Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Qi Wang, Jiangsu University, China

REVIEWED BY

Xiongbin Kang, Bielefeld University, Germany Jianing Lin, Karolinska Institutet (KI), Sweden Jianzhong Ai, Sichuan University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 30 January 2025 ACCEPTED 02 April 2025 PUBLISHED 16 April 2025

CITATION

Tan X, Wang Y, Yu Y, Zheng R, Li J, Chen S, Xie Q, Guo S, Zhang C, Deng X, Liu Z, Tang Y, Li H, Wu W, Chen J, Zhou Q, Wei W, Yao K and Wu Z (2025) Neutrophil-tolymphocyte ratio predicts a poor prognosis for penile cancer with an immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment. *Front. Immunol.* 16:1568825. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2025.1568825

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Tan, Wang, Yu, Zheng, Li, Chen, Xie, Guo, Zhang, Deng, Liu, Tang, Li, Wu, Chen, Zhou, Wei, Yao and Wu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY)**. The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio predicts a poor prognosis for penile cancer with an immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment

Xingliang Tan^{1,2,3†}, Yanjun Wang^{1,2,3†}, Yiqi Yu^{4†}, Runhao Zheng^{1,2,3†}, Jing Li⁵, Shaohua Chen⁶, Qingling Xie⁷, Shengjie Guo^{1,2,3}, Chichen Zhang^{1,2,3}, Xinpei Deng^{1,2,3}, Zhicheng Liu^{1,2,3}, Yi Tang^{1,2,3}, Hang Li⁴, Weicheng Wu⁴, Juexiao Chen⁴, Qianghua Zhou^{1,2,3*}, Wensu Wei^{1,2,3*}, Kai Yao^{1,2,3*} and Zhiming Wu^{1,2,3*}

¹Department of Urology, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, China, ²State Key Laboratory of Oncology in Southern China, Guangzhou, China, ³Guangdong Provincial Clinical Research Center for Cancer, Guangzhou, China, ⁴Zhongshan School of Medicine, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China, ⁵Department of Urology, Affiliated Cancer Hospital and Institute of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China, ⁶Department of Urology, Guangxi Medical University Cancer Center, Nanning, China, ⁷Department of Urology, The Fifth Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China

Background: Chronic inflammation related to poor genital hygiene is a well-recognized pathogenic trigger for penile cancer (PC). The neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) is a simple, reproducible systemic inflammatory marker and has been reported to indicate unfavorable outcomes. However, previous studies were limited by small sample sizes, confounding prognostic factors and a lack of high-quality evidence demonstrating the significance of the NLR in PC.

Methods: A large multicenter cohort of 582 PC patients who underwent radical inguinal lymphadenectomy with definitive pN stage information was assessed. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses were performed to investigate the prognostic value of inflammation-related markers. Propensity score matching (PSM) was used to minimize confounding prognostic clinicopathological features. Immunofluorescence was used to assess the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment (TME).

Results: A high preoperative NLR (\geq 3.0) was associated with advanced pT, pN, and pathological grade and lymphovascular invasion in PC patients. After PSM to eliminate interference from clinical factors, pN and the NLR were found to be independent prognostic indicators (both p<0.001). PC patients with high NLRs had shorter progression-free survival (PFS) and poorer cisplatin-based chemotherapy and PD-1 immunotherapy response. We also found that the NLR is associated with proinflammatory cytokine secretion and increased N2 tumor-associated neutrophils (TANs) infiltration and CD8⁺ T-cell exhaustion in TME. N2 TANs induced neutrophil extracellular trap formation might contribute to tumor progression and resistance in high-NLR PC patients.

Conclusions: The NLR is an effective, simple and independent prognostic indicator for PC. A high NLR is associated with an immunosuppressive TME and poor outcomes.

KEYWORDS

cancer, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, tumor-associated neutrophils, neutrophil extracellular traps, propensity score matching

1 Background

Penile cancer (PC) is a physically and mentally devastating malignancy in males and is particularly prevalent in developing countries with poor economic and hygienic conditions (1, 2). According to the Global Cancer Observatory, the worldwide agestandardized incidence rate of PC was 0.80 cases per 100,000 person-years in 2018, with an expected increase of over 56% by 2040 (1). The prediction of PC prognosis is mainly based on the tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) staging system and pathological characteristics (3, 4). Lymph node metastasis is recognized as a key risk factor in PC, with dramatic decreases in 5-year overall survival (OS) rates of 79.7-31.8% for pN1-pN3 patients and 93.6% for pN0 patients (5-7); however, molecular signatures for individualized prognosis assessment are lacking. Although several markers (8-11), including squamous cell carcinoma antigen (SCC-Ag) expression, HER2 overexpression, and EGFR amplification, have been reported to be correlated with survival, their low sensitivity has limited their clinical application; thus, prognostic indicators, especially for preoperative assessment, are still lacking.

Human papilloma virus infection, chronic irritation, and inflammation are key factors in PC tumorigenesis and progression (12). Chronic inflammation is responsible for cancer incidence and controlling inflammation is a potential strategy to stop the development of cancer (13). The neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) has been recognized as an indicator of systemic inflammation associated with advanced disease, poor outcomes and chemotherapy resistance in a series of solid tumors (14–17). The NLR serves as a simple, reproducible and economical marker that is related to lymph node metastasis, immunotherapy response, and survival in PC patients (16, 18–21). The high level of NLR is associated with tumor-associated neutrophils (TANs), mediating the suppressive immune microenvironment, and is related to poor outcomes. However, previous studies have not only ignored the dominant influence of lymph node metastasis and other confounding factors on NLR-based prognostic assessment but have also been limited by small sample sizes, the use of singlecenter cohorts, a lack of standardized inguinal lymph node dissection and the use of inappropriate statistical methods (18–20, 22). In addition, the relationship between the peripheral NLR and the inflammatory microenvironment of tumors remains unclear.

In our study, we addressed these limitations by conducting a multicenter, large-scale, propensity score matching (PSM) analysis of 582 PC patients with clear pN stage information to demonstrate that the NLR serves as an independent predictor of survival in PC patients. Additionally, we investigated the correlations between the NLR and the levels of serum inflammatory cytokines, secreted proteins and tumor-infiltrating immune cells (TIICs). We found that a high preoperative NLR affected the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment (TME) by increasing N2-type tumor-associated neutrophil (TAN) infiltration, which induced the formation of neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) to promote tumor progression, contributing to a poor prognosis in PC patients.

