Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jeremy P. McAleer, Marshall University, United States

REVIEWED BY Efthymia Theofani, Biomedical Research Foundation of the Academy of Athens (BRFAA), Greece Chen Zhu, Zhejiang University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Xia Dou Image: drdouxia@163.com Fang Wang Image: wangf78@smu.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 08 February 2025 ACCEPTED 22 April 2025 PUBLISHED 15 May 2025

CITATION

Li X, Li Z, Tang M, Zhang K, Yang T, Zhong W, Yu B, Wang F and Dou X (2025) Thymic stromal lymphopoietin-activated basophil promotes lung inflammation in mouse atopic march model. *Front. Immunol.* 16:1573130. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2025.1573130

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Li, Li, Tang, Zhang, Yang, Zhong, Yu, Wang and Dou. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Thymic stromal lymphopoietinactivated basophil promotes lung inflammation in mouse atopic march model

Xu Li^{1†}, Zizhuo Li^{1†}, Mindan Tang^{1†}, Kaoyuan Zhang^{1,2,3}, Ting Yang¹, Weilong Zhong¹, Bo Yu^{1,2,3}, Fang Wang^{4*} and Xia Dou^{1,2,3*}

¹Department of Dermatology, Peking University Shenzhen Hospital, Shenzhen, China, ²Institute of Dermatology, Shenzhen Peking University-the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology Medical Center, Shenzhen, China, ³Shenzhen Key Laboratory for Translational Medicine of Dermatology, Biomedical Research Institute, Shenzhen Peking University-the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology Medical Center, Shenzhen, China, ⁴Department of Dermatology, Dermatology Hospital of Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China

Background: Atopic dermatitis (AD), a prevalent inflammatory skin disease affecting 10%-20% of the population, is linked to the development of asthma through atopic march (AM). This study aims to explore the role of basophils in OVA-induced lung inflammation in the presence of AD-like skin lesions and investigate the potential contribution of thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP) in activating basophils.

Methods: Mouse AM models were established in C57BL/6 mice using MC903 and OVA epicutaneous sensitization, followed by intranasal OVA challenges. An intraperitoneal OVA-sensitized asthma model was employed as the control group. RNA-Seq analysis was conducted on lung CD45⁺ immune cells from these models. Histologic examinations, flow cytometry, and ELISA were used to examine the lung and systemic inflammatory response. Basophil depletion was achieved through intraperitoneal administration of anti-FccRI α mAb. The role of TSLP was investigated using TSLPR knockout mice.

Results: As in the intraperitoneal sensitization model, AM model also induced eosinophilic lung inflammation in mice, resembling the AM process. The RNA-Seq analysis revealed differential gene expression, with genes related to basophils being prominent in AM model. Increased basophil activation and IL-4 production were observed in OVA epicutaneously sensitized mice. Basophil depletion attenuated the eosinophilic lung inflammation. TSLP levels increased with topical MC903, and TSLPR knockout reduced lung inflammation, suggesting TSLP is involved in basophil activation.

Conclusion: Basophils play a crucial role in OVA-induced lung inflammation in the context of AD-like skin lesions, and TSLP appears to drive basophil activation. Understanding these interactions provides insights for potential therapeutic interventions in AM-associated conditions.

KEYWORDS

atopic dermatitis, atopic march, basophil, eosinophilic lung inflammation, thymic stromal lymphopoietin

1 Introduction

Atopic dermatitis (AD) is one of the most common inflammatory skin diseases, affecting approximately 10%-20% of the global population (1, 2). It is characterized by intense itching and extensive eczema-like lesions, which significantly compromise patients' quality of life and impose a heavy disease burden on their families and society (3, 4). The skin condition of AD usually begins in early childhood, around 3–6 months of age. As patients age, they may develop other atopic conditions such as asthma, allergic rhinitis, and food allergies, which is known as the atopic march (AM) (5, 6). Although the causality of the combined diseases is still controversial, the risk of other atopic conditions is elevated in children with AD (7) and adult patients with poorly controlled AD (8). Additionally, the risk of atopic comorbidity decreases significantly with the effective control of AD skin conditions (8).

The pathogenesis of AD involves interactions between the skin barrier dysfunction, microbiome, and type-2 immune response (9, 10). Skin barrier damage leads to the release of epithelial-derived cytokines, including thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP). TSLP can activate inflammatory dendritic cells, which subsequently drive the polarization of Th2 cells, leading to the release of IL-4 and IL-13 (11). These cytokines contribute to the disruption of the epidermal barrier (12-14). A compromised skin barrier facilitates transcutaneous antigen sensitization, resulting in the generation of antigen-specific immunoglobulin E (IgE) (15). Upon re-exposure of other epithelial tissues to the same antigens, this process initiates an allergic inflammatory response (16). In murine models with skin subjected to shaving and tape-stripping, topical sensitization with ovalbumin (OVA), followed by intranasal antigen challenge, reliably establishes a model of OVA-induced allergic asthma. This model is characterized by hallmark features of airway inflammation, mucus hypersecretion, and airway hyperresponsiveness (17).

