Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Pedro Berraondo, Cima Universidad de Navarra, Spain

REVIEWED BY Fer. Aranda Vega, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria de Navarra (IdiSNA), Spain Filippo Nozzoli, University of Florence, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Johan M. Kros Øj.m.kros@erasmusmc.nl

RECEIVED 18 February 2025 ACCEPTED 20 May 2025 PUBLISHED 20 June 2025

CITATION

Najjary S, Amery Na, Huang M, Vadgama D, van Akkooi ACJ, Gilhuis HJ, Belcaid Z, Mustafa D and Kros JM (2025) Overexpression of CTLA-4 and fibronectin, and lower expression of CD137 (4-1BB) is associated with brain metastasis of primary skin melanomas. An analysis of local immune response by digital spatial profiling. *Front. Immunol.* 16:1578986. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2025.1578986

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Najjary, Amery, Huang, Vadgama, van Akkooi, Gilhuis, Belcaid, Mustafa and Kros. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Overexpression of CTLA-4 and fibronectin, and lower expression of CD137 (4-1BB) is associated with brain metastasis of primary skin melanomas. An analysis of local immune response by digital spatial profiling

Shiva Najjary¹, Nur al Amery¹, Mengqi Huang¹, Disha Vadgama¹, Alexander C. J. van Akkooi^{2,3,4}, H. Jacobus Gilhuis⁵, Zineb Belcaid¹, Dana Mustafa¹ and Johan M. Kros^{1*}

¹Department of Pathology, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, Netherlands, ²Melanoma Institute Australia, Sydney, NSW, Australia, ³Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia, ⁴Department of Melanoma and Surgical Oncology, Institute of Academic Surgery, Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Sydney, NSW, Australia, ⁵Department of Neurology, Reinier de Graaf Ziekenhuis, Delft, Netherlands

Background: To discover immune response-associated gene expressions related to brain metastases of skin melanomas, we analyzed a group of fifteen primary skin melanomas consisting of five tumors from patients who did not develop systemic metastases (NM); ten from patients with systemic metastasis of which five with (BM), and five without brain involvement (OOM). Regions of interest (ROIs) harboring tumor cells only; immune cells only; or immune cell infiltration in tumor cell regions, were separately analyzed.

Methods: we profiled the tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) of primary skin melanomas by using a panel of 77 immune response-related oligo-nucleotide targets in the GeoMx Digital Spatial profiler (Nanostring Technologies).

Results: Melanomas from patients who developed brain metastases contained significantly higher levels of (CD45+) immune cells and overexpressed fibronectin and CTLA-4 in all ROIs compared to the melanomas that had metastasized to other sites than the brain (p<0.05). Distant metastases were accompanied by lower expression of CD137 (4-1BB). Downregulation of VISTA, IDO1 and ICOS was associated with melanomas that gave rise to distant metastases including brain.

Conclusion: Lower expression of CD137 (4-1BB) is linked with the formation of distant metastases and the expressions of fibronectin and CTLA-4 herald the formation of brain metastasis in particular.

KEYWORDS

melanoma, metastasis, CTLA-4, fibronectin, spatial biology, 4-1BB, immune response

Introduction

The high mortality of melanoma patients is foremost caused by metastasis to distant organs. No less than 40-60% of patients will develop brain metastasis (BM) in the course of the disease (1) and with this complication of the disease patients have the worst survival rates (2, 3). Despite the improved outcomes of newly developed immunotherapies (4, 5), recurrent disease is still a major problem. Since the development of BM accounts for about 50% of all melanoma deaths (6) it is of vital importance to identify the key players in the formation of cerebral seeding to be able to develop targets for BM preventing strategies. Over recent years particular immune cells in the tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) were traced that promote tumor progression and organ-specific metastasis (7-10). Tumor cells exploit immune mechanisms in the primary tumor to escape elimination in the blood stream and to home at distant sites. Upon their passing through the blood-brain barrier (BBB) the tumor cells must deal with the local brain immune microenvironment that differs from that of the primary tumors (10-12). The TIME of the primary tumors contains distinct immune cells including neutrophils, mast cells, T and B lymphocytes, natural killer cells, and antigen-presenting cells (APCs). While adaptive immune cells such as NK cells and CD8 + T lymphocytes may inhibit tumor growth by promoting antitumor immunity (13), several studies have demonstrated that innate immune cells, such as macrophages, mast cells, and neutrophils are capable of forming a chronic inflammatory environment that promotes epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and neovascularization, which are essential processes for tumor progression (7, 14). Increased T cell infiltration was found to be beneficial to patient survival in numerous tumor types including melanoma (15, 16). It also appears that particular immune cells may display contradictory actions in the dissemination of primary tumors. For example, the ability of T lymphocytes to enhance the migratory capacity of breast cancer cells was demonstrated using both an in vitro BBB model as well as an *in vivo* mouse model (9). In order to elucidate the underlying mechanisms involved in the development of melanoma brain metastasis (MBM) and the role and effects of particular immune cells on tumor cell behavior, exploration of the TIME immune landscape is crucial. Importantly, parameters in the TIME that would be predictive of the formation of metastasis, particularly brain metastasis, would be important for therapeutic strategies for patients suffering from melanomas.

