Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Xu Zhang, Anhui Medical University, China

REVIEWED BY

Jingwen Deng, Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, China Yuting Chen, First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Dou-dou Jing dg142625@163.com Bin Zhao zzbb3377@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 07 January 2025 ACCEPTED 18 April 2025 PUBLISHED 12 May 2025

CITATION

Wang XN, Ma XT, Li J, Zhou RT, Jin YZ, Zhao XF, Jing DD and Zhao B (2025) Precision prediction of intervertebral disc degeneration in ankylosing spondylitis using a nomogram model reveals the pivotal role of Th2-type immune dysregulation. *Front. Immunol.* 16:1556738. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2025.1556738

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Wang, Ma, Li, Zhou, Jin, Zhao, Jing and Zhao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Precision prediction of intervertebral disc degeneration in ankylosing spondylitis using a nomogram model reveals the pivotal role of Th2-type immune dysregulation

Xiao-nan Wang^{1,2†}, Xiao-tian Ma^{1†}, Jie Li^{2†}, Run-tian Zhou¹, Yuan-zhang Jin¹, Xiao-feng Zhao¹, Dou-dou Jing^{1*} and Bin Zhao^{1,2*}

¹Department of Orthopaedics, The Second Clinical Medical College of Shanxi Medical University, Taiyuan, China, ²Academy of Medical Sciences, Shanxi Medical University, Taiyuan, China

Background: Ankylosing spondylitis (AS) is an immune-mediated chronic inflammatory disease. When AS is complicated by intervertebral disc degeneration (IVDD), disease complexity increases substantially, often resulting in poor long-term outcomes. Although previous studies have explored the mechanisms linking AS and IVDD, reliable tools for precise risk prediction and early intervention remain scarce.

Methods: In this retrospective study, we enrolled 144 patients with AS (60 with and 84 without IVDD). Their clinical features, immune status, and inflammatory cytokine levels were analyzed. A nomogram prediction model was constructed using multivariable logistic regression. Model performance was evaluated via receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve, calibration curve, and decision curve analysis (DCA).

Results: Multivariable analysis identified body mass index (BMI), peripheral blood Th2 cell percentage (Th2%), and serum IL-4 levels as independent risk factors for IVDD in patients with AS. All associations remained statistically significant after Benjamini–Hochberg correction (BMI: BH-adjusted P = 0.001; Th2%: BHadjusted P = 0.019; IL-4: BH-adjusted P = 0.019). Incorporation of these factors into a nomogram yielded excellent discriminative performance (area under the curve, AUC = 0.83) and calibration, outperforming a simplified model based solely on BMI (AUC = 0.74). This improvement in predictive accuracy was statistically significant, as determined by DeLong's test (P = 0.018). DCA revealed that at a threshold probability of 60.8%, the nomogram effectively distinguished high-risk patients, underscoring its strong clinical applicability.

Conclusions: This study is the first to highlight the critical roles of Th2 cells and IL-4 in AS complicated by IVDD, and establishes a nomogram that accurately predicts the risk of IVDD in AS. Beyond offering a tool for early detection and personalized management, these findings open avenues for investigating overlapping pathogenic mechanisms and potential immunotherapeutic targets in AS-IVDD.

KEYWORDS

precision medicine, ankylosing spondylitis, intervertebral disc degeneration, nomogram, Th2 cells, IL-4, chronic inflammation, immune imbalance

Introduction

Ankylosing spondylitis (AS) is a prevalent immune-mediated chronic inflammatory disease, affecting primarily young adult males, with a global prevalence of approximately 0.1%–1.4% and 0.3%–0.5% in Asian populations (1, 2). The hallmark pathological changes in AS include loss of normal spinal curvature, excessive bone formation, and fibrosis, leading to a marked decline in patients' quality of life (3). Intervertebral disc degeneration (IVDD) is a common complication of AS, exacerbating pain, functional impairment, and neurological deficits, while also worsening long-term prognosis, posing a major challenge in AS management (4, 5).

Compared with individuals who develop IVDD in the general population, those with AS and IVDD present more complex pathological and clinical manifestations (6). The interplay between chronic inflammation and aberrant ossification accelerates disc matrix senescence and worsens spinal biomechanics, compounding the therapeutic challenge (7, 8). Conventional non-surgical treatment often shows limited efficacy in patients with AS and IVDD. Surgical intervention, on the other hand, is high-risk and complex due to the spinal rigidity and proliferative changes characteristic of AS, resulting in increased difficulty during procedures such as screw placement and decompression (9). Concurrently, a persistent state of immune hyperactivation in AS raises the likelihood of complications such as intraoperative bleeding, postoperative infection, impaired wound healing, and venous thromboembolism (10). These risks lead to significant variability in surgical outcomes, with some cases failing to achieve the desired therapeutic benefit (11). Consequently, early identification of high-risk patients and individualized treatment has become paramount.

At present, clinical diagnosis and risk prediction for AS-IVDD primarily rely on imaging examinations and disease course observations (12). Yet conventional imaging methods tend to focus on structural alterations, lacking sensitivity to molecular and cellular changes in the disease's early stages (13). Moreover, due to significant abnormalities in the spinal anatomy and biomechanical properties of AS patients, the manifestations of IVDD can exhibit marked heterogeneity, rendering traditional diagnostic criteria inadequate in sensitivity and specificity (14). These limitations may cause delayed recognition, misdiagnosis, or missed optimal therapeutic opportunities. Thus, there is an urgent need for an approach that can capture disease-related pathophysiological processes at both molecular and cellular levels early on, to optimize patient management and improve outcomes.

Nomogram modeling, a pivotal component of precision medicine, integrates multivariable data to yield individualized risk predictions (15). By combining metabolic, immunological, and inflammatory parameters, nomograms can tailor prognostic estimates and enable early identification of high-risk populations (16, 17). However, in the context of AS complicated by IVDD, existing models often limit their scope to single clinical or imaging parameters, lacking comprehensive data integration and robust clinical validation (18, 19). Consequently, they fail to adequately characterize the intricate pathophysiology of this disorder or identify putative therapeutic targets.

Against this backdrop, our study aimed to systematically investigate the clinical characteristics and immune features of AS-IVDD, and to build and validate a multi-omics-based nomogram

Abbreviations: AS, ankylosing spondylitis; IVDD, intervertebral disc degeneration; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; DCA, decision curve analysis; BMI, body mass index; Th2%, Th2 cell percentage; CRP, C-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CIC, clinical impact curves; C-index, concordance index; ECM, extracellular matrix; PBMCs, peripheral blood mononuclear cells; AUROC, the area under the ROC curve; VIF, variance inflation factors; DALYs, disability-adjusted life years.

