#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Maria Tagliamonte, G. Pascale National Cancer Institute Foundation (IRCCS), Italy

REVIEWED BY Ge Liu, Starna therapeutics, China Christian David Hernández Silva, University of Guadalajara, Mexico

\*CORRESPONDENCE Eunice Wavinya Kiamba Sewkiamba@mrc.gm

RECEIVED 11 March 2025 ACCEPTED 22 May 2025 PUBLISHED 16 June 2025

#### CITATION

Kiamba EW, Goodier MR and Clarke E (2025) Immune responses to human papillomavirus infection and vaccination. *Front. Immunol.* 16:1591297. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2025.1591297

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Kiamba, Goodier and Clarke. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Immune responses to human papillomavirus infection and vaccination

Eunice Wavinya Kiamba<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Martin R. Goodier<sup>1,3</sup> and Ed Clarke<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Vaccines and Immunity Theme, MRC Unit The Gambia at London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Banjul, Gambia, <sup>2</sup>Department of Clinical Research, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom, <sup>3</sup>Department of Infection Biology, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom

Human papillomavirus (HPV) is the most common sexually transmitted infection. About 90% of HPV infections are transient, resolving without any need for intervention. Most of HPV infections are low-risk non-oncogenic. However, persistent infection with high-risk oncogenic HPV types is the cause of cervical as well as various other anogenital and oropharyngeal cancers. HPV infection on either cutaneous or mucosal surfaces activates both innate and adaptive antiviral immune cells including Langerhans and keratinocyte cells, natural killer cells, B and T cells. These cellular responses alongside their corresponding cytokine profiles have been associated with clearance of HPV infection and regression of HPV associated disease although the actual immune mechanisms involved are not well understood. Current HPV vaccines are based on self -assembled viruslike particles (VLP) from the major viral capsid protein and target the high-risk HPV types as well as two low-risk types responsible for genital warts. The vaccines generate antibody protection against new infections with no effect on already established infections and HPV-associated diseases. Certainly, despite the high effectiveness of current prophylactic HPV vaccines, therapeutic HPV vaccines are needed for treatment of already established HPV infections and disease. Although there have been great efforts in development of therapeutic vaccines, none is yet to be licensed due to low efficacy and safety concerns. There is therefore a need to understand both natural and vaccine-induced immunity, for development of effective and safe therapeutic HPV vaccines. Additionally, a better understanding of the immunogenicity of HPV vaccines, which are among the best subunit vaccines developed to date, may identify immune pathways that could be targeted for development of similarly effective vaccines for other diseases. This review summarises available literature on immune responses to both HPV infection and vaccination, with an aim of improving overall understanding on this subject. This may provide insights for better targeting of both therapeutic and prophylactic vaccines, not only for HPV but also other antigen targets.

#### KEYWORDS

human papillomavirus, infection, vaccination, immune responses, protection, efficacy, therapeutic vaccines

# Introduction

Understanding natural immunity to infections may be useful in identification of potential target antigens for precision vaccine development (1). Currently licensed human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccines are highly effective in preventing new HPV infections but do not have effect on those that are already established (2). There is need for better understanding of natural immunity to HPV to aid identify immune molecules and pathways that may be targeted to develop effective therapeutic vaccines. About 90% of HPV infections are transient and clear spontaneously within two years of detection indicating the role of host immunity in viral clearance (3). Additionally, the inverse correlation often reported between regression of HPV associated lesions and functional HPV specific immune cells further indicate antiviral immunity as critical in clearance of pre-cancerous disease (4-6). However, high-risk HPV types apply complex immune evasion mechanisms which enhance their persistence for years and may result to cancer development (7, 8).

Current HPV vaccines generate neutralising HPV specific antibodies that are sustained for years without waning, even after a single vaccination dose (9-11). The mechanisms underlying the production of such long-term antibodies are not well understood with studies on other VLP vaccines including hepatitis B, E and influenza generating less sustained responses (12).

Following a summary of HPV biology and pathogenicity, this review discusses available literature on immune responses to HPV infection and vaccination. Additionally, current progress, gaps and the need for development of effective therapeutic HPV vaccines are highlighted. This comprehensive review contributes to the understanding of immunity against HPV for continued intervention and better control of HPV-associated diseases.

# HPV infection and cervical cancer

Cervical cancer is the most common HPV-related cancer type, ranking fourth among women's cancers globally and either first or second among cancers affecting women in Sub-Saharan Africa (13). Out of more than 200 known HPV types described and classified into five genera, the alpha genus is responsible for most mucosal and cutaneous infections which are classified into high-risk (oncogenic) or low-risk (non-oncogenic). Each of the high-risk types (HPV 16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59, 68, 73, 82) has been identified as either single or co-infection with other high-risk types in cervical cancer (14, 15). HPV 16 is the most commonly detected in HPV-related cancers followed by HPV 18, accounting for about 70% of the total, while HPV 31, 33, 45, 52, 58 account for another 19% of cervical cancer cases globally (14, 16).

# HPV biology and pathogenicity

HPV is a small non-enveloped double-stranded DNA virus, of approximately 55 nanometres in diameter with a complete genome length of about 8 kilobase pairs (17). Figure 1 shows a general representation of HPV 16 genomic structure. The genome contains a long control region (LCR) and genes encoding six early (E1, E2, E4, E5, E6, E7) and two late (L1, L2) proteins named according to their expression time in the viral life cycle (17).

The early genes are important in viral replication and transcription. They are also involved in dysregulation of host cell processes to enhance viral persistence through interfering with proliferation and differentiation, cell cycle deregulation, controlling cell signalling and inhibiting apoptosis, chromatin remodelling, silencing of tumour suppressor genes and modulation of host immunity and structural modification of the infected cells (18–20). The structural proteins L1 and L2 are important for the viral assembly (17, 21). Protein L1 is the major component of the viral capsid, while L2, the minor capsid protein also plays key roles in the establishment and persistence of infection (17, 21, 22).

Keratinocytes are the main cell type infected by HPV in the cervix (23). At the initial infection stages, in *in-vitro* studies, the viral antigens L1 and L2 are reported to bind to keratinocyte surface receptors or the extracellular matrix and can infect intact cultured epithelial tissues in various epithelial cell lines (22, 24). Such data are contradicted by murine studies which suggest that HPV binds exclusively to the cervical basement membrane which must first be





HPV 16 genomic structure. LCR (Long control region): Controls transcription and replication of the viral DNA particularly E6 and E7 expression. Early genes. E1- DNA helicase responsible for recognition of origin for viral genome replication. E2 – Recruits E1 and DNA polymerase to the origin of replication, regulates viral gene transcription. E4 – Plays a role in viral release and transmission. E5 – Interacts with epidermal growth factor and activates immune evasion pathways. E6 – Oncoprotein that interferes with cell cycle by binding the tumour suppressor protein p53. E7 – Oncoprotein that interferes with cell cycle by binding the retinoblastoma gene product pRB. Late genes. L1, L2 – Major and minor viral capsid proteins respectively, assemble into capsomeres.

exposed by a microtrauma on the epithelium (24). Several studies reported heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPG) as the primary HPV binding receptors on the basal membrane (25–28). This was demonstrated via prevention of HPV infection by N,N'-bisheteryl derivative of dispirotripiperazine or anti-HSPG antibodies that blocked the binding site for possible secondary receptors on cultured human keratinocytes and prevented cell entry (29). Additionally, digestion of cell surface-bound heparan surface (HS) with heparinase I has been shown to suppress pseudo infection of HPV 16 and 33 on cultured human keratinocytes (27). Chinese hamster ovary cells deficient of HSPG were inefficiently infected by HPV as the virus could not bind stably to their surface (30).

Binding of HSPG both in cell culture and *in vivo* triggers a conformational change on the viral capsid, which exposes the N-terminus of the L2 protein for cleavage by furin or proprotein convertase 5/6 (31, 32). This cleavage exposes a basal keratinocyte secondary receptor binding site on L1 protein and is a critical step for most HPV infection (31). The specific secondary binding receptor for HPV is not known. Some *in vitro* studies suggest a cell surface adhesion molecule,  $\alpha$ 6-integrin but HPV infection has been shown to take place in cells lacking this receptor (31–33). It therefore remains to be elucidated if there is a universal secondary receptor or whether different HPV types use different mechanisms.

Differences reported in initial HPV binding to host cells between *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies may suggest that different mechanisms are relevant depending on infection context. For example; binding of laminin 5 is important for infection of cultured keratinocytes but less important in HPV attachment and infection in murine genital tract (29, 34). It is important to note that *in vitro* studies lack *in vivo* components such as wound signalling pathways reported to be involved in establishment of HPV infection (35). Therefore confident conclusions on mechanisms of HPV infection and immunity should be based on *in vivo* studies.

# Viral internalisation

HPV infection takes place through micro abrasions on the skin or mucosal surfaces. In HPV types requiring  $\alpha$ -integrin in their infection process, this enables it to interact with the protein L1 enabling introduction of the virus into the host cell (36).

A clathrin-mediated receptor endocytosis pathway is implicated in internalisation of most HPV types studied to date including HPV 16 and bovine papillomavirus (37, 38). Alternative pathways such as a caveolae-dependent route or the use of tetraspanin-enriched domains as a platform for viral uptake have been reported for the same or different HPV types (39). Factors such as usage of different pathways by different HPV types, maturation stage and nature of capsid (whether VLP or pseudovirion), different experimental manipulations and study end-points may contribute to differences reported from various studies (33). Therefore, despite the extensive literature on HPV internalization, there is no consensus on a universal viral internalisation pathway for those HPV types studies to date.

Internalised viral particles employ a complex mechanism to gain entry to the host cell nucleus where they can exploit the host machinery for their replication (40). Cell cycle progression is required for the viral genome entry into host cell nucleus during the nuclear membrane breakdown in mitosis (41). Then the viral genome initially replicates slowly and establishes itself in the nucleus by attachment to host cell chromatin and is maintained at constant copy numbers during cell division (41). The viral genome replication is tightly linked to the differentiation of the cervical epithelium (42). Basal cells divide both symmetrically, into the basal layer and asymmetrically to enable epidermal stratification (43, 44). The cells moving up through the epithelium differentiate by acquiring various characteristics until they reach the epithelial surface, from where they are shed in a self-renewing process (43, 44). During the cell differentiation process, host cell transcription factors are produced and interact with the increasing viral LCR. This increases the transcription and translation of early viral proteins (45). As cells mature and move towards the epithelial surface, viral capsid proteins are expressed and virions assembled to produce high copy numbers in the terminally differentiated cells at the uppermost epithelial layer that is shed off (21, 46).

HPV infection does not commonly cause oncogenic transformation. However, a few cases of persistent infection with high-risk HPV types can lead to viral DNA integration into host genome, in a process involving a random breakage between E1 and E2 region and subsequent loss of E2 (47-49). Protein E2 is critical for regulation of the expression and activity of E6 and E7 and therefore, its loss interferes with controlled expression of these proteins and allows favourable amplification throughout the differentiation process (47). The oncogenes E6 and E7 target two host proteins required for cell cycle regulation, the tumour suppressor p53 and the retinoblastoma gene product (pRB) (50). Protein E6 causes degradation of p53 through the ubiquitinproteasome pathway, interfering with DNA repair, G1 arrest and the apoptosis processes (50). Protein E7 binds to pRB and blocks its interaction with E2F transcription factor 6 (E2F6) (51). Interaction between pRB and E2F6, a transcriptional repressor is a critical regulatory step required to activate E2F6 expression in S phase providing a negative feedback mechanism to slow down progression and exit of S phase when other E2F transcription factors are activated (51). Blocking this step therefore disrupts normal cell cycle exit from S-phase and results in uncontrolled cell proliferation (51–53).

Progression of HPV-associated disease on the cervix takes place in several stages from pre-cancerous to early- and late-stage advanced cancer. These are summarized in Table 1.

## Immune responses to HPV infection

#### Innate immunity

Continuous renewal of the basal epithelial layer leads to the formation of keratinized upper layers through the partial activation of apoptosis by cell degradative mechanisms. These keratinized

TABLE 1	Progression	of HP\	infection	to	cervical	cancer:	stages a	and
disease g	rades.							

Stage	Description	
HPV Infection	Persistent infection with high-risk HPV types, which can lead to cellular abnormalities. Most infections clear spontaneously, but some persist and progress.	
Cervical Intraepithelial Neoplasia (CIN) 1	Low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (LSIL); mild dysplasia, often resolves spontaneously.	
CIN 2	Moderate dysplasia; higher risk of progression to cancer if untreated.	
CIN 3	Severe dysplasia; considered a high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (HSIL) and a precancerous condition.	
Carcinoma in Situ (CIS)	Full-thickness dysplasia of cervical epithelium but has not yet invaded deeper tissues. Considered a pre-invasive cancer stage.	
Early-Stage Cervical Cancer (Stage I & II)	Cancer is confined to the cervix (Stage I) or has spread to the upper vagina and nearby tissues (Stage II). May be treated with surgery and/or radiotherapy	
Advanced Cervical Cancer (Stage III & IV)	Cancer spreads to the pelvic wall, lower vagina, or other organs. Late-stage disease (Stage IV) includes metastasis to distant organs such as the lungs or liver.	

Cervical Cancer Stages was originally published by the National Cancer Institute. https:// www.cancer.gov/types/cervical/stages

layers act as a barrier against infections (54). Integrins maintain the integrity of the epidermis by mediating adhesion between the cytoskeleton and extracellular matrix and regulate wound healing processes (55). Early anti-viral immunity is principally mediated by Type I interferons (IFN-I) including IFN- $\alpha$  and IFN- $\beta$ . These induce antimicrobial states in infected cells to limit infection spread, moderate secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines, enhance natural killer cell (NK cell) response and promote antigen presentation to activate adaptive immunity (56). Persistent high-risk HPV infection is made possible by complex immune evasion mechanisms some of which are discussed next. The limited expression of viral proteins in the early infection stages enables HPV to avoid immune recognition and activation of cytotoxic T cells. The confinement of the viral infection to epithelial cells where immune surveillance is less robust further enables evasion of immune recognition. During the infectious cycle, cytolysis is prevented by the viral modulation of cell survival mechanisms via E5 protein and inhibition of apoptosis mechanisms via the oncogenic E6 and E7 proteins (7). The viral replication and assembly occur in cells pre-destined for apoptosis hence the virus does not induce sufficient inflammatory and other danger signals required to prevent viral replication (57). This balanced control of the host immunity enabling survival of infected cells ensures persistent survival of the virus.

Antigen presenting cells (APCs) including dendritic cells (DCs), Langerhans cells (LCs), macrophages and keratinocytes as well as natural killer (NK) cells play a sentinel role recognizing pathogen associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) via specialized receptors, mainly the Toll like receptors (TLRs) family, to promote innate immunity and initiate adaptive immunity (58, 59). Keratinocytes constitute 95% of cervical epithelium, hence are the primary cells infected by HPV at the basal layer (60, 61). They therefore play a key role in the initiation of HPV infection and activation of adaptive immunity as non-professional antigen presenting cells (62). HPV infection activates keratinocytes to synthesize several signalling and regulatory molecules including Type 1 IFN, intercellular adhesion molecule-I (ICAM-I), antimicrobial peptides, pro-inflammatory cytokines, growth factors and chemokines. These molecules enhance activation and recruitment of other immune cells (63-66). Keratinocytes express various extracellular and intracellular TLRs, notably TLR9 critical for recognition of double stranded DNA viruses (67-70). HPV-immortalized human keratinocytes (HaCaT cells) highly express TLR9 and upon activation upregulate the key pro-inflammatory cytokine TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-1 (71). Several studies on cervical and genital HaCaT cells have demonstrated the ability of transforming growth factor-beta (TGF- $\beta$ ), tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- $\alpha$ ) and IFN- $\alpha$  to inhibit proliferation of the HPV infected cells and suppress expression of E6 and E7 proteins (72, 73). These cell line studies demonstrated a role of host immunity in controlling HPV infection.

Effective evasion of recognition by innate immunity is thought to be the hallmark of persistent high-risk HPV infections (5). HPV 16 E6 and E7 interact directly with IFN-I pathways, specifically interfering with signalling through IFN- $\alpha$  and IFN- $\beta$  (74, 75). This impairment of early immune activation may subsequently prevent clearance of the HPV infection.

TLR9 has been reported to induce antiviral immunity upon HPV infection while also being associated with HPV-related cancers. One study used immunohistochemistry to evaluate TLR9 expression in 96 formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded cervical samples from patients with cervical cancer (76). Results showed that TLR9 expression increased gradually with the histopathological grade as follows: chronic cervicitis (2/17, 11.8%) < low grade cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN 1) (4/19, 28.6%) < medium grade CIN 2 (3/10, 30.0%) < high-grade CIN 3 (12/24, 50.0%) < cervical squamous cell carcinomas (CSCC) (17/32, 53.1%). The authors postulated possible upregulation of unknown TLR9 ligands in the tumour microenvironment resulting to an altered signalling pathway leading to the positive correlation between TLR9 expression and disease severity (76).

A study of 23 patients histologically confirmed to have mild cervical dysplasia, used the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to determine HPV DNA positivity and persistence over 12 months (77). The activity of NK cells was assessed alongside monitoring of cervical dysplasia by cytology and colposcopy. Immune assessments were performed at diagnosis and after every 3 months over the follow up period. A total of 18/23 (78.3%) of the patients were HPV positive, with high representation of HPV 16 at 55.6% of the total cases. At the end of the study, 12/18 (66.7%) of the HPV DNA-positive women became HPV-negative (defined by at least two negative tests of the original HPV DNA type). In 83.3% of those who cleared HPV, the resolution of HPV infection was associated with clinical pathologic remission of cervical dysplasia was associated with higher NK cells activity than persistent disease over the 12 months follow up period (77).