In this study we characterize the immune response in regions in and around primary skin melanomas of patients with and without distant metastases including brain metastases. We compared the TIME of primary melanomas obtained from patients who did not have metastases (NM); patients who had developed BM exclusively or in addition to metastases to other organs, and patients who developed metastases in organs other than the brain (OOM). To that aim, we utilized the GeoMx Digital Spatial Profiler (DSP) for multiplex protein detection in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue sections, providing quantitative and spatial information. The expression of a large set of proteins within regions of interest (ROIs), selected by standard fluorescent IHC on single tissue slides were quantified (17, 18).

Materials and methods

Patient cohorts and tumor characteristics

This study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands, and was carried out in adherence to the Code of Conduct of the Federation of Medical Scientific Societies in the Netherlands (MEC 02·953 & MEC-2020–0732). To study the TIME associated with the formation of BM, treatment naïve primary malignant melanoma samples were selected from a patient cohort with completed followup. In this cohort, a total of 15 primary human melanoma FFPE

Abbreviations: Al, artificial intelligence; APC, antigen-presenting cell; BBB, blood-brain barrier; BH, Benjamini-Hochberg; BM, brain metastasis; CTLA-4, Cytotoxic T-Lymphocyte Associated Protein 4; DC, dendritic cell; DSP, Digital Space Profiler; ECM, Extracellular Matrix; EMT, Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition; ER, Estrogen Receptor; FFPE, Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded; H&E, Hematoxylin and Eosin; HLA-DR, Human Leukocyte Antigen DR; IDO1, Indoleamine 2,3-diaxygenase 1; IHC, Immunohistochemistry; LMM, Linear-mixed model; MBM, Melanoma Brain Metastasis; NDM, Nodular Melanoma; NM, No Metastasis; OOM, Other Organ Metastasis; ROI, Region of Interest; SCM, Spindle Cell Melanoma; SSM, Superficial Spreading Melanoma; TAB, Tumor-associated B cell; TAM, Tumor-associated macrophage; TIME, Tumor Immune Microenvironment; TLS, Tertiary Lymphoid Structure; UV, Ultraviolet; VISTA, V-domain immunoglobulin suppressor of T Cell Activation.

tissues were selected from five patients who had developed distant metastasis without brain involvement (OOM); five patients who had developed brain metastases (BM) and five patients who had not developed metastases (NM). All patients had been admitted between 2000 and 2006 and none of them had received immune therapy, chemotherapy or therapy directed to BRAK/MEK mutations. The clinical features including patient characteristics, follow-up and tumor characteristics are listed in Table 1. The mean ages and age ranges of patients in the three metastatic groups were comparable. There was no gender skew. Melanoma histologic subtypes (superficial spreading, nodular and spindle cell) were all present in the BM group, while the spindle cell subtype was absent from the OOM group and the nodular subtype was absent from the group without metastases. The mean Breslow thickness of the 15 selected cutaneous melanomas for the NM, OOM and BM groups were 5.06, 3.37 and 4.18, respectively. The time period between the diagnosis of the primary tumor and the appearance of the first metastasis ranged from 18 to 71 months for the OOM group and from 2 to 99 months for the BM group. The sites of the primary tumors were divided into head/neck region, trunk and limbs. There

TABLE 1	Clinico-pathological	characteristics	of the	cohort.
---------	----------------------	-----------------	--------	---------

Characteristics	All patients (N=15)	NM (N=5)	OOM (N=5)	BM (N=5)
Patient Characteristics				
Age (years), mean (range)	49.6 (25-69)	51.0 (30-61)	49.2 (25-60)	48.6 (34-69)
Sex			1	
Male (%)	7 (46.7)	2 (40)	3 (60)	2 (40)
Female (%)	8 (53.3)	3 (60)	2 (40)	3 (60)
Tumor characteristics				
Breslow, mm	4.82	5.06	3.37	4.18
Ulceration				
Absent (%)	8 (53.3)	3 (60)	3 (60)	1 (20)
Present (%)	7 (46.7)	2 (40)	2 (40)	4 (80)
Unknown (%)	-	-	-	-
Histology				
SSM* (%)	8 (53.3)	4 (80)	2 (40)	2 (40)
NM** (%)	5 (33.3)		3 (60)	2 (40)
SCM*** (%)	2 (13.3)	1 (20)		1 (20)
Tumor location				
Limb (%)	7 (46.7)	1 (20)	2 (40)	4 (80)
Trunk (%)	7 (46.7)	3 (60)	3 (60)	1 (20)
Head/Neck (%)	1 (6.7)	1 (20)	-	-
Outcome				
Time to distant metastasis, months, mean (range)	N/A	N/A	41.2 (18-71)	40.8 (2-99)
Sites of distant metastasis				
Multiple organs(%)			5 (100)	2 (40)
Lymph node (%)			5 (100)	5 (100)
Brain (%)			-	5 (100)
Lung (%)			2 (40)	1 (20)
Bone (%)			1 (20)	1 (20)
Liver (%)			3 (60)	1 (20)
Other (%)			5 (100)	1 (20)

(*) SSM, Superficial Spreading Melanoma; (**) NM, Nodular Melanoma; (***) SCM, Spindle cell melanoma.

was only one patient with head/neck location in the NM group. For the BM group 4/5 melanomas were located in the limbs. Most frequent metastatic sites other than brain included lymph nodes, lung, bone and liver. All tumors carried a BRAF mutation.

