Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jonathan S. Duke-Cohan, Dana–Farber Cancer Institute, United States

REVIEWED BY Dimitrios Laurin Wagner, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany Ananda L. Roy, National Institutes of Health (NIH), United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Frank Buchholz Marank.buchholz@tu-dresden.de

[†]PRESENT ADDRESS

Maciej Paszkowski-Rogacz Department of Bioinformatics, Seamless Therapeutics GmbH, Dresden, Germany

RECEIVED 28 November 2024 ACCEPTED 17 February 2025 PUBLISHED 14 March 2025

CITATION

Schönberg PY, Muñoz-Ovalle Á, Paszkowski-Rogacz M, Crespo E, Sürün D, Feldmann A and Buchholz F (2025) A pooled CRISPR screen identifies the T α 2 enhancer element as a driver of *TRA* expression in a subset of mature human T lymphocytes. *Front. Immunol.* 16:1536003. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2025.1536003

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Schönberg, Muñoz-Ovalle, Paszkowski-Rogacz, Crespo, Sürün, Feldmann and Buchholz. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

A pooled CRISPR screen identifies the T α 2 enhancer element as a driver of *TRA* expression in a subset of mature human T lymphocytes

Pascal Y. Schönberg¹, Ángela Muñoz-Ovalle¹, Maciej Paszkowski-Rogacz^{1†}, Eugenia Crespo², Duran Sürün¹, Anja Feldmann^{2,3,4} and Frank Buchholz^{1,3,4}*

¹Medical Systems Biology, Faculty of Medicine Carl Gustav Carus, Technical University Dresden, Dresden, Germany, ²Institute of Radiopharmaceutical Cancer Research, Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden Rossendorf (HZDR), Dresden, Germany, ³National Center for Tumor Diseases Dresden (NCT/UCC), DKFZ, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, TU Dresden University of Technology, Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf (HZDR), Dresden, Germany, ⁴German Cancer Consortium (DKTK), Dresden, Germany

The T cell receptor (TCR) is crucial for immune responses and represents a pivotal therapeutic target for CAR T cell therapies. However, which enhancer elements drive the constitutive expression of the TCR α chain in mature, peripheral T cells has not been well defined. Earlier work has suggested that enhancer alpha is inactive in mature peripheral T cells and that an alternative enhancer element in the 5' J region was driving *TRA* expression, while more recent findings indicated the opposite. Here, we applied a pooled CRISPR screen to probe a large genomic region proximal to the human *TRA* gene for the presence of regulatory elements. Interestingly, no sgRNA targeting the 5' J region was identified that influenced *TRA* expression. In contrast, several sgRNAs targeting enhancer alpha element T α 2, were identified that compromised the expression of the TCR α chain in Jurkat E6.1, as well as in a subset of human primary T cells. Our results provide new insights into the regulation of *TRA* in human peripheral T cells, advancing our understanding of how constitutive *TRA* expression is driven and regulated.

KEYWORDS

TRA regulation, enhancer alpha, CRISPR screen, TCR expression, TRA locus, CAR T cells, genome editing

1 Introduction

T lymphocytes are vital players in adaptive immunity, yielding unique T cell receptors (TCRs) for antigen recognition. The majority of human T cells is consisting of $\alpha\beta$ -T cells, whose TCR is assembled from the TCR α and TCR β chain, encoded by genes from separate genomic loci (*TRA*, *TRB*). In order to generate the high diversity of the TCR repertoire and to allow for functional expression, both loci are genetically rearranged

by V(D)J recombination during T cell development in the thymus (1). In its unrearranged conformation, the *TRA* locus contains the *TRA*, as well as the enclosed *TRD* gene and extends over a region of 1 megabase (Mb), positioned between the olfactory receptor genes and the antiapoptosis gene DAD1 on chromosome 14 (2, 3). The biggest region is comprised of a set of 61 T cell receptor alpha joining (*TRAJ*) and 41 T cell receptor alpha variable (*TRAV*) gene segments and the T cell receptor constant (*TRAC*) domain (4). The intergenic region downstream of the last exon was found to contain the locus control region (LCR), with eight T cell specific nuclease hypersensitive (HS) domains, of which HS1 was later annotated as enhancer alpha (E α), containing four binding sites for nuclear proteins (T α I - 4) (5–7).

In developing thymocytes, the process of TRA V(D)J recombination is initiated by the decommission of the homeodomain (HOX)A5-9 transcription factors, which repress E α in earlier stages of T cell differentiation (8). E α forms a CTCF/cohesin-mediated chromatin loop, bringing it in close proximity to the over 70 kilo bases (kb) distant T early α (TEA) promoter. Its de-repression allows for promoter-enhancer binding, which in turn promotes active transcription from TEA (9). As a result, the level of chromatin accessibility around recombination signal sequences (RSSs) in the TRA locus is increased and V(D)J recombination can be initiated by recombination activating gene (RAG)1/2 (10). To date, $E\alpha$ is the only known enhancer that influences TRA expression (8). However, its vital role was so far mostly investigated in the context of T cell development. The factors driving constitutive expression of rearranged TRA in mature human T cells have only been sparsely investigated.

