Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Justin Pollara, Duke University, United States

REVIEWED BY Matteo Augello, University of Milan, Italy Dieter Mielke, Duke University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Megan A. O'Connor Meganoc@uw.edu

RECEIVED 04 March 2025 ACCEPTED 15 April 2025 PUBLISHED 20 May 2025

CITATION

Fredericks MN, Kolodner Z, Waalkes A, Sawatzki K, Hao L, Long DR, Penewit K, Midkiff CC, McCormick CJ, Beraki S, Edlefsen PT, Barrow J, Greninger AL, Gale M, Blair RV, Salipante SJ, Fuller DH and O'Connor MA (2025) SIV/SARS-CoV-2 coinfection in rhesus macaques impacts viral shedding, host immunity, the microbiome, and viral evolution. *Front. Immunol.* 16:1587688. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2025.1587688

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Fredericks, Kolodner, Waalkes, Sawatzki, Hao, Long, Penewit, Midkiff, McCormick, Beraki, Edlefsen, Barrow, Greninger, Gale, Blair, Salipante, Fuller and O'Connor. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

SIV/SARS-CoV-2 coinfection in rhesus macaques impacts viral shedding, host immunity, the microbiome, and viral evolution

Megan N. Fredericks^{1,2}, Zohar Kolodner^{1,2}, Adam Waalkes³, Kaitlin Sawatzki⁴, Linhui Hao⁴, Dustin R. Long⁵, Kelsi Penewit³, Cecily C. Midkiff⁶, Carter J. McCormick³, Semira Beraki^{1,2}, Paul T. Edlefsen⁷, Jeana Barrow², Alexander L. Greninger⁴, Michael Gale^{2,4,8}, Robert V. Blair⁵, Stephen J. Salipante³, Deborah H. Fuller^{1,2} and Megan A. O'Connor^{1,2,3*}

¹Department of Microbiology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States, ²Washington National Primate Research Center, Seattle, WA, United States, ³Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States, ⁴Department of Immunology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States, ⁵Division of Critical Care Medicine, Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA, United States, ⁶Tulane National Primate Research Center, Covington, LA, United States, ⁷Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, United States, ⁸Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Minnesota Twin Cities, Minneapolis, MN, United States

People living with HIV (PLWH) have an increased risk of severe COVID-19, including prolonged viral shedding and emergence of mutations. To investigate the simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) macaque model for HIV/SARS-CoV-2 coinfection, seven SIV+ rhesus macaques were co-infected with SARS-CoV-2. COVID-19 in all macaques was mild. SARS-CoV-2 replication persisted in the upper, but not the lower respiratory tract for 14 days post-infection. Animals also displayed transient changes in microbial communities in the upper airway and gastrointestinal tract. Evidence of SARS-CoV-2 evolution was observed in the upper respiratory tract. This study demonstrates that SIV/SARS-CoV-2 coinfection in rhesus macaques recapitulates aspects of COVID-19 in PLWH. We show that SIV impairs anti-SARS-CoV-2 immunity, potentially leading to prolonged viral shedding, altered pathogenesis, and viral evolution. This highlights the importance of HIV status in COVID-19 and supports the use of this model for HIV/SARS-CoV-2 coinfection.

KEYWORDS

HIV, immunocompromised, COVID-19, nonhuman primate, AIDS, immunodeficiency

1 Introduction

HIV infection is a risk factor for complications of SARS-CoV-2 infection, including severe COVID-19, post-acute sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 infection (PASC), and increased mortality (1, 2). Additionally, immunosuppressed or untreated HIV infection is shown to contribute to SARS-CoV-2 viral persistence and intrahost evolution (3), which likely extends the viral transmission window and may serve as a potential source for the emergence of variants of concern (VOC). Furthermore, individuals with low CD4 counts or unsuppressed HIV have weaker immune responses to COVID-19 vaccination and to natural SARS-CoV-2 infection (4-10). As a result, nearly half of hospitalized breakthrough cases occur in immunocompromised individuals (11). The risk of COVID-19 related hospitalization and death is consistently higher in those with low CD4 counts and in those with unsuppressed HIV due to drug resistance, or in PLWH not taking antiretroviral therapy (ART). Furthermore, in resource-limited settings access to ART is often limited, with approximately 25% of PLWH not receiving ART. Many studies evaluating COVID-19 in PLWH exclude individuals who are immunosuppressed or not on ART, which limits the generalizability of these findings. Developing a model to understand SARS-CoV-2 pathogenesis during uncontrolled HIV infection is crucial to gain insight into host immune factors involved in SARS-CoV-2 viral pathogenesis during immunosuppression.

There are several challenges in studying SARS-CoV-2 pathogenesis and COVID-19 in humans that can be addressed using animal models. SARS-CoV-2 infection in humans causes a wide range of physiological outcomes and capturing early virological and immunological events is challenging especially in asymptomatic individuals, those with mild symptoms, or vulnerable individuals with limited access to medical care. Additionally, many clinical studies are restricted to measurements in the blood and upper respiratory tract (e.g., nasal swabs). Although these sites are useful for detecting viral replication, they limit our ability to fully understand persistence at primary (e.g., lung) and secondary (e.g., gut mucosa) tissue sites of pathogenesis, which may harbor distinct viral populations (12). Because the initial immune responses to COVID-19 primarily occur in tissue, the lack of paired tissue and blood sampling in humans limits our understanding of the complexities of SARS-CoV-2 infection, evolution, and the host immune response. Animal models overcome this limitation by allowing longitudinal sampling from multiple tissue sites throughout infection.

Here, we established a rhesus macaque model of SARS-CoV-2 co-infection during untreated simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV)-induced immunosuppression. Using this model, we provide evidence for persistent SARS-CoV-2 infection, impaired anti-viral immunity, alterations to the microbiome, and intrahost SARS-CoV-2 viral evolution in immunocompromised rhesus macaques.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Rhesus macaques and study design

Seven female rhesus macaques (aged 7–10 years, 5.9-9.9kg) were used. All animals were experimentally infected with SIVmac251 and subsequentially experimentally infected with SARS-CoV-2 (WA-1). Animals were housed at BIOQUAL, Inc. (Rockville, MD), an American Association for the Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care International (AAALAC) accredited facility. All animal procedures were approved by the BIOQUAL Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) (IACUC #22-037P). Blood, bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL), stool, and nasal, tracheal, and rectal swabs were collected prior to and every 3–4 days post-SARS-CoV-2 infection (DPI). Sample collection occurred under ketamine sedation. Physical exams were conducted at each sampling timepoint including body weight, body temperature, and clinical scoring (Supplementary Tables 1-3).

2.2 Sample collection and processing

Blood was collected by femoral venipuncture using a vacutainer 21g x 1" blood collection needle or Abbott Butterfly 23g x $\frac{3}{4}$ " tubing attached to a vacutainer evacuated blood collection tube holder and tube. The volume of blood withdrawn did not exceed guidelines with respect to the animal's body weight and physical condition. BAL was collected as previously described (13). 10mL of saline was flushed and retrieved through the tube. Swabs were collected using Copan flocked swabs, placed in PBS or viral transport medium (Lampire Biological Laboratories, Inc, Pipersville, PA), and stored at <-70°C.

Blood was collected in BD vacutainer EDTA tubes and centrifuged to isolate plasma. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were further isolated from remaining blood using Histopaque[®]-1077 (Millipore Sigma, Burlington, MA). After centrifugation, PBMC were carefully removed. Red blood cells, if visible, were removed using ACK lysing buffer. PBMC were counted using a Nexcelom cellometer (Nexcelom Bioscience, Lawrence, MA). Cells were resuspended in freezing media (FBS + 10% DMSO) and stored at -75 to -80°C for 12–24 hours before transfer to liquid nitrogen. Serum was isolated by centrifugation of blood collected into BD Vacutainer[®] SSTTM tubes.

At necropsy, lung tissue was collected from all 5 lobes and stored for histopathology or snap-frozen on dry ice for viral quantification.

2.3 SIV and SARS-CoV-2 viral infections

Rhesus macaques were challenged 1-5 times intravaginally with a low dose of SIVmac251 (1:25 dilution, 800 TCID50) to model natural SIV infection. Four to eight months post-SIV acquisition, animals were co-infected intranasally (0.5 mL/naris) and intratracheally (1.0 mL) with a total of 1.3 x 10⁶ TCID₅₀/mL SARS-CoV-2, isolate USA-WA1/2020 (NR-53872, BEI Resources, Manassas, VA). A full table of animal characteristics at the time of SARS-CoV-2 co-infection is given in Supplementary Table 2.

2.4 Clinical disease monitoring

Body weight, rectal temperature (Supplementary Figure 1), and awake and sedated clinical scoring was recorded in Supplementary Tables 1, 3. Complete blood counts and serum chemistries were quantified via BD TruCount at -7, 3, 7, and 14 DPI (Supplementary Tables 4, 5). SIV viral RNA was isolated using the Qiagen QIAsymphony DSP Virus/Pathogen Midi Kit (96)/QIAgility (QIAGEN, Cat #937055), and levels were evaluated using the Applied Biosystems StepOne Plus Quantitative Real-Time PCR (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA). The limit of quantification (LOQ) for this assay is 62 RNA copies/mL (1.7log₁₀) in 0.5mL of plasma.

2.5 Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 viral and subgenomic RNA

Viral RNA was isolated using the Qiagen MinElute Virus Kit (QIAGEN Cat #57704, Germantown, MD) per the manufacturer's instruction and quantified by optical density at 260nm. SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA was assessed in the BAL, nasal swabs, tracheal swabs, and rectal swabs collected at 3, 5, 7, 10 and 14 DPI. cDNA synthesis was performed using the SensiFAST Probe Lo-ROX One-Step Kit (Meridian Life Science, Cat #78005, Memphis, TN) and the following primer/probe sequences: 2019-nCoV_N1-F:5'-GAC CCC AAA ATC AGC GAA AT-3', 2019-nCoV N1-R: 5'-TCT GGT TAC TGC CAG TTG AAT CTG-3', 2019-nCoV_N1-P: 5'-FAM-ACC CCG CAT TAC GTT TGG TGG ACC-BHQ1-3'. All samples were tested in triplicate, and all standard curves were tested in duplicate. cDNA was then amplified via qPCR using the Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Cat # 4351104, Foster City, CA) and was cycled at 48°C for 30 minutes then 95°C for 10 minutes, followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 seconds and 55°C for one minute. RNA copies/mL were calculated by extrapolating the standard curve and multiplying by the reciprocal of 0.05mL extraction volume, giving a detection range of 50 - 5x10⁸ copies/ mL. Subgenomic-N (Sg-N) and Subgenomic-E (Sg-E) RNA was quantified using previously described methods (14).