Immunohistochemical stainings

Sections of 4 μ m thickness were processed from the FFPE blocks and stained with routine H&E while immunohistochemistry to S100 and CD45 was carried out on adjacent sections according to the manufacturer's protocols. S100 immunohistochemistry was used for the identification of the melanoma cells while the immune cells were identified by CD45+ immunostaining. The CD45 positive cells in the intra/extra-tumoral regions were quantified and compared between primary melanoma tissues based on their metastatic status by VisioPharm image analysis (Visiopharm, Hørsholm, Denmark). Immune cells and targets identified in DSP were quantified using VisioPharm software version 2018.9.

Regions of interest

The respective ROIs were defined as tumor-rich without immune cells (S100+); immune cell-rich without tumor cells (CD45+) and tumor infiltrated by immune cells (S100+/CD45+). The CD45+ immune cells in and outside of the S100+ tumor areas were quantified. Per slide 3 ROIs were selected. Thus, for each metastatic group (NM, OOM and BM) 15 ROIs were selected.

GeoMx Nanostring Digital Spatial profiling: protein expression

The protein expression was profiled using the GeoMx DSP. In brief, one FFPE tissue section of 5μ from FFPE blocks was stained with immunofluorescent antibodies: DNA stain (Syto13), melanoma cells (S100), and leukocytes (CD45). Simultaneously, slides were incubated with a cocktail of 77 UV-photocleavable oligonucleotide-labeled antibodies, which included immunerelated targets, housekeeping proteins, and negative controls

TABLE 2 UV-Photocleavable oligonucleotide-labeled antibody cocktail modules.

Immune Cell Profiling Core	IO Drug Target Module	Immune Activation Status Module	Immune Cell Typing Module	Pan-Tumor Module	PI3K-AKT Module	Cell Death Module
PD-1	4-1BB	CD127	CD45RO	MART1	Phospho-AKT1	BAD
CD68	LAG3	CD25	FOXP3	NY-ESO-1	(\$473)	BCL6
HLA-DR	OX40L	CD80	CD34	S100	Phospho-GSK3B	BCLXL
Ki-67	Tim-3	ICOS	CD66b	Bcl-2	(S9)	CD95/Fas
D ()	VISTA	PD-L2	FAP-alpha	ЕрСАМ	Phospho-GSK3A (S21)/ Phospho-GSK3B (S9)	GZMA
Beta-2- microglobulin	ARG1	CD40	CD14	Her2		Cleaved Caspase 9
CD11c	B7-H3	CD44	CD163	PTEN	_	p53
CD20	IDO1	CD27		ER-alpha	INPP4B	PARP
CD3	STING			PR	PLCG1	BIM
CD4	GITR				Phospho-PRAS40	
CD45					(T246)	
CD56					Phospho-Tuberin (T1462)	
CD8					Pan-AKT	
CTLA-4					MET	
GZMB					Phospho-AKT	
PD-L1					(T308)	
PanCk						
SMA						
Fibronectin						
Rb IgG						

(Continued)

TABLE 2 Continued

Immune Cell Profiling Core	IO Drug Target Module	Immune Activation Status Module	Immune Cell Typing Module	Pan-Tumor Module	PI3K-AKT Module	Cell Death Module
Ms IgG1						
Ms IgG2a						
Histone H3						
\$6						
GAPDH						

(Table 2). The protein expression profiles were processed prior to the analysis. The expression of twelve out of the 77 proteins from the analysis was detected in less than 50% of ROIs.

Artificial intelligence-based image analysis and oligo detection

The Multiplex Phenotyping Module in the Visiopharm software (Visiopharm, Hørsholm, Denmark) was employed to analyze the whole-slide immunofluorescent DSP images. In order to prevent interference and ensure accuracy, image processing excluded areas with erythrocytes and strong fluorescent intensities. The software was trained to recognize S100+ (tumor) regions and CD45+ immune cell infiltrations. Additionally, the software was utilized for quantifying CD20+, CD27+, CD68+, HLA-DR+ and CTLA-4+ cells in immunofluorescent DSP validation images, following similar procedures. The counts of CD45, CD20, CD27, CD68, Human Leukocyte Antigen (HLA)-DR, and Cytotoxic-T-Lymphocyte Associated Protein 4 (CTLA-4) were normalized by total cell numbers within the corresponding intra/extra-tumoral regions to obtain relative cell counts. For the validation experiments, FFPE slides were only incubated with CD20, CD27, CD68, DR (HLA-DR) or (CTLA-4) visualization antibodies. Lastly, the photocleaved oligos released from the ROIs were hybridized for 17 hours at 65°C and scanned using the nCounter® system (Nanostring Technologies, Seattle, WA, United States). The data were analyzed using DSP software.

