### Check for updates

### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Zong Sheng Guo, University at Buffalo, United States

REVIEWED BY Cao Jinlong, Lanzhou University Second Hospital, China Lingfeng He, Nanjing Normal University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Ya-Ming Xi Xiyaming02@163.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 30 December 2024 ACCEPTED 02 June 2025 PUBLISHED 18 June 2025

#### CITATION

Li H-X, Ma X-L, Ma W-b, Jia T-Y, Sun X-H, He X-X, Zhang L-l and Xi Y-M (2025) PABPN1 as a pan-cancer biomarker: prognostic significance and association with tumor immune microenvironment. *Front. Immunol.* 16:1553527. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2025.1553527

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Li, Ma, Ma, Jia, Sun, He, Zhang and Xi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## PABPN1 as a pan-cancer biomarker: prognostic significance and association with tumor immune microenvironment

Hong-Xing Li<sup>1,2,3†</sup>, Xiao-Ling Ma<sup>1,2†</sup>, Wang-bin Ma<sup>4†</sup>, Tian-Yu Jia<sup>1,2</sup>, Xiao-Hong Sun<sup>1,3</sup>, Xiao-Xia He<sup>1,2</sup>, Li-li Zhang<sup>1,2</sup> and Ya-Ming Xi<sup>1,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The First School of Clinical Medicine, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, China, <sup>2</sup>Clinical Medical Research Center for Reproductive Diseases of Gansu Province, Lanzhou, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Hematology, The First Hospital of Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, China, <sup>4</sup>Department of General Surgery, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, China

**Objective:** This study aimed to investigate the role of poly(A) binding protein nuclear 1 (PABPN1) as a potential pan-cancer biomarker for prognosis and immunotherapy.

**Methods:** The original datasets were acquired from TCGA and GEO databases. PABPN1 expression analysis was conducted utilizing the Oncomine, TIMER, GEPIA, and BioGPS databases. Prognostic implications of PABPN1 were assessed through GEPIA, Kaplan-Meier plotter, and the PrognoScan database. Correlations between PABPN1 expression and immune checkpoints (ICP), tumor mutational burden (TMB), microsatellite instability (MSI), and neoantigens in human cancers were examined using the SangerBox database. Additionally, the association between PABPN1 and marker genes of tumor-infiltrated immune cells in urogenital cancers was confirmed. Differential expression of PABPN1 in urogenital cancers with distinct clinical characteristics was assessed using the UALCAN database. Finally, experiments of T24, 5637, HLF and MCF-7 cells were performed to verify the above results.

**Results:** The expression of PABPN1 tended to be higher in human cancers compared to paired normal tissues. Its expression levels showed strong associations with TMB, MSI, and neoantigens. Additionally, significant correlations existed between PABPN1 expression and tumor immune-infiltrated cells (TILs) in many human cancers, with marker genes of TILs showing significant relationships with PABPN1 expression, particularly in urogenital cancers. The coexpression networks of PABPN1 were predominantly involved in the regulation of immune response, antigen processing, and presentation. After down expression of PABPN1, mRNA expression levels of MRPS15 and GPx (Glutathione peroxidase) decreased significantly in T24, 5637 HLF and MCF-7 cells.

**Conclusion:** PABPN1 was expected to be an important role element in cancer research, serving as a potential prognostic and immunological pancancer biomarker.

KEYWORDS

PABPN1, biomarker, prognostic, immune microenvironment, cancer

### Introduction

The maturation process of eukaryotic precursor mRNAs (premRNAs) involved cleavage and polyadenylation (C/P) at their 3' end (1). In eukaryotes, a majority of genes had multiple polyadenylation signals (PASs), giving rise to alternative cleavage and polyadenylation (APA). The selection of APA resulted in the generation of diverse transcript isoforms characterized by variable 3' untranslated region (3'UTR) lengths and sequence compositions. The 3'UTR played a crucial role in influencing mRNA stability, translation efficiency, transportation, and cellular localization. APA standed out as a significant post-transcriptional regulatory mechanism in eukaryotes. Several trans-acting factors involved in APA regulation have been identified to date, including symplekin, RNA polymerase II (RNAP II), poly(A) polymerase (PAP), and nuclear poly(A)-binding protein 1 (PABPN1). Their intricate interactions contributed to the fine-tuning of APA, thereby exerting control over the diversity of transcript isoforms and the subsequent functional consequences on gene expression in eukaryotic cells (2, 3).

The poly(A) tails served a crucial role in the post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression and were consistently associated with PABPNs. Initially, PAP gradually synthesized 10 to 15 adenylate residues at the 3' end of the precursor mRNA. Subsequently, PABPN1 bound to the short poly(A) sequence, providing an anchor for PAP, which then rapidly synthesized additional adenylate residues. This process resulted in the formation of a poly(A) tail typically consisting of about 200 adenosine residues (4). Additionally, PABPN1 played a role in promoting the utilization of distal PAS. *In vitro* studies indicated that PABPN1 inhibited cleavage at proximal mRNA PAS. The removal or inhibition of PABPN1 modulated mRNA export transport through interacting with Aly/REF export factor (ALYREF) and binding to the 3'UTR of mRNA (6, 7).

Earlier investigations have demonstrated a notable global shortening of 3'UTRs in cancer cell lines in comparison to tumor samples. This observation suggested that PABPN1 might be one of the key regulators influencing APA profiles across many cancer types (8). For example, Zhou et al. (9) identified a correlation between PABPN1 expression and overall survival (OS) in patients with gastric cancer. In non-small cell lung cancer, the dysregulation of PABPN1 may contribute to tumor aggressiveness by potentially releasing cancer cells from microRNA-mediated gene regulation (10). In prostate cancer, a novel risk model comprising five genes, namely poly(rC) binding protein 1 (PCBP1), PABPN1, protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor type F (PTPRF), differentiation antagonizing non-protein-coding RNA (DANCR), and MYC, was established for predicting progression-free survival (PFS). This model was developed using a publicly available TCGA dataset, achieving an area under the curve (AUC) ranging from 0.64 to 0.78 (11). In glioblastoma cells, the knockdown of Bcl2l2-Pabpn1 by targeting its fusion junction resulted in reduced expression, leading to the suppression of cell proliferation, migration, and invasion in vitro (12). In cervical cancer, the overexpression of PABPN1 reversed the inhibited cancer development and radio-resistance induced by the miR-1323 inhibitor (13). The knockout of Pabpn1 resulted in a swift and substantial depletion of hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs) as well as myeloid cells, ultimately causing severe blood diseases (14). Moreover, in a study by Zhao et al. (15), circ-PABPN1 was identified as a potential novel molecular mechanism through which propofol represses colorectal cancer development. These findings collectively suggested that PABPN1 may be expected to be a valuable pancancer biomarker with potential prognostic and therapeutic implications.

However, there has been limited systematic analysis of the role of PABPN1 in prognosis and immunology across many human cancers. This study aimed to comprehensively investigate the involvement of PABPN1 in both prognosis and immunology in human cancers. We explored the potential associations between PABPN1 expression and immune subtypes, molecular subtypes in different cancer types, promising immune biomarkers, and tumorinfiltrating cells (TILs) in the tumor microenvironment (TME). Furthermore, we extended our analysis to urogenital cancers to validate the findings in human cancers. The primary objective of this study was to uncover the potential of PABPN1 in anticancer immunotherapy for human cancers, thereby providing insights into a novel antitumor strategy.

### Methods

### Data and software availability

Data were sourced from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) (https://cancergenome.nih.gov/) and Gene Expression Omnibus

(GEO) (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) databases. Specific details about human cancers and individual sample sizes can be found in Supplementary Table S1. Descriptions of the online tools employed were provided below.

