enhanced antigen presentation facilitating T cell activation	(59, 67, 68)
Complement System Role	Elevated complement activation products (SC5b-9, Ba) in MOGAD patients	Lower complement activation efficiency compared to NMOSD; potentially functions as an indirect inflammatory amplifier rather than essential pathogenic component	(67)
Bidirectional B Cell Regulation	Anti-CD20 therapy demonstrates contradictory effects in different EAE models	Dual functionality: pro- inflammatory (APC function) versus anti- inflammatory (IL-10 secretion by regulatory B cells)	(65, 69, 70)
Novel Therapeutic Strategies	Three emerging approaches targeting distinct immune pathways	Anti-CD19 (plasma cell depletion); FcRn targeting (IgG reduction); PLGA nanoparticles (Treg induction)	(68, 71, 72)
Clinical Relevance	Spontaneous RR-EAE in SJL/J mice more closely resembles human disease	MOGAD lesion resolution rate (72-79%) significantly higher than in NMOSD/MS, consistent with model characteristics	(73, 74)
Biomarkers	SIRI index effectively differentiates MOGAD from AQP4- NMOSD	Conformation-specific anti-MOG antibody detection represents the gold standard for MOGAD diagnosis	(68, 73, 74)

Bold text in the tables indicates the primary category or a general description for the corresponding row's data.

This table synthesizes key research findings in MOG-associated autoimmune diseases with emphasis on EAE models, highlighting how different EAE paradigms (protein-induced vs peptide-induced, SJL/J spontaneous models) have revealed distinct disease mechanisms. The table demonstrates the essential role of B cells as antigen-presenting cells in EAE pathogenesis, the pathogenic mechanisms of anti-MOG antibodies, and the model-dependent dual functions of B cells (pro-inflammatory vs regulatory). EAE findings provide translational insights into MOGAD's unique immunopathology compared to MS/NMOSD, supporting diagnostic biomarker development and targeted therapeutic strategies that address specific immune pathways identified through these experimental models. EAE is an autoimmune disease animal model induced by immunization with MOG protein, widely used in studying the pathological mechanisms of multiple sclerosis (MS). In comparing EAE with MOGAD, certain similarities exist, such as MOG serving as the target antigen in the EAE model, which aligns with the autoantibody target in MOGAD patients, suggesting that both conditions may share partial immune pathogenic mechanisms (76). Nevertheless, significant differences exist in their pathological mechanisms: MOGAD patients exhibit marked activation of the complement system (e.g., elevated C3 and C4 levels), whereas T cell-mediated inflammation predominates in the EAE model (77). Additionally, MOGAD patients demonstrate a significantly lower positivity rate of oligoclonal bands (OCB) in cerebrospinal fluid compared to MS patients (16.7% versus 94.2%), which differs markedly from the presentation in MS and its EAE model (77). Additionally, MOGAD patients demonstrate a significantly lower positivity rate of oligoclonal bands (OCB) in cerebrospinal fluid compared to MS patients (16.7% versus 94.2%), which differs markedly from the presentation in MS and its EAE model (78, 79). These differences indicate that although the EAE model helps us understand certain pathological aspects of MOGAD, more comprehensive research models are needed to elucidate the disease's specific immune characteristics and clinical diversity. Future research should further explore the molecular-level similarities and differences between MOGAD and EAE to deepen our understanding of this disease's heterogeneity.

The 2023 MOGAD expert consensus guidelines emphasize the critical importance of MOG-IgG1 testing in patients presenting with compatible clinical phenotypes (1). MOG-IgG titers exhibit significant correlation with patients' clinical manifestations and relapse risk. Clinical studies demonstrate that patients with elevated MOG-IgG titers tend to present with more severe clinical symptoms and may exhibit more extensive CNS involvement, including concurrent optic nerve and spinal cord engagement (79). Furthermore, persistently elevated MOG-IgG titers are associated with a less favorable long-term prognosis, characterized by an increased risk of disability and higher frequency of disease relapses (80).

Studies have demonstrated that MOGAD patients exhibit a higher probability of intrathecal MOG-IgG presence compared to other ADS (81). This phenomenon may be attributed to several factors: Firstly, MOGAD patients may exhibit more pronounced blood-brain barrier (BBB) disruption, facilitating antibody penetration into the CNS (17); Secondly, defects in the bloodspinal cord barrier at the central nervous system-peripheral nervous system (CNS-PNS) transition zones may contribute (82); Lastly, intrathecal synthesis (ITS) of MOG-IgG may also be a contributing factor (83). Clinical observations reveal that the degree of disability, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leukocyte count, and protein levels in MOGAD patients correlate with CSF MOG-IgG titers, but not significantly with serum MOG-IgG titers (81) Furthermore, studies indicate that patients with MOG-IgG ITS tend to exhibit more severe clinical courses, characterized by more pronounced pyramidal tract involvement and spinal cord lesions, with longitudinally extensive transverse myelitis being particularly

prominent (83). The complex immunopathogenesis of MOGAD, leading to oligodendrocyte injury, is schematically depicted in Figure 1, setting the stage for a detailed examination of the contributions from B cells and plasma cells (Section 2.1), T cells (Section 2.2), and innate immunity (Section 2.3).

2.1 The role of B cells and plasma cells, in MOGAD

B cells and their terminally differentiated form, plasma cells, play a pivotal role in the pathogenesis of MOGAD through the production of MOG-Ab. Analogous to NMOSD, MOG-Ab are predominantly produced by plasmablasts and plasma cells in peripheral tissues (84). Studies have demonstrated that the inflammatory infiltrating B cell population primarily comprises B cells expressing CD79a and CD20, with a subset also expressing CD19 and the activation marker CD27 (85). Within the lesions, approximately 10% of lymphocytes are identified as CD38-expressing plasmablasts and CD138-expressing plasma cells. Of these, more than 90% contain IgG antibodies, potentially mediating disease progression (85). Besides MOG protein, B cell activation and function may be modulated by additional antigens, including butyrophilin (86) and erythrocyte membrane-associated proteins (87, 88).

The role of B cells in MOGAD pathogenesis extends beyond MOG-Ab production, encompassing several other crucial aspects. In MOG protein-induced EAE, B cells can function as APCs (66). The binding of B cell receptors (BCRs) to specific conformational epitopes of MOG protein, primarily involving proline 42, histidine 103, and serine 104 in the CC' loop (31), triggers a cascade of



FIGURE 1

Immunopathogenesis of MOG-associated disease and oligodendrocyte injury. **(A)** Initiation and propagation of the immune response: MOG from oligodendrocytes is presented by antigen-presenting cells (APCs) to T cells. This leads to the differentiation of various T helper cell subsets (Th1, Th9, Th17) and the activation of B cells. Th1 cells produce IL-2 and IFN-γ, activating macrophages. Th9 and Th17 cells secrete IL-9 and IL-6 respectively, further modulating the immune response. B cells differentiate into plasma cells, producing MOG-specific antibodies (MOG-Ab). Platelets contribute to the inflammatory process. **(B)** Mechanism of MOG-Ab-mediated oligodendrocyte damage: MOG-Abs bind to MOG on the oligodendrocyte surface. This binding can lead to complement activation through C1q, resulting in the formation of the membrane attack complex (MAC) and subsequent cell lysis. Additionally, MOG-Ab binding may cause crosslinking of MOG proteins, potentially disrupting oligodendrocyte function. **(C)** Role of lymphoid organs: In lymph nodes, further interactions between T cells and B cells occur. This includes the formation of MOG-specific T cells (Tmog) and B cells (Bmog). Some activated T cells may form neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs), contributing in demyelination and axonal damage. The interplay between innate and adaptive immune responses, involving both cellular and humoral immunity, underscores the complexity of MOG-associated autoimmune processes.

biological effects: Firstly, it induces natural killer cell-mediated cytotoxicity; Secondly, it activates mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and protein kinase B (AKT) signaling pathways; Thirdly, it elevates intracellular calcium levels, leading to the activation of stress-related pathways; Lastly, these changes may compromise cellular cytoskeletal integrity (89). Additionally, B cells promote the proliferation of T helper 17 (Th17) cells through interleukin-6 (IL-6) production, thereby exacerbating MOG protein-induced EAE.

MOG-specific B cells (BMOG) exhibit dual functionality: Firstly, they are capable of presenting MOG antigens to MOGspecific T cells (TMOG); Secondly, they interact with TMOG in draining lymph nodes, effectively facilitating the production of autoantibodies (90). Studies demonstrate that BMOG exhibit significantly higher antigen presentation efficiency compared to conventional APCs, approximately 10,000-fold greater (91). However, no correlation has been observed between circulating BMOG and serum anti-MOG-Ab levels (92).

In vitro studies demonstrate that specific MOG-Ab significantly reduce transendothelial electrical resistance (TEER) in blood-brain barrier (BBB) models, directly confirming the capacity of MOG-Ab to compromise BBB integrity (93). Clinical studies have revealed an imbalance in immune cell subsets within the peripheral blood of MOGAD patients: On one hand, there is a reduction in the number of regulatory B cells producing interleukin-10 (IL-10); On the other hand, there is an elevation in levels of pro-inflammatory memory B cells and follicular helper T cells (Tfh), which promote the differentiation of B cells into memory cells and long-lived plasma cells (94).This imbalance in immune cell subsets may be a crucial factor contributing to the persistence of autoimmune responses in MOGAD.

Recent clinical studies have demonstrated significantly elevated levels of multiple immune-related factors in both the CSF and serum of MOGAD patients. These factors primarily fall into two categories: Firstly, activated complement proteins, including C3a, C5a, and Bb (95);Secondly, B cell-associated factors, encompassing α -proliferation-inducing ligand (α -APRIL), B cell activating factor (BAFF), and C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 13 (CXCL13) (96, 97). The elevation of these factors may potentially exacerbate neurological damage in MOGAD patients. This finding not only deepens our understanding of MOGAD pathogenesis but also identifies potential novel targets for future therapeutic strategies.

In conclusion, research indicates that B cells contribute to central nervous system (CNS) damage through multiple mechanisms, including (1): Release of potentially toxic exosomes (2), Secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines (3), Antigen presentation to T cells, and (4) Production of autoantibodies (98). A significant imbalance in immune cell subsets has been observed in the peripheral blood of MOGAD patients, characterized by a decrease in regulatory B cells and an increase in memory B cells and circulating follicular helper T cells (Tfh). This dysregulation in immune cell proportions may be a critical factor exacerbating the autoimmune response (94). These findings not only enhance our understanding of MOGAD pathogenesis but also provide crucial theoretical foundations and potential targets for developing targeted therapeutic strategies.

2.2 The role of T cells, in MOGAD

Despite the low detection rate of MOG-specific T cells (TMOG) in clinical samples, studies suggest they may play a pivotal role in the autoimmune process of MOGAD (99). Research utilizing EAE models has identified CD4+ T cells as the predominant T cell subpopulation in MOGAD lesions (12). During the initiation phase of the disease, these CD4+ T cells release neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs), providing autocrine co-stimulatory signals for T cells (100). APCs process and present MOG protein (101), exposing epitopes composed of nine amino acid residues from the N-terminus of MOG protein on major histocompatibility complex class II (MHC II) molecules. These epitopes are subsequently recognized by TMOG, leading to T cell activation (102). Notably, MHC II molecules are also expressed on the surface of peripheral APCs. This finding suggests that exogenous MOG peptides may bind to and be presented by MHC II molecules without further antigen processing. This mechanism has significant implications for understanding peripheral nervous system (PNS) involvement in MOGAD patients (103).

Multiple effector T cell subsets (Th1, Th17, and Th9) independently induce EAE through distinct yet partially overlapping mechanisms (104). For instance, Th1 cells, driven by IL-12, secrete IFN- γ , which activates macrophages and promotes EAE progression (105). Upon migration to the CNS, myelin-specific Th1 and Th17 cells can induce demyelination and drive chronic inflammation (106). Th9 cells, under the influence of TGF- β and IL-4, produce IL-9 and IL-10, thereby promoting Th17 differentiation (107). Concurrently, clinical studies have revealed an imbalance in T cell subsets in MOGAD patients, characterized by increased proportions of Th1, Th2, and Th17 cells, as well as upregulation of regulatory T cell (Treg)-related cytokines (97, 108). This imbalance may play a crucial role in the pathogenesis and progression of the disease.

MOG-Ab, upon binding to T cells and activating the complement system, can damage oligodendrocytes (109) and specifically target myelin structures, resulting in extensive structural damage (110). This process further exposes antigens, inducing additional T cell recruitment (90), thereby creating a detrimental positive feedback loop. Simultaneously, B cells activate TMOG through antigen presentation, enhancing its ability to penetrate the BBB and subsequently compromising BBB integrity (90). Furthermore, MOG-Ab triggers the activation and proliferation of peripheral MOG-specific T cells in an Fc receptor-dependent manner, leading to damage to the PNS (68).

