Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Alessio Campisi, Integrated University Hospital Verona, Italy

REVIEWED BY Narendra Bharathy, Ohayo Valley, United States Bingcheng Wu, National University Hospital, Singapore Maiqing Yang, Weifang People's Hospital, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Yixiang Zhang Zhangyixiang200059@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 06 February 2025 ACCEPTED 28 April 2025 PUBLISHED 16 May 2025

CITATION

Wang Z, Wang J, Wang S, Xu W and Zhang Y (2025) Case Report: FAP⁺ fibroblasts and SPP1⁺ macrophages in SMARCA2-deficient while SMARCA4-preserved poorly differentiated lung adenocarcinoma: two case reports and multi-omics analysis. *Front. Immunol.* 16:1568556. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2025.1568556

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Wang, Wang, Wang, Xu and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Case Report: FAP⁺ fibroblasts and SPP1⁺ macrophages in SMARCA2-deficient while SMARCA4-preserved poorly differentiated lung adenocarcinoma: two case reports and multi-omics analysis

Zhaoxuan Wang^{1†}, Junying Wang^{2†}, Shengmin Wang^{1†}, Weijiao Xu³ and Yixiang Zhang^{1*}

¹Department of Thoracic Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Dalian Medical University, Dalian, China, ²Department of Pathology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Dalian Medical University, Dalian, China, ³Department of Thoracic Surgery, Shanghai Chest Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

Two ATPase subunits, SMARCA4 (which encodes BRG1) and SMARCA2 (which encodes BRM), facilitate this process by hydrolyzing ATP to energize the activity of the mammalian switch/sucrose-non-fermenting (mSWI/SNF) complexes. Clinically, SMARCA4-deficient non-small cell lung carcinoma (SMARCA4dNSCLC) were associated with the poorly differentiated histologic manifestations and poor prognosis. However, NSCLC exhibited the similar poorly differentiated features but loss of SMARCA2 and retained SMARCA4 have so far been underrecognized. Here, we reported two cases of poorly differentiated tumors with loss of SMARCA2 expression while preserved SMARCA4 expression and provided the morphologic, immunohistochemical, and genetic characterization of these tumors, which both arose in elderly male and appeared as the pulmonary lesion. Furthermore, we perform a comprehensive multi-omics analysis of the transcriptomic cohort GSE31210 (n=226), the proteomic cohort from the study by Chen et al. (n=89), and multiplexed immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining of these two cases to decipher the poor prognosis dependent on the immunosuppressive barrier formed by FAP⁺ fibroblasts and SPP1⁺ macrophages in the SMARCA2-deficient while SMARCA4-preserved poorly differentiated lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD). The report provides novel insights into the distinct roles of SMARCA2 and SMARCA4 in LUAD pathogenesis, highlighting the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment associated with SMARCA2 deficiency.

KEYWORDS

mSWI/SNF, SMARCA2, SMARCA4, lung adenocarcinoma, tumor microenvironment

Introduction

The mammalian switch/sucrose-non-fermenting (mSWI/SNF) complexes regulate chromatin structure by adjusting DNAnucleosome interactions, thereby increasing chromatin accessibility (1). The mSWI/SNF complexes are composed of 11 to 15 subunits assembled from the products of 29 distinct genes, resulting in three major forms: cBAF, PBAF, and ncBAF. Acting as tumor suppressors, these complexes have been found to harbor mutations in at least one of their subunits in 20% of human cancers. Loss or inactivation of mSWI/SNF components disrupts chromatin remodeling, impairs transcriptional regulation, and contributes to tumorigenesis by enabling epigenetic plasticity, dedifferentiation, and resistance to cell death. Among the subunits, SMARCA4 (BRG1) and SMARCA2 (BRM) function as the mutually exclusive ATPases that drive nucleosome repositioning, and are frequently altered in thoracic and genitourinary malignancies (2). Previous studies have reported that SMARCA4 mutations occur with varying frequencies across different cancer types, including approximately 15% in Burkitt's lymphoma, 10-35% in non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC), and 5-10% in medulloblastoma and melanoma (3-6). By contrast, SMARCA2 mutations are rare, though sporadically observed in lymphoma, leukemia, clear cell renal cell carcinoma, and gastric cancer (7). Notably, SMARCA2 downregulation has been detected in approximately 15% of solid tumors, primarily via reversible epigenetic mechanisms (8). In addition, the reduced expression or loss of other mSWI/SNF subunits, such as ARID1A (9), ARID2 (10), and SMARCB1 (11), correlates with poor differentiation, higher TNM stages, and unfavorable prognoses, suggesting the presence of additional subtypes within the mSWI/SNF-deficient thoracic tumor category.