2.6 Infectious viral load assay (TCID₅₀)

Vero TMPRSS2 cells (NIAID Vaccine Research Center, Bethesda, MD) were plated at 25,000 cells/well in DMEM + 10% FBS + gentamicin and incubated at 37°C with 5.0% CO₂. Once 80-100% confluency was reached, medium was replaced with DMEM + 2% FBS + gentamicin. Cells were plated at 10-fold dilutions, along with virus of known titer and medium-only wells. The plates were incubated at 37°C with 5.0% CO₂ for 4 days. Cell monolayers were visually inspected for cytopathic effect (CPE). TCID₅₀ values were calculated using the Read-Muench method with a limit of detection of 2.7 log₁₀ TCID50/mL.

2.7 Multiplex immunoassay

Cytokine and chemokine levels in plasma and BAL were analyzed using the Cytokine & Chemokine 30-Plex NHP ProcartaPlexTM Panel (ThermoFisher Scientific), per the manufacturer's instruction. The quantification of analytes was assessed on a Bio-Plex 200 system (BioRad), and plasma concentrations were determined from a standard curve using 5-PL logistic regression. Heatmap visualizations were created using the pheatmap method from the ComplexHeatMap (v.3.2) package. Each day is independently clustered using the default pheatmap settings with the clustering distance method set to Euclidean and the clustering method set to complete.

2.8 H&E lung pathology and scoring

Histopathology was performed on H&E-stained lung sections from seven nonhuman primates. Five lung sections (right upper, middle, lower and left upper and lower) from each animal were evaluated and scored for histopathologic lesions on a scale from absent (0) to severe (4) for five histopathologic lesions. In addition to semiquantitative scores, quantitative measurement was performed using a deep learning algorithm trained to quantify all types of inflammation (HALO AI, Indica Labs) and reported as percentage of the lung section with inflammation. The results of the algorithm were correlated with the semiquantitative scores of the pathologist. Total inflammation for each animal was determined by summating the percentage of inflamed lung across all five sections available for all animals (minimal <5%, and mild inflammation 5-10%). A summation of the gross pathology and histological findings can be found in Supplementary Tables 6, 7.

2.9 MPO lung histology

4μm tissues sections of lung were mounted on Superfrost Plus microscope slides, baked for 3 hours at 60°C and passed through xylene, graded ethanol, and double distilled water to remove paraffin and rehydrate tissue sections. A microwave was used for heat induced epitope retrieval (HIER). Slides were boiled for 16 minutes in a Tris-based solution, pH 9 (Vector Labs H-3301), containing 0.1% Tween20. Slides were briefly rinsed in hot, deionized water and transferred to a hot citrate-based solution, pH 6.0 (Vector Labs H-3300) where they were allowed to cool to room temperature. Slides were removed from the antigen retrieval solution, washed in phosphate-buffered saline, deionized water, and Roche reaction buffer before being loaded on the Ventana Discovery Ultra Autostainer where they would undergo blocking, primary antibody (rabbit anti-MPO, Dako A0398, 1:1000 dilution) incubation, washing, secondary antibody incubation, washing, DAB color development, and counterstaining with hematoxylin II. Upon removal, slides were put through alternating manual washes of deionized water containing 0.1% Dawn dish soap and plain deionized water for a total of 5 cycles. Slides were then cleared in ethanol (80%, 95%, 100%, 100%) and three xylene changes before being permanently mounted with StatLab Acrymount Mounting Media. After drying overnight, slides were digitally imaged at 40X with a Hamamatsu NanoZoomerS360. Information regarding control samples can be found in Supplementary Table 8.

2.10 IL-4/IFN-γ enzyme-linked immunospot assay

Antigen-specific PBMC secreting IFN- γ or IL-4 were measured using the Human IFN- γ /IL-4 Double-Color ELISPOT Kit (ImmunoSpot, Shaker Heights, Cleveland, OH), per the manufacturer's protocol. PBMC were stimulated for 48 hours with SARS-CoV-2 peptide pools (17-, 13-, or 12-mers, with 10 amino acid overlaps) (BEI Resources, Cat # NR-52402, NR-52403, NR-52404, NR-52405, Manassas, VA) at a concentration of 1µg/mL per peptide. DMSO, and PMA and ionomycin (ThermoFisher) were used as negative and positive controls, respectively. Spots were counted on an Immunospot Analyzer with CTL Immunospot Professional Software (Cellular Technology Ltd. Sharker Heights, Cleveland, OH). Spot forming cells (SFCs) in peptide stimulated wells were computed following subtraction of SFCs detected in DMSO stimulated controls wells and were considered positive if the number of SFC was > 4 spots per 1x10⁵ plated cells.

2.11 SARS-CoV-2 binding antibody ELISA

Antigen-specific IgG and IgM responses were detected in sera by ELISA using recombinant SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein (Sino Biologicals, Cat #40589-V08B1, #40589-V08H28, #40589-V08H33) as the capture antigen. Information regarding control sera can be found in Supplementary Table 9. ELISA plates (Corning Inc, Corning, NY) were coated with antigen (1µg/mL) or with serial dilution of purified NHP IgG (NHPRR, Boston, MA) in 0.1M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Consecutively, serially diluted sera, goat anti-monkey IgG-HRP (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA), SureBlue TMB (SeraCare, Milford, MA), and HCl were added. Plates were washed with 0.05% PBS/Tween20 in between the addition of sera, goat anti-monkey IgG-HRP, and SureBlue TMB. Absorbance values were read at 450 nm (ELx808, BioTek Instruments Inc., Santa Clara, CA). Concentrations were analyzed using a five-parameter (5-PL) standard curve interpolation on Prism 8.3.0 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA).

2.12 D614G SARS-CoV-2 Spike pseudovirus neutralization

Lentivirus-based pseudoneutralization assays based on the B.1 D614G strain were performed on specimens at the University of Washington Virology Lab as previously described (15). 96-well plates were seeded with 17,500 293T cells constitutively expressing ACE2 (293T-ACE2), in a final volume of 50µL D10 media (DMEM, 10% FBS, 1% Pen/Strep), and allowed to adhere overnight. Serum was diluted 10-fold in D10 media and then serially diluted 3-fold over six additional dilutions in 96-well U-bottom plate. The viral stock was diluted in D10 media to ~8 x 10⁶ RLU/mL and 60µL of diluted viral stock was added to 60µL of each serum dilution. The virus and serum were incubated for one hour at 37°C, and then 100µL of the mix was added directly to the pre-seeded 293T-ACE2 cells. Approximately 50-55 hours post-infection, 100µL of media was removed from the cells, 30µL of Bright-Glo (Promega) was added, plates were incubated for 2 minutes and RLU of each well was measured using a VICTOR Nivo Plate Reader (Revvity) with an integration time of one second. Each plate included wells infected with non-enveloped pseudovirus (NoVEP) to determine the background RLU and wells infected with virus without serum (no-serum) to determine the expected RLU without inhibition. RLU values in test wells are normalized to percent inhibition using the NoVEP and no-serum well averages. Pseudovirus neutralization assay results are reported as the 80% neutralizing dilution titers (ND80), based on four-parameter logistic regression analysis.

2.13 SARS-CoV-2 culture assay

Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid and nasal, throat, and rectal swab samples collected 7 days pre-infection, and on 3 and 14 DPI were cultured to recover live SARS-CoV-2 virus. BAL and swab samples were placed in Teknova Viral Transport Medium (VTM), filter sterilized and inoculated onto Vero E6 cells expressing human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 and transmembrane Serine Protease 2 (VeroE56AT cells), as previously described (16). Viruspositive cultures were collected (Supplementary Table 10) for whole genome viral sequencing.

2.14 SARS-CoV-2 viral sequencing and analysis

Viral RNA was extracted using Quick-RNA Viral Kit (Zymo Research) and cDNA synthesized with SuperScript IV (Invitrogen). PCR tiling was performed with the xGen SARS-CoV-2 Amplicon Panels. Libraries were prepped with TruSeq Stranded Total RNA kit and barcoded using the Nextera XT Index kit (Illumina). Pooled samples were purified with AMPure XP beads and sequenced on the Illumina NextSeq platform. FASTQ files were demultiplexed, trimmed with TrimGalore (v0.6.10), and mapped to SARS-CoV-2 reference genome SARS-CoV-2/human/USA/USA-WA-CDC-02982586-001/2020 (accession: MN985325.1) using bwa (v07.17). Primers were clipped with iVar (v1.4.2). Variants were called with samtools (v1.13) and filtered by minimum quality score of 20, and a minimum allele frequency of 0.03 with at least 20x depth using iVar. SNPs found in only one sample or those present in the inoculating virus and indels were removed.

2.15 Microbiome data and analysis

DNA was extracted from stool and rectal, nasal and tracheal swabs using QIAamp PowerFecal Pro DNA Kit (Qiagen). DNA elution volumes are as follows: stool in 50µL, rectal and nasal swabs in 30µL, and tracheal swabs in 25µL. Sterile Water was used as a negative control for stool extractions and a sterile cotton swab soaked in sterile water was used as a negative control for swab extractions. Amplicon libraries of the V3-V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene were prepared in accordance with Illumina's recommendations for 16S Metagenomic Sequencing Library Preparation (Part# 15044223 Rev. B) using primers 347F and 803R (17). Libraries were sequenced on the Illumina NextSeq2000[®] using the NextSeq 1000/2000 P1 Reagents with 600 cycle chemistries (Illumina). After demultiplexing, paired end sequences were imported into QIIME2 v. 2023.9.1 (18). Primers were trimmed using the cutadapt plugin (19). Denoising, quality filtering, and enumeration of amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) were performed using DADA2 (Supplementary Table 11) (20). Taxonomic assignments were established using scikit-learn naïve Bayes classifier trained on the SILVA SSU Ref NR99 138.1 dataset (Supplementary Table 12) (21). A phylogenetic tree for downstream analysis was constructed using SATé-Enabled Phylogenetic Placement (SEPP) with the SILVA 128 release reference tree (22). To control for any potential extraction contaminates or sequencing artifacts, the negative controls mentioned above were imported into QIIME2 and analyzed in conjunction with samples. The total number of ASVs found in negative controls was significantly lower than any sampling location and the identity of features found in the controls were noted (Supplementary Figure 2). Alpha and beta diversity was calculated in QIIME2 using the core phylogenetics metrics plugin with a rarefaction depth of 20,600 to retain all samples and exclude negative controls. The ASVs, taxonomy, and diversity metrics were imported into R (v. 4.4.1) using qiime2R (v. 0.99.6) for further analysis and visualization. Relative abundance percentages were calculated as the median relative abundance for samples with those taxa. Differential abundance testing was performed on unrarefied counts from imported feature tables using ANCOMBC2 (23) to identify genus level features changing over time. Genera with a prevalence <10% were excluded from analysis. Timepoints were treated as a categorical variable to allow for multigroup analysis. Animal ID was considered as a random intercept, with sensitivity and structural zero analysis set as true. The p-adjustment method was set to the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure to control the false discovery rate (Supplementary Table 13). All microbiome related plots were made with ggplot2 (v. 3.5.1).