10.3389/fimmu.2025.1556738

for precise identification of individuals at high risk. By incorporating metabolic indices, immune-cell profiles, and inflammatory cytokine levels, the proposed model seeks to provide a valuable clinical decision-making tool and shed new light on the overlapping pathophysiological mechanisms of AS and IVDD. Our ultimate goal is to advance the implementation of precision medicine in managing AS complicated by IVDD, enhance long-term patient outcomes, and facilitate the development of innovative therapeutic strategies.

Methods

This retrospective study included 144 AS patients (110 males and 34 females) admitted to the Department of Rheumatology or Orthopedics at the Second Hospital of Shanxi Medical University between June 2022 and June 2024. Inclusion criteria were based on either the 1984 revised New York criteria (20) and/or the 2009 ASAS classification criteria (Assessment of SpondyloArthritis International Society) (21, 22). Patients with other autoimmune diseases, active infections, malignancies, or pregnancy were excluded. Comprehensive clinical, laboratory, and radiological data were gathered, including complete blood count, serum lipids, C-reactive protein (CRP), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), liver enzymes, bilirubin, immunoglobulins, peripheral blood lymphocyte subpopulations, and cytokine levels. We confirm that the dataset was carefully screened prior to analysis, and only patients with complete clinical, laboratory, and flow cytometry data were included. As a result, no imputation was required, and there were no missing data in the final analytical cohort. All patients underwent lumbar MRI examination, evaluated by Pfirrmann grading; those graded >III with classic clinical manifestations were diagnosed with IVDD (23). Participants were then assigned to either the AS group (n = 84) or the AS-IVDD group (n = 60). Ethical approval was granted by the institutional review board of The Second Hospital of Shanxi Medical University [Approval No. 2024YX (369)].

Flow cytometry for peripheral blood lymphocyte subsets

Heparinized venous blood was collected from each participant. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated by Ficoll-Hypaque density-gradient centrifugation, adjusted to appropriate cell concentrations, and labeled with fluorochrome-conjugated monoclonal antibodies. T lymphocytes were stained with anti-CD3-FITC, anti-CD8-PE, anti-CD45-PerCP, and anti-CD4-APC; B and NK cells with anti-CD3-FITC, anti-CD16+CD56-PE, anti-CD45-PerCP, and anti-CD19-APC; Th1, Th2, and Th17 cells with anti-CD4-FITC/anti-IFN- γ -APC, anti-CD4-FITC/anti-IL-17A-PE, respectively; and Tregs with anti-CD4-FITC, anti-CD25-APC, and anti-FOXP3-PE. Antibodies were purchased from BD Biosciences (Franklin Lakes,

NJ, USA) and used according to manufacturer protocols. Absolute and relative counts of lymphocyte subsets were determined using a FACSCaliburTM flow cytometer and BD MultitestTM software (BD Biosciences).

Flow cytometry for cytokine levels

Serum was separated by centrifugation within 1 h of blood collection and stored at -20° C. A flow cytometry-based assay was employed to detect seven cytokines—IL-2, IL-4, IL-6, IL-10, IL-17, IFN- γ , and TNF- α —using CBA kits (Cellgene Biotech, Jiangxi, China). All procedures followed the manufacturer's instructions to ensure accuracy and reliability.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using R software (version 4.3.3). For continuous variables, normality was assessed using the Shapiro–Wilk test. Variables following a normal distribution were presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) and compared using the independent samples t-test. Non-normally distributed variables were expressed as median and interquartile range [M (P25–P75)] and analyzed using the Mann–Whitney U test. Categorical variables were reported as frequencies and percentages [n (%)] and compared using either the chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. Correlation analyses were conducted using Pearson or Spearman methods depending on variable distributions.

To control the risk of type I errors due to multiple comparisons, the Benjamini–Hochberg (BH) method was applied to adjust pvalues and control the false discovery rate (FDR). Statistical significance was defined as a BH-adjusted p < 0.05. In the univariate logistic regression analysis, variables with a BHadjusted P-value < 0.10 were retained for multivariable modeling to reduce the risk of type II error and avoid overlooking potentially important predictors of IVDD in patients with AS. To avoid multicollinearity among predictors, variance inflation factor (VIF) diagnostics were used, and variables with high collinearity were excluded. Final results were expressed as odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs), and statistical significance was set at BH-adjusted two-sided p < 0.05.

A nomogram prediction model was constructed based on variables that remained statistically significant in the multivariate logistic regression analysis. Model performance was evaluated through several metrics (1): discriminatory ability was assessed using the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) and the concordance index (C-index); bootstrap resampling (1,000 iterations) was employed to obtain bias-corrected AUC estimates, reflecting the model's robustness and predictive accuracy (2); model calibration was examined by plotting calibration curves generated through bootstrap resampling and performing the Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test (3); clinical utility and net benefit were assessed using decision curve analysis (DCA) and clinical impact curves (CIC); and (4) the DeLong test was used to compare the AUCs of alternative models, with p < 0.05 indicating a statistically significant difference. Data visualization was performed using R (version 4.3.3).

Results

Comparison of demographic, clinical, and laboratory variables

A total of 144 AS patients were enrolled, including 60 with IVDD (AS-IVDD group) and 84 without (AS group). Baseline demographics (sex, age, smoking, alcohol use), disease duration, and treatments (NSAIDs, glucocorticoids, conventional synthetic DMARDs, and biologic DMARDs) were matched between groups (Table 1). Although the AS-IVDD group showed a slightly longer disease duration (median 32.50 vs. 30.50 months), this difference was not statistically significant (BH-adjusted P = 0.821). Notably, BMI was significantly higher in the AS-IVDD group compared with the AS group [25.51 (23.41, 29.32) vs. 23.34 (20.57, 24.92), BHadjusted P < 0.001], suggesting that BMI may be a potential risk factor for IVDD among AS patients. Additionally, the AS-IVDD group had higher monocyte counts [0.47 (0.36, 0.68) vs. 0.39 (0.30, 0.52), unadjusted P = 0.005]. However, this difference did not remain statistically significant after Benjamini-Hochberg adjustment (BH-adjusted P = 0.066). In terms of organ function and lipid metabolism, no significant differences were found. However, CRP levels were significantly elevated in the AS-IVDD group [6.92 (2.36, 16.33) vs. 3.20 (1.45, 6.25), BH-adjusted P<0.001], reflecting a higher inflammatory burden among these patients. No significant differences were noted in ESR or levels of immunoglobulins (IgA, IgG, IgM).