In summary, during CNS autoimmunity, the adaptive immune system launches a "double hit" on the brain through independent T cell and B cell effector mechanisms, resulting in severe tissue damage (111). This complex immunopathological mechanism elucidates the pathogenesis of MOGAD, providing crucial insights for understanding disease progression and developing potential therapeutic strategies.

2.3 Innate immunity in MOGAD

The innate immune system plays a crucial role in the progression of MOGAD. Despite the current lack of systematic

studies, insights into the underlying mechanisms can be gained through EAE models. The pathological process of MOGAD involves multiple interrelated aspects, including antibodymediated effects, complement system activation, inflammatory cell infiltration, and complex cytokine network regulation. The core pathogenic mechanism of MOGAD initiates with the specific binding of MOG antibodies to MOG proteins. This interaction triggers a cascade of immune responses, primarily including CDC, ADCP, and ADCC, ultimately resulting in oligodendrocyte damage (14). In the CDC process, complement C9 binds to IgG1 or IgG3 antibody-antigen complexes, initiating the classical pathway and forming the membrane attack complex (MAC), which directly induces cellular damage (14, 112). Notably, although complement activation in MOGAD is relatively minor (113), oligodendrocytes are more susceptible to complement attack due to their lower expression of surface complement regulatory proteins (such as complement receptor 1 (CR1), membrane cofactor protein (MCP), and H factor-related protein (HRF)) (114). Research has shown that disease relapse correlates more strongly with CDC and ADCP activity than with absolute MOG-IgG levels, a finding of significant clinical importance (14) Moreover, MOGAD patients exhibit significantly increased protein levels of activated classical complement pathway (CP) and alternative pathway (AP), which escalate with age (95). These findings have significant practical implications for the clinical management and development of personalized treatment strategies for MOGAD patients.

Biopsy and immunohistochemical analysis of brain tissue from MOGAD patients have revealed perivascular infiltration of various inflammatory cells, including microglia, macrophages, and neutrophils, predominantly concentrated around small and medium-sized veins in the vicinity of demyelinating lesions (12, 15).Notably, microglial infiltration within the cortex often extends beyond the demyelinating lesions (12), potentially elucidating the mechanism of lesion expansion. Clinical observational studies have further confirmed that MOGAD patients exhibit higher neutrophilto-lymphocyte ratios (NLR) and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratios (PLR) compared to MS patients (115), as well as elevated levels of neutrophil-associated cytokines (such as interleukin-8 [IL-8] and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor [G-CSF]) (97). Moreover, unlike MS and NMOSD, the acute phase of MOGAD is characterized by upregulation of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) myelin basic protein (MBP) rather than glial fibrillary acidic protein (116).

Neutrophils may play a crucial role in the pathogenesis of MOGAD. Studies on EAE and MS have shown that neutrophils mediate BBB leakage through the secretion of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) (117), and their IL-1 β secretion may perpetuate inflammatory responses, leading to inflammatory damage in MS (118). As one of the first immune cells recruited from the blood to inflammatory sites, neutrophils exhibit both pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory properties, contributing to the balance of immune responses during inflammation (119).

Platelets also play a significant role in CNS inflammatory diseases. Studies have shown that platelets promote the proliferation and differentiation of MOG-specific autoimmune CD4+ T cells into T helper 1 (Th1) and T helper 17 (Th17) cells.

Platelets secrete various cytokines, chemokines, and adhesion molecules, becoming key players in CNS inflammatory diseases by influencing leukocyte differentiation, migration, and infiltration (120).

Regarding the cytokine network, MOGAD patients exhibit significantly elevated levels of Th17-related cytokines (such as interleukin-6 [IL-6], IL-8, G-CSF, and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor [GM-CSF]) in their CSF, along with altered levels of interferon- γ (IFN- γ), interleukin-10 [IL-10], and interleukin-1 receptor antagonist [IL-1Ra] (97). This distinctive cytokine profile, differing from that of MS, may reflect the unique immunopathological mechanisms of MOGAD.

The EAE model provides valuable insights into the pathogenesis of MOGAD. For instance, neutralization of interleukin-9 (IL-9) reduces mast cell infiltration in the CNS and ameliorates EAE symptoms (121). Dectin-1, a C-type lectin receptor, limits CNS inflammation in EAE and promotes beneficial myeloid cellastrocyte interactions through oncostatin M-Osm receptor (OsmR) signaling (122). Moreover, IFN- γ plays a complex role in the pathogenesis of EAE. Interactions between IFN- γ and host CNS cells can selectively promote or inhibit neuroinflammation and pathogenesis (123). Interestingly, atypical EAE relies on interleukin-17 (IL-17) signaling, whereas classical EAE depends on GM-CSF and C-X-C chemokine receptor 2 (CXCR2) (124). Atypical EAE is associated with preferential upregulation of C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 2 (CXCL2) in the brainstem and CXCR2dependent neutrophil recruitment (125).

3 Treatment of MOGAD

Currently, MOGAD treatment approaches are primarily based on clinical experience and extrapolation from other neuroimmunological diseases (particularly MS and AQP4-NMOSD). While large-scale randomized controlled trials (RCTs) specific to this patient population are lacking, observational studies and retrospective analyses have provided relevant evidence for MOGAD treatment.

3.1 Treatments for acute disease phases

Although acute-phase treatment may have limited impact on the long-term progression of MOGAD, timely and effective interventions are crucial for improving prognosis and delaying relapses (126). The primary objectives of acute-phase treatment include suppressing inflammatory responses, limiting central nervous system damage, and ultimately improving long-term neurological function.

Current clinical practice primarily relies on three treatment modalities: corticosteroids, plasma exchange, and intravenous immunoglobulin. Corticosteroids are the first-line treatment for acute MOGAD exacerbations, with the standard regimen typically consisting of intravenous methylprednisolone (IVMP) at a dose of 1g/day for 3–5 days. Research by Ramanathan et al. demonstrated that approximately 80% of patients respond favorably to this

have revealed limitations of monotherapy with corticosteroids: it may be insufficient for severe attacks (21), and the risk of shortterm relapse persists, necessitating consideration of preventive long-term treatment (43, 127-129). Furthermore, timing of treatment is critical. A retrospective study encompassing both AQP4-IgG+ NMOSD and MOGAD suggested that early intervention may lead to better outcomes (130). Notably, timely administration of IVMP in patients with ON can achieve nearcomplete recovery (21). A clinical study involving 42 patients demonstrated that slow tapering of steroids (up to six months) effectively reduced the risk of relapse (131). These findings underscore the necessity of individualized treatment plans and the importance of combining acute-phase interventions with long-term preventive strategies.Studies in MOG-specific EAE models have revealed complex therapeutic effects of high-dose dexamethasone (DXM, 50 mg/kg), demonstrating improved clinical symptoms but paradoxically enhanced neuroinflammation with cognitive impairment (132). This complexity highlights the critical importance of delivery strategies in glucocorticoid therapy. A novel approach utilizing acetalated dextran microparticles coencapsulating MOG peptide and DXM demonstrated remarkable efficacy. Subcutaneous administration of these microparticles (MOG 17.6 µg, DXM 8 µg) at three-day intervals reduced clinical scores from 3.4 to 1.6, significantly outperforming conventional delivery methods. This enhanced therapeutic effect was accompanied by substantial suppression of disease-associated cytokines, including IL-17 and GM-CSF (133) Mechanistic investigations revealed that early DXM intervention not only attenuates clinical manifestations but also inhibits myelin and axonal degeneration while suppressing neuroinflammatory processes. Notably, DXM treatment enhanced mesencephalic astrocyte-derived neurotrophic factor (MANF) expression in spinal cord white matter. The therapeutic potential of MANF was further validated through intravenous administration, which improved early-stage EAE symptoms, suggesting its promise as a novel therapeutic target (134).

regimen (79). However, clinical practice and subsequent studies

Plasma exchange (PLEX) is considered a crucial adjunctive therapy for patients with poor response to corticosteroids or severe disease. The standard PLEX regimen typically involves 5-7 sessions, administered every 1-2 days (135), or immunoadsorption (21). As early as 1999, research demonstrated the efficacy of PLEX for patients with severe demyelinating attacks who did not benefit from intravenous corticosteroids (46). An international survey by Whittam et al. further supported this view, indicating that approximately 70% of experts would opt for PLEX after failed steroid treatment (136). In a study of 50 MOG-ab positive patients, PLEX resulted in (near) complete recovery for 40% of patients, benefiting even those who failed IVMP treatment (21). Another study involving 65 pediatric ADS patients revealed that 72% exhibited moderate to complete functional recovery after PLEX, particularly those with ON and TM (137). However, variability in PLEX response may be related to treatment duration, suggesting that in some cases, PLEX might be prematurely discontinued (138). Intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG), with its immunomodulatory and anti-inflammatory properties, also plays a suitable for pediatric patients or those with contraindications to PLEX, with a standard regimen of 1–5 days and a total dose of 1-2g/kg (not exceeding 1g/kg per day). Research by Hacohen et al. confirmed the efficacy and safety of IVIG in pediatric MOGAD patients (40). More importantly, multiple studies have shown that IVIG can significantly delay short-term relapses and markedly reduce the annualized relapse rate (ARR) before and after treatment (139–141). For patients with severe attacks, high disability at nadir, or unclear response to IVMP, early escalation to PLEX or IVIG should be considered (21, 89, 142–144). However, the optimal timing for these escalation therapies lacks support from randomized controlled trial (RCT) data. Therefore, clinical decision-making often requires timely adjustment of treatment plans based on individual patient circumstances and lisease progression, aiming to maximize acute-phase prognosis and long-term quality of life for MOGAD patients.

3.2 Long-term relapse prevention treatment: overall principles and objectives

The clinical management of MOGAD poses significant challenges, primarily due to its high relapse rate and potential for disability. Studies indicate that approximately 40% of adults and 30% of children experience disease relapse, with recurrent demyelinating episodes leading to varying degrees of neurological damage (44). More concerning is that about half of the patients may develop permanent disabilities, affecting vision, mobility, or sphincter function (52). These data underscore the urgency of developing effective long-term immunosuppressive treatment strategies. Concurrently, an ongoing MOGAD cohort study in China (ClinicalTrials.gov ID NCT06443333) aims to identify expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs) specific to Chinese MOGAD patients, elucidating pathogenic genes and key mechanisms involved in the onset and progression of neuroimmunological diseases.

Currently, immunosuppressive therapies for MOGAD are largely based on experience with AQP4-NMOSD (40), with commonly used drugs including azathioprine (AZA), mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), and rituximab (RTX). Additionally, intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) and tocilizumab (TCZ) have shown potential efficacy (145– 147). These treatment regimens have distinct characteristics and require selection and adjustment based on individual patient circumstances. The pharmacological profiles and radiological responses of these immunomodulatory therapies in MOGAD are further detailed in Table 3.

3.3 Long-term relapse prevention treatment: old era

3.3.1 Azathioprine and mycophenolate mofetil

Azathioprine (AZA), a first-line steroid-sparing immunosuppressive therapy, exerts its antiproliferative effect by inhibiting lymphocyte differentiation (148). The recommended dosage is 2–3 mg/kg/day, with full efficacy typically achieved after 3–6 months (138, 140).

TABLE 3 Pharmacological profiles and radiological responses of immunomodulatory therapies in MOGAD.