In the context of thoracic and lung tumors, recent guideline and studies indicated that SMARCA4-deficient tumors, including SMARCA4-deficient undifferentiated tumors (SMARCA4-dUTs) and SMARCA4-deficient non-small cell lung carcinoma (SMARCA4-dNSCLC) were primarily recognized subtypes of mSWI/SNF-deficient tumors. Further, SMARCA4-dUTs has been recognized as a separate entity that categorized as "Other epithelial tumors" in the 2021 World Health Organization (WHO) classification of lung tumors (12). SMARCA4-dUTs typically exhibited co-deficiency of SMARCA4 and SMARCA2, reflecting profound dedifferentiation and aggressive biological behavior. A study proposed that SMARCA2 participated in the dedifferentiation process from conventional NSCLC to SMARCA4-dUTs, thereby contributing to the acquisition of aggressive phenotypes and resistance to therapy (13). Besides, studies by Metovic et al. (14) and Iwakoshi et al. (15) demonstrated that SMARCA2 deficiency, coupled with SMARCA4 retention, displayed clinicopathological characteristics similar to those of SMARCA4-UTs. Given the known tumor-suppressive function of SMARCA2, its selective loss could have critical implications for tumor differentiation, angiogenesis, progression, and metastasis (16, 17). Specially, recent evidence suggests that SMARCA2 deficiency may independently drive oncogenic transcriptional programs through aberrant activation of cell cycle regulators and chromatin destabilization pathways (18). Collectively, these results highlighted the potential of SMARCA2 as a key mediator of dedifferentiation in lung cancer and raise the possibility that SMARCA2-deficient but SMARCA4-retained tumors may constitute a distinct molecular and clinical entity.

In the study, we reported two cases of poorly differentiated lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) characterized by SMARCA2 deficiency with preserved SMARCA4 expression. Through integrated transcriptomic, proteomic, and spatial analyses, we delineated the distinct tumor ecosystem and uncover mechanisms underlying their aggressive behavior. Our findings highlighted poorly differentiated tumors with loss of SMARCA2 expression while preserved SMARCA4 expression maybe a potential subtype in the mSWI/SNF-deficient undifferentiated tumors of the thoracic region. Also, these indicated that the potential of SMARCA4 as a predictive biomarker in SMARCA2-deficient LUAD, especially considering its preserved expression and possible compensatory role in chromatin remodeling and immune evasion.

Case presentation

Case 1: A 66-year-old male presented to our thoracic department in July 2023 with multiple pulmonary nodules on a computed tomography (CT) scan during a routine check-up. He had a history of secondary pulmonary tuberculosis and a 40 pack-year smoking history. The chest CT scan revealed a solid subpleural lesion with a size of 2.6 cm \times 1.3 cm in the left upper lobe, whereas all others were mixed or pure ground-glass nodules in the right upper lobe (Figure 1A). Perioperative laboratory tests revealed that serum CEA levels was 5.81 μ g/L (reference range 0-5 μ g/L), and other tumor markers including NSE, Cyfra21-1, and SCC were within the normal range. Head and abdomen CT showed no obvious abnormalities. Operative findings showed severe intrathoracic adhesions and a 3.2 cm × 2.8 cm tumor in the left upper lobe. He underwent the video-assisted thoracoscopic left upper pulmonary wedge resection and lymph nodes (Group 5 and 6) dissection. Unfortunately, the intraoperative frozen pathological examination revealed poorly differentiated carcinoma but legally authorized representative of the patient denied further open lobectomy. Postoperative H&E staining revealed that poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma invaded the visceral pleura but no lymph nodes metastasis (Figure 1B). Immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining revealed that BRM (-), BRG1 (+), INI1(+), p40 (-), TTF-1 (-), AE1/AE3 (+), CK7 (+), CgA (-), Ki-67 (70%) (Figure 1C). Nextgeneration sequencing (NGS) indicated no driver gene mutations. One month after surgery, the patient received 4 cycles (21 days/cycle) of carboplatin and pemetrexed adjuvant chemotherapy. No signs of recurrence were found during chemotherapy. In June 2024, the patient was admitted to the hospital due to epigastric pain. The contrastenhanced chest CT showed a 4.0 cm \times 3.9 cm mass in the para-aortic arch, and multiple enlarged lymph nodes in the mediastinum and bilateral supraclavicular regions (Figure 1A). Percutaneous biopsy of the mass suggested a poorly differentiated carcinoma. Laboratory tests revealed CEA was 15.00µg/L, and NSE, CYFRA21-1, and SCC were normal. Repeat NGS at the recurrence lesion indicated multiple



level of BRM, BRG1, INI1, TTF1, AE1/AE3, CK7, p40, CgA, and Ki-67 in Case 2.

somatic mutation, including ARIDIA (NM_006015.6): c.267_295del, STK11 (NM_000455.5): c.766G>T, CDKN2A (NM_000077.5): c.235dup, and NF1 (NM_00104249): c.6710del. Tumor mutation burden was 6.0Muts/Mb and PD-L1 tumor proportion score (TPS) > 50%. Progression-free survival (PFS) after the first-line treatment was 11 months. Then, the patient received second-line therapy with

anti-PD-1 therapy (Camrelizumab). Regrettably, the patient could not tolerate second-line therapy, and finally denied further treatment.

Case 2: A 65-year-old male was admitted to our thoracic department in August 2024 due to accidental trauma. He had a smoking history of 50 pack-years. Chest CT scan revealed a 3.0 cm \times 3.0 cm mass in the right upper lobe of the lung,

with metastasis to the hilar lymph nodes (Figure 1D). Perioperative laboratory tests revealed that serum tumor markers were within normal range. Head and abdomen CT showed no obvious abnormalities. He underwent the video-assisted thoracoscopic right upper lobectomy and systematic mediastinal lymphadenectomy. Postoperative H&E staining revealed that poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma (Figure 1E). The IHC results are as follows: BRM (-), BRG1 (+), INI1(+), p40 (-), TTF-1 (-), AE1/AE3 (+), CK7 (+), CgA (-), Ki-67 (40%) (Figure 1F). Next-generation sequencing indicated no driver gene mutations and PD-L1 TPS \geq 1%. One month after surgery, the patient received 4 cycles (21 days/cycle) of carboplatin and pemetrexed adjuvant chemotherapy. Until April 2025, no signs of recurrence were observed.