2 Methods

2.1 Patient cohorts and research ethics

This retrospective study was conducted on 582 pathologically confirmed PSCC patients between January 2010 and December 2023 from four centers; this cohort included 495 (85.1%) patients from Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center (SYSUCC), and the detailed information is listed in Table 1. The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1. Naive patients who underwent bilateral and radical inguinal lymphadenectomy (rILND) with classical boundaries (23, 24). 2. Clear pN stage information individually determined by experienced pathologists on the basis of the 8th edition of the AJCC TNM Staging System for Penile Cancer (2). 3. Reliable preoperative routine blood, blood chemistry and inflammatory secreted proteins and cytokines. 4. Clear clinical outcome data. Our study received approval from the ethics committee of Sun Yatsen University Cancer Center (Approval number: G2023-098-01),

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CRP, C-reactive protein; CSS, cancerspecific survival; ELISA:enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; IHC, immunohistochemistry; HE, hematoxylin and eosin; LMR, lymphocytemonocyte ratio; LVI, lymphovascular invasion; mIF, immunofluorescence; MPO, myeloperoxidase; NETs:neutrophil extracellular traps; NLR, neutrophilto-lymphocyte ratio; OS, overall survival; PC, penile cancer; PFS, progression-free survival; PSCC, penile squamous cell carcinoma; PSM, propensity score matching; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; rILND, radical inguinal lymphadenectomy; SAA, serum amyloid A; SCC-Ag, squamous cell carcinoma antigen; TAN, tumor-associated neutrophil; TIICs, tumor-infiltrating immune cells; TME, tumor microenvironment; TNM, tumor-node-metastasis.

TABLE 1 Clinicopathological characteristics of 582 penile cancer patients.

Variables (n = 582)	
Institution	
SYSUCC	495 (85.1%)
ACHIGMU	41 (7.0%)
GMUCC	36 (6.2%)
FAHGMU	10 (1.7%)
Age (years)	
> 55	290 (49.8%)
≤ 55	292 (50.2%)
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	
> 23	266 (45.7%)
≤ 23	274 (47.1%)
Unknown	42 (7.2%)
pT stage	
pTa/Tis	26 (4.4%)
pT1	209 (35.9%)
pT2	124 (21.3%)
рТ3	187 (32.1%)
pT4	14 (2.4%)
рТх	22 (3.7%)
pN stage	
pN0	312 (53.6%)
pN1	75 (12.8%)
pN2	55 (9.4%)
pN3	140 (24.0%)
Grade	
G1	284 (48.7%)
G2	212 (36.4%)
G3/4	64 (10.9%)
Gx	22 (3.7%)
Pathologic high-risk factors	
Lymphovascular invasion	62 (10.6%)
Perineural invasion	89 (15.2%)
Extranodal extension	105 (18.0%)
Inflammatory indicators (Median, IQR)	
NLR (n = 582)	2.56 (1.82-3.75)
LMR (n = 582)	3.69 (2.63-5.00)
CRP (n = 559)	2.40 (0.88-9.56)
SAA (n = 412)	6.75 (3.60-23.03)
	(Continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

205 (35.2%)
107 (18.3%)
162 (27.8%)
124 (21.3%)

SYSUCC, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center; ACHIGMU, Affiliated Cancer Hospital and Institute of Guangzhou Medical University; FAHGMU, Fifth Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University; GMUCC, Guangxi Medical University Cancer Center.

and informed consent was waived by the institutional review board due to the retrospective nature of the investigation.

2.2 Propensity score matching and cutoff values

PSM was performed with a 1:1 matching ratio, using the "nearest" method and a caliper of 0.2. The matched variables included age, pT stage, pN stage, histological grade, body mass index (BMI), and the presence of lymphovascular invasion (LVI), which were significantly different between the high and low NLR groups. For the PSM population, we used the chi-square test and absolute standardized difference test to assess the balance of the variables between the two groups. The primary endpoints for this analysis were cancer-specific survival (CSS) and progression-free survival (PFS). A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was generated to determine the optimal cutoff values of continuous variables, including the NLR, lymphocyte–monocyte ratio (LMR), C-reactive protein (CRP), and serum amyloid A (SAA).

2.3 Evaluation of tumor immune microenvironment scores

To determine the composition of inflammatory immune cells and immune microenvironment heterogeneity across groups classified according to the NLR, 16 fresh tumor tissue samples (9 from the high NLR group and 7 from the low NLR group) were subjected to mRNA-seq. The Immune Cell Abundance Identifier (ImmuCellAI) tool was used to estimate the abundance of 24 immune cell types from the mRNA-seq matrix and provided a comprehensive landscape of inflammatory immune cells for highand low-NLR tumors (25).

2.4 Laboratory assessments of inflammatory markers

The NLR and LMR and CRP, SAA and SCC-Ag levels were assessed before surgery. SAA detection was only conducted after

(Continued)

2017, so data on SAA were collected from only 412 patients. Serum proinflammatory cytokines (26), including interleukin-6 (IL-6) and IL-8, and anti-inflammatory cytokines (IL-4 and IL-10) were detected in 116 PC patients via enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (Human ELISA Kit, Beyotime, #PI618, #PI330, #PI640 and #PI528). The specific steps are described in the kit instructions.

2.5 Hematoxylin and eosin staining and immunohistochemistry

A total of 167 PC patients with well-preserved, 4- μ m paraffinembedded tumor sections were included in the analysis. HE-stained samples were used to evaluate the proportion of TIICs. CD4+ T cells (CD4, CST, #25229), CD8+ T cells (CD8 α , CST, #98941) and tumor-associated neutrophils (TANs) (CD66b, Abcam, #ab300122) were detected via IHC staining according to standard pathologic procedures previously described (27, 28). Five fields of view at highmagnification (400×) were randomly selected to count the absolute cell number of immune cells stained with each antibody. Pathological diagnosis and IHC staining were performed by two independent pathologists.

2.6 Flow cytometry and multiplex immunofluorescence

A total of 42 fresh tumor tissues were obtained and prepared as single-cell suspensions for subsequent flow cytometry. In brief, N2 TANs were identified according to dual positivity for CXCR2 (anti-CXCR2 antibody, Abcam, ab89254) and CD66b (anti-CD66b antibody, Abcam, ab48589) (29, 30). Flow cytometry was restricted to sorting double-positive cells, and the percentage of N2-phenotype cells among all TANs (CD66b+) was calculated. Multiplex immunofluorescence was performed with a Cellcook kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. The antibodies against the following targets were used: pan-CK (CST, #67306), CD66b (Abcam, ab48589), CXCR2 (Abcam, ab89254), myeloperoxidase (MPO) (CST, #14569), TGF-β (CST, #3709), TIM3 (CST, #75743) and (citH3) (CST, #97272). The visualization of NETs was performed according to MPO and citH3 staining (31). CD66b/CXCR2 and TIM3/CD8 were colocalized in N2 TANs and exhausted CD8+ T cells, respectively. The spatial distance between immune cells was analyzed via Halo software (Indica labs) and multiplex IHC modules.