Basophils, a subset of myeloid cells characterized by their basophilic cytoplasmic granules, constitute less than 1% of peripheral blood leukocytes in humans and mice under normal physiological conditions (18, 19). These cells express the highaffinity IgE receptor $Fc \in RI\alpha$ and, upon activation, release histamine, proteases, and Th2 cytokines such as IL-4 and IL-13 (20). Notably, basophil-derived IL-4 plays a pivotal role in promoting Th2 cell differentiation (21). Basophil activation can occur via IgE- dependent or IgE-independent pathways. In eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE), TSLP has been implicated in disease pathogenesis by activating basophils through IgE-independent mechanisms (22, 23). Moreover, IL-33 also interacts with basophils in EoE in an IgE-independent manner (24). In a murine model of OVA-induced food allergy, TSLP-mediated basophil activation led to significant IL-4 production by basophils, driving an inflammatory response (25). These findings underscore the role of TSLP in contributing to the development of atopic conditions through basophil activation.

This study aims to investigate the role of basophils in inducing lung inflammation through intranasal sensitization by OVA, in the presence of AD-like skin lesions in mice, and to explore the potential role of TSLP in activating basophils.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Mice

C57BL/6 mice and *Tslpr^{-/-}* (*Crlf2^{-/-}*) mice were purchased from GemPharmatech Corporation Limited (Nanjing, China) and maintained in a specific-pathogen-free facility at Shenzhen Peking University-Hongkong University of Science and Technology Medical Center. Mice were used for experiments between 6 and 8 weeks of age. The animal experiments were approved by the Ethics Committee of Peking University Shenzhen Hospital.

2.2 OVA sensitization and intranasal challenge

For the OVA epicutaneous sensitization model (AM model), from Day 0 to Day 13, mice were topically treated daily with 4 nmol of calcipotriol (MC903) dissolved in ethanol, applied to both sides of the mouse ears, and concurrently administered 200 μ g of OVA in 50 μ L saline (4 mg/mL). To induce lung inflammation, mice were intranasally (i.n.) administered with 50 μ g OVA in 25 μ L saline (2 mg/mL) on 4 consecutive days (Day 14 to Day 17). The vehicle control group was treated with ethanol and saline. Mice were sacrificed for analysis 1 day after the last challenge. For the OVA intraperitoneal (i.p.) sensitization model (atopic asthma, AA model), 20 μ g OVA and 2 mg aluminum dissolved in 200 μ L saline were i.p. injected to mice once a week for 3 weeks. To induce lung inflammation, mice were i.n. challenged with 25 μ l OVA on 4 consecutive days after sensitization. For both groups, serum, bronchial alveolar lavage fluid (BALF), lung, spleen, and skin tissue were collected 1 day after the last intranasal administration. Lung tissues were scissored on ice and digested with 1mg/mL Collagenase D for 45 minutes at 37°C to obtain a single cell suspension, which was then separated from 30% Percoll gradient (Cytiva) and filtrated to culture in RPMI 1640 medium with 0.6mg/mL OVA or 1 μ g/mL TSLP (Sino Biological) for 5 hours at 37°C. Two hours after the stimulation of OVA and TSLP, a protein transport inhibitor cocktail (eBioscience) was added. The cells were then harvested for flow cytometry analyses.

2.3 Histologic analysis

Portions of the lung tissue were fixed in 10% neutral formalin, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned. Lung sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE) and Periodic acid–Schiff (PAS). BALF was centrifuged on slides using the Shandon Cytospin system (ELITechGroup Benelux, Belgium), followed by Wright-Giemsa staining and classified cell counting.

2.4 RNA extraction and quantitative PCR analysis

The harvested tissues were excised and homogenized in Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, Calif, USA). Total RNA was extracted and cDNA was synthesized from total RNA using the PrimeScriptTM RT reagent Kit (TaKaRa, Japan). Real-time quantitative PCR was performed using the Taqman method on a Bio-Rad CFX96 Real-Time System (Bio-RAD, Hercules, Calif, USA). The mRNA levels of the target gene were normalized to the gene expression levels of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) and are shown as relative expression levels to the control group. The primer sequences are provided in Supplementary Table S1.

2.5 RNA-sequencing gene expression analysis

CD45⁺ cells were collected by CD45 MicroBeads (Miltenyi Biotec) and Dead Cell Removal Kit (Miltenyi Biotec) according to the manufacturer's protocol. RNA-seq was screened and analyzed by the BGI Genomics DNBSEQ-G400 platform (Shenzhen, China). Essentially, differential expression analysis was performed using the DESeq2 (v1.4.5) with Q value ≤ 0.05 and $|log2FC| \ge 1$. Volcano plot, UpSet plot and Venn plot were performed on R software (version 4.2.1). Enrichment analyses were separately performed for DEGs by referring to the GO and KEGG databases by using the clusterProfiler package. An adjusted *p* value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Additionally, STRING [[https://cn.string-db.org/] (https://cn.string-db.org/)] and Cytoscape (version v3.9.1) software were employed to study the protein–protein interaction (PPI) network.