In one of these investigations, a transgenic reporter mouse model was generated, where the expression of hCD2 was influenced by the artificially integrated TRA LCR and monitored in different cell types. Based on this reporter system, the authors detected decreased LCR activity in peripheral mouse T cells and suggested separate gene regulatory mechanisms to be influencing TRA expression in mature T cells (11). Later, this regulatory function was attributed to an active element in the 3.9-kb region 5' of the TRAC exons, using a similar mouse reporter system (12). However, so far it could not be pin pointed to any specific mechanisms or element to fulfill this function. Conversely, $E\alpha$ appeared to be active exclusively during the developmental stage and inactive in mature peripheral T cells (11-15). Only recently, a study found that the deletion of a 1 kb fragment around $\mbox{E}\alpha$ in a human T cell leukemia cell line led to loss of TCR expression (16).

As the TCR also stands as a pivotal therapeutic target, especially within allogeneic Chimeric Antigen Receptor T (CAR-T) cell therapies, our aim was to conduct a systematic exploration of the *TRA* locus to validate existing or identify novel enhancer elements responsible for regulating rearranged *TRA* expression in mature human T cells (17). Utilizing a pooled and tiled CRISPR screen targeting the *TRAC* gene, along with its 5' regulatory region and LCR, we aimed to precisely pinpoint essential motifs within enhancers whose disruption results in significant depletion of TCR expression. Identified hits were then validated in human primary T cells.

2 Method

2.1 Cell culture

Jurkat E6.1 wild type cells (kindly provided by Dr. Anne Eugster, CRTD, Dresden) were cultured in RPMI (Gibco) with 10% fetal bovine serium (FBS) and 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin. For Jurkat TRAC-GFP reporter cells, 10 μ g/ml Blasticidin was added. When the reporter cells were subjected to TCR-depletion experiments, antibiotic usage was omitted. Primary T cells were cultured in TexMACS supplemented with IL-2, IL-7, IL-15.

2.2 Isolation of human primary T cells

Primary T cells were isolated from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) obtained from buffy coats of healthy blood donors provided by the German Red Cross (Dresden, Germany) after written consent of the donors as previously described (18). The research with human T cells was approved by the local ethics committee of the Medical Faculty Carl Gustav Carus, Technical University Dresden (EK138042014).

2.3 Flow cytometry

Cells were stained with anti-human TCR $\alpha\beta$ -APC Monoclonal Antibody (IP26) and optionally CD3-FITC Monoclonal antibody (UCHT1) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA) using MACSQuant X (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) 4 days post electroporation. All samples were analyzed using FlowJo (Becton Dickinson (BD), Franklin Lakes, USA).

2.4 mRNA production

CRISPRi mRNA was produced using primers oLi_596 and oLi_921 (Supplementary Table 7) and the HiScribe T7 ARCA mRNA Kit with tailing (NEB, Ipswich, England) with partial 5mCTP and Pseudo-UTP (TriLink BioTechnologies, San Diego, USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

2.5 Electroporation

Jurkat and primary human T-cells were electroporated using the SE Cell Line Kit or P3 Primary Kit in 20 μ l strip format and the Nucleofector 4D (programs "CK-116", "DQ-115") according to the manufacturer's instructions, respectively (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland).

2.6 Jurkat TRAC-GFP cell line generation

The donor template was Golden Gate assembled, PCR-amplified and 400 ng electroporated together with 1 pmol Cas9 mRNA (TriLink BioTechnologies) and 10 pmol chemically synthesized sgTRAC-T4 (Synthego, Redwood City, USA) in Jurkat E6.1 cells (Supplementary Table 6). After two weeks of Blasticidin selection, single, GFP positive clones were sorted, using a FACSAria Cell Sorter (BD). 53 Single Clones were genotyped for insertion and phenotyped for GFP expression and the highest expressing clone #42 chosen for downstream experiments.

2.7 RT-qPCR

RNA was prepared 6 days after electroporation from 5x10⁵ cells using the NucleoSpin RNA Kit (Malcherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany), converted to cDNA using the PrimeScript RT Reagent Kit (Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan) and qPCR performed using Luna[®] Universal qPCR Master Mix (NEB) in a CFX96TM Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio Rad, Hercules, USA), all according to the manufacturer's protocol. A detailed protocol can be found in Supplementary Table 5.

2.8 sgRNA library cloning and lentiviral production

A detailed protocol can be found in (Supplementary Table 1). Briefly, the sgRNA Library was synthesized as an oligo pool (Twist Biosciences), PCR-amplified and inserted into the Lentiviral vector LRT2B expressing TdTomato by Golden Gate cloning (Supplementary Table 2) (19). Lentiviral particle production and infection were performed as previously described (20).