2.7 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted via SPSS software (Ver. 25.0). All the results are presented as the means \pm SDs, and the differences between two groups were assessed via Student's t test or one-way ANOVA. Pearson correlation analysis was used to detect correlations between variables. Survival analysis, including PFS and CSS analysis, was performed using Kaplan–Meier survival curves, and multivariate analysis was performed via the forward method. A p value < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

3 Results

3.1 Baseline characteristics

A total of 582 penile squamous cell carcinoma (PSCC) patients from four centers were enrolled in our study, and the mean followup time was 41.1 ± 31.4 months (Table 1). All patients underwent bilateral radical dissection of inguinal lymph nodes (13.12 ± 5.42 nodes per patient) (23). Among the patients, 46.4% of patients had positive nodes, and 12.8%, 9.4% and 24.0% of patients had clear pN1, pN2 and pN3 stage disease, respectively. Among these patients, 105 (18.0%) had extranodal extension (Table 1). Among pN+ patients, cisplatin-based chemotherapy combined with anti-PD-1 immunotherapy was administered to 205 patients (35.2%) and 107 patients (18.3%). Ultimately, 162 patients (27.8%) exhibited disease progression, and 124 patients died (21.3%) from PSCC; the 5-year PFS and 5-year CSS rates were 68.5% (95% CI: 0.64–0.73) and 75.0% (95% CI: 0.71–0.79), respectively, in our cohort.

3.2 Cutoff values of inflammatory markers and SCC-Ag

Preoperative inflammatory indicators, including the NLR and LMR and the levels of CRP, SAA, and SCC-Ag were assessed; the median values are listed in Table 1. The optimal cutoff values on the basis of the ROC curves were 3.5 for the LMR, 2.2 for CRP, 11.3 for SAA and 2.7 for SCC-Ag (Supplementary Figure S1). Although the cutoff value for the NLR was 2.75 in our cohort, the threshold was recalibrated to 3.0 to maintain consistency with previous literature and improve reproducibility and clinical practicality (Figure 1A) (20, 21). In total, a high NLR (NLR \geq 3) was detected in 38.8% of patients, and the NLR has a sensitivity of 63.7% and a specificity of 67.9% for predicting survival (Figure 1A).

3.3 Inflammatory markers and clinical outcomes

To further explore the clinical significance of inflammatory indicators in survival, Kaplan–Meier survival analysis was performed (Supplementary Table S1). Univariate analysis revealed that advanced pT, pN, and pathological grade; LVI/PNI; high NLR; high CRP, SAA and SCC-Ag levels; and low LMR were associated with poor CSS and PFS (Supplementary Table S1 and Supplementary Figure S2). More importantly, we found that a high NLR in was associated with a poor response to cisplatin-



A high NLR is associated with a poor PSCC prognosis. (A) ROC curves indicated that the best cutoff value of the NLR for determining cancer-specific survival in PSCC patients was 2.75 in our cohort (blue line). The red line shows that the AUC was 0.658 when the cutoff value of the NLR was 3.00. (B, C) Subgroup analysis was performed on patients treated with therapeutic agents, and survival analysis revealed that high NLRs were related to poor response to chemotherapy and immunotherapy. (D–G) Survival analysis was performed for the pN0, pN+, pN1-N2 and pN3 subgroups. (H) PSM was used to adjust for confounding clinicopathological effects in the high/low NLR groups. (I) Differences in survival between the high- and low-NLR groups before and after PSM. NLR, neutrophil–lymphocyte ratio; ROC, receiver operating characteristic curve; AUC, area under the curve; PFS, progression-free survival; CSS, cancer-specific survival; PSM, propensity score matching; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; LVI, lymphovascular invasion; PNI, perineural invasion; PSCC, penile squamous cell carcinoma.

based chemotherapy and anti-PD-1 immunotherapy in PSCC patients (Figures 1B, C). Further multivariate analysis revealed that only pN stage was an independent unfavorable prognostic factor (Supplementary Table S2). Similarly, our study indicated that

lymph node metastasis was a radical and recognized prognostic factor for predicting clinical outcomes (7); thus, it might be a confounding factor in survival analysis based on the NLR that has been ignored in previous NLR studies.

3.4 Subgroup analysis and propensity score matching for the NLR

To control the confounding influence of lymph node metastasis in prognosis analysis, we first conducted subgroup analysis between different pN stages. We found that, in both the pN0 subgroup and the pN+ subgroup, PSCC patients with high preoperative NLRs had shorter PFS and CSS than those with low NLRs did (both p < 0.01) (Figures 1D, E). In patients with pN1/N2-stage disease and a low metastatic burden, the NLR was also associated with poor outcomes (Figure 1F), but the differences between patients with advanced (pN3-stage) disease were not statistically significant (Figure 1G).

Subsequently, the chi-square test demonstrated that a high NLR was significantly correlated with age, body mass index (BMI), pT stage, pN stage, pathological grade and LVI/PNI (Table 2). To balance the above confounding factors, we constructed a 1:1 cohort (high NLR versus low NLR, with 198 patients in each group) via the PSM method. The baseline characteristics were comparable between the two groups (Table 2). PSM analysis confirmed that the covariates were well balanced, as evidenced by absolute standard differences of less than 0.1 for all included factors (Figure 1H). To our surprise, the survival differences between the high- and low-NLR groups persisted; notably, PFS and CSS were significantly longer in the low-NLR group (Figure 1I). After the clinicopathological factors were adjusted via PSM, univariate and multivariate analyses revealed that pN stage and a high NLR were both independent prognostic indicators in terms of PFS (HR: 1.64; 95% CI: 1.15-2.34) and CSS (HR: 1.56; 95% CI: 1.04-2.34) (Table 3).

3.5 A high NLR is associated with increased systemic inflammation and TAN infiltration

To investigate the correlation between the preoperative NLR and the level of systemic inflammation, Pearson correlation analysis was performed, which revealed that the NLR was positively corelated with the levels of the inflammatory proteins CRP and SAA but negatively correlated with the LMR (Figures 2A, B). In addition, the levels of the proinflammatory cytokines IL-6 and IL-8 were significantly elevated in the high NLR group (n=59), whereas the levels of the anti-inflammatory cytokines IL-4 and IL-10 were significantly reduced (Figure 2C). These findings indicate that PC patients with a high NLR have a greater degree of systemic inflammation.

To further explore the tumor inflammatory immune microenvironment in patients grouped according to the NLR. Sixteen tumors were subjected to mRNA-seq to analyze immune cell subtypes via ImmuCellAI (Supplementary Figure S3) (25). Although there was no significant difference in the proportion of tumor-infiltrating immune cells (TIICs) between the high-NLR and low-NLR groups, the high-NLR group presented more tumor-associated neutrophils (TANs) and less infiltration of antitumor CD8+ T cells (Figure 2D). Moreover, paraffin-embedded tumor samples from 167 tumor tissues were used to verify the findings (Figures 2E, F). Notably, a high NLR was significantly correlated with an increased CD66⁺ TAN/CD8+ T-cell ratio (Figure 2F) (18). These results suggest that the preoperative NLR in PC patients is associated with a proinflammatory microenvironment in tumors, especially those with an immunosuppressive phenotype.