Characterist	ics	Azathioprine (AZA)	Mycophenolate Mofetil (MMF)	Rituximab (RTX)	Maintenance IVIG	Tocilizumab (TCZ)
Mechanism of Ad	rtion	Inhibits lymphocyte differentiation, antiproliferative effect (148)	Inhibits guanosine nucleotide synthesis, selective lymphocyte proliferation inhibition (149)	Anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody, B cell depletion (150)	Neutralizes autoantibodies, modulates T cell function	IL-6 receptor antagonist, inhibits inflammation
Recommended D	Pose	2-3 mg/kg/day (138)	1000–2000 mg/day, divided doses	1000 mg every 6 months, or based on CD19+ B cell count (151)	Maintenance: 0.4–2 g/kg every 2–8 weeks	8 mg/kg IV every 4 weeks; 162 mg SC weekly
Time to Effect		3-6 months (140)	3-6 months (140)	Quick, individual variation	Quick	Quick
Efficacy		Average ARR reduction 1.58, stabilizes EDSS (40, 140, 152–154)	73% relapse-free, ARR reduction 1.32 (155)	Reduces relapse rate, data varies (156)	Reduces ARR, suitable for children and pregnant women] (128)	Preliminary reduction in ARR, neurological improvement (79, 145)
Relapse Risk		50% may relapse	27% may relapse	Low relapse risk, varies	Low relapse risk (40, 140)	Low relapse risk, limited data
Main Side Effects	3	Bone marrow suppression, infection risk (151)	Bone marrow suppression, infection risk, teratogenicity (138, 141, 143, 144)	Infusion reactions, infection risk, neutropenia (151, 154, 156–159)	Headache, fever, infusion reactions, thrombosis risk	Infection risk, neutropenia, liver abnormalities, hyperlipidemia
Adverse Reaction	n Rate	24-33%	24-33%	Varies by dose	Low	More data needed
Special Consider	ations	Test TPMT before treatment; combine with corticosteroids	Caution for young females; corticosteroids initially (160)	Monitor CD19+ B cells [3rd-5th month] (151)	Possible long-term use	MOGAD application in research
Monitoring Recommendations		Monitor blood cell count, liver function	Monitor blood cell count, liver function	Monitor CD19+ B cells, adjust dosing	Monitor serum IgG, adjust dose	Monitor liver function, lipid levels, neutrophil count
Comparative Radiological Responses (combined with high-dose corticosteroid pulse therapy)	Optic Nerve Lesions	 Reduction of nerve swelling and enhancement within 3–6 months (131) Decreased T2 hyperintensity extent Optic nerve atrophy reduced from 16% to 8% (52) Decelerated RNFL thinning on OCT (131) 	 Shortened inflammatory phase within 3–6 months (161) Resolution of nerve swelling and enhancement (162) Optic nerve atrophy reduced from 16% to 8% (79) 	 80% of patients show resolution of nerve swelling/enhancement within 3 months 28% exhibit asymptomatic residual optic nerve atrophy Reduced RNFL thinning progression 	 80% of patients show resolution of nerve swelling/enhancement within 3 months (163) 24% exhibit asymptomatic residual optic nerve atrophy (164) 	Extrapolated from NMOSD data: • Potentially rapid lesion resolution within 3–6 months (165) • Reduction in contrast-enhancing lesions (166)
	Spinal Cord Lesions	 Complete resolution of LETM in 77% of patients within 3 months (21) Disappearance of cord swelling and enhancement (131) Spinal cord atrophy rate: 5% (vs. 15% in untreated cohort) (52) 	 Complete resolution of LETM in 77% of patients within 3 months (161) Disappearance of cord swelling and enhancement (162) 73% efficacy in preventing spinal cord relapse (162) 	 Complete resolution of LETM in 77% of patients within 3 months (167) Complete absorption of extensive (up to 15 segments) lesions (168) Spinal cord atrophy rate: 5% (vs. 15% in untreated cohort) (169) 14% breakthrough myelitis (primarily with B-cell repopulation) (167) 	 Complete resolution of LETM in 77% of patients within 3 months (168) Complete absorption of extensive longitudinal lesions (170) Spinal cord atrophy rate: 5% (vs. 15% in untreated cohort) (163) 14% breakthrough myelitis (primarily with insufficient dosing) (171) 	 Potential attenuation of LETM via IL-6- mediated inflammation inhibition (172) Possible prevention of syrinx formation Estimated 93% efficacy in preventing spinal cord relapse (172)

(Continued)

TABLE 3 Continued

Characterist	ics	Azathioprine (AZA)	Mycophenolate Mofetil (MMF)	Rituximab (RTX)	Maintenance IVIG	Tocilizumab (TCZ)
	Brain Lesions	 Complete resolution in 83% of pediatric patients (54) Reduction in new cortical/brainstem lesions (21) Active lesion rate reduction from 0.5 to 0.2 per year (21) 	 Complete resolution in 83% of pediatric patients (162) Reduction in new cortical/brainstem lesions (79) Active lesion rate reduction from 0.5 to 0.2 per year (79) 	 Complete resolution in 83% of patients within 6 months (169) Reduction in new brainstem/cerebellar peduncle lesions (173) 61% potential breakthrough lesions due to immune escape (173) 	 Complete resolution in 83% of patients within 6 months (164) Reduction in new brainstem/cerebellar peduncle lesions (163) 61% potential breakthrough lesions due to immune escape (163) 	 Potential acceleration of lesion resolution and enhancement cessation Reduction in new cerebral lesions Attenuation of blood-brain barrier disruption (166)

This table summarizes the pharmacological characteristics and comparative radiological responses of immunomodulatory therapies for MOGAD treatment. Radiological outcomes presented reflect responses when treatments are administered in combination with high-dose intravenous methylprednisolone pulse therapy. Efficacy data are derived from retrospective observational studies and limited prospective trials, with superscript numbers indicating reference citations. Tocilizumab data are partially extrapolated from NMOSD studies due to limited MOGAD-specific evidence. Radiological improvement timelines and atrophy rates may vary based on lesion severity, treatment initiation timing, and individual patient factors. Bold text in the tables indicates the primary category or a general description for the corresponding row's data.

Multiple retrospective studies have demonstrated that AZA significantly reduces the annualized relapse rate (ARR) by an average of 1.58 and stabilizes EDSS scores (40, 140, 152–154). However, relapses are still observed in approximately 50% of patients, highlighting the need for treatment optimization. Notably, AZA should be initially combined with oral corticosteroids, as most relapses occur in patients not concurrently taking oral prednisone (21, 140) Concurrently, a phase 3 randomized, placebo-controlled trial evaluating AZA for relapse prevention is ongoing (ClinicalTrials.gov ID NCT05349006).

Mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) selectively inhibits B and T lymphocyte proliferation by suppressing *de novo* guanosine nucleotide synthesis (149). The typical dosage is 1000–2000 mg/ day, administered in two divided doses. A meta-analysis revealed that approximately 73% of patients remained relapse-free after MMF treatment, with no significant difference in relapse-free rates between adults and children. MMF treatment reduced the mean ARR by 1.32 (155). Similar to AZA, MMF requires 3–6 months to reach full efficacy and should be initially combined with oral corticosteroids (140).

Although both treatment regimens demonstrate efficacy, they are associated with varying degrees of relapse risk and side effects. The primary side effects of AZA and MMF include bone marrow suppression and increased infection risk, with adverse reactions occurring in approximately 24-33% of patients (58, 133, 136–139, 174). For AZA, testing for TPMT activity prior to treatment initiation is recommended to identify patients at high risk for potentially fatal bone marrow suppression (151). Furthermore, MMF is teratogenic, requiring special consideration when used in young female patients (160).

3.3.2 Rituximab

Rituximab (RTX) is a B-cell depleting monoclonal antibody that targets the CD20 antigen (150). In adults, the typical regimen consists of 1000 mg administered intravenously every 6 months, or individualized dosing based on CD19+ B-cell counts (151). Pediatric dosing protocols differ from those for adults (175). Studies have demonstrated that when employed as a first-line treatment, RTX is associated with a significantly higher reduction in relapse rates compared to alternative therapies (63% vs. 26%) (156). However, the efficacy of RTX in MOGAD appears to be less pronounced than in AQP4-IgG positive NMOSD (167). Recent studies suggest that a treatment regimen based on CD27-positive B-cell repopulation may be more effective. Additionally, FCGR3A gene polymorphism analysis can be employed to assist in evaluating RTX efficacy (176). RTX is associated with a spectrum of adverse effects, including leukopenia, infusion-related reactions, and hypogammaglobulinemia. These side effects lead to treatment discontinuation in approximately 13.71% of patients (151, 154, 156–159).

3.3.3 Maintenance IVIG

Intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) may serve as an effective maintenance treatment option for specific patient populations, particularly children and pregnant women (128). However, the widespread application of this therapy is constrained by its high costs and limited availability (144). The typical IVIG treatment protocol consists of an initial loading dose of 0.4 g/kg daily for 5 consecutive days, followed by a maintenance regimen of 0.4-2 g/kg administered every 2-8 weeks. Compared to other conventional immunotherapies, maintenance IVIG therapy has demonstrated a significant reduction in the annualized relapse rate (ARR) (40, 140). Recent studies have demonstrated the safety and efficacy of subcutaneous immunoglobulin (SCIg) in preventing MOGAD relapses (177). SCIg offers several advantages, including better tolerability, the possibility of self-administration, and the option for home-based treatment when infusion services are available, potentially making it a more convenient therapeutic alternative.

3.3.4 Tocilizumab

Tocilizumab (TCZ) has demonstrated notable efficacy in the treatment of refractory MOGAD patients. Small-scale case series studies suggest that TCZ may be highly effective for MOGAD patients who have been refractory to other immunosuppressive treatments (146, 178). The standard dosing regimen for TCZ in adults is 8 mg/kg administered monthly, with a maximum recommended dose of 800 mg/month. Two studies, collectively

involving 19 pediatric patients, reported that 93% of patients (95% CI [54%-100%], I2 = 71\%, p = 0.06) remained relapse-free during the follow-up period after initiating TCZ treatment. Prior to treatment, the ARRs in these two studies were 1.1 ± 0.4 and 1.78± 1.04, respectively. Post-treatment, the ARR in both studies decreased to 0. These results suggest that TCZ treatment significantly reduced the frequency of relapses in MOGAD patients (79, 145). A 2022 study by Ringelstein et al., involving 14 patients, provided data on TCZ's impact on patient disability. The study utilized the Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) to assess disability. Patients' EDSS scores decreased from 2.75 ± 1.11 before TCZ treatment to 2.03 ± 1.26 after treatment. These results suggest that TCZ may contribute to improving patients' functional status (146). To further validate the potential role of TCZ in MOGAD treatment, a randomized, controlled, multicenter study has been initiated (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT06452537). This largescale clinical trial is anticipated to provide more robust and comprehensive data regarding the efficacy of TCZ in MOGAD treatment. Figure 2 offers a comprehensive visual guide to the therapeutic landscape in MOG-associated disease, delineating key intervention points along the immunopathogenic pathway from the peripheral circulation to the central nervous system. It illustrates how diverse strategies-targeting antigen presentation, T and B cell activity, pathogenic antibody clearance, and critical cytokine pathways like IL-6 signaling-aim to disrupt the disease cascade at multiple levels to ultimately reduce CNS inflammation and protect oligodendrocytes.

3.4 Long-term relapse prevention treatment: future era

3.4.1 Rozanolixizumab

Rozanolixizumab (also known as Rozimab) is a high-affinity humanized immunoglobulin G4 (IgG4) monoclonal antibody that targets the human neonatal Fc receptor (FcRn). This antibody inhibits FcRn activity, resulting in rapid degradation of circulating antibodies, leading to a 70% reduction in antibody levels within 24 hours, an effect comparable to PLEX (179). Currently, rozanolixizumab is primarily indicated for the treatment of myasthenia gravis (180). In February 2022, the first phase 3 placebo-controlled randomized clinical trial for MOGAD was initiated (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT05063162). This trial aims to evaluate the efficacy of rozanolixizumab in preventing relapses in MOGAD (181). Results are pending publication.

3.4.2 Satralizumab

Satralizumab is a humanized immunoglobulin G2 (IgG2) monoclonal antibody produced in Chinese hamster ovary cells using recombinant DNA technology. It exerts its therapeutic effect by binding to both membrane-bound and soluble interleukin-6 (IL-6) receptors, thereby inhibiting the IL-6 signaling pathway (182). A phase III, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter study is currently evaluating the efficacy, safety, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics of satralizumab (Enspryng[®]) as monotherapy or as an adjunct to baseline treatment in MOGAD patients



(ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT05271409) (181). Satralizumab received its first global approval in Canada in June 2020 for the treatment of neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (NMOSD) in AQP4-IgG seropositive adults and children aged 12 years and older, demonstrating favorable outcomes (183).

3.4.3 CT103A Cells

In recent years, cell-based therapies have garnered widespread attention in the field of autoimmune disease treatment. Chimeric Antigen Receptor T-cell (CAR-T) therapy, an innovative treatment approach, is being explored for various refractory diseases. In this context, a new clinical trial (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT04561557) is evaluating the safety and efficacy of a novel CAR-T cell therapy utilizing CT103A cells for the treatment of relapsed/refractory antibody-mediated idiopathic inflammatory diseases. The CT103A CAR-T cell therapy employs genetic engineering techniques to modify T cells, enabling them to specifically recognize and eliminate B cells producing pathological antibodies. This approach aims to fundamentally reduce or eliminate the production of disease-causing autoantibodies, thereby achieving a therapeutic effect (184). While this clinical trial is not specifically targeting MOGAD patients, its outcomes may provide novel insights and approaches for MOGAD treatment.