# Analysis of PABPN1 expression in human cancers

PABPN1 expression in human cancers and paired normal tissue was compared using the TIMER 2.0 database (http://timer.compgenomics.org/) and the GEPIA database (http://gepia2.cancerpku.cn/#analysis) (16–18). Expression profiles of PABPN1 in various cancer and paired normal cell lines were analyzed using the BioGPS database (http://biogps.org) (19).

# Analysis of the prognostic value of PABPN1 in human cancers

The prognostic value of PABPN1 expression in human cancers was explored using the GEPIA database (http://gepia2.cancer-pku.cn/#analysis) and the Kaplan-Meier Plotter database (http://kmplot.com/analysis/) (18, 20). The GEPIA database, an online platform that utilizes tumor and normal tissue data from TCGA, was employed to examine the correlation between PABPN1 expression and OS and disease-free survival (DFS) across 33 cancer types. Group classification was based on the median PABPN1 expression as a cutoff in GEPIA database. Additionally, the Kaplan-Meier Plotter database, which automatically determined optimal cutoff values, was used to identify associations between PABPN1 expression and OS and relapse-free survival (RFS) in 21 cancer types. The analysis included the calculation of hazard ratios (HRs) with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and log-rank P-values.

### Analysis of PABPN1 expression in immune and molecular subtypes of human cancers

The TISIDB database (http://cis.hku.hk/TISIDB/index.php) served as an online integrated repository portal that aggregates extensive human cancer datasets derived from the TCGA database (21). Through the TISIDB database, we investigated the correlations between PABPN1 expression and immune or molecular subtypes across various cancer types. Statistically significant differences were defined as those with a P-value < 0.05.

## Microsatellite instability, neoantigen, and ESTIMATE of the TME in human cancers

The association between PABPN1 expression and aspects of the TME was investigated using the Sangerbox website (http://

sangerbox.com/tool.html) and bioinformatics website (http:// bioinformatics.com.cn). Sangerbox online platform utilized TCGA data for subsequent analysis (22). Tumor mutational burden (TMB), MSI, and neoantigens were established biomarkers of the TME (23, 24). The ESTIMATE algorithm (Estimation of Stromal and Immune cells in Malignant Tumor tissues using Expression data), designed for predicting tumor purity in the TME, included stromal score (indicating the presence of stroma in tumor tissue), immune score (reflecting the infiltration of immune cells in tumor tissue), and estimate score (inferred tumor purity) (25). The SangerBox website was utilized to explore the correlations between PABPN1 expression and these TME biomarkers. Statistical significance was defined at a P-value < 0.05.

# The correlation between PABPN1 expression and immune infiltration cells and their marker genes

Initially, we investigated the relationship between PABPN1 expression and six distinct immune cell types (B cells, CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, neutrophils, macrophages, and dendritic cells) within the TME across 31 human cancers using the SangerBox website. Subsequently, we extended our analysis to explore the correlation between PABPN1 expression and a broader spectrum of ten immune cell types within the TME. This extended examination was conducted specifically in six urogenital cancers. The ten immune cell types encompassed B cells lineage, CD8+ T cells, cytotoxic lymphocytes, endothelial cells, fibroblasts, monocytic cells, myeloid dendritic cells, neutrophils, natural killer cells, and T cells. To validate the findings, we conducted a reanalysis of the correlation between PABPN1 expression and TILs using both the TIMER and GEPIA databases. The TIMER database, comprising a comprehensive dataset of 10,897 samples across 32 cancer types from TCGA, was specifically designed to assess the extent of tumorassociated immune cell infiltration within TME (26). Following that, we proceeded to confirm the correlation between PABPN1 expression and 24 TILs in six urogenital cancers through the GEPIA database. Subsequently, the TIMER database was utilized to investigate the relationship between PABPN1 expression and marker genes associated with key immune cell types (27-29). Statistical significance was attributed to differences with a P-value < 0.05.

# PABPN1 genomic alterations in six urogenital cancers

The cBio Cancer Genomics Portal (c-BioPortal) (http:// cbioportal.org) aggregated a multidimensional cancer genomics dataset (30). In our study, we utilized the c-BioPortal database to investigate genomic alterations of PABPN1 in six urogenital cancers.

### PABPN1 expression in different clinical subgroups of six urogenital cancers

The UALCAN database (http://ualcan.path.uab.edu) compiled RNA-seq and clinical data from 31 cancer types obtained from TCGA (31), providing a valuable platform for the analysis of gene expression in both tumor and normal tissues. In our study, we utilized this database to investigate the relationship between individual gene expression and clinicopathological features across various human cancers.

### Analysis of PABPN1 coexpression networks

The LinkedOmics database (http://www.linkedomics.org/ login.php) served as a visual platform and was utilized to explore the gene expression profile in this study (32). In our analysis, LinkedOmics was employed to identify coexpression genes of PABPN1 using Pearson's correlation coefficient. The results were visually presented through heat maps and volcano plots. Additionally, we delved into the Gene Ontology biological process (GO\_BP) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways of PABPN1 and its coexpression genes through gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA).

### Cell culture and MTT assay

T24, 5637, HLF and MCF-7 cells were maintained in DMEM medium containing 10% FBS at 37°C, and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Transient transfection was performed with Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. After incubated with MTT reagent (50  $\mu$ g/ml) for 4 h, treatment medium was removed and the observance was measured at 570 nm using microplate reader from Versa Max Molecular Device (Sunnyvale, CA). This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Hospital of Lanzhou University (LDYYLL2022–130).

### **RNA isolation and RT-PCR**

Bladder cancer tissues and para-carcinoma tissues were collected. Total RNA was isolated from tissues and cells using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) as suggested by the manufacturer. cDNA was synthesized using Quantscript RT Kit (Tiangen). RT-PCR was performed with Power-Up SYBR Green Master Mix (Thermo Fisher). The CT (Threshold cycle) values were analyzed by Thermo Scientific Piko Real software (Thermo Fisher). The siRNA and PCR primers used in the experiments were shown in Supplementary Table S2.

### WB assay

Total protein was extracted from cells or tissues using RIPA lysis buffer (CWBIO, Jiangsu, China). WB assays were performed according to the guidelines provided by Abcam (https://www.abcam.cn/ protocols/general-western-blot-protocol-2) utilizing a Bio-Rad gel analysis system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).WB bands were quantified using the ImageJ software(NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA).

### Colony formation assay

The cells were grown in 6-well dishes with a cell density of 1000 cells per well for a period of 14 days. After the 14-day duration, the cells were immobilized with 4% paraformaldehyde and subjected to crystal violet staining (Beyotime, Shanghai, China). The ability of the cell colony to form was subsequently evaluated based on the presence of colonies.

### Statistical analysis

GraphPad Prism 8.3.0 was utilized for the purpose of conducting the data analysis. The values are presented as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. The differences between two groups were assessed using unpaired or paired Student's t-test. The examination of the relationships between two variables utilized Pearson's correlation coefficient. The statistical significance was established by considering \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001, or ns (indicating no significance).