Multi-omics analysis reveals FAP⁺ fibroblasts and SPP1⁺ macrophages induce poor prognosis in SMARCA2-deficient while SMARCA4-preserved poorly differentiated LUAD

To further decipher the mechanisms of poor prognosis in SMARCA2-deficient while SMARCA4-preserved poorly differentiated LUAD, we analyzed the association between the expression levels of SMARCA2 and SMARCA4 and the prognosis in the transcriptomic LUAD cohort GSE31210 (n=226) (19). As expected, the results revealed that patients harboring SMARCA2^{Low} (including SMARCA2^{Low}& SMARCA4^{High} and SMARCA2^{Low}& SMARCA4^{Low}) exhibited shorter relapse-free survival (RFS) and overall survival (OS) (Figure 2A). Next, we analyzed the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between the patients harboring SMARCA2^{Low} and patients harboring SMARCA2^{High} (including SMARCA2^{High} & SMARCA4^{High} and SMARCA2^{High} & SMARCA4 Low). Genes correlated with cell cycle (TOP2A, BUB1, and MKI67) and glycolysis (SLC2A1 and ALDOA) were significantly upregulated in patients harboring SMARCA2^{Low}, whereas genes related to extracellular structure organization (ADAMTS8, MYH11, COL4A6, and IL6) and lung development (SFTPD, TCF21, and SPRY1) were upregulated in patients harboring SMARCA2^{High} (Figure 2B). Gene ontology (GO) and gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) analysis confirmed that nuclear division, G2/M checkpoint, and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) were markedly enriched in patients harboring SMARCA2^{Low}, which may elucidate the mechanism of higher proliferative ability and tendency of tumor recurrence (Figures 2C, D). Notably, we observed the overexpression of extracellular matrix (ECM) remodeling-related genes (COL11A1, MMP12, FAP, SPP1, and MMP11) as the top markers based on foldchange in patients harboring SMARCA2^{Low} (Figure 2B), which indicated particular stromal cells in the TME of these patients. Therefore, we analyzed the distinct composition of cell subpopulations in the TME using multiple algorithms including CIBERSORT, MCP-counter, EPIC, quantiseq, ESTIMATE, and TIMER. Compared to other groups, patients harboring SMARCA2^{Low} & SMARCA4^{High} exhibited higher tumor purity and increased infiltration of cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs), lower infiltration of immune cells including CD8⁺ T cells, CD4⁺ T cells (Figure 2E). The study by Qi et al. (20) revealed that FAP⁺ fibroblasts and SPP1⁺ macrophages as the desmoplastic niche limit the T cell infiltration in colorectal cancer. We speculated that the similar tumor ecosystem existed in patients harboring *SMARCA2*^{Low} & *SMARCA4*^{High}.

Next, we analyzed the proteomic LUAD cohort from Chen et al. (n=89) [9]. We found the expression level of SMARCA2 exhibited a significant negative correlation to FAP and SPP1, and a positive correlation to ACTA2, CD3D, CD8A, and CD4 (Figure 2F). In contrast, no such relationship was observed in the protein expression of SMARCA4 (Figure 2F). To validate our findings from public multi-omics cohorts, we performed multiplexed IHC staining for these two reported samples and one SMARCA2^{High} & SMARCA4^{High} sample as control (Supplementary Figure S1) using panel A: Pan-CK (epithelial cells), α-SMA (fibroblasts), CD31 (vessels), and CD206 (macrophages); panel B: Pan-CK, FAP, SPP1, CD8, and CD4 (Figure 3). We first observed that the multiple typical stromal components (α -SMA⁺ cells, CD31⁺ cells, and CD206⁺ cells) constituted the neovascularization in tumor tissues, which may establish the prerequisite of intravasation. Moreover, compared to the control sample, we found FAP⁺ fibroblasts and SPP1⁺ macrophages exhibited the co-localization features wrapping around the tumor core and excluded CD8⁺ T cells and CD4⁺ T cells to the stromal region in our reported cases. These results confirmed that the findings from public cohorts and indicated the explicit immunosuppressive TME in these patients.

Discussion

Herein, we reported the distinct pathological features and poor prognosis in two cases of poorly differentiated LUAD with SMARCA2 deficiency but preserved SMARCA4 expression. This represented a rare subtype of mSWI/SNF complex-deficient tumors. Through multi-omics analysis of public transcriptomic and proteomic datasets, and validation via multiplexed IHC, we discovered that the loss of SMARCA2, coupled with the retention of SMARCA4, was associated with a highly immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment (TME), characterized by the enrichment of FAP⁺ fibroblasts and SPP1⁺ macrophages. The two cells colocalized with CD8⁺ T cells and CD4⁺ T cells, and limited the infiltration of these T cells into the tumor. These findings suggested that the immunosuppressive barrier formed by these stromal cells contributes to poor outcomes in SMARCA2-deficient LUAD.

