

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Paola Italiani, National Research Council (CNR), Italy

REVIEWED BY
Diana Boraschi,
Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), China

*CORRESPONDENCE
Jorge Contreras-Garduño

☑ jcg@enesmorelia.unam.mx
Joachim Kurtz
☑ joachim.kurtz@uni-muenster.de

RECEIVED 24 June 2025 ACCEPTED 14 August 2025 PUBLISHED 27 August 2025

CITATION

Contreras-Garduño J and Kurtz J (2025) Editorial: Commending 20 years since the formal discovery of immune priming: the innate immune memory. *Front. Immunol.* 16:1652666. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2025.1652666

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Contreras-Garduño and Kurtz. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Commending 20 years since the formal discovery of immune priming: the innate immune memory

Jorge Contreras-Garduño^{1*} and Joachim Kurtz^{2*}

¹Escuela Nacional de Estudios Superiores, unidad Morelia, National Autonomous University of Mexico, Morelia, Mexico, ²Institute for Evolution and Biodiversity, University of Münste, Münster, Germany

KEYWORDS

ecology, ecological immunology, adaptive immunity, trained immunity, immune priming, evolution, specific immune memory, parasites

Editorial on the Research Topic

Commending 20 years since the formal discovery of immune priming: the innate immune memory

For many decades, the prevailing paradigm has been that only vertebrates, but not invertebrates, possess immune memory. However, already since the 1970s, studies in insects and crustaceans suggested that organisms previously exposed to a sublethal pathogenic challenge could better survive a following lethal challenge. Exposed individuals were also shown to have enhanced immune responses, and to more effectively eliminate pathogens, compared to those not pre-exposed (1-6). Nevertheless, these responses often lacked specificity, which is considered a hallmark of immune memory. Thus, the belief in the absence of immune memory in invertebrates largely prevailed until it was reported that immune memory in invertebrates could show specificity to a degree that it could differentiate between siblings and unrelated parasite lines (7). This sparked considerable debate among the scientific community regarding the capacity for immune memory in invertebrates (8, 9), and over time, a growing number of studies have revealed innate immune memory in various invertebrate groups (10). Moreover, an increasing focus on immune memory provided by cells of the innate immune system of vertebrates led to the discovery of "trained immunity" (11). Today, "trained immunity" usually refers to innate immune memory in vertebrates, while the term "immune priming" is normally restricted to invertebrates. While highlighting potential mechanistic differences between these forms of innate immune memory (12, 13), this distinction may also limit the exchange of ideas. Interactions between researchers in the fields of trained immunity and immune priming thus bear large potential. Therefore, 20 years after the inaugural studies of "immune priming", we initiated this Research Topic to gather articles that provide further evidence and review the status of immune priming and trained immunity, aiming to assess

Several articles in this Research Topic provide further evidence for immune priming and analyze conditions determining its occurrence. Immune priming has previously been suggested to be contingent on parasite virulence, the evolutionary costs of immune memory and/or the host immune response performance (14). Goerlinger et al. now propose that the route of

Contreras-Garduño and Kurtz 10.3389/fimmu.2025.1652666

infection is also an important determinant, at least, in insects (*Tenebrio molitor*) against bacteria, and they also reveal another interesting result: the host behavior seems to be very important during immune priming. These are promising research avenues for future investigations.

Recent studies highlight that immune priming carries physiological costs. Cortacans et al. demonstrate that *Drosophila melanogaster* primed and challenged with *Candida albicans* strain 4372 exhibited a strong antimicrobial peptide (AMP) response, with sex-specific immune pathway activation (Toll in males, Imd in females). However, this enhanced response did not improve survival, suggesting potential costs of immune overactivation. Similarly, Cime-Castillo et al. show that in mosquitoes, heterologous priming with different Dengue virus serotypes (e.g., DENV-4 then DENV-2) reduced pupation rates but, in some cases, increased adult emergence. These results suggest a trade-off between development and immune activation, with implications for vector control and transgenerational immunity, though further generational data are needed.