2.16 ELISAs for inflammation and gut integrity

Concentrations of myeloperoxidase (MPO) were detected in undiluted plasma by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using the Human Myeloperoxidase DuoSet ELISA (R&D Systems, Inc, Minneapolis, MN), per manufacturer's protocol. Diluted plasma was used to determine the concentrations of soluble CD14 (sCD14) (1:200) using the CD14 Human ELISA Kit (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA), C-reactive protein (CRP) (1:1000) using the Monkey CRP ELISA, CRP-3 (Life Diagnostics, West Chester, PA), and intestinal fatty acid binding protein (IFABP) (1:2) using the Monkey IFABP/FABP2 ELISA Kit (MyBiosource, San Diego, CA).

2.17 Statistical analysis

Non-parametric statistical methods were used for all comparisons, unless otherwise noted. Specifically, the Friedman test was used for complete data or the Kruskal-Wallis test was used for incomplete data, with Dunn's multiple comparison tests used for comparisons across timepoints and Kruskal-Wallis tests used to compare values across groups. All analyses were conducted using two-sided tests with an alpha value of 0.05. Analyses were conducted in Prism version 10.3.1 (GraphPad). Statistical analysis for microbiome related figures was performed in R (v. 4.4.1) using the rstatix (v. 0.7.2) package for the Friedman test and Dunn's multiple comparisons.

3 Results

3.1 SARS-CoV-2 viral replication persists in the upper respiratory tract, but not the lower respiratory tract of SIV+/SARS-CoV-2+ co-infected rhesus macaques

Seven female rhesus macaques, aged 7–10 years, were previously infected with SIVmac251 by repeat low dose intravaginal challenge (Supplementary Table 2). All animals were co-infected 17–32 weeks (4–8 months) post-initial SIV infection with 1.3 x 10^6 TCID₅₀/mL SARS-CoV-2 (USA-WA1/2020) and monitored for 14 days post infection (DPI) (Figure 1A; Supplementary Table 2). At the time of co-infection, the median SIV viremia was 5.00 (4.08-6.03) log₁₀ copies/mL of plasma (Supplementary Figure 3). All animals had signs of immunosuppression including depleted peripheral CD4 counts (<500 cells/µL of blood) in 4/7 (57%) of animals (median 494 (274-1090) cells/µL of blood) and a blood CD4/CD8 ratio of <1, a biomarker of HIV/AIDS disease progression, in 7/7 (100%) of animals (Supplementary Figure 3, Supplementary Table 2) (24). Mild anemia, hyperglycemia, thrombocytopenia, and lymphopenia were also noted in a few of the animals (Supplementary Table 5).

Longitudinal SARS-CoV-2 viral burden was evaluated in bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL), respiratory mucosal secretions



SARS-CoV-2 viral replication persists in the upper, but not the lower respiratory tract in SIV-infected macaques 14 days after infection. (A) Seven female rhesus macaques were experimentally challenged with SIVmac251 and then co-infected with SARS-CoV-2 (WA1/2020) and followed for 14 days. Tissue sampling and clinical exams occurred prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection and on days 3^- , 5^- , 7^- , 10^- , and 14^- days post SARS-CoV-2 infection (DPI). Created in https://BioRender.com. Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 (B) viral RNA or (C) subgenomic-N viral RNA as determined by qRT-PCR. The dotted line indicates the lower limit of detection of the assay (50 copies/mL). (B, C) Friedman test with Dunn's *post hoc* test versus 3 DPI, * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01.

(nasal and tracheal swabs), and rectal swabs by qRT-PCR evaluation of genomic viral and subgenomic (Sg) RNA (N and/or E) and infectious virus was detected by TCID50 assay (Figures 1B, C; Supplementary Figures 4, 5). At 3 DPI, robust viral replication was detected in all animals in the upper (nasal and tracheal swabs) and lower respiratory tract (BAL), with lower and variable viral levels detected in rectal swabs (Figures 1B, C; Supplementary Figure 4). Infectious virus was detected in 6/7 (86%) animals at 3 DPI in the BAL and nasal swabs, in 1/7 (14%) animals in the tracheal swab and in none of the rectal swabs (Supplementary Figure 5). Genomic RNA in rectal swabs was detected in 5/7 (72%) animals at 3 DPI, but only in 3/7 (43%) by 14 DPI (Figure 1B). Interestingly Sg-N RNA was not initially detected in rectal swabs in any of the animals for the first 10 days of the infection but was detected in 2/7 (29%) of animals at 14 DPI (Figures 1B, C). Genomic and subgenomic levels of RNA (Sg-N and Sg-E) and infectious virus significantly decreased in the BAL 10–14 DPI, with the detection of viral RNA in 3/7 (43%) and Sg-N RNA in 1/7 (14%) of animals by 14 DPI and is evidence for viral clearance in the lung (Figures 1B, C; Supplementary Figure 4). In contrast, only the levels of Sg-N RNA significantly decreased in nasal swabs at 14 DPI (Figure 1C), but the persistence of genomic (7/7, 100%) and Sg-E viral (3/7, 43%) RNA was evident at 14 DPI (Figure 1B; Supplementary Figure 4). These results were further confirmed by the detection of infectious virus in the nasal swabs in 3/7 (43%) animals at 14 DPI but in none of the BAL specimens (Supplementary Figure 5).

Virus persistence was also evident in the tracheal swabs; genomic RNA was detected in 5/7 (71%) and Subgenomic-N RNA in 2/7 (29%) animals at 14 DPI (Figures 1B, C). Collectively, these data suggest that SARS-CoV-2 may persist longer in the upper respiratory tract of SIV+ animals. To investigate this hypothesis, we next compared the levels of SARS-CoV-2 RNA at 7-10 DPI from our study to data sets from two published studies of naïve rhesus macaques of similar age who received a comparable WA-1 SARS-CoV-2 infection, but were necropsied earlier at 10 DPI (25, 26). The levels of genomic RNA in the nasal swabs, but not the BAL, tracheal or rectal swabs, were significantly higher at 7 and 10 DPI in our SIV +/SARS-CoV-2+ co-infected animals in comparison to SIV- animals infected with SARS-CoV-2 (Supplementary Figure 6) (26). Similarly, we compared the levels of subgenomic-E RNA in the BAL and nasal swabs collected in our study with results from those from Chandrashekar et al. (25), and found that the levels of Sg-E were significantly higher in the nasal swabs, but not the BAL, of SIV+ versus naïve animals at 10 DPI (Supplementary Figure 6). Collectively, these data provide evidence that SIV infection may contribute to persistence or delayed clearance of SARS-CoV-2 virus in the upper, but not the lower, respiratory tract.

3.2 Mild COVID-19 disease progression during SIV+/SARS-CoV-2+ co-infection

We next evaluated whether SIV-induced immunosuppression had an impact on SARS-CoV-2 disease pathogenesis. SARS-CoV-2 clinical disease was generally mild in all animals as measured by transient increases in body temperature, and blinded clinical scoring throughout infection, however significant declines in body weight were detected at 7 and 14 DPI (Supplementary Figure 1, Supplementary Table 1, 3). Elevated serum levels of alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and decreased serum levels of hemoglobin were also noted 1–2 weeks after infection (Supplementary Figure 1, Supplementary Table 5). Levels of SIV plasma viremia were unchanged by SARS-CoV-2 infection and there were transient dips in the levels of peripheral CD8 cells at 3 DPI that returned to pre-SARS-CoV-2 levels by 7 DPI (Supplementary Figure 3), indicating SARS-CoV-2 infection did not further promote peripheral SIV disease progression.

At necropsy, lung inflammation and pathology were evaluated (Figure 2A; Supplementary Table 7). All animals exhibited minimal to mild pulmonary inflammation. Two animals, T985 and T986, exhibited minimal to mild pulmonary inflammation, and the remaining five animals exhibited minimal pulmonary inflammation with modest differences between them. Type II pneumocyte hyperplasia, a common finding in SARS-CoV-2 infection, was closely associated with inflammation, indicating that SARS-CoV-2 infection was likely the driver of pulmonary inflammation observed in these animals. Type II pneumocyte hyperplasia was observed less frequently than pulmonary inflammation which may indicate resolving disease in these animals. Two animals had thrombotic lesions (T981 & T982): T982 had multiple pulmonary infarcts (Figures 2B, C), whereas T981 had an intravascular thrombus but no infarction. Thrombocytopenia was evident in both animals prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection (Supplementary Table 5), indicating the SIV infection is likely the primary driver of thrombotic disease, however SARS-CoV-2 has been shown to result in thrombotic disease in both humans and NHP and



FIGURE 2

Mild pulmonary inflammation and pulmonary infarction in SIV+/SARS-CoV-2+ rhesus macaques. (A) Histopathology of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Animal T985, right middle lung. Left Panel: The pulmonary interstitium is multifocally infiltrated by low to moderate numbers of mixed inflammatory cells (arrows). Right Panel: The inflammatory infiltrate is composed predominately of histiocytes with fewer numbers of lymphocytes and neutrophils (arrows). Inflamed alveolar septa are segmentally lined by type II pneumocytes (arrowheads). (B) Pulmonary infarction. Animal T982, right lower lung. Left Panel: There is wedge-shaped (dotted lines) pulmonary hemorrhage consistent with infarction effecting a focal region of the right lower lobe. Right Panel: Vessels within the region of infarction exhibit medial and intimal expansion by low to moderate numbers of lymphocytes (arrows). (C) Immunohistochemistry for myeloperoxidase (MPO). Top left: Animal E87, chronic SIV infection. Low numbers of MPO positive cells (brown, arrows) are present within alveolar septa and alveoli. Top right: Animal T985, SIV+/SARS-CoV-2+ co-infection, 14 DPI. There is patchy infiltration of alveoli and alveolar septa with aggregates of MPO+ cells. Bottom left: Animal LM74, SIV-/SARS-CoV-2+, 7 DPI. Alveolar septa are multifocally expanded by small aggregates of MPO+ cells. Bottom right: Animal KE93, SIV-/SARS-CoV-2 + 21 DPI. Low numbers of MPO positive cells are present within alveolar septa and alveoli. Bar=100 µm. MPO-DAB. (D) MPO immunohistochemistry quantification. Historical control specimens: SIV+ (n=6), SARS-CoV-2+ (n= 2, 7 DPI; n=4, 21 DPI). Medians are shown. Kruskal-Wallis test between groups showed no significant differences. therefore may synergistically contribute to thrombus formation in the context of SIV co-infection.