## Results

# Significant differential expression of PABPN1 was observed between tumors and normal tissues in many human cancers

The TIMER database analysis revealed significantly higher expression levels of PABPN1 in multiple human cancers, including BLCA (bladder urothelial carcinoma), BRCA (breast invasive carcinoma), CESC (cervical cancer), CHOL(cholangiocarcinoma), COAD (colon adenocarcinoma), ESCA(esophageal carcinoma), HNSC (head and neck cancer), KIRC (kidney clear cell carcinoma), KIRP (kidney renal papillary carcinoma), LIHC (liver hepatocellular carcinoma), LUAD (lung adenocarcinoma), LUSC (lung squamous cell carcinoma), PRAD (prostate adenocarcinoma), READ (rectal cancer), STAD (stomach adenocarcinoma), THCA (thyroid cancer) and UCEC (uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma), compared to adjacent normal tissues (Figure 1A). And the results from the GEPIA database analysis, focusing on cancers without paired normal tissues in the TIMER database, demonstrated significantly elevated PABPN1 mRNA expression in CHOL (cholangiocellular carcinoma), DLBC (large B-cell lymphoma), PAAD (pancreatic adenocarcinoma), and THYM (thymoma) except TGCT (testicular cancer) (Figure 1B). The BioGPS database analysis was conducted to examine the expression of PABPN1 across various cancer cell lines and normal tissues. The findings revealed a consistently high expression level of PABPN1 in almost all cancer cell lines. Figure 1D illustrated the ten cancer cell



(B) Comparison of PABPN1 expression in several cancers and their paired normal tissues using the GEPIA database. (C) Assessment of PABPN1 expression in different cancer cell lines utilizing the BioGPS database. (D) Examination of PABPN1 expression in normal tissues based on the BioGPS database. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001, the same below.

lines with the highest PABPN1 expression level. Contrastingly, in normal cells, the PABPN1 expression level in immune cells was the highest (Figure 1C). Additional detailed information regarding PABPN1 expression can be found in Supplementary Table S1. These results collectively suggested that PABPN1 was overexpressed in cancer tissues and may play a role in immune regulation processes.

### PABPN1 was a prognostic pan-cancer biomarker

The prognostic significance of PABPN1 expression in human cancers was assessed through several databases. In GEPIA, higher PABPN1 expression correlated with poorer OS and DFS in ACC



associated with poorer RFS in Myeloma, STAD and TGCT, respectively.

(n = 38, OS: HR = 6.3, P =  $9.6 \times 10^{-5}$ ; n = 38, DFS: HR = 4.3, P = 0.00011; Figures 2A, B) and LIHC (n = 182, OS: HR = 1.7, P = 0.0025; n = 182, DFS: HR = 1.7, P = 0.019; Figures 2C, D). Furthermore, elevated PABPN1 expression was associated with poorer OS and DFS in UVM (uvea Imelanoma) (n=20, OS: HR=5.4, P = 0.031, DFS: HR = 4.7, P = 0.051; Figures 2E, F) and KIRC (n = 258, HR = 1.5, P = 0.011; Figure 2G). Additionally, patients with higher PABPN1 expression exhibited poor DFS in CESC (n = 73, HR = 2.7, P = 0.035; Figure 2H), LUSC (n = 97, HR = 2.2, P =

0.018; Figure 2J) and PAAD (n = 45, HR = 0.51, P = 0.029; Figure 2L). In the Kaplan-Meier plotter database, increased PABPN1 expression was linked to poorer RFS in Multiple Myeloma (n = 538, HR = 1.70, P = 0.012; Figure 2N), STAD (n = 215, HR = 2.42, P = 0.043; Figure 2O) and TGCT (n = 105, HR = 2.72, P = 0.0096; Figure 2P). Additional details regarding the relationship between PABPN1 and RFS analyzed by the Kaplan-Meier plotter database were provided in Supplementary Figure S1. Moreover, PrognoScan analysis revealed a correlation between

10.3389/fimmu.2025.1553527

higher PABPN1 expression and worse survival outcomes in many cancer types, including blood cancer (B-cell lymphoma), lung cancer, breast cancer, bladder cancer, esophagus cancer, brain cancer and colorectal cancer. Specific details are presented in Supplementary Table S3. These results collectively supported the close association of PABPN1 expression with the prognosis of many cancer types.

# The expression of PABPN1 was associated with immune and molecular subtypes in human cancers

The TISIDB website was utilized to investigate the impact of PABPN1 expression on immune and molecular subtypes across human cancers. Immune subtypes were categorized into six types: C1 (wound healing), C2 (IFN-gamma dominant), C3 (inflammatory), C4 (lymphocyte depleted), C5 (immunologically quiet), and C6 (TGF-b dominant). Results indicated that PABPN1 expression was associated with different immune subtypes in BRCA, HNSC, KIRC, LGG (brain lower grade glioma), LIHC, LUAD, MESO, PRAD, READ, STAD, and UVM (Figure 3). Furthermore, PABPN1 expression varied within different immune subtypes of one cancer type. Taking KIRC for instance, PABPN1 exhibited high expression in C1 and C5 types and low expression in C3 and C4 types. Regarding different molecular subtypes of cancers, a significant correlation with PABPN1 expression was observed in ACC, BRCA, ESCA, HNSC, LGG, LUSC, OV, STAD, and UCEC (Figure 3). The expression of PABPN1 in different immune and molecular subtypes of other cancers was detailed in Supplementary Figures S2 and S3. Based on these results, it can be concluded that PABPN1 expression varied across immune subtypes and molecular subtypes in several human cancer types.

### Relationship between PABPN1 expression and immune checkpoint genes in human cancers

Studies have demonstrated the significant impact of ICP genes on immune cell infiltration and immunotherapy (33). In light of this, we delved into the connections between PABPN1 expression and ICP genes across human cancers, aiming to uncover the potential role of PABPN1 in immunotherapy. Among the 60 ICP genes studied, associations with PABPN1 expression were identified in many cancer types, including OV, UVM, LIHC, PAAD, ALL, DLBC, GBM, WT, THYM, TGCT, CHOL, PCPG, LAML, UCEC, COAD, KIRC, LUAD, BRCA, HNSC, ACC, GBMLGG, LGG, PRAD, LUSC, STAD, STES, UCS, CESC, BLCA, SARC, MESO, THCA, KIPAN, and KIRP (Figure 4A). Particularly in OV, UVM, LIHC, PAAD, ALL, and WT, PABPN1 expression showed a positive correlation with immune checkpoint genes. Notably, in OV, 47 out of the 60 immune checkpoint genes exhibited connections with PABPN1 expression. This suggested that PABPN1 might play a role in coordinating the activity of these ICP genes within different signal transduction pathways, positioning it as a potential immunotherapy target. Furthermore, high PABPN1 expression in certain cancers, especially OV, may serve as an indicator of favorable therapeutic efficacy in immunotherapies targeting ICP genes. The intricate relationship between PABPN1 expression and immune checkpoint genes in many cancer types warranted further investigation. In summary, our hypothesis suggested that PABPN1 could serve as a potential pan-cancer biomarker or a novel immunotherapy target, with the potential to predict the response to immunotherapy.

### The correlation between PABPN1 expression and TMB, MSI, neoantigen, and ESTIMATE

To investigate PABPN1's role in the immune mechanisms and responses within the TME, we conducted an analysis of the correlations between PABPN1 expression and TMB, MSI, and neoantigens. TMB, MSI, and neoantigens in the tumor microenvironment are recognized as indicators of antitumor immunity and can potentially predict the efficacy of tumor immunotherapy (34–36).

Our analysis revealed positive correlations between PABPN1 expression and TMB, MSI, and neoantigens in several cancer types, including ACC, BRCA, LUSC, KIPAN, LGG, STES, BLCA, PAAD, LUAD, MESO, and READ (Figures 4B–D). Subsequently, we delved into the ESTIMATE score of PABPN1 across many cancer types (Supplementary Figure S4). Supplementary Table S4 provided detailed associations between PABPN1 expression and the three ESTIMATE categories. Notably, strong negative correlations were observed in ACC, BLCA, BRCA, CESC, COAD, GBM, HNSC, KIRP, LGG, LUAD, LUSC, OV, PRAD, STES, STAD, THCA, and TGCT. The obtained results provided additional confirmation supporting the hypothesis that PABPN1 may impact antitumor immunity through the regulation of the composition and immune mechanisms within the tumor microenvironment.