2.9 Pooled TRA-targeted CRISPR screen

Jurkat TRAC-GFP reporter cells were infected at a MOI of 0.1 in triplicates with a 200-fold coverage of the sgRNA library (n =1908). The infected cells were sorted for TdTomato expression using FACSAria Cell Sorter (BD) and expanded under blasticidin selection. After 3 weeks, 4x10⁵ Jurkat TRAC-GFP cells of each triplicate were electroporated with 1 pmol Cas9 mRNA and 4x10⁵ Jurkat TRAC-GFP cells of each triplicate where additionally cultured without treatment as a baseline control for the sgRNA library. The Cas9-edited cells were stained and the TCR $\alpha\beta$ -, GFPpopulation sorted and expanded. Thereafter, genomic DNA was extracted for the Cas9-edited and non-edited triplicates using the QiAmp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), the sgRNA sequences amplified and submitted for 250-bp paired end Illumina deep sequencing (Novogene, Cambridge, UK) (Supplementary Tables 3 and 4). The raw reads were preprocessed with Cutadapt v4.7, sgRNA counts per sample were normalized and the median between the replicates and the log2 fold enrichment calculated. Using a one-sided T-test, the p-values for the enrichment of each sgRNA candidate were determined (Supplementary File sgTRA_Lib.csv).

2.10 Allele-specific genomic analysis

The region around the sgRNA target sites was PCR-amplified from genomic DNA of CRISPR-edited Jurkat clones and subcloned by Golden Gate Assembly. Individual colonies were analyzed by Sanger Sequencing (Microsynth, Balgach, Switzerland).

3 Results

3.1 Construction of a Jurkat TRAC-GFP reporter cell line

In order to provide a straightforward and reliable method for assessing the transcriptional state of the TRAC gene, a Jurkat TRAC-GFP reporter cell line was constructed. At first, the identity of the rearranged TRA locus was resolved using publicly available RNA-seq data and revealed that Jurkat E6.1 cells express a TCRα chain consisting of TRAV8-4, TRAJ3 and TRAC (Figure 1A). A dsDNA fragment containing a T2A-StayGold GFP-P2A-BlasticidinR cassette was inserted in-frame downstream of the final TRAC exon by homology directed repair (HDR)-mediated CRISPR/Cas9 knock-in (Figure 1A). The edited Jurkat E6.1 cells were selected in blasticidin, sorted for GFP expression and individual clones were analyzed by flow cytometry, PCR and Sanger sequencing. Clone #42 was confirmed to have inserted the GFP cassette as intended and expressed GFP ubiquitously (Figure 1B; Supplementary Figure 1). It was therefore designated for subsequent experiments (Jurkat TRAC-GFP).

To validate the functionality of the cell line as a reporter for TRAC expression, we performed TCR knockout and silencing experiments (Figure 1C). The change in GFP expression was investigated as a consequence of TCR knockout, simulating the disruption of TRAC exons and transcriptional silencing, simulating the loss of an enhancer element, respectively. These mechanisms represent the two main events that are expected to be observed in the pooled CRISPR/Cas9 screen (Supplementary Figure 2). The knockout was performed using a TRAC targeting sgRNA (sgTRAC-1; Supplementary Table 6), in combination with Cas9 mRNA. Coelectroporation of both reagents resulted in almost complete loss (95%) of detectable surface expression of TCR $\alpha\beta$, but around 50% of the cell pool maintained functional transcription of the downstream GFP reporter (Figure 1C). Because the mechanism of transcriptional silencing acts directly on the promoter, this method is more suitable to simulate the transcriptional regulation of the TRA by enhancer elements. It thereby prevents the expression of both, TCRα and GFP. To test the effect of transcriptional silencing, the promoter of the TRA gene in Jurkat TRAC-GFP cells, which was identified to be upstream of TRAV8-4, was targeted using sgTRA-1 (Supplementary Table 6) in combination with mRNA of a CRISPR interference (CRISPRi, 21). The transcriptional silencing impaired the TCR $\alpha\beta$ surface expression in 85% of the cells and also decreased the GFP signal in 74% of cells. To further validate this result, RTqPCR was performed, which indicated the efficient depletion of



indicated for each of the investigated samples. Untreated wild type Jurkat E6.1 were used as a double negative control and untreated TRAC-GFP reporter cells as double positive control. Change in TCR $\alpha\beta$ and GFP signal was measured as a consequence of CRISPR/Cas9 knockout (TRAC knockout) or transcriptional silencing (TRA silencing), respectively. **(D)** RT-qPCR of TRAC transcript in untreated and edited samples. Fold change (relative expression) was calculated as $2^{-(\Delta\Delta Ct)}$ to the untreated sample and GAPDH was used as a reference. Bar plots represent the mean fold change from biological duplicates (n = 2) and technical triplicates and their standard deviation is depicted as error bars (p-values *<0.5).

TRA transcripts (Figure 1D). Taken together, these data demonstrate that the Jurkat TRAC-GFP cell line is suitable as a reporter for the dissection of regulatory elements that govern the expression of the *TRA* locus. This tool enabled us to genetically investigate the effects of regulatory elements on the expression of TCR α on RNA and consequently also on protein level.