3.4.4 Calculus Bovis Sativus

Calculus Bovis Sativus (CBS), a traditional Chinese medicine, is documented in historical Chinese medical literature as possessing multiple therapeutic properties, including cardiac relief, phlegm resolution, choleretic effects, and sedative actions. Historically, CBS has been employed to treat a diverse array of conditions, including febrile coma, delirium, epilepsy, infantile convulsions, dental caries, pharyngitis, stomatitis, carbuncles, and furuncles (185). However, these traditional applications are predominantly based on empirical medicine and historical documentation, lacking systematic validation through modern scientific methodologies. To bridge the gap between traditional Chinese medicine theory and modern medical practice, researchers are investigating the potential applications of CBS in specific neurological disorders. Currently, an open-label clinical trial is underway to evaluate the efficacy and safety of CBS in the treatment of idiopathic inflammatory demyelinating diseases (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT06474520). This study holds multifaceted significance: it exemplifies methodological innovation by integrating traditional Chinese medicine with modern clinical trial protocols, sets a precedent for the modernization of Chinese medicine research, and potentially offers novel therapeutic options for patients with idiopathic inflammatory demyelinating diseases. Paving the way for future advancements, Table 4 provides a consolidated overview of the dynamic clinical trial landscape in MOGAD and related neuroimmunological disorders.

4 Conclusions and future perspectives

Translational investigations linking EAE models and MOGAD have significantly progressed our knowledge of disease

NCT Number	Study Title	Acronym	Study Status	Conditions	Interventions	Primary Outcome Measures	Enrollment	Phases
NCT06452537	Safety and Efficacy of Tocilizumab in Patients With MOGAD	TOMATO	Recruiting	MOGAD	DRUG: Tocilizumab DRUG: Prednisone	Time from randomization to the first MOGAD relapse as determined by an adjudication committee	102	PHASE2/3
NCT06474520	Efficacy and Safety of Calculus Bovis Sativus (CBS) for Idiopathic Inflammatory Demyelinating Disease	CBSinIIDD	Not Yet Recruiting	Idiopathic Inflammatory Demyelinating Disease, MS, NMOSD	DRUG: Calculus bovis sativus (CBS)	Modified Rankin Scale (mRS) within 12 weeks after treatment initiation	250	NA
NCT06541626	Sun Yat-Sen Cohort of CNS Idiopathic Inflammatory Demyelinating Diseases		Not Yet Recruiting	MS, NMOSD,MOGAD		Relapse, Long-term neurological function assessed using the EDSS	450	OBSERVATIONAL
NCT05271409	A Study to Evaluate the Efficacy, Safety, Pharmacokinetics, and Pharmacodynamics of Satralizumab in Patients With Myelin Oligodendrocyte Głycoprotein Antibody- Associated Disease	Meteoroid	Not Yet Recruiting	MOGAD	DRUG: Satralizumab, OTHER: Placebo	Time from randomization to the first occurrence of a MOGAD relapse in the DB treatment period	152	PHASE3

TABLE 4

Current clinical trials in MOGAD and related neuroimmunological disorders

TABLE 4 Continued

NCT Number	Study Title	Acronym	Study Status	Conditions	Interventions	Primary Outcome Measures	Enrollment	Phases
NCT05204459	MS-ResearchBiomarkerS	MS-ReBS	Recruiting	MS, NADs, Healthy Aging		Identifying risk factors for disability progression	1000	
NCT05063162	A Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Rozanolixizumab in Adult Participants With MOGAD	cosMOG	Recruiting	MOGAD	DRUG: Rozanolixizumab, OTHER: Placebo	Time from randomization to first independently centrally adjudicated relapse	104	PHASE3
NCT04561557	Safety and Efficacy of CT103A Cells for Relapsed/Refractory Antibody-associated Inflammatory Diseases of the Nervous System	CARTinNS	Recruiting	Autoimmune Diseases of the Nervous System	BIOLOGICAL: CT103A cells, DRUG: Cyclophosphamide and fludarabine	Types and incidence of dose- limiting toxicity (DLT)	36	EARLYPHASE1
NCT06443333	National, Multicentric Registry Study on Neuroimmunological Diseases in China	NIDBase	Recruiting	MS, NMO, Myasthenia Gravis	Data collection and follow-up observation	Annual recurrence rate	7000	N/A
NCT06280755	Clinical Impact Through AI-assisted MS Care	RECLAIM	Not Yet Recruiting	MS, NMO	N/A	Data contribution	7000	N/A
NCT05605951	Acute Optic Neuritis Network	ACON	Recruiting	MS,NMO	Non-interventional study	Visual outcomes	200	N/A
NCT05545384	Immediate vs Delayed Treatment in Anti- MOG Syndromes	IDAR	Not Yet Recruiting	Acute Demyelinating Syndrome	Azathioprine, Rituximab	Annualized relapse rate	86	Phase 2/3
NCT05349006	Azathioprine in MOGAD	MOGwAI	Not Yet Recruiting	MOGAD	Azathioprine, Placebo	Time to first relapse	126	Phase 3

This table summarizes ongoing and planned clinical trials pertinent to Myelin Oligodendrocyte Glycoprotein Antibody-associated Disease (MOGAD) and related neuroimmunological disorders. It encompasses 12 trials, including interventional studies evaluating novel therapeutics such as tocilizumab, and rozanolixizumab, as well as observational studies and registries. The trials span various phases, from early Phase 1 to Phase 3, and include both adult and pediatric populations. Key information provided includes NCT numbers, study titles, acronyms, enrollment targets, and primary outcome measures. This comprehensive overview offers insights into the current landscape of MOGAD research, highlighting emerging treatment strategies and efforts to enhance understanding of disease progression and management.

NA, Not Available.

mechanisms and potential therapeutic goals. In recent years, the pathophysiological mechanisms of MOGAD have been elucidated to a great extend upon lessons learned from EAE models. These experimental results have, in turn, led to lead therapeutic innovations including engineered glucocorticoids and the discovery of novel therapy candidates such as MANF. Systemic evaluation strategies, and borrowing therapeutic approaches from MS and NMOSD have opened up new possibilities for the treatment of MOGAD. The advent of different treatment options, such as monoclonal antibody therapies and cellular-based treatments offers hope for MOGAD patients but needs to be confirmed in future adequately powered clinical trials prior implementing their use widespread.

The integration of EAE models with clinical MOGAD research is of paramount importance for elucidating the pathological mechanisms underlying this disorder. Regarding pathogenesis, EAE models have definitively demonstrated that MOG-specific T cells are essential for disease initiation, while anti-MOG antibodies merely exacerbate demyelination. In contrast, clinical investigations of MOGAD suggest that anti-MOG antibodies may directly participate in the pathogenic process, exemplified by their capacity to disrupt the microtubule cytoskeleton in oligodendrocytes (50, 186). Therefore, when synthesizing findings from both research domains, it is crucial to differentiate between the predominant role of T cells in EAE and the potential direct pathogenic effects of antibodies in MOGAD, while concurrently exploring cooperative mechanisms such as T-B cell interactions in human disease (187, 188). Concerning the controversial role of antibody pathogenicity, although antibodies are not requisite in EAE models, studies have revealed that serum from MOGAD patients can aggravate demyelination in animal models. This observation indicates the necessity for further validation of antibody pathogenicity in human disease through clinical research, such as analyzing correlations between antibody titers and disease activity (50, 189). Notably, transgenic mouse models expressing human MOG have provided valuable platforms for investigating the pathogenicity of human anti-MOG antibodies, further supporting the observation that MOGAD patient serum can exacerbate demyelination in experimental settings (190).

In comparing animal models with clinical phenotypes, EAE models typically manifest as acute monophasic disease courses, whereas approximately 50% of MOGAD patients exhibit relapsing disease trajectories (144). Radiological investigations have revealed that T2 lesions in MOGAD demonstrate greater propensity for complete resolution compared to those in NMOSD and MS, resembling the reversibility of acute inflammation observed in EAE (191). This similarity suggests that EAE models may be valuable for investigating acute-phase mechanisms, though they must be complemented with longitudinal observations that account for the chronic relapsing characteristics of MOGAD. Beyond EAE, toxin-induced demyelination models (such as those utilizing Pseudomonas aeruginosa lipopolysaccharide and lysophosphatidylcholine) have contributed significant insights into myelin repair mechanisms in MOGAD. Particularly, these models demonstrate that remyelination capacity may be more robust in MOGAD compared to MS, which aligns with the clinical observation that MOGAD T2 lesions show greater tendency for complete resolution compared to those in NMOSD and MS (192).Furthermore, MOGAD patients frequently present with multifocal central nervous system involvement, while optic neuritis and myelitis predominate in EAE models (144). This discrepancy indicates the necessity of incorporating multifocal pathology in experimental model design to more accurately recapitulate human disease phenotypes.

Translational research on therapeutic strategies demonstrates that B-cell depletion is ineffective or potentially diseaseexacerbating in EAE models, whereas clinical studies of MOGAD indicate that rituximab (anti-CD20) may be efficacious in a subset of patients (144, 193). This discrepancy underscores the necessity for comprehensive analysis of functional differences among B-cell subpopulations (such as plasma cells) in disease pathogenesis, and for exploration of novel B-cell-targeted therapies, including Bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibitors (194). Regarding antibody-targeted therapeutics, EAE model investigations have demonstrated that anti-FcRn antibodies can reduce IgG circulation, ameliorate neurological dysfunction, and improve visual function, thereby providing a theoretical foundation for clinical MOGAD treatments (such as efgartigimod) (189, 195). However, these findings require further validation through rigorous clinical trials.

In the domain of biomarker development for diagnosis and prognosis, cerebral lesions in MOGAD predominantly involve cortical and subcortical regions, whereas periventricular lesions characterize MS (196, 197). EAE models can be utilized to simulate specific lesion patterns (such as optic neuritis) and, in conjunction with high-resolution MRI, validate human imaging biomarkers (such as "H-type" spinal cord lesions) (144). Concurrently, optimization of anti-MOG antibody detection methodologies (comparing live-cell versus fixed-cell assays) and stratification studies correlating antibody titers with clinical phenotypes (such as monophasic versus relapsing disease) hold significant clinical relevance (144, 189).

Regarding the investigation of cooperative mechanisms and disease model optimization, T-B cell cooperative pathogenesis has been documented in EAE models, and analogous immune interactions may exist in MOGAD patients (188). The establishment of humanized murine models (such as those incorporating transplanted patient T and B lymphocytes) to simulate the human immune microenvironment facilitates comprehensive understanding of these mechanisms (187). Additionally, given that EAE predominantly represents acute disease manifestations while the progression mechanisms of MOGAD remain incompletely elucidated, the development of chronic or relapsing-remitting EAE models, in combination with passive transfer of anti-MOG antibodies, may more accurately recapitulate human disease progression (187, 194).

In conclusion, the integration of EAE and MOGAD research necessitates careful consideration of both similarities and differences between experimental models and clinical manifestations, with particular emphasis on T-B cell interactions, validation of antibody pathogenicity, and translation of therapeutic strategies. Through interdisciplinary collaboration encompassing fundamental immunology, neuroradiology, and clinical trial design, advancements in mechanistic elucidation and precision therapeutics for MOGAD can be substantially accelerated.

There are many challenges on the horizon in MOGAD that need to be addressed, but we anticipate a rapidly changing therapeutic landscape. Given the clinical heterogeneity of MOGAD and limitations in current EAE models to capture all aspects of human disease, continued experimental optimization is required. It is essential that EAE models mimicking MOGADspecific pathogenic mechanisms be developed, particularly in developing platforms that incorporate human MOG-specific immune responses. Fundamental scientific investigation should push the boundaries of our understanding into molecular processes underlying MOGAD, including production and implications of the MOG IgGs by expansion in B cells as well as functions both regulatory on T-cells and within CNS inflammatory cascades. This knowledge has to be translated into therapeutic targets or biomarkers, and clinical research should combine evaluation of new therapies as well as predictive strategies for differential responsiveness to treatment.

We need to work with researchers, clinicians and patient communities across disciplines. Through enhanced international cooperation and continuous innovation in research methodologies, we anticipate significant improvements in personalized treatment approaches for MOGAD patients. Our ultimate goal extends beyond symptom management to developing comprehensive strategies for preventing disease onset and halting progression. These concerted efforts aim to provide more effective personalized treatment regimens for MOGAD patients, potentially leading to better quality of life and long-term prognosis in the near future.

Author contributions

YZ: Writing – original draft. DL: Funding acquisition, Resources, Writing – review & editing.