Sułek et al. contribute to important evidence regarding mechanistic underpinnings of immune priming, which can vary strongly among different systems. They found that immune-primed Galleria mellonella larvae upregulated a peptide (Pr13a) that reduced bacterial load and altered pathogen surface properties, pointing to potential effector molecules involved in immune memory. Cho and Cho report that Gryllus bimaculatus primed with heat-killed Bacillus thuringiensis exhibit increased survival and extracellular trap formation, with evidence that immune protection becomes more specific over time. As far as we know, this is the first study reporting that extracellular traps are involved in immune priming. Collectively, these findings underscore that immune priming may not be universally beneficial and is shaped by hostpathogen interactions, immune effectors, and timing. Long-term studies are essential to fully understand the dynamics and costs of invertebrate immune memory.

While the precise mechanisms by which organisms recognize specific immune challenges, establish immune memory, and recall it across successive encounters remain incompletely understood (15), the studies featured in this Research Topic make a valuable contribution by proposing a novel interplay between metabolism, epigenetics, and the endocycle in shaping immune memory (Méndez-López et al.; Mukherjee and Dobrindt). This integrative perspective advances the field by highlighting potential molecular and cellular frameworks underlying immune priming and its long-term maintenance. Accordingly, Ng et al. in this Research Topic made use of the same copepod-tapeworm system where specificity was first demonstrated in invertebrate immune memory to identify differential molecular mechanisms of specific versus non-specific immune priming. A transcriptomic approach pointed to epigenetics and metabolism associated with both forms of priming, while splicing-associated processes were characteristic of specific priming and oxidative phosphorylation and carbon metabolism of unspecific priming. Importantly, epigenetics and metabolism are also involved in trained immunity (16), so, these might be general mechanisms underlying innate immune memory in animals.

Accordingly, Boraschi et al. provide an important conceptual and comparative approach to both trained immunity and immune priming. They argue that for both types of immunity it depends on the challenges and conditions whether innate memory is non-specific or specific, and whether it is long- or short-lived. Vertebrate innate immune memory can also show some degree of specificity, likely mediated by receptors and pathway involved in the initial recognition process.

Within the scope of trained immunity, the authors contributing to this Research Topic propose several potential applications. Sui and Berzofsky suggest leveraging trained immunity to enhance cancer immunotherapies, while Samuel et al. propose the use of BCG vaccination to stimulate trained immune responses in bovines. However, Bhargavi and Subbian caution the scientific community about the potential drawbacks associated with the development of non-specific vaccines. Specifically, they highlight that inflammation induced by trained immunity may contribute to the emergence of autoimmune pathologies. In light of these concerns, the authors emphasize the importance of carefully designing novel therapeutic strategies for both infectious and non-infectious diseases. Their review focuses on the immunologic, metabolic, and epigenetic mechanisms underlying trained immunity, particularly within myeloid cells. Furthermore, evidence from invertebrate models suggests that immune priming may incur evolutionary costs, particularly in terms of reproductive fitness (17). Given these considerations, the potential costs and trade-offs of immune priming and trained immunity warrant further investigation, especially in contexts where their application has been proposed.

The articles in the Research Topic highlight important features of innate immune memory that may direct future research. The field of immune priming-initially driven by input from evolutionary ecology—has now identified a multitude of mechanistic underpinnings in the diverse organisms studied. Acknowledging this diversity allows for comparisons with vertebrate trained immunity, where knowledge of mechanisms is already very rich, while avoiding oversimplistic generalizations. Future research may include evolutionary aspects to evaluate consequences of applications of trained immunity and immune priming in human and animal health and beyond.