3.2 TRA pooled CRISPR/Cas9 screen

To screen for putative genetic elements that influence TCRa expression in Jurkat TRAC-GFP cells, a CRISPR/Cas9-mediated approach was chosen over CRISPRi. While CRISPRi can robustly silences promoters, its impact on enhancer elements is highly variable, influenced by chromatin context, transcription factor susceptibility, and the overall regulatory network (22). In contrast, the CRISPR/Cas9 nuclease approach creates permanent sequence disruptions, enabling to precisely probe the effects of both exonic knockout and non-coding region deletions on TCRa expression in its native regulatory environment. A sgRNA library was designed in silico, targeting every possible NGG protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) from around 6.5 kb upstream of TRAC (chr.14:22,541,009 in hg38) to the next downstream gene DAD1 (chr.14: 22,564,966 in hg38). This analysis resulted in a total of 1906 sgRNAs. The region was chosen in order to include any possible regulatory element directly upstream of TRAC and within the downstream intergenic region, where several enhancer elements had been annotated (5, 6). Furthermore, intronic regions were investigated with exonic regions serving as positive controls, because their disruption would likely result in a gene knockout

and enrichment of those sgRNAs in the screen. First, the sgTRA library was inserted downstream of a U6 promoter into the lentiviral vector LRT2B, harboring TdTomato (19). Jurkat TRAC-GFP reporter cells were then infected at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.1 to ensure that most cells integrated only a single sgRNA cassette. The infection was performed in triplicates and the cells were sorted into a pure, sgRNA-expressing population, using the TdTomato marker (Figure 2A). Each triplicate culture was divided into two samples. In the first sample, no treatment was applied, preserving the baseline distribution of the sgRNA library as a control. The second sample was electroporated with Cas9 mRNA, enabling effective genome editing (Figure 2A). Following electroporation, double negative GFP-/TCRaβ- cells were isolated by fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS), as this population reflects the subset in which TRA expression was successfully disrupted by Cas9 editing. These GFP-/TCRaβ- cells were then expanded to ensure sufficient material for downstream analysis. To identify the specific sgRNAs present in the TCR $\alpha\beta$ - cell population, genomic DNA was extracted from each sample, and sgRNA sequences were PCR-amplified. This amplification was performed on both the Cas9-edited, TCR $\alpha\beta$ - cells and on the untreated baseline samples, allowing for direct comparison. Following PCR, the sgRNA counts were quantified by Ilumina 250-bp paired-end deep sequencing, providing a high-resolution profile of sgRNA representation in each condition. The sgRNAs were scored based on the significance of their enrichment across replicates (p-value) and the magnitude of enrichment (log fold change). The reads were then mapped to their genomic coordinates to visualize clustering of enriched sgRNAs (Figure 2B). As expected, many (79%) of the exon-targeting sgRNAs scored highly with enrichment peaks



FIGURE 2

TRA pooled sgRNA Library Screen. (A) Workflow of the pooled sgRNA library screen. (1) lentiviral particles harboring the sgRNA library and a TdTomato marker. (2) Jurkat TRAC-GFP cell transduction at a MOI of 0.1 followed by (3) sorting into a pure sgRNA-expressing population, based on the TdTomato marker. (4) Electroporation of sgRNA expressing cell pool with Cas9 mRNA followed by (5) sorting for GFP- and TCR $\alpha\beta$ - cells. (6) sgRNA was amplified from genomic DNA of the sorted and untreated control samples followed by (7) analyzes by deep sequencing. (B) UCSC genome browser view of the targeted region. Annotated transcripts and cis-regulatory elements are indicated. (C) Screen results. Genomic coordinates of each sgRNA and their calculated score (-log10(pvalue)*log2(fold change)) are shown by an indicated color code. The most relevant sgRNAs are labeled with their IDs. sgRNAs targeting TRAC exons and the TRAJ3 region are highlighted by pale blue bars. In the applied Jurkat cell line, the TRA locus is rearranged, differing from the genome browser view. Therefore, the regions that are excised in the Jurkat genome are indicated by pale color of the bar, since the respective sgRNAs are not expected to bind. (D) Volcano plot of non-exonic (grey), exonic (blue) and enriched non-exonic (gree), sgRNAs. Cut-offs for the classification of enriched sgRNAs are depicted by grey, dotted lines. (E) Validation of individual sgRNA hits in Jurkat E6.1. Cells were Cas9-edited with the respective sgRNA candidate (25 pmol) and stained for TCR $\alpha\beta$ and CD3 (p-values compared to no-sgRNA sample: ***< 0.001, **< 0.1, *<0.5). Colors represent the classification from (D).

directly mapping to the corresponding TRAC exons (Figure 2C). Moreover, multiple enriched sgRNAs targeting the exons of TRAJ3, but not TRAJ1, TRAJ2 or TRAJ4 were identified in the GFPfraction, indicating that sequence alterations in TRAJ3 influence the expression of the TRAC locus (Supplementary Figure 3). This observation is in line with the fact that, determined from RNA-seq data, the Jurkat TCR α chain is expressed from the rearranged TRAJ3 segment (23). Collectively, this data demonstrates the suitability of the assay to uncover genomic regions important to maintain TRAC expression.

Within the volcano plot cut-off thresholds, (LFC > 1.5; p-value < 0.02) six non-exonic hits were identified, namely sgTRA-100; 826;

1040; 1289; 1291 and sgTRA-1813 (Figure 2D). Of particular interest were sgTRA-100, as well as sgTRA-1289 and 1291, binding in $E\alpha$ element T α 2.