Another study evaluated the immune state in 43 lower genital tract neoplasia patients. Diagnosis was performed by colposcopy with cervical cytology, confirmed by directed biopsy. 21 patients had been affected by recurrent HPV infection either alone or together with intraepithelial neoplasia treated by laser surgery. The other 22 had previously been treated, clinically cured, and did not relapse over 18 - 24 months follow-up. In the patients with recurrent infection, results showed a positive relationship between reduction of NK cells and HPV infection associated with intraepithelial neoplasia. The authors concluded that viral control in the patients who did not relapse is from NK cells targeted to the viral antigens, which may have been suppressed by the persistent infection in the other group leading to the development of lesions (78). An earlier study assessed cytotoxic activity of NK cells from peripheral blood of patients with different cervical cancer stages. Similar cytotoxic activity of NK cells was reported between patients with localised uterine cervix carcinoma stages (I, II, III and IVa) and healthy women, but was decreased in patients with metastatic stages (IVb) (79). Severity of the late stage disease may have suppressed the NK function.

In a study of 59 cervical cancer and squamous intraepithelial lesions (HSIL) patients, disease-associated HPV types were identified by PCR (80). NK cells were isolated from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) and analysed for expression of NK cells activating receptors, NKp30, NKp46, NKG2D, NKp80 and 2B4 by flow cytometry (80). Cytotoxicity of the NK cells against K-562 cells was evaluated using flow cytometry. Healthy HPV negative women with no history of abnormal cytology were used as controls. The results showed decreased expression of NKp30 and NKp46 in cervical cancer and HSIL while NKG2D was downregulated in cervical cancer only. Reduced expression of these receptors correlated with HPV 16 detection, low NK cells cytolytic activity and increased disease severity (80). HPV may have suppressed expression of the activating receptors to evade clearance. A study comparing phenotype and function of NK cells between HIVpositive and HIV-negative women with HPV-associated genital warts reported altered phenotype and reduced function of NK cells from the HIV-positive group. This is a possible reason why HIV positive women are normally less likely to clear genital warts and may develop more severe HPV-associated disease outcomes (81).

Taken together, these studies present NK cells as key players in clearance of HPV infected cells and regression of HPV-induced disease, except in cases where the virus succeeds in suppressing the host immunity. The mechanisms by which this suppression occurs in some individuals and not others are not clear and warrant investigation.

Macrophages are key tissue resident immune cells central in maintaining tissue homeostasis for remodelling, elimination of abnormal cells, phagocytosis and regulation of inflammation via cytokine production (82). The role of macrophages in anti-HPV immunity is not well documented. The first study to investigate the role of tissue macrophages in cervical HPV infection and intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) used immunocytochemistry (83). Monoclonal antibodies MoAb 3.9, that react with most macrophage populations and MoAb Ell, specific for the C3b receptor, CR1 were used. Cervical biopsy samples from 6 women with HPV infection, 10 with HPV associated CIN and 5 controls with normal cervix were tested. The results showed a small population of MoAb 3.9 positive and only occasional MoAb Ell positive macrophages in the normal cervix. In contrast, there was a significant infiltration of both MoAb 3.9 and MoAb Ell positive macrophages in the epithelium and stroma of HPV infected and biopsies with CIN. The authors postulated macrophages to be the first line of defence against HPV infection, either through a direct anti-viral mechanism or non-specific phagocytosis (83). A recent study used single cell RNA sequencing to assess the role of HPV 16 positive macrophages in cervical cancer prognosis (84). HPV proteins E1, E6 and E7 were found to be expressed in both macrophages and malignant cells. Genes such as Wiskott-Aldrich Syndrome Protein (WASP), IQ Motif Containing B1 (IQCB1), Myosin IF (MYOIF) and PDZ Domain Containing 1 (PDZD1) that favour cervical cancer prognosis were found to be expressed in HPV 16 positive macrophages. The expression of these genes was thought to have been induced by the transcription factors Krüppel-like factors (KLFs) which control metabolic and other cellular mechanisms. Tumour-associated macrophages (TAMs) have been implicated in cancer development, metastasis and angiogenesis (85). In cervical cancer, TAMS display different phenotypes, mainly the M2-like phenotype which inhibits anti-tumour T cell responses, attracts Tregs and secrete immunosuppressive IL-10 and tumour growth factor-beta (86, 87). Like other viruses such as HIV, hepatitis B and C viruses, human cytomegalovirus, and poliovirus, HPV is thought to infect macrophages and suppress their antigen presentation capacity but the underlying mechanisms for this are not well understood (83, 88).

#### Adaptive immunity

#### Antigen presentation and costimulation

Expression of major histocompatibility (MHC) and costimulatory molecules by keratinocytes and the cytokine milieu produced by keratinocytes and LCs are critical for T cell activation in the microenvironment of the cervix (89, 90). A study on women with abnormal cervical cytology (CIN) and normal squamous epithelium looked at the antigen presenting environment in terms of the expression of Major Histocompatibility (MHC) class II molecules including Human Leucocyte Antigen (HLA)-DR and HLA-DQ, costimulatory molecules (CD11a/18, CD50, CD54 (or ICAM-1), CD58 and CD86), TNF-α and IL-10 on keratinocytes and LCs (91). On keratinocytes, de novo expression of MHC II and CD58 expression were found to positively correlate with CIN (91). On the other hand, LCs did not express any costimulatory molecules in biopsies that were normal or those that had CIN (91). In basal keratinocytes, 100% (12/12) of normal biopsies showed constitutive expression of TNF- $\alpha$ , a strong stimulator of LCs which was lacking in a number of CIN samples including 87% (20/23) of CIN 1 and 67% (12/18) of CIN 2 (91). On the other hand, upregulation of IL-10 was observed in a number of CIN lesions: 52% (12/23) of CIN 1 and 44% (8/18) of CIN 3, but not in normal

epithelium, 0% (0/12). Expression of HLA-DR, CD54 and CD58 may indicate immune activation in CIN which may have been suppressed by IL-10 and down regulation of TNF- $\alpha$  (91). The observed restricted expression of costimulation molecules and the nature of the cytokine microenvironment created within the epithelium during HPV-associated disease may limit effective immune responses in some CIN lesions.

Most studies have characterised HPV T cell responses targeted to the HPV oncogenic proteins E6 and E7 following cervical infections. These proteins have been shown to suppress TLR9 expression, reducing recognition and antigen presentation by DCs and macrophages (7). Langerhans cells can access HPV proteins at the epidermis (89). They play an important role in presentation of mainly E6 and E7 HPV antigens to naïve CD4+ T cells supported by production of IL-1 $\alpha$  and TNF- $\alpha$  from keratinocytes and IL-1 $\beta$  from LCs themselves (89). The granulocyte-macrophage colonystimulating factor (GMCSF) from keratinocytes promotes LCs maturation to DCs and enhance antigen presentation (64, 92). A comparison of cytokine secretion by cultured normal human cervical keratinocytes, HPV-immortalized cervical and carcinoma cell lines showed a decrease in IL-1 $\alpha$ , TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-1 $\beta$  and GMCSF to be associated with persistent HPV infection (65). The decreased cytokine levels may have limited the antigen presentation environment in cervical lesions (65). Other studies have reported decreased density of LCs in epidermal tissues infected with HPV, postulated to be due to normal egress of activated LCs migrating into draining lymph nodes for antigen presentation to naïve T cells (93).

Calcium-dependent adhesion between keratinocytes and LCs mediated by E-cadherin expression by the LCs is required for retention of LCs in the basal epidermal layers (94, 95). A study examining biopsy samples from patients with cervical lesions reported a reduction in both LC numbers and cell surface E-cadherin expression that was mediated by E6 expression in HPV 16 infected basal keratinocytes (94). This was also reported in another study that showed reduction of LCs and depletion of specific LC subpopulations by HPV infection in intraepithelial tissues (94). This disruption of interaction between keratinocytes and LCs as a first line of defense further contributes to immune evasion allowing persistent viral infection.

#### T cell responses

Despite the described interference with the antigen presenting environment, early studies showed that keratinocytes and LCs can present some HPV E6 and E7 antigens to T cells activating them to mount effective antiviral immunity in immunocompetent individuals (96). Recent studies utilizing tetramer-based single-cell and proteome-wide analyses identified E1, E2, E4, E5 and L1 specific T cells in HPV 16- and HPV 33- associated oropharyngeal cancers (97, 98). Investigating HPV specific T cell responses to these proteins following cervical HPV infections may identify potential targets in the development of anti-HPV therapeutics.

One study monitored the regression of HPV 6- and 11-associated genital warts and changes in the immune responses. Regressing warts (n=14) contained significantly more, predominantly CD4+ T cell

lymphocytes than non-regressing controls (n=14). Majority of the T lymphocytes in regressing warts were antigen experienced with greater expression of activation markers HLA-DR and ICAM-1 on keratinocytes, and E-selectin and vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM-1) on endothelial cells (96). Other studies reported that during HPV 16 infection, Th1 cytokines including IL-2, IL-12 and IFN- $\gamma$  are involved in CD8+ T cell activation and correlate with clearance of cervical lesions expressing HPV E6 and E7 antigens (99, 100). A study investigated the presence and quality of anti-HPV 18 E6 IFN-y CD4+ T cell responses in the blood of 37 patients with CIN, 25 normal donors, and 20 cord blood samples and compared the responses with clinical outcome (101). Considering subjects with CD4+ T specific reactivity as responders by proliferation and/or cytokine release assays using one or more HPV 18 E6 peptides, the study reported the number of responders to be 0% (0/20) in cord blood samples, 12% (3/25) in normal donors and almost 40% in HPV 18-positive patients depending on the effector function tested. When only HPV 18-positive patients were considered, the responders' percentage was almost 80%. Since only about 20% of cervical lesions have been reported to be caused by HPV 18 from previous studies, the authors hypothesised that HPV 18 infects a higher percentage and that some of the E6 responsive HPV 18 negative patients may have cleared the infection and developed CD4+ T cell memory. 13 of the responders were followed up after surgery and evaluated for clinical outcome. The initial follow-up times included 3, 6, 9, and 12 months and thereafter every 6 to 8 months, and consisted of clinical inspection, cytology, colposcopy and HPV detection, depending on suspected relapse. At the end of follow up, 62% (8/13) of them had a favourable outcome, defined as being negative for both cytology and colposcopy while 38% (5/13) had unfavourable outcome, defined as being positive for either cytology, colposcopy or HPV detection.

In another study, HPV 18 E7 specific CD4+ T cell responses were evaluated before treatment in patients with CIN or low-grade invasive cervical cancer, and in age-matched healthy controls (102). Upon in vitro stimulation of whole peripheral blood mononuclear cells with E7 peptides, the study reported robust Th1 (IFN- $\gamma$ ) and Th2 (IL-4 and either IL-5 or IL-10 or both) cytokine production from HPV 18 negative patients. In contrast, HPV 18 positive patients showed little or no cytokine production (102). Similar analysis for the same Th responses targeted to E6 peptides showed no difference between the HPV 18 negative and HPV 18 positive patients (102). Twenty percent of the healthy controls had E7 specific Th1 and Th2 cytokine production similar to those observed in the HPV 18 negative patients. 16% of the same Th responses targeted to E6 had been reported in the healthy controls (101, 102). The authors concluded that E7-targeted CD4+ T cell response may have cleared and protected against subsequent HPV 18 infection. They note that lack of CD4+ T cell response in the patients with HPV 18 infection may have been caused by successful immune suppression by the infection.

Immunocompetence is key in prevention of persistent HPV infection. Sexually active women living with HIV are more likely to acquire and less likely to clear HPV infection, thus increasing their risk of developing cervical cancer (103, 104). Indeed, 28 000 out of the 33 000 global new cervical cancer cases diagnosed in 2018

were attributed to HIV infection (105). Suppression of CD4+ T cell response by HIV favours HPV infection and persistence (103). Less than 200 CD4+ T cell count per mm<sup>3</sup> or HIV RNA level of more than 100,000 copies per mL were reported to increase the risk of incident cervical HPV detection and the prevalence of LSIL (104). A nested case control study within a Swiss HIV cohort reported a significant association between the risk of developing CIN 2/3 with low CD4+ T cell counts. The odds of developing CIN 2/3 increased with a decrease in CD4+ T cells (Odds ratio 1.15) per 100  $\mu$ l/mL decrease in CD4+ T cells (103). One study assessed long-term (8 years) cumulative detection of HPV among HIV-seropositive women (n=2543) and reported an increase (58% at baseline to 92% at 8 years) in HIV-seropositive women compared to (22% at baseline to 66% at 8 years) in HIV-seronegative women (n=895) (106).

Collectively, CD4+ T cells appear to play a central role in HPV clearance and the impairment of their activity by immunosuppressive strategies of HPV immune evasion or by other infections such as HIV increases the risk of persistent HPV infection and progression to disease.

Like NK cells, CD8+ cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTL), hold cytotoxic granules required for clearance of virally infected and cancerous cells, hence are important immunotherapeutic targets for cancer treatment (107, 108). Murine immunization with nontumour fibroblast-like cells transfected with HPV 16 E6 and E7 gene generated CTL anti-tumour response that subsequently inhibited the growth of HPV 16 E6 and E7 positive tumour cells transplanted into them (109, 110). HPV 16 specific CTL responses, defined by the expression of granzyme B and T-cell intracellular antigen 1 (TIA-1) were assessed in 24 randomly selected CIN lesions of increasing severity and in 14 cervical squamous cell carcinomas (111). The samples were also analysed for MHC I expression, often reported to be down-regulated in HPV-induced lesions. Following immunohistochemical analysis, invasive carcinoma had higher expression of CD8+ T cells, TIA-1 and Granzyme B than premalignant CIN 1-3. Substantial infiltration of CTL was observed in CIN in the dysplastic epithelium with about 50% expression of TIA-1, but few Granzyme B lymphocytes were observed in both stroma and neoplastic areas. The reduced Granzyme B in infiltrating CTL may indicate that only a minority of them may have cytotoxic potential, hence it is important to also consider the functional characteristics of infiltrating immune cells carefully. Granzyme B expression in carcinoma samples varied from few (below 5) to massive (over 40) per high-power field. The mean number of TIA-1-positive cells was similar to that of Granzyme Bpositive cells in carcinomas. There was no correlation between the overall percentage of activated CTL and MHC I expression, except only when they were infiltrating, which aligns with the CTL role in killing virally infected or tumor cells. Results from this study suggested proper activation of CTL in some carcinomas with their activity likely hampered by local factors or immunoselection of resistant neoplastic cells that inhibit a proper CTL to the neoplastic cells.

The populations of tissue infiltrating immune cells were assessed in a cross-sectional cohort of different CIN grades and a

longitudinal cohort of regressing, persistent and progressing CIN 1 (112). At recruitment, 59% (74/125), 31% (39/125) and 10% (12/ 125) women had histologically proven CIN 1, CIN 2/3 and normal biopsies, respectively. Within one year, 24.6% (17/64) CIN 1 progressed to CIN 2/3. Cytotoxic lymphocytes were the predominant intraepithelial cell population in CIN, while CD4+ and FOXP3+ regulatory T cells predominated the stromal compartment. There were significantly higher number of granzyme B-positive CTL in the entry samples of women with CIN 1 who later regressed, with the ratio between all infiltrating CTL and granzyme B+ cells being close to 1, suggesting high activity. However, this ratio was three-fold lower in women whose lesions persisted or progressed. This suggests that early infiltration of highly cytotoxic effector cells into lesions may protect against disease progression. HPV 16 specific CTL with an ability to lyse HPV 16 infected cells are often reported in tumours and lymph nodes of cervical cancer patients as well as in HPV infections that have not progressed to lesions (100, 113-115). Some studies suggest that E6 targeted CTL may be more important for HPV 16 clearance than E7-targeted CTL (116). Greater loss of MHC I expression has been reported in cervical biopsies with CIN and cutaneous warts compared to condylomas and laryngeal papillomas (117, 118). Other studies have shown a positive correlation between MHC I loss with increased HPV-related disease invasiveness further supporting the immune suppressive effects of HPV in promoting disease progression (119, 120).

While CTL are critical for clearance of HPV infected cells, the mechanisms that determine their variable activity between individuals are not understood. These may be influenced by the HPV infection and disease microenvironment as well as other host factors.

#### Humoral responses

Most literature on HPV humoral immunity at the cervix is limited to HPV 16 and shows infection-induced HPV specific antibodies to be predominantly targeted to L1 and L2 capsid proteins, and to a less extent to non-structural disease-associated early proteins E2, E4, E5, E6 and E7 (121, 122). The HPV capsid proteins are not expressed in early infection stages hence do not trigger a humoral response early on (7, 123–125). Various studies have reported antibody responses to HPV infection mostly using VLP-based enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and multiplex bead-based assays mainly quantifying total HPVbinding antibodies. Pseudovirion-based and secreted alkaline phosphatase neutralization assays are used to determine viral neutralising titres. HPV specific antibodies are protective but the exact titre required to confer protection is not yet known (126).

These assays therefore report HPV seropositivity as the detection of HPV specific antibodies based on lower-detection cut-offs calculated from testing HPV-naïve individuals and HPV-infected or vaccinated individuals (127). The lack of a standardised assay for measurement of HPV specific antibodies presents a challenge on comparability of data between studies.

