Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Maria Del Mar Ortega-Villaizan, Miguel Hernández University of Elche, Spain

REVIEWED BY Guan-Jun Yang, Ningbo University, China Ganesh Yadagiri, The Ohio State University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Fernando Rodríguez fernando.rodriguez@irta.cat Jordi Argilaguet jordi.argilaguet@irta.cat

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share last authorship

RECEIVED 26 February 2025 ACCEPTED 05 May 2025 PUBLISHED 03 June 2025

CITATION

Tort-Miró A, Alonso U, Martín-Mur B, Muñoz-Basagoiti J, Zeng Y, Marín-Moraleda D, Ezcurra E, Montaner-Tarbes S, Navas MJ, Muñoz M, Monleón P, González-Oliver J, Cano E, Vilalta C, Caballé M, Criado L, Kochanowski K, Accensi F, Aragón V, Correa-Fiz F, Esteve-Codina A, Rodríguez F and Argilaguet J (2025) Inactivated *Rothia nasimurium* promotes a persistent antiviral immune status in porcine alveolar macrophages. *Front. Immunol.* 16:1584092. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2025.1584092

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Tort-Miró, Alonso, Martín-Mur, Muñoz-Basagoiti, Zeng, Marín-Moraleda, Ezcurra, Montaner-Tarbes, Navas, Muñoz, Monleón, González-Oliver, Cano, Vilalta, Caballé, Criado, Kochanowski, Accensi, Aragón, Correa-Fiz, Esteve-Codina, Rodríguez and Argilaguet. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Inactivated *Rothia nasimurium* promotes a persistent antiviral immune status in porcine alveolar macrophages

Aida Tort-Miró^{1,2,3}, Uxía Alonso⁴, Beatriz Martín-Mur^{5,6}, Jordana Muñoz-Basagoiti^{1,2,3}, Yan Zeng⁷, David Marín-Moraleda^{1,2,3}, Enrique Ezcurra^{1,2,3}, Sergio Montaner-Tarbes^{1,2,3}, María J. Navas^{1,2,3}, Marta Muñoz^{1,2,3}, Paula Monleón^{1,2,3}, Judith González-Oliver^{1,2,3}, Esmeralda Cano^{1,2,3}, Carles Vilalta^{1,2,3}, Marc Caballé⁸, Lourdes Criado⁸, Karl Kochanowski ^{1,2,3}, Francesc Accensi^{2,9}, Virginia Aragón^{1,2,3}, Florencia Correa-Fiz^{1,2,3}, Anna Esteve-Codina^{5,6}, Fernando Rodríguez^{1,2,3*†}

¹IRTA, Animal Health, Centre de Recerca en Sanitat Animal (CReSA), Campus de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (UAB), Bellaterra, Catalonia, Spain, ²Unitat mixta d'investigació IRTA-UAB en Sanitat Animal, Centre de Recerca en Sanitat Animal (CReSA), Campus de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (UAB), Bellaterra, Catalonia, Spain, ³WOAH Collaborating Centre for the Research and Control of Emerging and Re-Emerging Swine Diseases in Europe (IRTA-CReSA), Bellaterra, Spain, ⁴WPI Immunology Frontier Research Center, Osaka University, Suita, Japan, ⁵Centro Nacional de Análisis Genómico (CNAG), Barcelona, Spain, ⁶Universitat de Barcelona (UB), Barcelona, Spain, ⁷College of Veterinary Medicine, Jilin Agricultural University, Changchun, China, ⁸IRTA, Valorization Office, Torre Marimon, Caldes de Montbui, Catalonia, Spain, ⁹Departament de Sanitat i Anatomia Animals, Facultat de Veterinària, Campus de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (UAB), Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain

Globalization has increased the incidence of infectious diseases in livestock, further aggravated by the reduction of antibiotic usage. To minimize the resulting economic consequences to the meat production industry, as well as the risk of zoonotic events, the use of immunostimulants has emerged as a potential strategy to enhance animal resilience to diseases. In particular, the capability of bacterial-based immunostimulants to modulate innate immune cells functionality makes them cost-effective candidates as vaccine adjuvants, antimicrobials, or preventive immunostimulators inducing long-term innate immune memory in livestock. However, further research is required to identify novel bacterial strains with immunostimulatory properties. Here we characterized in vitro the immunostimulatory properties of Rothia nasimurium isolated from warthog fecal microbiota. Stimulation with heat-inactivated Rothia induced cytokine production by porcine immune cells, and a robust innate immune transcriptomic signature in porcine alveolar macrophages. Interestingly, the bacteria induced inflammasome activation and IL-1B production, thus confirming its pro-inflammatory properties, and suggesting its potential as vaccine adjuvant. Importantly, this immunostimulatory status functionally resulted in an antimicrobial state, enhancing the phagocytic capability of alveolar macrophages, and hampering the replication levels of

two major porcine viral pathogens: the porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) and the African swine fever virus (ASFV). Moreover, macrophages showed an enhanced cytokine response upon ASFV infection several days after heat-inactivated *Rothia* stimulation, suggesting the induction of an innate immune memory phenotype. This nonspecific response resulted in a significant reduction of ASFV replication kinetics, demonstrating the capacity of the bacteria to induce a more resistant state in macrophages against a virus infection. Altogether, these results demonstrate the immunostimulatory capability of heat-inactivated *R. nasimurium* in porcine macrophages, showing potential to enhance animal resilience to diseases through the modulation of innate immune cells responsiveness to infections.

KEYWORDS

Rothia nasimurium, immunostimulant, adjuvants, antivirals, innate immune memory, porcine alveolar macrophages

Introduction

Infectious diseases are a constant threat to livestock animals, affecting their health and welfare, causing important economic consequences to the meat production industry, and in some cases increasing the risk of zoonotic events (1). In particular, the global pork market, an important value-added activity, is severely affected by swine diseases such as porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS), African swine fever (ASF), porcine epidemic diarrhea, swine influenza virus, as well as Glaesserella parasuis, and Streptococcus suis infections (2). This situation is aggravated by the strict regulation implemented to antibiotic use in livestock, in order to reduce the appearance and spread of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in both animals and humans (3, 4). To address this issue, there is a dire need for the development of strategies to enhance animal resilience to diseases. These include the generation of disease-specific vaccines or their improvement with novel adjuvants, and the use of nonspecific components such as immunomodulatory agents, bacteriophages and their lysins, as well as antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) (5-8). In particular, the use of bacterial-based immunostimulants, or their derivatives, have garnered significant attention due to their direct activation of the innate immune system (9-12).

To be effective, an immunostimulant needs to interact with pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), mimicking the recognition of pathogens by the innate immune system and triggering an inflammatory response. PRRs are mainly expressed in innate immune cells such as monocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells, and neutrophils, as well as by epithelial cells (13, 14). In pigs, as in humans and other species, there are several types of PRRs, such as the toll-like receptors (TLRs), the cyclic GMP-AMP synthasestimulator of interferon genes (cGAS-STING), the C-type lectin receptors (CLR), and the NOD-like receptors (NLRs) (15). Upon PRRs activation, the molecules involved in signal transduction converge in several common signaling pathways, including the ones mediated by the nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-kB) or the interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF-3) transcription factors, the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), and the inflammasome complex (13). Upon the triggering of these pathways, activated cells functionally respond by promoting and anti-microbial state based on the expression of antiviral genes and the secretion of cytokines and chemokines, resulting in the consequent signaling and coordination with other cells from the innate and adaptive immune system (16). In some circumstances, stimulated innate immune cells can also differentiate to acquire an innate immune memory phenotype, based on a long-term, faster and enhanced response to secondary homologous or heterologous challenges (17), thus increasing the host's resilience to infections.

Some bacterial components are highly effective in activating innate immune pathways due to their easy recognition by the PRRs (18). Indeed, there is an increasing interest on the potential use of the natural immunomodulatory properties of bacterial-based products to enhance livestock health. Applications vary from vaccine adjuvants (19), to probiotics and postbiotics (20-22). Interestingly, several studies in humans and mice have demonstrated that the modulation of the immune system through the treatment with heat-inactivated bacteria confers a variety of advantageous outcomes, from prevention of disease-associated inflammatory responses (23), to the induction of innate immune memory and a consequent heterologous protection against infections (24, 25). Importantly, inactivated bacteria fulfil some specific criteria from the veterinary pharmaceutical industry, such as easy and cost-effective manufacturing, as well as a good safety profile (26). Indeed, some inactivated bacteria have demonstrated their beneficial effects as vaccines, or their capability to unspecifically improve animal productivity and disease resilience (27-29). It is worth noting that some inactivated bacteria are

already used in the veterinary market, such as the treatment with Mycobacterium cell wall fractions or with inactivated Mycobacterium bovis or *Propionibacterium* sp., having a positive impact in animal health and production (30–39). Thus, the identification of novel inactivated bacteria with immunostimulatory properties is a promising strategy to enhance resilience to diseases in farm animals.

Rothia spp. are Gram-positive bacteria represented by several species, some of them present in the oral cavity and respiratory tract of mammals. Although a few strains can cause opportunistic infections, most of the species are part of the commensal microbiota (40, 41). Some Rothia spp. have shown immunomodulatory properties, varying from anti-inflammatory to immunostimulatory effects (42, 43). Similarly, we have recently isolated a Rothia strain from warthog (Phacochoerus africanus) fecal microbiota, which showed potential as immunostimulant in a preliminary study through the induction of IFN-gamma (IFNy) in porcine gut-associated lymphoid tissue (GALT) upon in vitro stimulation (44). In the present study, we aimed to better characterize the immunostimulatory capacity of this Rothia strain. Full genome sequencing showed that this warthog strain belongs to the Rothia nasimurium species. In vitro studies using porcine immune cells demonstrated its potent immunostimulatory properties. Importantly, heat-inactivated R. nasimurium broadly activated innate immune responses in porcine alveolar macrophages (PAMs), including the induction of interleukin-1 beta (IL-1B) production through inflammasome activation, thus suggesting its potential as vaccine adjuvant. Moreover, the treatment of PAMs with inactivated R. nasimurium reduced the replication capability of two of the most relevant swine pathogens: the porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) and the African swine fever virus (ASFV). Importantly, this lower susceptibility to infection was maintained over time, and concomitant with an increase of the cytokine production by infected cells, suggesting the acquisition of innate immune memory in treated-PAMs. These results indicate that the treatment of pigs with this novel inactivated R. nasimurium might boost the host's immune system, thus representing a novel potential immunostimulant for livestock to enhance animal resilience to infections.