3.3 Independent validation of individual sgRNA hits

In order to validate the obtained hits, the sgRNAs of interest where chemically synthesized and electroporated individually into Jurkat E6.1 wild type cells together with Cas9 mRNA. As controls, the enriched exonic hit (sgTRA-743) was used as a positive

10.3389/fimmu.2025.1536003

control, whereas a non-enriched, non-exonic sgRNA (sgTRA-357) was chosen as negative control. To allow for a precise assessment of the influence on TRA expression, the TCR complex was antibody stained for both TCRaß and CD3 in these experiments. The samples treated with sgTRA-100 revealed no significant influence on TRA expression, meaning that no relevant regulatory role of the 5' J region could be validated. A slight interference with TRA expression was observed for sgTRA-826 and sgTRA-1040, reflected by modest reductions of 5% and 4.5%, respectively, in the TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD3⁺ Jurkat cell population. These findings suggest that these regions contribute mildly to the transcriptional regulation of TRA. In contrast, the hits for sgTRA-1289 and sgTRA-1291 could be validated with strong confidence (Figure 2E; Supplementary Figure 4). The perturbation with these sgRNAs led to a significant reduction of 23% and 27%, respectively, though this reduction was more moderate compared to the robust effect observed with the exontargeting positive control sgTRA-743 (89%). To further validate that the reduction in TRA expression indeed resulted from the sequence disruption of Ta2, the subset of TCRaβ- CD3- cells, obtained by the validation experiment of sgTRA-1289 were sorted to single clones. Three independent clones were picked, analyzed for TCR $\alpha\beta$ by flow cytometry, their genomic DNA isolated and the target site for sgTRA-1289 amplified and subcloned for sanger sequencing at single allele resolution (Figure 3A). The three clones were all negative for TCR $\alpha\beta$ and showed indels on both alleles, further validating that loss of TRA expression can be directly linked to the disruption of T α 2.

In order to intensify the effect of enhancer disruption on TRA expression, cells were treated with two sgRNAs, which could result in the frequent excision of the intermediate DNA piece and loss of functional enhancer elements. Different sgRNA combinations resulting in the excision of different sizes of enhancer DNA fragments were tested (sgTRA-1289 + sgTRA-1291: 25bp; sgTRA-1256 + sgTRA-1311: 397bp; sgTRA-1216 + sgTRA-1342: 985bp) (Figure 3B). These results indicated that treatment with the two sgRNA closest to the enhancer element Tα2 (sgTRA-1289 + sgTRA-1291) impaired the TCRα expression in a major population (66.8%) of the cells (Figure 3C). Treatment with sgRNAs more distant from Ta2 also resulted in impaired TCRa expression, but at a reduced efficiency (31.8%; 36.6%). This suggests that the proximal region outside the sgTRA-1289 and sgTRA-1291 editing window lacks synergistic enhancer activity capable of significantly influencing TRA expression, emphasizing the central role of the T α 2 sequence in TRA regulation. Alternatively, this effect might be impacted by less efficient editing to achieve larger deletions. Altogether, these results identify $T\alpha 2$ as an important regulatory element to maintain TRA expression in Jurkat cells.

To determine whether these effects are specific to Jurkat cells or are also applicable to primary human T cells, we extended our analysis to mature T cells from peripheral blood. CD3+ cells were isolated from three healthy donors und subjected to editing with Cas9 mRNA and the most effective sgRNAs (sgTRA-1289, sgTRA-1291) (Figure 3D; Supplementary Figure 5). After editing, although lower than in Jurkat, a clear population of TCR $\alpha\beta$ and CD3depleted cells could be observed and was more pronounced when both sgRNAs were combined (Figure 3D). To prove that the low percentage of cells in the population did not result from ineffective Cas9 cutting, sanger sequencing of the genomic DNA was performed and quantified with an Inference of CRISPR Edits (ICE) analysis. This analysis validated ~ 90% efficient cleavage in the samples (Supplementary Figure 6) (24). Furthermore, sequencing of the TCR $\alpha\beta^{-}/CD3^{-}$ subpopulation revealed a specific deletion of the Ta2 element in all investigated clones, reinforcing the link between Ta2 disruption and TRA downregulation (Supplementary Figure 7). Notably, the milder phenotype observed in primary T cells may be influenced by the heterogeneity of the cell population or differences in DNA repair mechanisms between Jurkat and primary T cells following CRISPR/ Cas9 editing. Using RT-qPCR, we furthermore verified that the reduced TCR surface expression also correlated with a reduced abundance of the TRAC transcript in the edited cells (Figure 3E). These results confirm that the observed effects of $T\alpha 2$ disruption on TCR expression extend beyond the Jurkat cell model and are also applicable to primary human T cells. Altogether, this reinforces the relevance of $E\alpha$ in regulating TRA expression, specifically through the Ta2 element in peripheral T-cells in a physiological, humanderived context.

4 Discussion

Understanding the regulation of the TRA gene is fundamental to advancing our knowledge of T cell biology. The TCR which is crucial for T cell activation and immune response, is tightly regulated, with TRA expression playing a central role in proper T cell development and function (25, 26). A clearer understanding of these mechanisms also paves the way for improved therapeutic strategies, such as CAR-T cell therapies, where precise control of TCR expression can enhance both safety and efficacy (27). This knowledge is particularly critical in allogeneic settings, where modulating TCR expression can prevent adverse immune responses and optimize therapeutic outcomes (17). Previous studies investigating TRA regulation have often employed mouse models, artificial reporter constructs or cell lines to draw conclusions about the regulatory functions of elements like $E\alpha$ in mature peripheral T cells (12, 14, 16). While these models provided valuable initial insights, they may not fully capture the complexities of TRA regulation in a native human cellular context.