The duration of HPV infection, whether transient (HPV type infection clearing) or persistent (infection with the same HPV type

for over a year) determines the nature of subsequent development of serological response and or development of HPV associated disease. Both IgA and IgG responses are involved in HPV clearance with IgA appearing earlier than IgG and declining faster while IgG persists. IgA is thus thought to be involved in early viral clearance while IgG indicates long-term immunity (128). Several studies have reported HPV type specific IgA, IgG and IgM responses in individuals with HPV infection, intraepithelial lesions and cervical cancer (129-131). Pooled analysis of data from various studies evaluating natural immunity against anogenital HPV infections in male and female subjects was used to demonstrate that naturally acquired anti-HPV antibodies provide modest protection against subsequent cervical infections (132). This analysis involved 24 000 individuals from 18 countries and results showed significant protection against subsequent infection in female subjects with HPV 16 (pooled RR, 0.65; [95% CI 0.50-0.80] and HPV 18 [0.70; 0.43-0.98] which was not observed in male subjects (HPV 16: 1.22; [95% CI 0.6-01.77] (P = 0.05); HPV18: 1.50; [0.46-2.55]; (P = 0.15)) (132).

A longitudinal study assessed the natural history of antibody responses to HPV infection by comparing incident detection and persistence of HPV 6, 16 and 18 DNA in genital mucosa, time to seroconversion and serum IgG persistence (131). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was used for HPV DNA detection and ELISA for measurement of serum IgG. The study enrolled 603 young women, the majority (80.8%) of whom reported two or fewer sexual partners at enrolment hence the HPV types detected during follow up were believed to be from the first exposure and not reactivation. Average time interval, between visits was 4.7  $\pm$  0.9 months, and average length of follow-up was  $31.3 \pm 18.8$  months. 42 women in the study had just initiated sexual activity and were used to determine time to seroconversion following incident infection with HPV. Seroconversion for HPV 6 coincided with detection of respective incident HPV DNA unlike for HPV 16 and 18 which was detected between 6 and 18 months after detection of the respective HPV DNA, indicative of the immune suppression by these high-risk HPV types at early infection stages. Though detectable at different times relative to incident HPV DNA detection, the seroconversion rates were similar for the three HPV types; HPV 6, 16 and 18 at 59.5%, 54.1% and 68.8%, respectively (131). Antibody responses to the three HPV types declined after reaching a peak even in continued presence of HPV DNA. HPV 16 antibody response was highest followed by HPV 18 and lastly HPV 6. The majority of women who seroconverted for HPV 16 and 18 remained seropositive throughout the follow up period. For those with more than one follow-up visits after initial seropositivity, 71.4% of 28 women with incident HPV 16, and 78.6% of 14 women with incident HPV 18 infections remained seropositive at all visits. To the contrary, for those with incident HPV 6 infections, only 34.8% of 24 were seropositive during all subsequent visits suggesting HPV 6 antibody responses are less persistent. Some women with persistent HPV DNA did not seroconvert (131). There was a positive correlation between persistence of HPV 16 and 18 and respective antibody titres which was not observed for HPV 6. Women who were HPV 16 and 18 seropositive at least once and became negative for respective HPV DNA in subsequent visits, also became seronegative (131). A similar delay in seroconversion following HPV infection was reported in another study that assessed the relationship between HPV DNA by PCR, and seropositivity by ELISA in 3 anatomical sites (anal canal, genital and oral cavity) in a total of 384 men (133). The seroconversion rates to single or multiple HPV types HPV 6, 11, 16 and 18 varied by anatomic site with 6.3% (24/384), 18.9% (72/384) and 0.0% (0/ 384) for anal, genital and oral HPV infection, respectively. This study also reported HPV persistence to be a key factor influencing seroconversion (133). Recent pooled data from the unvaccinated control arms of two large phase 3 trials (NCT00122681 and NCT00867464) showed a significant inverse relationship between high levels of HPV 16 and 18 specific serum antibodies and the subsequent risk of incident HPV 16 and 18 infection. These were therefore naturally induced antibodies potentially protecting against new HPV infections. The analyses included 10752 women for HPV 16 (of whom 18% were HPV 16 seropositive at baseline) and 11169 for HPV 18 (of whom 15% were HPV 18 seropositive at baseline). Antibody response, HPV infection and cervical disease were assessed over 4 years. A total of 1534 incident HPV 16 infections and 1607 cervical pre-cancerous disease at different stages were detected over the 4 years follow up period. There was a reduction in detection of new HPV 16 infections by 37%, 12month persistent infection by 30%, and atypical squamous cells by 43% among women who were HPV 16 seropositive at baseline. All these reductions were associated with increasing antibody quartiles. Additionally, HPV 16-associated CIN 1 and CIN 2 were reduced with increasing HPV 16 antibody levels (CIN 1 RR = 0.09; [95% CI 0.01-0.68] and CIN2 RR = 0.15; [95% CI, 0.02-1.08]) for the  $4^{\rm th}$ quartile compared to seronegative rates at baseline. A total of 1079 incident HPV 18 infections and 733 cervical pre-cancerous disease at different stages were detected over the four years. Detection of new HPV 18 infection was reduced by 17%. No significant association was observed between baseline seropositivity and the risk of HPV 18 infection. On the other hand, the persistent infection was lower in 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> quartiles in those that were seronegative at baseline (134). High antibody quartiles decreased the risk of 12month persistent infection for 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> quartiles, respectively. This association was weaker than what was observed for HPV 16 (134). There was a decreased risk of HPV 18 atypical squamous cells with increasing antibody levels for 4<sup>th</sup> quartile. The study was not powered to assess associations for CIN 1 and CIN 2 between those that were seropositive or seronegative at baseline (134).

HPV infection-induced IgG and IgA responses in cervicovaginal secretions (CVS) are reported to be low, transient and highly variable (135). One longitudinal study used a bead-based assay to assess HPV 16 IgG and IgA antibody response in CVS samples collected at 4 monthly intervals from 20-24-year-old women (n=292) (136). Antibodies IgG, IgA and secretory piece associated antibodies to HPV 16 were detected in 12% (35/292), 6% (18/292) and 8% (23/292), respectively, of the tested samples (136). HPV 16 specific IgG antibodies at the cervix associated strongly with the detection of HPV 16 DNA within the preceding 12 months (odds ratio (OR), 3.3; [95% CI 1.4-7.8]), while secretory IgA

associated strongly with detection of squamous intraepithelial lesions within the last 4-8 months (OR, 6.4; [95% CI 1.9-21.8]) (136). Like HPV seroconversion, this study reported several months delay between infection and detection of HPV specific antibodies at the cervix (136). This may partly explain the positive correlation between infection-induced cervical and systemic HPV specific IgG antibodies (137). While infection-induced HPV-specific anti-L1 neutralizing antibodies in circulation are believed to reach the cervical mucosa and provide protection against new infections (138, 139), there is conflicting evidence indicating inconsistent correlation between antibody levels in the genital tract and systemic circulation (137, 140, 141). Therefore, the relationship between HPV infection-induced antibody responses between the two sites is not clear.

HPV type specific IgG response correlate with early infection clearance, with the antibodies believed to neutralise the virus before cell entry since they are unable to clear the virus once integrated to the host genome (121, 122).

Current prophylactic HPV vaccination generates between 10and 100-fold higher antibody responses than natural infection, with a moderate to strong correlation between vaccine-induced antibodies in CVS and serum, unlike in infection (135, 139, 142, 143). Further, vaccine-induced antibodies strongly neutralize HPV virus with an avidity 3 times higher than those induced by infection (144). In summary, although HPV infection induces both innate and adaptive immune responses that are able to clear most HPV types, the overall immune activation by high-risk HPV types is very much delayed by poor antigen access and presentation to lymphatics and draining lymph nodes for B and T cell activation (58). The non-cytolytic and non-inflammatory environment renders overall immune activation sub-optimal (Figure 2). However, immunocompetent individuals can mount sufficient immune response to prevent persistent infection and enable regression of HPV induced lesions. This demonstrates the critical role of host immunity in controlling HPV infections. Additionally, the high risk of persistent HPV infection and progression to cervical cancer attributable to HIV immunosuppression further demonstrate the critical role of infection-induced host immunity in controlling HPVassociated disease.

# **HPV vaccines**

There are currently six nationally licensed HPV vaccines, 5 of which are WHO pre-qualified and one under review for WHO prequalification (Table 2) (145–148). A seventh more recent HPV vaccine has shown non-inferior immunogenicity to the licensed vaccines, with high potential to advance to WHO pre-qualification (148). This development of more HPV vaccines is important in



#### FIGURE 2

Mechanisms of HPV immune evasion leading to persistent infection and impaired clearance. HPV employs multiple strategies to evade host immune responses, as illustrated in this schematic. HPV infects basal epithelial cells without causing cell lysis or inflammation. The delayed expression of late structural proteins (L1 and L2) until keratinocyte differentiation in the upper epithelium minimizes immune detection and facilitates persistent infection. HPV early proteins (E2, E4, E5, E6, and E7) suppress innate immune signaling by downregulating type I interferon production (IFN- $\alpha$ , IFN- $\beta$ , and IFN- $\kappa$ ), thereby limiting dendritic cell activation and antigen presentation. The E6/E7 proteins further impair natural killer (NK) cell function, reducing the clearance of infected or transformed cells. E5 inhibits expression of MHC class I and II molecules, leading to reduced CD8<sup>+</sup> and CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell activation. Consequently, this dampens the generation of effective cytotoxic responses and limits B cell activation and differentiation. This results to weak and delayed antibody response with low neutralising capacity, contributing to viral persistence and potential progression to HPV-related lesions or malignancies. Created with Biorender.com.

Vaccine (licensure year) WHO pre-qualification status)	Valency (VLP types)	Adjuvant	Expression system	Manufacturer
Cervarix (2009) WHO pre-qualified	Bivalent (HPV 16 and 18)	ASO4 (aluminium hydroxide, 3- deacetylated- 4- monophosphoryl lipid A)	Baculovirus expression vector in Trichoplusi ni (Hi 5), insect cells	GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals SA
Gardasil (2006) WHO pre-qualified	Quadrivalent (HPV 6, 11, 16 and 18)	AAHS (Amorphous aluminium hydroxyphosphate sulfate)	<i>Saccharomyces Cerevisiae</i> , baker's yeast	Merck Vaccines
Gardasil 9 (2014) WHO pre-qualified	Nonavalent (HPV 6, 11, 16, 18, 31, 33, 45, 52 and 58)	AAHS (Amorphous aluminium hydroxyphosphate sulfate)	Saccharomyces Cerevisiae, baker's yeast	Merck Vaccines
Cecolin (2019) WHO pre-qualified	Bivalent (HPV 16 and 18)	Aluminium hydroxide	<i>Escherichia coli</i> , Bacterial cells	Xiamen Innovax Biotech Co. Ltd
Walrinvax (2022) WHO pre-qualified	Bivalent (HPV 16 and 18)	Aluminium phosphate	Pichia pastoris	Walvax Biotechnolody Co.Ltd.
Cervavac (2023) submitted to WHO	Quadrivalent (HPV 6, 11, 16 and 18)	Aluminium Hydroxide	Hansenula	Serum Institute of India Pvt. Ltd. (SII)

#### TABLE 2 HPV vaccines' information.

(145-148)

overcoming supply limitations which have been a major challenge in achieving equitable coverage globally.

HPV vaccines contain non-infectious VLPs self-assembled from 72 L1 pentameric capsomeres of the HPV types they protect against (145–148).

The HPV vaccination schedule recommended by the WHO was recently updated to one or two doses for females aged 9-20 years and two doses for women older than 21 years. Vaccination primary target is 9-14-year-old girls, with recommendation for secondary targets including boys and older females where feasible (149).

### Vaccine efficacy and immunogenicity

Efficacy of HPV vaccines in humans was first demonstrated against HPV 16 in a randomized controlled trial of HPV 16 vaccine involving 2392 young women (150). Vaccine or placebo was administered in a three-dose regimen (0, 2 and 6 months) and thereafter participants negative for HPV 16 infection at baseline followed up for a median time of 17.4 months. During follow-up, they were tested for persistent HPV 16 infection and CIN at one month after the third dose and every 6 months thereafter. The incidence of persistent HPV 16 infection was found to be 3.8 and 0.0 per 100 women-years at risk in the placebo and vaccinated groups, respectively, translating to 100% efficacy (95% CI 90-100) against new HPV infection (150). Noteworthy, HPV vaccines prevent new infections but do not treat existing infections or diseases (143). Additionally, cross-protection against non-vaccine HPV types has been reported to be mainly driven by HPV 31 and HPV 45 and likely to wane faster than vaccine specific protection (151).

Later studies confirmed this high efficacy against new infections as well as disease caused by HPV types in the vaccine (9, 143, 152– 162). When administered to infection-naïve individuals, all HPV vaccines provide close to 100% protection against the HPV types they contain (163). Such high efficacy shown to correlate with the unique induction of exceptionally high antibody levels partly explains why no correlate of protection has been established for HPV vaccines. Serum neutralizing antibodies are important in protecting against new HPV infections but the relationship between their levels and protection has not been verified (164).

Gardasil and Gardasil 9 are similarly efficacious in protecting against the 4 HPV types (HPV 6, 11, 16, 18) they both contain (154). The efficacy of Gardasil 9 was compared to Gardasil, against infection with the 5 additional HPV types (HPV 31, 33, 45, 52, 58) not included in the latter vaccine and development of CIN associated with the HPV types was assessed (154). Gardasil 9 efficacy was 96.7% [95% CI 80.9-99.8] against CIN 2/3, vulvar or vaginal disease associated with the 5 HPV types (154). The efficacy of Gardasil 9 vaccine against infection with all the 9 HPV types it contains, their related diseases and definitive therapy was evaluated in a different (158). Vaccination reduced incidence of CIN 2/3 and cervical surgery related to the 9 HPV types by 98.2% [95% CI 93.6-99.7] and 97.8% [95% CI 93.4-99.4], respectively (158). Similar efficacy was reported for Cervarix vaccine against HPV 16 and 18 infection and HPV 16 and 18-associated CIN 1/2 in individuals vaccinated before exposure to HPV (165). One trial reported Cervarix vaccine efficacy of 100.0% [95% CI 72.3-100.0] against persistent HPV 16 and 18 infection as well as 100.0% [95% CI 61.5-100.0] and 100.0% [95% CI 32.7-100.0] against HPV 16- and 18-associated CIN 1 and CIN 2, respectively (166). Another trial assessing Cervarix efficacy against HPV 16/18-associated CIN reported an efficacy of 96.1% [95% CI 71.6-100.0] and 100.0% [95% CI 74.2-100.0] against CIN 1 and CIN 2, respectively (155).

While there were initially no randomised trials planned to evaluate single dose HPV vaccination, observational data from females who ultimately only received a single dose of either Cervarix or Gardasil vaccines suggested high level protection (11, 167, 168). The Costa Rica HPV Vaccine Trial (NCT00128661) reported Cervarix vaccine efficacy against HPV 16 and 18 infection in 18 to 25-year-old women to be 80.2% [95% CI 70.7 - 87.0] for three-doses, 83.8% [95% CI 19.5 - 99.2] for two-doses and 82.1% [95% CI 40.2 - 97.0] for single dose at 10 years post-vaccination (11). A recent update of this study shows that single dose immunogenicity was still high at 16 years after vaccination with notable declines in the antibody responses between years 11 and 16 (169).

Data from an immunogenicity trial of Gardasil vaccine in India reported a similar ability of a single dose to generate high antibody titres that were stably maintained without waning between 1 and 11 years follow up (168). The study evaluated vaccine immunogenicity in girls aged between 10 and 18 years. The Geometric mean titre (GMT) of HPV 16 at 1 year was 9.72 International Units per mL (IU/mL), 95% (CI 8.30 - 11.37) and at 12 years was 9.90 IU/mL (95% CI 8.76 - 11.19), with similar antibody profiles for HPV 6, 11 and 18 and overall 96% seroconversion (168). Although this singledose protection has so far been sustained for over 10 years, given the non-randomized nature of these cohorts, hence the inherent risks of bias, single-dose randomised trials have subsequently been judged necessary. Consequently, several randomised trials are ongoing to confirm the single dose vaccine protection. The KEN SHE trial (NCT03675256) of both Gardasil 9 and Cervarix in 3 Kenyan study sites being the first to report high efficacy against HPV 16 and 18 by a single dose of either vaccine given to 15-20-year-old-women (170). Compared to a control group that received meningococcal vaccine, the efficacy of a single dose of Gardasil 9 and Cervarix against both HPV 16 and 18 infection was 97.5% [95% CI 81.7-99.7] and 97.5% [95% CI 81.6-99.7], respectively (170). The ongoing larger ESCUDDO trial (NCT03180034) in Costa Rica aims to compare immunogenicity and efficacy of one and two doses of both Cervarix and Gardasil 9 and is expected to provide definitive outcomes on single dose protection.

An immunobridging analysis comparing the proportions of HPV 16 and 18 seroconverting and IgG antibody geometric mean concentrations (GMCs) between the single dose studies in Kenya (KEN SHE) and Tanzania (DoRIS) two years after vaccination was recently published (171). Findings from this analysis showed that in DoRIS, HPV 16 and 18 antibody GMCs were similar or higher than those in KEN SHE. Cervarix GMC ratios were 0.90 [95% CI 0.72 -1.14] for HPV 16 and 1.02 (0.78 - 1.33) for HPV 18, while Gardasil 9 GMC ratios were 1.44 [95% CI 1.14 - 1.82] and 1.47 [1.13 - 1.90], respectively. HPV 16 and 18 antibody GMCs and seropositivity from the single dose were non-inferior to two doses for both vaccines. A 5-year follow up of this study has reported durability of single dose anti-HPV 16 and 18 antibodies without waning although non-inferiority of seropositivity from single dose to two doses was maintained for anti-HPV 16 IgG antibodies and not HPV 18 (172).