	Before PSM					After PSM					
Variables	Total (n=582)	NLR<3 (n=356)	NLR≥3 (n=226)	χ²	P value	Total (n=396)	NLR<3 (n=198)	NLR≥3 (n=198)	χ²	P value	
Age, n (%)				5.187	0.023				0.010	0.920	
≤ 55	292 (50.17)	192 (53.93)	100 (44.25)			191 (48.23)	96 (48.48)	95 (47.98)			
> 55	290 (49.83)	164 (46.07)	126 (55.75)			205 (51.77)	102 (51.52)	103 (52.02)			
BMI, n (%)				9.473	0.009				1.803	0.406	
≤ 23	274 (47.08)	161 (45.22)	113 (50.00)			198 (50)	102 (51.52)	96 (48.48)			
> 23	266 (45.7)	160 (44.94)	106 (46.90)			188 (47.47)	93 (46.97)	95 (47.98)			
MD	42 (7.22)	35 (9.83)	7 (3.10)			10 (2.53)	3 (1.52)	7 (3.54)			
pT stage, n (%)				12.653	0.013				1.799	0.773	
pTa/ Tis/pT1	235 (40.38)	158 (44.38)	77 (34.07)			150 (37.88)	74 (37.37)	76 (38.38)			
pT2	124 (21.31)	80 (22.47)	44 (19.47)			82 (20.71)	44 (22.22)	38 (19.19)			
pT3	187 (32.13)	102 (28.65)	85 (37.61)			136 (34.34)	68 (34.34)	68 (34.34)			
pT4	14 (2.41)	5 (1.40)	9 (3.98)			12 (3.03)	4 (2.02)	8 (4.04)			
pTx	22 (3.78)	11 (3.09)	11 (4.87)			16 (4.04)	8 (4.04)	8 (4.04)			
										(C	

TABLE 2 Association between the NLR and clinicopathological features before and after propensity score matching.

(Continued)

TABLE 2 Continued

	Before PSM					After PSM				
Variables	Total (n=582)	NLR<3 (n=356)	NLR≥3 (n=226)	χ²	P value	Total (n=396)	NLR<3 (n=198)	NLR≥3 (n=198)	χ ²	P value
pN stage, n (%)				46.373	<0.001				1.159	0.763
pN0	312 (53.61)	225 (63.20)	87 (38.50)			175 (44.19)	88 (44.44)	87 (43.94)		
pN1	75 (12.89)	46 (12.92)	29 (12.83)			58 (14.65)	29 (14.65)	29 (14.65)		
pN2	55 (9.45)	31 (8.71)	24 (10.62)			50 (12.63)	28 (14.14)	22 (11.11)		
pN3	140 (24.05)	54 (15.17)	86 (38.05)			113 (28.54)	53 (26.77)	60 (30.30)		
Grade, n (%)				11.724	0.020				3.287	0.511
G1	284 (48.8)	189 (53.09)	95 (42.04)			179 (45.2)	92 (46.46)	87 (43.94)		
G2	212 (36.43)	127 (35.67)	85 (37.61)			152 (38.38)	78 (39.39)	74 (37.37)		
G3	61 (10.48)	28 (7.87)	33 (14.60)			47 (11.87)	20 (10.10)	27 (13.64)		
G4	3 (0.52)	1 (0.28)	2 (0.88)			2 (0.51)	0 (0.00)	2 (1.01)		
Gx	22 (3.78)	11 (3.09)	11 (4.87)			16 (4.04)	8 (4.04)	8 (4.04)		
LVI/PNI, n (%)				5.178	0.023				0.230	0.631
No	463 (79.55)	294 (82.58)	169 (74.78)			306 (77.27)	151 (76.26)	155 (78.28)		
Yes	119 (20.45)	62 (17.42)	57 (25.22)			90 (22.73)	47 (23.74)	43 (21.72)		

PSM, propensity score matching; MD, missing data; LVI, lymphovascular invasion; PNI, perineural invasion; NLR, neutrophil–lymphocyte ratio. The bold-faced values represent P-values that indicate statistical significance.

TABLE 3	Univariate and m	ultivariate analyses o	of clinicopathological	factors associated	with survival after PSM.

	PFS after PSM				CSS after PSM				
	Univariate	analysis	Multivariate analysis		Univariate a	analysis	Multivariate analysis		
Variables	HR (95% Cl)	P value	HR (95% Cl)	P value	HR (95% Cl)	P value	HR (95% Cl)	P value	
Age (>55 vs. ≤55)	0.85 (0.60-1.19)	0.350	1.21 (0.85-1.73)	0.283	0.95 (0.64-1.40)	0.790	1.42 (0.95-2.13)	0.087	
BMI (>23 vs. ≤23)	1.03 (0.92-1.14)	0.640	1.09 (0.97-1.23)	0.152	1.03 (0.93-1.15)	0.564	1.11 (0.98-1.25)	0.087	
pT stage ^a	1.17 (1.07-1.27)	<0.001	1.12 (0.95-1.31)	0.179	1.14 (1.03-1.26)	0.013	1.07 (0.89-1.28)	0.495	
pN stage ^a	2.22 (1.90-2.58)	<0.001	2.22 (1.89-2.60)	<0.001	2.22 (1.86-2.65)	<0.001	2.28 (1.89-2.75)	<0.001	
Pathological grade ^a	1.13 (1.04-1.24)	0.006	0.99 (0.85-1.17)	0.929	1.10 (0.99-1.23)	0.084	0.97 (0.81-1.17)	0.759	
LVI/PNI (Yes vs. No)	1.55 (1.06-2.27)	0.023	1.12 (0.76-1.66)	0.562	1.66 (1.09-2.55)	0.019	1.25 (0.81-1.94)	0.320	
NLR (≥ 3.0 vs. < 3.0)	1.81 (1.27-2.56)	<0.001	1.64 (1.15-2.34)	0.006	1.81 (1.21-2.69)	0.004	1.56 (1.04-2.34)	0.030	

^apT, pN and pathological grade used linear trends to compare different subgroups. PSM, propensity score matching; PFS, progression-free survival; CSS, cancer-specific survival; HR, hazard ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; LVI, lymphovascular invasion; PNI, perineural invasion; NLR, neutrophil–lymphocyte ratio.

The bold-faced values represent P-values that indicate statistical significance.