2.6 Antibodies and flow cytometry

Tissue samples were minced and digested with 1mg/mL Collagenase D to create a cell suspension. Red blood cells were removed using RBC lysis buffer (Biolegend, San Diego, Calif, USA). All cells were stained for viability using a Zombie Aqua fixable viability kit (1:1000; BioLegend) at room temperature for 20 minutes. Staining and antibodies were prepared in PBS. Samples were blocked with anti-mouse CD16/32 blocking antibody (1:50; BioLegend). Cells were stained with the fluorochrome-conjugated mAbs purchased from Biolegend (San Diego, Calif, USA) for CD45 (30-F11), CD45.2 (104), CD3ε (500A2,c-kit (2B8), CD3 (17A2), CD49b (HMa2), FceRIa (MAR-1), CD11b (M1/70), CD200R3 (Ba13), IL-4 (11b11), GATA3 (16E10A23), TSLPR (22H9) and the fluorochrome-conjugated mAbs purchased from eBioscience (San Diego, Calif, USA) for CD4 (RM4-5), CD5 (53-7.3), CD11c (N418), CD19 (1D3), NK1.1 (PK136), CD170 (1RNM44N). Lung basophils were identified as live, CD45⁺, Lin (CD3, CD5, CD19, CD11c, and NK1.1), c-kit, CD49b⁺, FceRIa⁺/CD200R3⁺ cells. Lung eosinophils were identified as live, CD45⁺, Lin (CD3, CD5, CD19, CD11c, and NK1.1)⁻, CD11b⁺, CD170⁺ cells. Eosinophils in the BALF were identified as CD45⁺, CD11b⁺, and CD170⁺ cells (26) (Supplementary Figure S1). For intracellular staining, cells were permeabilized with Cytofix Fixation/Permeabilization Solution Kit (BD, New Jersey, USA) and Foxp3/Transcription Factor Staining Buffer Set (eBioscience). Samples were acquired on a CytFLEX S Flow Cytometer (Beckman, Brea, Calif, USA).

2.7 ELISA

Mouse serum was prepared by centrifuging isolated blood at 2,000 rpm for 30 min at 4°C and collecting the supernatants. Serum total IgE, OVA-specific IgE, and TSLP concentrations were quantified using ELISA kits (Invitrogen, USA; Biolegend, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Color development was measured at 450 nm on the Tecan Sunrise microplate reader (TECAN, Männedorf, Switzerland).

2.8 Basophil depletion

For basophil depletion, both anti-FccRI α mAb (clone MAR-1, eBioscience) and Anti-mouse CD200R3 antibody (clone BA13, Biolegend) were used. Anti-FccRI α mAb treatments in C57BL/6 mice were administered intraperitoneally twice daily for three consecutive days at a dose of 5 µg per mouse before intranasal challenge with OVA. Anti-mouse CD200R3 antibody (1mg/mL) or

Rat IgG2a, k isotype control (Biolegend) was administrated in 100uL through i.v. injection on day 11 and day 13.

2.9 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 10 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, Calif, USA). FlowJo v10 software (Tree Star) was used for flow cytometry data analysis. Two independent sample *t*-tests were used for comparison between the two groups. One-way analysis of variance was used to compare between groups. P <0.05 was considered statistically significant in all analyses.

3 Results

3.1 Both AA and AM models could induce eosinophilic lung inflammation in mice

Wild-type (WT) C57BL/6 mice were sensitized to OVA by combined epicutaneous application of OVA and MC903. Subsequently, lung inflammation was induced by intranasal challenge with OVA. As a control, we used a classical atopic asthma mouse model of eosinophilic pneumonia, in which WT mice were sensitized through intraperitoneal injection of OVA in alum prior to intranasal challenge with OVA (Figure 1a). Both AM and AA models were successfully induced experimental eosinophilic lung inflammation, which was characterized by vascular and peribronchial inflammation, eosinophil infiltration, and mucus production histologically (Figure 1b). The number of total cells and eosinophils in BALF was significantly increased in OVA-sensitized mice compared to non-sensitized mice after the OVA challenge phase (Figure 1c). Flow cytometric analysis revealed an accumulation of eosinophils in the lungs and BALF of OVAsensitized mice compared to non-sensitized mice upon OVA challenge (Figure 1d). The mRNA expression levels of Il4, Il5, and Il13 were higher in the lungs of OVA-sensitized mice than in those of non-sensitized controls after OVA challenge (Figure 1e). Significantly higher proportions and numbers of Th2 cells were observed in the lungs of AM mice compared to AA and nonsensitized mice after OVA challenge (Figure 1g). In addition, serum total IgE and OVA-specific IgE were higher in OVA-sensitized mice than in control animals (Figure 1f). These results suggest that epicutaneous allergen sensitization promotes the development of eosinophilic lung inflammation.

3.2 Significant alterations in basophil activation signaling pathway in lung CD45⁺ cells from AM mice

We then performed RNA-Seq of immune cells in mouse lung tissue induced by epicutaneous sensitization and intraperitoneal

sensitization. Lung CD45⁺ immune cells were isolated from lung tissue after collagenase digestion and viable cells were sorted by magnetic-activated cell sorting (MACS). The results of RNA-Seq demonstrated a clear distinction in gene expression between the populations of lung immune cells isolated from each group (Figure 2a). The first principal component (PC1) accounted for 75.9% of the total variability in the RNA-seq data and also completely separated the treatment group from the controls (Figure 2a). A total of 45 genes were differentially expressed between AA and AM models, 1214 between AA and controls, and 1112 between AM and controls (Figure 2b). Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis revealed that several immune-related signaling pathways, including cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction, complement and coagulation cascades, IL-17 signaling pathway, and TNF signaling pathway were upregulated in the AA and AM groups compared to the controls group. However, the FcERI signaling pathway and neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction pathway were predominantly enriched in the AM group compared to the AA group (Figure 2c). A protein-protein interaction (PPI) network was constructed using the STRING online tool with a cutoff score >0.6 to identify the interactions between differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in AM vs AA. The pairing image was generated using Cytoscape (Figure 2d). In addition to many important asthmarelated genes identified in the current study, basophil-specific gene signatures, such as Mcpt8, Ms4a2, Cpa3, Fcer1a, and Cd200r3 were highly expressed in the AM group (Figure 2e). Taken together, these findings suggest that basophils may contribute to lung inflammation induced by OVA epicutaneous sensitization.