By exploiting the power of a targeted pooled CRISPR screen, we probed 24 kb of the *TRA* locus for the existence of functional elements whose disruption would lead to an impaired TCR expression in mature human T cells. Hence, this work systematically screened for the existence and functionality of small deletions leading to altered *TRA* expression in the native genetic context of human peripheral T cells. Together, the obtained data could help to confirm or challenge current hypothesis about *TRA* regulation.

Previous data suggested the existence of a regulatory element in the 3.9 kb region upstream of the *TRAC* exons, which might be



FIGURE 3

Validation of sgRNA Hits. (A) Genomic analysis of TCR $\alpha\beta$ - Jurkat TRAC-GFP clones after editing. Double CD3 and TCR $\alpha\beta$ negative cells (red quadrant in the left FACS panel) were single cell sorted and their allele-specific genomic sequence, as well as their surface TCR $\alpha\beta$ expression analyzed. The reference genomic sequence is shown at the top and the sequence of the two alleles of each clone is depicted below with Indels marked in red. Flow cytometry histograms to the right indicate the surface expression of TCR $\alpha\beta$ for the corresponding clones. (B) Genomic region of E α with mapped sgRNAs and their scores from the screen. Bold numbers and lollipop bars indicate the sgRNAs used for excision of different fragment sizes spanning around E α . Below, T α and relevant transcription factors binding sites are indicated. (C) Representative flow cytometry analysis of cells edited with Cas9 and the indicated sgRNAs, represented as overlay with unedlited, TCR $\alpha\beta$ stained cells (grey). The percentages of TCR $\alpha\beta$ - cells are indicated. Data of three replicates is quantified in a barplot (right panel). (D) Representative flow cytometry analyses of primary T cells edited with Cas9 and sgRNA hits (50 pmol), as well as a combination of sgTRA-1289 and sgTRA-1291 (25 pmol each) is shown to the left with percentages for each quadrant indicated. The data of cells from three different donors is quantified in the bar plot to the right, with error bars representing the standard deviation between donors (n=3). (E) TRAC expression analysis. RT-qPCR results of TRAC performed in technical triplicates for the cells from three donors is shown as a reference and the fold change (relative expression) calculated as 2^{-($\Delta C c$)} is presented. Dots represent the mean fold change and error bars the standard deviation between donors (n=3). Statistical significance was determined by students t-test. P-values compared to untreated samples are represented as stars in bar plots (***< 0.001, **< 0.1, *<0.5).

driving TCR α expression (12). However, this finding has recently been challenged (16). Among the 490 sgRNAs covering this region at a high density, only sgTRA-100 was standing out as an enriched hit. However, its TCR-depleting effect could not be validated in an independent experiment. Therefore, the existence of a regulatory element in the 3'-J α region whose disruption would lead to impaired *TRA* expression could not be confirmed in the human Jurkat model cell line. On the other hand, E α was thought to be inactive in mature T cells (11, 14, 15), even though this view was recently challenged by Rodríguez-Caparrós et al. (16). Previous experiments investigated a transgenic mouse model with an integrated *TRA* LCR that drove the expression of hCD2 and showed reduced LCR activity in peripheral T cells (11). Another study pointed to reduced transcript levels, associated with E α in peripheral T cells of transgenic mouse models and concluded that E α is inactivated in $\alpha\beta$ T lymphocytes (14). Only Rodríguez-Caparrós et al. recently demonstrated that the deletion of a 1 kb fragment around E α in Jurkat cells was required to abrogate TCR α expression.

The data provided in this work demonstrates that in human peripheral T cells the disruption of $E\alpha$ indeed leads to a decrease in *TRA* expression on the transcriptional and protein level and

pinpoints this effect to the $T\alpha 2$ element. Future research should explore whether these discrepancies to earlier work arise from species-specific differences in T cell regulation or if they point to a broader, more fundamental mechanism underlying *TRA* expression across species.

Two independent sgRNAs, sgTRA-1289 and sgTRA-1291 targeting Ta2, were enriched in the screen and could be validated in subsequent experiments. Furthermore, Jurkat E6.1 clones with sgTRA-1289-edited Ta2 sequence showed almost complete loss of TCR expression. Interestingly, the sgTRA-1289 cleavage site specifically overlaps with a binding site for the transcription factor TCF7/Lymphoid enhancer-binding factor-1 (TCF7/Lef1) 5'-CTTTGA-3', which is also part of the TRA enhanceosome (Figure 3B) (13, 28). In close proximity, sgTRA-1291 is also directly interfering with the binding sites for Ikaros family zinc finger 3 (Ikzf3) and nuclear factor of activated T cells, cytoplasmic 1 (Nfatc1). These factors are involved in regulating T cell activation (29). Although the detailed regulatory mechanism driving TRA expression in mature lymphocytes is not yet clear, the provided data demonstrates that the disrupting of Ta2 by two independent sgRNAs resulted in the loss of TRA transcription and surface expression in a subset of human, mature, peripheral, primary T lymphocytes. Future work could investigate if this subset is phenotypically distinct and how disruption of this element influences the binding of transcription factors and chromatin organization to provide further detail on transcriptional regulation of TRA.