Statistical analysis

Protein expression differences between groups were evaluated using GeoMx DSP Analysis software version 2.4.0.147. The data were normalized based on the housekeeping proteins S6 and Histone H3 and corrected for the background determined by subtracting the expression of the negative control Ms.IgG2a in each ROI. The significant differences in the protein expression (adj. $p \le 0.05$) between the groups were calculated by linear mixed modeling (LMM). The relative positive cell counts were calculated by dividing the number of CD45, CD20, CD27, CD68, HLA-DR and CTLA-4 by the total number of cells in the corresponding tissue regions. All statistical analyses were performed using R statistical software (version 4.0.1). Two-sided P-values were considered, and statistical significance was set at P-value \leq 0.05. The web-based tool Morpheus by Broad Institute (RRID: SCR_017386) was used for the visualization of data as heatmaps.

Results

Cell type-specific differences

The cellular compositions of the immune infiltrates in the various ROIs are shown in Figure 1. Melanomas from the NM and BM groups contained significantly higher levels of (CD45+) immune cells in all ROIs compared to the melanomas from the OOM group (p<0.05). In the tumor (S100+) ROIs of the BM group, less CD56 expression and more CD68 and CD11c expression was present than in the OOM group. In the immune cell (CD45+) ROIs of the BM group more CD20+ and CD56+ cells were found while the CD45+ ROIs of the NM and OOM groups contained slightly more CD14+ cells. The immune cell-infiltrated tumor areas (S100 +/CD45+ ROIs) in the NM and OOM groups contained more CD14+ and more CD66b+ cells compared to the BM group.

Analysis of ROIs

Most expressional differences between the melanoma metastatic groups were found between the tumors with brain metastases (BM) and tumors without metastases (NM) (Table 3). Least differences were found between melanomas without metastases and the tumors with metastases at sites other than brain (Table 3).

Overexpression of CTLA-4, CD27, fibronectin and lower expression of 4-1BB and OX40L in S100+ ROIs is associated with brain metastasis

Analysis of tumor (S100+) ROIs revealed significant overexpression of fibronectin and lower expression of 4-1BB and OX40L between the group with brain metastases (BM) versus the



group without metastases (NM) (Table 3, Figures 2b, e, f). In addition, melanomas with brain metastases (BM) showed higher expression levels of fibronectin, CTLA-4, CD27, and PanCK compared to tumors with metastases in other organs (OOM) (Table 3, Figures 2d–f). The tumors without metastases (NM) showed higher expression levels of 4-1BB and MART1 compared to those with distant metastases without brain involvement (OOM) (Table 3, Figures 2c, e, f).

Overexpression of CTLA-4 and lower expression of 4-1BB, IDO1, CD163, GITR, PR- α , EPCAM and ER in CD45+ ROIs is associated with brain metastasis

Analysis of the pure immune cell (CD45+) ROIs without (S100 +) tumor cells is shown in Figure 3. The melanomas with brain metastases (BM) showed higher expression of CTLA-4, and lower expression of 4-1BB, CD163, GITR, IDO1, PR, EPCAM and ERalpha than the melanomas without metastases (Table 3, Figures 3b, e, f). In the BM group more expression of CTL4, and less expression of IDO1 and ER-alpha was found compared to the OOM group (Figures 3d–g). No expressional differences were found between the melanomas without metastases (NM) and those with metastases in organs (Figures 3c, f).

Overexpression of CTLA-4 and ICOS, and lower expression of IDO1 and VISTA in CD45+/S100+ ROIs is associated with brain metastasis

In the areas with tumor fields infiltrated by immune cells (CD45 +/S100+ ROIs) melanomas with brain metastases (BM) showed lower expression of VISTA and IDO1 and no overexpression of genes when compared to tumors without metastases (NM) (Table 3, Figures 4b, e, f). In these ROIs tumors of the BM group overexpressed CTLA-4 compared to melanomas from the OOM group (Table 3, Figures 4d–f). Melanomas without metastases (NM) showed more expression of ICOS compared to tumors from the OOM group (Table 3, Figures 4c, e, f).

Discussion

So far, controversial data on the impact of inflammatory infiltrates in the primary lesions on the metastatic status and prognosis of melanoma patients exists (19–21). Data on the composition of the immune infiltrates and prominent molecules acting in the immune response in regions in- and outside of the tumor fields are scarce (22, 23). From the literature the relation between the characteristics of the immune response with metastatic



TABLE 3 Genes differentially expressed in the respective ROIs between the melanoma groups with different metastatic states.