Elevated peripheral Th2 cells and decreased Tregs in AS-IVDD

To dissect immune status differences, peripheral lymphocyte subsets were examined (Supplementary Table S1). Th2 cell percentage (Th2%) was significantly higher in the AS-IVDD group than in the AS group (BH-adjusted P<0.001). The Th2/ Treg ratio also markedly increased (BH-adjusted P < 0.001), indicating a pronounced Th2-dominant immune imbalance (Figure 1B). Concomitantly, absolute Treg counts were lower in the AS-IVDD group (unadjusted P = 0.046); however, this difference did not remain statistically significant after correction for multiple comparisons (BH-adjusted P = 0.380). While not conclusive, this trend may point toward a potential impairment in Treg-mediated immunoregulation in AS-related disc degeneration, warranting further validation in larger, independent cohorts. By contrast, other subpopulations, including total T cells, B cells, CD4⁺ T cells, CD8⁺ T cells, and related ratios, did not differ significantly between the two groups (Figure 1A).

Elevated IL-4 levels in AS-IVDD

To preliminarily assess potential immune-related risk factors for AS–IVDD, we compared serum cytokine profiles between groups (Supplementary Table S2). Patients with AS–IVDD exhibited higher IL-4 levels than those with AS alone (unadjusted P = 0.008; Figure 1C), although this difference did not remain statistically significant after Benjamini–Hochberg adjustment (BHadjusted P = 0.088). No significant differences were observed for other cytokines (IL-2, IL-6, IL-10, IL-17, IFN- γ and TNF- α ; Supplementary Table S2). These results suggest a trend toward elevated IL-4 in the AS–IVDD group, meriting further investigation. Collectively, these findings point to a potential involvement of Th2-skewed immune responses in AS–IVDD pathogenesis and underscore the need for more rigorous statistical analyses to confirm these associations.

Construction of the nomogram for predicting AS-IVDD

All 144 AS patients were randomized (8:2 ratio) into a training set (n = 115) and a validation set (n = 29). No statistical differences were observed between the two sets, confirming their comparability. Univariate logistic regression identified BMI, Th2%, Th2 cell count, and IL-4 as potential risk factors for AS-IVDD (Figure 2). Evaluation of variance inflation factors (VIF < 10) ruled out significant multicollinearity among these variables (BMI = 1.0276, Th2% = 1.6069, Th2 cell count = 1.6127, and IL-4 = 1.0259). Subsequent multivariable logistic regression identified BMI, peripheral Th2%, and serum IL-4 levels as independent predictors of AS-IVDD, with Benjamini-Hochberg-adjusted P-values of 0.001, 0.019, and 0.019, respectively (Supplementary Table S3). Incorporating these three predictors, we developed a nomogram for personalized AS-IVDD risk estimation (Figure 3A). Recognizing that Th2% and IL-4 are not traditional risk factors for IVDD, we also generated a simplified model containing only BMI and evaluated both models' performance.

Validation of the nomogram

Nomogram Model 1 incorporated BMI, Th2%, and IL-4, while Model 2 included BMI alone. As shown in Figure 3B and Table 2, Model 1 achieved an AUC of 0.83 (95% CI: 0.68–0.85) and an optimism-corrected C-index of 0.81, compared to an AUC of 0.74 (95% CI: 0.55–0.76) and a C-index of 0.74 for Model 2. Comparative analysis using the DeLong test confirmed that Model 1 significantly outperformed Model 2 in discriminative ability (P = 0.018), supporting the added predictive value of immune biomarkers in the model. For Model 1, the AUC values in both the training and validation cohorts were 0.83 (95% CI: 0.75–0.90) and 0.81 (95% CI: 0.63–0.99), respectively (Supplementary Figure S1A), demonstrating robust discriminative power. To enhance confidence in the predictive

TABLE 1 Clinical characteristics of AS-IVDD group and AS group.

Characteristics	AS-IVDD (n = 60)	AS (n = 84)	t/z/χ²	Unadjusted P-value	BH-adjusted P value		
Demographics							
Female (%)	13 (21.67)	21 (25.00)	0.216	0.642	0.841		
Male (%)	47 (78.33)	63 (75.00)					
Age (years)	48.00 (42.75, 54.50)	48.50 (36.00, 55.00)	0.594	0.552	0.821		
BMI (Kg/m ²)	25.51 (23.41, 29.32)	23.34 (20.57, 24.92)	5.015	<0.001***	<0.001***		
Course of disease (month)	32.50 (24.00, 38.00)	30.50 (21.75, 42.50)	0.741	0.459	0.821		
Smoke (%)	10 (16.67)	13 (15.48)	0.037	0.848	0.946		
Drink (%)	9 (15.00)	12 (14.29)	0.014	0.905	0.967		
Hypertension (%)	12 (20.00)	11 (13.10)	1.243	0.265	0.821		
Diabetes (%)	11 (18.33)	16 (19.05)	-0.012	0.914	0.967		
Treatment							
NASIDs (%)	35 (58.33)	45 (53.57)	0.321	0.571	0.821		
CS (%)	10 (16.67)	17 (20.24)	-0.293	0.588	0.821		
CsDMARDs (%)	13 (21.67)	14 (16.67)	0.574	0.449	0.821		
bDMARDs (%)	5 (8.33)	4 (4.76)	0.762	0.383	0.821		
Laboratory Characteristics							
WBC (*10 ⁹ /L)	6.09 (5.07, 7.24)	6.22 (5.38, 7.95)	-0.810	0.418	0.821		
RBC (*10 ¹² /L)	4.39 (4.12, 4.89)	4.61 (4.17, 4.87)	-0.962	0.336	0.821		
HB (g/L)	135.00 (122.00, 148.50)	134.00 (123.75, 148.00)	0.176	0.860	0.946		
PLT (*10 ⁹ /L)	262.50 (214.00, 294.25)	270.50 (229.75, 313.00)	-1.398	0.162	0.821		
NE (*10 ⁹ /L)	3.62 (2.89, 5.17)	4.13 (3.23, 5.20)	-0.928	0.353	0.821		
LY (*10 ⁹ /L)	1.76 (1.41, 2.16)	1.78 (1.45, 2.20)	-0.798	0.425	0.821		
MONO (*10 ⁹ /L)	0.47 (0.36, 0.68)	0.39 (0.30, 0.52)	2.813	0.005**	0.066		
ALT (U/L)	18.55 (13.00, 30.20)	18.20 (13.78, 25.07)	0.646	0.518	0.821		
AST (U/L)	21.20 (16.83, 25.30)	19.90 (16.25, 24.18)	0.588	0.557	0.821		
TBIL (µmol/L)	11.11 (8.98, 13.55)	11.85 (9.08, 14.63)	-0.657	0.511	0.821		
DBIL (µmol/L)	2.05 (1.50, 2.60)	1.80 (1.60, 2.60)	0.016	0.987	0.995		