References

1. Banwell B, Bennett JL, Marignier R, Kim HJ, Brilot F, Flanagan EP, et al. Diagnosis of myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease: International MOGAD Panel proposed criteria. *Lancet Neurol.* (2023) 22:268–82. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(22)00431-8

2. Karni A, Bakimer-Kleiner R, Abramsky O, Ben-Nun A. Elevated levels of antibody to myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein is not specific for patients with multiple sclerosis. *Arch Neurol.* (1999) 56:311–5. doi: 10.1001/archneur.56.3.311

3. Lennon VA, Kryzer TJ, Pittock SJ, Verkman AS, Hinson SR. IgG marker of opticspinal multiple sclerosis binds to the aquaporin-4 water channel. *J Exp Med.* (2005) 202:473–7. doi: 10.1084/jem.20050304

4. Mader S, Gredler V, Schanda K, Rostasy K, Dujmovic I, Pfaller K, et al. Complement activating antibodies to myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein in neuromyelitis optica and related disorders. *J Neuroinflammation*. (2011) 8:184. doi: 10.1186/1742-2094-8-184

5. Jarius S, Paul F, Aktas O, Asgari N, Dale RC, de Seze J, et al. MOG encephalomyelitis: international recommendations on diagnosis and antibody testing. *J Neuroinflammation*. (2018) 15:134. doi: 10.1186/s12974-018-1144-2

6. Thompson AJ, Banwell BL, Barkhof F, Carroll WM, Coetzee T, Comi G, et al. Diagnosis of multiple sclerosis: 2017 revisions of the McDonald criteria. *Lancet Neurol.* (2018) 17:162–73. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(17)30470-2

 Wingerchuk DM, Banwell B, Bennett JL, Cabre P, Carroll W, Chitnis T, et al. International consensus diagnostic criteria for neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders. *Neurology*. (2015) 85:177–89. doi: 10.1212/WNL.000000000001729

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was funded by the Public Health and Technology Project of Tianjin [grant number TJWJ2021MS022] and Tianjin Key Medical Discipline (Specialty) Construction Project [grant number TJYXZDXK].

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Mr. Xian Zhang for the design and drawing of the figures.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

8. Ciccarelli O, Toosy AT, Thompson A, Hacohen Y. Navigating through the recent diagnostic criteria for MOGAD: challenges and practicalities. *Neurology*. (2023) 100:689–90. doi: 10.1212/WNL.000000000207238

9. Wang X, Bao L. Comparison of ocular changes in multiple sclerosis and neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder patients. *Front Neurol.* (2024) 15. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2024.1417814

10. Dendrou CA, Fugger L, Friese MA. Immunopathology of multiple sclerosis. Nat Rev Immunol. (2015) 15:545–58. doi: 10.1038/nri3871

11. Peterson LK, Fujinami RS. Inflammation, demyelination, neurodegeneration and neuroprotection in the pathogenesis of multiple sclerosis. *J Neuroimmunol.* (2007) 184:37–44. doi: 10.1016/j.jneuroim.2006.11.015

12. Höftberger R, Guo Y, Flanagan EP, Lopez-Chiriboga AS, Endmayr V, Hochmeister S, et al. The pathology of central nervous system inflammatory demyelinating disease accompanying myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein autoantibody. *Acta Neuropathol.* (2020) 139:875–92. doi: 10.1007/s00401-020-02132-v

13. Macrini C, Gerhards R, Winklmeier S, Bergmann L, Mader S, Spadaro M, et al. Features of MOG required for recognition by patients with MOG antibody-associated disorders. *Brain.* (2021) 144:2375–89. doi: 10.1093/brain/awab105

14. Yandamuri SS, Filipek B, Obaid AH, Lele N, Thurman JM, Makhani N, et al. MOGAD patient autoantibodies induce complement, phagocytosis, and cellular cytotoxicity. *JCI Insight*. (2023) 8:e165373. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.165373

15. Takai Y, Misu T, Kaneko K, Chihara N, Narikawa K, Tsuchida S, et al. Myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease: an immunopathological study. *Brain.* (2020) 143:1431–46. doi: 10.1093/brain/awaa102

16. Reindl M, Waters P. Myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibodies in neurological disease. *Nat Rev Neurol.* (2019) 15:89-102. doi: 10.1038/s41582-018-0112-x

17. Corbali O, Chitnis T. Pathophysiology of myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody disease. *Front Neurol.* (2023) 14:1137998. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2023.1137998

18. Papadopoulos MC, Bennett JL, Verkman AS. Treatment of neuromyelitis optica: state-of-the-art and emerging therapies. *Nat Rev Neurol.* (2014) 10:493–506. doi: 10.1038/nrneurol.2014.141

19. Saikali P, Cayrol R, Vincent T. Anti-aquaporin-4 auto-antibodies orchestrate the pathogenesis in neuromyelitis optica. *Autoimmun Rev.* (2009) 9:132–5. doi: 10.1016/ j.autrev.2009.04.004

20. Sechi E, Buciuc M, Pittock SJ, Chen JJ, Fryer JP, Jenkins SM, et al. Positive predictive value of myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein autoantibody testing. *JAMA Neurol.* (2021) 78:741–6. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2021.0912

21. Jarius S, Ruprecht K, Kleiter I, Borisow N, Asgari N, Pitarokoili K, et al. MOG-IgG in NMO and related disorders: a multicenter study of 50 patients. Part 2: Epidemiology, clinical presentation, radiological and laboratory features, treatment responses, and long-term outcome. *J Neuroinflammation*. (2016) 13:280. doi: 10.1186/ s12974-016-0718-0

22. Wu Y, Zhou H, Ci X, Lu J. Low T3 syndrome is associated with the severity of myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease exacerbation. *Front Neurosci.* (2024) 18. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2024.1357633

23. Negro-Demontel L, Maleki AF, Reich DS, Kemper C. The complement system in neurodegenerative and inflammatory diseases of the central nervous system. *Front Neurol.* (2024) 15. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2024.1396520

24. Dobson R, Giovannoni G. Multiple sclerosis - a review. Eur J Neurol. (2019) 26:27-40. doi: 10.1111/ene.2019.26.issue-1

25. Wang J, Cui C, Lu Y, Chang Y, Wang Y, Li R, et al. Therapeutic response and possible biomarkers in acute attacks of neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders: A prospective observational study. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:720907. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.720907

26. Gklinos P, Dobson R. Myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein-antibody associated disease: an updated review of the clinical spectrum, pathogenetic mechanisms and therapeutic management. *Antibodies (Basel)*. (2024) 13:43. doi: 10.3390/antib13020043

27. Rodin RE, Chitnis T. Soluble biomarkers for Neuromyelitis Optica Spectrum Disorders: a mini review. *Front Neurol.* (2024) 15:1415535/full. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2024.1415535/full

28. Barzegar M, Drulovic J, Nociti V. Editorial: Comorbidity in multiple sclerosis and related disorders. Front Immunol. (2024) 15. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1469614

29. Brunner C, Lassmann H, Waehneldt TV, Matthieu JM, Linington C. Differential ultrastructural localization of myelin basic protein, myelin/oligodendroglial glycoprotein, and 2',3'-cyclic nucleotide 3'-phosphodiesterase in the CNS of adult rats. J Neurochem. (1989) 52:296–304. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-4159.1989.tb10930.x

30. Clements CS, Reid HH, Beddoe T, Tynan FE, Perugini MA, Johns TG, et al. The crystal structure of myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein, a key autoantigen in multiple sclerosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2003) 100:11059–64. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1833158100

31. Tea F, Lopez JA, Ramanathan S, Merheb V, Lee FXZ, Zou A, et al. Characterization of the human myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody response in demyelination. *Acta Neuropathol Commun.* (2019) 7:145. doi: 10.1186/ s40478-019-0786-3

32. Zhou D, Srivastava R, Nessler S, Grummel V, Sommer N, Brück W, et al. Identification of a pathogenic antibody response to native myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein in multiple sclerosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2006) 103:19057–62. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0607242103

33. Chang X, Jiao K, Wang D, Zhou Y, Zhao Z, Xing Y, et al. The immune imbalance between follicular regulatory and helper T cells in myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein IgG-associated disease. *Clin Immunol.* (2023) 255:109734. doi: 10.1016/j.clim.2023.109734

34. Poppell M, Hammel G, Ren Y. Immune regulatory functions of macrophages and microglia in central nervous system diseases. *IJMS*. (2024) 24:5925. doi: 10.3390/ ijms24065925

35. Sharma G, Gopinath S, Lakshmi Narasimhan R. Exploring the molecular aspects of glycosylation in MOG antibodyDisease (MOGAD). *CPPS*. (2022) 23:384–94. doi: 10.2174/1389203723666220815110509

36. Fadda G, Armangue T, Hacohen Y, Chitnis T, Banwell B. Paediatric multiple sclerosis and antibody-associated demyelination: clinical, imaging, and biological considerations for diagnosis and care. *Lancet Neurol.* (2021) 20:136–49. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(20)30432-4

37. Kitley J, Woodhall M, Waters P, Leite MI, Devenney E, Craig J, et al. Myelinoligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibodies in adults with a neuromyelitis optica phenotype. *Neurology*. (2012) 79:1273–7. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e31826aac4e

38. Kitley J, Waters P, Woodhall M, Leite MI, Murchison A, George J, et al. Neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders with aquaporin-4 and myelin-

oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibodies: a comparative study. JAMA Neurol. (2014) 71:276-83. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2013.5857

39. Sato DK, Callegaro D, Lana-Peixoto MA, Waters PJ, de Haidar Jorge FM, Takahashi T, et al. Distinction between MOG antibody-positive and AQP4 antibody-positive NMO spectrum disorders. *Neurology.* (2014) 82:474–81. doi: 10.1212/WNL.00000000000101

40. Hacohen Y, Wong YY, Lechner C, Jurynczyk M, Wright S, Konuskan B, et al. Disease course and treatment responses in children with relapsing myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease. *JAMA Neurol.* (2018) 75:478–87. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2017.4601

41. Sun X, Qiu W, Wang J, Wang S, Wang Y, Zhong X, et al. Myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein-associated disorders are associated with HLA subtypes in a Chinese paediatric-onset cohort. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. (2020) 91:733–9. doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2019-322115

42. Bartels F, Lu A, Oertel FC, Finke C, Paul F, Chien C. Clinical and neuroimaging findings in MOGAD-MRI and OCT. *Clin Exp Immunol.* (2021) 206:266–81. doi: 10.1111/cei.13641

43. Cobo-Calvo A, Ruiz A, Rollot F, Arrambide G, Deschamps R, Maillart E, et al. Clinical features and risk of relapse in children and adults with myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease. *Ann Neurol.* (2021) 89:30–41. doi: 10.1002/ ana.25909

44. Marignier R, Hacohen Y, Cobo-Calvo A, Pröbstel AK, Aktas O, Alexopoulos H, et al. Myelin-oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease. *Lancet Neurol.* (2021) 20:762–72. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(21)00218-0

45. Ruskamo S, Raasakka A, Pedersen JS, Martel A, Škubník K, Darwish T, et al. Human myelin proteolipid protein structure and lipid bilayer stacking. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* (2022) 79:419. doi: 10.1007/s00018-022-04428-6

46. Weinshenker BG, O'Brien PC, Petterson TM, Noseworthy JH, Lucchinetti CF, Dodick DW, et al. A randomized trial of plasma exchange in acute central nervous system inflammatory demyelinating disease. *Ann Neurol.* (1999) 46:878–86. 10.1002/1531-8249(199912)46:6<878::aid-ana10>3.0.co;2-q

47. Yılmaz Ü. The diagnosis of myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibodyassociated disease (MOGAD) in children. *Explor Neuroprot Ther.* (2024) 4:38–54. doi: 10.37349/ent

48. Messias K, Marques VD, Messias A. Myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated optic neuritis: an update. *Arq Bras Oftalmol.* (2023) 86:83–92. doi: 10.5935/0004-2749.20230012

49. Wolf AB, Palace J, Bennett JL. Emerging principles for treating myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease (MOGAD). *Curr Treat Options Neurol.* (2023) 25:437–53. doi: 10.1007/s11940-023-00776-1

50. Al-Ani A, Chen JJ, Costello F. Myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibodyassociated disease (MOGAD): current understanding and challenges. *J Neurol.* (2023) 270:4132–50. doi: 10.1007/s00415-023-11737-8

51. Cobo-Calvo A, Ruiz A, Maillart E, Audoin B, Zephir H, Bourre B, et al. Clinical spectrum and prognostic value of CNS MOG autoimmunity in adults: The MOGADOR study. *Neurology*. (2018) 90:e1858-69. doi: 10.1212/WNL.00000000005560

52. Jurynczyk M, Messina S, Woodhall MR, Raza N, Everett R, Roca-Fernandez A, et al. Clinical presentation and prognosis in MOG-antibody disease: a UK study. *Brain.* (2017) 140:3128–38. doi: 10.1093/brain/awx276

53. Trewin BP, Dale RC, Qiu J, Chu M, Jeyakumar N, Dela Cruz F, et al. Oral corticosteroid dosage and taper duration at onset in myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease influences time to first relapse. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* (2024) 95:1054–63. doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2024-333463

54. Ambrosius W, Michalak S, Kozubski W, Kalinowska A. Myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease: current insights into the disease pathophysiology, diagnosis and management. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2020) 22:100. doi: 10.3390/ijms22010100

55. Glatigny S, Bettelli E. Experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) as animal models of multiple sclerosis (MS). *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med.* (2018) 8: a028977. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a028977