These data further support the recent recommendation of single dose HPV vaccination, though there is need for data from long-

term randomized studies to monitor durability of protection from the single dose schedule. Other ongoing single dose randomised non-inferiority trials include the HANDS HPV vaccine trial (NCT03832049) in the Gambia comparing immunogenicity of one and two doses of Gardasil 9 in girls aged 4-14 years.

Several observational studies have reported a significant reduction in cervical cancer burden and indirect protection at population level in countries with good national coverage of HPV vaccination (173–178). Taken together, these data indicate the effectiveness of HPV vaccines in protecting against infection and disease.

Vaccine-induced IgG antibodies are the mediators of protection against new HPV infections (139, 160). Early animal studies showed immunization with VLPs from papillomaviruses from cottontail rabbits protected domestic rabbits against papillomas caused by cottontail rabbit papillomaviruses (179). Additionally, passive transfer of IgG or serum from immunized rabbits protected against challenge with the same papillomaviruses. This demonstrated antibody mediated protection by VLP-based papillomavirus vaccines (179). Early vaccine studies showed that unlike in murine models, mucosal vaccination via nasal, aerosol or sublingual routes in humans was poorly immunogenic in inducing anti-HPV antibodies in CVS, hence, intramuscular vaccination was studied subsequently (180-182). As a result, HPV vaccines are delivered intramuscularly enabling immediate antigen access to vasculature and lymphatics (57, 183). This induces inflammation with VLP antigens readily accessible to stromal DCs which get strongly activated and migrate transferring the antigens to draining lymph nodes to prime naive B and T cells initiating a strong overall immune activation. HPV vaccine immunogenicity is further thought to be enhanced by mechanisms dependent on the VLP structure as will be discussed later.

The aluminium-based adjuvants used in HPV vaccines enhance the body's immune response to the VLPs by increasing the local inflammatory response and allowing slow antigen release for sustained immune activation (184). The AS04 adjuvant system used in Cervarix, which is a combination of aluminum hydroxide and monophosphoryl lipid A (MPL), a TLR4 agonist induces stronger innate response responsible for the higher immunogenicity from Cervarix than other HPV vaccines (126).

Unlike the persistent HPV infection that generates both IgG and IgA detectable in CVS, HPV vaccination induces predominantly systemic IgG antibodies (185–187).

HPV vaccine-induced antibody response is broadly documented in systemic circulation but also importantly at the cervix, a major site of HPV infection (135). A number of studies report low levels of detectable vaccine-induced antibodies in CVS that show moderate to strong correlation with the corresponding vaccine-induced antibodies in circulation (135, 185, 188). This is similar to the correlation reported between diphtheria and tetanus toxoid specific antibodies in CVS and serum where the vaccine does not induce antibody response at the genital matrix (185). The antibodies are thus believed to reach the cervix through transudation and exudation (189). Since HPV infects mucosal surfaces, it is important that the vaccine-induced antibodies reach the actual infection site for their protective function. The mechanism of action of HPV antibodies is believed to be viral neutralisation since once an infection has been established, the antibodies are not able clear the virus (126).

Data from randomised trials in different populations demonstrated almost 100% seroconversion to HPV types contained in the vaccine within the first month of vaccination (190, 191). Cross reactivity has also been reported against certain non-vaccine HPV types (192, 193). The antibody profiles from Cervarix, Gardasil and Gardasil 9 show similar patterns peaking one month after completion of vaccination schedule, followed by an initial rapid decline and plateau at around two years onwards with titres well above pre-vaccination (160, 194). Although Cervarix induces higher HPV 16 and 18 antibody titres than Gardasil and Gardasil 9, the high titres from all vaccines are similarly maintained stably without waning (195–198). Available data on the longest follow ups for either of these vaccines show stable antibody persistence for at least 16 years (Cervarix) and 11 years (Gardasil) following vaccination (11, 194, 199, 200).

Majority of data available on vaccine-induced antibody responses in CVS came from Cervarix studies (185, 201, 202). Cervarix vaccination of females aged 14-25 years induced HPV 16 and 18 specific antibodies detectable in CVS (185, 201). Pooled analysis of data from 4 clinical trials involving girls and women reported detectable anti-HPV 16 and 18 antibodies in 95% and 92% of the participants, respectively, 7 months post-vaccination, and ranged between 71-100% and 55-100%, respectively, between 12-36 months post-vaccination (202). Ten years follow-up reported anti-HPV 16 and 18 antibodies detectable in 54-70% and 35-45% of CVS samples respectively, with anti-HPV 16 and 18 antibody titres in serum and CVS showing a mild to strong correlation (correlation coefficients; 0.64 and 0.38), respectively (202). Detection of anti-HPV 16 antibodies remained high (96.3% or higher) in all age groups, while anti-HPV 18 detection was 99.2%, 93.7%, and 83.8%, in 15-25-, 26-45- and 45-55-year olds, respectively (202). Anti-HPV 16 and 18 GMTs were 5.3-fold and 3.1-fold higher than those induced by natural infection, respectively. Persistence of vaccine induced antibodies above infection-induced levels was predicted by modelling to last at least 30 years in all age groups after primary vaccination (202).

# Cellular mechanisms for generation of long-term antibody protection

The immunological mechanisms underlying the nature of longterm antibodies induced even after a single HPV vaccination dose are not well understood. B cell and CD4+ T cell responses are key drivers of long-term antibody production through the germinal center reaction that yields memory B cells (Bmem) and long-lived plasma cells (LLPCs) (Figure 3).

Despite the crucial role played by cellular immune responses in determining the nature of antibody durability after vaccination, they are understudied following HPV vaccination. One of the earliest HPV vaccine studies evaluated the innate and adaptive cytokine responses before first dose and one month after second and third vaccination doses with non-adjuvanted HPV 16 L1 vaccine (203). Twenty women received the vaccine and 4 received normal saline as placebo and their whole blood collected before and after vaccination was cultured with HPV 16 L1 VLP stimulation. A multiplex bead-based assay was used to measure the cytokine responses. To compare the cytokine profiles with antibody responses, anti-HPV 16 IgG serology was performed before and after vaccination using ELISA. A broad spectrum of both innate and adaptive cytokines grouped into inflammatory (IL-1 $\beta$  and IL 8), Th1 (IFNy, TNF-a, IL-12 and GM CSF) and Th2 (IL- 4, IL-5, IL-6 and IL-10) were detected in whole blood at levels clearly discriminating between vaccine and placebo groups. The highest increment in all cytokine responses relative to pre-vaccination levels in vaccinated women was detected in whole blood after the second dose given at two months after the first dose. After the third dose, further non-significant increments were observed for IL-2, TNF- $\alpha$ , GM-CSF, IL-4, IL-10, and GM-CSF. There were no significant differences in the cytokine levels at the different timepoints in the placebo group. The cytokine profiles observed in the vaccine group suggested a recall response to the HPV 16 L1 antigen following secondary vaccination. The vaccine induced median antibody levels at 2560 units at months 2 and 7 at ranges of 640 -10240 at month 2 and 640 - 20480 at month 7. Only one vaccine recipient had detectable anti-HPV 16 L1 antibodies at entry with a titre of 160 units. Median antibody titres at enrolment of the placebo group were 0 (range 0 - 640) and ranged between 0 - 640 and 0 -160 at months 2 and 7, respectively. Correlation analyses showed variable trends between different cytokines and there was surprisingly no significant correlation between cytokine responses and anti-HPV 16 L1 antibodies, which may be partly due to the small sample size used (203).

HPV specific Bmem detectable in circulation after vaccination can mount a fast recall response after secondary vaccination (204-208). HPV 16 specific Bmem elicited by vaccination in female adolescents and young women without pre-existing immunity have been characterised using fluorescently labelled HPV 16 pseudovirus and flow cytometry (205). The identified antigen specific Bmem were further analysed by RNA sequencing, immunoglobulin cloning and assessment of the neutralisation ability of the cloned antibodies. Antibodies cloned from HPV Bmem were mainly of the IgG isotype, followed by IgA and IgM isotypes and used various heavy chain genes (205). Cloned antibodies had a high HPV 16 neutralising capacity in vitro despite low levels of somatic hypermutation, normally used as a measure of affinity maturation of antigen specific B cells (205). In another study, high antibody levels from the first HPV vaccination dose were suggested to neutralize the vaccine antigen when administered at short timing intervals (0, 2 and 6 months) compared to a fourth booster dose administered 24 months after the 3-dose schedule (207). Although the delayed fourth dose did not enhance affinity maturation of vaccine specific Bmem, it induced higher antibody titers than the third dose.

Another study reported that a single HPV vaccine dose improved the quality of HPV specific Bmem and boosted antibody titres in



trafficked to secondary lymphoid organs where they can activate B cells via two pathways. (A) T-independent activation involving B cell receptor cross-linking by repetitive L1 molecules. (B) T-dependent activation where the VLPs are preferentially taken up by antigen presenting cells such as dendritic cells which process and present them to naïve CD4+ T cells on MHC II molecules with co-stimulation via other surface molecules such as CD40-CD40L and ICOS-ICOS-L1 and cytokines. The CD4 T cells are activated to differentiate to Tfh cells which are further presented with the HPV antigen by cognate B cells. This interaction between cognate Tfh and B cells takes place in germinal centers. Both pathways can activate B cells to differentiate to short-lived plasma cells for early short-term protection, or to long-lived plasma cells and memory B cells, the sources of long-term antibodies. Tfh, T follicular helper cell; MHC II, major histocompatibility complex II; ICOS, inducible T-cell co-stimulator; ICOS-L1, ICOS Ligand; IL, interleukin; CCR/CXCR chemokine receptors, TCR, T cell receptor; IFN, interferon; IL, Interleukin. Created with Biorender.com.

previously infected subjects (206). Ten women aged 27-25 years, and with antibodies against HPV 16 were recruited to the study. Five of them received a single dose of Gardasil vaccine and the rest were non-immunized controls. Blood samples were collected 6 months before vaccination, immediately before vaccine administration and later at one week, one month, and 6 months after vaccination. Plasma and peripheral blood mononuclear cells were isolated and used for the measurements of antibody, plasmablast and Bmem responses. HPV 16 specific plasmablasts and Bmem were identified using flow cytometry while antibody responses were measured using both HPV binding and neutralisation assays. HPV 16 specific Bmem were analysed for germline immunoglobulin heavy chain variable gene usage and somatic hypermutation. A robust binding and neutralising antibody response was observed following vaccination. Vaccine-induced antibodies had significantly higher neutralizing capacity than antibodies present before vaccination. Vaccination boosted Bmem numbers 3-27-fold (median 6-fold) and were of the IgG, IgA and IgM isotypes. IgM Bmem were enriched one month after vaccination (206). Only 5 B cell receptor heavy chain genes were identified before vaccination and 3-fold more at one month following vaccination. These data indicate a potential benefit of single-dose HPV vaccination in individuals pre-exposed to HPV.

Whether vaccine-induced HPV Bmem play a role in maintaining long-term antibody protection is unclear. A recent

observational study compared antibody and Bmem responses in 149 young adolescents and young adult women at 4-6 years after completion of vaccination schedule with either Cervarix or Gardasil (208). Viral neutralisation and ELISA assays were used to evaluate antibody neutralisation and avidity respectively, while ELISPot was used to measure circulating HPV specific Bmem. With both vaccines, high anti-HPV 16 and 18 antibody responses were sustained through the 4-6 years after vaccination and were higher and more persistent in Cervarix group. HPV 16 and 18 specific Bmem responses generated by both vaccines were similar. The study reported no correlation between vaccine-generated systemic antibody titres and Bmem in either vaccine groups, and concluded that these responses may be independently maintained by mechanisms that remain to be elucidated (208). This absence of a positive relationship between Bmem and antibody responses may indicate that they have little or no contribution in sustaining the long-term antibodies.

Few studies have documented T cell responses to HPV vaccination. An exploratory study on 28 women evaluated T follicular helper (Tfh) cell responses to Gardasil and Cervarix vaccination following a three-dose vaccination schedule (209). Flow cytometry was used to identify activated Tfh cell frequencies ex vivo as CD4+CD45RO+CXCR5+PD-1+ICOS+. Further, the frequencies of Tfh subsets were identified from the total activated Tfh cells based on their expression of the chemokine receptors

CXCR3 and CCR6 as Tfh1 (CXCR3+CCR6-), Tfh2 (CXCR3-CCR6-), and Tfh17 (CXCR3-CCR6+). Overall, the results showed higher Tfh1 responses to both vaccines at day 7 post the first dose than post the third dose which is consistent with the earlier discussed data showing high antibody responses after a single HPV vaccination dose. The first Cervarix dose also induced Tfh17 which were not observed in response to Gardasil vaccination, indicating a higher inflammatory response from the ASO4 adjuvant in Cervarix (209).

Another study evaluated the kinetics of innate and adaptive responses generated by Cervarix and Gardasil vaccines (126). Twenty-seven women aged 18-25 years were recruited and randomised to receive 3 doses of either Cervarix or Gardasil. Whole blood samples were collected prior and at different time points after first, second and third vaccination doses. Collected blood was processed into plasma, serum, and peripheral blood mononuclear cells for analysis of different immune responses at different timepoints. For measurement of HPV L1 T cell responses, ELISpot was used to measure IFN-y responses to L1 peptides. Both CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses were measured in blood mononuclear cells using flow cytometry intracellular cytokine staining. Serum was used for measurement of HPV 16 and 18 antibody levels and avidity by ELISA, HPV 16, 18, 31, 45 and 58 neutralising antibody titres by pseudovirus neutralisation assays. Increased IL-2 and TNF- $\alpha$  responses were reported in the Cervarix group after completion of vaccination unlike the Gardasil group and was consistent across all 4 tested HPV types (HPV 16 and 18 and cross reactive HPV 33 and 45) (126). Although higher CD4+ T cell responses were achieved with Cervarix after 3 doses, similar affinity maturation was measured for both vaccines. Similarly, despite the higher anti-HPV 16 antibody levels and neutralising titres at month 7, and higher anti-HPV 18 antibody and neutralising titres at months 7 and 12 in the Cervarix group, similar avidity was measured for antibodies from both vaccines. HPV 31 was the only phylogenetically related non-vaccine HPV type tested in this study that was cross neutralised in the Cervarix group (126).

The vaccine-induced cellular differences may explain the differences in antibody titres generated by Cervarix and Gardasil and has been attributed to the different adjuvant systems. While higher CD4+ T cell responses in Cervarix may be expected to enhance affinity maturation than in the Gardasil group, this was not observed. Long-term clinical implications for these differences are unknown but most importantly, both vaccines showed similar avidity, high overall antibody response and have been reported to provide equal protection against HPV 16 and 18 infections (126, 193).

The profile of antibody titres generated by HPV vaccines, plateauing about 2 years post-vaccination and showing no signs of waning or boosting from reactivation of Bmem by viral reexposure is typically attributable to long-lived plasma cells (LLPCs) (12, 139, 210, 211). However, no studies reported LLPCs from HPV vaccination, potentially due to their homing in the bone marrow. Additionally, murine models do not live long enough and therefore not considered reliable for characterization of LLPCs (12).

Several potential mechanisms have been proposed for immune activation and sustenance of high antibody protection generated by HPV vaccines that is unusual for subunit vaccines (12, 57). The interaction between HPV VLPs with human myeloid antigen presenting cells was investigated to understand how they activate the immune system (212). Monocytes were isolated from PBMC of healthy individuals and were directly stimulated or cultured in appropriate conditions to generate macrophages and DCs. A VLP binding assay utilising a GFP-labelled HPV 16 VLP was used to assess the VLP binding to the cells. HPV 16 VLP was found to bind with an increasing density to the surface of human monocytes, macrophages and monocyte-derived DCs. Production of inflammatory cytokines by the cells was detected using ELISA for TNF $\alpha$  and IL-1 $\beta$  and flow cytometry for IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-12, TNF $\alpha$  and IL-6. The patterns of IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-12, TNF $\alpha$  and IL-6 in response to HPV 16 VLP activation were very distinct from patterns generated by a bacterial activator (lipopolysaccharide), a TLR4 agonist. This targeting of multiple cells, likely facilitated by the vaccine adjuvants was concluded to be one mechanism making HPV VLPs very effective in priming humoral and cellular immunity (212). Antigen size, geometry, kinetics and molecular patterns are important factors in determining the nature of vaccine-induced immunity (213). For HPV vaccines, the polyvalent VLP antigen with particulate 55 nm structure and repetitive array of L1 epitopes on their surface is thought to enhance B cell activation in several ways (212). The small VLP size is efficiently processed by phagocytic cells and traffic to lymph nodes efficiently to activate B cells and T cells (213). The close surface arrangement of the VLP molecules can bind low avidity natural IgM to activate complement, promoting VLP acquisition by follicular DCs (214, 215). The ordered and repetitive L1 antigens are thought to bind strongly to B cell receptors (BCRs) on naïve B cells resulting to BCRcrosslinking through BCR-associated tyrosine kinases. This is believed to generate strong activation and survival signals further alluding to LLPCs as the source of the long-term antibodies (12, 57). Naïve B cells are generally activated by monomeric antigens through IgM and IgD signaling. Repetitive antigens preferentially cause IgD signaling which possibly plays a major role in BCR crosslinking by HPV L1 antigens. Both IgM and IgD signalling are thought to be important in BCR activation by HPV vaccines and warrant further investigations.