Materials and methods

Rothia sequencing and preparation

Total DNA was extracted from the isolated bacteria. Taxonomical classification of this bacterial isolate was previously done after Sanger sequencing of the amplicon obtained through PCR of the 16S gene (primers 8F and 1492R) (Macrogen). Additionally, the extracted DNA was submitted for whole genome sequencing using Illumina MiSeq technology. Raw reads were assembled using Unicycler v0.4.8 (45) and a polish step was performed with Pilon (version 1.23) (46). The draft genome was aligned against several representative genomes from *Rothia* genus and a phylogenetic tree was built with RAxML (47) to confirm the classification. The R. nasimurium genome was annotated using RAST (48) tool kit. The resistome was predicted by comparing the assembled contigs with ResFinder vs 4.6.0 (49). For bacteria preparation, the R. nasimurium isolated from warthog microbiota and the commercial Rothia mucilaginosa (DSMZ; DSM n°20746) were grown overnight on chocolate agar at 37°C with 5% CO2. Then, bacterial suspensions were prepared to achieve the desired multiplicity of infection (MOI) ranging from 1 to 17. Serial dilutions of the final suspension were performed to confirm bacteria concentration. For heat-inactivation of R. nasimurium, bacterial colonies were collected, resuspended in PBS, and incubated at 65°C for 1 hour. Before inactivation, an aliquot of the culture was used to quantify the bacteria concentration by serial dilutions. Inactivation was confirmed by absence of overnight growth at 37°C with 5% of CO2 on chocolate agar. For stimulation assays, bacterial suspensions were first centrifuged at 2500 x g 10 min and resuspended in the corresponding media. Heat-inactivated bacteria were used at MOIs ranging from 1 to 50, as indicated in the corresponding figure legends.

Primary cells isolation

Porcine alveolar macrophages (PAMs) were obtained through lung lavage of healthy animals (from Landrace, Landrace x Duroc and Landrace x Large White pig breeds) using phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) supplemented with 1 µg/ml of gentamicin (Sigma-Aldrich). Cells were maintained in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (PRMI) 1640 medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS) (Invitrogen), 1% of penicillin-streptomycin/ml (P/S) (Invitrogen), 1% of L-glutamine (Invitrogen), and 0.5% of nystatin (Invitrogen). For the stimulation assays, three to four lots of PAMs were seeded in 96-well flat-bottom plates at 5x10⁵ cells/well and left overnight at 37°C. Next day, cells were incubated with alive or heatinactivated Rothia at the desired concentrations for 24 hours, after which supernatants were collected and stored at -80°C for TNFa detection by ELISA (R&D system). Cell viability was assessed by flow cytometry or using the CellTiter-Glo assay (Promega). Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) obtained from whole blood of healthy animals (Landrace x Duroc) by density-gradient centrifugation using Histopaque 1077 (Sigma-Aldrich). PBMCs were suspended in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FCS, 1% P/ S, 1% L-glutamine, and 0.05 mM 2-mercaptoethanol.

RNA-seq library preparation and sequencing

Four lots of PAMs were seeded at $5x10^6$ cells/well in a 6-well flat-bottom plate, and left overnight at 37°C. Cells were stimulated for 6 or 24 hours with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (InvivoGen; tlrl-eblps) at 10 µg/ml, Pam3CKS (InvivoGen tlrl-pm2s-1) at 10 µg/ml, or heat-inactivated *R. nasimurium* (HI-Ro) at MOI 50. Non-stimulated cells were used as control. Total RNA was isolated using

the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's protocol. To ensure RNA quality, DNase I treatment was performed for 15 min at room temperature. Total RNA was submitted for sequencing to the Centre Nacional d'Anàlisi Genòmica (CNAG), Barcelona, Spain. Total RNA concentration was quantified using Qubit RNA BR Assay kit (ThermoFisher Scientific) and the RNA integrity was estimated by Agilent Bioanalyzer. The RNASeq libraries were prepared with KAPA mRNA HyperPrep Kit (Roche) following the manufacturer's recommendations starting with 500 ng of total RNA as the input material. The library was quality controlled on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer with the DNA 7500 assay. The libraries were sequenced on NovaSeq 6000 (Illumina) with a read length of 2x51bp, following the manufacturer's protocol for dual indexing. Image analysis, base calling and quality scoring of the run were processed using the manufacturer's software Real Time Analysis.

RNA-seq bioinformatic analysis

Illumina reads were mapped against the genome of Sus scrofa (Sscrofa11.1) using STAR software version 2.7.8a (50) with ENCODE parameters. Annotated genes were quantified with RSEM version 1.3.0 (51) with default parameters using the Sus scrofa ENSEMBL annotation release 110. Differential expression analysis was performed with the limma v3.42.3 R package (52), using TMM normalization. The voom function (53) was used to transform the count data into log2-counts per million (logCPM), estimate meanvariance relationship and to compute observation-level weights. These voom-transformed counts were used to fit the linear models. Given the paired nature of the data, the individual variation was blocked using the duplicateCorrelation function. Contrasts for pairwise comparisons were extracted, as well as contrasts for the interaction effect between treatment and vaccination status. Genes were considered to be differentially expressed (DE) if they had an adjusted p-value <0.05. Functional enrichment analysis was performed using DAVID (http://david.ncifcrf.gov/) (54), considering all DE genes, or the DE genes with an absolute fold change |FC|>1.5, as specified in the corresponding figure legends.

Inflammasome and innate immune memory assay

For the inflammasome assay, three lots of PAMs were seeded at $5x10^5$ cells/well in a 96-well flat-bottom plate, and left overnight at 37°C. Cells were primed with LPS at 50 ng/ml for 3 hours and then stimulated with HI-Ro at MOI 50 or Imject Alum Adjuvant (ThermoFisher Scientific) at 0.5 mg/ml for 21 hours further. Supernatant was collected and stored at -80°C until IL-1 β detection by ELISA (R&D system). For the innate immune memory assay, four to six lots of PAMs were seeded at 1x10⁵ cells/well in a 96-well flatbottom plate, and left overnight at 37°C. Cells were primed HI-Ro at MOI 1, 5 or 10 for 24 hours and then washed three times with PBS. Fresh media was replaced on day 3. At 6 days post-priming, cells were

restimulated with LPS at 10 ng/ml or infected with fluorescence ASFV strains at MOI 0.1. TNF α levels in supernatants were quantified by ELISA (R&D system) at days 1 and 3 post-priming, and at 24 and 48 hours post restimulation or infection.

Western blot analysis

For immunoblotting, cells were prepared in a 48-well flatbottom plates at 6.25x10⁶ cells/well. After stimulation as indicated in the previous section, cells were collected with the lysing buffer RIPA buffer (ThermoFisher Scientific) containing protease inhibitor (Thermo Fisher Scientific; 78430) and denatured with 4x LDS loading buffer and 10x reducing agent (Invitrogen; NP0009) at 70°C for 10 min. Subsequently, lysed cells were subjected to NuPAGE 4-12% BisTris gel (Invitrogen) and then transferred onto a Nitrocellulose blotting membrane (Sigma-Aldrich; GE10600003) by electroblotting. Next, membranes were blocked with 1% nonfat dry milk and then stained with anti-IL-1 β (R&D system; AF-401-NA) and anti-\beta-actin (proteintech; 81115-1-RR-100UL). The presence of cytokine was detected by chemiluminescence with a donkey anti-goat-HRP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; sc-2056) and donkey anti-rabbit-HRP (Cytva; NA934), respecitvely. Imaging was performed with a Fluorochem HD2 chemiluminescent workstation (Alpha Innotech, San Leandro, CA, USA).

Virus infection of macrophages

The virulent ASFV strain Georgia2007/1 (genotype II) was kindly provided by Dr. Linda Dixon (WOAH reference laboratory, The Pirbright Institute, UK). The attenuated ASFV strain BA71\DCD2 is a live attenuated vaccine prototype lacking the CD2v gene (EP402R), obtained by homologous recombination from the parental virulent ASFV strain BA71 (55). The virulent PRRSV strain Rosalia was isolated from the serum of an infected animal from a farm in Huesca (Spain). All viruses were expanded in PAMs. To evaluate the antiviral activity of HI-Ro, three to four lots of PAMs were defrosted and seeded in a 48-well flat-bottom plate at 6x10⁵ cells/well, and left overnight at 37°C. Next, cells were infected with the Georgia2007/1 ASFV strain at MOI 0.1 or the Rosalia PRRSV strain at MOI 0.05 during two hours in 100 ul/well of RPMI supplemented with 1% Lglutamine. After infection, cells were stimulated with HI-Ro at MOI 50 during 24 hours for Rosalia, or 48 hours for Georgia2007/1. Cells were stained for flow cytometry analysis, and supernatant was collected for TNFa detection by ELISA (R&D system).

Time-lapse microscopy of viral infection kinetics in macrophage populations

The Georgia2007/1 and BA71 Δ CD2 fluorescent viruses used to analyze viral infection kinetics by time-lapse microscopy were obtained by CRISP/R technology by fusing the gene encoding for the mWasabi fluorescent protein (https://www.fpbase.org/protein/mwasabi/) to the C- terminus of the p54 ASFV protein, as

previously described (56). To evaluate the capacity of HI-Ro to induce long-term antiviral activity in the innate immune memory assay, at 6 days post-priming, cells were infected with the fluorescence ASFV strains at MOI 0.1 in 50 ul/well of RPMI supplemented with 1% L-glutamine. SYTOXTM Orange Nucleic Acid Stain (Invitrogen) at 50 nM final concentration was added as internal control to detect cell death events following previously published experimental procedures (57, 58). Viral infection kinetics were then quantified over 72 hours by time-lapse microscopy using an IncuCyte[®] SX5 (Sartorius BioAnalytical Instruments Inc, CA, USA). Specifically, plates were imaged every 2h at 20x (4 fields of view per well) using the device's "AI Scan" module in three channels (phase, GFP, Orange) using default acquisition parameters (GFP acquisition time 300 ms, Orange acquisition time 400 ms). Cell detection was performed using the "AI Cell Health" module (Segmentation Sensitivity = 0.7) without filtering for cell size. Classification of detected cells across the dimensions "uninfected/ infected" was finally performed using the "Cell-by-Cell Classification" module: infected cells were identified based on a Green Mean Intensity threshold of 1 GCU (set empirically to have ~99% of cells in uninfected controls shown as negative).