# PABPN1 correlated with immune cell infiltration in the TME in human cancers

PABPN1's correlation with immune cell infiltration in the TME across different human cancers was investigated. After establishing differential PABPN1 expression in distinct immune subtypes, the exploration of potential correlations with immune cell infiltration revealed significant associations in 42 cancer types. PABPN1 expression displayed strong correlations with immune cell types, including CD8+ T cells in 11 cancer types, cytotoxic lymphocytes in 10 cancer types, B cells in 16 cancer types, natural killer cells in 11 cancer types, monocytic cells in 23 cancer types, myeloid dendritic cells in 19 cancer types, neutrophils in 18 cancer types, efficient cells in 17 cancer types (Figure 5A).





These findings were consistent with the results obtained from the TIMER database, detailed in Supplementary Figure S5.

Further analysis focused on the connection between PABPN1 expression and immune cell infiltration in six urogenital cancers. The findings indicated that PABPN1 expression was associated with the infiltration levels of immune cell types in specific urogenital cancers (Figure 5B). In TGCT, PABPN1 expression correlated with the infiltration levels of B cells, CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, neutrophils, macrophages, and dendritic cells. In KIRC, the correlation was observed with B cells, CD8+ T cells, neutrophils, macrophages, and dendritic cells. In KIRP, PABPN1 expression was correlated with B cells, CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, macrophages, and dendritic cells. In KICH, the correlation was observed with CD4+ T cells and macrophages. Lastly, in BLCA, PABPN1 expression was associated with CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, neutrophils, macrophages, and dendritic cells. To validate these findings, the relationship was verified using EPIC, and most results from this database were consistent with the initial observations (Supplementary Figure S6). In summary, these results strongly supported the notion that PABPN1 expression influenced immune cell infiltration in urogenital cancers.

# PABPN1 correlated with immune cell infiltration and their gene markers in TME in LIHC, BLCA and TGCT

In the analysis of LIHC, BLCA, and TGCT using the TIMER database, we delved deeper into the correlation between PABPN1 expression and marker subsets of immune cells, adjusting for purity to mitigate biases introduced by clinical samples. In LIHC (n = 363), BLCA (n = 405), and TGCT (n = 132), we aimed to elucidate the potential immune functions of PABPN1 across different cancer types. As outlined in Table 1, PABPN1 demonstrated a close association with most included marker genes of B cells, CD8+ T cells, monocytes, tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs), M2 macrophages, and Th2 cells in LIHC and TGCT. However, in BLCA, after purity adjustment, PABPN1 expression exhibited a less robust connection, being correlated mainly with marker genes of CD8+ T cells, monocytes, M2 macrophages, and Th17. The varying relationships between PABPN1 expression and gene markers of immune cell infiltration in LIHC, BLCA, and TGCT may contribute to the distinct survival outcomes observed in different cancer types. The findings suggested that PABPN1 may exert regulatory



The relationship between PABPN1 expression and infiltrating immune cells of human cancers and urogenital cancers. (A) The relationship between PABPN1 expression level and infiltrating levels of T cells, CB8+ T cells, cytotoxic lymphocytes, B cell lineages, natural killer cells, monocytic cells, myeloid dendritic cells, neutrophils, endothelial cells and fibroblasts in 45 cancer types. (B) The relationship between PABPN1 expression level and infiltrating levels of B cells, CD4+ T cells, CB8+ T cells, neutrophils, macrophages and dendritic cells in six urogenital cancers. \*P < 0.05; \*\*P < 0.01; \*\*\*P < 0.001; \*\*\*P < 0.001.

| Description      | Gene<br>markers | LIHC   |       |        |       | BLCA   |       |        |       | TGCT   |       |        |       |
|------------------|-----------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
|                  |                 | None   |       | Puri   | ty    | None   |       | Purity |       | None   |       | Purity |       |
|                  |                 | Cor    | Р     |
| CD8+ T cell      | CD8A            | 0.125  | *     | 0.218  | ***   | -0.105 | *     | 0.080  | 0.125 | -0.378 | ***   | -0.360 | ***   |
|                  | CD8B            | 0.130  | *     | 0.223  | ***   | -0.014 | 0.773 | 0.131  | *     | -0.369 | ***   | -0.335 | ***   |
| T cell (general) | CD3D            | 0.211  | ***   | 0.318  | ***   | -0.127 | **    | 0.081  | 0.120 | -0.343 | ***   | -0.332 | ***   |
|                  | CD3E            | 0.158  | **    | 0.290  | ***   | -0.168 | **    | 0.051  | 0.326 | -0.373 | ***   | -0.373 | ***   |
|                  | CD2             | 0.160  | **    | 0.283  | ***   | -0.153 | **    | 0.070  | 0.182 | -0.337 | ***   | -0.319 | ***   |
| B cell           | CD19            | 0.196  | ***   | 0.247  | ***   | -0.202 | ***   | -0.077 | 0.139 | -0.421 | ***   | -0.422 | ***   |
|                  | CD79A           | 0.165  | **    | 0.264  | ***   | -0.233 | ***   | -0.091 | 0.081 | -0.390 | ***   | -0.386 | ***   |
| Monocyte         | CD86            | 0.228  | ***   | 0.354  | ***   | -0.240 | ***   | -0.051 | 0.327 | -0.278 | **    | -0.232 | **    |
|                  | CSF1R           | 0.126  | *     | 0.237  | ***   | -0.290 | ***   | -0.106 | *     | -0.318 | ***   | -0.278 | **    |
| ТАМ              | CCL2            | 0.102  | *     | 0.191  | ***   | -0.221 | ***   | -0.063 | 0.226 | 0.100  | 0.222 | 0.137  | 0.099 |
|                  | CD68            | 0.148  | **    | 0.225  | ***   | -0.204 | ***   | -0.068 | 0.196 | -0.387 | ***   | -0.358 | ***   |
|                  | IL10            | 0.202  | ***   | 0.301  | ***   | -0.237 | ***   | -0.067 | 0.201 | -0.362 | ***   | -0.337 | ***   |
| M1 Macrophage    | NOS2            | -0.032 | 0.539 | -0.035 | 0.512 | 0.021  | 0.667 | 0.102  | *     | 0.008  | 0.918 | -0.053 | 0.527 |
|                  | IRF5            | 0.337  | ***   | 0.328  | ***   | 0.024  | 0.634 | -0.001 | 0.990 | -0.279 | **    | -0.233 | **    |
|                  | PTGS2           | 0.113  | *     | 0.209  | ***   | -0.098 | *     | -0.047 | 0.370 | -0.009 | 0.908 | -0.051 | 0.540 |
| M2 Macrophage    | CD163           | -0.017 | 0.750 | 0.053  | 0.327 | -0.296 | ***   | -0.121 | *     | -0.011 | 0.894 | 0.021  | 0.799 |
|                  | VSIG4           | -0.014 | 0.794 | 0.064  | 0.237 | -0.283 | ***   | -0.108 | *     | 0.003  | 0.970 | 0.022  | 0.787 |
|                  | MS4A4A          | 0.007  | 0.891 | 0.099  | 0.067 | -0.259 | ***   | -0.060 | 0.248 | -0.163 | *     | -0.116 | 0.161 |
| Neutrophils      | CEACAM8         | 0.009  | 0.870 | 0.036  | 0.506 | 0.023  | 0.637 | -0.021 | 0.682 | 0.208  | *     | 0.218  | **    |
| _                | ITGAM           | 0.222  | ***   | 0.286  | ***   | -0.259 | ***   | -0.069 | 0.186 | -0.167 | *     | -0.116 | 0.164 |
|                  | CCR7            | 0.096  | 0.065 | 0.196  | ***   | -0.008 | 0.879 | 0.068  | 0.191 | -0.160 | *     | -0.076 | 0.358 |
| Natural          | KIR2DL1         | 0.006  | 0.902 | -0.006 | 0.910 | -0.122 | *     | -0.021 | 0.687 | -0.151 | 0.066 | -0.076 | 0.357 |
| killer cell      | KIR2DL3         | 0.165  | **    | 0.198  | ***   | -0.076 | 0.126 | 0.035  | 0.507 | -0.197 | *     | -0.133 | 0.107 |
|                  | KIR2DL4         | 0.169  | **    | 0.209  | ***   | -0.052 | 0.294 | 0.089  | 0.089 | -0.118 | 0.150 | -0.020 | 0.806 |
|                  | KIR3DL1         | 0.068  | 0.189 | 0.081  | 0.134 | -0.033 | 0.510 | 0.065  | 0.212 | -0.132 | 0.108 | -0.045 | 0.589 |
|                  | KIR3DL2         | 0.045  | 0.382 | 0.097  | 0.072 | -0.024 | 0.624 | 0.084  | 0.109 | -0.250 | **    | -0.191 | *     |
|                  | KIR3DL3         | 0.065  | 0.213 | 0.070  | 0.198 | 0.055  | 0.266 | 0.096  | 0.067 | -0.282 | ***   | -0.239 | **    |
|                  | KIR2DS4         | 0.059  | 0.260 | 0.067  | 0.211 | -0.066 | 0.185 | 0.031  | 0.551 | -0.182 | *     | -0.110 | 0.185 |
| Dendritic cell   | HLA-DPB1        | 0.107  | *     | 0.191  | ***   | -0.262 | ***   | -0.079 | 0.131 | -0.389 | ***   | -0.370 | ***   |
|                  | HLA-DQB1        | 0.096  | 0.064 | 0.187  | ***   | -0.219 | ***   | -0.026 | 0.620 | -0.268 | **    | -0.233 | **    |
|                  | HLA-DRA         | 0.095  | 0.066 | 0.174  | **    | -0.232 | ***   | -0.053 | 0.307 | -0.402 | ***   | -0.391 | ***   |
|                  | HLA-DPA1        | 0.094  | 0.072 | 0.180  | **    | -0.228 | ***   | -0.057 | 0.276 | -0.422 | ***   | -0.408 | ***   |
|                  | CD1C            | 0.096  | 0.065 | 0.159  | **    | -0.243 | ***   | -0.129 | *     | -0.324 | ***   | -0.306 | ***   |
|                  | NRP1            | 0.337  | ***   | 0.357  | ***   | -0.114 | *     | -0.017 | 0.749 | -0.101 | 0.218 | -0.134 | 0.106 |
|                  | ITGAX           | 0.323  | ***   | 0.440  | ***   | -0.212 | ***   | -0.007 | 0.893 | -0.290 | ***   | -0.249 | **    |
| Th1              | TBX21           | 0.092  | 0.075 | 0.179  | **    | -0.108 | *     | 0.082  | 0.116 | -0.380 | ***   | -0.377 | ***   |