10.3389/fimmu.2025.1556738

TABLE 1 Continued

Characteristics	AS-IVDD (n = 60)	AS (n = 84)	$t/z/\chi^2$	Unadjusted P-value	BH-adjusted P value		
Laboratory Characteristics							
IBIL (µmol/L)	9.20 (7.00, 11.03)	9.50 (7.28, 12.23)	-0.729	0.466	0.821		
Cr (µmol/L)	62.25 (52.75, 73.85)	60.55 (51.00, 72.28)	0.383	0.702	0.874		
CHOL (mmol/L)	4.61 (3.92, 5.10)	4.39 (4.04, 5.19)	0.217	0.828	0.946		
TG (mmol/L)	1.47 (1.08, 1.96)	1.35 (0.96, 2.02)	0.436	0.663	0.842		
HDL (mmol/L)	1.17 (0.98, 1.48)	1.29 (1.03, 1.46)	-0.812	0.417	0.821		
LDL (mmol/L)	2.42 (2.12, 2.87)	2.60 (2.05, 3.19)	-1.031	0.303	0.821		
ESR (mm/h)	13.00 (8.00, 29.25)	11.00 (7.00, 22.75)	1.002	0.316	0.821		
CRP (mg/ml)	6.92 (2.36, 16.33)	3.20 (1.45, 6.25)	3.828	<0.001***	<0.001***		
IgA (g/L)	2.12 (1.59 3.13)	2.27 (1.78 3.05)	-0.231	0.817	0.946		
IgG (g/L)	10.32 (8.56, 13.29)	10.30 (8.85, 12.01)	0.006	0.995	0.995		
IgM (g/L)	0.95 (0.61, 1.32)	0.92 (0.63, 1.29)	0.612	0.541	0.821		

6

BMI, body mass index; NSAID, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug; CS, Conventional synthetic; CsDMARDs, Conventional synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs; bDMARDs, Biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs; WBC, white blood cells; RBC, Red blood cells; Hb, Hemoglobin; PLT, platelets; NE, Neutrophilic granulocyte; LY, lymphocytes; MONO, Monocyte; ALT, Alanine transaminase; AST, Aspartic transaminase; TBIL, Total bilirubin; DBIL, Direct bilirubin; IBIL, Indirect bilirubin; Cr, Creatinine; CHOL, Cholesterol; TG, Triglycerides; HDL, High-density lipoprotein; LDL, Low-density lipoprotein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP, C-reactive protein; IgA, Immunoglobulin A; IgG, Immunoglobulin G; IgM, Immunoglobulin M. **p < 0.001.



FIGURE 1

Peripheral blood lymphocyte subpopulation levels in both groups. (A) Comparison of lymphocyte subsets in peripheral blood between two groups. (B) Comparison of levels of functional CD4 + T cells in peripheral blood between two groups. (C) Comparison of cytokine levels between the two groups. (***p < 0.001).

Variable	HR(95% CI)		Unadjusted P-value	BH-adjusted P-Value	Wald _X 2
Demographics		1			
gender	1.02 (0.44 to 2.43)	-	→ 0.955	0.971	0.003
Age	1.01 (0.97 to 1.04)	Here a	0.718	0.862	0.139
BMI	1.36 (1.20 to 1.59)		< 0.001***	< 0.001***	18.042
Course of disease	1.01 (0.98 to 1.03)	-	0.469	0.862	0.523
Smoke	1.25 (0.41 to 3.63)		→ 0.684	0.862	0.162
Drink	0.92 (0.29 to 2.69)	•	→ 0.886	0.959	0.020
Hypertension	1.68 (0.57 to 4.92)		→ 0.340	0.862	0.883
Diabetes Treatment	1.50 (0.55 to 4.07)	F	→ 0.423	0.862	0.629
NASIDs	1.18 (0.56 to 2.52)		→ 0.665	0.862	0.187
CS	0.68 (0.24 to 1.76)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.436	0.862	0.592
csDMARDs	1.53 (0.58 to 4.00)		→ 0.380	0.862	0.754
bDMARDs	0.77 (0.10 to 4.11)		→ 0.766	0.874	0.080
Laboratory Characte	eristics				
WBC	0.96 (0.81 to 1.13)		0.617	0.862	0 240
RBC	0.87 (0.40 to 1.07)		0.654	0.862	0.282
HB	1 01 (0 99 to 1 03)		0.356	0.862	0.202
	0.99(0.99 to 1.00)	Ī	0.330	0.302	0.749
	0.93 (0.35 to 1.00)		0.105	0.770	0.007
	0.89 (0.77 to 1.14)		0.009	0.002	0.327
LYM	0.09 (0.47 to 1.59)		0.692	0.862	0.151
MONO	4.28 (0.99 to 21.75)	1	→ 0.061	0.671	3.400
ALI	1.00 (0.98 to 1.00)	•	0.585	0.862	0.125
AST	0.99 (0.95 to 1.03)	Hall	0.641	0.862	0.182
TBIL	0.97 (0.89 to 1.06)	H=1	0.559	0.862	0.330
DBIL	1.05 (0.80 to 1.37)	H	0.716	0.862	0.115
IBIL	1.02 (0.97 to 1.08)	H =1	0.481	0.862	0.337
Cr	0.99 (0.97 to 1.01)		0.416	0.862	0.717
TC	0.98 (0.66 to 1.44)		0.922	0.971	0.009
TG	0.92 (0.60 to 1.34)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.667	0.862	0.177
HDL	1.12 (0.38 to 3.26)		→ 0.831	0.930	0.044
I DI	0.65 (0.39 to 1.00)		0.095	0 770	3 084
ESR	1.00 (0.98 to 1.02)		0.615	0.862	0 241
CRP	1.03 (1.00 to 1.06)	L	< 0.040*	0.528	3 978
	1.00 (1.00 to 1.00)		0.040	0.520	2.061
lyA laC	1.22(0.99(0 1.04))		0.073	0.000	0.750
iyo IaM	0.95 (0.85 to 1.06)	F=	0.379	0.862	0.753
	0.96 (0.61 to 1.05)	H-91	0.626	0.862	0.115
Peripheral blood lyn	nphocyte subsets		0.570		
	1.00 (1.00 to 1.00)	•	0.570	0.862	0.000
1%	0.99 (0.95 to 1.03)	Hand 1	0.587	0.862	0.296
Total B	1.00 (1.00 to 1.00)	•	0.685	0.862	0.426
B%	0.96 (0.89 to 1.03)		0.240	0.862	1.346
CD4+T	1.00 (1.00 to 1.00)	•	0.509	0.862	0.000
CD4+T%	0.97 (0.93 to 1.02)	Fair C	0.277	0.862	1.671
CD8+T	1.00 (1.00 to 1.00)	•	0.958	0.971	0.000
CD8+T%	1.01 (0.97 to 1.06)		0.528	0.862	0.382
CD4+T/CD8+T	0.75 (0.45 to 1.00)	⊢ − −−	0.257	0.862	1.963
NK	1.00 (1.00 to 1.00)	•	0.208	0.862	1.711
NK%	1.02 (0.97 to 1.08)	HHH I	0.372	0.862	0.765
Peripheral blood CD	4+T cells				
Th1%	1.00 (0.96 to 1.05)	H	0.869	0.956	0.029
Th2%	6.77 (2.69 to 19.49)		< 0.001***	< 0.001***	14,328
Th17%	1.42 (0.87 to 2.38)		→ 0.166	0.862	1.865
Trea%	0.92 (0.68 to 1 24)		0.587	0.862	0.288
Th1	1 00 (0 99 to 1 00)		0.507	0.862	0.200
Th2	1 14 (1 04 to 1 25)		< 0.014	0.002	7 773
	1.14 (1.04 to 1.25)	H=-1	< 0.005**	0.099	1.113
IN1/	1.01 (0.95 to 1.07)	HEH	0.687	0.862	0.166
reg	0.99 (0.96 to 1.01)		0.426	0.862	0.584
Th1/Th2	0.97 (0.93 to 1.01)		0.188	0.862	1.654
Th1//Ireg	2.32 (0.45 to 13.34)		→ 0.318	0.862	0.945
Th1/Treg	1.02 (0.88 to 1.18)		0.760	0.874	0.090
Th2/Treg	1.02 (0.53 to 1.79)		0.937	0.971	0.004
B cell/Treg	1.02 (0.94 to 1.11)	H=1	0.596	0.862	0.269
NK B cell/Treg	1.03 (0.98 to 1.09)	HE-I	0.254	0.862	1.231
Peripheral blood im	munological profiles				
IL-2	1.05 (0.82 to 1.34)		0.697	0.862	0.146
IL-4	1.41 (1.13 to 1.84)	· · · ·	⊣ < 0.006**	0.099	7.433
IL-6	1.01 (0.97 to 1.04)	-	0.668	0.862	0.155
IL-10	0.90 (0.73 to 1.07)		0.242	0.862	1.331
II -17	1.01 (0.96 to 1.05)		0.768	0.874	0.074
IFN-v	1.01 (0.97 to 1.06)		0.496	0.862	0.372
		-	0.400	0.002	0.012
TNE-C	1(0)(0)(0)(0)(0)(0)(0)(0)(0)(0)(0)(0)(0)(0 0 7 1	09/1	() () () () ()