Phagocytosis assay using pHrodo Cell labeling kit

Glaesserella parasuis strain SW114 bacteria (59) were labeled with the pH sensitive dye pHrodoTM according to the manufacturer's instructions (4766; Sartorius). Briefly, cells were grown overnight on chocolate agar at 37°C with 5% CO2 reaching 108 colony-forming unit/ml. After washing, pHrodo was added at 1000 µg/ml and incubated 1h at 37°C, and cells were washed and resuspended in the complete RPMI used for PAMs culture. For the phagocytic assay, PAMs were cultured at 5×10^4 cells/well in a 96 well flat-bottom plate, and left overnight at 37°C. Cells were stimulated for 2 or 24 hours with HI-Ro at MOI 10 or 50, LPS at 10 or 0.1 µg/ml, or left nonstimulated as control. After stimulation, pHrodo-labelled bacteria were added to the plate at MOI 50. pHrodo positive cells were then quantified over 24 hours by time-lapse microscopy using an IncuCyte[®] SX5 (Sartorius BioAnalytical Instruments Inc, CA, USA). Specifically, plates were imaged every 30min at 20x (4 fields of view per well) using the device's "AI Scan" module in two channels (Phase and Orange) using default acquisition parameters. Cell detection was performed using the "AI Cell Health" module (Segmentation Sensitivity = 0.7) without filtering for cell size. Classification of detected cells across the dimensions "nonphagocytosis/phagocytosis" was finally performed using the "Cellby-Cell Classification" module: phagocytic cells were identified based on an Orange Mean Intensity threshold of 0.1.

Flow cytometry and sorting

Flow cytometry was performed as previously described (60). Briefly, PAMs and PBMCs were seeded at 6x10⁵ or 20x10⁶ cells/ well, respectively. Cells were stained for viability with LIVE/DEAD Fixable Violet Dead Cell Stain Kit, LIVE/DEAD Fixable Red Dead Cell Stain Kit, Fixable LIVE/DEAD Fixable Near-IR Stain Kit, or DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole), according to the manufacturer's instructions (ThermoFisher Scientific). Blockage of Fc receptors was performed with PBS containing 5% of porcine serum (Gibco) for 15 min on ice prior to antibody staining. For PAMs extracellular staining, cells were incubated with anti-SLA II DR (Bio-Rad Laboratories; MCA2314A647) and/or anti-CD80 (Invitrogen; 62-0801-82). For sorting of macrophages and CD21b + B cells, four lots of PBMCs were stained with anti-CD14 (Bio-Rad; MCA1218GA) and anti-CD21b (MA5-28322; Invitrogen). The purity of sorted cells was >95% for both populations. Purified monocytes and CD21b+ B cells were resuspended at 1x10⁵ or 3x10⁶ cells/ml respectively, and stimulated overnight with HI-Ro at MOI 50. Cells were then stained with anti-CD14, anti-CD21b, anti-SLA II DR and anti-CD80 extracellular antibodies. For intracellular staining of virus-infected PAMs, cells were fixed and permeabilized with the BD Cytofix/Cytoperm Kit (BD Biosciences) according to the manufacturer's protocol, and incubated during 30 min on ice in Perm/Wash buffer with anti-p72 antibody (Eurofins Ingenasa; M.11.PPA.I1BC11) for ASFV, or the anti-N protein antibody (clone 1CH5) (Ingenasa; M.11.PRS.I1CH5) for PRRSV. Then, cells were incubated with the secondary antibodies antimouse IgG1 (eBioscience; 25-4015-82) and anti-mouse IgG2b (Jackson ImmunoResearch; 115-165-207), respectively. In all cases, samples were acquired in a BD FACSAria IIu flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and data was analyzed using FlowJo v10.8.1 software (Tree Star Inc).

Statistical analyses

Graphs were created and analyzed using Prism version 8.0.2 software (GraphPad), R 4.3.3 and RStudio version 2022.07.2 software. Statistical tests used are indicated in the corresponding figure legends. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05 (ns p > 0.05; * $p \le 0.05$; ** $p \le 0.01$; *** $p \le 0.001$; **** $p \le 0.0001$).

Results

Rothia nasimurium stimulates cytokine production in various porcine immune cells

In a previous study we isolated a bacterium from warthog microbiota which was identified as *Rothia* spp. by 16S rRNA sequencing, and showed potential as immunostimulant by inducing IFN- γ secretion in GALT cell suspensions (44). Here we aimed to further characterize the immunostimulatory capability of this bacteria strain in porcine alveolar macrophages (PAMs). First, we performed full genome sequencing by Illumina MiSeq technology to further characterize the bacteria species. Based on the sequencing results, the bacterium was classified as *Rothia*

nasimurium, as shown in the corresponding phylogenetic tree (Figure 1A). Next, we validated the capability of this strain of R. nasimurium to induce cytokine production. The bacterium induced TNF α production (Figure 1B) without affecting cell viability (Supplementary Figure 1A). Moreover, heat inactivation of the bacterium did not affect either its stimulatory profile or viability, as demonstrated by the secretion of $TNF\alpha$ and the upregulation of CD80 at 24 hours post-stimulation, similar to LPS-stimulated cells (Figures 1C, D and Supplementary Figure 1B). To investigate if heat-inactivated R. nasimurium (HI-Ro) is also capable to activate other antigen presenting cells, we next stimulated purified blood monocytes and CD21b+ B cells (Figure 2A). HI-Ro stimulation resulted in an increase of the percentage of CD80+ monocytes and its mean fluorescent intensity (Figures 2B, C), as well as in TNFa production (Figure 2D). CD21b+ B cells also were activated upon stimulation as demonstrated by the increase of SLAII mean fluorescence intensity (Figure 2C). Importantly, the viability of both cell subsets was not affected by HI-Ro stimulation (Supplementary Figures 1C, D). Overall, these results demonstrate the capability of both live and HI-Ro to activate PAMs, and thus its potential as immunostimulant.

Heat-inactivated *Rothia nasimurium* fully activates the inflammasome

To further investigate the stimulatory capacity of HI-Ro, we next characterized the transcriptomic changes induced in treated PAMs. Cells obtained from four different animals were stimulated with HI-Ro for 6 or 24 hours, and their transcriptome profile obtained by RNA-seq was compared to PAM stimulated with the TLR4 ligand LPS or the TLR1/2 ligand Pam3CKS. Differentially expressed (DE) genes (adjusted p-values<0.05) were obtained taking non-stimulated samples as a reference, and filtered with an absolute fold change |FC|>1.5 for further analyses. HI-Ro and LPS treated cells showed a larger number of DE genes at both time points compared to Pam3CKS (Figures 3A, B and Supplementary Figure 2). Moreover, HI-Ro and LPS also showed a higher



FIGURE 1

Treatment of porcine alveolar macrophages with alive (Ro) or heat-inactivated *Rothia nasimurium* (HI-Ro) induces the production of the proinflammatory cytokine TNF α . (A) Phylogenetic tree of available full genomes of *Rothia* spp. The *Rothia* strain isolated from warthog microbiota is depicted as *Rothia* D24 32207.61. The scale bar corresponds to 0.1 change per nucleotide. (B–D) PAMs were stimulated for 24 hours with alive Ro (B) or HI-Ro (C, D) at the indicated MOIs. Levels of TNF α in cell supernatants (B, C) were measured by ELISA, and the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of CD80 in SLA-II+ macrophages was analyzed by flow cytometry (D). LPS-stimulated (10 µg/mI) or non-stimulated cells were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. Significant differences were determined using a one-way ANOVA with p-values of ** \leq 0.01 and * \leq 0.05.



Heat-inactivated *Rothia nasimurium* (HI-Ro) activates porcine monocytes and CD21b+ B cells. (A) Representative flow cytometry plots showing the purified monocyte and CD21b+ B cell populations. (B–D) Purified monocytes or CD21b+ B cells were stimulated for 18 hours with HI-Ro at MOI 50 Percentages of SLAII+CD80+, SLAII-CD80+ and SLAII+CD80+ monocytes (B), and the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of CD80 in monocytes or SLAII in CD21b+ B cells (C), were analyzed by flow cytometry. Levels of TNF α in supernatants from HI-Ro stimulated monocytes (D) were measured by ELISA. Significant differences were determined using a T-test with p-values of *** \leq 0.001 ** \leq 0.01 and * \leq 0.05.

percentage of shared genes (Figures 3C, D), thus indicating a similar induction of signaling pathways with both treatments. The stimulatory capacity of HI-Ro was validated by the robust upregulation of genes encoding cytokines, chemokines, activation markers, receptors and other genes related to inflammation (Figure 4). To further understand these transcriptomic changes,

we next performed a gene ontology enrichment analysis. Again, HI-Ro- and LPS-treated cells were enriched with genes belonging to similar biological processes and pathways at both time points. These included several terms related to innate immunity, such as NF-k β , MAPK, TLR and C-type lectin signaling pathways (Figure 5), thus validating the immunostimulatory properties of HI-Ro.



(10 µg/ml), or Pam3CKS (10 µg/ml). Non-stimulated cells were used as reference. (C, D) Venn diagrams showing the number and percentage of shared or unique DE genes (|FC| > 1.5) for each treatment at 6 (C) or 24 (D) hours.

Interestingly, among the different enriched terms, we also identified the inflammasome-associated NOD-like receptor signaling pathway, which has been related to the efficacy of aluminum adjuvants (61). Importantly, although the three tested treatments induced a significant upregulation of $IL1\beta$ expression (Figure 6A), cells stimulated with HI-Ro showed a higher expression of the NLRP3 and the NOD2 genes compared to LPS (Figures 6B, C). Since these two genes are involved in the activation of caspase-1 and the consequent production of IL-1 β and interleukin-18 (IL-1 β) (62-64), we next investigated the capability of HI-Ro to promote the production of pro- and mature IL-1ß by activating the inflammasome, as previously demonstrated by aluminum adjuvants (65). As previously reported (61), priming of PAMs with a low dose of LPS was not enough to induce production of mature IL-1 β in supernatants, and Alum-stimulated macrophages only secreted mature IL-1B when previously primed with LPS (Figure 6D). Importantly, LPS-primed cells produced even higher amounts of IL-1 β when stimulated with HI-Ro. Interestingly, macrophages stimulated with the bacteria alone produced higher amounts of both pro- and mature IL-1 β in contrast to Alum alone (Figures 6D, E), indicating that HI-Ro acts both as priming and activating signals. Altogether, these results demonstrate the capacity



of *R. nasimurium* to induce a robust innate immune response in porcine macrophages, including the activation of the inflammasome acting both as a priming and activation signal.