3.3 Mild and persistent peripheral and pulmonary inflammation during SIV/SARS-CoV-2 co-infection

COVID-19 disease is typically more severe in individuals with HIV and those with higher levels of inflammatory markers have more severe COVID-19 outcomes (27). Based on this, we next evaluated peripheral inflammation during SIV/SARS-CoV-2 coinfection. Transient increases in plasma concentrations of Creactive protein (CRP), a marker of inflammation, occurred in most animals 3–7 DPI (Supplementary Figure 7). Similarly, concentrations of soluble CD14 (sCD14), a marker of monocyte/ neutrophil activation, transiently increased in three animals 3–14 DPI (Supplementary Figure 7). Circulating concentrations of intestinal fatty acid binding protein (IFABP), a marker of impaired gastrointestinal integrity, also transiently increased in 3 animals 3–5 DPI (Supplementary Figure 7).

Systemic and pulmonary inflammation was further evaluated in the plasma and BAL by multiplex immunoassay relative to pre-SARS-CoV-2 infection levels. In the plasma, four animals had persistent systemic inflammatory profiles starting 3-7 DPI and persisting to 14 DPI (T982, T986, 12M273, and T981), one animal (T988) had an acute inflammatory profile 3-5 DPI, and the remaining two animals had no substantial increase in the plasma analytes tested (Supplementary Figure 8). The systemic inflammatory profile consisted of several cytokines and chemokines important for the recruitment and differentiation of innate (CCL2/MCP-1, CCL4/MIP-1β, CCL11/Eotaxin, and CXCL8/IL-8) and adaptive immune cells (CXCL9/MIG, CXCL10/ IP-10, CXCL11/I-TAC, CXCL12/SDF-1α, and CXCL13/BLC). In the BAL, all animals had proinflammatory cytokine profiles starting at 3 DPI, with the strongest profiles observed in 4 animals (T981, T985, T982, and T986) (Supplementary Figure 8). These 4 animals additionally had acute and high concentrations of interferon alpha (IFNa) and IL-7 at 3 DPI. This proinflammatory profile was primarily driven by several key molecules: CCL11/Eotaxin, an eosinophil chemoattractant; CXCL11/I-TAC, which recruits activated T-cells; CXCL13/BLC, which recruits B-cells; CXCL/IL-88, a neutrophil chemoattractant; IL-6, an activator of humoral immunity; and IL-1RA, which can suppress inflammation and antiviral responses. Two of the animals (T986 and T985) with the strongest pulmonary inflammatory responses at 3 DPI had little peripheral inflammation, while the other 2 animals (T981, T982) also had the strongest peripheral inflammatory profiles. Although many of the cytokine and chemokine levels returned to baseline levels at 14 DPI, a few were sustained through 14 DPI and in some animals, cytokine levels started to increase. For example, the peak levels of CXCL8/IL-8 (T988, T985), CXCL11/I-TAC (T985), CXCL9/MIG (T982, 12M273, and T985), and CCL2/MCP-1 (T982, 12M273) were observed at 14 DPI in specific animals. These data demonstrate that in some SIV-infected animals, SARS- CoV-2 co-infection prompts an acute inflammatory response in the lungs, while in others there is persistent inflammation in the lung and periphery. These data suggest that diverse inflammatory profiles may contribute to altered SARS-CoV-2 pathogenesis.

Pulmonary recruitment and activation of neutrophils in humans and NHP occurs during mild and severe COVID-19 (26, 28), indicating that neutrophils are an important player in the antiviral defense against SARS-CoV-2 infection, but neutrophils can also contribute to immunopathology. We first measured circulating levels of myeloperoxidase (MPO), a neutrophil granule and marker of inflammation, and found that several animals had elevated levels prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection and throughout co-infection, with transient increases observed in a few animals (Supplementary Figure 7). We next measured neutrophil activity in the lung tissue at necropsy, in comparison to SIV+ and SARS-CoV-2+ monoinfected historical control specimens (Supplementary Table 8) (29-32). MPO+ cells in the lung during SIV/SARS-CoV-2 co-infection were evident in all animals (Figures 2C, D). The number of MPO+ cells in the lung was similar or higher in SIV+/SARS-CoV-2+ coinfected animals when compared to control specimens from SIV+ animals that had similarly been infected with SIVmac251 for 4-8 months (Figures 2C, D). In comparison to lung specimens from historically SARS-CoV-2+ infected animals, the number of MPO+ cells in lungs from co-infected animals was more consistent with lungs from 7 DPI, a timeframe of greater inflammation, than at 21 DPI, a post-acute phase timepoint (Figure 2D). Collectively, this data suggests that SIV/SARS-CoV-2 co-infection may promote the continual recruitment of inflammatory neutrophils to the lung following viral clearance from the lung.

3.4 SIV infection impairs the generation of anti-SARS-CoV-2 humoral and cellular immunity

Humoral and cellular immunity are important for control of SARS-CoV-2 infection and protection against re-infection. We next evaluated anti-SARS-CoV-2 Spike binding antibodies in the sera by ELISA. At 14 DPI, 6/7 animals developed peripheral IgM antibodies, but only 2/7 (28.6%) animals developed IgG antibodies, albeit low, against the A.1 Spike (Figures 3A, B). Cross-binding IgM and IgG antibodies to the Spike protein of more contemporary variants of concern (BA.2, BA.5) were only detected in a few animals (Supplementary Figure 9). There is evidence in humans for conserved and cross-reactive SARS-CoV-2 T-cell epitopes across variants, including Omicron, and suggest an important role of T-cells in the control of SARS-CoV-2 (33). We next evaluated IFN-y and IL-4-producing T-cells by ELISPOT in response to stimulation with peptides against SARS-CoV-2 Spike (S), Membrane (M), Nucleocapsid (N) and Envelope (E) proteins. Moderate IFN-y producing T-cell responses, predominantly against Spike, were only detected in a single animal at 14 DPI (Figure 3C). Very low numbers of IFN-γ producing T-cells, against S, M, and/or N proteins were detected in 3 animals, and IL-4 producing T-cells were not detected in any animal against any antigen (Figure 3C). These



results are in contrast to published NHP studies in which robust peripheral antigen-specific T-cells are typically detected as early as 7 days post-SARS-CoV-2 in naïve rhesus macaques (34).

Studies in NHP demonstrate that infection of naïve rhesus macaques with SARS-CoV-2 infection results in seroconversion (i.e. detection of IgG antibodies) in most animals 10-14 DPI (35, 36). Therefore, we obtained day 14 serum specimens from control animals infected with WA.1 SARS-CoV-2 to be used as SIV-/ naïve controls in our immune assays, the animal details can be found in Supplementary Table 9 (25, 37). Binding IgG, but not IgM antibodies, against WA.1, BA.2. and BA.5 Spike were significantly lower in SIV+/SARS-CoV-2+ animals when compared to SIV-/ SARS-CoV-2+ control specimens (Figures 3D, E; Supplementary Figure 9). Furthermore, only one SIV+/SARS-CoV-2+ animal developed a low neutralizing antibody (nAb) response against SARS-CoV-2, as measured by pseudovirus neutralization assay, and SARS-CoV-2+ control specimens produced significantly higher nAb responses when compared to SIV+/SARS-CoV-2+ animals (Figure 3F). Collectively, these results provide evidence that SIV-induced immunosuppression impairs and/or delays the generation of humoral and cellular anti-SARS-CoV-2 immunity, which may be important for viral clearance and necessary for protection against SARS-CoV-2 re-infection.

3.5 The composition of the tracheal microbiome significantly changes during acute SIV/SARS-CoV-2 co-infection

SARS-CoV-2 and other respiratory diseases alter the oral, nasal, tracheal, and lung microbiomes, leading to more severe disease outcomes (38-42). Pulmonary diseases associated with HIV infection can also affect the respiratory microbiome (43). Therefore, we next evaluated compositional changes in the nasal and tracheal microbiomes during SIV+/SARS-CoV-2+ co-infection. The Shannon diversity index, a metric that combines measures of richness and evenness, was used to assess community-level changes over time. During SARS-CoV-2 infection in humans, Shannon diversity in the upper respiratory tract was shown to be greater in comparison to healthy individuals (38, 40). Shannon diversity significantly increased (p = 0.037) in tracheal swabs at 5 DPI when compared to pre-SARS-CoV-2 infection levels (Figure 4A) but was unchanged in nasal swabs at any timepoint post-SARS-CoV-2 co-infection. Overall community composition, which was measured using the unweighted UniFrac distance, showed the nasal and the tracheal swabs to have distinct microbial communities (Supplementary Figure 2). Therefore, we next determined the taxonomical composition of the airway (nasal and tracheal)

10.3389/fimmu.2025.1587688

microbiome within individual animals. Across all timepoints, the most abundant phyla in the nasal swabs were Firmicutes (39.90%), Actinobacteria (34.37%), Proteobacteria (17.51%), and Campylobacteria (15.5%); while the tracheal swabs were dominated by Firmicutes (37.13%), Bacteroidetes (29.74%), Proteobacteria (20.01%), and Fusobacteriota (10.67%) (Supplementary Figure 10), which is consistent with dominant phyla reported in NHP with pulmonary infections (44). At lower taxonomical levels, 12M273, 15P034 and T982 had a high abundance of Staphylococcus particularly after SARS-CoV-2 co-infection, while T981, T982, T985 and T988 had a notable presence of Dolosigranulum across timepoints (Figure 4B). The genus Corynebacterium (37.63%) and family Moraxellaceae (32.06%) appear in the nasal microbiome of most animals for at least one time point (7/7 and 6/7 respectively) (Figure 4B). The tracheal swabs were distinctly composed of the genera Streptoccocus (17.75%), Porphyromonas (15.03%), and Alloprevotella (14.61%) and the family Pasteurellacaea (14.22%) with all animals having similar genus level compositions. Four genera in nasal samples and three genera in tracheal samples showed significant differential abundance at a single timepoint after SIV+/SARS-CoV-2+ co-infection, but none differed consistently across multiple time points (Supplementary Figure 11). Overall, these findings suggest that acute SIV/SARS-CoV-2 co-infection causes transient changes in microbial diversity in the tracheal, but not the more uniform nasal microbiome.