56. Lyons JA, San M, Happ MP, Cross AH. B cells are critical to induction of experimental allergic encephalomyelitis by protein but not by a short encephalitogenic peptide. *Eur J Immunol.* (1999) 29:3432–9. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1521-4141(199911) 29:11<23432::AID-IMMU3432>3.0.CO;2-2

57. Kwon YN, Kim B, Kim J-S, Mo H, Choi K, Oh S-I. Myelin Oligodendrocyte Glycoprotein-Immunoglobulin G in the CSF: Clinical Implication of Testing and Association With Disability. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm*. (2022) 9:e1095. doi: 10.1212/NXI.00000000001095

58. Kerlero de Rosbo N, Milo R, Lees MB, Burger D, Bernard CC, Ben-Nun A. Reactivity to myelin antigens in multiple sclerosis. Peripheral blood lymphocytes respond predominantly to myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein. *J Clin Invest.* (1993) 92:2602–8. doi: 10.1172/JCI116875

59. Peschl P, Bradl M, Höftberger R, Berger T, Reindl M. Myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein: deciphering a target in inflammatory demyelinating diseases. *Front Immunol.* (2017) 8. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.00529

60. Mayer MC, Meinl E. Glycoproteins as targets of autoantibodies in CNS inflammation: MOG and more. *Ther Adv Neurol Disord*. (2012) 5:147–59. doi: 10.1177/1756285611433772

61. Iglesias A, Bauer J, Litzenburger T, Schubart A, Linington C–. and B-cell responses to myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis and multiple sclerosis. *Glia.* (2001) 36:220–34. doi: 10.1002/glia.v36:2

62. Jégou JF, Chan P, Schouft MT, Griffiths MR, Neal JW, Gasque P, et al. C3d binding to the myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein results in an exacerbated experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *J Immunol.* (2007) 178:3323–31. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.178.5.3323

63. Dagkonaki A, Avloniti M, Evangelidou M, Papazian I, Kanistras I, Tseveleki V, et al. Mannan-MOG35-55 reverses experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis, inducing a peripheral type 2 myeloid response, reducing CNS inflammation, and preserving axons in spinal cord lesions. *Front Immunol.* (2020) 11. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.575451

64. Tanaka K, Kezuka T, Ishikawa H, Tanaka M, Sakimura K, Abe M, et al. Pathogenesis, clinical features, and treatment of patients with myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG) autoantibody-associated disorders focusing on optic neuritis with consideration of autoantibody-binding sites: A review. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2023) 24:13368. doi: 10.3390/ijms241713368

65. Geladaris A, Häusser-Kinzel S, Pretzsch R, Nissimov N, Lehmann-Horn K, Häusler D, et al. IL-10-providing B cells govern pro-inflammatory activity of macrophages and microglia in CNS autoimmunity. *Acta Neuropathol.* (2023) 145:461–77. doi: 10.1007/s00401-023-02552-6

66. Molnarfi N, Schulze-Topphoff U, Weber MS, Patarroyo JC, Prod'homme T, Varrin-Doyer M, et al. MHC class II-dependent B cell APC function is required for induction of CNS autoimmunity independent of myelin-specific antibodies. *J Exp Med.* (2013) 210:2921–37. doi: 10.1084/jem.20130699

67. Mader S, Ho S, Wong HK, Baier S, Winklmeier S, Riemer C, et al. Dissection of complement and Fc-receptor-mediated pathomechanisms of autoantibodies to myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* (2023) 120:e2300648120. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2300648120

68. Kinzel S, Lehmann-Horn K, Torke S, Häusler D, Winkler A, Stadelmann C, et al. Myelin-reactive antibodies initiate T cell-mediated CNS autoimmune disease by opsonization of endogenous antigen. *Acta Neuropathol.* (2016) 132:43–58. doi: 10.1007/s00401-016-1559-8

69. Weber MS, Prod'homme T, Patarroyo JC, Molnarfi N, Karnezis T, Lehmann-Horn K, et al. B-cell activation influences T-cell polarization and outcome of anti-CD20 B-cell depletion in central nervous system autoimmunity. *Ann Neurol.* (2010) 68:369– 83. doi: 10.1002/ana.22081

70. Matsushita T, Yanaba K, Bouaziz JD, Fujimoto M, Tedder TF. Regulatory B cells inhibit EAE initiation in mice while other B cells promote disease progression. *J Clin Invest.* (2008) 118:3420–30. doi: 10.1172/JCI36030

71. Galicia G, Boulianne B, Pikor N, Martin A, Gommerman JL. Secondary B cell receptor diversification is necessary for T cell mediated neuro-inflammation during experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *PloS One*. (2013) 8:e61478. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0061478

72. Chen D, Gallagher S, Monson NL, Herbst R, Wang Y. Inebilizumab, a B celldepleting anti-CD19 antibody for the treatment of autoimmune neurological diseases: insights from preclinical studies. *J Clin Med.* (2016) 5:107. doi: 10.3390/jcm5120107

73. Pöllinger B, Krishnamoorthy G, Berer K, Lassmann H, Bösl MR, Dunn R, et al. Spontaneous relapsing-remitting EAE in the SJL/J mouse: MOG-reactive transgenic T cells recruit endogenous MOG-specific B cells. J Exp Med. (2009) 206:1303–16. doi: 10.1084/jem.20090299

74. Liu R, Du S, Zhao L, Jain S, Sahay K, Rizvanov A, et al. Autoreactive lymphocytes in multiple sclerosis: Pathogenesis and treatment target. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.996469

75. Demuth S, Guillaume M, Bourre B, Ciron J, Zephir H, Sirejacob Y, et al. Treatment regimens for neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder attacks: a retrospective cohort study. *J Neuroinflammation*. (2022) 19:62. doi: 10.1186/s12974-022-02420-2

76. Wang Y, Danzeng Q, Jiang W, Han B, Zhu X, Liu Z, et al. A retrospective study of myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease from a clinical laboratory perspective. *Front Neurol.* (2023) 14. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2023.1187824

77. Vlad B, Reichen I, Neidhart S, Hilty M, Lekaditi D, Heuer C, et al. Basic CSF parameters and MRZ reaction help in differentiating MOG antibody-associated autoimmune disease versus multiple sclerosis. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1237149. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1237149

78. Havla J, Pakeerathan T, Schwake C, Bennett JL, Kleiter I, Felipe-Rucián A, et al. Age-dependent favorable visual recovery despite significant retinal atrophy in pediatric MOGAD: how much retina do you really need to see well? *J Neuroinflammation*. (2021) 18:121. doi: 10.1186/s12974-021-02160-9

79. Ramanathan S, Mohammad S, Tantsis E, Nguyen TK, Merheb V, Fung VSC, et al. Clinical course, therapeutic responses and outcomes in relapsing MOG antibodyassociated demyelination. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. (2018) 89:127–37. doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2017-316880

80. Satukijchai C, Mariano R, Messina S, Sa M, Woodhall MR, Robertson NP, et al. Factors associated with relapse and treatment of myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein

antibody-associated disease in the United Kingdom. JAMA Netw Open. (2022) 5: e2142780. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.42780

81. Kwon YN, Kim B, Kim JS, Mo H, Choi K, Oh S, et al. Myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein-immunoglobulin G in the CSF. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm.* (2022) 9:e1095. doi: 10.1212/NXI.000000000001095

82. Gupta P, Paul P, Redenbaugh V, Guo Y, Lucchinetti C, Abdulrahman Y, et al. Peripheral nervous system manifestations of MOG antibody associated disease. *Ann Clin Trans Neurol.* (2024) 11:1046–52. doi: 10.1002/acn3.52001

83. Greco G, Risi M, Masciocchi S, Businaro P, Rigoni E, Zardini E, et al. Clinical P, prognostic, Jun 06; pathophysiological implications of MI detection in the C the importance of intrathecal MI synthesis JNNP 2024, PracticeUpdate. [cited 2024 Jul 30]. Clinical, Prognostic, and Pathophysiological Implications of CSF MOG-IgG Detection. *Neurol J Neurosurgery*. (2024) 95:1176–86. doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2024-333554

84. Chihara N, Aranami T, Sato W, Miyazaki Y, Miyake S, Okamoto T, et al. Interleukin 6 signaling promotes anti-aquaporin 4 autoantibody production from plasmablasts in neuromyelitis optica. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2011) 108:3701–6. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1017385108

85. Höftberger R, Leisser M, Bauer J, Lassmann H. Autoimmune encephalitis in humans: how closely does it reflect multiple sclerosis? *Acta Neuropathol Commun.* (2015) 3:80. doi: 10.1186/s40478-015-0260-9

86. Stefferl A, Schubart A, Storch2 M, Amini A, Mather I, Lassmann H, et al. Butyrophilin, a milk protein, modulates the encephalitogenic T cell response to myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *J Immunol.* (2000) 165:2859–65. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.165.5.2859

87. Xu H, Foltz L, Sha Y, Madlansacay MR, Cain C, Lindemann G, et al. Cloning and characterization of human erythroid membrane-associated protein, human ERMAP. *Genomics.* (2001) 76:2–4. doi: 10.1006/geno.2001.6600

88. Litzenburger T, Blüthmann H, Morales P, Pham-Dinh D, Dautigny A, Wekerle H, et al. Development of myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein autoreactive transgenic B lymphocytes: receptor editing *in vivo* after encounter of a self-antigen distinct from myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein. *J Immunol.* (2000) 165:5360–6. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.165.9.5360

89. Brilot F, Dale RC, Selter RC, Grummel V, Reddy Kalluri S, Aslam M, et al. Antibodies to native myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein in children with inflammatory demyelinating central nervous system disease. *Ann Neurol.* (2009) 66:833–42. doi: 10.1002/ana.21916

90. Flach AC, Litke T, Strauss J, Haberl M, Gómez CC, Reindl M, et al. Autoantibody-boosted T-cell reactivation in the target organ triggers manifestation of autoimmune CNS disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* (2016) 113:3323–8. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.1519608113

91. Lanzavecchia A. Antigen-specific interaction between T and B cells. *Nature*. (1985) 314:537–9. doi: 10.1038/314537a0

92. Winklmeier S, Schlüter M, Spadaro M, Thaler FS, Vural A, Gerhards R, et al. Identification of circulating MOG-specific B cells in patients with MOG antibodies. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflammation*. (2019) 6:625. doi: 10.1212/ NXI.000000000000625

93. Takeshita Y, Obermeier B, Cotleur AC, Spampinato SF, Shimizu F, Yamamoto E, et al. Effects of neuromyelitis optica-IgG at the blood-brain barrier in *vitro*. *Neurol Neuroinflamm*. (2017) 4:e311. doi: 10.1212/NXI.00000000000311

94. Li X, Wang L, Zhou L, ZhangBao J, Miao MZ, Lu C, et al. The imbalance between regulatory and memory B cells accompanied by an increased number of circulating T-follicular helper cells in MOG-antibody-associated demyelination. *Mult Scler Relat Disord.* (2019) 36:101397. doi: 10.1016/j.msard.2019.101397

95. Keller CW, Lopez JA, Wendel EM, Ramanathan S, Gross CC, Klotz L, et al. Complement activation is a prominent feature of MOGAD. *Ann Neurol.* (2021) 90:976–82. doi: 10.1002/ana.26226

96. Kothur K, Wienholt L, Tantsis EM, Earl J, Bandodkar S, Prelog K, et al. B cell, th17, and neutrophil related cerebrospinal fluid cytokine/chemokines are elevated in MOG antibody associated demyelination. *PloS One*. (2016) 11:e0149411. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0149411

97. Kaneko K, Sato DK, Nakashima I, Ogawa R, Akaishi T, Takai Y, et al. CSF cytokine profile in MOG-IgG+ neurological disease is similar to AQP4-IgG+ NMOSD but distinct from MS: a cross-sectional study and potential therapeutic implications. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. (2018) 89:927–36. doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2018-317969

98. Jain RW, Yong VW. B cells in central nervous system disease: diversity, locations and pathophysiology. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2022) 22:513–24. doi: 10.1038/s41577-021-00652-6

99. Hofer LS, Ramberger M, Gredler V, Pescoller AS, Rostásy K, Sospedra M, et al. Comparative analysis of T-cell responses to aquaporin-4 and myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein in inflammatory demyelinating central nervous system diseases. *Front Immunol.* (2020) 11:1188. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.01188

100. Costanza M, Poliani PL, Portararo P, Cappetti B, Musio S, Pagani F, et al. DNA threads released by activated CD4+ T lymphocytes provide autocrine costimulation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* (2019) 116:8985–94. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1822013116

101. Tompkins SM, Padilla J, Dal Canto MC, Ting JPY, Van Kaer L, Miller SD. *De novo* central nervous system processing of myelin antigen is required for the initiation of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *J Immunol.* (2002) 168:4173–83. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.168.8.4173