Antimicrobial properties of HI-Ro-treated macrophages

We next investigated if the activation of alveolar macrophages by HI-Ro-treatment prints them with an antimicrobial functional state. One of the main physiological functions of alveolar macrophages is the phagocytosis of inhaled particles and/or pathogens to maintain pulmonary homeostasis (66). Thus, we first analyzed the capability of HI-Ro-treated PAMs to phagocyte the bacteria Glaesserella parasuis, causing agent of Glässer disease in pigs. PAMs were treated with HI-Ro or LPS, and exposed to fluorescently-labelled G. parasuis at 2- or 24-hours posttreatment. The percentage of fluorescent macrophages, indicating active phagocytosis of the bacterium, was quantified in real time by Incucyte (Supplementary Figure 3). Importantly, while at initial time points there were not differences in the phagocytic capability of treated and untreated cells, at late time points HI-Ro treatment resulted in a significant increase in the percentage of phagocytic macrophages (Figure 7 and Supplementary Figure 3). Besides the enhanced phagocytic capability of HI-Ro-treated macrophages, the innate immune responses triggered by the bacterium in PAMs likely results in the acquisition of an antiviral state, thus increasing their resilience to infection. Indeed, RNAseq-derived data showed the upregulation of type I interferon (IFN-I) and interferon stimulated genes (ISG) in HI-Ro-treated macrophages (Figure 8), indicating an antiviral state. To further evaluate this issue, we next tested the capacity of HI-Ro to damper the replication capacity of two major porcine viral pathogens infecting macrophages: the porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) and the African swine fever virus (ASFV). PAMs were infected in vitro with the highly virulent virus strains PRRSV Rosalia (MOI 0.05), or ASFV Georgia2007/01 (MOI 0.1). At two hours post-infection, cells were treated with HI-Ro or left untreated, and infected cells were quantified by flow cytometry. Demonstrating the antiviral properties acquired by HI-Ro-treated macrophages, the percentage of PRRSV- or ASFV-infected cells were significantly lower in treated cells than untreated cells at 24 or 48 hours postinfection, respectively (Figures 9A, C). These differences were not linked to changes in the viability of treated and untreated infected cells (Supplementary Figure 4). Moreover, this reduction of virus infectivity was concomitant to a change of the immunological context of infected cell cultures. Indeed, the amount of $TNF\alpha$ in supernatants from infected cell cultures was significantly higher in HI-Ro-treated samples, indicating an improvement of the functional immune status of infected cells (Figures 9B, D).

We next evaluated whether HI-Ro treatment of macrophages induces long-lasting functional changes characteristic of innate immune memory, resulting in enhanced antiviral responses reducing their susceptibility to future infections. To address this issue, PAMs were treated with HI-Ro, and 6 days later were restimulated with LPS following the schedule illustrated in Figure 10A. As the induction of innate immune memory is achieved at low doses (67), we tested the effect of priming PAMs with three different low HI-Ro doses (MOI 1, 5 and 10). Cells stimulated with these HI-Ro doses induced a significant cytokine



macrophages. List of representative GO terms enriched in DE genes with an absolute fold change |FC| > 1.5 from PAM stimulated during 6 or 2hours with HI-Ro (MOI 50), LPS (10 µg/ml), or Pam3CKS (10 µg/ml). Non-stimulated cells were used as reference. The shape indicated the GO database (the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) or biological process (BP)). The dot size represents the number of DE genes associated with the GO term. The dot color indicates the negative log10 value of the false discovery rate (FDR).

production at 24 hours post-stimulation, returning to a resting state at 72 hours post-stimulation (Figure 10B). Importantly, cells primed with HI-Ro showed an innate memory phenotype, producing higher amounts of TNF α at 24 hours postrestimulation with LPS than untreated cells (Figure 10C). To evaluate if this higher responsiveness has consequences in terms of susceptibility to infection, we repeated the experiment priming with HI-Ro, and infecting treated cells with the highly lethal Georgia07 or the attenuated BA71 Δ CD2 ASFV strains (55) at day 6 post-priming. To assess real-time virus expansion kinetics, we obtained by CRISPR/Cas9 technology two recombinant viruses encoding for a fluorescent protein (mWasabi) fused to the ASFV p54 structural protein, and the number of infected cells was monitored every few hours using time-lapse microscopy (68). The expansion kinetics of the attenuated BA71 Δ CD2 ASFV strain was significantly reduced in cells previously primed with HI-Ro at MOIs 5 and 10, showing lower fractions of virus-infected cells over time (Figures 11A, B). To note, this effect was observed in all macrophage



Pam3CKS (10 µg/ml). Non-stimulated cells were used as negative control (C-). (**D**, **E**) Levels of mature IL-1 β in cell supernatants (**D**) and pro-IL-1 β in lysate cells (**E**) were quantified from PAMs primed with LPS (50 ng/ml) for 3h, and next stimulated with HI-Ro (MOI 50) or Alum (0.5 mg/ml) for 21 hours. (**D**) Mature IL-1 β levels in supernatants were measured by ELISA. All conditions were run in technical duplicates. Significant differences were determined using a one-way ANOVA. (**E**) AWestern blot assay was performed to quantify pro-IL-1 β and β -actin production from cell lysates. Representative significant differences are indicated with p-values of **** \leq 0.001, *** \leq 0.01 and * \leq 0.05.

lots at MOI 10, while a higher variability was observed at MOI 5 (Supplementary Figure 5). These differences were not observed in cells infected with the highly virulent Georgia07 ASFV strain (Figure 11A and Supplementary Figures 6, 7). However, a tendency to decrease the number of infected cells upon treatment with HI-Ro was observed at 38 hours post-infection. Importantly,

virus infection with both strains also resulted in an enhancement of TNF α production at 24- and 48-hours post-infection by HI-Roprimed macrophages (Figures 11C, D), demonstrating the capacity of infected cells to overcome the virus-induced innate immune suppression characteristic of ASFV. Overall, these results demonstrate the capacity of HI-Ro to induce long-lasting



functional modification to alveolar macrophages, reducing their susceptibility to ASFV infection while enhancing their antiviral responsiveness.

Discussion

The use of immunostimulants with the capacity to enhance animal resilience to diseases has become a promising approach to reduce the incidence of infections in livestock. However, the veterinary pharmaceutical industry demands cost-effective products with good safety profiles to reach the market. Here we have identified a novel inactivated bacteria strain that fulfils these criteria, and demonstrated its capability to modulate porcine innate immune cells in vitro, imprinting them with enhanced antimicrobial functions. The results obtained clearly demonstrate that the heat-inactivated Rothia nasimurium strain obtained from warthog fecal microbiota (44, 69) has potential as a vaccine adjuvant, and reduces macrophage susceptibility to at least two of the major current porcine viruses' threats. Moreover, we demonstrated in vitro the potential of heat-inactivated R. nasimurium to induce innate immune memory in porcine alveolar macrophages, a phenotype that might provide long-term heterologous protection against infections. While these in vitro

studies demonstrate the immunostimulatory capability of *R. nasimurium* on porcine immune cells, further *in vivo* studies will be required to evaluate its potential to enhance animal resilience to disease.

Our results are in line with previous studies showing the immunostimulatory capacity of the strain R. dentocariosa, which induces NF-kB activation and TNF α production by a human monocyte cell line (42). However, this is in contrast with another study demonstrating an anti-inflammatory activity of R. mucilaginosa in LPS-stimulated epithelial cells (43), as well as with recent results obtained with R. nasimurium isolated from domestic pig's nasal microbiota (41). These variable immunomodulatory properties indicate a context-dependent outcome upon Rothia cell stimulation. Indeed, here we show that neither R. nasimurium nor R. mucilaginosa have anti-inflammatory properties in LPS-stimulated porcine alveolar macrophages (Supplementary Figure 8), demonstrating differential bacteria-host interactions depending on the cells involved. This complexity in the outcome derived from a potential Rothia-based treatment is further increased when considering its safety profile. While most Rothia strains are part of the healthy microbiota, some strains can cause opportunistic infections (40). For instance, R. dentocariosa is frequently associated with dental caries and periodontal disease (70), and eventually it can also cause invasive disease (71, 72). To



avoid these eventual issues, our studies used a safe version of the bacteria based on heat-inactivation with higher probabilities to reach the market. The inactivation of the bacteria did not damper its immunostimulatory capabilities, indicating that the underlying molecular compounds are not affected in heat-killed *Rothia*. Interestingly, antigenic glycolipids have been isolated from different *Rothia* strains (73, 74). Thus, the thermoresistance of bacterial glycolipids (74), together with their significant stimulatory properties on macrophages (75, 76), rank them as the one of the bacterial components responsible for the immunostimulatory capacity of *Rothia*. However, further studies will be necessary to characterize the molecular components responsible of the broad activation of macrophages observed upon *Rothia* stimulation, probably involving the triggering of various PRRs.

Inflammasome activation has a critical role in innate immunity, initiating a broad inflammatory response through the release of the cytokines IL-1 β and IL-18, and the activation of apoptotic and pyroptotic cell death. These effects have been associated with the enhancement of vaccine responses mediated by Alum particulates adjuvants (61, 65). However, the relative contribution of this inflammasome activation in Alum-mediated induction of adaptive immune responses is controversial, depending on the vaccination schedules tested (77, 78). Nevertheless, these findings resulted in an increasing interest in the discovery of novel vaccine adjuvants based on inflammasome- and TLR targeting compounds (6, 79). Indeed, it has been shown that TLR-activation by monophosphoryl lipid A, a component of the AS04 adjuvant which also includes aluminum, is

critical to enhance the Th1 response, thus improving the adjuvant effect compared to aluminum alone (80). The broad innate immune signaling pathways triggered by heat-inactivated *R. nasimurium* position it as a good adjuvant candidate. Indeed, besides the TLR-mediated activation shown in the transcriptomic analysis, we demonstrate a superior capacity than Alum to induce both proand mature IL-1 β production through inflammasome activation. Further studies *in vivo* will be required to test this hypothesis with appropriate vaccine candidates, as well as extended *in vitro* analyses evaluating the stimulatory capability of *R. nasimurium* in dendritic cells, a critical innate immune cell subset for vaccine efficacy. Given the importance of adjuvant discovery to enhance animal and human vaccines, the identification of cost-effective and safe immunostimulatory compounds such as *R. nasimurium* is becoming a relevant research line in vaccinology (9, 73).