# Need for therapeutic HPV vaccines

Current primary vaccination target is younger females to prevent new infections. People with HPV infection and HPV related disease are therefore not considered to benefit from current HPV vaccines except in protecting them from new infections with alternative HPV types. Additionally, cervical cancer patients relapse rates following current standard treatments (chemotherapy, radiotherapy and surgery) increases with the disease stage for example 11-22% and 28-64% relapse rates for stages IB-IIA and IIB-IVA respectively, and different rates in other HPV-related malignancies (216, 217). Persistent HPV infection and disease is more common in immunosuppressed individuals, hence, the therapeutic vaccines in development are targeted to enhance antiviral immunity.

Some of the strategies employed in development of therapeutic HPV vaccines include testing of current prophylactic vaccines as therapeutics for use after treatment, with the aim of preventing disease recurrence and reinfection (218–220). Some studies reported that vaccination with Cervarix or Gardasil after Loop electrosurgical excision procedure reduces HPV disease and infection recurrence (218, 220). However, other studies reported contradicting results highlighting a need for more randomized controlled trials to better understand efficacy of the vaccines in preventing relapses (221).

Various technologies have been explored in the development and delivery of HPV therapeutic vaccines including cell-based approaches, bacterial vectors, viral vectors, peptides or proteins, nucleic acids including (DNA and RNA) (222). Vaccines developed from these approaches have been tested as a single or combined strategies for immunogenicity and effect on cervical pre-cancerous lesions or cancer (222).

DCs are considered ideal immunotherapeutic targets due to their strong ability to initiate and control T cell response. DC-based therapeutic vaccines have been developed in form of DCs pulsed with peptides (or proteins), transduced with either DNA or viral vectors encoding HPV oncogenes E6 or E7 with some failing at preclinical phases while others advanced to clinical trials. A placebo controlled phases II and III trial showed no effect of HPV 6 L2-E7 fusion protein on HPV 6-induced warts (223). DC vaccines can induce tumour regression, but are personalised requiring preparation of autologous DCs from individual patients (224). The process is intensive and costly thereby limiting large scale production (224). Additionally, DCs based immunity may not be long lasting since they do not proliferate (225). The use of tumour cell-based vaccines in humans is faced with safety concerns and hence not considered for treatment of HPV-precancerous lesions (226, 227). The earliest protein E6 and E7-based vaccines induced desired immune responses correlating with clearance of HPV infection and regression of HPV-induced lesions but it was unclear if this was due to the vaccine effect or by natural immunity (227).

Commonly used adjuvants, mainly TLR agonists have been tested for their potential in enhancing the immunogenicity of HPV therapeutic vaccines (228–230). The immunogenicity of a therapeutic HPV unadjuvanted vaccine format of synthetic long peptide containing HPV16 E7 antigen, with a centrally located MHC class I epitope was compared to that of the same vaccine adjuvanted with TLR3, TLR4, TLR7/8 and TLR9 agonists in murine models (229). Of these, the TLR9 agonist, CpG oligodeoxynucleotide was the most potent leading to expansion of multifunctional CTL response. Additionally, the vaccine provided both prophylactic and therapeutic benefits. Testing of more recent adjuvants such as  $\alpha$ -Galactosylceramide, manganese-doped silica nanoparticles and very small-size proteoliposomes has been proposed as they may potentially enhance the efficacy of HPV therepautic vaccines (230).

Messenger RNA (mRNA)-based HPV therapeutics offer a great promise in targeting E6 and E7 oncoproteins which are consistently present in HPV-associated pre-cancerous and cancerous lesions (231). The efficacy of three different mRNA vaccine modalities in targeting the E7 protein in tumors linked to HPV 16 infection in mice was compared. The modalities included; Self-amplifying mRNA encapsulated in lipid nanoparticles, unmodified and nucleosidemodified non-replicating mRNA vaccines containing a chimeric protein formed by the fusion of the herpes simplex virus type 1 glycoprotein D and the HPV 16 E7 oncoprotein. A single low-dose vaccination with any of the three vaccines activated E7 specific CD8 + T cells, generated T cell memory that could stop tumor relapses, and eliminated subcutaneous tumors at various stages.

Although mRNA-based therapeutics for HPV are promising, their safety remains a major concern, and more research is needed as mRNA technology is relatively at infancy with a lot yet to be understood.

Novel therapeutic approaches continue to be developed for treatment of HPV- associated cancers including combined immunotherapies. A recent phase I/II trial evaluated a combination of tumor-targeting interleukin 12 antibody-drug conjugate (PDS01ADC), the bifunctional anti-programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1)/transforming growth factor  $\beta$  (TGF- $\beta$ ) bintrafusp alfa, and the HPV 16 therapeutic vaccine (PDS0101) for clinical activity in adult patients with HPV 16-associated cancers. The combination of PDS01ADC, PDS0101 and bintrafusp alfa showed an acceptable safety profile and a promising tumor activity as well as improved overall survival of patients with HPV 16-associated cancers (232). These as well as other combination therapeutic approaches warrant further investigations.

TABLE 3 Summary of the nature of immune responses to HPV infection and vaccination.

Aspect	HPV Infection	HPV Vaccination
Antigen exposure	Natural infection through epithelial cells	Viral-like particles (VLPs) in vaccine
Innate response	Weak, as HPV evades detection due to lack of viremia	Stronger due to intramuscular injection and immune activation
Dendritic cells activation	Delayed, as HPV infects keratinocytes without causing inflammation	Rapid activation due to adjuvant presence
B cell activation	Limited; poor antibody response due to immune evasion	Robust; high-affinity antibodies generated
Antibody production	Low, non-neutralizing in most cases	High, long-lasting neutralizing antibodies
Memory B cells	Weak and inconsistent	Strong and long-lived
CD8+ T cell response	Limited due to lack of strong inflammation	Minimal (vaccine primarily induces humoral immunity)
Protection against reinfection	Weak, incomplete	Strong, long- term protection

Although there has been significant efforts in this area, continued research for better understanding of host immunity as well as exploration of more novel approaches in the development and delivery of HPV therapeutics is needed.

# Conclusion

The host immunity clears most HPV infections through a coordinated response between the innate and adaptive arms, but the underlying immune mechanisms in this process are not well understood, especially in HPV-induced cancerous lesions where the host immunity is extensively modulated by high-risk HPV types. Type I interferons and other cytokines stimulate antiviral immunity via NK cells, keratinocytes and LCs and enhance antigen presentation for clearance of virally infected and cancerous cells by CTLs. Persistent HPV infections and HPV-associated diseases are common in immunocompromised individuals where the virus succeeds to suppress and evade host immunity.

Current HPV vaccines are highly effective in preventing new HPV infections by induction of potent cellular and antibody responses. Whether maintenance of vaccine induced antibodies is dependent on LLPCs and Bmems or both is still unclear. While Bmem have been demonstrated following vaccination, the antibody profile seen at later timepoints following vaccination is more typical of sustained antibody production by LLPCs. More research is required to identify the specific mechanisms or immune pathways activated by HPV vaccination to induce such phenomenal durability of antibodies from VLP antigens. This may help to improve vaccine targeting and may lead to development of other similarly effect vaccines. Table 3 shows a simplified summary of overall immune responses following HPV infection and vaccination.

Despite the limitations encountered in development of therapeutic HPV vaccines, there is hope that continued research for better understanding of host immunity may lead to a breakthrough in this area. Therapeutic HPV vaccines will be vital in controlling infections and preventing advancement to disease in HPV-infected individuals who cannot benefit from current prophylactic vaccines.

# Author contributions

EK: Conceptualization, Investigation, Resources, Software, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. MG: Resources, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. EC: Conceptualization, Resources, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the review was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# References

1. Barman S, Soni D, Brook B, Nanishi E, Dowling DJ. Precision vaccine development: cues from natural immunity. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:662218. doi: 10.3389/fmmu.2021.662218

2. Kamolratanakul S, Pitisuttithum P. Human papillomavirus vaccine efficacy and effectiveness against cancer. *Vaccines (Basel)*. (2021) 9(12):1413. doi: 10.3390/vaccines9121413

3. Huber J, Mueller A, Sailer M, Regidor PA. Human papillomavirus persistence or clearance after infection in reproductive age. What is the status? Review of the literature and new data of a vaginal gel containing silicate dioxide, citric acid, and selenite. *Womens Health (Lond)*. (2021) 17:17455065211020702. doi: 10.1177/17455065211020702

4. Giuliano AR, Lee JH, Fulp W, Villa LL, Lazcano E, Papenfuss MR, et al. Incidence and clearance of genital human papillomavirus infection in men (HIM): a cohort study. *Lancet.* (2011) 377:932–40. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)62342-2

5. Amador-Molina A, Hernández-Valencia JF, Lamoyi E, Contreras-Paredes A, Lizano M. Role of innate immunity against human papillomavirus (HPV) infections and effect of adjuvants in promoting specific immune response. *Viruses.* (2013) 5:2624–42. doi: 10.3390/v5112624

6. Sarkar AK, Tortolero-Luna G, Follen M, Sastry KJ. Inverse correlation of cellular immune responses specific to synthetic peptides from the E6 and E7 oncoproteins of HPV-16 with recurrence of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia in a cross-sectional study. *Gynecologic Oncol.* (2005) 99:S251–S61. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/ pii/S0090825805005883 (Accessed June 3, 2025).

7. Zhou C, Tuong ZK, Frazer IH. Papillomavirus immune evasion strategies target the infected cell and the local immune system. *Front Oncol.* (2019) 9:682. doi: 10.3389/ fonc.2019.00682

8. zur Hausen H. Papillomavirus infections-a major cause of human cancers. Biochim Biophys Acta. (1996) 1288:F55-78. doi: 10.1016/0304-419X(96)00020-0

9. Villa A, Patton LL, Giuliano AR, Estrich CG, Pahlke SC, O'Brien KK, et al. Summary of the evidence on the safety, efficacy, and effectiveness of human papillomavirus vaccines: Umbrella review of systematic reviews. *J Am Dental Assoc.* (2020) 151:245–54.e24. doi: 10.1016/j.adaj.2019.10.010

10. Yousefi Z, Aria H, Ghaedrahmati F, Bakhtiari T, Azizi M, Bastan R, et al. An update on human papilloma virus vaccines: history, types, protection, and efficacy. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 12:805695. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.805695

11. Kreimer AR, Sampson JN, Porras C, Schiller JT, Kemp T, Herrero R, et al. Evaluation of durability of a single dose of the bivalent HPV vaccine: the CVT trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* (2020) 112:1038–46. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djaa011

12. Schiller J, Lowy D. Explanations for the high potency of HPV prophylactic vaccines. *Vaccine*. (2018) 36:4768–73. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.12.079

13. Zhang X, Zeng Q, Cai W, Ruan W. Trends of cervical cancer at global, regional, and national level: data from the Global Burden of Disease study 2019. *BMC Public Health*. (2021) 21:894. doi: 10.1186/s12889-021-10907-5

14. Bosch FX, Lorincz A, Muñoz N, Meijer CJ, Shah KV. The causal relation between human papillomavirus and cervical cancer. *J Clin Pathol.* (2002) 55:244–65. doi: 10.1136/jcp.55.4.244

15. Bernard H-U, Burk RD, Chen Z, van Doorslaer K, zur Hausen H, de Villiers E-M. Classification of papillomaviruses (PVs) based on 189 PV types and proposal of taxonomic amendments. *Virology*. (2010) 401:70–9. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2010.02.002

16. Burd EM. Human papillomavirus and cervical cancer. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* (2003) 16:1–17. doi: 10.1128/CMR.16.1.1-17.2003

17. Doorbar J, Quint W, Banks L, Bravo IG, Stoler M, Broker TR, et al. The biology and life-cycle of human papillomaviruses. *Vaccine*. (2012) 30 Suppl 5:F55–70. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.06.083

18. Graham SV. Human papillomavirus: gene expression, regulation and prospects for novel diagnostic methods and antiviral therapies. *Future Microbiol.* (2010) 5:1493–506. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.06.083

19. Bossler F, Hoppe-Seyler K, Hoppe-Seyler F. PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling regulates the virus/host cell crosstalk in HPV-positive cervical cancer cells. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2019) 20(9):2188. doi: 10.3390/ijms20092188

20. Wang B, Li X, Liu L, Wang M.  $\beta$ -Catenin: oncogenic role and therapeutic target in cervical cancer. *Biol Res.* (2020) 53:33. doi: 10.1186/s40659-020-00301-7

21. Doorbar J. Papillomavirus life cycle organization and biomarker selection. *Dis Markers*. (2007) 23:297–313. doi: 10.1155/2007/613150

22. Kirnbauer R, Booy F, Cheng N, Lowy DR, Schiller JT. Papillomavirus L1 major capsid protein self-assembles into virus-like particles that are highly immunogenic. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (1992) 89:12180–4. doi: 10.1073/pnas.89.24.12180

23. Stanley MA. Immune responses to human papilloma viruses. *Indian J Med Res.* (2009) 130:266–76.

24. Roberts JN, Buck CB, Thompson CD, Kines R, Bernardo M, Choyke PL, et al. Genital transmission of HPV in a mouse model is potentiated by nonoxynol-9 and inhibited by carrageenan. *Nat Med.* (2007) 13:857–61. doi: 10.1038/nm1598

25. Surviladze Z, Dziduszko A, Ozbun MA. Essential roles for soluble virion-associated heparan sulfonated proteoglycans and growth factors in human papillomavirus infections. *PloS Pathogens.* (2012) 8:e1002519. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002519

26. Shafti-Keramat S, Handisurya A, Kriehuber E, Meneguzzi G, Slupetzky K, Kirnbauer R. Different heparan sulfate proteoglycans serve as cellular receptors for human papillomaviruses. J Virol. (2003) 77:13125–35. doi: 10.1128/jvi.77.24.13125-13135.2003

27. Giroglou T, Florin L, Schäfer F, Streeck RE, Sapp M. Human papillomavirus infection requires cell surface heparan sulfate. *J Virol.* (2001) 75:1565–70. doi: 10.1128/ jvi.75.3.1565-1570.2001

28. Joyce JG, Tung JS, Przysiecki CT, Cook JC, Lehman ED, Sands JA, et al. The L1 major capsid protein of human papillomavirus type 11 recombinant virus-like particles interacts with heparin and cell-surface glycosaminoglycans on human keratinocytes. *J Biol Chem.* (1999) 274:5810–22. doi: 10.1128/jvi.75.3.1565-1570.2001

29. Selinka HC, Florin L, Patel HD, Freitag K, Schmidtke M, Makarov VA, et al. Inhibition of transfer to secondary receptors by heparan sulfate-binding drug or antibody induces noninfectious uptake of human papillomavirus. *J Virol.* (2007) 81:10970–80. doi: 10.1128/jvi.00998-07

30. Lugemwa FN, Esko JD. Estradiol beta-D-xyloside, an efficient primer for heparan sulfate biosynthesis. *J Biol Chem.* (1991) 266:6674-7. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(20)89549-0

31. Richards RM, Lowy DR, Schiller JT, Day PM. Cleavage of the papillomavirus minor capsid protein, L2, at a furin consensus site is necessary for infection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2006) 103:1522–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0508815103

32. Kines RC, Thompson CD, Lowy DR, Schiller JT, Day PM. The initial steps leading to papillomavirus infection occur on the basement membrane prior to cell surface binding. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* (2009) 106:20458–63. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0908502106

33. Schiller JT, Day PM, Kines RC. Current understanding of the mechanism of HPV infection. *Gynecol Oncol.* (2010) 118:S12–7. doi: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2010.04.004

34. Johnson KM, Kines RC, Roberts JN, Lowy DR, Schiller JT, Day PM. Role of heparan sulfate in attachment to and infection of the murine female genital tract by human papillomavirus. *J Virol.* (2009) 83:2067–74. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02190-08

35. Ozbun MA. Extracellular events impacting human papillomavirus infections: Epithelial wounding to cell signaling involved in virus entry. *Papillomavirus Res.* (2019) 7:188–92. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405852119300291.

 Yoon C-S, Kim K-D, Park S-N, Cheong S-W. α6 integrin is the main receptor of human papillomavirus type 16 VLP. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* (2001) 283:668–73. doi: 10.1006/bbrc.2001.4838 37. Selinka HC, Giroglou T, Sapp M. Analysis of the infectious entry pathway of human papillomavirus type 33 pseudovirions. *Virology.* (2002) 299:279-87. doi: 10.1006/viro.2001.1493

38. Day PM, Lowy DR, Schiller JT. Papillomaviruses infect cells via a clathrindependent pathway. Virology. (2003) 307:1-11. doi: 10.1016/S0042-6822(02)00143-5

39. Horvath CA, Boulet GA, Renoux VM, Delvenne PO, Bogers JP. Mechanisms of cell entry by human papillomaviruses: an overview. *Virol J.* (2010) 7:11. doi: 10.1186/1743-422X-7-11

40. Kämper N, Day PM, Nowak T, Selinka HC, Florin L, Bolscher J, et al. A membrane-destabilizing peptide in capsid protein L2 is required for egress of papillomavirus genomes from endosomes. *J Virol.* (2006) 80:759-68. doi: 10.1128/jvi.80.2.759-768.2006

41. Pyeon D, Pearce SM, Lank SM, Ahlquist P, Lambert PF. Establishment of human papillomavirus infection requires cell cycle progression. *PloS Pathogens*. (2009) 5: e1000318. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000318

42. Graham SV. Keratinocyte differentiation-dependent human papillomavirus gene regulation. Viruses. (2017) 9(9):245. doi: 10.3390/v9090245

43. Poulson ND, Lechler T. Asymmetric cell divisions in the epidermis. *Int Rev Cell Mol Biol.* (2012) 295:199–232. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-394306-4.00012-5

44. Lechler T, Fuchs E. Asymmetric cell divisions promote stratification and differentiation of mammalian skin. *Nature*. (2005) 437:275–80. https://www.nature. com/articles/nature03922 (Accessed June 3, 2025).