BM, melanomas with brain metastases; NM, melanomas without distant metastases; OOM, melanomas with distant metastases without brain involvement. ROI, region of interest. S100 = marker for melanoma cells; CD45 = marker for immune cells; S100/CD45 = areas of tumor with infiltrating immune cells. Green box: upregulation; red box: downregulation for the various comparisons.

spread, specifically to brain, remains obscure because in the various studies different primary tumor localizations were included and the location of the immune cells in relation to the tumor cells is rarely specified. In the present study we specifically compared the immune infiltrations in primary skin melanomas, specified the sites of the immune cells and related their expressional repertoires to the development of distant metastases (OOM), particularly brain metastases (BM). When characterizing the TIME for immune response-related molecules by protein GeoMx DSP and validation of the findings by immunofluorescence imaging, we found striking differences in the compositions of the primary melanoma TIMEs between the patients with different metastatic outcomes.

In melanomas with brain metastases the expression of cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4 (CTLA-4; CD152) detected in the immune cell (CD45+) ROIs was higher than in tumors without metastases or metastases at other sites. CTLA-4 blocks CD28-mediated T cell activation, thereby functioning as an immune checkpoint inhibitor of T cell function. This immune checkpoint inhibitor is regarded as a reliable target in the treatment of cancer including advanced melanoma (24). CTLA-4 is targeted by the use of anti-CTLA-4 antibodies blocking the inhibitory signals from the effector T cells, allowing co-stimulatory signaling and activating T cells. In 2011, the use of anti-CTLA-4 was first approved drug by the U.S. food and drug administration (FDA) for the treatment of advanced melanoma patients, based on a significant improvement in overall survival (25). More recent studies with immunotherapies

have shown efficacy of these drugs, also within the brain (4, 5). In the light of our previous observation that activated T cells play a role in the development of cerebral metastases in breast cancer patients, specific data on the development of brain metastases in the melanoma cohorts are of interest (9).

In the present analysis high expression of fibronectin in primary melanomas associated with BM was also observed. Specifically, fibronectin overexpression was found in the S100+ tumor cell regions. Fibronectin is one of the most important components within the extracellular matrix (ECM) as it is responsible for the communication between the intra- and extracellular environment (26). High expression of fibronectin is tightly correlated with invasive and metastatic behavior of melanoma cells (27-30). Besides melanoma, fibronectin has also been suggested to play a pro-metastatic role in other cancer types including cancers from colon (31), esophagus (32), lung (33) and breast (34). The prometastatic role of fibronectin upregulation in these tumors is the result of ECM remodeling allowing cancer cell migration and invasion (35). The present observation suggests that high expression of fibronectin not only leads to the remodeling of the melanoma ECM which in turn promotes the dissemination of tumor cells from the primary tumor, but also facilitates their entry in the brain. Overexpression of fibronectin at the site of the primary tumor may well facilitate tumor cells entering the blood stream, but the relation of fibronectin with specific seeding to brain needs further exploration (36).



Our results showed distinct downregulation of 4-1BB (CD137/ TNF receptor superfamily SF9) in the tumor areas infiltrated by immune cells (S100+/CD45+ ROIs) of melanomas with distant metastasis including brain. 4-1BB is a receptor that activates various signaling pathways involved in the cellular immune response, providing metabolic support to T cells by enhancing the mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation. Various immune cells including (skin) fibroblasts express 4-1BB. In addition, 4-1BB expression has been found in colonic cancer cells where it is also associated with the rise of distant metastases (37). With lower



activity of 4-1BB the immune defense is hampered, allowing tumor cells to spread. Although the exact sequels of stimulating 4-1BB action is not completely understood, boosting 4-1BB action by agonistic antibodies is considered as a promising strategy to anticancer immunotherapy (38) and should be considered in the

treatment of melanoma as well. Immune activating molecules other than 4-1BB like OX40 and GITR were also found downregulated and therefore, the effects of agonistic antibodies against these molecules need further evaluation (36, 39, 40). Downregulation of the immune checkpoint molecules IDO1 and



VISTA was specifically observed in the ROIs with CD45+ immune cells, while not in the S100+ tumor fields, which is in line with the notion that VISTA is expressed by TIL subsets, and interaction between tumor cells and immune cells is necessary for its

expression. Lower expression of VISTA was specifically noticed in tumors with brain metastases (Table 3). Therapies using monoclonal antibodies targeting VISTA result in slowing down tumor progression. It remains unclear why tumors from patients with BM are associated with lower VISTA expression. The current data warrant further analysis in prospective settings to evaluate the role of IDO1 and VISTA in metastasis particularly to the brain.

We found overexpression of CD27 in the (S100+) tumor fields of melanomas specifically seeding to brain (BM) as compared to tumors metastasizing to other organs (OOM). CD27 is a membrane glycoprotein that functions as a T cell co-stimulatory molecule in T cells. Upon antigen presentation, T cell expression of CD27 increases the early stages of the adaptive immune response. Abundant infiltration of activated T cells in melanoma has been associated with more favorable prognosis (41, 42). While activation of CD27 in a murine melanoma model reduced the development of distant metastasis (43), in human ER-negative breast cancers activated T cells were shown to promote the rise of brain metastases (9). Moreover, the present findings are also suggestive of a correlation between abundant active T cell infiltration and the development of BM.