FIGURE 2

Univariate logistic regression analyses for factors associated with the presence of IVDD in AS patients. (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001). OR, odds ratio; 95%CI:95% confidence interval.



Development and assessment of a nomogram for prediction of IVDD in AS. (A) Example of prediction nomogram for risk of IVDD in AS patients. The nomogram incorporates the risk factors of Th2%, IL-4 and BMI. (B) The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve for the discrimination of the nomogram to predict the risk of IVDD in AS patients. Model 1: The nomogram incorporating the risk factors of Th2%, IL-4 and BMI; Model 2: The nomogram incorporating the risk factors of BMI. (C) Calibration curve for predicting the risk of IVDD in AS patients. Model 1: The nomogram incorporating the risk factors of BMI; Model 2: The nomogram incorporating the risk factors of BMI. (C) Calibration curve for predicting the risk of IVDD in AS patients in training cohorts. (D) The ROC curve for the discrimination of the nomogram to predict the risk of IVDD in training cohorts. (E) Decision curve analysis for predicting the risk of IVDD in AS patients in training cohorts. (F) Clinical Impact Curve (CIC) response prediction model for clinical effectiveness in training cohorts. *p<0.01, ***p < 0.001.

performance of our model, we performed internal validation using 1,000-fold bootstrap resampling. The bias-corrected AUC was 0.81 (95% CI: 0.78–0.82), demonstrating that the model retains good discriminatory ability and robustness against overfitting. Calibration curves based on 1,000 bootstrap resamples demonstrated excellent

concordance between predicted probabilities and observed outcomes (Figure 3C). A Hosmer–Lemeshow test (P = 0.36) further supported good model fit.

To evaluate the clinical utility of our model, we performed DCA. The results demonstrated that, across a threshold probability

Variables	Model 1		Model 2		P voluo
	OR (95% CI)	P-value	OR (95% CI)	P-value	P-value
BMI	1.31 (1.14-1.54)	0.001**	1.24 (1.10-1.42)	0.001**	
Th2%	5.25 (1.80-17.34)	0.004**			
IL4	1.47 (1.11-2.03)	0.012*			0.018*
AUC	0.83 (95%CI: 0.75-0.90)		0.74 (95% CI: 0.64-0.83)		
C-Index	0.81		0.74		

TABLE 2 Multivariate logistic regression analyses for factors associated with the presence of IVDD in AS patients.

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p < 0.001.

range of 0.1 to 1.0, using the model to guide intervention yielded a greater net clinical benefit compared to strategies of treating all or no patients. Notably, the optimal cutoff value identified by ROC analysis (60.8%) fell within this clinically useful threshold range (Figure 3D). When using 60.8% as the decision threshold for diagnosing AS-related IVDD, the model would allow approximately 16 out of every 100 at-risk AS patients to benefit from appropriate intervention without unnecessarily treating those without IVDD risk (Figure 3E). CIC corroborated these findings by showing that when the threshold probability exceeded 60%, the number of individuals predicted at high risk closely matched the actual incidence of AS-IVDD (Figure 3F). Collectively, Model 1 not only demonstrates remarkable predictive accuracy but also holds substantial promise for clinical application.

Discussion

IVDD is a chief contributor to the global increase in disabilityadjusted life years (DALYs), resulting in chronic pain and functional decline, with a substantial impact on healthcare expenditures (24, 25). In AS patients, IVDD is notably more prevalent, likely due to immune dysregulation and mechanical destabilization. Moreover, IVDD in AS often has a rapid course and poses enormous therapeutic challenges (26). Advanced surgical procedures typically face heightened difficulty in AS-IVDD (27, 28) because of (1): rigid spines and local ossification complicating exposure and decompression (2); higher rates of implant failure and poor fusion outcomes (3); chronic inflammation and persistent immune activation, which raise the likelihood of infection and thrombosis. Hence, surgery is often not the first choice in AS-IVDD. Rather, early identification of high-risk individuals and refinement of interventions are crucial to mitigating the disease burden and surgical risks.