3.3 Basophil activation is necessary in mice lung inflammation induced by epicutaneous OVA sensitization

Next, we used flow cytometry to verify the number of basophils in the lungs of mice sensitized with OVA epicutaneously or intraperitoneally. The results were consistent with the sequencing results, showing that the count of basophils in the lungs of mice sensitized epicutaneously was significantly higher than that of mice sensitized intraperitoneally or the control group (Figure 3a). We also observed a similar tendency of basophil in both spleen and peripheral blood of the mice (Figure 3b). To further confirm the role of basophils in the pathogenesis of allergic airway inflammation, we investigated the activation status of basophils. Previous literature has shown that basophils can secrete IL-4 in response to allergic reactions (27). We found that the proportion of IL-4-secreting basophils in the epicutaneous sensitization group was significantly higher than that in the intraperitoneal sensitization group and the control group (Figure 3c). In addition, when mouse lung cell suspensions were stimulated with OVA in vitro, the IL-4secreting immune cells were predominantly identified as basophils (Figure 3d).



Both AA and AM models could induce eosinophilic lung inflammation in mice. (a) Schematic of AA and AM mouse model. (b) Histological sections (H&E staining 100x and PAS staining 100x) from the lung. (c) Numbers of total cells in the BALF (Wright-Giemsa Staining 100x). (d) Representative flow cytometry plots showing frequencies of eosinophils in BALF and lungs and the frequencies of eosinophils in BALF and lungs, as measured by flow cytometry. (e) mRNA expression of Th2 cytokines (*II4, II5, II13*). (f) Total and allergen-specific serum IgE levels. (g) Representative flow cytometry plots displaying the frequencies of Th2 cells in the lungs, measured by flow cytometry. All results shown are mean \pm SEM of 5 mice/group and represent three independent experiments. *p <.05, **p <.01, ns, nonsignificant. Scale bar = 100 µm. AA, atopic asthma; AM, atopic march; OVA, ovalbumin.



CD45⁺ cells from AA, AM, and controls were isolated from lung tissue by MACS and subjected to RNA-Seq. (a) Principal component analysis (PCA) of RNA-seq data from AA, AM, and control (n = 3 per group) shows the primary separation of samples by experimental status. (b) Venn plot and upset plot show differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in AA versus controls, AM versus controls, and AM versus AA. (c) Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analyses of the DEGs with top 20. The coloring of the q-values represents the significance of the matched gene ratio. The value of gene ratio is reflected by the circle size. (d) Protein-protein interaction (PPI) network of the DEGs in AM versus AA. Larger node size indicates a higher degree of interaction and the color represents logFC value. (e) mRNA expression of Ms4a2, Cpa3, Fcer1a, Cd200r3, and Mcpt8. All results shown are mean ± SEM of 5 mice/group and represent over two to three independent experiments. *p <.05, **p <.01, ns, nonsignificant. AA, atopic asthma; AM, atopic march; EC, epicutaneous sensitization; IP, intraperitoneal sensitization.



Basophil activation is necessary in mouse lung inflammation induced by epicutaneous OVA sensitization. (a) Representative flow cytometry plots illustrating the frequencies of basophils in the lung, measured by flow cytometry. (b) Representative flow cytometry plots illustrating the frequencies of basophils in the blood and spleen, as measured by flow cytometry. (c) Representative flow cytometry plots illustrating the frequencies of IL-4⁺ basophils in the lung, measured by flow cytometry measured the frequency of IL-4⁺ basophils in OVA-stimulated lung primary cells. All results shown are mean \pm SEM of 6 mice/group and represent over three independent experiments. *p <.05, **p <.01, ns, nonsignificant; OVA, ovalbumin.

3.4 Basophils are required for the development of eosinophilic lung inflammation induced by epicutaneous OVA sensitization

To determine the contribution of basophils to the development of eosinophilic lung inflammation, we investigated whether the depletion of basophils attenuated eosinophilic lung inflammation in AM model. MAR-1 antibody is an effective depleting agent with a depletion period of at least 10 days (28). In this study, MAR-1 antibody was administered intraperitoneally twice a day for three consecutive days before intranasal challenge with OVA (Figures 4a, b). When basophils were depleted prior to OVA i.n. challenge, a reduction in eosinophil accumulation was observed in both lungs and BALF compared to control mice (Figure 4c). The results of HE and PAS staining revealed a significant reduction in inflammatory cell infiltration and mucus secretion after basophil depletion (Figure 4d). The mRNA levels of Il4, Il5, and Il13 were also decreased in the basophil-depleted group (Figure 4e). Additionally, flow cytometric analysis demonstrated a decrease in Th2 cell frequency in the lungs of mice treated with anti-MAR-1 mAb (Figure 4f). These results indicate that basophils are major contributors to the pathogenesis of eosinophilic lung inflammation in mice. To validate the results, we further induced BA-13 antibody to replicate this model, we found that BA-13 was effectively depleted basophils in both blood and lung tissue (Figure 5a). A significant reduction of lung eosinophil inflammation was observed, observed, similar to that observed with MAR-1 administration (Figures 5b-d).