To further contextualize our findings, we conducted a comprehensive literature review and identified four previously reported cases of SMARCA2-deficient but SMARCA4-preserved tumors with complete clinical descriptions (15, 21). Combined with our two cases, a total of six cases were analyzed and summarized in Table 1. Among these, five were located in the thoracic region and one originated from the mesentery. Notably, the cases predominantly occurred in male patients with a history of heavy smoking, and shared common clinical features including



cutoff), and P-values were calculated by log-rank test. (**B**) The volcano plot of differential gene expression of SMARCA2^{Low} versus SMARCA2^{High}. The two vertical dashed lines represent absolute foldchange>1.5 in gene expression, and the horizontal dashed line denotes adjusted P-value cutoff 0.05. (**C**) GO-biological process (BP) and (**D**) GSEA enrichment analyses of DEGs in SMARCA2^{Low} and SMARCA2^{High}. (**E**) Heatmap showing the infiltration of cell subpopulations for four subgroups of LUAD patients stratified by the expression of SMARCA2 and SMARCA4 (median cutoff) using multiple algorithms including CIBERSORT, MCP-counter, EPIC, ESTIMATE, quantiseq, and TIMER. Statistical significance was determined by Kruskal-Wallis's test. (**F**) The scatterplot showing the correlation between the protein levels of SMARCA2 and SMARCA4 and FAP, SPP1, ACTA2, CD3D, CD8A, and CD4. Correlation analysis was created with Pearson's correlation.

poor differentiation, rapid recurrence and poor prognosis. Immunohistochemically, these tumors consistently showed loss of SMARCA2 expression, while SMARCA4 and SMARCB1 remained intact, often accompanied by the loss of other differentiation markers (e.g., TTF-1, p40) and expression of proliferation or EMT-related markers such as Ki-67 and vimentin. Most patients experienced rapid progression and short-term death. This comparative analysis supported the notion that SMARCA2 deficiency, even in the presence of preserved SMARCA4, defines a distinct biological subset of thoracic tumors with dedifferentiated



morphology and poor clinical outcomes. Importantly, this group may be under-recognized due to the limited routine assessment of SMARCA2 in thoracic pathology.

As a key epigenetic regulator, the mSWI/SNF complex coordinates gene expression, cell proliferation and differentiation. Loss of expression in multiple subunits of mSWI/SNF complex exhibits common undifferentiated rhabdoid appearances and include malignant rhabdoid tumor as the prototypical example, as well as various other benign and malignant soft tissue tumors in children and adults. In the thoracic tumors, loss of SMARCA4 as the typical form of mSWI/SNF complex-deficient tumors occurs in multiple intrathoracic organs, which is further classified into two subtypes: SMARCA4-dUT and SMARCA4-dNSCLC. The relationship between the two subtypes remains elusive. These two groups of SMARCA4-deficient tumors were separable morphologically and immunohistochemically, but both SMARCA4-

ID	Study	Age	Sex	Smoking	Primary Tumor Location	Primary Tumor Size (mm)	Metastatic Site at Diagnosis	IHC staining	Treatment	Follow- up
1	Iwakoshi et al. (15)	42	М	Heavy- smoker	Mediastinum	93	Bone	BRM (-), BRG1 (+), INI1(+), Claudin-4 (-),p40 (-),TTF-1 (-), AE1/AE3 (-), CD34(+).	Chemoradiation therapy	Dead, OS, 8-month
2	Iwakoshi et al. (15)	40	М	Heavy- smoker	Lung>chest wall	85	Adrenal gland	BRM (-), BRG1 (+), INI1(+), Claudin-4 (-), p40 (-),TTF-1 (-), AE1/AE3 (focal +), CD34(-).	Chemotherapy plus ICI, resection for intestinal metastasis	Dead, OS, 10-month
3	Iwakoshi et al. (15)	50	F	Heavy- smoker	Lung	57	Brain	BRM (-), BRG1 (+), INI1(+), p40 (-),TTF-1 (-), AE1/AE3 (+), CD34(-).	Whole-brain radiation followed by chemotherapy	Dead, OS, 2-month
4	Tamaki et al. (<mark>21</mark>)	70	М	Heavy- smoker	Mesenterium	60	Colon and bladder	BRM (-), BRG1 (+), INI1(+), Vimentin (+),Claudin-4 (-), AE1/AE3 (focal +), CK7 (focal +), Ki-67 (50%).	Surgery	Dead, OS, 20-day
5	Our case	66	М	Heavy- smoker	Lung	32	Mediastinum	BRM (-), BRG1 (+), INI1(+), p40 (-),TTF-1 (-), AE1/AE3 (+), CK7 (+), CgA (-), Ki- 67 (70%).	Surgery, Chemotherapy plus ICI	PFS, 11-month
6	Our case	65	М	Heavy- smoker	Lung	30	None	BRM (-), BRG1 (+), INI1(+), p40 (-), TTF-1 (-), AE1/AE3 (+), CK7 (+), CgA (-), Ki- 67 (40%).	Surgery, Chemotherapy	Survival, OS, 9-month