More importantly, survival analysis revealed that high infiltration of CD66⁺ TANs was associated with poor PFS and CSS (Figure 3A), whereas enrichment of CD8+ T cells was correlated with better CSS but was not significantly correlated with PFS (Figure 3B). Both the CD66⁺ TAN ratio and the CD66⁺ TAN/CD8+ T-cell ratio indicated the presence of an immunosuppressive phenotype and predicted a poor immunotherapy response and clinical outcomes (Figures 3C, D).

3.6 The proinflammatory response leads to TAN N2 polarization and NET formation, inducing CD8+ T-cell depletion

Accumulating evidence indicates that N2 TANs are critical components that stimulate immunosuppression, tumor progression and metastasis and can be induced by the proinflammatory cytokine IL-8 (30, 32–35). To assess the



FIGURE 2

A high preoperative NLR is associated with TAN infiltration and a decrease in CD8+ T cells in the TME. (A) In PSCC patients, the NLR is negatively correlated with LMR and positively correlated with proinflammatory CRP and SAA protein expression. (B) CRP and SAA were evaluated in the high-NLR groups. (C) The NLR is related to high proinflammatory cytokine secretion (IL-6 and IL-8) and low levels of anti-inflammatory cytokines (IL-4 and IL-10) in PSCC. (D) Immune infiltration scores determined by ImmuCellAI indicated that the infiltration of TANs was increased, whereas the infiltration of CD8+ T cells was decreased in the high-NLR groups. (E) IHC assays showing the expression patterns of TANs (CD6⁺), CD4⁺ T cells and CD8⁺ T cells in the TME. (F) CD4⁺ T cells and CD8⁺ T cells are abundant in the low NLR subgroup, whereas TAN infiltration and the TANs/ CD8⁺ T-cell ratio were increased and positively correlated with the high NLR subgroup. CRP, C-reactive protein; IHC, immunohistochemistry; LMR, lymphocyte-monocyte ratio; NLR, neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio; TANs, tumor-associated neutrophils; TME, tumor microenvironment; SAA, serum amyloid A; PSCC, penile squamous cell carcinoma.



polarization of TANs, flow cytometric analysis was performed; the results revealed that the proportion of N2 TANs (CXCR2⁺CD66⁺) was significantly greater in patients with high NLRs and was associated with advanced pT and pN stages (Figures 4A, B). Through mIF staining, we discovered that in tumors from high-NLR patients, N2 TANs formed many NETs through NETosis (36); these NETs were identified via CitH3 and MPO staining and also exhibited high expression of the prometastatic cytokine TGF- β (Figure 4D). Previous studies have also indicated that NETs can impair antitumor T-cell responses by increasing CD8+ T-cell exhaustion (37, 38). We found that exhausted CD8⁺ T cells were enriched in high-NLR tumors, which was consistent with N2 TAN infiltration (Figure 4E). Spatial distance analysis revealed that exhausted CD8+ T cells were in close proximity to N2 TANs in the high-NLR group (Figure 4F). Survival analysis revealed that a closer average distance between these cells was associated with a poorer prognosis (Figure 4G). These results suggest that N2 TANs mediate the formation of NETs, which might induce the exhaustion of neighboring CD8+ T cells, thereby promoting the formation of an immunosuppressive microenvironment. This could be the underlying reason for the poor response to immunotherapy and the unfavorable prognosis in patients with high NLRs.

4 Discussion

Penile cancer (PC) is more prevalent in underdeveloped regions with poor access to education and medical resources (2). Although PC can be cured in more than 80% of patients with early-stage disease, PC progresses to a life-threatening disease when lymphatic metastasis occurs; up to 40% of newly diagnosed cases in China exhibit lymph node metastasis (1, 39). Definitive TNM staging is the most commonly used method to predict prognosis, but there is a lack of available personalized molecular markers to address intratumor heterogeneity. To enhance therapeutic efficacy, it was great challenges to explore the heterogeneity and complexity of the TME in PC (40). Although previous studies indicate that IDO1, RAB20 and HOXD11 are related to a poor PC prognosis (27, 28, 41); the disadvantages of high cost, high time consumption and poor repeatability for genetic testing of these markers make their clinical application more difficult. The NLR is an economical, simple and widely used inflammatory marker that is related to immunotherapy resistance, regional lymph node metastasis and poor outcomes in patients with PC (16). The preoperative NLR, as an ideal indicator, is beneficial for predicting survival before surgery and can be used to guide treatment and follow-up precisely. However, previous studies of the NLR in PC patients lacked high-



FIGURE 4

N2 TANs induce NET formation and CD8+ T cell exhaustion in tumors with high NLRs. (A) Flow cytometry was conducted to detect N2 TANs (CXCR2⁺CD66⁺) in tumors with different NLRs. (B) PSCC patients with high NLRs had increased N2-weighted TAN infiltration in tumors. (C) The abundance of N2 TANs in PSCC was associated with advanced pT stage and lymph node metastasis. (D) Immunofluorescence staining revealed the tumor and stroma regions in PSCC tumors (400x). In patients with high NLRs, TANs exhibit the N2 phenotype (CXCR2⁺), promote NET formation (marked by CitH3, MPO) and secrete the protumor cytokine TGF- β . (E) Multiple immunofluorescence assays revealed the spatial relationship between N2 TANs and exhausted CD8+ T cells (CD8⁺TIM-3⁺) (100x). (F) The relative distance between N2 TANs and exhausted CD8+ T cells. (G) A close relationship between N2 TANs and exhausted CD8+ T cells was associated with poor survival (12 patients in the proximity group, 15 patients in the remoteness group). TANs, tumor-associated neutrophils; NETs, neutrophil extracellular traps; ex, exhausted; NLR, neutrophil–lymphocyte ratio.

quality prognostic evidence, and the relationships between the NLR and the inflammatory TME and the underlying mechanism warrant additional exploration.

In previous studies, it was highly challenging to obtain a large cohort of PC patients with standardized baseline treatment initially. Owing to the surgical timing and boundary of inguinal lymph node dissection remaining controversial in PC (4), the surgical patterns are not consistent. To ensure data quality, all PC patients in our study underwent concurrent lymphadenectomy to obtain clear pN stage information, and prognostic bias attributed to insufficient surgical treatment was ruled out (23). More importantly, most studies did not account for the notably greater proportion of pN+ patients in the high NLR group, which might lead to overestimation of the prognostic value of the NLR. In addition, given the rare nature of this disease, existing studies have focused on small sample sizes and single-center cohorts.

