Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Dario S Zamboni, University of São Paulo, Brazil

CORRESPONDENCE
Rafael M. Mariante
rafael.mariante@ioc.fiocruz.br
Tamara Muñoz-Caro
tmunoz6@santotomas.cl
Elvira M. Saraiva
esaraiva@micro.ufrj.br

RECEIVED 17 April 2025 ACCEPTED 28 April 2025 PUBLISHED 08 May 2025

CITATION

Mariante RM, Muñoz-Caro T and Saraiva EM (2025) Editorial: Neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) triggered by helminths and protozoan parasites. *Front. Immunol.* 16:1613886. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2025.1613886

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Mariante, Muñoz-Caro and Saraiva. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) triggered by helminths and protozoan parasites

Rafael M. Mariante^{1*}, Tamara Muñoz-Caro^{2*} and Elvira M. Saraiva^{3*}

¹Laboratório de Biologia Estrutural, Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, Fiocruz, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, ²Escuela de Medicina Veterinaria, Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Recursos Naturales, Universidad Santo Tomás, Talca, Chile, ³Laboratório de Imunidade Inata, Instituto de Microbiologia Paulo de Góes, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

KEYWORDS

NETs, neutrophils, protozoa, helminths, *Besnoitia besnoiti, Entamoeba histolytica, Calicophoron daubneyi*, bibliometric analysis

Editorial on the Research Topic

Neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) triggered by helminths and protozoan parasites

1 Introduction

Neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) are a striking defense mechanism of innate immunity. Upon activation, neutrophils release web-like structures composed of DNA scaffolds coated with various proteins, which immobilize and kill pathogens (1, 2). However, NET formation comes at a cost, and excessive NET release can contribute to endothelial damage, as well as to autoimmune, inflammatory, and numerous other diseases in which NETs have been implicated (3). While NETs are a conserved defense strategy across species, their underlying mechanisms vary (4). Despite significant advances, questions remain regarding the role of NETs in infections caused by protozoa and helminths.

This editorial introduces a collection of articles that expand our knowledge of NETs induced by parasites. Topics include their pathological impact in amoebic liver abscesses induced by *Entamoeba histolytica*, the role of extracellular vesicles from *Besnoitia besnoiti* in NET formation and cytokine secretion, and the weak NET-inducing effect of the trematode *Calicophoron daubneyi*. A bibliometric review further highlights key research trends and future directions in the field.

2 NETs in infection-associated tissue damage

NETs can have both friend and foe effects on infections: they provide an important defense against invading pathogens, but excessive NET formation or impaired clearance

can lead to tissue damage. In malaria, for example, a correlation has been established between disease severity and endothelial injury (5).

The study by Jorge-Rosas et al. shed light on the cytotoxic effects of NETs in *Entamoeba histolytica* infections, an underexplored aspect of amebiasis. While NETs are well known for their antimicrobial functions, this study reveals their detrimental side, showing that they contribute to tissue damage during invasive amebiasis. Using *in vitro* and ex vivo models, authors show that *E. histolytica*-induced NETs are highly cytotoxic to colonic (HCT 116) and hepatic (Hep G2) cells via serine proteases and MPO, respectively. The study also identifies NET-like structures in hamster liver abscesses, linking them to necrotic areas and implicating them in disease pathology.

These findings reinforce the idea that NETs can act as a doubleedged sword in parasitic infections – trapping pathogens but also promoting tissue injury. Targeting NET components may offer new therapeutic approaches to limit tissue damage in amebiasis, a major health issue in tropical regions.

3 The interplay between NETs and extracellular vesicles

Extracellular vesicles (EVs) are membrane-bound structures involved in various biological processes, contributing to both physiological mechanisms – such as cell-cell communication – and pathological conditions, including cancer and autoimmune diseases (6). Recent evidence indicates that EVs are present within NETs and can modulate their release (7, 8).

In the study by Espinosa et al., EVs derived from *Besnoitia* besnoiti tachyzoites and bovine endothelial cells (BUVECs) were shown to modulate neutrophil functions. These EVs significantly induced NET formation via NADPH oxidase-independent mechanisms. While ROS production and metabolism remained unchanged, EVs were readily internalized by neutrophils. Notably, only EVs from infected BUVECs enhanced IL-1 β and IL-6 secretion, pointing to distinct immunomodulatory roles.

These findings indicate that EVs from parasites and infected host cells are immunologically active, promoting NET release and cytokine production, possibly via alternative pathways such as calcium flux or MAPK. This highlights their potential role in early immune communication and suggests that EV-mediated signaling could be a target for new therapeutic strategies against bovine besnoitiosis.

4 Species-specific induction of NETs by microorganisms

Comparisons among protozoan groups (e.g., trypanosomatids vs. apicomplexans), between genera within the same group (e.g., *Leishmania* vs. *Trypanosoma*), or even among species within the same genus (e.g., *Leishmania* spp. or *Eimeria* spp.) reveal diverse mechanisms, constituents, intensities, and effects of NETs (9). Exploring understudied parasites offers valuable insights into the specific triggers and regulatory mechanisms behind these differential responses.

Silva et al. investigated the neutrophil response to *Calicophoron* daubneyi, a trematode increasingly affecting European ruminants. Their study assessed whether bovine neutrophils respond to *C.* daubneyi via NET formation, degranulation, ROS production, metabolic activity, and ATP consumption. The parasites induced the formation of short, weakly spread NETs, resembling the response to *Fasciola hepatica* (10), but differing from the strong NET induction observed with *Schistosoma japonicum* (11). Other responses included increased chemotactic behavior, limited degranulation, moderate activation of metabolic pathways, and increased ATP consumption.