In primary melanomas associated with development of cerebral metastasis lower expression levels of CD163 were observed. Brain metastasis was associated with peritumoral, not intratumoral, presence of CD68+ TAMs (10.1097/CMR.0b013e3283252feb PLEASE HELP; REF FOUND BUT IS NOT IN PUB MED). Macrophages residing in tumors show expressional profiles anywhere between polarities known as the M1 and M2 extremes. The M1 profile exerts pro-inflammatory effects thereby suppressing cancer progression. In contrast, the M2 profile is an anti-inflammatory macrophage with tumor-promoting capabilities involving immune-suppression, angiogenesis and neovascularization (44-46). Several studies on solid tumors including melanoma, have demonstrated that the abundant presence of tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs), in particular M2 macrophages, is associated with increased tumor progression and worse overall prognosis (46-48). To understand the role of the diminished expression of CD163 in macrophages in the dissemination of melanoma cells to the brain, specific explorations to determine the role of macrophage- and monocyte subtypes in the development of brain metastases are required.

There are clear limitations to the present study. The set-up is retrospective and should be validated in a prospective setting. At this point, the current results provide a frame of reference for larger spatial proteomic analyses to elucidate the role of the TIME in the development of BM. In addition, functional assays testing the effects of particular immune cell populations and testing the impact of blocking or overexpression of particular inflammatory molecules should validate the results from functional perspective. Such supporting studies are essential for the identification of new therapeutic opportunities to prevent formation of cerebral metastasis and improve care of patients with melanoma.

Data availability statement

The nanostring data / results deposited at the directories of the Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, and are available on request from the corresponding author(s).

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Medical Ethics Committee of the Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The human samples used in this study were acquired from primarily isolated as part of your previous study for which ethical approval was obtained. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

SN: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – review & editing. NA: Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Validation, Writing – original draft. MH: Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Writing – original draft. DV: Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – review & editing. AA: Resources, Writing – review & editing. HG: Funding acquisition, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. ZB: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. DM: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing. JK: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Department of Pathology, Erasmus MC; by Stichting RdGZ Delft and by Stichting Support Casper.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Zhang D, Wang Z, Shang D, Yu J, Yuan S. Incidence and prognosis of brain metastases in cutaneous melanoma patients: a population-based study. *Melanoma Res.* (2019) 29:77–84. doi: 10.1097/CMR.00000000000538

2. Gershenwald JE, Nelson KC. Skin Cancer: Have melanoma and skin cancer finally met their match? *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* (2017) 14:267–8. doi: 10.1038/nrclinonc.2017.55

3. Janavicius M, Lachej N, Anglickiene G, Vincerzevskiene I, Brasiuniene B. Outcomes of treatment for melanoma brain metastases. *J Skin Cancer*. (2020) 2020:7520924. doi: 10.1155/2020/7520924

4. Long GV, Atkinson V, Lo S, Sandhu S, Guminski AD, Brown MP, et al. Combination nivolumab and ipilimumab or nivolumab alone in melanoma brain metastases: a multicentre randomised phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol.* (2018) 19:672–81. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(18)30139-6

5. Tawbi HA, SChadendorf D, Lipson EJ, Ascierto PA, Matamala L, Castillo Gutierrez E, et al. Relatlimab and nivolumab versus nivolumab in untreated advanced melanoma. *N Engl J Med.* (2022) 386:24–34. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2109970

6. Abate-Daga D, Ramello MC, Smalley I, Forsyth PA, Smalley KSM. The biology and therapeutic management of melanoma brain metastases. *Biochem Pharmacol.* (2018) 153:35–45. doi: 10.1016/j.bcp.2017.12.019

7. Lorusso G, Ruegg C. The tumor microenvironment and its contribution to tumor evolution toward metastasis. *Histochem Cell Biol.* (2008) 130:1091–103. doi: 10.1007/s00418-008-0530-8

8. Wang N, Li X, Wang R, Ding Z. Spatial transcriptomics and proteomics technologies for deconvoluting the tumor microenvironment. *Biotechnol J.* (2021) 16: e2100041. doi: 10.1002/biot.202100041

 Mustafa DAM, Pedrosa R, Smid M, van der Weiden M, de Weerd V, Nigg AL, et al. T lymphocytes facilitate brain metastasis of breast cancer by inducing Guanylate-Binding Protein 1 expression. Acta Neuropathol. (2018) 135:581–99. doi: 10.1007/ s00401-018-1806-2

10. Neophytou CM, Panagi M, Stylianopoulos T, Papageorgis P. The role of tumor microenvironment in cancer metastasis: molecular mechanisms and therapeutic opportunities. *Cancers (Basel).* (2021) 13:1–22. doi: 10.3390/cancers13092053