Through univariate and multivariate analyses, our findings reveal that Th2% and IL-4 are independent risk factors for IVDD in AS, highlighting a central role of Th2-associated immune dysregulation. Our data add to prior evidence implicating Th2 responses in AS pathophysiology, suggesting that an elevated Th2 response might foster disc degeneration by diverse molecular pathways (29, 30). We integrated these immunological parameters with the more traditional risk factor BMI (31) to construct a novel IVDD risk nomogram for AS patients. Without Th2% and IL-4, the model's predictive performance (AUC) dropped from 0.83 to 0.74, underscoring these immunologic variables as key contributors. DCA and CIC confirmed the model's robust clinical utility, indicating that when the decision threshold is set at 60.8%, the predicted high-risk population aligns well with real-world IVDD incidence in AS, thus guiding targeted interventions. To further evaluate whether the observed performance gain justifies the added complexity and cost associated with incorporating immunological biomarkers, we conducted a DCA directly comparing the two models. As shown in Supplementary Figure S1C, Model 1 consistently yielded a higher net clinical benefit than Model 2 across a broad range of threshold probabilities (10%-100%). These findings suggest that, in real-world clinical settingsparticularly for patients at intermediate risk-Model 1 may offer superior utility by enabling more precise risk stratification and supporting earlier, more targeted intervention strategies.

Through comprehensive multi-omics analyses, this study is the first to systematically elucidate how elevated Th2 responses and IL-4 contribute to disc pathology in the context of AS. Th2 cells typically secrete IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13, which are often associated with anti-inflammatory and reparative roles (32, 33). However, in AS-IVDD, heightened Th2 activity and excess IL-4 may paradoxically drive disc deterioration through several putative mechanisms (34, 35) (1): activation of matrix metalloproteinases (MMP-3, MMP-13), degrading disc extracellular matrix (ECM) (2); upregulation of chemokines that recruit inflammatory cells into the disc microenvironment (3); direct inhibitory effects on nucleus pulposus cell proliferation and repair. Thus, IL-4 can act as a "double-edged sword," simultaneously regulating immune responses while undermining the integrity of the intervertebral disc. IL-4 was found to exert pleiotropic effects on intervertebral disc cells in vitro. Upon IL-4 stimulation, nucleus pulposus (NP) cells exhibited a pronounced catabolic phenotype, marked by elevated secretion of ECM-degrading enzymes such as MMPs and ADAMTS. Notably, IL-4 also triggered a substantial upregulation of the pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-17F, implicating a previously unrecognized role for IL-4 in reinforcing inflammatory circuits that may potentiate disc degeneration under pathological conditions (36). Previous studies have demonstrated that Th17 and Tregs contribute to the pathogenesis of chronic low back pain through immune-mediated mechanisms (37). An

imbalance characterized by reduced pro-inflammatory Th17 cells and increased anti-inflammatory Tregs has been observed in the peripheral blood of affected individuals, underscoring the role of Th17/Treg crosstalk in pain and immune regulation. In contrast, our findings highlight a distinct immunological axis, wherein Th2/ IL-4-driven dysregulation appears to play a more pivotal role in AS-IVDD. This may reflect the unique immune microenvironment in AS patients, the precise cellular and molecular underpinnings of which remain largely unexplored. Future studies will aim to delineate the upstream regulators and downstream effectors of the Th2/IL-4 pathway in the context of disc degeneration, with the goal of identifying potential therapeutic targets.

Our study also corroborates the longstanding observation that higher BMI is associated with an increased risk of IVDD (**31**, **38**). Besides augmenting mechanical stress, obesity often correlates with chronic, low-grade inflammation, which heightens the activity of proinflammatory cytokines (e.g., TNF- α , IL-6), exacerbating ECM breakdown and reduced proteoglycan/collagen synthesis in the disc (**39**). Previous studies have shown that IL-4 is involved in mechanotransduction and catabolic responses of annulus fibrosus cells in non-degenerate intervertebral discs subjected to cyclic tensile strain (**40**). Although our analysis did not reveal a significant correlation between BMI, serum IL-4 levels, and the proportion of Th2 cells (Supplementary Figure S1D), it is plausible that these factors may act in concert to promote IVDD. The potential synergistic effects among metabolic, mechanical, and immune pathways warrant further investigation.

Strengths of this study include (1): Novel focus on Th2-mediated immunopathology. We comprehensively explored the roles of Th2% and IL-4 in the pathogenesis of AS-IVDD, broadening the current understanding of overlapping inflammatory pathways (2). Rigorous evaluation of model performance. Discrimination, calibration, and DCA collectively demonstrated that the proposed nomogram offers robust predictive value and clinical utility (3). Practical, user-friendly risk prediction. By presenting our findings through a nomogram, we provide a straightforward tool for individualized risk assessment, aligning well with the goals of precision medicine in clinical settings.

Limitations include

(1) Owing to the relatively limited sample size, the study may have lacked sufficient power to detect subtle intergroup differences, which could impact the robustness of the statistical analyses (2). The predictive model was internally validated via bootstrap resampling, but no external test set was available due to the single-center, retrospective design. This limitation may introduce selection bias and restrict the generalizability of our findings. Future studies using large, multicenter prospective cohorts will be essential to externally validate and refine our nomogram model (3). While Th2 cells and IL-4 emerge as independent risk factors, their precise molecular mechanisms in AS-IVDD remain incompletely understood. Further investigations are needed to delineate how the Th2/IL-4 axis modulates the disc microenvironment, including its interactions with extracellular matrix turnover, inflammatory mediators, and resident disc cells. Incorporating multi-omics profiling and additional immunological biomarkers may further enhance model performance and broaden its clinical applicability.