TABLE 1 Characteristics of SMARCA2-deficient while SMARCA4-preserved tu	mors.
---	-------

dUT and SMARCA4-dNSCLC are associated with heavy smoking, male preponderance, and poor prognosis. Notably, the loss of both SMARCA-4 and SMARCA2 was relatively specific to SMARCA4dUT compared with SMARCA4-dNSCLC. Rekhtman et al. (13) proposed a model that the dedifferentiation process of NSCLC was activated by loss of SMARCA2 in the context of SMARCA4-deficient expression. Besides, a previous study showed that SMARCA2 deficiency with preserved SMARCA4 promotes lung tumors initiation and development using mouse models (8). Similarly, in alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma (ARMS), SMARCA4 is preferentially expressed over its mutually exclusive homolog SMARCA2 and is rarely subject to mutation or deletion. Besides, SMARCA4 is required for the expression of the oncogenic fusion protein PAX3:FOXO1, thereby promoting chemotherapy resistance and long-term tumor cell survival, despite being dispensable for short-term viability (22). Collectively, these findings underscored the context-dependent and non-redundant roles of SMARCA4 and SMARCA2 in tumor development, progression, and therapeutic response across a spectrum of SWI/SNF-deficient malignancies, highlighting their potential as both diagnostic markers and therapeutic targets.

In our report, all these two cases were heavy smoking male patients and exhibited the poorly dedifferentiation features with SMARCA2 deficiency with preserved SMARCA4. In these cases, Case 1 showed rapid intrathoracic recurrence after undergoing both surgical treatment and postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy, which indicated that the specific carcinogenic pathway activation in these patients. Through the multi-omics analysis, SMARCA2^{Low} patients (including SMARCA2^{Low}& SMARCA4^{High} and SMARCA2^{Low} & SMARCA4^{Low}) harboring shorted OS and RFS exhibited the enrichment in the tumorigenicity including cell nuclear division, G2/M checkpoint, and EMT, which is consistent with previous understanding of the function of mSWI/SNF complex. Surprisingly, FAP and SPP1 as the noticeable markers in DEGs showed a negative correlation with the protein level of SMARCA2. Smoking history exhibited a close relationship with FAP expression in fibroblasts and SPP1 expression in lung antigenpresenting cells (APCs) (23, 24). Mechanically, benzo[a]pyrene as a carcinogen in cigarette smoke induces higher expression levels of fibrosis (FAP, α -SMA, and β -catenin) in fibroblasts through hepatocellular carcinoma exosome-circular RNA (25). In addition, cigarette smoke could directly induce activation of SPP1 in lung APCs and further exacerbate emphysema (24). Besides, previous study reported that the formation of FAP+ fibroblasts and SPP1⁺ macrophages also rely on the activation of TGF- β , which further induced the fibrotic structure that restricted T cells infiltration and predicted the immunotherapy resistance (20). In our reported cases, we demonstrated that FAP+ fibroblasts and SPP1⁺ macrophages as the contributor to low immune cell infiltration in SMARCA2 deficiency with preserved SMARCA4 poorly LUAD. Our cases, together with similar findings in the TME of colorectal cancer, may lead to new strategies for normalizing tumor immune microenvironment.

Therapeutic strategies specifically tailored for SMARCA2deficient while SMARCA4-retained tumors remain limited, largely due to the rarity and heterogeneity of these tumors. However, several potential interventions have been proposed based on emerging molecular insights. First, the preserved expression of SMARCA4 suggests partial retention of SWI/SNF complex function, distinguishing these tumors from SMARCA4deficient thoracic cancers that are typically associated with extremely poor prognosis and resistance to standard therapies. Second, the frequent co-occurrence of mutations in tumor suppressor genes such as STK11, ARID1A, and CDKN2A, as observed in our case, may inform potential vulnerabilities to targeted therapies, including mTOR/AMPK inhibitors, CDK4/6 inhibitors, or agents modulating epigenetic regulators (26, 27). Third, elevated PD-L1 expression and intermediate-to-high TMB in these patients support the potential efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), although clinical outcomes appear variable and may depend on co-existing immunosuppressive mechanisms. In this context, combination strategies incorporating ICIs with SPP1 or FAP inhibitors may hold promising therapeutic potential by targeting both immune evasion and stromal-mediated immunosuppression. Lastly, preclinical studies have shown that synthetic lethality targeting residual SWI/SNF function (e.g., via EZH2, BRD9, or ATR inhibition) could be leveraged in SMARCA2deficient tumors (28). Moreover, proteolysis-targeting chimeras (PROTACs) directed against SMARCA4, and HDAC inhibitors (e.g., trichostatin A) capable of reactivating epigenetically silenced SMARCA2 (29), are being explored as potential treatments in tumors with functional SMARCA2 loss rather than genetic deletion. These findings underscore the importance of comprehensive molecular profiling to guide personalized treatment approaches in this distinct molecular subset of LUAD.