### TABLE 1 Correlation between PABPN1 and relate genes and markers of immune cells analyzed by TIMER.

(Continued)

### TABLE 1 Continued

| Description          | Gene<br>markers | LIHC  |       |        |       | BLCA   |       |        |       | TGCT   |       |        |       |
|----------------------|-----------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
|                      |                 | None  |       | Purity |       | None   |       | Purity |       | None   |       | Purity |       |
|                      |                 | Cor   | Р     | Cor    | Р     | Cor    | Р     | Cor    | Р     | Cor    | Р     | Cor    | Р     |
|                      | STAT4           | 0.200 | ***   | 0.244  | ***   | -0.172 | ***   | 0.005  | 0.919 | -0.253 | **    | -0.213 | **    |
|                      | STAT1           | 0.233 | ***   | 0.255  | ***   | -0.088 | 0.076 | 0.059  | 0.262 | -0.471 | ***   | -0.450 | ***   |
|                      | IFNG            | 0.177 | **    | 0.244  | ***   | -0.061 | 0.216 | 0.098  | 0.060 | -0.308 | ***   | -0.265 | **    |
|                      | TNF             | 0.259 | ***   | 0.355  | ***   | 0.002  | 0.967 | 0.105  | 0.044 | -0.237 | **    | -0.188 | *     |
|                      | IL12A           | 0.435 | ***   | 0.461  | ***   | 0.018  | 0.724 | 0.098  | 0.062 | -0.194 | *     | -0.196 | *     |
|                      | IL12B           | 0.109 | *     | 0.154  | **    | -0.129 | **    | 0.001  | 0.987 | -0.490 | ***   | -0.479 | ***   |
| Th2                  | GATA3           | 0.199 | ***   | 0.322  | ***   | 0.190  | ***   | 0.088  | 0.091 | 0.214  | **    | 0.185  | *     |
|                      | STAT6           | 0.163 | **    | 0.130  | *     | 0.072  | 0.149 | 0.022  | 0.680 | 0.167  | *     | 0.138  | 0.096 |
|                      | STAT5A          | 0.290 | ***   | 0.326  | ***   | -0.217 | ***   | -0.124 | 0.018 | -0.350 | ***   | -0.312 | ***   |
|                      | IL13            | 0.135 | **    | 0.120  | *     | -0.058 | 0.241 | 0.020  | 0.701 | -0.005 | 0.952 | -0.020 | 0.812 |
| Tfh                  | BCL6            | 0.347 | ***   | 0.356  | ***   | 0.088  | 0.074 | 0.058  | 0.271 | -0.034 | 0.682 | -0.035 | 0.671 |
|                      | IL21            | 0.027 | 0.601 | 0.066  | 0.223 | -0.031 | 0.533 | 0.042  | 0.425 | -0.359 | ***   | -0.325 | ***   |
| Th17                 | STAT3           | 0.064 | 0.218 | 0.088  | 0.104 | -0.143 | **    | -0.055 | 0.297 | -0.081 | 0.325 | -0.087 | 0.294 |
|                      | IL17A           | 0.090 | 0.083 | 0.101  | 0.062 | 0.077  | 0.123 | 0.105  | *     | -0.134 | 0.103 | -0.106 | 0.199 |
| Treg                 | FOXP3           | 0.083 | 0.111 | 0.122  | *     | -0.105 | *     | 0.086  | 0.098 | -0.203 | *     | -0.133 | 0.109 |
|                      | CCR8            | 0.233 | ***   | 0.291  | ***   | -0.122 | *     | 0.030  | 0.564 | 0.060  | 0.469 | 0.109  | 0.189 |
|                      | STAT5B          | 0.239 | ***   | 0.202  | ***   | 0.035  | 0.481 | 0.033  | 0.527 | -0.506 | ***   | -0.493 | ***   |
|                      | TGFB1           | 0.276 | ***   | 0.353  | ***   | -0.149 | **    | -0.065 | 0.213 | -0.283 | ***   | -0.283 | **    |
| T cell<br>exhaustion | PDCD1           | 0.290 | ***   | 0.383  | ***   | -0.132 | **    | 0.070  | 0.181 | -0.348 | ***   | -0.333 | ***   |
|                      | CTLA4           | 0.299 | ***   | 0.409  | ***   | -0.128 | **    | 0.077  | 0.141 | -0.309 | ***   | -0.270 | **    |
|                      | LAG3            | 0.267 | ***   | 0.317  | ***   | -0.076 | 0.125 | 0.122  | *     | -0.246 | **    | -0.187 | *     |
|                      | HAVCR2          | 0.244 | ***   | 0.378  | ***   | -0.243 | ***   | -0.044 | 0.395 | -0.215 | **    | -0.148 | 0.074 |
|                      | GZMB            | 0.134 | *     | 0.203  | ***   | -0.145 | **    | 0.055  | 0.294 | -0.043 | 0.601 | 0.073  | 0.382 |

\*P < 0.05; \*\*P < 0.01; \*\*\*P < 0.001.

influences on immune cell functions, potentially engaging in mechanisms similar to those involving marker genes.