3.6 SIV+/SARS-CoV-2+ co-infection induces genus level changes in the gut microbiome

The importance of gut symbiosis and barrier function in reducing HIV disease progression is well described (45). Similar to HIV, SARS-CoV-2 replication occurs in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract (46) and promotes intestinal barrier dysfunction leading to bacterial translocation (47) and alterations to the gut microbiota (48, 49). To determine if SIV+/SARS-CoV-2+ co-infection altered the microbial

community composition of the GI tract we first looked at changes in microbial diversity over time. Shannon diversity did not significantly change in stool or rectal swabs at any timepoint post-SARS-CoV-2 co-infection when compared to baseline (Figure 5A). The rectal swabs and stool had a high degree of similarity as shown by the unweighted unifrac distance, suggesting that these samples have analogous microbial niches (Supplementary Figure 2). We next looked at the longitudinal relative abundances of relevant taxa during SIV+/SARS-CoV-2+ co-infection. Taxonomic profiling of the gastrointestinal microbiome (stool and rectal swabs) showed Firmicutes (59.62%), Bacteroidetes (24.37%), Proteobacteria (4.54%) and, Campilobacterota (2.76%) to be the most abundant phyla across all animals at all timepoints (Supplementary Figure 10), which is consistent with previous findings (38). As expected for GI samples, most (80/84) had a high Shannon diversity index and were not dominated by a singular genus. On average, 98.25% of the taxa in each sample were comprised of taxa with a relative abundance of ≤5%. However, a subset of the rectal swabs (4/84) had low Shannon diversity and were dominated by Helicobacter (>50% abundance) (Figures 5A, B). The most abundant genera in both the stool and rectal swabs were Lactobacillus (13.57%), Helicobacter (12.29%), Prevotella (9.42%) and Rikenellaceae_RC9_gut_group (8.59%) (Figure 5B). Taxonomic changes between pre- and post- SARS-CoV-2 co-infection were determined using differential abundance analysis. In the rectal swabs and stool, 11 and 10 taxa, respectively were determined to be differentially abundant post-SARS-CoV-2 coinfection at a singular timepoint (Supplementary Table 14). Succinivibrio and Streptococcus were differentially abundant across multiple timepoints post-SARS-CoV-2 co-infection in both the rectal swabs (Figure 5C) and the stool (Supplementary Figure 11). Succinivibrio abundance was significantly higher in rectal swabs at all timepoints post-SARS-CoV-2 co-infection in comparison to baseline levels (Figure 5D). In contrast, Streptococcus abundance significantly decreased 5 DPI in rectal swabs relative to baseline and the abundance remained low in most animals for the 2-week period after co-infection (Figure 5E). Streptococcus abundance in rectal swabs of only two animals (T985 and 12M273) returned to



FIGURE 4

Transient changes in the tracheal microbiome during SIV+/SARS-CoV-2+ co-infection. (A) Shannon diversity of microbial DNA extracted from nasal and tracheal swabs. Medians with interquartile ranges are shown. The whiskers extend to the largest or smallest value no further than 1.5*IQR from the hinge. Friedman test of the Shannon diversity between timepoints. *Post hoc* Dunn Test for pairwise comparisons, * p < 0.05. (B) Relative Abundance of taxa classified to the family or genus level. Taxa that have an abundance of less than 10% in each sample are pooled into the "Other" category. Taxa that were only able to be classified to the family level have the prefix f_ while those classified to the genus level have the prefix g_.



Changes in *Streptococcus* and *Succinivibrio* abundance in the gastrointestinal tract during SIV+/SARS-CoV-2. (A) Shannon diversity of microbial DNA extracted from rectal swabs and stool. Medians with interquartile ranges are shown. The whiskers extend to the largest or smallest value no further than 1.5*IQR from the hinge. Friedman test of the Shannon diversity between timepoints. (B) Relative abundance of taxa classified to the family or genus level. Taxa that have an abundance of less than 5% in each sample are pooled into the "Other" category. Taxa that were only able to be classified to the family level have the prefix f_ while those classified to the genus level have the prefix g__. (C) Differentially abundant genera relative to baseline in rectal swabs as determined by ANCOMBC2. Dotted line indicates a p adjusted value of < 0.05. Grey dots represent non-significant taxa, and colored dots represent taxa determined to be significantly abundant. Relative Abundance of (D) *Streptococcus* and (E) *Succinivibrio* in rectal swabs with p-adjusted values determined by ANCOMBC2, * p < 0.5, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001, **** p < 0.0001.

levels at or above baseline at 14 DPI. Collectively, these data demonstrate that during the first two weeks of SIV+/SARS-CoV-2+ co-infection, there are significant changes in the abundance of specific genera, such as *Streptococcus* and *Succinivibrio*, within the gastrointestinal tract. However, these changes do not disrupt the overall community structure of the gut microbiome.

3.7 SIV infection may allow for intrahost SARS-CoV-2 viral evolution

Impaired cellular and humoral adaptive immunity during HIV contributes to poor SARS-CoV-2 viral clearance, providing more opportunity for SARS-CoV-2 viral evolution (50). This is a potential mechanism for the emergence of variants of concern (VOC) contributing to breakthrough immunity in vaccinated and pre-exposed individuals. SARS-CoV-2 persistence and intrahost evolution are reported in individuals with untreated or advanced HIV (3). We therefore wanted to determine whether SIV-induced immunosuppression similarly allows for intrahost SARS-CoV-2 evolution in an acute 2-week time period. BAL fluid and swabs (nasal, throat, and rectal) collected prior to SARS-CoV-2 challenge (-7 DPI) and on 3 and 14 DPI were cultured to recover live virus (Supplementary Table 10). Virus was not recovered from any of the

pre-SARS-CoV-2 nor in any rectal swab cultures (3 or 14 DPI). Virus was also not recovered from BAL fluid on 14 DPI. Viral RNA was isolated from BAL and swab (nasal and throat) containing live SARS-CoV-2 virus and processed for whole genome sequencing. Viral sequences were mapped to SARS-CoV-2/WA-1/2020 clinical isolate to identify single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). SNPs observed in ≥2 samples were identified in the ORF1a, ORF1ab, surface glycoprotein (S), S-ORF3a intergenic region, membrane glycoprotein (M), ORF6, ORF7b, ORF8 and nucleocapsid (N) genomic regions (Figure 6). A consistent pattern of mutations was observed across multiple animals and specimens (locations: M, H125Y; ORF6 T10A, Q56X; N, A251S). Two silent SNPs present in the inoculating virus at low frequencies (ORF1a/nsp3 C4897T at 5.2% and ORF8/T11C A27924C at 7.8%) were also enriched in samples in 6/7 and 7/7 animals, respectively (5.6-99.9%). Collectively, these data provide evidence that SIV-induced immune suppression may allow for intrahost SARS-CoV-2 viral evolution.

4 Discussion

This pilot study demonstrates the utility of the rhesus macaque HIV/AIDS model in understanding the underlying mechanisms contributing to COVID-19 in PLWH and in other



immunocompromised individuals. Key findings confirmed or newly identified in this study using this model include evidence for 1) persistent SARS-CoV-2 virus replication, 2) systemic and pulmonary inflammation, 3) impaired anti-SARS-CoV-2 immunity, 4) alterations to the airway and gastrointestinal microbiome, and 5) intrahost SARS-CoV-2 viral evolution. Surprisingly, despite these factors, SARS-CoV-2 lung disease was mild and showed little immunopathology, a finding that is similar in SIV/SARS-CoV-2 co-infected pigtail macaques and SARS-CoV-2 infected rhesus macaques depleted of T-cells (51).

SARS-CoV-2 viral shedding is more persistent in PLWH (CD4 counts <200 cells/ μ L and/or high HIV viral load) in comparison to individuals with suppressed HIV and higher CD4 counts (3) and SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA can persist for months in tissues, including the lymphoid, gastrointestinal, and respiratory tissues (52). In NHP studies, RT-PCR is used to discern input SARS-CoV-2 challenge virus (genomic RNA) from newly replicating virus (subgenomic RNA). In rhesus macaques, WA.1/Wuhan SARS-CoV-2 sgRNA typically clears 7–10 DPI in the BAL and 4–14 DPI from the upper respiratory tract (25, 34, 53–55). In our study, we observed persistent SgRNA in the upper respiratory tract, but not the lower respiratory tract during SIV infection, when compared to historical control data (25, 26). While subgenomic SARS-CoV-2 RNA, may not be a definitive marker of active replication (56), our ability to

isolate infectious SARS-CoV-2 virus, which is rarely reported in NHP studies, from multiple samples at 14 DPI provides evidence for persistent infection in our model (2). In contrast to our findings, persistent viral replication was not observed in a similar study of SIV-infected pigtail macaques by Melton et al. (51), potentially due to a smaller sample size or because of differences in macaque species, warranting further investigation into the impact of HIV co-infection on SARS-CoV-2 persistence in NHP models. Consistent with our findings, Hasenkrug et al. recently demonstrated that T-cell depletion in rhesus macaques delayed SARS-CoV-2 viral clearance (54). Collectively these studies demonstrate that while T-cells contribute to SARS-CoV-2 viral control, they are not strictly required for SARS-CoV-2 viral clearance and that SIV infection can alter the timeframe of SARS-CoV-2 viral clearance.