Conclusions

This study provides the first demonstration of a clear immune link between AS and IVDD, underscoring the pivotal roles of elevated Th2% and IL-4 levels. We show that incorporating these immune markers alongside BMI significantly improves the accuracy of a nomogram designed to predict IVDD in AS. The model's high predictive power and strong clinical utility underscore its potential for guiding individual risk assessment, enabling earlier and more targeted interventions to mitigate disease severity and surgical risk. Moreover, our data point to immunomodulatory strategies as promising therapeutic avenues for AS-IVDD. For example, monoclonal antibodies such as dupilumab, which blocks IL-4 receptor α signaling, have already been approved for the treatment of Th2-mediated diseases and may be repurposed for inflammatory spinal disorders. In addition, agents that inhibit Th2 cell differentiation or modulate cytokine profiles may hold therapeutic promise in mitigating disc degeneration. Future investigations should include larger multicenter cohorts and functional assays to fully elucidate the underlying molecular mechanisms, thereby accelerating the development of novel treatments. Ultimately, our work signifies an important step toward precision medicine for patients with AS and IVDD, aiming to reduce disease burden and enhance longterm outcomes.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

Ethical approval was granted by the institutional review board of The Second Hospital of Shanxi Medical University [Approval No. 2024YX (369)]. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

XW: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – original draft. XM: Data curation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. JL: Formal Analysis, Visualization, Writing – original draft. RZ: Data curation, Writing – original draft. YJ: Data curation, Writing – original draft. XZ: Data curation, Writing – original draft. DJ: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Writing – review & editing. BZ: Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by Shanxi Provincial Health Commission Research Project (2023059).

Acknowledgments

Thanks to all the authors and institutions that contributed to this study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2025.1556738/ full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

(A) The ROC curve for the discrimination of the nomogram to predict the risk of IVDD in training and validation cohorts. (B) DCA for predicting the risk of IVDD in AS patients in validation cohorts. (C) DCA demonstrated that Model 1 consistently provided greater net clinical benefit than Model 2 across a threshold probability range of 10% to 100%, supporting its superior utility in guiding clinical decision-making. (D) Spearman correlation analysis was performed to assess the relationships between BMI and immune parameters IL-4 and Th2%. The color gradient represents the strength and direction of correlation (ρ), with red indicating positive and blue indicating negative associations. A weak negative correlation was found between BMI and IL-4 (ρ = -0.13, p = 0.22), while a weak positive correlation was found between BMI and Th2% (ρ = 0.12, p = 0.27). However, neither reached statistical significance, suggesting no meaningful correlation between BMI and these immune markers in the current dataset.

References

1. Mohammed OA, Alghamdi M, Adam MIE, BinAfif WF, Alfaifi J, Alamri MMS, et al. miRNAs dysregulation in ankylosing spondylitis: A review of implications for disease mechanisms, and diagnostic markers. *Int J Biol Macromol.* (2024) 268:131814. doi: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2024.131814

 Raychaudhuri SP, Deodhar A. The classification and diagnostic criteria of ankylosing spondylitis. J Autoimmun. (2014) 48-49:128–33. doi: 10.1016/j.jaut.2014.01.015

3. McGonagle D, David P, Macleod T, Watad A. Predominant ligament-centric softtissue involvement differentiates axial psoriatic arthritis from ankylosing spondylitis. *Nat Rev Rheumatol.* (2023) 19:818–27. doi: 10.1038/s41584-023-01038-9

4. Wang K, Lu J, Song C, Qiao M, Li Y, Chang M, et al. Extracellular vesicles derived from ligament tissue transport interleukin-17A to mediate ligament-to-bone crosstalk in ankylosing spondylitis. *Adv Sci (Weinh)*. (2024) 11:e2406876. doi: 10.1002/advs.202406876

5. Kilic G, Senol S, Baspinar S, Kilic E, Ozgocmen S. Degenerative changes of lumbar spine and their clinical implications in patients with axial spondyloarthritis. *Clin Rheumatol.* (2023) 42:111-6. doi: 10.1007/s10067-022-06321-w

 Sakai D. Insights into IVDD pathogenesis in 2024. Nat Rev Rheumatol. (2024) 21 (2):71–2. doi: 10.1038/s41584-024-01207-4

7. Bautista-Molano W, Fernández-Ávila DG, Brance ML, Ávila Pedretti MG, Burgos-Vargas R, Corbacho I, et al. Pan American League of Associations for Rheumatology recommendations for the management of axial spondyloarthritis. *Nat Rev Rheumatol.* (2023) 19:724–37. doi: 10.1038/s41584-023-01034-z

8. Sun J, Gui Y, Zhou S, Zheng XL. Unlocking the secrets of aging: Epigenetic reader BRD4 as the target to combatting aging-related diseases. *J Adv Res.* (2024) 63:207–18. doi: 10.1016/j.jare.2023.11.006

9. Ull C, Yilmaz E, Hoffmann MF, Reinke C, Aach M, Schildhauer TA, et al. Factors associated with major complications and mortality during hospitalization in patients

with ankylosing spondylitis undergoing surgical management for a spine fracture. Global Spine J. (2022) 12:1380–7. doi: 10.1177/2192568220980702

10. Macleod T, Bridgewood C, McGonagle D. Role of neutrophil interleukin-23 in spondyloarthropathy spectrum disorders. *Lancet Rheumatol.* (2023) 5:e47–57. doi: 10.1016/S2665-9913(22)00334-4

11. Proft F, Duran TI, Ghoreschi K, Pleyer U, Siegmund B, Poddubnyy D. Treatment strategies for Spondyloarthritis: Implementation of precision medicine - Or "one size fits all" concept? *Autoimmun Rev.* (2024) 23:103638. doi: 10.1016/ j.autrev.2024.103638

12. Willesen ST, Hadsbjerg AE, Møller JM, Vladimirova N, Vora BMK, Seven S, et al. MRI-based synthetic CT: a new method for structural damage assessment in the spine in patients with axial spondyloarthritis - a comparison with low-dose CT and radiography. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2024) 83:807–15. doi: 10.1136/ard-2023-225444

13. Diekhoff T, Eshed I, Giraudo C, Haibel H, Hermann KGA, de Hooge M, et al. Reporting sacroiliac joint imaging performed for known or suspected axial spondyloarthritis: assessment of spondyloArthritis international society recommendations. *Radiology*. (2024) 311:e231786. doi: 10.1148/radiol.231786

14. Jeon H, Min HK. Advancements in imaging techniques for early diagnosis and management of axial spondyloarthritis. *Curr Rheumatol Rep.* (2024) 27:7. doi: 10.1007/s11926-024-01172-7

15. Chen Y, He F, Wu F, Hu X, Zhang W, Li S, et al. Developing a calculable risk prediction model for sternal wound infection after median sternotomy: a retrospective study. *Burns Trauma*. (2024) 12:tkae031. doi: 10.1093/burnst/tkae031

16. Tian C, Ye C, Guo H, Lu K, Yang J, Wang X, et al. Liver elastography-based risk score for predicting hepatocellular carcinoma risk. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* (2024) 117 (4):761–71. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djae304

17. Skarping I, Bendahl PO, Szulkin R, Alkner S, Andersson Y, Bergkvist L, et al. Prediction of high nodal burden in patients with sentinel node-positive luminal ERBB2-negative breast cancer. *JAMA Surg.* (2024) 159:1393–403. doi: 10.1001/jamasurg.2024.3944