11. Cacho-Diaz B, Garcia-Botello DR, Wegman-Ostrosky T, Reyes-Soto G, Ortiz-Sanchez E, Herrera-Montalvo LA. Tumor microenvironment differences between primary tumor and brain metastases. *J Transl Med.* (2020) 18:1. doi: 10.1186/s12967-019-02189-8

12. Najjary S, de Koning W, Kros JM, Mustafa DAM. Unlocking molecular mechanisms and identifying druggable targets in matched-paired brain metastasis of breast and lung cancers. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1305644. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1305644

13. Lee H, Na KJ, Choi H. Differences in tumor immune microenvironment in metastatic sites of breast cancer. *Front Oncol.* (2021) 11:649004. doi: 10.3389/ fonc.2021.649004

14. Schulz M, Salamero-Boix A, Niesel K, Alekseeva T, Sevenich L. Microenvironmental regulation of tumor progression and therapeutic response in brain metastasis. *Front Immunol.* (2019) 10:1713. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.01713

15. Salerno EP, Olson WC, McSkimming C, Shea S, Slingluff CL Jr. T cells in the human metastatic melanoma microenvironment express site-specific homing receptors and retention integrins. *Int J Cancer*. (2014) 134:563–74. doi: 10.1002/ijc.v134.3

16. Yuan Y, Zhu Z, Lan Y, Duan S, Zhu Z, Zhang X, et al. Development and validation of a CD8+ T cell infiltration-related signature for melanoma patients. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:659444. doi: 10.3389/fmmu.2021.659444

17. Hernandez S, Lazcano R, Serrano A, Powell S, Kostousov L, Mehta J, et al. Challenges and opportunities for immunoprofiling using a spatial high-plex technology: the nanoString GeoMx((R)) digital spatial profiler. *Front Oncol.* (2022) 12:890410. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.890410

18. Koldej RM, Ritchie DS. High multiplex analysis of the immune microenvironment in bone marrow trephine samples using GeoMX digital spatial profiling. *Immunooncol Technol.* (2020) 5:1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.iotech.2020.02.001

19. Antohe M, Nedelcu RI, Nichita L, Popp CG, Cioplea M, Brinzea A, et al. Tumor infiltrating lymphocytes: The regulator of melanoma evolution. *Oncol Lett.* (2019) 17:4155–61. doi: 10.3892/ol.2019.9940

20. Erdag G, Schaefer JT, Smolkin ME, Deacon DH, Shea SM, Dengel LT, et al. Immunotype and immunohistologic characteristics of tumor-infiltrating immune cells are associated with clinical outcome in metastatic melanoma. *Cancer Res.* (2012) 72:1070–80. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-3218

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

21. Liu D, Yang X, Wu X. Tumor immune microenvironment characterization identifies prognosis and immunotherapy-related gene signatures in melanoma. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:663495. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.663495

22. Yosofvand M, Edmiston SN, Smithy JW, Peng X, Kostrzewa CE, Lin B, et al. Spatial immunophenotyping from whole-slide multiplexed tissue imaging using convolutional neural networks. *bioRxiv*. (2024). doi: 10.1101/2024.08.16.608247

23. Trocchia M, Ventrici A, Modestino L, Cristinziano L, Ferrara AL, Palestra F, et al. Innate immune cells in melanoma: implications for immunotherapy. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2024) 25:1–23. doi: 10.3390/ijms25158523

24. Rotte A. Combination of CTLA-4 and PD-1 blockers for treatment of cancer. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. (2019) 38:255. doi: 10.1186/s13046-019-1259-z

25. Hodi FS, O'Day SJ, McDermott DF, Weber RW, Sosman JA, Haanen JB, et al. Improved survival with ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma. *N Engl J Med.* (2010) 363:711–23. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1003466

26. Parisi L, Toffoli A, Ghezzi B, Mozzoni B, Lumetti S, Macaluso GM. A glance on the role of fibronectin in controlling cell response at biomaterial interface. *Jpn Dent Sci Rev.* (2020) 56:50–5. doi: 10.1016/j.jdsr.2019.11.002

27. Gaggioli C, Deckert M, Robert G, Abbe P, Batoz M, Ehrengruber MU, et al. HGF induces fibronectin matrix synthesis in melanoma cells through MAP kinase-dependent signaling pathway and induction of Egr-1. *Oncogene*. (2005) 24:1423–33. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1208318

28. Gaggioli C, Robert G, Bertolotto C, Bailet O, Abbe P, Spadafora A, et al. Tumorderived fibronectin is involved in melanoma cell invasion and regulated by V600E B-Raf signaling pathway. *J Invest Dermatol.* (2007) 127:400–10. doi: 10.1038/ sj.jid.5700524

29. Li B, Shen W, Peng H, Li Y, Chen F, Zheng L, et al. Fibronectin 1 promotes melanoma proliferation and metastasis by inhibiting apoptosis and regulating EMT. *Onco Targets Ther.* (2019) 12:3207–21. doi: 10.2147/OTT.S195703