Seroconversion rates and T-cell responses following COVID-19 vaccination can be highly variable in PLWH and are typically lower in individuals with low CD4 counts (<200 cell/ μ L) or who are not virally suppressed (57, 58). Consequently, these individuals are at a higher risk of breakthrough infections (59, 60), and may require additional booster immunizations to achieve protective immunity (8–10, 61). Our study found that SIV infection hindered the generation of robust T-cell, IgG binding Ab, and neutralizing Ab against SARS-CoV-2 by 7–14 DPI – when anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies and T-cells typically emerge in healthy animals (34–36).

Interestingly, most animals in our study developed anti-Spike IgM bAbs, but failed to class switch to produce IgG bAbs, warranting further investigation into the contributing mechanisms. Similarly, Melton et al. did not detect SARS-CoV-2 specific T-cells in the periphery or lung, nor the development of anti-Spike IgG or IgA, or nAb against SARS-CoV-2 by 21 DPI (51). In a separate study, CD4 depletion in rhesus macaques delayed or reduced anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgM and IgG responses, although a strong anamnestic recall response was observed upon reinfection (54). Collectively, these studies suggest that CD4 T-cells are critical for the generation of protective immunity against SARS-CoV-2. In addition, other factors in immunosuppressed SIV-infected macaques appear to hamper the generation of an effective anti-SARS-CoV-2 immune responses.

Both HIV and SARS-CoV-2 are known to impair gut barrier function, promote microbial translocation, and alter the host micobiome (47, 49). Two prior studies in NHP have specifically examined the gut microbiome during SARS-CoV-2 and found no significant changes in alpha diversity during the acute phase of infection (48, 62). Significant and sustained gut barrier dysfunction did not occur in our animals, which contrasts with findings from previous studies in NHP (48, 62). Our findings support prior descriptions of the high-level stability of the microbiome during COVID-19 disease, but newly reveal genus-level changes in the gut microbiome. Notably, we observed rapid and sustained depletion of bacteria in the Streptococcus genus, which is commonly found in the normal NHP gut microbiome (48). This change was conserved across all animals and observed in analysis of both rectal swab and stool specimens. While many Streptococcus species are commensal, some can be highly pathogenic. Due to the limitations of 16S sequencing, it is not possible to resolve the implicated taxa at the species level with available data in this study, leaving it unclear whether pathogenic and/or commensal Streptococcus species were reduced during SIV+/SARS-CoV-2+ co-infection. Interestingly, we also detected an enrichment of Succinivibrio within the gut of our co-infected animals. While Succinivibrio is commonly found in the gut microbiome of wild macaques (63-65), it appears to be less prevalent in research-housed adult macaques (66). Factors such as diet, age, and sex can influence Succinivibrio abundance in the gut (63-65), but in our study, SARS-CoV-2 co-infection is likely the primary driver of this change. In humans, Succinivibrio is enriched in PLWH or in those exposed to HIV compared to individual without HIV infection or those who have not been exposed (67-69), however in our animal model Succinivibrio abundance was low during SIV-infection. Species within the Succinivibrio genus play a role in carbohydrate metabolism, particularly in the fermentation of cellulose and carbohydrates to produce succinate and acetate. Succinate is a metabolite associated with inflammation and has been linked to various inflammatory diseases (70) and is also important for intestinal remodeling and maintaining gut integrity (71).

Characterization of the upper airway microbiome in NHP is limited. In rhesus macaques, the primary genera of the nasal microbiome are *Dolosigranulum* and *Corynebacterium* (72). This pattern was also observed in SIV+/SARS-CoV-2+ co-infected macaques in our study. However, unlike healthy rhesus macaques (72), the nasal microbiome of co-infected macaques also shows dominance by the genus Staphylococcus and the family Moraxellaceae. Future research is needed to determine whether these observable differences are due to SIV infection or other environmental factors. SARS-CoV-2 infection and the severity of COVID-19 have been variably associated with changes in the respiratory microbiome (42). Our study is the first to examine the impact of SARS-CoV-2 on the NHP airway microbiome. In human studies, the alpha diversity of the nasal and throat microbiome has generally remained unchanged with SARS-CoV-2 infection, though a few studies have reported decreased alpha diversity, most commonly in the oropharyngeal cavity (42). Similarly, microbial diversity in the nasal microbiome was unchanged in our model, but in contrast, alpha diversity of the tracheal/oropharyngeal microbiome increased during early SIV+/SARS-CoV-2+ coinfection. Future studies in NHP and humans are crucial to fully elucidate the long-term effects of HIV and SARS-CoV-2 coinfection on both the respiratory and gastrointestinal microbiome. Specifically, research should focus on how co-infection alters microbial composition, diversity, and metabolism. Additionally, understanding potential interactions across the lung-gut axis will be essential for revealing how these microbial changes may influence local and systemic immune responses, inflammation, and disease progression.

SARS-CoV-2 persistence and intrahost viral evolution are reported in individuals with untreated or advanced HIV (3). Here, we provide evidence of intrahost SARS-CoV-2 evolution in SIV-infected rhesus macaques, which contrasts findings from coinfected pigtail macaques (51). Previous studies have reported changes in the SARS-CoV-2 genome in rectal swabs from rhesus macaques (73); however, we were unable to recover live virus from rectal specimens in our study. SARS-CoV-2 escape from nAb is often linked to mutations in the Spike receptor binding (RBD) or N-terminal (NTD) domains, which can enhance receptorbinding affinity (74). The occurrence of viral mutations arising from immune pressure is rare (74), and given the acute timeframe of our study and the lack of anti-SARS-CoV-2 immunity that is generated, we expect virus mutations to precede immune divergence. While we only observed silent mutations in the Spike surface glycoprotein, we identified 14 nonsynonymous SNPs in other protein coding regions. Notably, two SNPs in ORF1ab of animal T985 were highly enriched by day 14, suggesting successful selection and expansion of this quasispecies.

Four SNPs of interest emerged independently in most macaques. The A215S mutation in the nucleocapsid phosphoprotein, observed in 6/7 animals, is a minor variant that peaked at 1% in global GISAID data in July 2022. Although this is not a defining mutation for any VOC, the A251V mutation was present in Delta lineage viruses and peaked at 9% of global data in July 2021. This suggests that the 251 site may continue to play a role in the evolution of emerging VOCs. Membrane glycoprotein H125Y and ORF6 Q56X have also been reported in humans, peaking at 4% in February 2020 and 1% in September 2021, respectively. The ORF6 Q56X mutation truncates six amino acids from the C-terminus and was strongly selected for in 6/7 animals, reaching a frequency up to 47%. The T10A mutation in ORF6, observed in only seven high quality human-host genomes to date, was found in 5/7 macaques, suggesting potential differential selective pressure between species.

This study has several study design limitations. Outside the scope of this study, the animals had prior SIV infections, so we unfortunately did not have access to samples or data from before the SIV infection. Our study is limited by the lack of comparison to SARS-CoV-2 mono-infected contemporaneous controls. Thus, to increase the robustness of our results, we leveraged publicly available data (25, 26) and historical control specimens (25, 29– 32, 37) where applicable, providing additional context for comparing our results with those from SIV or SARS-CoV-2 infected rhesus macaques. Furthermore, as our study exclusively utilized females, not all our reported findings may be directly applicable to males. Additional studies are needed to further validate our findings.

SARS-CoV-2 is typically an acute infection, but about 10% of infected individuals develop symptoms associated with long COVID (PASC) (75), which can affect multiple organ systems, including the gastrointestinal tract, neurological system, heart and lungs. Long COVID symptoms are diverse and it is hypothesized that a persistent SARS-CoV-2 viral reservoir could contribute to this condition through mechanisms such as modulating the host immune response, enhancing inflammation, stimulating cross-reactive autoantibodies or promoting microbial dysbiosis (52). HIV infection similarly dysregulates many of these immune responses and pathways, and PLWH are at a higher risk for PASC (1). The complexity of long COVID makes it difficult to study in humans and acquiring aged nonhuman primates for research poses additional challenges (76). Given that our model exhibits several characteristics that contribute to long COVID, future studies are needed to determine whether the rhesus macaque model of HIV/SARS-CoV-2 co-infection could also serve as an animal model for long COVID. Furthermore, this immunocompromised animal model could be highly valuable for testing new COVID-19 vaccines and therapeutics, particularly those that aim to be suitable and effective in immunosuppressed populations.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are publicly available. This data can be found in the NCBI SRA repository using accession numbers SRR33509647-SRR33509831 (PRJNA1260684) and SRR33479424-SRR33479448 (PRJNA1260606). Microbiome data presented in the study are included in the article's supplementary materials and further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author. The code for these analyses can be found at https://github.com/O-Connor-Lab/SIV-COVID-Pilot.

Ethics statement

The animal study was approved by BIOQUAL Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) (IACUC #22-037P). The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

MF: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. ZK: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization, Writing - original draft. AW: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. KS: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. LH: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing review & editing. DL: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. KP: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. CCM: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. CJM: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. SB: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing review & editing. PE: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. JB: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. AG: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. MG: Writing - review & editing. RB: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Writing - review & editing. SS: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. DF: Conceptualization, Investigation, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. MO'C: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by NIH P51OD0104245, K01MH123258, and the Infectious Disease and Translational Medicine Unit (IDTM) at WaNPRC.

