Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Peter Brossart, University of Bonn, Germany

*CORRESPONDENCE Haihui Jiang Mijianghaihui@bjmu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 01 June 2025 ACCEPTED 06 June 2025 PUBLISHED 18 June 2025

CITATION

Jiang H (2025) Editorial: Combination immunotherapy and immune response assessment of brain tumours. *Front. Immunol.* 16:1639173. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2025.1639173

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Jiang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Combination immunotherapy and immune response assessment of brain tumours

Haihui Jiang^{1,2*}

¹Department of Neurosurgery, Peking University Third Hospital, Peking University, Beijing, China, ²Center for Precision Neurosurgery and Oncology of Peking University Health Science Center, Beijing, China

KEYWORDS

brain tumour, gliomas, brain metastases, immunotherapy, immune response

Editorial on the Research Topic Combination immunotherapy and immune response assessment of brain tumours

Brain tumours, particularly aggressive primary malignancies such as glioblastoma (GBM) and secondary metastases, represent a devastating challenge in oncology. Despite decades of research and the application of multimodal treatments involving maximal safe resection, radiotherapy, and chemotherapy, clinical outcomes remain dismal, with median survival often measured in months (1, 2). Immunotherapy, which has transformed the treatment landscape for several haematological malignancies and solid tumours through approaches such as immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), cancer vaccines, adoptive cell therapies including CAR-T cells, and oncolytic viruses, has demonstrated limited efficacy in brain tumours (3).

Among these strategies, ICIs have shown disappointing results in unselected GBM cohorts, with most clinical trials failing to yield meaningful clinical benefit (4). This highlights the complex immune evasion mechanisms of brain tumours, which include T cell exhaustion, the enrichment of immunosuppressive immune cell populations, secretion of inhibitory cytokines, and the expression of immune checkpoint ligands. In response to these challenges, combination immunotherapy has gained increasing attention. Nevertheless, the complexity of multi-agent regimens presents substantial difficulties in clinical practice, such as managing overlapping toxicities, accurately evaluating treatment efficacy, and identifying patient subgroups most likely to benefit. Therefore, robust and dynamic immune response assessment has become essential for guiding treatment strategies and improving outcomes.

This Research Topic brings together nine contributions that examine immunotherapy and immune response evaluation in brain tumours from molecular, cellular, therapeutic, and clinical perspectives. Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) are currently the most mature and widely used form of immunotherapy. While high PD-L1 expression is often associated with better responses to ICIs in various tumours, this correlation has not been consistently observed in gliomas (5). Ni et al. reported that brain metastases with high PD-L1 expression can still benefit from ICIs, and Wu et al. described a case of longterm survival in a patient with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) brain metastases exhibiting high PD-L1 levels. These findings suggest important biological and therapeutic differences between primary brain tumours and secondary brain metastases in their responsiveness to immunotherapy.

Beyond ICIs, novel immunotherapies such as cancer vaccines, oncolytic viruses, and CAR-T cell therapy have also been explored for treating brain tumours. Yang et al. reviewed recent advances in the immunotherapy of primary central nervous system lymphoma (PCNSL), highlighting the potential of combination strategies involving chemotherapy or targeted therapies to enhance efficacy. Similarly, the limited success of monotherapy in GBM reinforces the rationale for combinatorial approaches as a necessary step toward improving treatment outcomes (6).

Liu et al. presented a promising therapeutic regimen that combines low-dose radiotherapy with immunoadjuvant treatment in patients with recurrent GBM. Radiotherapy has the potential to induce immunogenic cell death, which leads to the release of tumour antigens and stimulates the host immune response, effectively generating an *in situ* vaccination effect (7). In certain cases, this may even elicit an abscopal effect, where localized radiation results in a systemic anti-tumour immune response (8).

While T cell activation, expansion, and reversal of exhaustion remain central goals of immunotherapy (9), increasing attention has

been given to the roles of other immune cells, particularly dendritic cells (DCs) (10), and tumour-associated macrophages (TAMs) (11), in shaping the tumour immune microenvironment. Gardam et al. emphasized the importance of the DC–T cell axis and proposed strategies to enhance DC recruitment and function as a means to augment T cell-based immunotherapies. Ye et al. focused on the crosstalk between TAMs and glioma cells, demonstrating that IL4I1 promotes M2-like macrophage polarization and enhances glioma invasiveness. Their findings suggest IL4I1 as a promising therapeutic target for selectively reprogramming TAMs, thereby supporting the development of more precise immunotherapeutic interventions in gliomas.

A critical challenge in clinical practice is the identification of patients who are likely to benefit from immunotherapy and the accurate assessment of treatment efficacy. Biomarkers such as PD-L1 expression (Wu et al.; Ni et al.), high tumour mutational burden (Ni et al.), and microsatellite instability (Ni et al.) have been associated with favourable responses to ICIs in brain metastases. However, in GBM, higher tumour mutational burden may be associated with resistance to immunotherapy, as suggested by Liu et al., highlighting the need for context-specific biomarker interpretation. Yang et al. employed integrated multi-omics analyses to define disulfidptosis-based subtypes of GBM, providing new insights for personalized immunotherapy, targeted therapy, and chemotherapy selection.