While our study provides novel insights into the roles of SMARCA2 and SMARCA4 in LUAD pathogenesis, there are several limitations. First, the sample size was limited to two cases, which may affect the generalizability of the findings. Larger studies with additional cases of SMARCA2-deficient LUAD are needed to confirm the clinical and biological relevance of our observations. Second, although we utilized multi-omics datasets for validation, direct functional studies were not conducted to establish the causal relationship between SMARCA2 deficiency, TME remodeling, and immune exclusion. Future studies should aim to characterize the broader prevalence and clinical significance of SMARCA2 deficiency in NSCLC. Given the association between SMARCA2 loss and an immunosuppressive TME, therapeutic strategies targeting FAP⁺ fibroblasts or SPP1⁺ macrophages may hold promise for overcoming immune evasion in this tumor subtype. Additionally, investigating whether SMARCA2-deficient tumors exhibit differential responses to immune checkpoint inhibitors or other immunotherapies could provide further insights into personalized treatment approaches for these patients. Lastly, future research should explore the interplay between SMARCA2 and SMARCA4 in greater detail, as the preservation of SMARCA4 may modulate the biological behavior of SMARCA2-deficient tumors in ways that are distinct from dual-deficient tumors.

Methods

Public datasets collection and bioinformatic analysis

The bulk RNA-seq dataset of LUAD with corresponding histopathological information was derived from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) with accession numbers GSE31210 (n=226) (19). This data normalization process and DEGs analysis were conducted using the R package "limma" (v3.54.1). DEGs were considered for further analysis with adjusted P-value < 0.05 and absolute log2(FoldChange) > 0.585. The over-representation analysis of Gene Ontology (GO) was performed using the R package "clusterProfiler" (v4.6.0). We performed Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) (30) to examine the hallmark gene sets (MSigDB, https://www.gsea-msigdb.org/gsea/msigdb) that are significantly enriched pathway. The proteomics dataset of LUAD derived from the study by Chen et al. (n=89) (31). We employed a multi-faceted approach (including CIBERSORT (32), MCP-counter (33), EPIC (34), ESTIMATE (35), quantiseq (36), and TIMER (37)) to evaluate the diversity in the TME. The above algorithms are included in the R package "IOBR" (v0.99.9) (38).

Next-generation sequencing

Tumor tissues were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen immediately after collection. Each sample measured less than 1.5 cm × 1.5 cm, with a thickness of 2-5 mm. Genomic DNA was extracted from fresh-frozen specimens using the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, 51306, Valencia, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. DNA libraries were prepared using the KAPA Hyper Prep Kit (KAPA, KK8504) and enriched with the Agilent SureSelect XT Human All Exon V5 Kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Targeted sequencing of exons from major cancer-related genes was conducted using Genetron's 509-gene cancer panel on the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform, with matched white blood cell (WBC) DNA used as a germline control.

H&E and multiplexed IHC staining

Representative 4-µm-thick slides of FFPE tissue were stained for H&E and checked by 2 certified pathologists to determine histological patterns. Multiplexed IHC staining was performed using Novo-light 5-color kit (D110051-50T, WiSee Bio) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, deparaffinized slides were incubated with various primary antibodies, followed by treated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody incubation and tyramide signal amplification working solution. Between all steps, the slides were washed with buffer. Finally, nuclei were subsequently visualized with DAPI, and the slides were coverslipped using an anti-fade mounting medium. Multiplexed IHC was performed with the same protocols but different primary antibodies for two panels: Panel A: anti-Pan-CK (BX50143, Biolyx, 1:1000), anti- α -SMA (BM0002, Boster, 1:1000), anti-CD31 (BX50032-C3, Biolyx, 1:300), and anti-CD206 (91992, CST, 1:200); Panel B: anti-Pan-CK (BX50143, Biolyx, 1:1000), anti-FAP (BM5121, Boster, 1:250), anti-SPP1 (ab214050, Abcam, 1:2000), anti-CD8 (BX50036, Biolyx, 1:300), and anti-CD4 (BX50023, Abcam, 1:300). For each patient specimen, whole-slides were scanned using Pannoramic MIDI platform.

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses and graphical presentations were performed using open-sourced R (v4.2.2). Survival analysis was performed using the R packages "survminer" (v3.1-8) and "survival" (v3.1-8). Patients within all datasets were divided into two groups based on the median of gene expression, and P-value was calculated using a log-rank test. Correlation analysis was created with Pearson's correlation. The statistical tests used in the figures are specified in the figure legends, and statistical significance was set at a P-value < 0.05.

Ethics approval

This study was approved by the institutional review board (PJ-KS-KY-2023-362). All patients had signed informed consent for the inclusion of their clinical information and specimens in research projects, following the recommendation of the ethical committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Dalian Medical University. Written informed consent was obtained from the participant/patient(s) for the publication of this case report.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by ethical committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Dalian Medical University. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardians/next of kin. The manuscript presents research on animals that do not require ethical approval for their study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual (s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

ZW: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JW: Resources, Validation, Writing – review & editing. SW: Conceptualization, Investigation, Software, Writing – original draft. WX: Investigation, Software, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YZ: Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the patients who participated in this study and their families.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2025. 1568556/full#supplementary-material

References

1. Kadoch C, Hargreaves DC, Hodges C, Elias L, Ho L, Ranish J, et al. Proteomic and bioinformatic analysis of mammalian SWI/SNF complexes identifies extensive roles in human Malignancy. *Nat Genet.* (2013) 45:592–601. doi: 10.1038/ng.2628

2. Wilson BG, Helming KC, Wang X, Kim Y, Vazquez F, Jagani Z, et al. Residual complexes containing SMARCA2 (BRM) underlie the oncogenic drive of SMARCA4 (BRG1) mutation. *Mol Cell Biol.* (2014) 34:1136–44. doi: 10.1128/MCB.01372-13