102. Zamvil SS, Mitchell DJ, Moore AC, Kitamura K, Steinman L, Rothbard JB. Tcell epitope of the autoantigen myelin basic protein that induces encephalomyelitis. *Nature*. (1986) 324:258–60. doi: 10.1038/324258a0

103. Slavin AJ, Soos JM, Stuve O, Patarroyo JC, Weiner HL, Fontana A, et al. Requirement for endocytic antigen processing and influence of invariant chain and H-2M deficiencies in CNS autoimmunity. *J Clin Invest.* (2001) 108:1133–9. doi: 10.1172/JCI13360

104. Jäger A, Dardalhon V, Sobel RA, Bettelli E, Kuchroo VK. Th1, th17, and th9 effector cells induce experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis with different pathological phenotypes1. *J Immunol.* (2009) 183:7169–77. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0901906

105. Merrill JE, Kono DH, Clayton J, Ando DG, Hinton DR, Hofman FM. Inflammatory leukocytes and cytokines in the peptide-induced disease of experimental allergic encephalomyelitis in SJL and B10.PL mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (1992) 89:574–8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.89.2.574

106. Langrish CL, Chen Y, Blumenschein WM, Mattson J, Basham B, Sedgwick JD, et al. IL-23 drives a pathogenic T cell population that induces autoimmune inflammation. *J Exp Med.* (2005) 201:233–40. doi: 10.1084/jem.20041257

107. Elyaman W, Bradshaw EM, Uyttenhove C, Dardalhon V, Awasthi A, Imitola J, et al. IL-9 induces differentiation of TH17 cells and enhances function of FoxP3+ natural regulatory T cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2009) 106:12885–90. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.0812530106

108. Horellou P, de Chalus A, Giorgi L, Leroy C, Chrétien P, Hacein-Bey-Abina S, et al. Regulatory T Cells Increase After rh-MOG Stimulation in Non-Relapsing but Decrease in Relapsing MOG Antibody-Associated Disease at Onset in Children. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:679770. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.679770

109. Marta CB, Oliver AR, Sweet RA, Pfeiffer SE, Ruddle NH. Pathogenic myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibodies recognize glycosylated epitopes and perturb oligodendrocyte physiology. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2005) 102:13992–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0504979102

110. Piddlesden SJ, Lassmann H, Zimprich F, Morgan BP, Linington C. The demyelinating potential of antibodies to myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein is related to their ability to fix complement. *Am J Pathol.* (1993) 143:555–64.

111. Linington C, Bradl M, Lassmann H, Brunner C, Vass K. Augmentation of demyelination in rat acute allergic encephalomyelitis by circulating mouse monoclonal antibodies directed against a myelin/oligodendrocyte glycoprotein. *Am J Pathol.* (1988) 130:443–54.

112. Kohyama K, Nishida H, Kaneko K, Misu T, Nakashima I, Sakuma H. Complement-dependent cytotoxicity of human autoantibodies against myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein. *Front Neurosci.* (2023) 17:1014071. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2023.1014071

113. Lerch M, Schanda K, Lafon E, Würzner R, Mariotto S, Dinoto A, et al. More efficient complement activation by anti-aquaporin-4 compared with anti-myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibodies. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflammation*. (2023) 10:e200059. doi: 10.1212/NXI.000000000200059

114. Scolding NJ, Morgan BP, Compston D a. S. The expression of complement regulatory proteins by adult human oligodendrocytes. *J Neuroimmunol.* (1998) 84:69–75. doi: 10.1016/S0165-5728(97)00241-5

115. Lin L, Ji M, Wu Y, Hang H, Lu J. Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio may be a useful marker in distinguishing MOGAD and MS and platelet to lymphocyte ratio associated with MOGAD activity. *Mult Scler Relat Disord.* (2023) 71:104570. doi: 10.1016/j.msard.2023.104570

116. Kaneko K, Sato DK, Nakashima I, Nishiyama S, Tanaka S, Marignier R, et al. Myelin injury without astrocytopathy in neuroinflammatory disorders with MOG antibodies. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. (2016) 87:1257–9. doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2015-312676

117. Rempe RG, Hartz AMS, Bauer B. Matrix metalloproteinases in the brain and blood-brain barrier: Versatile breakers and makers. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab.* (2016) 36:1481–507. doi: 10.1177/0271678X16655551

118. Pierson ER, Wagner CA, Goverman JM. The contribution of neutrophils to CNS autoimmunity. *Clin Immunol.* (2018) 189:23–8. doi: 10.1016/j.clim.2016.06.017

119. Harbort CJ, Soeiro-Pereira PV, von Bernuth H, Kaindl AM, Costa-Carvalho BT, Condino-Neto A, et al. Neutrophil oxidative burst activates ATM to regulate cytokine production and apoptosis. *Blood.* (2015) 126:2842–51. doi: 10.1182/blood-2015-05-645424

120. Liu Y, Shields LBE, Gao Z, Wang Y, Zhang YP, Chu T, et al. Current understanding of platelet-activating factor signaling in central nervous system diseases. *Mol Neurobiol.* (2017) 54:5563–72. doi: 10.1007/s12035-016-0062-5

121. Yin JJ, Hu XQ, Mao ZF, Bao J, Qiu W, Lu ZQ, et al. Neutralization of interleukin-9 decreasing mast cells infiltration in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *Chin Med J.* (2017) 130:964. doi: 10.4103/0366-6999.204110

122. Deerhake ME, Danzaki K, Inoue M, Cardakli ED, Nonaka T, Aggarwal N, et al. Dectin-1 limits autoimmune neuroinflammation and promotes myeloid cell-astrocyte crosstalk via Card9-independent expression of Oncostatin M. *Immunity*. (2021) 54:484–98. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2021.01.004

123. Lees JR, Golumbek PT, Sim J, Dorsey D, Russell JH. Regional CNS responses to IFN-gamma determine lesion localization patterns during EAE pathogenesis. J Exp Med. (2008) 205:2633–42. doi: 10.1084/jem.20080155

124. Kroenke MA, Chensue SW, Segal BM. EAE mediated by a non-IFN- γ /non-IL-17 pathway. Eur J Immunol. (2010) 40:2340–8. doi: 10.1002/eji.201040489

125. Stoolman JS, Duncker PC, Huber AK, Segal BM. Site-specific chemokine expression regulates central nervous system inflammation and determines clinical phenotype in autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *J Immunol.* (2014) 193:564–70. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1400825

126. Wendel EM, Thonke HS, Bertolini A, Baumann M, Blaschek A, Merkenschlager A, et al. Temporal dynamics of MOG antibodies in children with acquired demyelinating syndrome. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm.* (2022) 9: e200035. doi: 10.1212/NXI.000000000200035

127. Ren C, Zhang W, Zhou A, Zhou J, Cheng H, Tang X, et al. Clinical and radiologic features among children with myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated myelitis. *Pediatr Neurol.* (2023) 143:96–9. doi: 10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2023.02.019

128. ZhangBao J, Huang W, Zhou L, Tan H, Wang L, Wang M, et al. Clinical feature and disease outcome in patients with myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disorder: a Chinese study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. (2023) 94:825–34. doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2022-330901

129. Wang X, Zhao R, Yang H, Liu C, Wang W, Liu T, et al. Clinical analysis of myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated demyelination in children: A single-center cohort study in China. *Mult Scler Relat Disord*. (2022) 58:103526. doi: 10.1016/j.msard.2022.103526

130. Stiebel-Kalish H, Hellmann MA, Mimouni M, Paul F, Bialer O, Bach M, et al. Does time equal vision in the acute treatment of a cohort of AQP4 and MOG optic neuritis? *Neurology(R) neuroimmunol neuroinflammation*. (2019) 6:e572. doi: 10.1212/NXL00000000000572

131. Pandit L, Mustafa S, Nakashima I, Takahashi T, Kaneko K. MOG-IgGassociated disease has a stereotypical clinical course, asymptomatic visual impairment and good treatment response. *Mult Scler J Exp Transl Clin.* (2018) 4:2055217318787829. doi: 10.1177/2055217318787829

132. dos Santos N, Novaes LS, Dragunas G, Rodrigues JR, Brandão W, Camarini R, et al. High dose of dexamethasone protects against EAE-induced motor deficits but impairs learning/memory in C57BL/6 mice. *Sci Reports.* (2019) 9:6673. doi: 10.1038/ s41598-019-43217-3

133. Peine KJ, Guerau-de-Arellano M, Lee P, Kanthamneni N, Severin M, Probst GD, et al. Treatment of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis by codelivery of disease associated peptide and dexamethasone in acetalated dextran microparticles. *Mol Pharmaceutics*. (2014) 11:828. doi: 10.1021/mp4005172

134. Nam J, Koppinen TK, Voutilainen MH. MANF is neuroprotective in early stages of EAE, and elevated in spinal white matter by treatment with dexamethasone. *Front Cell Neurosci.* (2021) 15. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2021.640084

135. Wynford-Thomas R, Jacob A, Tomassini V. Neurological update: MOG antibody disease. J Neurol. (2019) 266:1280–6. doi: 10.1007/s00415-018-9122-2

136. Whittam DH, Karthikeayan V, Gibbons E, Kneen R, Chandratre S, Ciccarelli O, et al. Treatment of MOG antibody associated disorders: results of an international survey. *J Neurol.* (2020) 267:3565–77. doi: 10.1007/s00415-020-10026-y

137. Savransky A, Rubstein A, Rios MH, Vergel SL, Velasquez MC, Sierra SP, et al. Prognostic indicators of improvement with therapeutic plasma exchange in pediatric demyelination. *Neurology*. (2019) 93:e2065–73. doi: 10.1212/WNL.000000000008551

138. Bruijstens AL, Wendel EM, Lechner C, Bartels F, Finke C, Breu M, et al. E.U. paediatric MOG consortium consensus: Part 5 – Treatment of paediatric myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disorders. *Eur J Paediatric Neurol.* (2020) 29:41–53. doi: 10.1016/j.ejpn.2020.10.005

139. Baumann M, Hennes EM, Schanda K, Karenfort M, Kornek B, Seidl R, et al. Children with multiphasic disseminated encephalomyelitis and antibodies to the myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG): Extending the spectrum of MOG antibody positive diseases. *Mult Scler.* (2016) 22:1821–9. doi: 10.1177/1352458516631038

140. Chen JJ, Flanagan EP, Bhatti MT, Jitprapaikulsan J, Dubey D, Lopez Chiriboga ASS, et al. Steroid-sparing maintenance immunotherapy for MOG-IgG associated disorder. *Neurology*. (2020) 95:e111–20. doi: 10.1212/WNL.000000000009758

141. Yao T, Zeng Q, Xie Y, Bi F, Zhang L, Xiao B, et al. Clinical analysis of adult MOG antibody-associated cortical encephalitis. *Mult Scler Relat Disord.* (2022) 60:103727. doi: 10.1016/j.msard.2022.103727

142. Armangue T, Olivé-Cirera G, Martínez-Hernandez E, Sepulveda M, Ruiz-Garcia R, Muñoz-Batista M, et al. Associations of paediatric demyelinating and encephalitic syndromes with myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibodies: a multicentre observational study. *Lancet Neurol.* (2020) 19:234–46. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(19)30488-0

143. Huppke P, Rostasy K, Karenfort M, Huppke B, Seidl R, Leiz S, et al. Acute disseminated encephalomyelitis followed by recurrent or monophasic optic neuritis in pediatric patients. *Mult Scler.* (2013) 19:941–6. doi: 10.1177/1352458512466317

144. Sechi E, Cacciaguerra L, Chen JJ, Mariotto S, Fadda G, Dinoto A, et al. Myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease (MOGAD): A review of clinical and MRI features, diagnosis, and management. *Front Neurol.* (2022) 13. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2022.885218

145. Rigal J, Pugnet G, Ciron J, Lépine Z, Biotti D. Off-label use of tocilizumab in neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders and MOG-antibody-associated diseases: A case-series. *Mult Scler Relat Disord.* (2020) 46:102483. doi: 10.1016/j.msard.2020.102483

146. Ringelstein M, Ayzenberg I, Lindenblatt G, Fischer K, Gahlen A, Novi G, et al. Interleukin-6 receptor blockade in treatment-refractory MOG-igG-associated disease and neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm*. (2022) 9:e1100. doi: 10.1212/NXI.000000000001100

147. Elsbernd PM, Hoffman WR, Carter JL, Wingerchuk DM. Interleukin-6 inhibition with tocilizumab for relapsing MOG-IgG associated disorder (MOGAD): A case-series and review. *Mult Scler Relat Disord.* (2021) 48:102696. doi: 10.1016/j.msard.2020.102696