# PABPN1 expression in different clinical subgroups of urogenital cancers

The cBioPortal website was utilized to analyze genomic alterations of PABPN1 in urogenital cancers. Results indicated that genomic alterations of PABPN1 occurred in 1.1% of patients (Figure 6A and Supplementary Figure S7). The types of PABPN1 gene alterations were diverse, leading to changes in gene expression (Figure 6B). Copy number variation (CNV) predominantly occurred in BLCA, with no CNV observed in KICH and KIRC (Figure 6C). Subsequently, the UALCAN database was employed to investigate PABPN1 expression in urogenital cancers with different clinical characteristics. Using BLCA as an example, significant differential expression of PABPN1 was observed across various cancer stages, histological subtypes, patient sex, molecular subtypes, nodal metastasis status, and TP53 mutation status of BLCA (Figures 6D–I). PABPN1 expression in five other urogenital cancers with different cancer stages was presented in Supplementary Figure S7. All these findings collectively suggested that PABPN1 may play a crucial role in the onset and progression of cancer in urogenital tissues.

### Analysis of PABPN1 coexpression networks

The preceding findings highlighted a substantial association between PABPN1 and cancer prognosis and immunity. To further elucidate the potential functional role of PABPN1 in tumor tissue, we investigated PABPN1 coexpression networks using the LinkedOmics database. TGCT was chosen as an illustrative example to showcase the potential effects (Figure 7A).



#### FIGURE 6

PABPN1 genomic alterations and differential expression in urogenital cancers analyzed by the cBioPortal and UALCAN database. (A) OncoPrint of PABPN1 gene alterations in the cancer cohort. Different colors indicate different genetic alteration types, with amplification being predominant. (B) Main types of PABPN1 gene alterations observed in cancer groups. (C) Details of PABPN1 gene alteration types within the cancer cohort. (D–I) PABPN1 expression in bladder cancer across specific clinical subgroups, encompassing cancer stages (D), histological subtypes (E), patient sex (F), molecular subtypes (G), nodal metastasis status (H), and TP53 mutation status (I). \*\*P < 0.01; \*\*\*P < 0.001.



Supplementary Table S5 presented all coexpressed genes positively or negatively linked to PABPN1. The top 50 genes, both positively and negatively correlated with PABPN1, were displayed in a heatmap (Figures 7B, C). Among these, MRPS15, MRPL52, and RPL24 exhibited the strongest associations with PABPN1 expression (r = 0.844, 0.817, 0.808, and p = 6.735e-42, 3.149e-37, 7.687e-36, respectively).

Subsequently, GSEA was employed to identify the primary GO terms of PABPN1 coexpression genes. The analysis revealed that PABPN1 and its coexpression genes were predominantly involved in the regulation of RNA processes, protein complex disassembly, GTPase activity, and Golgi vesicle transport (Figure 7D) within the biological process categories of GO. The molecular function (MF) and cell component (CC) analyses of PABPN1 coexpression genes were presented in Supplementary Figure S9. Furthermore, KEGG pathway analysis showed that the coexpressed genes were enriched in oxidative phosphorylation, spliceosome, and glutathione metabolism (Figure 7E).

# Experimental validation of bioinformatics results

The expression of PABPN1 in BLCA, LIHC and BRCA tissues was significantly higher than that in para-carcinoma tissues (Figure 8 A, B; Supplementary Figures S11A–D). To investigate the functional role of PABPN1, we transfected T24, 5637, HLF and MCF-7 cells with PABPN1 siRNAs. RT-qPCR confirmed effective knockdown (Figure 8C; Supplementary Figures S11E, G), and Western blot analyses validated this finding (Figure 8D; Supplementary Figures S11F, H). For cell proliferation studies, MTT and colony formation assays revealed that reduced PABPN1 expression decreased T24, 5637, HLF and MCF-7 cells viability (Figure 8E; Supplementary Figures S11I, K) and significantly reduced colony numbers (Figures 8F; Supplementary Figures S11J, L). Additionally, flow cytometric analysis revealed that PABPN1 downregulation promoted apoptosis in these cells (Figures 8G; Supplementary Figures S11M, O). The mRNA expression levels of MRPS15 and GPx (Glutathione peroxidase) decreased notably in T24, 5637, HLF and MCF-7 cells after siPABPN1 transfection (Figure 8H; Supplementary Figures S11N, P). Collectively, the results substantiated our assumption that PABPN1 was a potential pan-cancer biomarker.

### Discussion

PABPN1, formerly recognized as a versatile facilitator of nuclear polyadenylation, engages in several key functions, such as associating with the elongating poly(A) tail and interacting with poly(A) polymerase, regulating poly(A) tail length, and influencing alternative cleavage and polyadenylation processes (37, 38). Despite being categorized as a nuclear poly(A)-binding protein, PABPN1 was observed in the peri-nuclear region of the cytoplasm, suggesting a potential role as a mediator shuttling between the nucleus and cytoplasm (39). Several decades ago, mutations in the PABPN1



gene were identified as the underlying cause of Oculopharyngeal Muscular Dystrophy (OPMD), impacting a specific group of skeletal muscles (40). Earlier research has established the critical role of PABPN1 in major zygotic genome activation and its regulatory function in the expression of cell fate-determining factors during the preimplantation stage in mouse embryos (41). In this study, we revealed PABPN1's pivotal involvement in oncogenesis and the progression of tumors across many types of cancer.

In the initial phase of our investigation, we employed the TIMER, GEPIA, and BioGPS databases to assess the expression levels of PABPN1 in both cancer and normal tissues. The findings revealed a notably elevated expression of PABPN1 across many cancer types. These outcomes suggested that PABPN1 may played a significant role in fostering oncogenesis and advancing tumor progression in human cancers. Besides, DNA aberrant methylation was recognized as a common contributor to the dysregulation of tumor-associated gene expression. In our study, we delved into the Methylation-Expression correlation for pabpn1 across different cancers using the DNMIVD database (42, 43) (refer to Supplementary Figure S10). The outcomes revealed robust

positive correlations in several cancer types, including BLCA, BRCA, CESC, COAD, LIHC, KIRC, KIRP, and SAR. Investigating abnormal PABPN1 methylation in the context of pan-cancer research holds significant promise as a novel direction for future research endeavors.

Subsequently, we delved into the correlation between PABPN1 expression and prognosis. In numerous cancer types, including ACC, CESC, GBM, KIRC, LIHC, LUSC, PAAD, and UVM, heightened PABPN1 expression corresponded to a poorer prognosis. This substantiated PABPN1's potential as a pan-cancer prognostic biomarker. Further investigation into PABPN1 expression across different immune subtypes and molecular subtypes of human cancers, using the TISIDB website, unveiled significant variations in PABPN1 expression in many cancer types such as BRCA, HNSC, LGG, STAD, suggesting its potential role as a diagnostic pan-cancer biomarker and involvement in immune regulation. Additionally, our findings demonstrated noteworthy differences in PABPN1 expression across clinical subgroups of urogenital cancers, aligning with prior research highlighting varying PABPN1 expression in different stages of prostate cancer (11). Subsequent exploration focused on the association between PABPN1 expression and immune cell infiltration in six urogenital cancers, revealing PABPN1's presence across diverse clinical characteristics. This implied a potential role for PABPN1 in the growth and progression of these cancers.