Acknowledgments

We thank N. Miller for providing the nonhuman primates used in this study, and A. Cook and A. Sharma at BIOQUAL, Inc. for animal

care. The SIVmac251 virus was supplied by R. Desrosiers, and SIVsamples by D. Barouch, C. Roy, and B. Beddingfield. Thanks to the NHPCVL at Duke University for SIV viral load evaluation, the University of Washington Microbial Interactions and Microbiome Center (mim_c) for 16S sequencing support, J. Tisoncik-Go for insightful feedback, and L. Nien for DNA extraction assistance, and K. Williams and N. Golden for their technical assistance with multiplex immunoassay conducted at the High Containment Research Performance Core (RRID: SCR_024612) of the Tulane National Primate Research Center (P510D011104, RRID: SCR_008167). To the Confocal Microscopy and Molecular Pathology Core for assistance with immunohistochemical staining (RRID: SCR_024613 & S100D030347).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

References

1. Peluso MJ, Spinelli MA, Deveau TM, Forman CA, Munter SE, Mathur S, et al. Post-acute sequelae and adaptive immune responses in people living with HIV recovering from SARS-COV-2 infection. *Aids.* (2022) 36(12):F7–16. doi: 10.1097/ qad.00000000003338

2. Höft MA, Burgers WA, Riou C. The immune response to SARS-CoV-2 in people with HIV. Cell Mol Immunol. (2024) 21:184–96. doi: 10.1038/s41423-023-01087-w

3. Cele S, Karim F, Lustig G, San JE, Hermanus T, Tegally H, et al. SARS-CoV-2 prolonged infection during advanced HIV disease evolves extensive immune escape. *Cell Host Microbe*. (2022) 30:154–62.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2022.01.005

4. Spinelli MA, Lynch KL, Yun C, Glidden DV, Peluso MJ, Henrich TJ, et al. SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence, and IgG concentration and pseudovirus neutralising antibody titres after infection, compared by HIV status: a matched case-control observational study. *Lancet HIV*. (2021) 8:e334–e41. doi: 10.1016/s2352-3018(21)00072-2

5. Spinelli MA, Peluso MJ, Lynch KL, Yun C, Glidden DV, Henrich TJ, et al. Differences in post-mRNA vaccination SARS-coV-2 igG concentrations and surrogate virus neutralization test response by HIV status and type of vaccine: a matched case-control observational study. *Clin Infect Dis.* (2021) 75(1):e916–9. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciab1009

6. Ambrosioni J, Blanco JL, Reyes-Urueña JM, Davies MA, Sued O, Marcos MA, et al. Overview of SARS-CoV-2 infection in adults living with HIV. *Lancet HIV*. (2021) 8:e294–305. doi: 10.1016/s2352-3018(21)00070-9

7. Giacomelli A, Gagliardini R, Tavelli A, De Benedittis S, Mazzotta V, Rizzardini G, et al. Risk of COVID-19 in-hospital mortality in people living with HIV compared to general population according to age and CD4 strata: data from the ICONA network. *Int J Infect Dis.* (2023) 136:127–35. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2023.09.015

8. Antinori A, Cicalini S, Meschi S, Bordoni V, Lorenzini P, Vergori A, et al. Humoral and cellular immune response elicited by mRNA vaccination against SARS-CoV-2 in people living with HIV (PLWH) receiving antiretroviral therapy (ART) according with current CD4 T-lymphocyte count. *Clin Infect Dis.* (2022) 75(1):e552e563. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciac238

9. Augello M, Bono V, Rovito R, Tincati C, d'Arminio Monforte A, Marchetti G. Sixmonth immune responses to mRNA-1273 vaccine in combination antiretroviral therapy treated late presenter people with HIV according to previous SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Aids.* (2023) 37:1503–17. doi: 10.1097/qad.000000000003585

10. Griffin DWJ, Pai Mangalore R, Hoy JF, McMahon JH. Immunogenicity, effectiveness, and safety of SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in people with HIV. *Aids*. (2023) 37:1345-60. doi: 10.1097/qad.00000000003579

11. Brosh-Nissimov T, Orenbuch-Harroch E, Chowers M, Elbaz M, Nesher L, Stein M, et al. BNT162b2 vaccine breakthrough: clinical characteristics of 152 fully

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2025.1587688/full#supplementary-material

vaccinated hospitalized COVID-19 patients in Israel. Clin Microbiol Infect. (2021) 27:1652-7. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2021.06.036

12. Kemp SA, Collier DA, Datir RP, Ferreira I, Gayed S, Jahun A, et al. SARS-CoV-2 evolution during treatment of chronic infection. *Nature*. (2021) 592:277–82. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03291-y

13. Sui Y, Li J, Zhang R, Prabhu SK, Andersen H, Venzon D, et al. Protection against SARS-CoV-2 infection by a mucosal vaccine in rhesus macaques. *JCI Insight*. (2021) 6 (10):e148494. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.148494

14. Lassaunière R, Polacek C, Gram GJ, Frische A, Tingstedt JL, Krüger M, et al. Preclinical evaluation of a candidate naked plasmid DNA vaccine against SARS-CoV-2. *NPJ Vaccines.* (2021) 6:156. doi: 10.1038/s41541-021-00419-z

15. Crawford KHD, Dingens AS, Eguia R, Wolf CR, Wilcox N, Logue JK, et al. Dynamics of neutralizing antibody titers in the months after severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection. *J Infect Dis.* (2021) 223:197–205. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiaa618

16. Drain PK, Dalmat RR, Hao L, Bemer MJ, Budiawan E, Morton JF, et al. Duration of viral infectiousness and correlation with symptoms and diagnostic testing in non-hospitalized adults during acute SARS-CoV-2 infection: A longitudinal cohort study. *J Clin Virol.* (2023) 161:105420. doi: 10.1016/j.jcv.2023.105420

17. Klindworth A, Pruesse E, Schweer T, Peplies J, Quast C, Horn M, et al. Evaluation of general 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-generation sequencing-based diversity studies. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2013) 41:e1. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks808

18. Bolyen E, Rideout JR, Dillon MR, Bokulich NA, Abnet CC, Al-Ghalith GA, et al. Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. Nat Biotechnol. (2019) 37:852–7. doi: 10.1038/s41587-019-0209-9

19. Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. 2011. (2011) 17:3. doi: 10.14806/ej.17.1.200

20. Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJ, Holmes SP. DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat Methods*. (2016) 13:581–3. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3869

21. Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, et al. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2013) 41:D590–6. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks1219

22. Janssen S, McDonald D, Gonzalez A, Navas-Molina JA, Jiang L, Xu ZZ, et al. Phylogenetic placement of exact amplicon sequences improves associations with clinical information. *mSystems*. (2018) 3(3):e00021-18. doi: 10.1128/mSystems.00021-18

23. Lin H, Peddada SD. Multigroup analysis of compositions of microbiomes with covariate adjustments and repeated measures. *Nat Methods*. (2024) 21:83–91. doi: 10.1038/s41592-023-02092-7

24. Buggert M, Frederiksen J, Noyan K, Svärd J, Barqasho B, Sönnerborg A, et al. Multiparametric bioinformatics distinguish the CD4/CD8 ratio as a suitable laboratory predictor of combined T cell pathogenesis in HIV infection. *J Immunol.* (2014) 192:2099–108. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1302596

25. Chandrashekar A, Liu J, Yu J, McMahan K, Tostanoski LH, Jacob-Dolan C, et al. Prior infection with SARS-CoV-2 WA1/2020 partially protects rhesus macaques against reinfection with B.1.1.7 and B.1.351 variants. *Sci Transl Med.* (2021) 13: eabj2641. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.abj2641

26. Hoang TN, Pino M, Boddapati AK, Viox EG, Starke CE, Upadhyay AA, et al. Baricitinib treatment resolves lower-airway macrophage inflammation and neutrophil recruitment in SARS-CoV-2-infected rhesus macaques. *Cell.* (2021) 184:460–75.e21. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.11.007

27. Ho HE, Peluso MJ, Margus C, Matias Lopes JP, He C, Gaisa MM, et al. Clinical Outcomes and Immunologic Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in People With Human Immunodeficiency Virus. J Infect Dis. (2021) 223:403–8. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiaa380

28. Schulte-Schrepping J, Reusch N, Paclik D, Baßler K, Schlickeiser S, Zhang B, et al. Severe COVID-19 is marked by a dysregulated myeloid cell compartment. *Cell.* (2020) 182:1419–40.e23. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.08.001

29. Beddingfield BJ, Maness NJ, Spencer S, Rappaport J, Aye PP, Russell-Lodrigue K, et al. Mid-titer human convalescent plasma administration results in suboptimal prophylaxis against SARS-CoV-2 infection in rhesus macaques. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1085883. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1085883

30. Mohan M, Kumar V, Lackner AA, Alvarez X. Dysregulated miR-34a-SIRT1acetyl p65 axis is a potential mediator of immune activation in the colon during chronic simian immunodeficiency virus infection of rhesus macaques. *J Immunol.* (2015) 194:291–306. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1401447

31. Breed MW, Jordan AP, Aye PP, Lichtveld CF, Midkiff CC, Schiro FR, et al. Loss of a tyrosine-dependent trafficking motif in the simian immunodeficiency virus envelope cytoplasmic tail spares mucosal CD4 cells but does not prevent disease progression. *J Virol.* (2013) 87:1528–43. doi: 10.1128/jvi.01928-12

32. Xu H, Wang X, Lackner AA, Veazey RS. CD8 down-regulation and functional impairment of SIV-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes in lymphoid and mucosal tissues during SIV infection. *J Leukoc Biol.* (2013) 93:943–50. doi: 10.1189/jlb.1112580

33. Redd AD, Nardin A, Kared H, Bloch EM, Pekosz A, Laeyendecker O, et al. CD8+ T-cell responses in COVID-19 convalescent individuals target conserved epitopes from multiple prominent SARS-coV-2 circulating variants. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* (2021) 8: ofab143. doi: 10.1093/ofid/ofab143

 Nelson CE, Namasivayam S, Foreman TW, Kauffman KD, Sakai S, Dorosky DE, et al. Mild SARS-CoV-2 infection in rhesus macaques is associated with viral control prior to antigen-specific T cell responses in tissues. *Sci Immunol.* (2022), eabo0535. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.abo0535

35. Munster VJ, Feldmann F, Williamson BN, van Doremalen N, Pérez-Pérez L, Schulz J, et al. Respiratory disease in rhesus macaques inoculated with SARS-CoV-2. *Nature*. (2020) 585:268–72. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2324-7

36. Blair RV, Vaccari M, Doyle-Meyers LA, Roy CJ, Russell-Lodrigue K, Fahlberg M, et al. Acute respiratory distress in aged, SARS-coV-2-infected african green monkeys but not rhesus macaques. *Am J Pathol.* (2021) 191:274–82. doi: 10.1016/j.ajpath.2020.10.016

37. Fears AC, Beddingfield BJ, Chirichella NR, Slisarenko N, Killeen SZ, Redmann RK, et al. Exposure modality influences viral kinetics but not respiratory outcome of COVID-19 in multiple nonhuman primate species. *PloS Pathog.* (2022) 18:e1010618. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1010618

38. Mostafa HH, Fissel JA, Fanelli B, Bergman Y, Gniazdowski V, Dadlani M, et al. Metagenomic next-generation sequencing of nasopharyngeal specimens collected from confirmed and suspect COVID-19 patients. *mBio.* (2020) 11(6):e01969-20. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01969-20