18. Chen T, Zhan X, Zhu J, Zhou C, Huang C, Wu S, et al. Integrating multiomics and Single-Cell communication analysis to uncover Ankylosing spondylitis mechanisms. *Int Immunopharmacol.* (2024) 143:113276. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2024.113276

19. Marques ML, Ramiro S, van Lunteren M, Stal RA, Landewé RB, van de Sande M, et al. Can rheumatologists unequivocally diagnose axial spondyloarthritis in patients with chronic back pain of less than 2 years duration? Primary outcome of the 2-year SPondyloArthritis Caught Early (SPACE) cohort. Ann Rheum Dis. (2024) 83:589–98. doi: 10.1136/ard-2023-224959

20. van der Linden S, Valkenburg HA, Cats A. Evaluation of diagnostic criteria for ankylosing spondylitis. A proposal for modification of the New York criteria. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* (1984) 27:361–8. doi: 10.1002/art.1780270401

 Rudwaleit M, Landewé R, van der Heijde D, Listing J, Brandt J, Braun J, et al. The development of Assessment of SpondyloArthritis international Society classification criteria for axial spondyloarthritis (part I): classification of paper patients by expert opinion including uncertainty appraisal. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2009) 68:770–6. doi: 10.1136/ard.2009.108217

22. Rudwaleit M, van der Heijde D, Landewé R, Listing J, Akkoc N, Brandt J, et al. The development of Assessment of SpondyloArthritis international Society classification criteria for axial spondyloarthritis (part II): validation and final selection. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2009) 68:777–83. doi: 10.1136/ard.2009.108233

23. Pfirrmann CW, Metzdorf A, Zanetti M, Hodler J, Boos N. Magnetic resonance classification of lumbar intervertebral disc degeneration. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. (2001) 26:1873–8. doi: 10.1097/00007632-200109010-00011

24. Hartvigsen J, Hancock MJ, Kongsted A, Louw Q, Ferreira ML, Genevay S, et al. What low back pain is and why we need to pay attention. *Lancet.* (2018) 391:2356–67. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30480-X

25. Ebrahimi H, Aryan Z, Saeedi Moghaddam S, Bisignano C, Rezaei S, Pishgar F, et al. Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet*. (2020) 396:1204–22. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(21)00164-8

26. Mauro D, Thomas R, Guggino G, Lories R, Brown MA, Ciccia F. Ankylosing spondylitis: an autoimmune or autoinflammatory disease? *Nat Rev Rheumatol.* (2021) 17:387–404. doi: 10.1038/s41584-021-00625-y

27. Goel A, Viswanathan VK, Serbin P, Youngman T, Mounasamy V, Sambandam S. Ankylosing spondylitis substantially increases health-care costs and length of hospital stay following total hip arthroplasty - National in-patient database study. *J Clin Orthop Trauma*. (2023) 39:102151. doi: 10.1016/j.jcot.2023.102151

28. Ishak B, Frieler S, Rustagi T, von Glinski A, Blecher R, Norvell DC, et al. Rates of early complications and mortality in patients older than 80 years of age after surgical

treatment of acute traumatic spinal fractures: ankylosing spondylitis versus osteoporosis. *Neurosurg Focus.* (2021) 51:E4. doi: 10.3171/2021.7.FOCUS21150

29. Hiyama A, Suyama K, Sakai D, Tanaka M, Watanabe M. Correlational analysis of chemokine and inflammatory cytokine expression in the intervertebral disc and blood in patients with lumbar disc disease. *J Orthop Res.* (2022) 40:1213–22. doi: 10.1002/jor.25136

30. Risbud MV, Shapiro IM. Role of cytokines in intervertebral disc degeneration: pain and disc content. *Nat Rev Rheumatol.* (2014) 10:44–56. doi: 10.1038/nrrheum.2013.160

31. Samartzis D, Karppinen J, Chan D, Luk KD, Cheung KM. The association of lumbar intervertebral disc degeneration on magnetic resonance imaging with body mass index in overweight and obese adults: a population-based study. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* (2012) 64:1488–96. doi: 10.1002/art.33462

32. Dang B, Gao Q, Zhang L, Zhang J, Cai H, Zhu Y, et al. The glycolysis/HIF-1 α axis defines the inflammatory role of IL-4-primed macrophages. Cell Rep. (2023) 42:112471. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2023.112471

33. Iwaszko M, Biały S, Bogunia-Kubik K. Significance of interleukin (IL)-4 and IL-13 in inflammatory arthritis. *Cells.* (2021) 10:3000. doi: 10.3390/cells10113000

34. Song C, Zhou D, Cheng K, Liu F, Cai W, Mei Y, et al. Bioinformatics-based discovery of intervertebral disc degeneration biomarkers and immune-inflammatory infiltrates. *JOR Spine*. (2024) 7:e1311. doi: 10.1002/jsp2.1311

35. Wythe SE, DiCara D, Taher TE, Finucane CM, Jones R, Bombardieri M, et al. Targeted delivery of cytokine therapy to rheumatoid tissue by a synovial targeting peptide. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2013) 72:129–35. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2012-201457

36. Bermudez-Lekerika P, Tseranidou S, Kanelis E, Nüesch A, Crump KB, Alexopoulos LG, et al. *Ex vivo* and *in vitro* proteomic approach to elucidate the relevance of IL-4 and IL-10 in intervertebral disc pathophysiology. *JOR Spine*. (2025) 8: e70048. doi: 10.1002/jsp2.70048

37. Luchting B, Rachinger-Adam B, Zeitler J, Egenberger L, Möhnle P, Kreth S, et al. Disrupted TH17/Treg balance in patients with chronic low back pain. *PloS One*. (2014) 9:e104883. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0104883

38. Zhou J, Mi J, Peng Y, Han H, Liu Z. Causal associations of obesity with the intervertebral degeneration, low back pain, and sciatica: A two-sample mendelian randomization study. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. (2021) 12:740200. doi: 10.3389/ fendo.2021.740200

39. Wang T, He C. Pro-inflammatory cytokines: The link between obesity and osteoarthritis. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* (2018) 44:38–50. doi: 10.1016/j.cytogfr.2018.10.002

40. Gilbert HT, Hoyland JA, Freemont AJ, Millward-Sadler SJ. The involvement of interleukin-1 and interleukin-4 in the response of human annulus fibrosus cells to cyclic tensile strain: an altered mechanotransduction pathway with degeneration. *Arthritis Res Ther.* (2011) 13:R8. doi: 10.1186/ar3229