3.5 TSLP is necessary for promoting basophil activation in OVA-induced lung inflammation

To clarify how basophil was activated in OVA-induced lung inflammation, we further analyzed the role of TSLP in the mouse model. After topical treatment of the skin with MC903 and OVA, serum TSLP levels noticeably increased from day 3 (Figure 6a). Elevated counts of both blood and lung basophils were noted before the intranasal OVA challenge and peaked upon completion of the challenge (Figure 6b). Meanwhile, TSLPR expression was upregulated on both blood and lung basophils (Figure 6d, e). However, the average fluorescence intensity of FcERIα was downregulated after day 9 compared to the control group (Supplementary Figure S2). This suggests a potential role for TSLP in activating basophils in the AM mouse model.

Then we established the AM model in the *Tslpr*^{-/-} mouse strain. HE and PAS staining of the lung tissue illustrated a reduced lung inflammation in *Tslpr*^{-/-} mice compared to WT (Figure 6c). Similarly, the proportion of lung eosinophils was decreased in *Tslpr*^{-/-} mice (Figure 6f), as well as the mRNA expression levels of Th2 cytokines and Mcpt8 (Figure 6g). Moreover, the proportion of basophils in the lungs was also decreased in *Tslpr*^{-/-} mice (Figure 6h), and IL-4-secreting basophils were also reduced (Supplementary Figure S2). When single-cell suspensions from

AM mouse lungs were cultured *in vitro* with TSLP and OVA, higher levels of IL-4 secretion were observed under the stimulation of TSLP and OVA than in control media or OVA alone (Supplementary Figure S3), indicating that TSLP may contribute to the promotion of OVA-induced lung inflammation in the AM model.

4 Discussion

Children with atopic dermatitis have a 3-fold increased risk of developing asthma (29, 30). However, the mechanism linking atopic dermatitis and asthma is not yet fully understood. In this study, we established an AM mouse model to simulate asthma lung inflammation in the presence of MC903-induced AD-like lesions. Upon comparison with lung inflammation in the non-AD state, it was observed that the primary distinction was an up-regulation of basophil-related genes in the lungs under the AD state. Furthermore, treatment targeting TSLPR or basophils was found to be effective in reducing lung inflammation in mice. It is suggested that TSLP may be involved in the lung inflammatory response induced by OVA through the activation of basophils.

To mimic the pathogenesis features of asthma, mouse models can be divided into a sensitization phase and a challenge phase. Sensitization of allergens by different methods during the sensitization phase can lead to subtle differences in the inflammatory response of the lung. In this study, two sensitization methods were employed: immune adjuvants aluminium hydroxide and OVA via intraperitoneal injection, and MC903 and OVA via epicutaneous sensitization. The AA model is thought to induce an eosinophilic inflammatory infiltrate in the lung by activating CD4⁺ T cells and producing Th2 cytokines such as IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13 (31). Compared to the AA model, our study found that mice co-sensitized with MC903 and OVA had higher levels of total and OVA-specific IgE in the peripheral blood, as well as a greater proportion of Th2 cells in the lungs. Moreover, RNA-seq revealed significant alteration in the expression of gene phenotypes among AM, AA, and control mouse models and indicated that basophil-related genes are differentially expressed in these models. Consistent with our study, topical emollient could alleviate lung inflammation in AM mice, and serum TSLP levels and genes related to pulmonary basophil activation were significantly down-regulated (32).

To further validate the role of basophils in the AM model, we found that the amount of basophils significantly increased in the lungs, peripheral blood, and spleen. Additionally, the proportion of basophils expressing IL-4 was found to be increased. In mouse primary lung cells cultured and stimulated with OVA *in vitro*, basophils were found to be the main cells expressing IL-4. Basophils can produce significant amounts of IL-4 in response to stimuli in both IgE-dependent and IgE-independent manners (33). Basophil-derived IL-4 promotes eosinophil infiltration into inflamed tissue (34) and facilitates the differentiation of naïve T cells to Th2 cells by expressing MHC class II on the cell surface in allergic inflammation models (21, 35). In previous studies, OVA-induced lung inflammation was thought to be mediated by DCs and CD4⁺T



Basophils are required for the development of eosinophilic lung inflammation induced by epicutaneous OVA sensitization. (a) Schematic of treatment with anti-Fc ϵ RI α mAb (MAR-1) in C57BL/6 mice exhibiting established epidermal sensitized eosinophilic lung inflammation. (b) Representative flow cytometry plots demonstrate the frequencies of basophils in the lung and blood, as measured by flow cytometry. (c) Representative flow cytometry plots illustrate the frequencies of eosinophils in BALF and lungs, as determined by flow cytometry. (d) Histological sections (H&E staining 20x and PAS staining) from the lung. (e) mRNA expression of Th2 cytokines (II4, II5, II13). (f) Representative flow cytometry plots showing frequencies of Th2 cells in lungs and the frequencies of Th2 cells in lungs, as measured by flow cytometry. All results shown are mean \pm SEM of 7 mice/group and represent two independent experiments. *p <.05, **p <.01, ***p <.001, ns, nonsignificant. Scale bar = 100 μ m.