TILs within the tumor microenvironment have been established as an autonomous predictor of both cancer patient prognosis and the effectiveness of immunotherapeutic interventions (44). Our investigation revealed that PABPN1 expression did not consistently exhibit a negative correlation with TILs across different human cancers. These results suggested that PABPN1 may play distinct roles in immune regulation in many cancer types. The correlations with TMB, MSI, neoantigens, and ESTIMATE scores underscore the potential significance of PABPN1 in shaping the immune landscape of various cancers, contributing to our understanding of its role in the broader context of cancer immunotherapy. These correlations supported the hypothesis that PABPN1 may exert an influence on antitumor immunity by regulating the composition and immune mechanisms within the tumor microenvironment. Nevertheless, additional experimental research was required to substantiate its functional role. Additionally, PABPN1 exhibited a strong correlation with a majority of the marker genes associated with B cells, CD8+ T cells, monocytes, TAMs, M2 macrophages, and Th2 cells. This emphasized the significance of PABPN1 in shaping the immune microenvironment and hinted at its potential involvement in modulating immune responses within the context of cancer in both LIHC and TGCT.

In vitro, we performed functional experiments to examine the role of PABPN1 in BLCA, LIHC and BRCA progression. The downregulation of PABPN1 expression was found to suppress the proliferation of T24, 5637, HLF and MCF-7 cells while promoting apoptosis. These findings underscored the strong association between elevated PABPN1 expression and adverse outcomes in cancer patients, highlighting the potential significance of PABPN1 in driving cancer progression. The induction of apoptosis following PABPN1 knockdown may be attributed to several mechanisms. First, PABPN1 regulates mRNA stability and translation, and its depletion may destabilize mRNAs encoding anti-apoptotic proteins, such as BCL-2l2 (12),CDK2, CDK6 and CDKN1A (45), leading to increased apoptosis. Second, PABPN1 influences alternative polyadenylation (APA), and its knockdown may result in the production of truncated or non-functional protein isoforms that promote apoptosis (46). Third, the observed reduction in GPx expression following PABPN1 knockdown suggests increased oxidative stress, which can trigger intrinsic apoptosis pathways (47). Finally, mitochondrial dysfunction induced by PABPN1 depletion may lead to the release of cytochrome c and activation of the caspase cascade, further promoting apoptosis.

Despite conducting a comprehensive and systematic analysis of PABPN1 and utilizing different databases for cross-verification, this study has certain limitations. Firstly, disparities in microarray and sequencing data across different databases lacked consistency in granularity and specificity, potentially introducing systematic bias. Secondly, the validation of our findings on the potential functions of PABPN1 required *in vivo/in vitro* experiments to enhance the credibility of our results. Thirdly, despite our conclusion that PABPN1 expression was significantly correlated with immune cell infiltration and cancer prognosis, there was a lack of direct evidence demonstrating PABPN1's direct influence on prognosis through participation in immune infiltration. Further study was required to elucidate the exact pathway of PABPN1's participation in immune regulation and its specific influence on cancer prognosis. Hence, it is essential to undertake a prospective study focusing on PABPN1 expression and its involvement in immune infiltration in human cancers. Additionally, the successful development and thorough testing of a novel antitumor immunotherapy drug targeting PABPN1 should be pursued. This approach holds the potential to enhance our understanding of PABPN1's role in cancer immunity and could lead to the creation of effective therapeutic strategies.

## Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

## **Ethics statement**

The studies involving humans were approved by Ethics Committee of the First Hospital of Lanzhou University. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardians/next of kin. Ethical approval was not required for the studies on animals in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements because only commercially available established cell lines were used.

## Author contributions

HL: Validation, Writing – original draft. XM: Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft. WM: Formal analysis, Writing – original draft. TJ: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. XS: Data curation, Resources, Writing – original draft. XH: Formal analysis, Software, Writing – original draft. LZ: Project administration, Writing – review & editing. YX: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft.

## Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Scientific Research Fund of the First Hospital of Lanzhou University (No. ldyyyn2021-15), the Natural Science Foundation of Gansu Province (No. 24JRRA1082, 21JR7RA391) and the Key research and development project of Gansu Province (No.

22YF7FA084). There are no financial or nonfinancial interests that are directly or indirectly related to the work submitted for publication.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

### References

1. Sun Y, Hamilton K, Tong L. Recent molecular insights into canonical pre-mRNA 3'-end processing. *Transcription*. (2020) 11:83-96. doi: 10.1080/21541264.2020.1777047

2. Tian B, Manley JL. Alternative polyadenylation of mRNA precursors. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* (2017) 18:18–30. doi: 10.1038/nrm.2016.116

3. Gruber AJ, Zavolan M. Alternative cleavage and polyadenylation in health and disease. *Nat Rev Genet.* (2019) 20:599–614. doi: 10.1038/s41576-019-0145-z

4. Kühn U, Gündel M, Knoth A, Kerwitz Y, Rüdel S, Wahle E. Poly(A) tail length is controlled by the nuclear poly(A)-binding protein regulating the interaction between poly(A) polymerase and the cleavage and polyadenylation specificity factor. *J Biol Chem.* (2009) 284:22803–14. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109.018226

5. Mitschka S, Mayr C. Context-specific regulation and function of mRNA alternative polyadenylation. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* (2022) 23:779–96. doi: 10.1038/ s41580-022-00507-5

6. Shi M, Zhang H, Wu X, He Z, Wang L, Yin S, et al. ALYREF mainly binds to the 5' and the 3' regions of the mRNA *in vivo*. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2017) 45:9640–53. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkx597

7. Tudek A, Schmid M, Jensen TH. Escaping nuclear decay: the significance of mRNA export for gene expression. *Curr Genet.* (2019) 65:473–6. doi: 10.1007/s00294-018-0913-x

8. Xiang Y, Ye Y, Lou Y, Yang Y, Cai C, Zhang Z, et al. Comprehensive characterization of alternative polyadenylation in human cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* (2018) 110:379–89. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djx223

9. Zhou L, Wu Y, Xin L, Zhou Q, Li S, Yuan Y, et al. Development of RNA binding proteins expression signature for prognosis prediction in gastric cancer patients. *Am J Transl Res.* (2020) 12:6775–92.

10. Ichinose J, Watanabe K, Sano A, Nagase T, Nakajima J, Fukayama M, et al. Alternative polyadenylation is associated with lower expression of PABPN1 and poor prognosis in non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Sci.* (2014) 105:1135–41. doi: 10.1111/ cas.2014.105.issue-9

11. Mou Z, Spencer J, Knight B, John J, McCullagh P, McGrath JS, et al. Gene expression analysis reveals a 5-gene signature for progression-free survival in prostate cancer. *Front Oncol.* (2022) 12:914078. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.914078

12. Zhang L, Wang D, Han X, Guo X, Cao Y, Xia Y, et al. Novel read-through fusion transcript Bcl2l2-Pabpn1 in glioblastoma cells. *J Cell Mol Med.* (2022) 26:4686–97. doi: 10.1111/jcmm.v26.17

13. Fang F, Guo C, Zheng W, Wang Q, Zhou L. Exosome-mediated transfer of miR-1323 from cancer-associated fibroblasts confers radioresistance of C33A cells by targeting PABPN1 and activating wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling pathway in cervical cancer. *Reprod Sci.* (2022) 29:1809–21. doi: 10.1007/s43032-021-00820-y

14. Sommerkamp P, Sommerkamp AC, Zeisberger P, Eiben PL, Narr A, Korkmaz A, et al. CRISPR-Cas9 mediated generation of a conditional poly(A) binding protein nuclear 1 (Pabpn1) mouse model reveals an essential role for hematopoietic stem cells. *Sci Rep.* (2022) 12:7181. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-11203-x

15. Zhao A, Liu Y. Propofol suppresses colorectal cancer development by the circ-PABPN1/miR-638/SRSF1 axis. *Anal Biochem.* (2021) 631:114354. doi: 10.1016/j.ab.2021.114354

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

### Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2025. 1553527/full#supplementary-material

16. Li T, Fu J, Zeng Z, Cohen D, Li J, Chen Q, et al. TIMER2.0 for analysis of tumorinfiltrating immune cells. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2020) 48:W509–w14. doi: 10.1093/nar/ gkaa407