39. Kim JG, Zhang A, Rauseo AM, Goss CW, Mudd PA, O'Halloran JA, et al. The salivary and nasopharyngeal microbiomes are associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection and disease severity. *J Med Virol.* (2023) 95:e28445. doi: 10.1002/jmv.28445

40. Shi YL, He MZ, Han MZ, Gui HY, Wang P, Yu JL, et al. Characterization of altered oropharyngeal microbiota in hospitalized patients with mild SARS-coV-2 infection. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol.* (2022) 12:824578. doi: 10.3389/ fcimb.2022.824578

41. Sulaiman I, Chung M, Angel L, Tsay JJ, Wu BG, Yeung ST, et al. Microbial signatures in the lower airways of mechanically ventilated COVID-19 patients associated with poor clinical outcome. *Nat Microbiol.* (2021) 6:1245–58. doi: 10.1038/s41564-021-00961-5

42. Merenstein C, Liang G, Whiteside SA, Cobián-Güemes AG, Merlino MS, Taylor LJ, et al. Signatures of COVID-19 severity and immune response in the respiratory tract microbiome. *mBio.* (2021) 12:e0177721. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01777-21

43. Lawani MB, Morris A. The respiratory microbiome of HIV-infected individuals. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther.* (2016) 14:719–29. doi: 10.1080/14787210.2016.1206469

44. Cinco IR, Napier EG, Rhoades NS, Davies MH, Allison DB, Kohama SG, et al. Immunological and microbial shifts in the aging rhesus macaque lung during

nontuberculous mycobacterial infection. mBio.~(2024)15:e0082924. doi: 10.1128/mbio.00829-24

45. Burgener A, McGowan I, Klatt NR. HIV and mucosal barrier interactions: consequences for transmission and pathogenesis. *Curr Opin Immunol.* (2015) 36:22–30. doi: 10.1016/j.coi.2015.06.004

46. Xiao F, Tang M, Zheng X, Liu Y, Li X, Shan H. Evidence for gastrointestinal infection of SARS-coV-2. *Gastroenterology*. (2020) 158:1831–3 e3. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2020.02.055

47. Giron LB, Dweep H, Yin X, Wang H, Damra M, Goldman AR, et al. Plasma markers of disrupted gut permeability in severe COVID-19 patients. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:686240. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.686240

48. Sokol H, Contreras V, Maisonnasse P, Desmons A, Delache B, Sencio V, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection in nonhuman primates alters the composition and functional activity of the gut microbiota. *Gut Microbes*. (2021) 13:1–19. doi: 10.1080/19490976.2021.1893113

49. Klase Z, Ortiz A, Deleage C, Mudd JC, Quinones M, Schwartzman E, et al. Dysbiotic bacteria translocate in progressive SIV infection. *Mucosal Immunol.* (2015) 8:1009–20. doi: 10.1038/mi.2014.128

50. Corey L, Beyrer C, Cohen MS, Michael NL, Bedford T, Rolland M. SARS-coV-2 variants in patients with immunosuppression. *N Engl J Med.* (2021) 385:562–6. doi: 10.1056/NEJMsb2104756

51. Melton A, Rowe LA, Penney T, Krzykwa C, Goff K, Scheuermann SE, et al. The impact of SIV-induced immunodeficiency on SARS-coV-2 disease, viral dynamics, and antiviral immune response in a nonhuman primate model of coinfection. *Viruses*. (2024) 16(7):1173. doi: 10.3390/v16071173

52. Proal AD, VanElzakker MB, Aleman S, Bach K, Boribong BP, Buggert M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 reservoir in post-acute sequelae of COVID-19 (PASC). *Nat Immunol.* (2023) 24:1616–27. doi: 10.1038/s41590-023-01601-2

53. Chandrashekar A, Yu J, McMahan K, Jacob-Dolan C, Liu J, He X, et al. Vaccine protection against the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in macaques. *Cell.* (2022) 185 (9):1549–55.e11. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2022.03.024

54. Hasenkrug KJ, Feldmann F, Myers L, Santiago ML, Guo K, Barrett BS, et al. Recovery from acute SARS-coV-2 infection and development of anamnestic immune responses in T cell-depleted rhesus macaques. *mBio.* (2021) 12:e0150321. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01503-21

55. McMahan K, Yu J, Mercado NB, Loos C, Tostanoski LH, Chandrashekar A, et al. Correlates of protection against SARS-CoV-2 in rhesus macaques. *Nature.* (2021) 590:630–4. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-03041-6

56. Alexandersen S, Chamings A, Bhatta TR. SARS-CoV-2 genomic and subgenomic RNAs in diagnostic samples are not an indicator of active replication. *Nat Commun.* (2020) 11:6059. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-19883-7

57. Lee A, Wong SY, Chai LYA, Lee SC, Lee MX, Muthiah MD, et al. Efficacy of covid-19 vaccines in immunocompromised patients: systematic review and metaanalysis. *BMJ*. (2022) 376:e068632. doi: 10.1136/bmj-2021-068632

58. Datwani S, Kalikawe R, Waterworth R, Mwimanzi FM, Liang R, Sang Y, et al. Brockman MA. T-cell responses to COVID-19 vaccines and breakthrough infection in people living with HIV receiving antiretroviral therapy. *Viruses.* (2024) 16(5):661. doi: 10.3390/v16050661

59. Casado JL, Vizcarra P, Martín-Colmenarejo S, Del Pino J, Gomez-Maldonado S, Martín-Hondarza A, et al. Lower T cell response against SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern after mRNA vaccine and risk of breakthrough infections in people with HIV. *Aids.* (2023) 37:877–82. doi: 10.1097/qad.00000000003504

60. Costiniuk CT, Lee T, Singer J, Galipeau Y, Arnold C, Langlois MA, et al. Correlates of breakthrough SARS-coV-2 infections in people with HIV: results from the CIHR CTN 328 study. *Vaccines (Basel).* (2024) 12(5):447. doi: 10.3390/vaccines12050447

61. Jongkees MJ, Geers D, Hensley KS, Huisman W, GeurtsvanKessel CH, Bogers S, et al. Immunogenicity of an additional mRNA-1273 SARS-coV-2 vaccination in people with HIV with hyporesponse after primary vaccination. *J Infect Dis.* (2023) 227:651–62. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiac451

62. Brooks K, Nelson CE, Aguilar C, Hoang TN, Ortiz AM, Langner CA, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection perturbs the gastrointestinal tract and induces modest microbial translocation across the intestinal barrier. *J Virol.* (2024) 98:e0128824. doi: 10.1128/jvi.01288-24

63. Sun B, Wang X, Bernstein S, Huffman MA, Xia DP, Gu Z, et al. Marked variation between winter and spring gut microbiota in free-ranging Tibetan Macaques (Macaca thibetana). *Sci Rep.* (2016) 6:26035. doi: 10.1038/srep26035

64. Li Y, Chen T, Li Y, Tang Y, Huang Z. Gut microbiota are associated with sex and age of host: Evidence from semi-provisioned rhesus macaques in southwest Guangxi, China. *Ecol Evol.* (2021) 11:8096–122. doi: 10.1002/ece3.7643

65. Sun B, Xu X, Xia Y, Cheng Y, Mao S, Xiang X, et al. Variation of Gut Microbiome in Free-Ranging Female Tibetan Macaques (Macaca thibetana) across Different Reproductive States. *Anim (Basel)*. (2020) 11(1):39. doi: 10.3390/ani11010039

66. Rhoades N, Barr T, Hendrickson S, Prongay K, Haertel A, Gill L, et al. Maturation of the infant rhesus macaque gut microbiome and its role in the development of diarrheal disease. *Genome Biol.* (2019) 20:173. doi: 10.1186/s13059-019-1789-x

67. Lopera TJ, Lujan JA, Zurek E, Zapata W, Hernandez JC, Toro MA, et al. A specific structure and high richness characterize intestinal microbiota of HIV-exposed

seronegative individuals. PloS One. (2021) 16:e0260729. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0260729

68. do Nascimento WM, Machiavelli A, Ferreira LGE, Cruz Silveira L, de Azevedo SSD, Bello G, et al. Gut microbiome profiles and associated metabolic pathways in HIV-infected treatment-naïve patients. *Cells.* (2021) 10(2):385. doi: 10.3390/ cells10020385

69. Vesterbacka J, Rivera J, Noyan K, Parera M, Neogi U, Calle M, et al. Richer gut microbiota with distinct metabolic profile in HIV infected Elite Controllers. *Sci Rep.* (2017) 7:6269. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-06675-1

70. Dong TS, Luu K, Lagishetty V, Sedighian F, Woo SL, Dreskin BW, et al. Gut microbiome profiles associated with steatosis severity in metabolic associated fatty liver disease. *Hepatoma Res.* (2021) 7(37):10.20517/2394-5079.2021.55. doi: 10.20517/2394-5079.2021.55

71. Schneider C, O'Leary CE, von Moltke J, Liang HE, Ang QY, Turnbaugh PJ, et al. A metabolite-triggered tuft cell-ILC2 circuit drives small intestinal remodeling. *Cell.* (2018) 174:271–84.e14. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.05.014

72. Rhoades NS, Davies M, Lewis SA, Cinco IR, Kohama SG, Bermudez LE, et al. Functional, transcriptional, and microbial shifts associated with healthy pulmonary aging in rhesus macaques. *Cell Rep.* (2022) 39:110725. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110725

73. Beddingfield BJ, Rowe LA, Russell-Lodrigue KE, Doyle-Meyers LA, Golden N, Spencer S, et al. Breakthrough gastrointestinal COVID-19 and intrahost evolution consequent to combination monoclonal antibody prophylaxis. *J Infect Dis.* (2022) 226:1588–92. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiac134

74. Harvey WT, Carabelli AM, Jackson B, Gupta RK, Thomson EC, Harrison EM, et al. SARS-CoV-2 variants, spike mutations and immune escape. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* (2021) 19:409–24. doi: 10.1038/s41579-021-00573-0

75. Ballering AV, van Zon SKR, Olde Hartman TC, Rosmalen JGM. Persistence of somatic symptoms after COVID-19 in the Netherlands: an observational cohort study. *Lancet.* (2022) 400:452–61. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(22)01214-4

76. Palmer CS, Perdios C, Abdel-Mohsen M, Mudd J, Datta PK, Maness NJ, et al. Non-human primate model of long-COVID identifies immune associates of hyperglycemia. *Nat Commun.* (2024) 15:6664. doi: 10.1038/s41467-024-50339-4