In addition to IFN-I response, inflammasome activation is also critical to eliminate pathogens during infections (81), playing a particular role in antiviral responses. Indeed, several viruses have developed immune scape strategies to evade IFN-I and inflammasome activation in their benefit (82, 83). Thus, the activation of these innate immune pathways might underline the antiviral activity shown by inactivated *R. nasimurium* in porcine alveolar macrophages infected with PRRSV and ASFV. Indeed, both viruses are sensitive to IFN-I treatment (84–86), trigger similar immune responses upon infection of macrophages (87), and have evolved strategies to evade innate immunity (88–92). Therefore, the activation of innate immunity in infected cells by immunostimulants might counteract these suppressive



determined using t-test (A, C) or one-way ANOVA (B, D) with p-values of *≤ 0.05.

mechanisms resulting in a diminished viral replication capacity. However, the reduced viral expansion could also be a consequence of a nonpermissive state of uninfected bystander alveolar macrophages, due to a direct *R. nasimurium*-stimulation or an indirect cytokine-mediated modulation of their phenotype. Indeed, both PRRSV and ASFV have a reduced replication capacity in proinflammatory M1 macrophages (93, 94), thus supporting this hypothesis. Further analyses are required to decipher the mechanisms underlying the antiviral properties shown by inactivated *R. nasimurium*, as well as its feasibility as an antiviral drug *in vivo*. The use of an antiviral compound to treat ASFV- infected pigs seems unlikely due to the highly lethal nature of the disease, which results in the slaughtering of animals in affected farms. This limitation is supported by the little literature studying the effect of anti-ASFV drugs *in vivo* (95, 96), although the appearance of low virulent ASFV strains in Asia is increasing. In the case of PRRSV, due to the important limitations of the current vaccines to control disease spreading, there is an increasing interest in the identification of effective anti-viral drugs, some of them targeting the activation of innate immunity (97–100). Such drugs might help in curtailing the transmission of PRRSV on affected farms, thus having an important impact on disease spreading.



Heat-inactivated Rothia nasimurium (HI-Ro) induces an enhanced long-term nonspecific response. (A) Schematic representation of the *in vitro* procedure performed. (B, C) Porcine alveolar macrophages were primed during 24 hours with HI-Ro at the indicated MOIs. Non-stimulated cells were used as negative control (C-). Levels of TNF α in cell supernatants measured by ELISA at 24 and 72 hours post-priming (B), and at 24 hours post-restimulation with LPS (10 ng/mL) (C). Significant differences were determined using one-way ANOVA (B) or t-test (C) with p-values of *< 0.05. Created with BioRender.com.

Therefore, inactivated *R. nasimurium* or similar compounds might represent a cost-effective approach to minimize the incidence or PRRSV infection in affected farms, reinforcing vaccination programs in the disease management plans. In addition, we have shown that *R. nasimurium* also enhances the phagocytic capability of alveolar macrophages, a critical function which helps in the maintenance of lung homeostasis and the clearance of pathogens (66). Importantly, our results demonstrate that treatment with *R.*



nasimurium results in a higher percentage of phagocytic macrophages against *G.parasuis*, an important pathogen for the swine industry (101). To note, the real time analysis performed using the Incucyte methodology allowed us to identify long-term effects on the cell phagocytic capability, as previously shown by

others (102), highlighting the importance to analyze the kinetics rather than single time points. However, other *G.parasuis* strains with high resistance to phagocytosis, or other pathogenic bacteria of interest must be tested to further evaluate the beneficial effect of *R. nasimurium* on the alveolar macrophages phagocytic capacity.

The modulation of innate immune responses through the induction of innate immune memory is an increasingly interesting approach to enhance livestock resilience to diseases (103, 104). The long-term and heterologous nature of this response, based on epigenetic modifications, makes it optimal to reduce infection incidences in a cost-effective manner (17). However, in contrast with research performed in humans and mouse models, very few studies have addressed this issue in animal health. Thus, it is important to identify biological compounds inducing innate immune memory in farm animals and investigate their potential contribution in controlling the incidence of diseases affecting livestock. Our results demonstrate that in vitro treatment of porcine alveolar macrophages with heat-inactivated R. nasimurium induces long-term functional modifications resulting in the enhancement of the innate immune responses against heterologous stimulus. The transcriptomic data suggests that this response is NOD2-dependent, as demonstrated with other models (105). Importantly, we show that treated cells are less susceptible to infection with an attenuated ASFV strain, reducing its replication capacity while enhancing the capacity of macrophages to produce inflammatory cytokines during infection. The in vitro system used to evaluate innate immune memory mimics the previously described using human and mouse cells, testing biological components which have also demonstrated its efficacy in vivo (106, 107). Similarly, trained immunity induced in vitro in bovine monocytes by the Bacillus Calmette-Guérin vaccine was validated in vivo in treated calves (108). Thus, although the results obtained here are limited to in vitro studies, they suggest the beneficial potential of treating pigs with R. nasimurium. However, the use of immunostimulants has to be carefully evaluated before its broad implementation in the field, evaluating potential negative effects that cannot be investigated in vitro assays which lack the complexity of real physiological conditions. Indeed, an enhanced innate immune response in treated animals can result in a detrimental persisting and excessive inflammation in particular contexts (109). Importantly, there are already examples of similar bacterial compounds enhancing resilience to disease in livestock animals through the induction of trained immunity. For instance, the administration of the commercial immunostimulant Amplimune (based on mycobacterial cell wall fractions) has a positive impact on health and production in cattle (31-35, 39), and heat-inactivated Mycobacterium bovis protects against Salmonellosis in pigs (30). Further research is necessary to investigate if R. nasimurium has similar properties than these approved immunostimulants in vivo. To note, the potential effect of these bacterial-based compounds in PRRS and ASF disease severities has not been evaluated yet. Thus, the in vivo validation of the antiviral properties obtained in vitro would represent a differential feature of Rothia compared with the existing alternatives. Finally, the animal genetic background also might distinctly affect the innate immune responses induced by Rothia stimulation (110, 111), and thus it will also need to be evaluated. Indeed, our in vitro assays have been done with porcine alveolar macrophages obtained from different pig lines. This is particularly important when analyzing the Rothia-induced anti-PRSSV effects, since it is well described that host genetics

significantly influence the susceptibility to PRRSV infection (112, 113).

The in vivo testing of R. nasimurium will need to address several important issues, such as the inoculation route and the analysis of central versus peripheral innate immune memory (114). Targeting of alveolar macrophages through intranasal inoculation might confer superior protection against relevant respiratory diseases, such as influenza and PRRSV infections. To note, the intranasal administration of vaccines in livestock has gained attention due to the efficiency inducing mucosal immunity (60, 108, 115, 116). Importantly, generation of innate immune memory upon intranasal vaccination with inactivated bacteria has been demonstrated (20, 21), and its induction in mouse alveolar macrophages have been proven both in vitro and in vivo (106, 117-120). Therefore, the intranasal treatment of pigs with heatinactivated R. nasimurium might be effective. Further in vivo studies will be required to evaluate cross-protective responses against relevant pathogens, as well as to analyze potential adverse effects (121). Finally, besides the long-term antiviral activity on treated cells, it will be also interesting to analyze this response results in an enhancement of vaccine efficacy in vivo. Indeed, it has been suggested that innate immune memory can improve vaccineinduced immune responses (122). Thus, in the case of the prototype vaccine BA71ACD2 tested here, the higher production of inflammatory cytokines, together with a reduction of the replication levels of the prototype vaccine BA71ACD2, might result in a positive balance increasing both vaccine efficacy and its safety.

In conclusion, this work characterizes the immunomodulatory capability of a novel bacteria-based immunostimulant with potential to be used as a vaccine adjuvant, as well as a therapeutic or preventive treatment against porcine diseases. Our results demonstrate that treatment with heat-inactivated R. nasimurium imprint in alveolar macrophages robust and prolonged modifications enhancing their innate immune responses to heterologous threats. The two viruses used here, PRRSV and ASFV, are two of the major viral infections affecting the porcine industry, causing enormous economic losses worldwide, and thus are significant viral models. Further studies will be required to evaluate the effectiveness of R. nasimurium treatment in vivo. The use of such immunostimulants in livestock represent a promising strategy to enhance animals' resilience to diseases, thus improving their sanitary status by reducing the incidence of zoonotic and nonzoonotic infections, which has significantly increased due to globalization and reduction of antibiotics usage.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/, GSE288520, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/, JBLKPV000000000.

Ethics statement

The animal study was approved by Ethics Committee on Animal Experimentation of the Generalitat de Catalunya (reference FUE-2024-03674720). The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

AT-M: Methodology, Visualization, Writing - original draft. UA: Methodology, Writing - review & editing. BM-M: Software, Visualization, Writing - review & editing. JM-B: Methodology, Writing - review & editing. YZ: Methodology, Writing - review & editing. DM-M: Methodology, Writing - review & editing. EE: Methodology, Writing - review & editing. SM: Methodology, Writing - review & editing. MN: Methodology, Writing - review & editing. MM: Methodology, Writing - review & editing. PM: Methodology, Writing - review & editing. EC: Methodology, Writing - review & editing. CV: Methodology, Writing - review & editing. MC: Project administration, Writing - review & editing. LC: Project administration, Writing - review & editing. KK: Software, Visualization, Writing - review & editing. FA: Project administration, Writing - review & editing. VA: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Writing - review & editing. FC-F: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Writing - review & editing. AE-C: Software, Visualization, Writing - review & editing. FR: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. JA: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing original draft, Writing - review & editing. JG-O: Methodology, Software, Writing - review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work is part of the projects PDC2021-120987-I00 (FR) and PID2022-136312OB-I00 (FR&JA), both funded by the MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033/ FEDER, UE. YZ is supported by a fellowship from the China Scholarship Council Visiting Scholar Program (202305960008). Institutional support to CNAG was provided by the Spanish

References

1. Wiethoelter AK, Beltrán-Alcrudo D, Kock R, Mor SM. Global trends in infectious diseases at the wildlife-livestock interface. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2015) 112:9662–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1422741112

2. VanderWaal K, Deen J. Global trends in infectious diseases of swine. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. (2018) 115:11495-500. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1806068115

3. Antimicrobial Resistance Collaborators. Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis. *Lancet*. (2022) 399:629–55. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02724-0

4. McEwen SA, Fedorka-Cray PJ. Antimicrobial use and resistance in animals. *Clin Infect Dis.* (2002) 34 Suppl 3:S93–S106. doi: 10.1086/cid.2002.34.issue-s3

Ministry of Science and Innovation through the Instituto de Salud Carlos III, and by the Generalitat de Catalunya through the Departament de Salut and the Departament de Recerca i Universitats. KK is supported by funding from the Spanish Ministry of Research and Innovation (RYC2021-033035-I/AEI/ 10.13039/501100011033).