45. Ozbun MA. Human papillomavirus type 31b infection of human keratinocytes and the onset of early transcription. *J Virol.* (2002) 76:11291–300. doi: 10.1128/JVI.76.22.11291-11300.2002

46. Kasukawa H, Howley PM, Benson JD. A fifteen-amino-acid peptide inhibits human papillomavirus E1-E2 interaction and human papillomavirus DNA replication *in vitro*. *J Virol*. (1998) 72:8166–73. doi: 10.1128/jvi.72.10.8166-8173.1998

47. Hopman AH, Theelen W, Hommelberg PP, Kamps MA, Herrington CS, Morrison LE, et al. Genomic integration of oncogenic HPV and gain of the human telomerase gene TERC at 3q26 are strongly associated events in the progression of uterine cervical dysplasia to invasive cancer. *J Pathol.* (2006) 210:412–9. doi: 10.1002/path.2070

48. Pirami L, Giachè V, Becciolini A. Analysis of HPV16, 18, 31, and 35 DNA in preinvasive and invasive lesions of the uterine cervix. *J Clin Pathol.* (1997) 50:600–4. doi: 10.1136/jcp.50.7.600

49. Cullen AP, Reid R, Campion M, Lörincz AT. Analysis of the physical state of different human papillomavirus DNAs in intraepithelial and invasive cervical neoplasm. *J Virol.* (1991) 65:606–12. doi: 10.1128/jvi.65.2.606-612.1991

50. Narisawa-Saito M, Kiyono T. Basic mechanisms of high-risk human papillomavirus-induced carcinogenesis: Roles of E6 and E7 proteins. *Cancer Science*. (2007) 98:1505–11. doi: 10.1111/j.1349-7006.2007.00546.x

51. Chen HZ, Tsai SY, Leone G. Emerging roles of E2Fs in cancer: an exit from cell cycle control. *Nat Rev Cancer.* (2009) 9:785–97. doi: 10.1038/nrc2696

52. Longworth MS, Laimins LA. Pathogenesis of human papillomaviruses in differentiating epithelia. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev.* (2004) 68:362–72. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.68.2.362-372.2004

53. Chen JJ. Genomic instability induced by human papillomavirus oncogenes. N Am J Med Sci (Boston). (2010) 3:43-7. doi: 10.7156/v3i2p043

54. Richmond JM, Harris JE. Immunology and skin in health and disease. Cold Spring Harbor Perspect Med. (2014) 4:a015339. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a015339

55. Wang H, Leavitt L, Ramaswamy R, Rapraeger AC. Interaction of syndecan and  $\alpha 6\beta 4$  integrin cytoplasmic domains: regulation of ErbB2-mediated integrin activation. *J Biol Chem.* (2010) 285:13569–79. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M110.102137

56. Teijaro JR. Type I interferons in viral control and immune regulation. *Curr Opin Virol.* (2016) 16:31-40. doi: 10.1016/j.coviro.2016.01.001

57. Stanley M. Potential mechanisms for HPV vaccine-induced long-term protection. *Gynecol Oncol.* (2010) 118:682. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2019.00682

58. Scott M, Nakagawa M, Moscicki AB. Cell-mediated immune response to human papillomavirus infection. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol.* (2001) 8:209–20. doi: 10.1128/CDLI.8.2.209-220.2001

59. Manickam A, Sivanandham M. Mycobacterium bovis BCG and purified protein derivative-induced reduction in the CD80 expression and the antigen up-take function of dendritic cells from patients with cervical cancer. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* (2011) 159:413–7. doi: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2011.07.020

60. Handisurya A, Day PM, Thompson CD, Bonelli M, Lowy DR, Schiller JT. Strainspecific properties and T cells regulate the susceptibility to papilloma induction by Mus musculus papillomavirus 1. *PloS Pathog.* (2014) 10:e1004314. doi: 10.1371/ journal.ppat.1004314

61. Sterling JC, Skepper JN, Stanley MA. Immunoelectron microscopical localization of human papillomavirus type 16 L1 and E4 proteins in cervical keratinocytes cultured *in vivo. J Invest Dermatol.* (1993) 100:154–8. doi: 10.1111/1523-1747.ep12462790

62. Black AP, Ardern-Jones MR, Kasprowicz V, Bowness P, Jones L, Bailey AS, et al. Human keratinocyte induction of rapid effector function in antigen-specific memory CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. *Eur J Immunol.* (2007) 37:1485–93. doi: 10.1002/ eji.200636915 63. Stadnyk AW. Cytokine production by epithelial cells. *FASEB J.* (1994) 8:1041–7. doi: 10.1096/fasebj.8.13.7926369

64. Wang B, Amerio P, Sauder DN. Role of cytokines in epidermal Langerhans cell migration. J Leukoc Biol. (1999) 66:33–9. doi: 10.1002/jlb.66.1.33

65. Woodworth CD, Simpson S. Comparative lymphokine secretion by cultured normal human cervical keratinocytes, papillomavirus-immortalized, and carcinoma cell lines. *Am J Pathol.* (1993) 142:1544–55.

66. Bashaw AA, Leggatt GR, Chandra J, Tuong ZK, Frazer IH. Modulation of antigen presenting cell functions during chronic HPV infection. *Papillomavirus Res.* (2017) 4:58-65. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405852117300496. doi: 10.1016/j.pvr.2017.08.002 (Accessed June 3, 2025).

67. Lester SN, Li K. Toll-like receptors in antiviral innate immunity. J Mol Biol. (2014) 426:1246-64. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S2405852117300496 (Accessed June 3, 2025).

68. Lebre MC, van der Aar AM, van Baarsen L, van Capel TM, Schuitemaker JH, Kapsenberg ML, et al. Human keratinocytes express functional Toll-like receptor 3, 4, 5, and 9. *J Invest Dermatol.* (2007) 127:331–41. doi: 10.1038/sj.jid.5700530

69. Miller LS, Modlin RL. Human keratinocyte Toll-like receptors promote distinct immune responses. J Invest Dermatol. (2007) 127:262–3. doi: 10.1038/sj.jid.5700559

70. Yang K, Puel A, Zhang S, Eidenschenk C, Ku CL, Casrouge A, et al. Human TLR-7-, -8-, and -9-mediated induction of IFN-alpha/beta and -lambda Is IRAK-4 dependent and redundant for protective immunity to viruses. *Immunity*. (2005) 23:465–78. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2005.09.016

71. Manjgaladze K, Tevdorashvili G, Muzashvili T, Gachechiladze M, Burkadze G. TLR9 expression, langerhans cell density and lymphocytic infiltration in progressing cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. *Georgian Med News.* (2019) 296:126–30.

72. Woodworth CD, Lichti U, Simpson S, Evans CH, DiPaolo JA. Leukoregulin and gamma-interferon inhibit human papillomavirus type 16 gene transcription in human papillomavirus-immortalized human cervical cells. *Cancer Res.* (1992) 52:456–63.

73. Woodworth CD, Notario V, DiPaolo JA. Transforming growth factors beta 1 and 2 transcriptionally regulate human papillomavirus (HPV) type 16 early gene expression in HPV-immortalized human genital epithelial cells. *J Virol.* (1990) 64:4767–75. doi: 10.1128/jvi.64.10.4767-4775.1990

74. Park JS, Kim EJ, Kwon HJ, Hwang ES, Namkoong SE, Um SJ. Inactivation of interferon regulatory factor-1 tumor suppressor protein by HPV E7 oncoprotein. Implication for the E7-mediated immune evasion mechanism in cervical carcinogenesis. J Biol Chem. (2000) 275:6764–9. doi: 10.1074/jbc.275.10.6764

75. Ronco LV, Karpova AY, Vidal M, Howley PM. Human papillomavirus 16 E6 oncoprotein binds to interferon regulatory factor-3 and inhibits its transcriptional activity. *Genes Dev.* (1998) 12:2061–72. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/ PMC316980/.

76. Hao Y, Yuan JL, Abudula A, Hasimu A, Kadeer N, Guo X. TLR9 expression in uterine cervical lesions of Uyghur women correlate with cervical cancer progression and selective silencing of human papillomavirus 16 E6 and E7 oncoproteins *in vitro*. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* (2014) 15:5867–72. doi: 10.7314/apjcp.2014.15.14.5867

77. Garzetti GG, Ciavattini A, Goteri G, De Nictolis M, Menso S, Muzzioli M, et al. HPV DNA positivity and natural killer cell activity in the clinical outcome of mild cervical dysplasia: integration between virus and immune system. *Gynecol Obstet Invest.* (1995) 39:130–5. doi: 10.1159/000292394

78. Stentella P, Frega A, Ciccarone M, Cipriano L, Tinari A, Tzantzoglou S, et al. HPV and intraepithelial neoplasia recurrent lesions of the lower genital tract: assessment of the immune system. *Eur J Gynaecol Oncol.* (1998) 19:466–9.

79. Vaquer S, Jordá J, López de la Osa E, Alvarez de los Heros J, López-García N, Alvarez de Mon M. Clinical implications of natural killer (NK) cytotoxicity in patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the uterine cervix. *Gynecol Oncol.* (1990) 36:90–2. doi: 10.1016/0090-8258(90)90114-Z

80. Garcia-Iglesias T, Del Toro-Arreola A, Albarran-Somoza B, Del Toro-Arreola S, Sanchez-Hernandez PE, Ramirez-Dueñas MG, et al. Low NKp30, NKp46 and NKG2D expression and reduced cytotoxic activity on NK cells in cervical cancer and precursor lesions. *BMC Cancer.* (2009) 9:186. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-9-186

81. Bere A, Tayib S, Kriek J-M, Masson L, Jaumdally SZ, Barnabas SL, et al. Altered phenotype and function of NK cells infiltrating Human Papillomavirus (HPV)-associated genital warts during HIV infection. *Clin Immunol.* (2014) 150:210–9. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1521661613003240 (Accessed June 3, 2025).

82. Gordon S, Plüddemann A. Tissue macrophages: heterogeneity and functions. BMC Biol. (2017) 15:53. doi: 10.1186/s12915-017-0392-4

83. Tay SK, Jenkins D, Maddox P, Hogg N, Singer A. Tissue macrophage response in human papillomavirus infection and cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol.* (1987) 94:1094–7. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-0528.1987.tb02296.x

84. Wang S, Li X, Liu C, Yuan Y, Ma F. Single-cell transcriptomic analysis of the role of HPV16-positive macrophages in cervical cancer prognosis. *J Med Virology.* (2023) 95:e28410. doi: 10.1002/jmv.28410

85. Kitamura T, Qian BZ, Pollard JW. Immune cell promotion of metastasis. Nat Rev Immunol. (2015) 15:73–86. doi: 10.1038/nri3789

86. Gordon SR, Maute RL, Dulken BW, Hutter G, George BM, McCracken MN, et al. PD-1 expression by tumour-associated macrophages inhibits phagocytosis and

tumour immunity. *Nature*. (2017) 545:495–9. https://www.nature.com/articles/ nature22396 (Accessed June 3, 2025).

87. Ding H, Cai J, Mao M, Fang Y, Huang Z, Jia J, et al. Tumor-associated macrophages induce lymphangiogenesis in cervical cancer via interaction with tumor cells. *Apmis.* (2014) 122:1059–69. doi: 10.1111/apm.12257

88. Cao Y, Liu C, Gu Z, Zhang Y, Duan Y, Zhang Y, et al. Microparticles mediate human papillomavirus type 6 or 11 infection of human macrophages. *Cell Mol Immunol.* (2017) 14:395–7. doi: 10.1038/cmi.2015.30

89. Cumberbatch M, Kimber I. Tumour necrosis factor-alpha is required for accumulation of dendritic cells in draining lymph nodes and for optimal contact sensitization. *Immunology*. (1995) 84:31–5.

90. Enk AH, Angeloni VL, Udey MC, Katz SI. Inhibition of Langerhans cell antigenpresenting function by IL-10. A role for IL-10 in induction of tolerance. *J Immunol.* (1993) 151:2390–8. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.151.5.2390

91. Mota F, Rayment N, Chong S, Singer A, Chain B. The antigen-presenting environment in normal and human papillomavirus (HPV)-related premalignant cervical epithelium. *Clin Exp Immunol.* (1999) 116:33–40. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2249.1999.00826.x

92. Lappin MB, Kimber I, Norval M. The role of dendritic cells in cutaneous immunity. Arch Dermatol Res. (1996) 288:109–21. doi: 10.1007/BF02505819

93. Memar OM, Arany I, Tyring SK. Skin-associated lymphoid tissue in human immunodeficiency virus-1, human papillomavirus, and herpes simplex virus infections. *J Invest Dermatol.* (1995) 105:99s–104s. doi: 10.1111/1523-1747.ep12316241

94. Matthews K, Leong CM, Baxter L, Inglis E, Yun K, Bäckström BT, et al. Depletion of Langerhans cells in human papillomavirus type 16-infected skin is associated with E6-mediated down regulation of E-cadherin. *J Virol.* (2003) 77:8378–85. doi: 10.1128/jvi.77.15.8378-8385.2003

95. Tay SK, Jenkins D, Maddox P, Campion M, Singer A. Subpopulations of Langerhans' cells in cervical neoplasia. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol.* (1987) 94:10–5. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-0528.1987.tb02244.x

96. Coleman N, Birley HD, Renton AM, Hanna NF, Ryait BK, Byrne M, et al. Immunological events in regressing genital warts. *Am J Clin Pathol.* (1994) 102:768–74. doi: 10.1093/ajcp/102.6.768

97. Christine M, Shilpa B, Brinda V, Qiaomu T, Yanbo S, Del L-E, et al. Identification of HPV16 E1 and E2-specific T cells in the oropharyngeal cancer tumor microenvironment. *J ImmunoTherapy Cancer*. (2023) 11:e006721. http://jitc. bmj.com/content/11/3/e006721.abstract (Accessed June 3, 2025).

98. Bhatt KH, Neller MA, Srihari S, Crooks P, Lekieffre L, Aftab BT, et al. Profiling HPV-16-specific T cell responses reveals broad antigen reactivities in oropharyngeal cancer patients. *J Exp Med.* (2020) 217:e20200389. doi: 10.1084/jem.20200389

99. Dillon S, Sasagawa T, Crawford A, Prestidge J, Inder MK, Jerram J, et al. Resolution of cervical dysplasia is associated with T-cell proliferative responses to human papillomavirus type 16 E2. J Gen Virol. (2007) 88(Pt 3):803–13. doi: 10.1099/ vir.0.82678-0

100. Nakagawa M, Stites DP, Farhat S, Sisler JR, Moss B, Kong F, et al. Cytotoxic T lymphocyte responses to E6 and E7 proteins of human papillomavirus type 16: relationship to cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. J Infect Dis. (1997) 175:927–31. doi: 10.1086/513992

101. Seresini S, Origoni M, Lillo F, Caputo L, Paganoni AM, Vantini S, et al. IFNgamma produced by human papilloma virus-18 E6-specific CD4+ T cells predicts the clinical outcome after surgery in patients with high-grade cervical lesions. *J Immunol.* (2007) 179:7176–83. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.179.10.7176

102. Seresini S, Origoni M, Caputo L, Lillo F, Longhi R, Vantini S, et al. CD4+ T cells against human papillomavirus-18 E7 in patients with high-grade cervical lesions associate with the absence of the virus in the cervix. *Immunology*. (2010) 131:89–98. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2567.2010.03277.x

103. Clifford GM, Franceschi S, Keiser O, Schöni-Affolter F, Lise M, Dehler S, et al. Immunodeficiency and the risk of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia 2/3 and cervical cancer: A nested case-control study in the Swiss HIV cohort study. *Int J Cancer*. (2016) 138:1732–40. doi: 10.1002/ijc.29913

104. Strickler HD, Burk RD, Fazzari M, Anastos K, Minkoff H, Massad LS, et al. Natural history and possible reactivation of human papillomavirus in human immunodeficiency virus-positive women. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* (2005) 97:577–86. doi: 10.1093/jnci/dji073

105. Stelzle D, Tanaka LF, Lee KK, Ibrahim Khalil A, Baussano I, Shah ASV, et al. Estimates of the global burden of cervical cancer associated with HIV. *Lancet Global Health*. (2021) 9:e161–e9. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30459-9

106. Massad LS, Xie X, Burk R, Keller MJ, Minkoff H, D'Souza G, et al. Long-term cumulative detection of human papillomavirus among HIV seropositive women. *AIDS*. (2014) 28:2601–8. doi: 10.1097/QAD.000000000000455

107. Watzl C. How to trigger a killer: modulation of natural killer cell reactivity on many levels. *Adv Immunol.* (2014) 124:137–70. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-800147-9.00005-4

108. Yang Y. Cancer immunotherapy: harnessing the immune system to battle cancer. J Clin Invest. (2015) 125:3335-7. doi: 10.1172/JCI83871

109. Chen L, Mizuno MT, Singhal MC, Hu SL, Galloway DA, Hellström I, et al. Induction of cytotoxic T lymphocytes specific for a syngeneic tumor expressing the E6 oncoprotein of human papillomavirus type 16. J Immunol. (1992) 148:2617–21. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.148.8.2617

110. Chen LP, Thomas EK, Hu SL, Hellström I, Hellström KE. Human papillomavirus type 16 nucleoprotein E7 is a tumor rejection antigen. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* (1991) 88:110–4. doi: 10.1073/pnas.88.1.110

111. Bontkes HJ, de Gruijl TD, Walboomers JM, van den Muysenberg AJ, Gunther AW, Scheper RJ, et al. Assessment of cytotoxic T-lymphocyte phenotype using the specific markers granzyme B and TIA-1 in cervical neoplastic lesions. *Br J Cancer.* (1997) 76:1353–60. doi: 10.1038/bjc.1997.560

112. Woo YL, Sterling J, Damay I, Coleman N, Crawford R, van der Burg SH, et al. Characterising the local immune responses in cervical intraepithelial neoplasia: a crosssectional and longitudinal analysis. *Bjog.* (2008) 115:1616–21. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-0528.2008.01936.x

113. Evans C, Bauer S, Grubert T, Brucker C, Baur S, Heeg K, et al. HLA-A2restricted peripheral blood cytolytic T lymphocyte response to HPV type 16 proteins E6 and E7 from patients with neoplastic cervical lesions. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*. (1996) 42:151–60. doi: 10.1007/s002620050265

114. Evans EM, Man S, Evans AS, Borysiewicz LK. Infiltration of cervical cancer tissue with human papillomavirus-specific cytotoxic T-lymphocytes. *Cancer Res.* (1997) 57:2943–50.