cells, independent of basophil during the sensitization phase (36). In this study, we discovered that the knockdown of basophil during the sensitization phase did not attenuate lung inflammation (data not shown), but the knockdown of basophil prior to the challenge phase significantly attenuated lung inflammation. To preliminarily exclude a potential role for mast cells in the basophil-depleted AM model, we examined mast cell protease-1 (MCPT-1) expression in lungs and BALF. We found that it tended to be elevated in the AM model and after basophil depletion (Supplementary Figure S4). These findings suggest that basophil plays a role in OVA-induced lung inflammation during the challenge phase.

Basophils have recently been shown to play an important role in allergic diseases and pruritus (26, 37, 38) and participate in the inflammatory response through non-IgE-dependent activation in both EoE and food allergy in mouse models (22, 25). Similarly, the association between AD and the increased risk of asthma persists regardless of elevated IgE levels, indicating that IgE alone cannot fully explain this relationship (39). To investigate basophil



TSLP is necessary for promoting basophil activation in OVA-induced lung inflammation. (a) Serum TSLP level in the time manner. (b) Blood and lung basophil percentage in CD45⁺ cells. (c) Histological sections (H Θ E staining 10x and PAS staining) from the lung. (d) Representative flow cytometry plots show frequencies of blood and lung TSLPR expression. (e) Median fluorescence intensity of TSLPR in blood and lungs. (f) Representative flow cytometry plots show the frequencies of eosinophils in BALF and lungs are meaned by flow cytometry. (g) mRNA expression of Th2 cytokines (*l*4, *l*5, *l*13) and *Mcpt8*. (h) Representative flow cytometry plots show basophil frequencies in lungs and basophils in lungs in *Tslpr^{-/-}* mouse. All results shown are mean \pm SEM of 4 mice/group and represent three independent experiments. *p <.05, **p <.01, ns, nonsignificant. Scale bar = 100 µm.

10.3389/fimmu.2025.1573130

activation in this study, we validated this model in $Tslpr^{-/-}$ mice. In the AA model, $Tslpr^{-/-}$ mice were thought to be unable to induce lung inflammation due to the inability to activate CD4⁺ T cells via TSLP (31). By topical MC903, the systemic release of TSLP was induced, thereby increasing serum TSLP levels (40). The surface expression level of TSLPR on basophils increases gradually, while the expression of the IgE receptor FccRIα initially increases but then decreases. In ex vivo experiments of lung tissue in AM model mice, basophils were found to be the primary immune cells producing IL-4 in the lungs. Therefore, the addition of TSLP can enhance the effect of OVA in inducing IL-4 production from basophils.

In conclusion, our study has shown that basophils play a crucial role in OVA-induced lung eosinophilic inflammation in the presence of systemic TSLP release caused by topical MC903. In clinical trials, TSLP monoclonal antibodies are effective in controlling eosinophilic inflammation in the lungs and airway hyperresponsiveness (41, 42). Compared to the efficacy in enhancing lung function and controlling asthma in asthma patients (43), TSLP monoclonal antibodies in treating skin lesions in AD are limited (44). Therefore, it is important to understand the role of TSLP in AD and AM processes to more effectively apply individualized therapy in real-world patients. In short, basophils play a crucial role in initiating asthma during the inflammatory state of AD, and TSLP has the potential to drive this process.

Data availability statement

Datasets related to this article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/ 10.17632/rkk66tnf4w.1, hosted at Mendeley Data (45).

Ethics statement

The animal study was approved by Ethics Committee of Peking University Shenzhen Hospital. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

XL: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. ZL: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. MT: Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft. KZ: Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Writing – original draft. TY: Methodology, Validation, Writing – original draft. WZ: Funding acquisition, Investigation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. BY: Funding acquisition, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. FW: Conceptualization, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing. XD: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (81972930, 82203900), Shenzhen Natural Science Foundation (JCYJ20210324105411030), Shenzhen Key Medical Discipline Construction Fund (No. SZXK040), Scientific Research Foundation of Peking University Shenzhen Hospital (KYQD2021016) and Guangdong Natural Science Funds for Distinguished Young Scholar (2022B1515020031).

Acknowledgments

We thank Shenzhen Public Service Platform for Molecular Diagnosis of Dermatology and Shenzhen Public Service Platform of Biomedical Technology for the technical support.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2025.1573130/ full#supplementary-material

10.3389/fimmu.2025.1573130

References

1. Shin YH, Hwang J, Kwon R, Lee SW, Kim MS, GBD 2019 Allergic Disorders Collaborators, et al. Global, regional, and national burden of allergic disorders and their risk factors in 204 countries and territories, from 1990 to 2019: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Allergy.* (2023) 78:2232–54. doi: 10.1111/all.15807

2. Guttman-Yassky E, Renert-Yuval Y, Brunner PM. Atopic dermatitis. Lancet. (2025) 405:583-96. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(24)02519-4

3. Murota H, Koike Y, Morisaki H, Matsumoto M, Takenaka M. Exacerbating factors and disease burden in patients with atopic dermatitis. *Allergol Int.* (2022) 71:25–30. doi: 10.1016/j.alit.2021.10.002