Acknowledgments

We thank Animal Facility and Clinical and preclinical studies units from IRTA-CReSA for their technical support.

Conflict of interest

Some of the results showed in this manuscript are included in a patent application with number EP23383111.4.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2025.1584092/ full#supplementary-material

5. Aida V, Pliasas VC, Neasham PJ, North JF, McWhorter KL, Glover SR, et al. Novel vaccine technologies in veterinary medicine: A herald to human medicine vaccines. *Front Vet Sci.* (2021) 8:654289. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2021.654289

 Pulendran B, Arunachalam P S, O'Hagan DT. Emerging concepts in the science of vaccine adjuvants. Nat Rev Drug Discov. (2021) 20:454–75. doi: 10.1038/s41573-021-00163-y

^{7.} Ghosh C, Sarkar P, Issa R, Haldar J. Alternatives to conventional antibiotics in the era of antimicrobial resistance. *Trends Microbiol.* (2019) 27:323–38. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2018.12.010

^{8.} Vázquez R, García E, García P. Phage lysins for fighting bacterial respiratory infections: A new generation of antimicrobials. *Front Immunol.* (2018) 9:2252. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.02252

9. Wang G, Wang Y, Ma F. Exploiting bacterial-origin immunostimulants for improved vaccination and immunotherapy: current insights and future directions. *Cell Biosci.* (2024) 14:24. doi: 10.1186/s13578-024-01207-7

10. Ferrara F, Rial A, Suárez N, Chabalgoity JA. Polyvalent bacterial lysate protects against pneumonia independently of neutrophils, IL-17A or Caspase-1 activation. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:562244. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.562244

11. Sidoti Migliore G, Campana S, Barberi C, De Pasquale C, Pezzino G, Cavaliere R, et al. Mechanical bacterial lysate enhances antimicrobial barrier mechanisms in human airway epithelial cells. *J Leukoc Biol*. (2023) 113:535–40. doi: 10.1093/jleuko/qiad003

12. Khameneh HJ, Bolis M, Ventura PMO, Cassanmagnago GA, Fischer BA, Zenobi A, et al. The bacterial lysate OM-85 engages Toll-like receptors 2 and 4 triggering an immunomodulatory gene signature in human myeloid cells. *Mucosal Immunol.* (2024) 17:346–58. doi: 10.1016/j.mucimm.2024.02.010

13. Li D, Wu M. Pattern recognition receptors in health and diseases. Signal Transduct Target Ther. (2021) 6:291. doi: 10.1038/s41392-021-00687-0

14. Yang J, Yan H. Mucosal epithelial cells: the initial sentinels and responders controlling and regulating immune responses to viral infections. *Cell Mol Immunol.* (2021) 18:1628–30. doi: 10.1038/s41423-021-00650-7

15. Mair KH, Sedlak C, Käser T, Pasternak A, Levast B, Gerner W, et al. The porcine innate immune system: an update. *Dev Comp Immunol.* (2014) 45:321-43. doi: 10.1016/j.dci.2014.03.022

16. Mogensen TH. Pathogen recognition and inflammatory signaling in innate immune defenses. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* (2009) 22:240–73. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00046-08

17. Divangahi M, Aaby P, Khader SA, Barreiro LB, Bekkering S, Chavakis T, et al. Trained immunity, tolerance, priming and differentiation: distinct immunological processes. *Nat Immunol.* (2021) 22:2–6. doi: 10.1038/s41590-020-00845-6

18. Gulati A, Kaur D, Krishna Prasad GVR, Mukhopadhaya A. PRR function of innate immune receptors in recognition of bacteria or bacterial ligands. *Adv Exp Med Biol.* (2018) 1112:255–80. doi: 10.1007/978-981-13-3065-0_18

19. Burakova Y, Madera R, McVey S, Schlup JR, Shi J. Adjuvants for animal vaccines. Viral Immunol. (2018) 31:11-22. doi: 10.1089/vim.2017.0049

20. Kang J, Lee JJ, Cho JH, Choe J, Kyoung H, Kim SH, et al. Effects of dietary inactivated probiotics on growth performance and immune responses of weaned pigs. *J Anim Sci Technol.* (2021) 63:520–30. doi: 10.5187/jast.2021.e44

21. Liu C, Ma N, Feng Y, Zhou M, Li H, Zhang X, et al. From probiotics to postbiotics: Concepts and applications. *Anim Res One Health*. (2023) 1:92-114. doi: 10.1002/aro2.v1.1

22. Zhao X, Liu S, Li S, Jiang W, Wang J, Xiao J, et al. Unlocking the power of postbiotics: A revolutionary approach to nutrition for humans and animals. *Cell Metab.* (2024) 36:725–44. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2024.03.004

23. Lee GA, Chang Y-W, Lin W-L, Yang Y-CSH, Chen W-J, Huang F-H, et al. Modulatory effects of heat-inactivated *Streptococcus thermophilus* strain 7 on the inflammatory response: A study on an animal model with TLR3-induced intestinal injury. *Microorganisms*. (2023) 11:278. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms11020278

24. Minute L, Bergón-Gutiérrez M, Mata-Martínez P, Fernández-Pascual J, Terrón V, Bravo-Robles L, et al. Heat-killed *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* induces trained immunity *in vitro* and *in vivo* administered systemically or intranasally. *iScience*. (2024) 27:108869. doi: 10.1016/j.isci.2024.108869

25. Brandi P, Conejero L, Cueto FJ, Martínez-Cano S, Dunphy G, Gómez MJ, et al. Trained immunity induction by the inactivated mucosal vaccine MV130 protects against experimental viral respiratory infections. *Cell Rep.* (2022) 38:110184. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2021.110184

26. Dewsbury DMA, Renter DG, Bradford BJ, DeDonder KD, Mellencamp M, Cernicchiaro N. The application, value, and impact of outcomes research in animal health and veterinary medicine. *Front Vet Sci.* (2022) 9:972057. doi: 10.3389/ fvets.2022.972057

27. Gebauer J, Tesařík R, Králová N, Havlíčková H, Matiašovic J. Salmonella *Typhimurium*-based inactivated vaccine containing a wide spectrum of bacterial antigens which mimics protein expression changes during different stages of an infection process. *Vet Microbiol.* (2023) 282:109756. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2023.109756

28. Islam MS, Rahman MT. A comprehensive review on bacterial vaccines combating antimicrobial resistance in poultry. *Vaccines (Basel)*. (2023) 11:616. doi: 10.3390/vaccines11030616

29. MaChado VS, Bicalho ML de S, Meira Junior EB de S, Rossi R, Ribeiro BL, Lima S, et al. Subcutaneous immunization with inactivated bacterial components and purified protein of *Escherichia coli*, *Fusobacterium necrophorum* and *Trueperella pyogenes* prevents puerperal metritis in Holstein dairy cows. *PloS One.* (2014) 9: e91734. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0091734

30. Vaz-Rodrigues R, Ferreras-Colino E, Ugarte-Ruíz M, Pesciaroli M, Thomas J, García-Seco T, et al. Nonspecific protection of heat-inactivated *Mycobacterium bovis* against *Salmonella Choleraesuis* infection in pigs. *Vet Res.* (2022) 53:31. doi: 10.1186/ s13567-022-01047-8

31. Alexander AL, Doyle EK, Ingham AB, Colditz IG, Andronicos NM, Hine BC, et al. Does the immune stimulant Amplimune[®] modulate humoral and cytokine responses to commercial bovine respiratory disease vaccines in cattle? *Anim Prod Sci.* (2024) 64.

32. Nosky B, Biwer J, Alkemade S, Prunic B, Milovanovic A, et al. Effect of a non-specific immune stimulant (AmplimuneTM) on the health and production of light feedlot calves. *J Dairy Vet Anim Res.* (2017) 6:00179. doi: 10.15406/jdvar.2017.06.00179

33. Omontese BO, Caixeta LS, MaChado VS, Rendahl A, Celestino MLK, Menta PR, et al. Effects of the administration of a non-specific immune stimulant around transportation on health and performance of Jersey and Jersey-cross heifer calves during the rearing period: randomized clinical trial. *Front Vet Sci.* (2020) 7:550202. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2020.550202

34. Romanowski R, Culbert R, Alkemade S, Medellin-Peña MJ, Bugarski D, Milovanovic A, et al. *Mycobacterium* cell wall fraction immunostimulant (AMPLIMUNE[™]) efficacy in the reduction of the severity of ETEC induced diarrhea in neonatal calves. *Acta Veterinaria*. (2017) 67:222–37. doi: 10.1515/acve-2017-0019

35. Solano-Suárez G, Caixeta LS, Masic A, Manríquez D, Hatamoto-Zervoudakis L, Paudyal S, et al. Effect of peripartal administration of mycobacterium cell wall fraction on health and fertility of Holstein cows under organic-certified management. *J Anim Sci.* (2021) 99:skab191. doi: 10.1093/jas/skab191

36. Lo D-Y, Hung C-N, Lee W-C, Liao J-W, Blacklaws BA, Chen T-H, et al. Effect of immunostimulation by detoxified E. coli lipopolysaccharide combined with inactivated *Propionibacterium granulosum* cells on porcine immunity. *J Vet Med Sci.* (2009) 71:897–903. doi: 10.1292/jvms.71.897

37. Pappaterra Mendoza GJ, Mateu de Antonio E, Novell Badal ME, Martín Castillo M, Casal Fàbrega J, Marca Puig J. *In vitro* and *in vivo* effects of an immunomodulator composed of *Escherichia coli* lipopolysaccharide and *Propionibacterium granulosum*-inactivated cells in pigs. *J Vet Med B Infect Dis Vet Public Health*. (2000) 47:619–27. doi: 10.1046/j.1439-0450.2000.00395.x

38. Becker AM, Janik TA, Smith EK, Sousa CA, Peters BA. *Propionibacterium acnes* immunotherapy in chronic recurrent canine pyoderma. An adjunct to antibiotic therapy. *J Vet Intern Med.* (1989) 3:26–30. doi: 10.1111/j.1939-1676.1989.tb00325.x

39. Alexander AL, Doyle E, Ingham AB, Colditz I, McRae G, Alkemade S, et al. The innate immune stimulant Amplimune[®] is safe to administer to young feedlot cattle. *Aust Vet J.* (2022) 100:261-70. doi: 10.1111/avj.v100.6

40. West SR, Suddaby AB, Lewin GR, Ibberson CB. Rothia. Trends Microbiol. (2024) 32:720-1. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2024.03.009

41. Bonillo-Lopez L, Carmona-Vicente N, Tarrés-Freixas F, Kochanowski K, Martínez J, Perez M, et al. Porcine Nasal Organoids as a model to study the interactions between the swine nasal microbiota and the host. *bioRxiv*. (2024). doi: 10.1101/2024.08.13.606910

42. Kataoka H, Taniguchi M, Fukamachi H, Arimoto T, Morisaki H, Kuwata H. Rothia dentocariosa induces TNF-alpha production in a TLR2-dependent manner. Pathog Dis. (2014) 71:65–8. doi: 10.1111/fim.2014.71.issue-1

43. Rigauts C, Aizawa J, Taylor SL, Rogers GB, Govaerts M, Cos P, et al. *Rothia mucilaginosa* is an anti-inflammatory bacterium in the respiratory tract of patients with chronic lung disease. *Eur Respir J.* (2022) 59:2101293. doi: 10.1183/13993003.01293-2021

44. Zhang J. Unmasking the microbicidal and immunostimulatory properties of warthog fecal microbiota *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Autonomous University of Barcelona, Barcelona (2020).