While this employed screen successfully identified essential enhancer elements in Jurkat cells, certain limitations should be acknowledged. First, our work focused on cis-regulatory elements within a defined enhancer region, and distal or trans-regulatory elements were not assessed. Second, although Jurkat cells are widely used as a model for T-cell biology, their regulatory mechanisms may differ from those in primary T cells. Primary T cells provide a more physiologically relevant model, yet pooled CRISPR screens in these cells are constrained by technical challenges such as lower transduction efficiency, as well as limited culture time and viability. Moreover, while on-target sanger sequencing revealed specific deletions of the T α 2 element, we cannot entirely rule out the possibility that Cas9-mediated editing resulted also in large deletions affecting the TRAC coding sequence in a small subset of T cells, which in rare cases could contribute to the observed loss of $TCR\alpha$ expression.

Taken together, this work suggests that $E\alpha$ is active in mature peripheral human T cells and required for *TRA* expression. Moreover, TCR α expression could be abrogated only by the specific disruption of T α 2 in a subset of T cells, indicating its essential role in $E\alpha$ function. This finding not only advances our understanding of T cell receptor regulation but also hold implications for CAR T cell therapies, where precise control of TCR expression is essential. Insights into $E\alpha$'s activity could support the development of strategies to modulate TCR expression more effectively in allogeneic contexts. Towards this goal, further work is necessary to understand the detailed interaction of regulatory factors with T α 2 and which specific DNA motifs are necessary for binding and active transcription.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by local ethics committee of the Medical Faculty Carl Gustav Carus, Technical University Dresden (IORG0001076). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

PS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. ÁM: Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Writing – review & editing. MP: Data curation, Formal analysis, Software, Writing – review & editing. EC: Resources, Writing – review & editing. DS: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. AF: Funding acquisition, Resources, Writing – review & editing. FB: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the SaxoCell (FZ03ZU1111FA) and DFG-Reinhart-Koselleck (BU1400/8-1) grants.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Anne Eugster (CRTD, Dresden) for providing the Jurkat E6.1 cell line and established primers for RT-qPCR.

Conflict of interest

PS, MP-R and FB had contractual agreements with Seamless Therapeutics GmbH that included financial compensation. MP-R and FB are co-founders and shareholders of Seamless Therapeutics GmbH.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations,

References

1. Takahama Y, Singer A. Post-transcriptional regulation of early T cell development by T cell receptor signals. *Science*. (1992) 258:1456–62. doi: 10.1126/SCIENCE.1439838

2. Collins MKL, Goodfellow PN, Spurr NK, Solomon E, Tanigawa G, Tonegawa S, et al. The human T-cell receptor α -chain gene maps to chromosome 14. *Nature*. (1985) 314:273–74. doi: 10.1038/314273a0

3. Lefranc M-P, Lefranc G. *The Immunoglobulin Factsbook*. (2001). San Diego, CA: Academic Press. p. 457.

4. Liu P, Liu D, Yang X, Gao J, Chen Y, Xiao X, et al. Characterization of human $\alpha\beta$ TCR repertoire and discovery of D-D fusion in TCR β Chains. *Protein Cell.* (2014) 5:603. doi: 10.1007/S13238-014-0060-1

5. Diaz P, Cado D, Winoto A. A locus control region in the T cell receptor alpha/ delta locus. *Immunity*. (1994) 1:207–17. doi: 10.1016/1074-7613(94)90099-X

6. Sleckman BP, Bardon CG, Ferrini R, Davidson L, Alt FW. Function of the TCR alpha enhancer in alphabeta and gammadelta T cells. *Immunity.* (1997) 7:505–15. doi: 10.1016/S1074-7613(00)80372-6

7. Ho IC, Leiden JM. Regulation of the human T-cell receptor alpha gene enhancer: multiple ubiquitous and T-cell-specific nuclear proteins interact with four hypomethylated enhancer elements. *Mol Cell Biol.* (1990) 10:4720. doi: 10.1128/MCB.10.9.4720

8. Cieslak A, Charbonnier G, Tesio M, Mathieu EL, Belhocine M, Touzart A, et al. Blueprint of human thymopoiesis reveals molecular mechanisms of stage-specific TCR enhancer activation. J Exp Med. (2020) 217. doi: 10.1084/JEM.20192360

 Rodríguez-Caparrós A, Álvarez-Santiago J, Del-Valle-Pastor MJ, Suñé C, López-Ros J, Hernández-Munain C. Regulation of T-cell receptor gene expression by threedimensional locus conformation and enhancer function. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2020) 21:1–23. doi: 10.3390/IJMS21228478

10. Cobb RM, Oestreich KJ, Osipovich OA, Oltz EM. Accessibility control of V(D)J recombination. Adv Immunol. (2006) 91:45–109. doi: 10.1016/S0065-2776(06)91002-5

11. Harrow F, Ortiz BD. The TCRalpha locus control region specifies thymic, but not peripheral, patterns of TCRalpha gene expression. *J Immunol (Baltimore Md: 1950)*. (2005) 175:6659–67. doi: 10.4049/JIMMUNOL.175.10.6659