Since 2010, a total of 582 PC patients from four centers were enrolled in our study. The results underscore the significant correlation between a high NLR and adverse clinical characteristics such as pT stage, pN stage, grade, and lymphovascular and perineural invasion. Similar to previous findings, PC patients with high NLRs presented a systemic hyperinflammatory status associated with shorter PFS and CSS (16, 19, 20, 22). Importantly, our study represents the first use of PSM and stratified analysis to control for the prognostic bias of pN stage and revealed that the NLR is also an independent unfavorable prognostic indicator for both PFS (HR: 1.64, 95% CI: 1.15-2.34) and CSS (HR: 1.56, 95% CI: 1.04-2.34). Interestingly, high NLR level patients were also associated with poor chemotherapy and immunotherapy response. Therefore, through rigorous enrollment and reasonable statistical methods, we demonstrated that the preoperative NLR is a simple and reliable marker for PC prognosis evaluation and is particularly suitable for wide use in underdeveloped regions. Besides, preoperative NLR level is an available marker to predict response especially in the neoadjuvant therapy phase, and is also beneficial for postoperative treatment decisions.

Although the NLR reflects the balance between systemic inflammation and adaptive immunity, the dynamic changes in cytokines, secreted proteins and the inflammatory TME in PC remain unclear. Wang et al. reported that IL1RN and PRRX1 is the prognostic biomarker correlated with immune infiltrates in colorectal cancer (42). The increase in the NLR was consistent with the trends in the levels of CRP and the proinflammatory cytokines IL-6, IL-2 and TNF- α (43). Similarly, we found that a high NLR was associated with high SAA, CRP and serum IL-6 and IL-8 levels in PC patients, indicating a direct link between the NLR and the inflammatory immune microenvironment.

To further characterize the inflammatory microenvironment in high-NLR patients, comprehensive sequencing, IHC and mIF were performed, and the results revealed an immunosuppressive phenotype due to the enrichment of TANs and decreased enrichment of CD8+ T cells. It was reported that IL-6 and IL-8 recruit and induce the migration of macrophages and neutrophils into the TME to amplify inflammatory signaling and promote malignant progression (44). In addition, IL-8 plays a dominant role

in the polarization of TANs toward N2, which is widely associated with immunosuppression and metastasis (32, 33, 44-46). Our results proved that the preoperative NLR is strongly related to the N2weighted TAN/CD8+ T-cell ratio in the TME. In PC patients, a high-NLR was related to increased IL-8 secretion, TAN enrichment, N2 phenotype differentiation, reduced CD8+ T-cell infiltration. The imbalance in the TAN/CD8+ T-cell ratio is a key feature that explains the poor prognosis and immunotherapy resistance of high-NLR patients. On the other hand, N2 TANs can induce NET formation by forming web-like DNA structures and releasing reactive oxygen species, cytokines and granular proteins to promote tumor dissemination and metastasis (47, 48). NETs facilitate tumor progression and poor outcomes through various mechanisms. For example, the release of matrix metalloproteinase-9 and neutrophil elastase promotes degradation of the extracellular matrix, creating a more permissive environment for tumor cell invasion (49). Second, NETs induce TGF- β and VEGF secretion to increase tumor angiogenesis, which supplies nutrients and oxygen, further supporting their growth and metastatic potential (50). Moreover, NETs mediate epithelial-mesenchymal transition by continuously secreting protumor cytokines to promote the acquisition of mesenchymal and invasive properties by tumor cells (51). We found that many NETs formed around N2 TANs in PC tissues with high NLRs. We also found that oversecretion of TGF- β by N2 TANs induced CD8+ T-cell depletion and might facilitate metastasis by inducing angiogenesis. Our results preliminarily revealed that in PC patients with high NLRs, the proinflammatory immune microenvironment might promote tumor progression and was associated with poor prognosis. Further understanding of the detailed mechanisms is urgently needed to improve current treatments and outcomes.

Finally, the study has several limitations. First, it was a retrospective observational study with inherent bias and limited by the small sample sizes of patients performing with mRNA-seq. The clinical significance of the NLR needs to be validated in other centers, especially in cohorts from different areas with different races. Second, a prospective study design is essential to validate the predictive role of the NLR in guiding treatment decision making and follow-up strategies. Besides, PSM methods excluded substantial portions of the whole cohort, potentially distorting the true effects of covariates. Although we highlight the relationship between the NLR and the suppressive immune microenvironment, the associated molecular pathways and interventions need to be further explored.

5 Conclusion

In summary, we used PSM to demonstrate that the NLR is an independent unfavorable prognostic indicator in a high-quality and large multicenter cohort of PC patients. A high preoperative NLR is associated with a systematic inflammatory response that suppresses the immune response by promoting N2 TAN infiltration, NET formation and CD8+ T-cell exhaustion and ultimately mediates immunotherapy resistance and poor outcomes.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center ethics committee. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

XT: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. YW: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Writing - original draft. YY: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Writing - original draft. QZ: Data curation, Investigation, Writing - original draft. JL: Data curation, Writing - original draft. SC: Data curation, Writing - original draft. QX: Data curation, Writing - original draft. CZ: Investigation, Writing original draft. XD: Investigation, Writing - original draft. RZ: Investigation, Writing - original draft. ZL: Software, Writing original draft. YT: Validation, Writing - original draft. HL: Visualization, Writing - original draft. WCW: Visualization, Writing - original draft. JC: Visualization, Writing - original draft. WSW: Data curation, Investigation, Writing - review & editing. ZW: Conceptualization, Writing - review & editing. KY: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Writing - review & editing. SG: Conceptualization, Project administration, Writing - review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by the GuangDong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation

References

1. Fu L, Tian T, Yao K, Chen XF, Luo G, Gao Y, et al. Global pattern and trends in penile cancer incidence: population-based study. *JMIR Public Health Surveill*. (2022) 8: e34874. doi: 10.2196/34874

 Brouwer OR, Albersen M, Parnham A, Protzel C, Pettaway CA, Ayres B, et al. European association of urology-american society of clinical oncology collaborative guideline on penile cancer: 2023 update. *Eur Urol.* (2023) 83:548–60. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2023.02.027

3. Amin MB, Greene FL, Edge SB, Compton CC, Gershenwald JE, Brookland RK, et al. The Eighth Edition AJCC Cancer Staging Manual: Continuing to build a bridge from a population-based to a more "personalized" approach to cancer staging. *CA Cancer J Clin.* (2017) 67:93–9. doi: 10.3322/caac.21388

(No. 2024A1515013007) to Kai Yao and the Beijing Xisike Clinical Oncology Research Foundation (No. Y-Gilead2024-ZD-0239) to Kai Yao. This study was also supported by the Postdoctoral Fellowship Program (Grade B) of China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. GZB20240901) to Xingliang Tan, and the 76th China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2024M763799) to Xingliang Tan.