45. Wick RR, Judd LM, Gorrie CL, Holt KE. Unicycler: Resolving bacterial genome assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. *PloS Comput Biol.* (2017) 13: e1005595. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005595

46. Walker BJ, Abeel T, Shea T, Priest M, Abouelliel A, Sakthikumar S, et al. Pilon: an integrated tool for comprehensive microbial variant detection and genome assembly improvement. *PloS One.* (2014) 9:e112963. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0112963

47. Stamatakis A. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and postanalysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics*. (2014) 30:1312-3. doi: 10.1093/ bioinformatics/btu033

48. Brettin T, Davis JJ, Disz T, Edwards RA, Gerdes S, Olsen GJ, et al. RASTtk: A modular and extensible implementation of the RAST algorithm for building custom annotation pipelines and annotating batches of genomes. *Sci Rep.* (2015) 5:8365. doi: 10.1038/srep08365

49. Florensa AF, Kaas RS, Clausen PTLC, Aytan-Aktug D, Aarestrup FM. ResFinder – an open online resource for identification of antimicrobial resistance genes in nextgeneration sequencing data and prediction of phenotypes from genotypes. *Microb Genomics.* (2022) 8:000748. doi: 10.1099/mgen.0.000748

50. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics*. (2013) 29:15–21. doi: 10.1093/ bioinformatics/bts635

51. Li B, Dewey CN. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or without a reference genome. *BMC Bioinf.* (2011) 12:323. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-12-323

52. Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, et al. Limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2015) 43:e47. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv007

53. Law CW, Chen Y, Shi W, Smyth GK. Voom: Precision weights unlock linear model analysis tools for RNA-seq read counts. *Genome Biol.* (2014) 15:R29. doi: 10.1186/gb-2014-15-2-r29

54. Huang DW, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nat Protoc.* (2009) 4:44–57. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2008.211

55. Monteagudo PL, Lacasta A, López E, Bosch L, Collado J, Pina-Pedrero S, et al. BA71ΔCD2: a new recombinant live attenuated african swine fever virus with cross-protective capabilities. *J Virol.* (2017) 91:e01058–17. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01058-17

56. Hernaez B, Escribano JM, Alonso C. Visualization of the African swine fever virus infection in living cells by incorporation into the virus particle of green fluorescent protein-p54 membrane protein chimera. *Virology.* (2006) 350:1–14. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2006.01.021

57. Forcina GC, Conlon M, Wells A, Cao JY, Dixon SJ. Systematic quantification of population cell death kinetics in mammalian cells. *Cell Syst juny*. (2017) 4:600–610.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.cels.2017.05.002

58. Kochanowski K, Sander T, Link H, Chang J, Altschuler SJ, Wu LF. Systematic alteration of *in vitro* metabolic environments reveals empirical growth relationships in cancer cell phenotypes. *Cell Rep.* (2021) 34:108647. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108647

59. Olvera A, Cerdà-Cuéllar M, Aragon V. Study of the population structure of *Haemophilus parasuis* by multilocus sequence typing. *Microbiology*. (2006) 152:3683–90. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.29254-0

60. Bosch-Camós L, Alonso U, Esteve-Codina A, Chang C-Y, Martín-Mur B, Accensi F, et al. Cross-protection against African swine fever virus upon intranasal vaccination is associated with an adaptive-innate immune crosstalk. *PloS Pathog.* (2022) 18:e1010931. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1010931

61. Eisenbarth SC, Colegio OR, O'Connor W, Sutterwala FS, Flavell RA. Crucial role for the Nalp3 inflammasome in the immunostimulatory properties of aluminium adjuvants. *Nature*. (2008) 453:1122–6. doi: 10.1038/nature06939

62. Pan Q, Mathison J, Fearns C, Kravchenko VV, Da Silva Correia J, Hoffman HM, et al. MDP-induced interleukin-1beta processing requires Nod2 and CIAS1/NALP3. *J Leukoc Biol.* (2007) 82:177–83. doi: 10.1189/jlb.1006627

63. Strober W, Watanabe T. NOD2, an intracellular innate immune sensor involved in host defense and Crohn's disease. *Mucosal Immunol.* (2011) 4:484–95. doi: 10.1038/mi.2011.29

64. Hsu L-C, Ali SR, McGillivray S, Tseng P-H, Mariathasan S, Humke EW, et al. A NOD2-NALP1 complex mediates caspase-1-dependent IL-1beta secretion in response to *Bacillus anthracis* infection and muramyl dipeptide. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2008) 105:7803–8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0802726105

65. Li H, Willingham SB, Ting JP-Y, Re F. Cutting edge: inflammasome activation by alum and alum's adjuvant effect are mediated by NLRP3. *J Immunol.* (2008) 181:17–21. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.181.1.17

66. Rubins JB. Alveolar macrophages: wielding the double-edged sword of inflammation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2003) 167:103-4. doi: 10.1164/rccm.2210007

67. Ifrim DC, Quintin J, Joosten LAB, Jacobs C, Jansen T, Jacobs L, et al. Trained immunity or tolerance: opposing functional programs induced in human monocytes after engagement of various pattern recognition receptors. *Clin Vaccine Immunol.* (2014) 21:534–45. doi: 10.1128/CVI.00688-13

68. Al-Zaher A, Domingo-Calap P, Sanjuán R. Experimental virus evolution in cancer cell monolayers, spheroids, and tissue explants. *Virus Evol.* (2021) 7:veab045. doi: 10.1093/ve/veab045

69. Zhang J, Rodríguez F, Navas MJ, Costa-Hurtado M, Almagro V, Bosch-Camós L, et al. Fecal microbiota transplantation from warthog to pig confirms the influence of the gut microbiota on African swine fever susceptibility. *Sci Rep.* (2020) 10:17605. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-74651-3

70. Tsuzukibashi O, Uchibori S, Kobayashi T, Umezawa K, Mashimo C, Nambu T, et al. Isolation and identification methods of *Rothia* species in oral cavities. *J Microbiol Methods*. (2017) 134:21–6. doi: 10.1016/j.mimet.2017.01.005

71. Schwob J-M, Porto V, Aebischer Perone S, Van Delden C, Eperon G, Calmy A. First reported case of *Rothia dentocariosa* spondylodiscitis in an immunocompetent patient. *IDCases.* (2020) 19:e00689. doi: 10.1016/j.idcr.2019.e00689

72. Yang C-Y, Hsueh P-R, Lu C-Y, Tsai H-Y, Lee P-I, Shao P-L, et al. *Rothia dentocariosa* bacteremia in children: Report of two cases and review of the literature. J Formos Med Assoc. (2007) 106:S33-8. doi: 10.1016/S0929-6646(09)60364-8

73. Paściak M, Ekiel I, Grzegorzewicz A, Mordarska H, Gamian A. Structure of the major glycolipid from. *Rothia dentocariosa. Biochim Biophys Acta.* (2002) 1594:199–205. doi: 10.1016/S0167-4838(01)00301-6

74. Paściak M, Holst O, Lindner B, Mierzchała M, Grzegorzewicz A, Mordarska H, et al. Structural and serological characterization of the major glycolipid from. *Rothia mucilaginosa*. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. (2004) 1675:54–61. doi: 10.1016/j.bbagen.2004.08.004

75. Geisel RE, Sakamoto K, Russell DG, Rhoades ER. *In vivo* activity of released cell wall lipids of *Mycobacterium bovis* Bacillus calmette-Guérin is due principally to trehalose mycolates. *J Immunol.* (2005) 174:5007–15. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.174.8.5007

76. Matsunaga I, Oka S, Inoue T, Yano I. Mycolyl glycolipids stimulate macrophages to release a chemotactic factor. *FEMS Microbiol Lett.* (1990) 55:49–53. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.1990.tb13834.x

77. Franchi L, Núñez G. The Nlrp3 inflammasome is critical for aluminium hydroxide-mediated IL-1beta secretion but dispensable for adjuvant activity. *Eur J Immunol.* (2008) 38:2085–9. doi: 10.1002/eji.200838549

78. Deets KA, Vance RE. Inflammasomes and adaptive immune responses. Nat Immunol. (2021) 22:412-22. doi: 10.1038/s41590-021-00869-6

79. Spreafico R, Ricciardi-Castagnoli P, Mortellaro A. The controversial relationship between NLRP3, alum, danger signals and the next-generation adjuvants. *Eur J Immunol.* (2010) 40:638–42. doi: 10.1002/eji.200940039

80. Zhao T, Cai Y, Jiang Y, He X, Wei Y, Yu Y, et al. Vaccine adjuvants: mechanisms and platforms. *Signal Transduct Target Ther.* (2023) 8:283. doi: 10.1038/s41392-023-01557-7

81. Zheng D, Liwinski T, Elinav E. Inflammasome activation and regulation: toward a better understanding of complex mechanisms. *Cell Discov.* (2020) 6:36. doi: 10.1038/s41421-020-0167-x

82. Zhao C, Zhao W. NLRP3 inflammasome-A key player in antiviral responses. Front Immunol. (2020) 11:211. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.00211

83. García-Sastre A. Ten strategies of interferon evasion by viruses. Cell Host Microbe. (2017) 22:176-84. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2017.07.012

84. Brockmeier SL, Loving CL, Eberle KC, Hau SJ, Buckley A, Van Geelen A, et al. Interferon alpha inhibits replication of a live-attenuated porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus vaccine preventing development of an adaptive immune response in swine. *Veterinary Microbiol.* (2017) 212:48–51. doi: 10.1016/ j.vetmic.2017.11.004

85. Shi X, Zhang X, Wang L, Li W, Jiang B, Deng R, et al. Recombinant beta interferon could clear the low-dose infected porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) in MARC-145 cells. Av. (2016) 60:290–7. doi: 10.4149/av_2016_03_290

86. Fan W, Jiao P, Zhang H, Chen T, Zhou X, Qi Y, et al. Inhibition of african swine fever virus replication by porcine type I and type II interferons. *Front Microbiol.* (2020) 11:1203. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.01203

87. .