12. Kučerová-Levisohn M, Knirr S, Mejia RI, Ortiz BD. The 3'-J α Region of the TCR α Locus bears gene regulatory activity in thymic and peripheral T cells. *PloS One.* (2015) 10. doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0132856

13. Hernández-Munain C. Recent Insights into the Transcriptional Control of the Tcra/Tcrd Locus by Distant Enhancers during the Development of T-Lymphocytes. *Transcription*. (2015) 6:65–73. doi: 10.1080/21541264.2015.1078429

 Del Blanco B, Angulo Ú, Krangel MS, Hernández-Munain C. T-cell receptor α Enhancer is inactivated in αβ T lymphocytes. Proc Natl Acad Sci United States America.
(2015) 112:E1744–53. doi: 10.1073/PNAS.1406551112/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.201 406551SLPDF

15. Hernández-Munain C, Casal Á, Juanes B, López-Ros J, Rodríguez-Caparrós A. Insights into the transcriptional regulation of the unrearranged and rearranged Tcra and Tcrd genes. J Clin Cell Immunol. (2016) 7:1–8. doi: 10.4172/2155-9899.1000415

or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2025.1536003/full#supplementary-material

16. Rodríguez-Caparrós A, Álvarez-Santiago J, López-Castellanos L, Ruiz-Rodríguez C, Valle-Pastor MJ, López-Ros J, et al. Differently regulated gene-specific activity of enhancers located at the boundary of subtopologically associated domains: TCRα Enhancer. J Immunol. (2022) 208:910–285. doi: 10.4049/JIMMUNOL.2000864

17. Depil S, Duchateau P, Grupp SA, Mufti G, Poirot L. [amp]]rsquo;Off-the-shelf Allogeneic CAR T cells: development and challenges. *Nat Rev Drug Discovery*. (2020) 19:185–99. doi: 10.1038/s41573-019-0051-2

18. Saleh HA, Mitwasi N, Ullrich M, Kubeil M, Toussaint M, Deuther-Conrad W, et al. Specific and safe targeting of glioblastoma using switchable and logic-gated RevCAR T cells. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1166169. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1166169

19. Zafra MP, Schatoff EM, Katti A, Foronda M, Breinig M, Schweitzer AY, et al. Optimized base editors enable efficient editing in cells, organoids and mice. *Nat Biotechnol.* (2018) 36:888–96. doi: 10.1038/NBT.4194

20. Sayed S, Paszkowski-Rogacz M, Schmitt LT, Buchholz F. CRISPR/Cas9 as a tool to dissect cancer mutations. *Methods (San Diego Calif).* (2019) 164–165:36–48. doi: 10.1016/J.YMETH.2019.05.007

21. Alerasool N, Segal D, Lee H, Taipale M. An efficient KRAB domain for CRISPRi applications in human cells. *Nat Methods*. (2020) 17:1093–96. doi: 10.1038/s41592-020-0966-x

22. Policarpi C, Munafò M, Tsagkris S, Carlini V, Hackett JA. Systematic epigenome editing captures the context-dependent instructive function of chromatin modifications. *Nat Genet.* (2024) 56:1168–80. doi: 10.1038/s41588-024-01706-w

23. Sheynkman GM, Shortreed MR, Frey BL, Smith LM. Discovery and mass spectrometric analysis of novel splice-junction peptides using RNA-seq. *Mol Cell Proteomics.* (2013) 12:2341–53. doi: 10.1074/mcp.O113.028142

24. Conant D, Hsiau T, Rossi N, Oki J, Maures T, Waite K, et al. Inference of CRISPR edits from sanger trace data. *CRISPR J.* (2022) 5:123-30. doi: 10.1089/CRISPR.2021.0113

25. Starr TK, Jameson SC, Hogquist KA. Positive and negative selection of T cells. *Annu Rev Immunol.* (2003) 21:139–76. doi: 10.1146/ANNUREV.IMMUNOL.21. 120601.141107/CITE/REFWORKS

26. Gascoigne NRJ, Rybakin V, Acuto O, Brzostek J. TCR signal strength and T cell development. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol.* (2016) 32:327–48. doi: 10.1146/ANNUREV-CELLBIO-111315-125324/CITE/REFWORKS

27. Morton LT, Reijmers RM, Wouters AK, Kweekel C, Remst DFG, Pothast CR, et al. Simultaneous deletion of endogenous TCR $\alpha\beta$ for TCR gene therapy creates an improved and safe cellular therapeutic. *Mol Ther.* (2020) 28:64–74. doi: 10.1016/J.YMTHE.2019.10.001

28. Wu JQ, Seay M, Schulz VP, Hariharan M, Tuck D, Lian J, et al. Tcf7 is an important regulator of the switch of self-renewal and differentiation in a multipotential hematopoietic cell line. *PloS Genet.* (2012) 8. doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PGEN.1002565

29. Rogers D, Sood A, Wang HC, van Beek JJP, Rademaker TJ, Artusa P, et al. Preexisting chromatin accessibility and gene expression differences among naive CD4+ T cells influence effector potential. *Cell Rep.* (2021) 37:110064. doi: 10.1016/ J.CELREP.2021.110064