Author contributions

JCG: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JK: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Conceptualization.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. JCG received funding from the Programa de Apoyos para la Superación del Personal Académico (PASPA), Dirección General del Asuntos del Personal Académico, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México for a Sabbatical stay in Münster, Germany. JCG also received a grant from the Evolution Think

Contreras-Garduño and Kurtz 10.3389/fimmu.2025.1652666

Tank (ETT) Fellow program of the Münster Graduate School of Evolution (MGSE), University of Münster, Germany.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Any alternative text (alt text) provided alongside figures in this article has been generated by Frontiers with the support of artificial intelligence and reasonable efforts have been made to ensure accuracy, including review by the authors wherever possible. If you identify any issues, please contact us.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

- 1. McKay D, Jenkin CR. Immunity in the invertebrates: II. Adaptive immunity in the crayfish (*Parachaeraps bicarinatus*). *Immunology*. (1969) . 17:127.
- 2. McKay D, Jenkin CR. Immunity in the invertebrates. The fate and distribution of bacteria in normal and immunised crayfish (*Parachaeraps bicarinatus*). Aust J Exp Biol Med Sci. (1970) 48:599–607. doi: 10.1038/icb.1970.58
- 3. McKay D, Jenkin CR. Immunity in the invertebrates. Correlation of the phagocytic activity of haemocytes with resistance to infection in the crayfish (*Parachaeraps bicarinatus*). *Aust J Exp Biol Med Sci.* (1970) 48:609–17. doi: 10.1038/icb.1970.59
- 4. Boman HG, Nilsson I, Rasmuson B. Inducible antibacterial defence system in Drosophila. *Nature*. (1972) . 237:232–5. doi: 10.1038/237232a0
- 5. Faulhaber LM, Karp RD. A diphasic immune response against bacteria in the American cockroach. *Immunology*. (1992) . 75:378–81.
- 6. Karp RD, Duwel LE, Faulhaber LM, Harju MA. Evolution of adaptive immunity: inducible responses in the American cockroach. *Ann New York Acad Sci.* (1994) 712:82–91. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.1994.tb33564.x
- 7. Kurtz J, Franz K. Innate defense: evidence for memory in invertebrate immunity. *Nature.* (2003) . 425:37–8. doi: 10.1038/425037a
- 8. Hauton C, Smith VJ. Adaptive immunity in invertebrates: a straw house without a mechanistic foundation. *Bioessays*. (2007) . 29:1138–46. doi: 10.1002/bies.20650
- 9. Little TJ, Colegrave N, Sadd BM, Schmid-Hempel P. Studying immunity at the whole organism level. *Bioessays.* (2008) . 30:404–5. doi: 10.1002/bies.20738

- 10. Lanz-Mendoza H, Gálvez D, Contreras-Garduño J. The plasticity of immune memory in invertebrates. *J Exp Biol.* (2024) 227:jeb246158. doi: 10.1242/jeb.246158
- 11. Netea MG, Quintin J, van der Meer JW. Trained immunity: a memory for innate host defense. *Cell Host Mic.* (2011) . 9:355–61. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2011.04.006
- 12. Netea MG, Joosten LA. Trained innate immunity: concept, nomenclature and future perspectives. *J Al. Clin Immunol.* (2024) 154:1079–84. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2024.09.005
- 13. Little TJ, Hultmark D, Read AF. Invertebrate immunity and the limits of mechanistic immunology. *Nat Immunol.* (2005) . 6:651–4. doi: 10.1038/ni1219
- 14. Contreras-Garduño J, Lanz-Mendoza H, Franco B, Nava A, Pedraza-Reyes M, Canales-Lazcano J. Insect immune priming: ecology and experimental evidences. *Ecol Entomol.* (2016) . 41:351–66. doi: 10.1111/een.12300
- 15. Lanz-Mendoza H, Contreras-Garduño J. Innate immune memory in invertebrates: Concept and potential mechanisms. *Dev Comp Immunol.* (2022) .127:104285. doi: 10.1016/j.dci.2021.104285
- 16. Fanucchi S, Domínguez-Andrés J, Joosten LA, Netea MG, Mhlanga MM. The intersection of epigenetics and metabolism in trained immunity. Immunity. (2021) . 54:32-43. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2020.10.011
- 17. Contreras-Garduño J, Méndez-López TT, Patiño-Morales A, González-Hernández GA, Torres-Guzmán JC, Krams I, et al. The costs of the immune memory within generations. *Sci Nat.* (2019) 106:1–9. doi: 10.1007/s00114-019-1657-2