17. Li T, Fan J, Wang B, Traugh N, Chen Q, Liu JS, et al. TIMER: A web server for comprehensive analysis of tumor-infiltrating immune cells. *Cancer Res.* (2017) 77: e108–e10. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-0307

18. Tang Z, Kang B, Li C, Chen T, Zhang Z. GEPIA2: an enhanced web server for large-scale expression profiling and interactive analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2019) 47: W556–w60. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkz430

19. Wu C, Jin X, Tsueng G, Afrasiabi C, Su AI. BioGPS: building your own mash-up of gene annotations and expression profiles. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2016) 44:D313–6. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv1104

20. Lánczky A, Győrffy B. Web-based survival analysis tool tailored for medical research (KMplot): development and implementation. *J Med Internet Res.* (2021) 23: e27633. doi: 10.2196/27633

21. Ru B, Wong CN, Tong Y, Zhong JY, Zhong SSW, Wu WC, et al. TISIDB: an integrated repository portal for tumor-immune system interactions. *Bioinformatics*. (2019) 35:4200–2. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btz210

22. Hu J, Qiu D, Yu A, Hu J, Deng H, Li H, et al. YTHDF1 is a potential pan-cancer biomarker for prognosis and immunotherapy. *Front Oncol.* (2021) 11:607224. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.607224

23. Chan TA, Yarchoan M, Jaffee E, Swanton C, Quezada SA, Stenzinger A, et al. Development of tumor mutation burden as an immunotherapy biomarker: utility for the oncology clinic. *Ann Oncol.* (2019) 30:44–56. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdy495

24. Rooney MS, Shukla SA, Wu CJ, Getz G, Hacohen N. Molecular and genetic properties of tumors associated with local immune cytolytic activity. *Cell.* (2015) 160:48–61. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2014.12.033

25. Yoshihara K, Shahmoradgoli M, Martínez E, Vegesna R, Kim H, Torres-Garcia W, et al. Inferring tumour purity and stromal and immune cell admixture from expression data. *Nat Commun.* (2013) 4:2612. doi: 10.1038/ncomms3612

26. Li B, Severson E, Pignon JC, Zhao H, Li T, Novak J, et al. Comprehensive analyses of tumor immunity: implications for cancer immunotherapy. *Genome Biol.* (2016) 17:174. doi: 10.1186/s13059-016-1028-7

27. Miao YR, Zhang Q, Lei Q, Luo M, Xie GY, Wang H, et al. ImmuCellAI: A unique method for comprehensive T-cell subsets abundance prediction and its application in cancer immunotherapy. *Adv Sci (Weinh)*. (2020) 7:1902880. doi: 10.1002/advs.201902880

28. Danaher P, Warren S, Dennis L, D'Amico L, White A, Disis ML, et al. Gene expression markers of Tumor Infiltrating Leukocytes. *J Immunother Cancer*. (2017) 5:18. doi: 10.1186/s40425-017-0215-8

29. Siemers NO, Holloway JL, Chang H, Chasalow SD, Ross-MacDonald PB, Voliva CF, et al. Genome-wide association analysis identifies genetic correlates of immune infiltrates in solid tumors. *PloS One*. (2017) 12:e0179726. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0179726

30. Gao J, Aksoy BA, Dogrusoz U, Dresdner G, Gross B, Sumer SO, et al. Integrative analysis of complex cancer genomics and clinical profiles using the cBioPortal. *Sci Signal.* (2013) 6:pl1. doi: 10.1126/scisignal.2004088

31. Chandrashekar DS, Karthikeyan SK, Korla PK, Patel H, Shovon AR, Athar M, et al. UALCAN: An update to the integrated cancer data analysis platform. *Neoplasia*. (2022) 25:18–27. doi: 10.1016/j.neo.2022.01.001

32. Vasaikar SV, Straub P, Wang J, Zhang B. LinkedOmics: analyzing multi-omics data within and across 32 cancer types. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2018) 46:D956–d63. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkx1090

33. Topalian SL, Drake CG, Pardoll DM. Immune checkpoint blockade: a common denominator approach to cancer therapy. *Cancer Cell.* (2015) 27:450–61. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2015.03.001

34. Lee V, Murphy A, Le DT, Diaz LAJr. Mismatch repair deficiency and response to immune checkpoint blockade. *Oncologist.* (2016) 21:1200-11. doi: 10.1634/ theoncologist.2016-0046

35. Peng M, Mo Y, Wang Y, Wu P, Zhang Y, Xiong F, et al. Neoantigen vaccine: an emerging tumor immunotherapy. *Mol Cancer*. (2019) 18:128. doi: 10.1186/s12943-019-1055-6

36. Yarchoan M, Hopkins A, Jaffee EM. Tumor mutational burden and response rate to PD-1 inhibition. *N Engl J Med.* (2017) 377:2500–1. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc1713444

37. Huang L, Li G, Du C, Jia Y, Yang J, Fan W, et al. The polyA tail facilitates splicing of last introns with weak 3' splice sites via PABPN1. *EMBO Rep.* (2023) 24:e57128. doi: 10.15252/embr.202357128

38. Zhang G, Luo H, Li X, Hu Z, Wang Q. The dynamic poly(A) tail acts as a signal hub in mRNA metabolism. *Cells*. (2023) 12:572. doi: 10.3390/cells12040572

39. Bergeron D, Pal G, Beaulieu YB, Chabot B, Bachand F. Regulated intron retention and nuclear pre-mRNA decay contribute to PABPN1 autoregulation. *Mol Cell Biol.* (2015) 35:2503–17. doi: 10.1128/MCB.00070-15

40. Banerjee A, Apponi LH, Pavlath GK, Corbett AH. PABPN1: molecular function and muscle disease. *FEBS J.* (2013) 280:4230–50. doi: 10.1111/febs.2013.280.issue-17

41. Zhao LW, Zhu YZ, Wu YW, Pi SB, Shen L, Fan HY. Nuclear poly(A) binding protein 1 (PABPN1) mediates zygotic genome activation-dependent maternal mRNA clearance during mouse early embryonic development. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2022) 50:458–72. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkab1213

42. Ding W, Chen J, Feng G, Chen G, Wu J, Guo Y, et al. DNMIVD: DNA methylation interactive visualization database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2020) 48:D856–d62. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkz830

43. Ding W, Feng G, Hu Y, Chen G, Shi T. Co-occurrence and mutual exclusivity analysis of DNA methylation reveals distinct subtypes in multiple cancers. *Front Cell Dev Biol.* (2020) 8:20. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2020.00020

44. Dean I, Lee CYC, Tuong ZK, Li Z, Tibbitt CA, Willis C, et al. Rapid functional impairment of natural killer cells following tumor entry limits anti-tumor immunity. *Nat Commun.* (2024) 15:683. doi: 10.1038/s41467-024-44789-z

45. Sáez-Martínez P, Porcel-Pastrana F, Montero-Hidalgo AJ, Lozano de la Haba S, Sanchez-Sanchez R, González-Serrano T, et al. Dysregulation of RNA-Exosome machinery is directly linked to major cancer hallmarks in prostate cancer: Oncogenic role of PABPN1. *Cancer Lett.* (2024) 584:216604. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2023.216604

46. Wang S, Sun Z, Lei Z, Zhang HT. RNA-binding proteins and cancer metastasis. Semin Cancer Biol. (2022) 86:748–68. doi: 10.1016/j.semcancer.2022.03.018

47. Hussain SP, Amstad P, He P, Robles A, Lupold S, Kaneko I, et al. p53-induced up-regulation of MnSOD and GPx but not catalase increases oxidative stress and apoptosis. *Cancer Res.* (2004) 64:2350–6. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-2287-2