30. Murgai M, Ju W, Eason M, Kline J, Beury DW, Kaczanowska S, et al. KLF4dependent perivascular cell plasticity mediates pre-metastatic niche formation and metastasis. *Nat Med.* (2017) 23:1176–90. doi: 10.1038/nm.4400

31. Wang J, Li R, Li M, Wang C. Fibronectin and colorectal cancer: signaling pathways and clinical implications. *J Recept Signal Transduct Res.* (2021) 41:313–20. doi: 10.1080/10799893.2020.1817074

32. Xiao J, Yang W, Xu B, Zhu H, Zou J, Su C, et al. Expression of fibronectin in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and its role in migration. *BMC Cancer*. (2018) 18:976. doi: 10.1186/s12885-018-4850-3

33. Malik G, Knowles LM, Dhir R, Xu S, Yang S, Ruoslahti E, et al. Plasma fibronectin promotes lung metastasis by contributions to fibrin clots and tumor cell invasion. *Cancer Res.* (2010) 70:4327–34. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-3312

34. Li CL, Yang D, Cao X, Wang F, Hong DY, Wang J, et al. Fibronectin induces epithelial-mesenchymal transition in human breast cancer MCF-7 cells via activation of calpain. *Oncol Lett.* (2017) 13:3889–95. doi: 10.3892/ol.2017.5896

35. Han Z, Lu ZR. Targeting fibronectin for cancer imaging and therapy. J Mater Chem B. (2017) 5:639–54. doi: 10.1039/C6TB02008A

36. Chhabra Y, Fane ME, Pramod S, Huser L, Zabransky DJ, Wang V, et al. Sexdependent effects in the aged melanoma tumor microenvironment influence invasion and resistance to targeted therapy. *Cell.* (2024) 187:6016–34.e25. doi: 10.1016/ j.cell.2024.08.013

37. Grimmig T, Gasser M, Moench R, Zhu LJ, Nawalaniec K, Callies S, et al. Expression of Tumor-mediated CD137 ligand in human colon cancer indicates dual signaling effects. *Oncoimmunology*. (2019) 8:e1651622. doi: 10.1080/2162402X.2019.1651622

38. Claus C, Ferrara-Koller C, Klein C. The emerging landscape of novel 4-1BB (CD137) agonistic drugs for cancer immunotherapy. *MAbs.* (2023) 15:2167189. doi: 10.1080/19420862.2023.2167189

39. Carey AE, Weeraratna AT. Entering the TiME machine: How age-related changes in the tumor immune microenvironment impact melanoma progression and therapy response. *Pharmacol Ther.* (2024) 262:108698. doi: 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2024.108698

40. Hamid O, Chiappori AA, Thompson JA, Doi T, Hu-Lieskovan S, Eskens F, et al. First-in-human study of an OX40 (ivuxolimab) and 4-1BB (utomilumab) agonistic antibody combination in patients with advanced solid tumors. *J Immunother Cancer*. (2022) 10:1–12. doi: 10.1136/jitc-2022-005471

41. Fu Q, Chen N, Ge C, Li R, Li Z, Zeng B, et al. Prognostic value of tumorinfiltrating lymphocytes in melanoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Oncoimmunology.* (2019) 8:1593806. doi: 10.1080/2162402X.2019.1593806

42. Zeng Y, Zeng Y, Yin H, Chen F, Wang Q, Yu X, et al. Exploration of the immune cell infiltration-related gene signature in the prognosis of melanoma. *Aging (Albany NY)*. (2021) 13:3459–82. doi: 10.18632/aging.202279

43. Roberts DJ, Franklin NA, Kingeter LM, Yagita H, Tutt AL, Glennie MJ, et al. Control of established melanoma by CD27 stimulation is associated with enhanced effector function and persistence, and reduced PD-1 expression of tumor infiltrating CD8(+) T cells. *J Immunother*. (2010) 33:769–79. doi: 10.1097/CJI.0b013e3181ee238f

44. Liu J, Geng X, Hou J, Wu G. New insights into M1/M2 macrophages: key modulators in cancer progression. *Cancer Cell Int.* (2021) 21:389. doi: 10.1186/s12935-021-02089-2

45. Pieniazek M, Matkowski R, Donizy P. Macrophages in skin melanoma-the key element in melanomagenesis. *Oncol Lett.* (2018) 15:5399-404. doi: 10.3892/ ol.2018.8021

46. Wang H, Yang L, Wang D, Zhang Q, Zhang L. Pro-tumor activities of macrophages in the progression of melanoma. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. (2017) 13:1556-62. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2017.1312043

47. Falleni M, Savi F, Tosi D, Agape E, Cerri A, Moneghini L, et al. M1 and M2 macrophages' clinicopathological significance in cutaneous melanoma. *Melanoma Res.* (2017) 27:200–10. doi: 10.1097/CMR.00000000000352

48. Lin Y, Xu J, Lan H. Tumor-associated macrophages in tumor metastasis: biological roles and clinical therapeutic applications. *J Hematol Oncol.* (2019) 12:76. doi: 10.1186/s13045-019-0760-3