Acknowledgments

We appreciate Keming Chen for providing pathological assistance.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2025. 1568825/full#supplementary-material

4. Cindolo L, Spiess PE, Bada M, Chipollini JJ, Nyirády P, Chiodini P, et al. Adherence to EAU guidelines on penile cancer translates into better outcomes: a multicenter international study. *World J Urol.* (2019) 37:1649–57. doi: 10.1007/s00345-018-2549-3

5. Yao K, Chen Y, Ye Y, Wu Z, Chen D, Han H, et al. Lymph node mapping in patients with penile cancer undergoing pelvic lymph node dissection. *J Urol.* (2021) 205:145–51. doi: 10.1097/JU.000000000001322

6. Tan X, Chen D, Guo S, Wang Y, Zou Y, Wu Z, et al. Molecular stratification by BCL2A1 and AIM2 provides additional prognostic value in penile squamous cell carcinoma. *Theranostics*. (2021) 11:1364–76. doi: 10.7150/thno.51725

7. Joshi SS, Handorf E, Strauss D, Correa AF, Kutikov A, Chen DYT, et al. Treatment trends and outcomes for patients with lymph node-positive cancer of the penis. *JAMA Oncol.* (2018) 4:643–9. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2017.5608

8. Tan X, Wang Y, Wu Z, Zhou Q, Tang Y, Liu Z, et al. The role of Her-2 in penile squamous cell carcinoma progression and cisplatin chemoresistance and potential for antibody-drug conjugate-based therapy. *Eur J Cancer*. (2023) 194:113360. doi: 10.1016/ j.ejca.2023.113360

9. Sanchez DF, Soares F, Alvarado-Cabrero I, Cañete S, Fernández-Nestosa MJ, Rodríguez IM, et al. Pathological factors, behavior, and histological prognostic risk groups in subtypes of penile squamous cell carcinomas (SCC). *Semin Diagn Pathol.* (2015) 32:222–31. doi: 10.1053/j.semdp.2014.12.017

10. Yan R, Ma H, Jiang L, Guo S, Shi Y, Sheng X, et al. First-line programmed death receptor-1 (PD-1) inhibitor and epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) blockade, combined with platinum-based chemotherapy, for stage IV penile cancer. *BJU Int.* (2023) 131:198–207. doi: 10.1111/bju.v131.2

11. Zhou QH, Deng CZ, Chen JP, Huang KB, Liu TY, Yao K, et al. Elevated serum LAMC2 is associated with lymph node metastasis and predicts poor prognosis in penile squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Manag Res.* (2018) 10:2983–95. doi: 10.2147/CMAR.S171912

12. Thomas A, Necchi A, Muneer A, Tobias-MaChado M, Tran ATH, Van Rompuy AS, et al. Penile cancer. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. (2021) 7:11. doi: 10.1038/s41572-021-00246-5

13. Shen G, Wang Q, Li Z, Xie J, Han X, Wei Z, et al. Bridging chronic inflammation and digestive cancer: the critical role of innate lymphoid cells in tumor microenvironments. *Int J Biol Sci.* (2024) 20:4799–818. doi: 10.7150/ijbs.96338

14. Hold GL, Rao H-L, Chen J-W, Li M, Xiao Y-B, Fu J, et al. Increased intratumoral neutrophil in colorectal carcinomas correlates closely with Malignant phenotype and predicts patients' Adverse prognosis. *PloS One.* (2012) 7. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0030806

15. Liu K, Zhao K, Wang L, Sun E. The prognostic values of tumor-infiltrating neutrophils, lymphocytes and neutrophil/lymphocyte rates in bladder urothelial cancer. *Pathol - Res Pract.* (2018) 214:1074–80. doi: 10.1016/j.prp.2018.05.010

16. Saputra HM, Hidayatullah F, Kloping YP, Renaldo J, Chung E, Hakim L. Prognostic value of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) in penile cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Med Surg.* (2022) 81. doi: 10.1016/j.amsu.2022.104335

17. García-Escobar A, Vera-Vera S, Tébar-Márquez D, Rivero-Santana B, Jurado-Román A, Jiménez-Valero S, et al. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio an inflammatory biomarker, and prognostic marker in heart failure, cardiovascular disease and chronic inflammatory diseases: New insights for a potential predictor of anti-cytokine therapy responsiveness. *Microvasc Res.* (2023) 150:104598. doi: 10.1016/j.mvr.2023.104598

18. Kasuga J, Kawahara T, Takamoto D, Fukui S, Tokita T, Tadenuma T, et al. Increased neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio is associated with disease-specific mortality in patients with penile cancer. *BMC Cancer*. (2016) 16. doi: 10.1186/s12885-016-2443-6

19. Azizi M, Peyton CC, Boulware DC, Chipollini J, Juwono T, Pow-Sang JM, et al. Prognostic value of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in penile squamous cell carcinoma patients undergoing inguinal lymph node dissection. *Eur Urol Focus*. (2019) 5:1085–90. doi: 10.1016/j.euf.2018.06.008

20. Jindal T, Pawar P, Agarwal S, Jain P, Meena M, Sarwal A, et al. The use of preoperative neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio and lymphocyte-monocyte ratio in predicting survival and groin node involvement of patients with squamous cell carcinoma of penis. *Urol Ann.* (2021) 13(4):391-396. doi: 10.4103/UA.UA_112_20

21. El Zarif T, Nassar AH, Pond GR, Zhuang TZ, Master V, Nazha B, et al. Safety and efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors in advanced penile cancer: report from the Global Society of Rare Genitourinary Tumors. *JNCI: J Natl Cancer Institute*. (2023) 115(12):1605–1615. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2023.41.6_suppl.5

22. Hu C, Bai Y, Li J, Zhang G, Yang L, Bi C, et al. Prognostic value of systemic inflammatory factors NLR, LMR, PLR and LDH in penile cancer. *BMC Urol.* (2020) 20. doi: 10.1186/s12894-020-00628-z

23. Tan X, Cai T, Wang Y, Wu Z, Zhou Q, Guo S, et al. Regional lymph node mapping in patients with penile cancer undergoing radical inguinal lymph node dissection - a retrospective cohort study. *Int J Surg.* (2024) 110:2865–73. doi: 10.1097/JS9.000000000001160

24. Yao K, Tu H, Li Y-H, Qin Z-K, Liu Z-W, Zhou F-J, et al. Modified technique of radical inguinal lymphadenectomy for penile carcinoma: morbidity and outcome. *J* Urol. (2010) 184:546–52. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2010.03.140

25. Miao YR, Zhang Q, Lei Q, Luo M, Xie GY, Wang H, et al. ImmuCellAI: A unique method for comprehensive T-cell subsets abundance prediction and its application in cancer immunotherapy. *Adv Sci (Weinh).* (2020) 7:1902880. doi: 10.1002/advs.201902880

26. Czajkowski M, Wierzbicki PM, Kotulak-Chrząszcz A, Małkiewicz B, Sosnowski R, Kmieć Z, et al. Pro-inflammatory cytokine gene expression in penile cancer: preliminary studies. *Medicina (Kaunas)*. (2023) 59. doi: 10.3390/medicina59091623