148. Broen JCA, van Laar JM. Mycophenolate mofetil, azathioprine and tacrolimus: mechanisms in rheumatology. *Nat Rev Rheumatol.* (2020) 16:167–78. doi: 10.1038/ s41584-020-0374-8

149. Lipsky JJ. Mycophenolate mofetil. Lancet. (1996) 348:1357-9. doi: 10.1016/ S0140-6736(96)10310-X

150. Kridin K, Ahmed AR. Post-rituximab immunoglobulin M (IgM) hypogammaglobulinemia. *Autoimmun Rev.* (2020) 19:102466. doi: 10.1016/j.autrev.2020.102466

151. Durozard P, Rico A, Boutiere C, Maarouf A, Lacroix R, Cointe S, et al. Comparison of the response to rituximab between myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein and aquaporin-4 antibody diseases. *Ann Neurol.* (2020) 87:256–66. doi: 10.1002/ana.25648

152. Wong YYM, Hacohen Y, Armangue T, Wassmer E, Verhelst H, Hemingway C, et al. Paediatric acute disseminated encephalomyelitis followed by optic neuritis: disease course, treatment response and outcome. *Eur J Neurol.* (2018) 25:782–6. doi: 10.1111/ene.2018.25.issue-5

153. Chen JJ, Huda S, Hacohen Y, Levy M, Lotan I, Wilf-Yarkoni A, et al. Association of maintenance intravenous immunoglobulin with prevention of relapse in adult myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease. *JAMA Neurol.* (2022) 79:518–25. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2022.0489

154. Cobo-Calvo A, Sepúlveda M, Rollot F, Armangué T, Ruiz A, Maillart E, et al. Evaluation of treatment response in adults with relapsing MOG-Ab-associated disease. *J Neuroinflammation*. (2019) 16:134. doi: 10.1186/s12974-019-1525-1

155. Chang X, Zhang J, Li S, Wu P, Wang R, Zhang C, et al. Meta-analysis of the effectiveness of relapse prevention therapy for myelin-oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease. *Mult Scler Relat Disord*. (2023) 72:104571. doi: 10.1016/j.msard.2023.104571

156. Whittam DH, Cobo-Calvo A, Lopez-Chiriboga AS, Pardo S, Gornall M, Cicconi S, et al. Treatment of MOG-IgG-associated disorder with rituximab: An international study of 121 patients. *Mult Scler Relat Disord*. (2020) 44:102251. doi: 10.1016/j.msard.2020.102251

157. Zhou J, Lu X, Zhang Y, Ji T, Jin Y, Xu M, et al. Follow-up study on Chinese children with relapsing MOG-IgG-associated central nervous system demyelination. *Mult Scler Relat Disord.* (2019) 28:4–10. doi: 10.1016/j.msard.2018.12.001

158. Albassam F, Longoni G, Yea C, Wilbur C, Grover SA, Yeh EA. Rituximab in children with myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody and relapsing neuroinflammatory disease. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* (2020) 62:390–5. doi: 10.1111/dmcn.14336

159. Mao L, Yang L, Kessi M, He F, Zhang C, Wu L, et al. Myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG) antibody diseases in children in central south China: clinical features, treatments, influencing factors, and outcomes. *Front Neurol.* (2019) 10:868. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2019.00868

160. Perez-Aytes A, Marin-Reina P, Boso V, Ledo A, Carey JC, Vento M. Mycophenolate mofetil embryopathy: A newly recognized teratogenic syndrome. *Eur J Med Genet.* (2017) 60:16–21. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmg.2016.09.014

161. Zheng Y, Cai MT, Li EC, Fang W, Shen CH, Zhang YX. Case report: myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disorder masquerading as multiple sclerosis: an under-recognized entity? *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:671425. doi: 10.3389/fmmu.2021.671425

162. Perez-Giraldo G, Caldito NG, Grebenciucova E. Transverse myelitis in myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease. *Front Neurol.* (2023) 14. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2023.1210972

163. Hacohen Y, Wong YY, Lechner C, Jurynczyk M, Wright S, Konuskan B, et al. Disease course and treatment responses in children with relapsing myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease. *JAMA Neurol.* (2018) 75:478-87. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2017.4601

164. Sun X, Liu M, Luo X, Yuan F, Wang C, Wang S, et al. Clinical characteristics and prognosis of pediatric myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated diseases in China. *BMC Pediatr.* (2022) 22:666. doi: 10.1186/s12887-022-03679-3

165. Salvarani C, Magnani L, Catanoso M, Pipitone N, Versari A, Dardani L, et al. Tocilizumab: a novel therapy for patients with large-vessel vasculitis. *Rheumatology*. (2012) 51:151–6. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/ker296

166. Emery P, Keystone E, Tony HP, Cantagrel A, van Vollenhoven R, Sanchez A, et al. IL-6 receptor inhibition with tocilizumab improves treatment outcomes in patients with rheumatoid arthritis refractory to anti-tumour necrosis factor biologicals: results from a 24-week multicentre randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2008) 67:1516–23. doi: 10.1136/ard.2008.092932

167. Barreras P, Vasileiou ES, Filippatou AG, Fitzgerald KC, Levy M, Pardo CA, et al. Longterm effectiveness and safety of rituximab in neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder and MOG antibody disease. *Neurology*. (2022) 99:e2504–16. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000201260 168. Okubo S, Kakumoto T, Tsujita M, Muramatsu K, Fujiwara S, Hamada M, et al. Extremely longitudinally extensive transverse myelitis in a patient with myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease. *Cureus*. (2024) 16:e59938. doi: 10.7759/cureus.59938

169. Kojita Y, Okada N, Hirakawa M, Fujii K, Satou T, Ishii K. Extensive brainstem lesions in myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease (MOGAD): A case report. *Radiol Case Rep.* (2024) 19:5589–94. doi: 10.1016/j.radcr.2024.08.032

170. Abbas H, Kumar P, Quamar R, Mani UA. Unravelling the complexity of myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease. *Cureus.* (2024) 16:e59840. doi: 10.7759/cureus.59840

171. Chen JJ, Huda S, Hacohen Y, Levy M, Lotan I, Wilf-Yarkoni A, et al. Association of maintenance intravenous immunoglobulin with prevention of relapse in adult myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease. *JAMA Neurol.* (2022) 79:518–25. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2022.0489

172. Nishimoto N, Hashimoto J, Miyasaka N, Yamamoto K, Kawai S, Takeuchi T, et al. Study of active controlled monotherapy used for rheumatoid arthritis, an IL-6 inhibitor (SAMURAI): evidence of clinical and radiographic benefit from an x ray reader-blinded randomised controlled trial of tocilizumab. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2007) 66:1162–7. doi: 10.1136/ard.2006.068064

173. Fujihara K, Cook LJ. Neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders and myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease: current topics. *Curr Opin Neurol.* (2020) 33:300. doi: 10.1097/WCO.0000000000828

174. Montcuquet A, Collongues N, Papeix C, Zephir H, Audoin B, Laplaud D, et al. Effectiveness of mycophenolate mofetil as first-line therapy in AQP4-IgG, MOG-IgG, and seronegative neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders. *Mult Scler*. (2017) 23:1377–84. doi: 10.1177/1352458516678474

175. Ghezzi A, Banwell B, Bar-Or A, Chitnis T, Dale RC, Gorman M, et al. Rituximab in patients with pediatric multiple sclerosis and other demyelinating disorders of the CNS: Practical considerations. *Mult Scler.* (2021) 27:1814–22. doi: 10.1177/1352458520932798

176. Bruschi N, Malentacchi M, Malucchi S, Sperli F, Martire S, Sala A, et al. Tailoring rituximab according to CD27-positive B-cell versus CD19-positive B-cell monitoring in neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder and MOG-associated disease: results from a single-center study. *Neurol Ther.* (2023) 12:1375–83. doi: 10.1007/s40120-023-00481-w

177. Sotirchos ES, Vasileiou ES, Salky R, Huda S, Mariotto S, Chen JJ, et al. Treatment of myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody associated disease with subcutaneous immune globulin. *Mult Scler Relat Disord*. (2022) 57:103462. doi: 10.1016/j.msard.2021.103462

178. Graf J, Mares J, Barnett M, Aktas O, Albrecht P, Zamvil SS, et al. Targeting B cells to modify MS, NMOSD, and MOGAD: Part 2. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm*. (2021) 8:e919. doi: 10.1212/NXI.00000000000919

179. Hoy SM. Rozanolixizumab: first approval. Drugs. (2023) 83:1341–7. doi: 10.1007/s40265-023-01933-1

180. Zhu LN, Hou HM, Wang S, Zhang S, Wang GG, Guo ZY, et al. FcRn inhibitors: a novel option for the treatment of myasthenia gravis. *Neural Regener Res.* (2023) 18:1637–44. doi: 10.4103/1673-5374.363824

181. Hoffmann-La Roche. A study to evaluate the efficacy, safety, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics of satralizumab in patients with myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease (MOGAD). ClinicalTrials.gov (2024). Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT05271409 (Accessed April 30, 2025).

182. Roche. Pharmaceutical products (2024). Available online at: https://www.rocheCanada.com/solutions/pharma-solutions (Accessed April 30, 2025).

183. Heo YA. Satralizumab: first approval. Drugs. (2020) 80:1477-82. doi: 10.1007/ s40265-020-01380-2

184. Xu J, Melenhorst JJ. CT103A, a forward step in multiple myeloma immunotherapies. *Blood Sci.* (2021) 3:59-61. doi: 10.1097/BS9.000000000000068

185. Paschos KA, ChatziGeorgiadis A. Pathophysiological and clinical aspects of the diagnosis and treatment of bezoars. *Ann Gastroenterol.* (2019) 32:224–32. doi: 10.20524/aog.2019.0370

186. Sagan SA, Moinfar Z, Moseley CE, Dandekar R, Spencer CM, Verkman AS, et al. T cell deletional tolerance restricts AQP4 but not MOG CNS autoimmunity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* (2023) 120:e2306572120. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2306572120

187. Remlinger J, Bagnoud M, Meli I, Massy M, Hoepner R, Linington C, et al. Modeling MOG antibody-associated disorder and neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder in animal models: visual system manifestations. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm*. (2023) 10:e200141. doi: 10.1212/NXI.000000000020141

188. Lerch M, Bauer A, Reindl M. The potential pathogenicity of myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibodies in the optic pathway. *J Neuroophthalmol.* (2023) 43:5–16. doi: 10.1097/WNO.00000000001772

189. Rechtman A, Freidman-Korn T, Zveik O, Shweiki L, Hoichman G, Vaknin-Dembinsky A. Assessing the applicability of the 2023 international MOGAD panel criteria in real-world clinical settings. *J Neurol.* (2024) 271:5102–8. doi: 10.1007/ s00415-024-12438-6

190. Graber DJ, Levy M, Kerr D, Wade WF. Neuromyelitis optica pathogenesis and aquaporin 4. J Neuroinflammation. (2008) 5:22. doi: 10.1186/1742-2094-5-22

191. Sechi E, Krecke KN, Messina SA, Buciuc M, Pittock SJ, Chen JJ, et al. Comparison of MRI lesion evolution in different central nervous system demyelinating disorders. *Neurology*. (2021) 97:e1097-109. doi: 10.1212/WNL.000000000012467

192. Van Delden C, Iglewski BH. Cell-to-cell signaling and Pseudomonas aeruginosa infections. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (1998) 4:551-60. doi: 10.3201/eid0404.980405

193. Gawde S, Siebert N, Ruprecht K, Kumar G, Ko RM, Massey K, et al. Serum proteomics distinguish subtypes of NMO spectrum disorder and MOG antibody-associated disease and highlight effects of B-cell depletion. *Neuroi Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm*. (2024) 11:e200268. doi: 10.1212/NXI.000000000200268

194. Evonuk KS, Wang S, Mattie J, Cracchiolo CJ, Mager R, Ferenčić Ž, et al. Bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibition reduces disease severity in a model of secondary progressive autoimmune demyelination. Acta Neuropathol Commun. (2023) 11:115. doi: 10.1186/s40478-023-01614-w

195. Remlinger J, Madarasz A, Guse K, Hoepner R, Bagnoud M, Meli I, et al. Antineonatal fc receptor antibody treatment ameliorates MOG-igG-associated experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm.* (2025) 9:e1134. doi: 10.1212/NXI.000000000001134

196. Duan Y, Zhuo Z, Li H, Tian DC, Li Y, Yang L, et al. Brain structural alterations in MOG antibody diseases: a comparative study with AQP4 seropositive NMOSD and MS. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. (2021) 92:709–16. doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2020-324826

197. Jurynczyk M, Geraldes R, Probert F, Woodhall MR, Waters P, Tackley G, et al. Distinct brain imaging characteristics of autoantibody-mediated CNS conditions and multiple sclerosis. *Brain.* (2017) 140:617–27. doi: 10.1093/brain/aww350