 Eyerich K, Gooderham MJ, Silvestre JF, Shumack SP, Mendes-Bastos P, Aoki V, et al. Real-world clinical, psychosocial and economic burden of atopic dermatitis: Results from a multicountry study. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. (2024) 38:340–53. doi: 10.1111/jdv.19500

5. Dharmage SC, Lowe AJ, Tang MLK. Revisiting the atopic march current evidence. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. (2022) 206:925–6. doi: 10.1164/rccm.202206-1219ED

6. Yang L, Fu J, Zhou Y. Research progress in atopic march. *Front Immunol.* (2020) 11:1907. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.01907

7. Roduit C, Frei R, Depner M, Karvonen AM, Renz H, Braun-Fahrländer C, et al. Phenotypes of atopic dermatitis depending on the timing of onset and progression in childhood. *JAMA Pediatr.* (2017) 171:655–62. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2017.0556

8. Geba GP, Li D, Xu M, Mohammadi K, Attre R, Ardeleanu M, et al. Attenuating the atopic march: Meta-analysis of the dupilumab atopic dermatitis database for incident allergic events. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2023) 151:756–66. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2022.08.026

9. Schuler CF, Billi AC, Maverakis E, Tsoi LC, Gudjonsson JE. Novel insights into atopic dermatitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2023) 151:1145–54. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2022.10.023

10. Schuler CF, Tsoi LC, Billi AC, Harms PW, Weidinger S, Gudjonsson JE. Genetic and immunological pathogenesis of atopic dermatitis. *J Invest Dermatol.* (2024) 144:954–68. doi: 10.1016/j.jid.2023.10.019

11. Leyva-Castillo JM, Hener P, Jiang H, Li M. TSLP produced by keratinocytes promotes allergen sensitization through skin and thereby triggers atopic march in mice. *J Invest Dermatol.* (2013) 133:154–63. doi: 10.1038/jid.2012.239

12. Tsakok T, Woolf R, Smith CH, Weidinger S, Flohr C. Atopic dermatitis: the skin barrier and beyond. Br J Dermatol. (2019) 180:464–74. doi: 10.1111/bjd.16934

13. Akdis CA, Arkwright PD, Brüggen M-C, Busse W, Gadina M, Guttman-Yassky E, et al. Type 2 immunity in the skin and lungs. *Allergy*. (2020) 75:1582–605. doi: 10.1111/all.14318

14. Hammad H, Lambrecht BN. Barrier epithelial cells and the control of type 2 immunity. *Immunity*. (2015) 43:29-40. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2015.07.007

15. Ogulur I, Mitamura Y, Yazici D, Pat Y, Ardicli S, Li M, et al. Type 2 immunity in allergic diseases. *Cell Mol Immunol.* (2025) 22:211–42. doi: 10.1038/s41423-025-01261-2

16. Biagini Myers JM, Sherenian MG, Baatyrbek Kyzy A, Alarcon R, An A, Flege Z, et al. Events in normal skin promote early-life atopic dermatitis-the MPAACH cohort. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract.* (2020) 8:2285–2293.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.jaip.2020.03.048

17. Kim DI, Song M-K, Lee K. Comparison of asthma phenotypes in OVA-induced mice challenged via inhaled and intranasal routes. *BMC Pulm Med.* (2019) 19:241. doi: 10.1186/s12890-019-1001-9

18. Leyva-Castillo J-M, Vega-Mendoza D, Strakosha M, Deng L, Choi S, Miyake K, et al. Basophils are important for development of allergic skin inflammation. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2024) 153:1344–1354.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2024.01.022

19. Wiebe D, Limberg MM, Gray N, Raap U. Basophils in pruritic skin diseases. Front Immunol. (2023) 14:1213138. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1213138

20. Miyake K, Ito J, Karasuyama H. Role of basophils in a broad spectrum of disorders. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:902494. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.902494

21. Otsuka A, Nakajima S, Kubo M, Egawa G, Honda T, Kitoh A, et al. Basophils are required for the induction of Th2 immunity to haptens and peptide antigens. *Nat Commun.* (2013) 4:1739. doi: 10.1038/ncomms2740

22. Noti M, Wojno EDT, Kim BS, Siracusa MC, Giacomin PR, Nair MG, et al. Thymic stromal lymphopoietin-elicited basophil responses promote eosinophilic esophagitis. *Nat Med.* (2013) 19:1005–13. doi: 10.1038/nm.3281

23. Siracusa MC, Saenz SA, Hill DA, Kim BS, Headley MB, Doering TA, et al. TSLP promotes interleukin-3-independent basophil haematopoiesis and type 2 inflammation. *Nature*. (2011) 477:229–33. doi: 10.1038/nature10329

24. Venturelli N, Lexmond WS, Ohsaki A, Nurko S, Karasuyama H, Fiebiger E, et al. Allergic skin sensitization promotes eosinophilic esophagitis through the IL-33-

basophil axis in mice. J Allergy Clin Immunol. (2016) 138:1367-1380.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2016.02.034

25. Hussain M, Borcard L, Walsh KP, Pena Rodriguez M, Mueller C, Kim BS, et al. Basophil-derived IL-4 promotes epicutaneous antigen sensitization concomitant with the development of food allergy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2018) 141:223–234.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2017.02.035