115. Nakagawa M, Stites DP, Palefsky JM, Kneass Z, Moscicki AB. CD4-positive and CD8-positive cytotoxic T lymphocytes contribute to human papillomavirus type 16 E6 and E7 responses. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol.* (1999) 6:494–8. doi: 10.1128/cdli.6.4.494-498.1999

116. Nakagawa M, Stites DP, Patel S, Farhat S, Scott M, Hills NK, et al. Persistence of human papillomavirus type 16 infection is associated with lack of cytotoxic T lymphocyte response to the E6 antigens. *J Infect Dis.* (2000) 182:595–8. doi: 10.1086/315706

117. Connor ME, Stern PL. Loss of MHC class-I expression in cervical carcinomas. Int J Cancer. (1990) 46:1029-34. doi: 10.1002/ijc.2910460614

118. Viac J, Soler C, Chardonnet Y, Euvrard S, Schmitt D. Expression of immune associated surface antigens of keratinocytes in human papillomavirus-derived lesions. *Immunobiology*. (1993) 188:392–402. doi: 10.1016/S0171-2985(11)80222-6

119. Torres LM, Cabrera T, Concha A, Oliva MR, Ruiz-Cabello F, Garrido F. HLA class I expression and HPV-16 sequences in premalignant and Malignant lesions of the cervix. *Tissue Antigens*. (1993) 41:65–71. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-0039.1993.tb01981.x

120. Vambutas A, Bonagura VR, Steinberg BM. Altered expression of TAP-1 and major histocompatibility complex class I in laryngeal papillomatosis: correlation of TAP-1 with disease. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol.* (2000) 7:79–85. doi: 10.1128/cdli.7.1.79-85.2000

121. Lopez TV, Cancio C, Cruz-Talonia F, Ruiz B, Sapp M, Rocha-Zavaleta L. Binding of human papillomavirus type 16 to heparan sulfate is inhibited by mucosal antibodies from patients with low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions but not from cervical cancer patients. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol.* (2008) 54:167–76. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-695X.2008.00484.x

122. Bierl C, Karem K, Poon AC, Swan D, Tortolero-Luna G, Follen M, et al. Correlates of cervical mucosal antibodies to human papillomavirus 16: results from a case control study. *Gynecol Oncol.* (2005) 99:S262–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2005.07.100

123. DiGiuseppe S, Bienkowska-Haba M, Sapp M. Human papillomavirus entry: hiding in a bubble. J Virol. (2016) 90:8032-5. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01065-16

124. Steinbach A, Riemer AB. Immune evasion mechanisms of human papillomavirus: An update. *Int J Cancer*. (2018) 142:224–9. doi: 10.1002/ijc.31027

125. Kajitani N, Satsuka A, Kawate A, Sakai H. Productive lifecycle of human papillomaviruses that depends upon squamous epithelial differentiation. *Front Microbiol.* (2012) 3:152. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2012.00152

126. Herrin DM, Coates EE, Costner PJ, Kemp TJ, Nason MC, Saharia KK, et al. Comparison of adaptive and innate immune responses induced by licensed vaccines for Human Papillomavirus. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. (2014) 10:3446–54. doi: 10.4161/ hv.34408

127. Tsang SH, Basu P, Bender N, Herrero R, Kemp TJ, Kreimer AR, et al. Evaluation of serological assays to monitor antibody responses to single-dose HPV vaccines. *Vaccine*. (2020) 38:5997–6006. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.07.017

128. Onda T, Carter JJ, Koutsky LA, Hughes JP, Lee S-K, Kuypers J, et al. Characterization of IgA response among women with incident HPV 16 infection. *Virology.* (2003) 312:213–21. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S004268220300196X (Accessed June 3, 2025).

129. Sasagawa T, Yamazaki H, Dong YZ, Satake S, Tateno M, Inoue M. Immunoglobulin-A and -G responses against virus-like particles (VLP) of human papillomavirus type 16 in women with cervical cancer and cervical intra-epithelial lesions. *Int J Cancer.* (1998) 75:529–35. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0215(19980209) 75:4<529::AID-IJC7>3.0.CO;2-V

130. Sasagawa T, Inoue M, Lehtinen M, Zhang W, Gschmeissner SE, Hajibagheri MA, et al. Serological responses to human papillomavirus type 6 and 16 virus-like particles in patients with cervical neoplastic lesions. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol.* (1996) 3:403–10. doi: 10.1128/cdli.3.4.403-410.1996

131. Carter JJ, Koutsky LA, Hughes JP, Lee SK, Kuypers J, Kiviat N, et al. Comparison of human papillomavirus types 16, 18, and 6 capsid antibody responses following incident infection. *J Infect Dis.* (2000) 181:1911–9. doi: 10.1086/315498

132. Beachler DC, Jenkins G, Safaeian M, Kreimer AR, Wentzensen N. Natural acquired immunity against subsequent genital human papillomavirus infection: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Infect Dis.* (2016) 213:1444–54. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiv753

133. Giuliano AR, Viscidi R, Torres BN, Ingles DJ, Sudenga SL, Villa LL, et al. Seroconversion following anal and genital HPV infection in men: the HIM study. *Papillomavirus Res (Amsterdam Netherlands).* (2015) 1:109–15. doi: 10.1016/j.pvr.2015.06.007

134. Safaeian M, Castellsagué X, Hildesheim A, Wacholder S, Schiffman MH, Bozonnat MC, et al. Risk of HPV-16/18 infections and associated cervical abnormalities in women seropositive for naturally acquired antibodies: pooled analysis based on control arms of two large clinical trials. *J Infect Dis.* (2018) 218:84–94. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiy112

135. Pattyn J, Van Keer S, Tjalma W, Matheeussen V, Van Damme P, Vorsters A. Infection and vaccine-induced HPV-specific antibodies in cervicovaginal secretions. A review of the literature. *Papillomavirus Res.* (2019) 8:100185. doi: 10.1016/j.pvr.2019.100185

136. Hagensee ME, Koutsky LA, Lee SK, Grubert T, Kuypers J, Kiviat NB, et al. Detection of cervical antibodies to human papillomavirus type 16 (HPV-16) capsid antigens in relation to detection of HPV-16 DNA and cervical lesions. *J Infect Dis.* (2000) 181:1234–9. doi: 10.1086/315364

137. Bontkes HJ, de Gruijl TD, Walboomers JM, Schiller JT, Dillner J, Helmerhorst TJ, et al. Immune responses against human papillomavirus (HPV) type 16 virus-like particles in a cohort study of women with cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. II. Systemic but not local IgA responses correlate with clearance of HPV-16. *J Gen Virol.* (1999) 80:409–17. doi: 10.1099/0022-1317-80-2-409

138. Stanley MA, Sterling JC. Host responses to infection with human papillomavirus. *Curr Probl Dermatol.* (2014) 45:58-74. doi: 10.1159/000355964

139. Stanley M. HPV - immune response to infection and vaccination. Infect Agents Cancer. (2010) 5:19. doi: 10.1186/1750-9378-5-19

140. Passmore JA, Marais DJ, Sampson C, Allan B, Parker N, Milner M, et al. Cervicovaginal, oral, and serum IgG and IgA responses to human papillomavirus type 16 in women with cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. *J Med Virol.* (2007) 79:1375–80. doi: 10.1002/jmv.20901

141. Mbulawa ZZA, Williamson AL, Stewart D, Passmore JS, Denny L, Allan B, et al. Association of serum and mucosal neutralizing antibodies to human papillomavirus type 16 (HPV-16) with HPV-16 infection and cervical disease. *J Gen Virol.* (2008) 89:910–4. doi: 10.1099/vir.0.83458-0

142. Olsson S-E, Kjaer SK, Sigurdsson K, Iversen O-E, Hernandez-Avila M, Wheeler CM, et al. Evaluation of quadrivalent HPV 6/11/16/18 vaccine efficacy against cervical and anogenital disease in subjects with serological evidence of prior vaccine type HPV infection. *Hum Vaccines*. (2009) 5:696–704. doi: 10.4161/ hv.5.10.9515

143. Muñoz N, Manalastas R Jr., Pitisuttithum P, Tresukosol D, Monsonego J, Ault K, et al. Safety, immunogenicity, and efficacy of quadrivalent human papillomavirus (types 6, 11, 16, 18) recombinant vaccine in women aged 24-45 years: a randomised, double-blind trial. *Lancet.* (2009) 373:1949–57. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60691-7

144. Scherpenisse M, Schepp RM, Mollers M, Meijer CJ, Berbers GA, van der Klis FR. Characteristics of HPV-specific antibody responses induced by infection and vaccination: cross-reactivity, neutralizing activity, avidity and IgG subclasses. *PloS One.* (2013) 8:e74797. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0074797

145. WHO. Human papillomavirus vaccines: WHO position paper, May 2017-Recommendations. *Vaccine*. (2017) 35:5753–5. https://www.who.int/publications/i/ item/who-wer9219-241-268 (Accessed June 3, 2025).

146. WHO. HPV\_vaccine\_information\_sheet\_1217 (2017). Available online at: https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/pvg/global-vaccine-safety/hpv-vaccine-rates-information-sheet.pdf (Accessed June 3, 2025).

147. Inglis S, Shaw A, Koenig S. Chapter 11: HPV vaccines: commercial research & development. Vaccine. (2006) 24 Suppl 3:S3/99–105. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2006.05.119

148. Zhu FC, Zhong GH, Huang WJ, Chu K, Zhang L, Bi ZF, et al. Head-to-head immunogenicity comparison of an Escherichia coli-produced 9-valent human papillomavirus vaccine and Gardasil 9 in women aged 18-26 years in China: a randomised blinded clinical trial. *Lancet Infect Dis.* (2023) 23:1313–22. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(23)00275-X

149. WHO. WHO updates recommendations on HPV vaccination schedule (2022). Available online at: https://www.who.int/news/item/20-12-2022-WHO-updates-recommendations-on-HPV-vaccination-schedule (Accessed June 3, 2025).

150. Koutsky LA, Ault KA, Wheeler CM, Brown DR, Barr E, Alvarez FB, et al. A controlled trial of a human papillomavirus type 16 vaccine. *N Engl J Med.* (2002) 347:1645–51. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa020586

151. Brown DR, Joura EA, Yen GP, Kothari S, Luxembourg A, Saah A, et al. Systematic literature review of cross-protective effect of HPV vaccines based on data from randomized clinical trials and real-world evidence. *Vaccine*. (2021) 39:2224–36. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.11.076

152. De Vincenzo R, Conte C, Ricci C, Scambia G, Capelli G. Long-term efficacy and safety of human papillomavirus vaccination. *Int J Womens Health*. (2014) 6:999–1010. doi: 10.2147/IJWH.S50365

153. Group FIS. Quadrivalent vaccine against human papillomavirus to prevent high-grade cervical lesions. *N Engl J Med.* (2007) 356:1915–27. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMoa061741

154. Joura EA, Giuliano AR, Iversen O-E, Bouchard C, Mao C, Mehlsen J, et al. A 9valent HPV vaccine against infection and intraepithelial neoplasia in women. *N Engl J Med.* (2015) 372:711–23. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1405044

155. Paavonen J, Jenkins D, Bosch FX, Naud P, Salmerón J, Wheeler CM, et al. Efficacy of a prophylactic adjuvanted bivalent L1 virus-like-particle vaccine against infection with human papillomavirus types 16 and 18 in young women: an interim analysis of a phase III double-blind, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* (2007) 369:2161–70. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0140673607609465 (Accessed June 3, 2025).

156. Arbyn M, Xu L. Efficacy and safety of prophylactic HPV vaccines. A Cochrane Rev randomized trials. Expert Rev Vaccines. (2018) 17:1085–91. doi: 10.1080/ 14760584.2018.1548282

157. Group FIIS, Dillner J, Kjaer SK, Wheeler CM, Sigurdsson K, Iversen OE, et al. Four year efficacy of prophylactic human papillomavirus quadrivalent vaccine against low grade cervical, vulvar, and vaginal intraepithelial neoplasia and anogenital warts: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ*. (2010) 341:c3493. doi: doi: 10.1136/bmj.c3493.

158. Giuliano AR, Joura EA, Garland SM, Huh WK, Iversen OE, Kjaer SK, et al. Nine-valent HPV vaccine efficacy against related diseases and definitive therapy: comparison with historic placebo population. *Gynecol Oncol.* (2019) 154:110–7. doi: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2019.03.253

159. Harder T, Wichmann O, Klug SJ, van der Sande MAB, Wiese-Posselt M. Efficacy, effectiveness and safety of vaccination against human papillomavirus in males: a systematic review. *BMC Med.* (2018) 16:110. doi: 10.1186/s12916-018-1098-3

160. Pinto LA, Dillner J, Beddows S, Unger ER. Immunogenicity of HPV prophylactic vaccines: Serology assays and their use in HPV vaccine evaluation and development. *Vaccine*. (2018) 36:4792–9. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.11.089

161. Villa LL, Costa RL, Petta CA, Andrade RP, Paavonen J, Iversen OE, et al. High sustained efficacy of a prophylactic quadrivalent human papillomavirus types 6/11/16/ 18 L1 virus-like particle vaccine through 5 years of follow-up. *Br J Cancer*. (2006) 95:1459–66. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6603469

162. Akhatova A, Azizan A, Atageldiyeva K, Ashimkhanova A, Marat A, Iztleuov Y, et al. Prophylactic human papillomavirus vaccination: from the origin to the current state. *Vaccines (Basel).* (2022) 10(11):1912. doi: 10.3390/vaccines10111912

163. Schiller JT, Müller M. Next generation prophylactic human papillomavirus vaccines. Lancet Oncol. (2015) 16:e217–25. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(14)71179-9

164. Turner TB, Huh WK. HPV vaccines: Translating immunogenicity into efficacy. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. (2016) 12:1403–5. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2015.1103936

165. Harper DM. Impact of vaccination with Cervarix<sup>™</sup> on subsequent HPV-16/18 infection and cervical disease in women 15–25 years of age. *Gynecologic Oncol.* (2008) 110:S11–S7. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0090825808005003 (Accessed June 3, 2025).

166. Harper DM, Franco EL, Wheeler CM, Moscicki A-B, Romanowski B, Roteli-Martins CM, et al. Sustained efficacy up to 4-5 years of a bivalent L1 virus-like particle vaccine against human papillomavirus types 16 and 18: follow-up from a randomised control trial. *Lancet.* (2006) 367:1247–55. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ article/pii/S0140673606684330 (Accessed June 3, 2025).

167. Kreimer AR, Struyf F, Del Rosario-Raymundo MR, Hildesheim A, Skinner SR, Wacholder S, et al. Efficacy of fewer than three doses of an HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine: combined analysis of data from the Costa Rica Vaccine and PATRICIA Trials. *Lancet Oncol.* (2015) 16:775–86. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(15)00047-9

168. Joshi S, Anantharaman D, Muwonge R, Bhatla N, Panicker G, Butt J, et al. Evaluation of immune response to single dose of quadrivalent HPV vaccine at 10-year post-vaccination. *Vaccine*. (2023) 41:236–45. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0264410X22014578 (Accessed June 3, 2025).