At present, assessment of immunotherapy efficacy in brain tumours relies heavily on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). However, due to the spatial and temporal heterogeneity of immune responses and the unique features of the central nervous system, conventional imaging often fails to capture the complexity of therapeutic effects (12, 13). Fortunately, the advent of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies offer transformative potential for decoding complex imaging biomarkers in neuro-oncology (Chen et al.). By applying deep learning architectures to multimodal MRI datasets, we can generate more objective and reproducible imaging biomarkers, enabling improved evaluation of immunotherapy responses beyond the limits of current qualitative assessment methods.

In conclusion, this Research Topic reflects the growing momentum toward precision immunotherapy for brain tumours. The evidence supports the integration of immunotherapy with radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and targeted agents to enhance therapeutic efficacy. Moreover, the application of AI-assisted image analysis and multi-omics integration is helping to identify the patients most likely to benefit from specific immunotherapeutic approaches. These advances are contributing to a comprehensive translational research framework that spans from novel therapy development and biomarker-based patient stratification to treatment response assessment and survival time prediction (Niu et al.) (Figure 1). Collectively, these efforts are propelling the evolution of personalized immunotherapy strategies for central nervous system malignancies and shaping the future of neuro-oncology.

Author contributions

HJ: Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing.

References

1. Schaff LR, Mellinghoff IK. Glioblastoma and other primary brain Malignancies in adults: A review. *JAMA*. (2023) 329:574–87. doi: 10.1001/jama.2023.0023

2. Vogelbaum MA, Brown PD, Messersmith H, Brastianos PK, Burri S, Cahill D, et al. Treatment for brain metastases: ASCO-SNO-ASTRO guideline. *J Clin Oncol.* (2022) 40:492–516. doi: 10.1200/JCO.21.02314

3. Ott M, Prins RM, Heimberger AB. The immune landscape of common CNS Malignancies: implications for immunotherapy. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* (2021) 18:729–44. doi: 10.1038/s41571-021-00518-9

4. Wang X, Guo G, Guan H, Yu Y, Lu J, Yu J. Challenges and potential of PD-1/PD-L1 checkpoint blockade immunotherapy for glioblastoma. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res.* (2019) 38:87. doi: 10.1186/s13046-019-1085-3

5. Doroshow DB, Bhalla S, Beasley MB, Sholl LM, Kerr KM, Gnjatic S, et al. PD-L1 as a biomarker of response to immune-checkpoint inhibitors. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* (2021) 18:345–62. doi: 10.1038/s41571-021-00473-5

6. Singh K, Batich KA, Wen PY, Tan AC, Bagley SJ, Lim M, et al. Designing clinical trials for combination immunotherapy: A framework for glioblastoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2022) 28:585–93. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-21-2681

7. Zhang Z, Liu X, Chen D, Yu J. Radiotherapy combined with immunotherapy: the dawn of cancer treatment. *Signal Transduct Target Ther*. (2022) 7:258. doi: 10.1038/s41392-022-01102-y

Funding

The author declares that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.82202983), Innovation & Transfer Fund of Peking University Third Hospital, and Peking University Clinical Scientist Training Program, supported by "the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities".

Conflict of interest

The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

8. Nelson BE, Adashek JJ, Lin SH, Subbiah V. The abscopal effect in patients with cancer receiving immunotherapy. *Med.* (2023) 4:233-44. doi: 10.1016/j.medj.2023.02.003

9. Peng S, Lin A, Jiang A, Zhang C, Zhang J, Cheng Q, et al. CTLs heterogeneity and plasticity: implications for cancer immunotherapy. *Mol Cancer*. (2024) 23:58. doi: 10.1186/s12943-024-01972-6

10. Heras-Murillo I, Adan-Barrientos I, Galan M, Wculek SK, Sancho D. Dendritic cells as orchestrators of anticancer immunity and immunotherapy. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* (2024) 21:257–77. doi: 10.1038/s41571-024-00859-1

11. Mantovani A, Allavena P, Marchesi F, Garlanda C. Macrophages as tools and targets in cancer therapy. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* (2022) 21:799–820. doi: 10.1038/s41573-022-00520-5

12. Okada H, Weller M, Huang R, Finocchiaro G, Gilbert MR, Wick W, et al. Immunotherapy response assessment in neuro-oncology: a report of the RANO working group. *Lancet Oncol.* (2015) 16:e534–e42. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(15)00088-1

13. Liu X, Chen H, Tan G, Zhong L, Jiang H, Smith SM, et al. A comprehensive neuroimaging review of the primary and metastatic brain tumors treated with immunotherapy: current status, and the application of advanced imaging approaches and artificial intelligence. *Front Immunol.* (2024) 15:1496627. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1496627