88. Jiang B, Li L, Wu Y, Wang X, Gao N, Xu Z, et al. Unveiling shared immune responses in porcine alveolar macrophages during ASFV and PRRSV infection using single-cell RNA-seq. *Microorganisms*. (2024) 12:563. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms12030563

89. Ayanwale A, Trapp S, Guabiraba R, Caballero I, Roesch F. New insights in the interplay between African swine fever virus and innate immunity and its impact on viral pathogenicity. *Front Microbiol.* (2022) 13:958307. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.958307

90. Chen X-X, Qiao S, Li R, Wang J, Li X, Zhang G. Evasion strategies of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus. *Front Microbiol.* (2023) 14:1140449. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1140449

91. Li J, Song J, Kang L, Huang L, Zhou S, Hu L, et al. pMGF505-7R determines pathogenicity of African swine fever virus infection by inhibiting IL-1 β and type I IFN production. *PloS Pathog.* (2021) 17:e1009733. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1009733

92. Huang L, Liu H, Ye G, Liu X, Chen W, Wang Z, et al. Deletion of African swine fever virus (ASFV) H240R gene attenuates the virulence of ASFV by enhancing NLRP3-mediated inflammatory responses. *J Virol.* (2023) 97:e0122722. doi: 10.1128/ jvi.01227-22

93. Franzoni G, Razzuoli E, Dei Giudici S, Carta T, Galleri G, Zinellu S, et al. Comparison of macrophage responses to African swine fever viruses reveals that the NH/P68 strain is associated with enhanced sensitivity to type I IFN and cytokine responses from classically activated macrophages. *Pathogens*. (2020) 9:209. doi: 10.3390/pathogens9030209

94. Wang L, Hu S, Liu Q, Li Y, Xu L, Zhang Z, et al. Porcine alveolar macrophage polarization is involved in inhibition of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) replication. *J Vet Med Sci.* (2017) 79:1906–15. doi: 10.1292/jvms.17-0258

95. Qi C, Lee J, Zhang Y, Chen H, Lv J, Wang Z, et al. Identification of cepharanthine as an effective inhibitor of African swine fever virus replication. *Emerg Microbes Infect.* (2024) 13:2429624. doi: 10.1080/22221751.2024.2429624

96. Goulding LV, Kiss E, Goatley L, Vrancken R, Goris NEJ, Dixon L. *In vitro* and *in vivo* antiviral activity of nucleoside analogue cHPMPC against African swine fever virus replication. *Antiviral Res.* (2022) 208:105433. doi: 10.1016/j.antiviral.2022.105433

97. Du T, Nan Y, Xiao S, Zhao Q, Zhou E-M. Antiviral strategies against PRRSV infection. *Trends Microbiol.* (2017) 25:968–79. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2017.06.001

98. Cui Z, Liu J, Xie C, Wang T, Sun P, Wang J, et al. High-throughput screening unveils nitazoxanide as a potent PRRSV inhibitor by targeting NMRAL1. *Nat Commun.* (2024) 15:4813. doi: 10.1038/s41467-024-48807-y

99. Yang Y, Gao Y, Zhang L, Liu X, Sun Y, Bai J, et al. Vidofludimus inhibits porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus infection by targeting dihydroorotate dehydrogenase. *Vet Res.* (2023) 54:124. doi: 10.1186/s13567-023-01251-0

100. Hervet C, Bussy F, Le Goff C, Ménard D, Collén PN, Goff ML, et al. Marinesulfated polysaccharides extracts exhibit contrasted time-dependent immunomodulatory and antiviral properties on porcine monocytes and alveolar macrophages. *Animals.* (2022) 12:2576. doi: 10.3390/ani12192576 101. Costa-Hurtado M, Barba-Vidal E, Maldonado J, Aragon V. Update on Glässer's disease: How to control the disease under restrictive use of antimicrobials. *Veterinary Microbiol.* (2020) 242:108595. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2020.108595

102. Kapellos TS, Taylor L, Lee H, Cowley SA, James WS, Iqbal AJ, et al. A novel real time imaging platform to quantify macrophage phagocytosis. *Biochem Pharmacol.* (2016) 116:107–19. doi: 10.1016/j.bcp.2016.07.011

103. Byrne KA, Loving CL, McGill JL. Innate immunomodulation in food animals: Evidence for trained immunity? *Front Immunol.* (2020) 11:1099. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.01099

104. Byrne KA, Tuggle CK, Loving CL. Differential induction of innate memory in porcine monocytes by β -glucan or bacillus Calmette-Guerin. *Innate Immun.* (2021) 27:448–60. doi: 10.1177/1753425920951607

105. Kleinnijenhuis J, Quintin J, Preijers F, Joosten LAB, Ifrim DC, Saeed S, et al. Bacille Calmette-Guérin induces NOD2-dependent nonspecific protection from reinfection via epigenetic reprogramming of monocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2012) 109:17537–42. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1202870109

106. Yao Y, Jeyanathan M, Haddadi S, Barra NG, Vaseghi-Shanjani M, Damjanovic D, et al. Induction of autonomous memory alveolar macrophages requires T cell help and is critical to trained immunity. *Cell.* (2018) 175:1634–1650.e17. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.09.042

107. Bekkering S, Blok BA, Joosten LAB, Riksen NP, van Crevel R, Netea MG. *In vitro* experimental model of trained innate immunity in human primary monocytes. *Clin Vaccine Immunol.* (2016) 23:926–33. doi: 10.1128/CVI.00349-16

108. Guerra-Maupome M, Vang DX, McGill JL. Aerosol vaccination with Bacille Calmette-Guerin induces a trained innate immune phenotype in calves. *PloS One.* (2019) 14:e0212751. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0212751

109. Bhargavi G, Subbian S. The causes and consequences of trained immunity in myeloid cells. *Front Immunol.* (2024) 15:1365127. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1365127

110. Wells CA, Ravasi T, Faulkner GJ, Carninci P, Okazaki Y, Hayashizaki Y, et al. Genetic control of the innate immune response. *BMC Immunol.* (2003) 4:5. doi: 10.1186/1471-2172-4-5

111. Häder A, Schäuble S, Gehlen J, Thielemann N, Buerfent BC, Schüller V, et al. Pathogen-specific innate immune response patterns are distinctly affected by genetic diversity. *Nat Commun.* (2023) 14:3239. doi: 10.1038/s41467-023-38994-5

112. Pei Y, Lin C, Li H, Feng Z. Genetic background influences pig responses to porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus. *Front Vet Sci.* (2023) 10:1289570. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2023.1289570

113. Vincent AL, Thacker BJ, Halbur PG, Rothschild MF, Thacker EL. *In vitro* susceptibility of macrophages to porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus varies between genetically diverse lines of pigs. *Viral Immunol.* (2005) 18:506–12. doi: 10.1089/vim.2005.18.506

114. Netea MG, Joosten LAB. Trained immunity and local innate immune memory in the lung. *Cell.* (2018) 175:1463–5. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.11.007

115. Manickam C, Dwivedi V, Miller J, Papenfuss T, Renukaradhya GJ. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* whole cell lysate enhances proliferation of CD8 positive lymphocytes and nitric oxide secretion in the lungs of live porcine respiratory and reproductive syndrome virus vaccinated pigs. *Viral Immunol.* (2013) 26:102–8. doi: 10.1089/vim.2012.0065

116. Chaikhumwang P, Madapong A, Saeng-chuto K, Nilubol D, Tantituvanont A. Intranasal delivery of inactivated PRRSV loaded cationic nanoparticles coupled with enterotoxin subunit B induces PRRSV-specific immune responses in pigs. *Sci Rep.* (2022) 12:3725. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-07680-9

117. Wang T, Zhang J, Wang Y, Li Y, Wang L, Yu Y, et al. Influenza-trained mucosal-resident alveolar macrophages confer long-term antitumor immunity in the lungs. *Nat Immunol.* (2023) 24:423–38. doi: 10.1038/s41590-023-01428-x

118. Lercher A, Cheong J-G, Bale MJ, Jiang C, Hoffmann H-H, Ashbrook AW, et al. Antiviral innate immune memory in alveolar macrophages following SARS-CoV-2 infection ameliorates secondary influenza A virus disease. *Immunity*. (2024) 57:2530– 2546.e13. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2024.08.018

119. Jeyanathan M, Vaseghi-Shanjani M, Afkhami S, Grondin JA, Kang A, D'Agostino MR, et al. Parenteral BCG vaccine induces lung-resident memory macrophages and trained immunity via the gut-lung axis. *Nat Immunol.* (2022) 23:1687–702. doi: 10.1038/s41590-022-01354-4

120. Zahalka S, Starkl P, Watzenboeck ML, Farhat A, Radhouani M, Deckert F, et al. Trained immunity of alveolar macrophages requires metabolic rewiring and type 1 interferon signaling. *Mucosal Immunol.* (2022) 15:896–907. doi: 10.1038/s41385-022-00528-5

121. Ochando J, Mulder WJM, Madsen JC, Netea MG, Duivenvoorden R. Trained immunity - basic concepts and contributions to immunopathology. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* (2023) 19:23–37. doi: 10.1038/s41581-022-00633-5

122. Netea MG, Ziogas A, Benn CS, Giamarellos-Bourboulis EJ, Joosten LAB, Arditi M, et al. The role of trained immunity in COVID-19: Lessons for the next pandemic. *Cell Host Microbe*. (2023) 31:890–901. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2023.05.004