169. Porras C, Romero B, Kemp T, Fantin R, Herrero R, Hildesheim A, et al. HPV16/18 antibodies 16-years after single dose of bivalent HPV vaccination: Costa Rica HPV vaccine trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr.* (2024) 2024:329–36. doi: 10.1093/jncimonographs/lgae032

170. Barnabas RV, Brown ER, Onono MA, Bukusi EA, Njoroge B, Winer RL, et al. Efficacy of single-dose human papillomavirus vaccination among young African women. *NEJM Evidence*. (2022) 1:EVIDoa2100056. doi: 10.1056/EVIDoa2100056

171. Baisley K, Kemp TJ, Mugo NR, Whitworth H, Onono MA, Njoroge B, et al. Comparing one dose of HPV vaccine in girls aged 9&x2013;14 years in Tanzania (DoRIS) with one dose in young women aged 15&x2013;20 years in Kenya (KEN SHE): an immunobridging analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Lancet Global Health*. (2024) 12:e491-e9. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(23)00586-7

172. Watson-Jones D, Changalucha J, Maxwell C, Whitworth H, Mutani P, Kemp TJ, et al. Durability of immunogenicity at 5 years after a single dose of human papillomavirus vaccine compared with two doses in Tanzanian girls aged 9-14 years: results of the long-term extension of the DoRIS randomised trial. *Lancet Global Health.* (2025) 13:e319–e28. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(24)00477-7

173. Kjaer SK, Nygård M, Dillner J, Brooke Marshall J, Radley D, Li M, et al. A 12year follow-up on the long-term effectiveness of the quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccine in 4 nordic countries. *Clin Infect Diseases*. (2017) 66:339–45. doi: 10.1093/cid/cix797 174. Brisson M, Bénard É, Drolet M, Bogaards JA, Baussano I, Vänskä S, et al. Population-level impact, herd immunity, and elimination after human papillomavirus vaccination: a systematic review and meta-analysis of predictions from transmission-dynamic models. *Lancet Public Health.* (2016) 1:e8–e17. doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(16) 30001-9

175. Binagwaho A, Garcia PJ, Gueye B, Dykens JA, Simelela N, Torode J, et al. Eliminating Deaths From Cervical Cancer-Report of a Panel at the 7th Annual Symposium on Global Cancer Research, a Satellite Meeting at the Consortium of Universities for Global Health 10th Annual Meeting. *J Glob Oncol.* (2019) 5:1–7. doi: 10.1200/JGO.19.00287

176. Palmer T, Wallace L, Pollock KG, Cuschieri K, Robertson C, Kavanagh K, et al. Prevalence of cervical disease at age 20 after immunisation with bivalent HPV vaccine at age 12-13 in Scotland: retrospective population study. *Bmj.* (2019) 365:l1161. doi: 10.1136/bmj.l1161

177. Patel C, Brotherton JM, Pillsbury A, Jayasinghe S, Donovan B, Macartney K, et al. The impact of 10 years of human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination in Australia: what additional disease burden will a nonavalent vaccine prevent? *Euro Surveill*. (2018) 23(41):1700737. doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2018.23.41.1700737

178. Spinner C, Ding L, Bernstein DI, Brown DR, Franco EL, Covert C, et al. Human papillomavirus vaccine effectiveness and herd protection in young women. *Pediatrics*. (2019) 143(2):e20181902. doi: 10.1542/peds.2018-1902

179. Breitburd F, Kirnbauer R, Hubbert NL, Nonnenmacher B, Trin-Dinh-Desmarquet C, Orth G, et al. Immunization with viruslike particles from cottontail rabbit papillomavirus (CRPV) can protect against experimental CRPV infection. *J Virol.* (1995) 69:3959–63. doi: 10.1128/JVI.69.6.3959-3963.1995

180. Nardelli-Haefliger D, Lurati F, Wirthner D, Spertini F, Schiller JT, Lowy DR, et al. Immune responses induced by lower airway mucosal immunisation with a human papillomavirus type 16 virus-like particle vaccine. *Vaccine*. (2005) 23:3634–41. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2005.02.019

181. Huo Z, Bissett SL, Giemza R, Beddows S, Oeser C, Lewis DJ. Systemic and mucosal immune responses to sublingual or intramuscular human papilloma virus antigens in healthy female volunteers. *PloS One.* (2012) 7:e33736. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0033736

182. Cho HJ, Kim JY, Lee Y, Kim JM, Kim YB, Chun T, et al. Enhanced humoral and cellular immune responses after sublingual immunization against human papillomavirus 16 L1 protein with adjuvants. *Vaccine*. (2010) 28:2598–606. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2010.01.013

183. Schiller JT, Lowy DR. Understanding and learning from the success of prophylactic human papillomavirus vaccines. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* (2012) 10:681–92. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2872

184. Didierlaurent AM, Morel S, Lockman L, Giannini SL, Bisteau M, Carlsen H, et al. AS04, an aluminum salt- and TLR4 agonist-based adjuvant system, induces a transient localized innate immune response leading to enhanced adaptive immunity. *J Immunol.* (2009) 183:6186–97. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0901474

185. Scherpenisse M, Mollers M, Schepp RM, Meijer CJ, de Melker HE, Berbers GA, et al. Detection of systemic and mucosal HPV-specific IgG and IgA antibodies in adolescent girls one and two years after HPV vaccination. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. (2013) 9:314–21. doi: 10.4161/hv.22693

186. Gonçalves AK, Giraldo PC, Farias KJ, MaChado PR, Costa AP, de Souza LC, et al. Characterization of immunoglobulin A/G responses during 3 doses of the human papillomavirus-16/18 ASO4-adjuvanted vaccine. *Sex Transm Dis.* (2016) 43:335–9. doi: 10.1097/OLQ.00000000000429

187. Nardelli-Haefliger D, Wirthner D, Schiller JT, Lowy DR, Hildesheim A, Ponci F, et al. Specific antibody levels at the cervix during the menstrual cycle of women vaccinated with human papillomavirus 16 virus-like particles. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* (2003) 95:1128–37. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djg018

188. Petäjä T, Pedersen C, Poder A, Strauss G, Catteau G, Thomas F, et al. Longterm persistence of systemic and mucosal immune response to HPV-16/18 AS04adjuvanted vaccine in preteen/adolescent girls and young women. *Int J Cancer*. (2011) 129:2147–57. doi: 10.1002/ijc.25887

189. Bouvet JP, Bélec L, Pirès R, Pillot J. Immunoglobulin G antibodies in human vaginal secretions after parenteral vaccination. *Infect Immun.* (1994) 62:3957–61. doi: 10.1128/iai.62.9.3957-3961.1994

190. Sow PS, Watson-Jones D, Kiviat N, Changalucha J, Mbaye KD, Brown J, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of human papillomavirus-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine: a randomized trial in 10-25-year-old HIV-Seronegative African girls and young women. J Infect diseases. (2013) 207:1753–63. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jis619

191. Mugo N, Ansah NA, Marino D, Saah A, Garner EIO. Evaluation of safety and immunogenicity of a quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccine in healthy females between 9 and 26 years of age in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. (2015) 11:1323–30. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2015.1008877

192. Saccucci M, Franco EL, Ding L, Bernstein DI, Brown D, Kahn JA. Non-vaccinetype human papillomavirus prevalence after vaccine introduction: no evidence for type replacement but evidence for cross-protection. *Sex Transm Dis.* (2018) 45:260–5. doi: 10.1097/OLQ.000000000000731

193. Toft L, Tolstrup M, Müller M, Sehr P, Bonde J, Storgaard M, et al. Comparison of the immunogenicity of Cervarix<sup>®</sup> and Gardasil<sup>®</sup> human papillomavirus vaccines for oncogenic non-vaccine serotypes HPV-31, HPV-33, and HPV-45 in HIV-infected adults. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. (2014) 10:1147–54. doi: 10.4161/hv.27925

194. Olsson SE, Restrepo JA, Reina JC, Pitisuttithum P, Ulied A, Varman M, et al. Long-term immunogenicity, effectiveness, and safety of nine-valent human papillomavirus vaccine in girls and boys 9 to 15 years of age: Interim analysis after 8 years of follow-up. *Papillomavirus Res.* (2020) 10:100203. doi: 10.1016/ j.pvr.2020.100203

195. Einstein MH, Baron M, Levin MJ, Chatterjee A, Edwards RP, Zepp F, et al. Comparison of the immunogenicity and safety of Cervarix and Gardasil human papillomavirus (HPV) cervical cancer vaccines in healthy women aged 18-45 years. *Hum Vaccin.* (2009) 5:705–19. doi: 10.4161/hv.5.10.9518

196. Hernández-Ávila M, Torres-Ibarra L, Stanley M, Salmerón J, Cruz-Valdez A, Muñoz N, et al. Evaluation of the immunogenicity of the quadrivalent HPV vaccine using 2 versus 3 doses at month 21: An epidemiological surveillance mechanism for alternate vaccination schemes. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. (2016) 12:30–8. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2015.1058458

197. Leung TF, Liu AP, Lim FS, Thollot F, Oh HM, Lee BW, et al. Comparative immunogenicity and safety of human papillomavirus (HPV)-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine and HPV-6/11/16/18 vaccine administered according to 2- and 3-dose schedules in girls aged 9-14 years: Results to month 12 from a randomized trial. *Hum Vaccin Immunother.* (2015) 11:1689–702. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2015.1050570

198. Puthanakit T, Huang LM, Chiu CH, Tang RB, Schwarz TF, Esposito S, et al. Randomized open trial comparing 2-dose regimens of the human papillomavirus 16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine in girls aged 9-14 years versus a 3-dose regimen in women aged 15-25 years. *J Infect Dis.* (2016) 214:525–36. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiw036

199. Kjaer SK, Nygård M, Sundström K, Dillner J, Tryggvadottir L, Munk C, et al. Final analysis of a 14-year long-term follow-up study of the effectiveness and immunogenicity of the quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccine in women from four nordic countries. *EClinicalMedicine*. (2020) 23:100401-. doi: 10.1016/ j.eclinm.2020.100401

200. Ferris DG, Samakoses R, Block SL, Lazcano-Ponce E, Restrepo JA, Mehlsen J, et al. 4-valent human papillomavirus (4vHPV) vaccine in preadolescents and adolescents after 10 years. *Pediatrics*. (2017) 140(6):e20163947. doi: 10.1542/ peds.2016-3947

201. Kemp TJ, García-Piñeres A, Falk RT, Poncelet S, Dessy F, Giannini SL, et al. Evaluation of systemic and mucosal anti-HPV16 and anti-HPV18 antibody responses from vaccinated women. *Vaccine*. (2008) 26:3608–16. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2008.04.074

202. Schwarz TF, Kocken M, Petäjä T, Einstein MH, Spaczynski M, Louwers JA, et al. Correlation between levels of human papillomavirus (HPV)-16 and 18 antibodies in serum and cervicovaginal secretions in girls and women vaccinated with the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine. *Hum Vaccin.* (2010) 6:1054–61. doi: 10.4161/hv.6.12.13399

203. Pinto LA, Castle PE, Roden RB, Harro CD, Lowy DR, Schiller JT, et al. HPV-16 L1 VLP vaccine elicits a broad-spectrum of cytokine responses in whole blood. *Vaccine*. (2005) 23:3555–64. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2005.01.146

204. Siegrist C-A. Chapter 2 - Vaccine immunology. In: Plotkin SA, Orenstein WA, Offit PA, editors. *Vaccines, Fifth Edition.* W.B. Saunders, Edinburgh (2008). p. 17–36. Available at: https://inmunojmvucv.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/vaccine-immunol-elsevier.pdf (Accessed June 3, 2025).

205. Scherer EM, Smith RA, Simonich CA, Niyonzima N, Carter JJ, Galloway DA. Characteristics of memory B cells elicited by a highly efficacious HPV vaccine in subjects with no pre-existing immunity. *PloS Pathog.* (2014) 10:e1004461. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1004461

206. Scherer EM, Smith RA, Gallego DF, Carter JJ, Wipf GC, Hoyos M, et al. A single human papillomavirus vaccine dose improves B cell memory in previously infected subjects. *EBioMedicine*. (2016) 10:55–64. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2016.06.042

207. Scherer EM, Smith RA, Carter JJ, Wipf GC, Gallego DF, Stern M, et al. Analysis of memory B-cell responses reveals suboptimal dosing schedule of a licensed vaccine. *J Infect Dis.* (2018) 217:572–80. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jix566

208. Nicoli F, Mantelli B, Gallerani E, Telatin V, Bonazzi I, Marconi P, et al. HPVspecific systemic antibody responses and memory B cells are independently maintained up to 6 years and in a vaccine-specific manner following immunization with cervarix and gardasil in adolescent and young adult women in vaccination programs in Italy. *Vaccines (Basel).* (2020) 8:26. doi: 10.3390/vaccines8010026

209. Matsui K, Adelsberger JW, Kemp TJ, Baseler MW, Ledgerwood JE, Pinto LA. Circulating CXCR5(+)CD4(+) T follicular-like helper cell and memory B cell responses to human papillomavirus vaccines. *PloS One.* (2015) 10:e0137195. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0137195

210. Miller JJ3rd. An autoradiographic study of plasma cell and lymphocyte survival in rat popliteal lymph nodes. *J Immunol.* (1964) 92:673–81. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.92.5.673

211. Manz RA, Thiel A, Radbruch A. Lifetime of plasma cells in the bone marrow. *Nature*. (1997) 388:133-4. doi: 10.1038/40540 212. Lenz P, Thompson CD, Day PM, Bacot SM, Lowy DR, Schiller JT. Interaction of papillomavirus virus-like particles with human myeloid antigen-presenting cells. *Clin Immunol.* (2003) 106:231–7. doi: 10.1016/S1521-6616(02)00039-6

213. Bachmann MF, Jennings GT. Vaccine delivery: a matter of size, geometry, kinetics and molecular patterns. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2010) 10:787–96. doi: 10.1038/nri2868

214. Reddy ST, Rehor A, Schmoekel HG, Hubbell JA, Swartz MA. *In vivo* targeting of dendritic cells in lymph nodes with poly(propylene sulfide) nanoparticles. *J Control Release*. (2006) 112:26–34. doi: 10.1016/j.jconrel.2006.01.006

215. Link A, Zabel F, Schnetzler Y, Titz A, Brombacher F, Bachmann MF. Innate immunity mediates follicular transport of particulate but not soluble protein antigen. *J Immunol.* (2012) 188:3724–33. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1103312

216. Lee YN, Wang KL, Lin MH, Liu CH, Wang KG, Lan CC, et al. Radical hysterectomy with pelvic lymph node dissection for treatment of cervical cancer: a clinical review of 954 cases. *Gynecol Oncol.* (1989) 32:135–42. doi: 10.1016/S0090-8258 (89)80024-1

217. Ebisch RMF, Rutten DWE, IntHout J, Melchers WJG, Massuger L, Bulten J, et al. Long-lasting increased risk of human papillomavirus-related carcinomas and premalignancies after cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 3: A population-based cohort study. *J Clin Oncol.* (2017) 35:2542–50. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2016.71.4543

218. Kang WD, Choi HS, Kim SM. Is vaccination with quadrivalent HPV vaccine after loop electrosurgical excision procedure effective in preventing recurrence in patients with high-grade cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN2-3)? *Gynecol Oncol.* (2013) 130:264–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2013.04.050

219. Ghelardi A, Parazzini F, Martella F, Pieralli A, Bay P, Tonetti A, et al. SPERANZA project: HPV vaccination after treatment for CIN2. *Gynecol Oncol.* (2018) 151:229-34. doi: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2018.08.033

220. Petrillo M, Dessole M, Tinacci E, Saderi L, Muresu N, Capobianco G, et al. Efficacy of HPV vaccination in women receiving LEEP for cervical dysplasia: A single institution's experience. *Vaccines (Basel)*. (2020) 8(1):45. doi: 10.3390/vaccines8010045

221. Hildesheim A, Gonzalez P, Kreimer AR, Wacholder S, Schussler J, Rodriguez AC, et al. Impact of human papillomavirus (HPV) 16 and 18 vaccination on prevalent infections and rates of cervical lesions after excisional treatment. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* (2016) 215:212.e1–.e15. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2016.02.021

222. Vici P, Pizzuti L, Mariani L, Zampa G, Santini D, Di Lauro L, et al. Targeting immune response with therapeutic vaccines in premalignant lesions and cervical cancer: hope or reality from clinical studies. *Expert Rev Vaccines*. (2016) 15:1327–36. doi: 10.1080/14760584.2016.1176533

223. Vandepapeliere P, Barrasso R, Meijer CJ, Walboomers JM, Wettendorff M, Stanberry LR, et al. Randomized controlled trial of an adjuvanted human papillomavirus (HPV) type 6 L2E7 vaccine: infection of external anogenital warts with multiple HPV types and failure of therapeutic vaccination. *J Infect Dis.* (2005) 192:2099–107. doi: 10.1086/498164

224. Mastelic-Gavillet B, Balint K, Boudousquie C, Gannon PO, Kandalaft LE. Personalized dendritic cell vaccines-recent breakthroughs and encouraging clinical results. *Front Immunol.* (2019) 10:766. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.00766

225. Chen M, Wang J. Programmed cell death of dendritic cells in immune regulation. *Immunol Rev.* (2010) 236:11–27. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-065X.2010.00916.x

226. Chang EY, Chen CH, Ji H, Wang TL, Hung K, Lee BP, et al. Antigen-specific cancer immunotherapy using a GM-CSF secreting allogeneic tumor cell-based vaccine. *Int J Cancer.* (2000) 86:725–30. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0215(20000601)86:5<725:: AID-IJC19>3.0.CO;2-K

227. Khallouf H, Grabowska AK, Riemer AB. Therapeutic vaccine strategies against human papillomavirus. Vaccines (Basel). (2014) 2:422-62. doi: 10.3390/vaccines2020422

228. Zacharia A, Harberts E, Valencia SM, Myers B, Sanders C, Jain A, et al. Optimization of RG1-VLP vaccine performance in mice with novel TLR4 agonists. *Vaccine*. (2021) 39:292–302. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0264410X20315413 (Accessed June 3, 2025).

229. Maynard SK, Marshall JD, MacGill RS, Yu L, Cann JA, Cheng LI, et al. Vaccination with synthetic long peptide formulated with CpG in an oil-in-water emulsion induces robust E7-specific CD8 T cell responses and TC-1 tumor eradication. *BMC Cancer.* (2019) 19:540. doi: 10.1186/s12885-019-5725-y

230. Wang R, Huang H, Yu C, Li X, Wang Y, Xie L. Current status and future directions for the development of human papillomavirus vaccines. *Front Immunol.* (2024) 15:1362770. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1362770

231. Movahed F, Darzi S, Mahdavi P, Salih Mahdi M, Qutaiba B, Allela O, et al. The potential use of therapeutics and prophylactic mRNA vaccines in human papillomavirus (HPV). *Virol J.* (2024) 21:124. doi: 10.1186/s12985-024-02397-9

232. Floudas CS, Goswami M, Donahue RN, Pastor DM, Redman JM, Brownell I, et al. Novel combination immunotherapy and clinical activity in patients with HPVassociated cancers: A nonrandomized clinical trial. *JAMA Oncol.* (2025) 11:394–9. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2024.6998