Overall, *C. daubneyi* elicits a mild neutrophil response, suggesting it may evade robust immune detection to facilitate tissue invasion and infection. Further ex vivo studies are needed to clarify the role of neutrophils in the early pathology of paramphistomosis.

5 Research trends and future directions in NETs and parasites studies

In an effort to consolidate the scientific literature on NETs induced by protozoan and helminth parasites, Muñoz-Caro et al. conducted the first bibliometric analysis focused on this topic, covering publications from 2008 to 2024. The study identified key authors, institutions, countries, and journals in the field, and highlighted research trends, hotspots, and emerging themes.

After evaluating 159 original papers published across 69 journals, Germany and Brazil emerged as leading research hubs, together accounting for almost 50% of global output. Early research focused on *Leishmania*, *Toxoplasma*, *Plasmodium*, and *Strongyloides*, while more recent studies have expanded to include *Besnoitia besnoiti*, *Eimeria*, *Trichinella*, hookworms, and *Trypanosoma*. Current research is largely centered on NET formation mechanisms, parasite evasion strategies, and hostparasite interactions.

The study concluded that the field of NETs in parasitology has grown rapidly and is likely to keep expanding. It emphasized advances in understanding NET induction by parasites and their dual role in host defense and pathology. Future directions include therapeutic modulation of NETs to enhance antiparasitic responses while reducing host damage, targeting parasite evasion mechanisms such as DNase secretion, and conducting comparative studies across host species and parasite taxa.

6 Closing remarks

The collection of studies presented in this Research Topic underscores the complexity and dual nature of NETs in parasitic

infections. By exploring both pathogenic and regulatory roles of NETs, from tissue damage in amebiasis to immune evasion by helminths, these contributions advance our understanding of how neutrophils shape the outcome of protozoan and helminth infections. Importantly, they reveal the influence of parasite species, extracellular vesicles, and host signaling pathways in modulating NET responses. We anticipate that these findings will not only inspire deeper investigations into parasite-induced NETs, but also support the development of targeted therapies that modulate NET activity to benefit the host. The integration of bibliometric insights further provides a roadmap for future research directions in this rapidly evolving field.

Author contributions

RM: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. TM-C: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. ES: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. We acknowledge the financial support from the Fundação Carlos Chagas Filho de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ, E-26/210.297/2024, E-26/010.002419/2019, E-26/201.136/2021) and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq, 442178/2024-7, 310670/2021-7).

References

1. Brinkmann V, Reichard U, Goosmann C, Fauler B, Uhlemann Y, Weiss DS, et al. Neutrophil extracellular traps kill bacteria. *Science*. (2004) 303:1532–5. doi: 10.1126/ science.1092385

2. Urban CF, Ermert D, Schmid M, Abu-Abed U, Goosmann C, Nacken W, et al. Neutrophil extracellular traps contain calprotectin, a cytosolic protein complex involved in host defense against Candida albicans. *PloS Pathog.* (2009) 5:e1000639. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000639

3. Wang H, Kim SJ, Lei Y, Wang S, Wang H, Huang H, et al. Neutrophil extracellular traps in homeostasis and disease. *Signal Transduct Target Ther.* (2024) 9:235. doi: 10.1038/s41392-024-01933-x

4. Kenny EF, Herzig A, Krüger R, Muth A, Mondal S, Thompson PR, et al. Diverse stimuli engage different neutrophil extracellular trap pathways. *Elife.* (2017) 6:e24437. doi: 10.7554/eLife.24437

5. Kho S, Minigo G, Andries B, Leonardo L, Prayoga P, Poespoprodjo JR, et al. Circulating neutrophil extracellular traps and neutrophil activation are increased in proportion to disease severity in human malaria. *J Infect Dis.* (2019) 219:1994–2004. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiy661

6. Yáñez-Mó M, Siljander PR, Andreu Z, Zavec AB, Borràs FE, Buzas EI, et al. Biological properties of extracellular vesicles and their physiological functions. *J Extracell Vesicles*. (2015) 4:27066. doi: 10.3402/jev.v4.27066

Acknowledgments

We sincerely thank all the authors and reviewers for their valuable contributions to this Research Topic. We also greatly appreciate the support and assistance of the Frontiers editorial office.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

7. Ortmann W, Such A, Cichon I, Baj-Krzyworzeka M, Weglarczyk K, Kolaczkowska E. Large extracellular vesicle (EV) and neutrophil extracellular trap (NET) interaction captured *in vivo* during systemic inflammation. *Sci Rep.* (2024) 14:4680. doi: 10.1038/s41598-024-55081-x

8. Pereira-Silva GC, Medina JM, Paschoaletto L, Mangeth L, Coelho FS, Attias M, et al. *Leishmania amazonensis*-derived extracellular vesicles (EVs) induce neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs). *J Leukoc Biol.* (2024) 117:qiae196. doi: 10.1093/jleuko/ qiae196

9. Díaz-Godínez C, Carrero JC. The state of art of neutrophil extracellular traps in protozoan and helminthic infections. *Biosci Rep.* (2019) 39:BSR20180916. doi: 10.1042/BSR20180916

10. Peixoto R, Silva LMR, López-Osório S, Zhou E, Gärtner U, Conejeros I, et al. *Fasciola hepatica* induces weak NETosis and low production of intra- and extracellular ROS in exposed bovine polymorphonuclear neutrophils. *Dev Comp Immunol.* (2021) 114:103787. doi: 10.1016/j.dci.2020.103787

11. Chuah C, Jones MK, Burke ML, McManus DP, Owen HC, Gobert GN. Defining a pro-inflammatory neutrophil phenotype in response to schistosome eggs. *Cell Microbiol.* (2014) 16:1666–77. doi: 10.1111/cmi.12316