26. Wang F, Trier AM, Li F, Kim S, Chen Z, Chai JN, et al. A basophil-neuronal axis promotes itch. *Cell.* (2021) 184:422–440.e17. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.12.033

27. Yamanishi Y, Karasuyama H. Basophil-derived IL-4 plays versatile roles in immunity. *Semin Immunopathol.* (2016) 38:615–22. doi: 10.1007/s00281-016-0568-y

28. Denzel A, Maus UA, Rodriguez Gomez M, Moll C, Niedermeier M, Winter C, et al. Basophils enhance immunological memory responses. *Nat Immunol.* (2008) 9:733-42. doi: 10.1038/ni.1621

29. Wan J, Wang S, Shin DB, Syed MN, Abuabara K, Lemeshow AR, et al. Incident asthma, asthma exacerbations, and asthma-related hospitalizations in patients with atopic dermatitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract.* (2024) 12:421–430.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jaip.2023.11.009

30. von Kobyletzki LB, Bornehag C-G, Hasselgren M, Larsson M, Lindström CB, Svensson Å. Eczema in early childhood is strongly associated with the development of asthma and rhinitis in a prospective cohort. *BMC Dermatol.* (2012) 12:11. doi: 10.1186/1471-5945-12-11

31. Rochman Y, Dienger-Stambaugh K, Richgels PK, Lewkowich IP, Kartashov AV, Barski A, et al. TSLP signaling in CD4+ T cells programs a pathogenic T helper 2 cell state. *Sci Signal.* (2018) 11:eaam8858. doi: 10.1126/scisignal.aam8858

32. Zhang J, Xu X, Wang X, Zhang L, Hu M, Le Y, et al. Topical emollient prevents the development of atopic dermatitis and atopic march in mice. *Exp Dermatol.* (2023) 32:1007–15. doi: 10.1111/exd.14806

33. Miyake K, Shibata S, Yoshikawa S, Karasuyama H. Basophils and their effector molecules in allergic disorders. *Allergy*. (2021) 76:1693–706. doi: 10.1111/all.14662

34. Nakashima C, Otsuka A, Kitoh A, Honda T, Egawa G, Nakajima S, et al. Basophils regulate the recruitment of eosinophils in a murine model of irritant contact dermatitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. (2014) 134:100–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2014.02.026

35. Sokol CL, Chu N-Q, Yu S, Nish SA, Laufer TM, Medzhitov R. Basophils function as antigen-presenting cells for an allergen-induced T helper type 2 response. *Nat Immunol.* (2009) 10:713–20. doi: 10.1038/ni.1738

36. Kabata H, Flamar A-L, Mahlakõiv T, Moriyama S, Rodewald H-R, Ziegler SF, et al. Targeted deletion of the TSLP receptor reveals cellular mechanisms that promote type 2 airway inflammation. *Mucosal Immunol.* (2020) 13:626–36. doi: 10.1038/s41385-020-0266-x

37. Takimoto-Ito R, Ma N, Kishimoto I, Kabashima K, Kambe N. The potential role of basophils in urticaria. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:883692. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.883692

38. Liu W, Xu R, Wang F. Recent advances of basophils in pruritic skin diseases. J Invest Dermatol. (2023) 143:691–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jid.2022.12.005

39. Pinart M, Benet M, Annesi-Maesano I, von Berg A, Berdel D, Carlsen KCL, et al. Comorbidity of eczema, rhinitis, and asthma in IgE-sensitised and non-IgE-sensitised children in MeDALL: a population-based cohort study. *Lancet Respir Med.* (2014) 2:131–40. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(13)70277-7

40. Zhang Z, Hener P, Frossard N, Kato S, Metzger D, Li M, et al. Thymic stromal lymphopoietin overproduced by keratinocytes in mouse skin aggravates experimental asthma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2009) 106:1536–41. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0812668106

41. Corren J, Menzies-Gow A, Chupp G, Israel E, Korn S, Cook B, et al. Efficacy of tezepelumab in severe, uncontrolled asthma: pooled analysis of the PATHWAY and NAVIGATOR clinical trials. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2023) 208:13–24. doi: 10.1164/rccm.202210-2005OC

42. Menzies-Gow A, Corren J, Bourdin A, Chupp G, Israel E, Wechsler ME, et al. Tezepelumab in adults and adolescents with severe, uncontrolled asthma. *N Engl J Med.* (2021) 384:1800–9. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2034975

43. Corren J, Garcia Gil E, Griffiths JM, Parnes JR, van der Merwe R, Sałapa K, et al. Tezepelumab improves patient-reported outcomes in patients with severe, uncontrolled asthma in PATHWAY. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol.* (2021) 126:187– 93. doi: 10.1016/j.anai.2020.10.008

44. Simpson EL, Parnes JR, She D, Crouch S, Rees W, Mo M, et al. Tezepelumab, an anti-thymic stromal lymphopoietin monoclonal antibody, in the treatment of moderate to severe atopic dermatitis: A randomized phase 2a clinical trial. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* (2019) 80:1013–21. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2018.11.059

45. Dou X, Li X, Li Z. Mouse atopic march model lung CD45+ Cell RNA-seq. (2024). doi: 10.17632/rkk66tnf4w.1