3. Hodis E, Watson IR, Kryukov GV, Arold ST, Imielinski M, Theurillat JP, et al. A landscape of driver mutations in melanoma. *Cell.* (2012) 150:251–63. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.06.024

4. Medina PP, Romero OA, Kohno T, Montuenga LM, Pio R, Yokota J, et al. Frequent BRG1/SMARCA4-inactivating mutations in human lung cancer cell lines. *Hum mutation*. (2008) 29:617–22. doi: 10.1002/humu.20730

5. Love C, Sun Z, Jima D, Li G, Zhang J, Miles R, et al. The genetic landscape of mutations in Burkitt lymphoma. *Nat Genet*. (2012) 44:1321-5. doi: 10.1038/ng.2468

6. Shain AH, Giacomini CP, Matsukuma K, Karikari CA, Bashyam MD, Hidalgo M, et al. Convergent structural alterations define SWItch/Sucrose NonFermentable (SWI/SNF) chromatin remodeler as a central tumor suppressive complex in pancreatic cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci United States America*. (2012) 109:E252–9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1114817109

7. Field NR, Dickson KA, Nassif NT, Marsh DJ. SMARCA4 and SMARCA2 codeficiency: An uncommon molecular signature defining a subset of rare, aggressive and undifferentiated Malignancies associated with defective chromatin remodeling. *Cancer letters*. (2024) 605:217282. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2024.217282

8. Glaros S, Cirrincione GM, Muchardt C, Kleer CG, Michael CW, Reisman D. The reversible epigenetic silencing of BRM: implications for clinical targeted therapy. *Oncogene*. (2007) 26:7058–66. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1210514

9. Zhang Y, Xu X, Zhang M, Bai X, Li H, Kan L, et al. ARID1A is downregulated in non-small cell lung cancer and regulates cell proliferation and apoptosis. *Tumour biology: J Int Soc Oncodevelopmental Biol Medicine*. (2014) 35:5701–7. doi: 10.1007/s13277-014-1755-x

10. Lee HS, Park JH, Kim SJ, Kwon SJ, Kwon J. A cooperative activation loop among SWI/SNF, gamma-H2AX and H3 acetylation for DNA double-strand break repair. *EMBO J.* (2010) 29:1434–45. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2010.27

11. Haberecker M, Bühler MM, Mendieta AP, Guggenberger R, Arnold F, Markert E, et al. Molecular and immunophenotypic characterization of SMARCB1 (INI1) - deficient intrathoracic Neoplasms. *Modern pathology: an Off J United States Can Acad Pathology Inc.* (2022) 35:1860–9. doi: 10.1038/s41379-022-01133-4

12. Nicholson AG, Tsao MS, Beasley MB, Borczuk AC, Brambilla E, Cooper WA, et al. The 2021 WHO classification of lung tumors: impact of advances since 2015. *J thoracic oncology: Off Publ Int Assoc Study Lung Cancer*. (2022) 17:362–87. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2021.11.003

13. Rekhtman N, Montecalvo J, Chang JC, Alex D, Ptashkin RN, Ai N, et al. SMARCA4-deficient thoracic sarcomatoid tumors represent primarily smoking-related undifferentiated carcinomas rather than primary thoracic sarcomas. *J thoracic oncology: Off Publ Int Assoc Study Lung Cancer*. (2020) 15:231–47. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2019.10.023

14. Metovic J, Bianchi F, Barella M, Papotti M, Pelosi G. SMARCA2 deficiency while preserving SMARCA4 and SMARCB1 in lung neuroendocrine carcinomas. *J thoracic oncology: Off Publ Int Assoc Study Lung Cancer.* (2021) 16:e32–e5. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2021.01.1613

 Iwakoshi A, Sasaki E, Sato M, Sugiyama K, Kogure Y, Kitagawa C, et al. Thoracic SMARCA2-deficient but SMARCA4-preserved tumors with undifferentiated morphology combined with claudin-4 negativity. *Am J Surg Pathol.* (2022) 46:1000–6. doi: 10.1097/PAS.000000000001879

16. Marquez-Vilendrer SB, Rai SK, Gramling SJ, Lu L, Reisman DN. Loss of the SWI/SNF ATPase subunits BRM and BRG1 drives lung cancer development. *Oncoscience.* (2016) 3:322-36. doi: 10.18632/oncoscience.v3i11-12

17. Sun S, Li Q, Zhang Z, Xiong S, Zhang Y, Liu Q, et al. SMARCA2 deficiency in NSCLC: a clinicopathologic and immunohistochemical analysis of a large series from a single institution. *Environ Health preventive medicine*. (2022) 27:3. doi: 10.1265/ehpm.21-00254

18. Gu M, Ren B, Fang Y, Ren J, Liu X, Wang X, et al. Epigenetic regulation in cancer. *MedComm.* (2024) 5:e495. doi: 10.1002/mco2.v5.2

19. Okayama H, Kohno T, Ishii Y, Shimada Y, Shiraishi K, Iwakawa R, et al. Identification of genes upregulated in ALK-positive and EGFR/KRAS/ALK-negative lung adenocarcinomas. *Cancer Res.* (2012) 72:100–11. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-1403