27. Tan X, Yuan G, Wang Y, Zou Y, Luo S, Han H, et al. RAB20 Promotes Proliferation via G2/M Phase through the Chk1/cdc25c/cdc2-cyclinB1 Pathway in Penile Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *Cancers (Basel).* (2022) 14. doi: 10.3390/cancers14051106

28. Tan X, Liu Z, Wang Y, Wu Z, Zou Y, Luo S, et al. miR-138-5p-mediated HOXD11 promotes cell invasion and metastasis by activating the FN1/MMP2/MMP9 pathway and predicts poor prognosis in penile squamous cell carcinoma. *Cell Death Dis.* (2022) 13:816. doi: 10.1038/s41419-022-05261-2

29. Strieter RM, Burdick MD, Mestas J, Gomperts B, Keane MP, Belperio JA. Cancer CXC chemokine networks and tumour angiogenesis. *Eur J Cancer*. (2006) 42:768–78. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2006.01.006

30. Jamieson T, Clarke M, Steele CW, Samuel MS, Neumann J, Jung A, et al. Inhibition of CXCR2 profoundly suppresses inflammation-driven and spontaneous tumorigenesis. J Clin Invest. (2012) 122:3127-44. doi: 10.1172/JCI61067

31. Mahiddine K, Blaisdell A, Ma S, Créquer-Grandhomme A, Lowell CA, Erlebacher A. Relief of tumor hypoxia unleashes the tumoricidal potential of neutrophils. *J Clin Invest*. (2019) 130:389–403. doi: 10.1172/JCI130952

32. Peng H-H, Liang S, Henderson AJ, Dong C. Regulation of interleukin-8 expression in melanoma-stimulated neutrophil inflammatory response. *Exp Cell Res.* (2007) 313:551–9. doi: 10.1016/j.yexcr.2006.10.030

33. Waugh DJJ, Wilson C. The interleukin-8 pathway in cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2008) 14:6735–41. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-07-4843

34. Masucci MT, Minopoli M, Carriero MV. Tumor associated neutrophils. Their role in tumorigenesis, metastasis, prognosis and therapy. *Front Oncol.* (2019) 9:1146. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2019.01146

35. Shaul ME, Fridlender ZG. Neutrophils as active regulators of the immune system in the tumor microenvironment. *J Leukoc Biol.* (2017) 102:343–9. doi: 10.1189/ jlb.5MR1216-508R

36. Mowery YM, Luke JJ. NETosis impact on tumor biology, radiation, and systemic therapy resistance. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2024) 30:3965–7. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-24-1363

37. Taifour T, Attalla SS, Zuo D, Gu Y, Sanguin-Gendreau V, Proud H, et al. The tumor-derived cytokine Chi3l1 induces neutrophil extracellular traps that promote T cell exclusion in triple-negative breast cancer. *Immunity.* (2023) 56:2755–2772.e8. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2023.11.002

38. Teijeira Á, Garasa S, Gato M, Alfaro C, Migueliz I, Cirella A, et al. CXCR1 and CXCR2 chemokine receptor agonists produced by tumors induce neutrophil extracellular traps that interfere with immune cytotoxicity. *Immunity.* (2020) 52:856-871.e8. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2020.03.001

39. Zekan DS, Dahman A, Hajiran AJ, Luchey AM, Chahoud J, Spiess PE. Prognostic predictors of lymph node metastasis in penile cancer: a systematic review. *Int Braz J Urol.* (2021) 47:943–56. doi: 10.1590/s1677-5538.ibju.2020.0959

40. Li Z, Li J, Bai X, Huang X, Wang Q. Tumor microenvironment as a complex milieu driving cancer progression: a mini review. *Clin Transl Oncol.* (2024). doi: 10.1007/s12094-024-03697-w

41. Zhou QH, Han H, Lu JB, Liu TY, Huang KB, Deng CZ, et al. Up-regulation of indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1 (IDO1) expression and catalytic activity is associated with immunosuppression and poor prognosis in penile squamous cell carcinoma patients. *Cancer Commun (Lond).* (2020) 40:3–15. doi: 10.1002/cac2.12001

42. Wang Q, Huang X, Zhou S, Ding Y, Wang H, Jiang W, et al. IL1RN and PRRX1 as a prognostic biomarker correlated with immune infiltrates in colorectal cancer: evidence from bioinformatic analysis. *Int J Genomics.* (2022) 2022:2723264. doi: 10.1155/2022/2723264

43. Wang Y, Li C, Niu L, Fu M, Tian J, An X. Difference in serum miRNA expression between immunoglobulin-sensitive and -insensitive incomplete Kawasaki disease patients. *Exp Ther Med.* (2021) 21:162. doi: 10.3892/etm.2020.9593

44. Eruslanov EB, Bhojnagarwala PS, Quatromoni JG, Stephen TL, Ranganathan A, Deshpande C, et al. Tumor-associated neutrophils stimulate T cell responses in earlystage human lung cancer. J Clin Invest. (2014) 124:5466–80. doi: 10.1172/JCI77053

45. Fridlender ZG, Sun J, Kim S, Kapoor V, Cheng G, Ling L, et al. Polarization of tumor-associated neutrophil phenotype by TGF-beta: "N1" versus "N2" TAN. *Cancer Cell.* (2009) 16:183–94. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2009.06.017

46. Ke M, Zhu H, Lin Y, Zhang Y, Tang T, Xie Y, et al. Actin-related protein 2/3 complex subunit 1B promotes ovarian cancer progression by regulating the AKT/PI3K/ mTOR signaling pathway. *J Transl Int Med.* (2024) 12:406–23. doi: 10.2478/jtim-2024-0025

47. Stojkov D, Gigon L, Peng S, Lukowski R, Ruth P, Karaulov A, et al. Physiological and pathophysiological roles of metabolic pathways for NET formation and other neutrophil functions. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:826515. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.826515

48. Sorvillo N, Cherpokova D, Martinod K, Wagner DD. Extracellular DNA NETworks with dire consequences for health. *Circ Res.* (2019) 125:470–88. doi: 10.1161/ CIRCRESAHA.119.314581

49. Mekori YA, Baram D. Heterotypic adhesion-induced mast cell activation: biologic relevance in the inflammatory context. *Mol Immunol.* (2002) 38:1363–7. doi: 10.1016/S0161-5890(02)00089-5

50. Mousset A, Lecorgne E, Bourget I, Lopez P, Jenovai K, Cherfils-Vicini J, et al. Neutrophil extracellular traps formed during chemotherapy confer treatment resistance via TGF-β activation. *Cancer Cell*. (2023) 41:757–775.e10. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2023.03.008

51. Demkow U. Neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) in cancer invasion, evasion and metastasis. *Cancers (Basel)*. (2021) 13. doi: 10.3390/cancers13174495