20. Qi J, Sun H, Zhang Y, Wang Z, Xun Z, Li Z, et al. Single-cell and spatial analysis reveal interaction of FAP(+) fibroblasts and SPP1(+) macrophages in colorectal cancer. *Nat communications*. (2022) 13:1742. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-29366-6

21. Tamaki I, Kitagawa K, Kozai H, Yonenaga Y, Nitta T. Mesenteric SMARCA2deficient yet SMARCA4-preserved aggressive undifferentiated tumor: A case report. *Surg Case Rep.* (2025) 11. doi: 10.70352/scrj.cr.24-0070

22. Bharathy N, Cleary MM, Kim JA, Nagamori K, Crawford KA, Wang E, et al. SMARCA4 biology in alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma. *Oncogene*. (2022) 41:1647–56. doi: 10.1038/s41388-022-02205-0

23. Yanagawa N, Sugai M, Shikanai S, Sugimoto R, Osakabe M, Uesugi N, et al. High expression of fibroblast-activating protein is a prognostic marker in nonsmall cell lung carcinoma. *Thoracic cancer.* (2022) 13:2377–84. doi: 10.1111/1759-7714.14579

24. Shan M, Yuan X, Song LZ, Roberts L, Zarinkamar N, Seryshev A, et al. Cigarette smoke induction of osteopontin (SPP1) mediates T(H)17 inflammation in human and experimental emphysema. *Sci Trans Med.* (2012) 4:117ra9. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3003041

25. Mu W, Gu P, Li H, Zhou J, Jian Y, Jia W, et al. Exposure of benzo[a]pyrene induces HCC exosome-circular RNA to activate lung fibroblasts and trigger organotropic metastasis. *Cancer Commun (London England)*. (2024) 44:718–38. doi: 10.1002/cac2.12574

26. Wildey G, Shay AM, McColl KS, Yoon S, Shatat MA, Perwez A, et al. Retinoblastoma expression and targeting by CDK4/6 inhibitors in small cell lung cancer. *Mol Cancer Ther.* (2023) 22:264–73. doi: 10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-22-0365

27. Shahrokhi Nejad S, Razi S, Rezaei N. The role of AMPK in pancreatic cancer: from carcinogenesis to treatment. *Clin Trans oncology: Off Publ Fed Spanish Oncol Societies Natl Cancer Institute Mexico*. (2025) 27:70–82. doi: 10.1007/s12094-024-03572-8

28. Wanior M, Krämer A, Knapp S, Joerger AC. Exploiting vulnerabilities of SWI/ SNF chromatin remodelling complexes for cancer therapy. *Oncogene*. (2021) 40:3637–54. doi: 10.1038/s41388-021-01781-x

29. Karnezis AN, Wang Y, Ramos P, Hendricks WP, Oliva E, D'Angelo E, et al. Dual loss of the SWI/SNF complex ATPases SMARCA4/BRG1 and SMARCA2/BRM is highly sensitive and specific for small cell carcinoma of the ovary, hypercalcaemic type. *J pathology*. (2016) 238:389–400. doi: 10.1002/path.2016.238.issue-3

30. Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci United States America*. (2005) 102:15545–50. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0506580102

31. Chen YJ, Roumeliotis TI, Chang YH, Chen CT, Han CL, Lin MH, et al. Proteogenomics of non-smoking lung cancer in east asia delineates molecular signatures of pathogenesis and progression. *Cell.* (2020) 182:226-44.e17. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.06.012

32. Chen B, Khodadoust MS, Liu CL, Newman AM, Alizadeh AA. Profiling tumor infiltrating immune cells with CIBERSORT. *Methods Mol Biol (Clifton NJ)*. (2018) 1711:243–59. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-7493-1_12

33. Becht E, Giraldo NA, Lacroix L, Buttard B, Elarouci N, Petitprez F, et al. Estimating the population abundance of tissue-infiltrating immune and stromal cell populations using gene expression. *Genome Biol.* (2016) 17:218. doi: 10.1186/s13059-016-1070-5

34. Racle J, Gfeller D. EPIC: A tool to estimate the proportions of different cell types from bulk gene expression data. *Methods Mol Biol (Clifton NJ)*. (2020) 2120:233–48. doi: 10.1007/978-1-0716-0327-7_17

35. Yoshihara K, Shahmoradgoli M, Martínez E, Vegesna R, Kim H, Torres-Garcia W, et al. Inferring tumour purity and stromal and immune cell admixture from expression data. *Nat communications.* (2013) 4:2612. doi: 10.1038/ncomms3612

36. Plattner C, Finotello F, Rieder D. Deconvoluting tumor-infiltrating immune cells from RNA-seq data using quanTIseq. *Methods enzymology.* (2020) 636:261–85. doi: 10.1016/bs.mie.2019.05.056

37. Li T, Fan J, Wang B, Traugh N, Chen Q, Liu JS, et al. TIMER: A web server for comprehensive analysis of tumor-infiltrating immune cells. *Cancer Res.* (2017) 77: e108–e10. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-0307

38. Zeng D, Ye Z, Shen R, Yu G, Wu J, Xiong Y, et al. IOBR: multi-omics immunooncology biological research to decode tumor microenvironment and signatures. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:687975. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.687975