Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Pedro E. Maldonado, University of Chile, Chile

REVIEWED BY Thomas Longden, University of Maryland, Baltimore, United States Jinhui Zhang, Oregon Health and Science University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Suzana Herculano-Houzel suzana.herculano@vanderbilt.edu

RECEIVED 19 August 2021 ACCEPTED 28 July 2022 PUBLISHED 29 August 2022

CITATION

Ventura-Antunes L and Herculano-Houzel S (2022) Energy supply per neuron is constrained by capillary density in the mouse brain. *Front. Integr. Neurosci.* 16:760887. doi: 10.3389/fnint.2022.760887

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Ventura-Antunes and Herculano-Houzel. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Energy supply per neuron is constrained by capillary density in the mouse brain

Lissa Ventura-Antunes (b 1,2,3 and Suzana Herculano-Houzel (b 2,4,5*

¹Instituto de Ciências Biomédicas, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, ²Department of Psychology, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, United States, ³Department of Neurology, Vanderbilt Medical Center, Nashville, TN, United States, ⁴Department of Biological Sciences, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, United States, ⁵Vanderbilt Brain Institute, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, United States

Neuronal densities vary enormously across sites within a brain. Does the density of the capillary bed vary accompanying the presumably larger energy requirement of sites with more neurons, or with larger neurons, or is energy supply constrained by a mostly homogeneous capillary bed? Here we find evidence for the latter, with a capillary bed that represents typically between 0.7 and 1.5% of the volume of the parenchyma across various sites in the mouse brain, whereas neuronal densities vary by at least 100-fold. As a result, the ratio of capillary cells per neuron decreases uniformly with increasing neuronal density and therefore with smaller average neuronal size across sites. Thus, given the relatively constant capillary density compared to neuronal density in the brain, blood and energy availability per neuron is presumably dependent on how many neurons compete for the limited supply provided by a mostly homogeneous capillary bed. Additionally, we find that local capillary density is not correlated with local synapse densities, although there is a small but significant correlation between lower neuronal density (and therefore larger neuronal size) and more synapses per neuron within the restricted range of 6,500-9,500 across cortical sites. Further, local variations in the glial/neuron ratio are not correlated with local variations in the number of synapses per neuron or local synaptic densities. These findings suggest that it is not that larger neurons, neurons with more synapses, or even sites with more synapses *demand* more energy, but simply that larger neurons (in low density sites) have more energy available per cell and for the totality of its synapses than smaller neurons (in high density sites) due to competition for limited resources supplied by a capillary bed of fairly homogeneous density throughout the brain.

KEYWORDS

metabolism, capillary density, brain vasculature, brain energetics, neuronal density

01

Introduction

The human brain ranks second amongst organs in absolute energy cost at rest only to the liver (Aschoff et al., 1971; Rolfe and Guy, 1997). Such high cost is commonly attributed to synaptic-mediated neuronal activity (Ames, 2000; Attwell and Laughlin, 2001; Harris et al., 2012), and is entirely met by molecules supplied from the blood and provided to neurons through glial cells whose metabolism is coupled to neuronal activity (Pellerin and Magistretti, 2004; Magistretti, 2006). The high energy cost of the brain, liver, and heart puts them at high risk of damage by diseases and conditions such as aging that compromise metabolism and oxygen supply (Leach and Treacher, 1998). Elucidating what determines the high energetic cost of the brain is thus central to understanding healthy and abnormal brain function.

Brain bioenergetics researchers consider that the high energetic cost of the brain is driven by a steep energetic requirement of neurons due to costs directly and indirectly related to synaptic activity and membrane repolarization (Attwell and Laughlin, 2001; Howarth et al., 2010; Harris et al., 2012). However, energetic use can be determined by demand only if it is matched dynamically by a non-limiting supply; otherwise, it is constrained by energetic *supply* that limits work. The former is the case of modern cities where the electricity that supplies homes is non-limiting, and demand is free to vary but is always met; the latter is the case in rural homes that already consume most of what little electricity they are supplied with and cannot sustain both an electric shower and air conditioning running simultaneously (Banavar et al., 2002). In biology, this is evident, for example, in hummingbirds, forced into torpor when food is insufficient (Hainsworth et al., 1977).

The brain is known to use a disproportionate amount of the body's energy budget (Mink et al., 1981), but how much that use is limited by its energetic supply is a key open question. Importantly, there is good reason to suspect that brain energy use at rest is already close to the limits established by the blood supply. First, both local glucose use and blood flow at rest depend linearly on local capillary density in rat (Klein et al., 1986) and monkey (Noda et al., 2002). Task-related variations in local CBF are very small, in the order of 2%, and of at most 8% in primary sensory areas, such that total cerebral blood flow (CBF) remains remarkably constant throughout the day in healthy individuals (Schölvinck et al., 2008; Lin et al., 2010). These small local variations in CBF happen with hardly any capillary recruitment (Kuschinsky and Paulson, 1992; Hudetz, 1997). Additionally, blood flow rates in the brain are already as high at rest as they are in skeletal muscle during exercise (Madsen et al., 1993; Zheng et al., 2014).

Here we examine whether the distribution of capillaries in the brain is consistent with a supply-limiting or a demandbased scenario. To that end, we determine whether capillary density is homogeneous throughout the adult brain regardless of local variations in local neuronal density, which would be expected if capillary density were determined in a system-wide manner by physical scaling limitations (Banavar et al., 2002); or whether capillary density varies coordinately with local neuronal densities, which would be consistent with a plastic, demandbased reorganization of the capillary bed in response to use, whether because sites with more neurons have higher energy use simply because of the larger number of neurons, or because larger neurons (in sites of lower local neuronal densities) require more energy individually (Attwell and Laughlin, 2001). Further, to gain insight on the particular issue of energy availability per neuron, we calculate its proxy, the local endothelial-toneuronal-cell (E/N) ratio, and examine how it varies locally in the mouse brain depending on local neuronal densities. Finally, we examine whether variations in energy availability per neuron might also be related to local variations in numbers of synapses per neuron, by combining our data with direct counts of synapse densities in identified locations in the mouse brain published recently (Zhu et al., 2018).

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

All animal use in this project was approved by the Committee on Ethical Animal Use of the Health Sciences Center (CEUA-CCS), Federal University of Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), with protocol number 01200.001568/2013-87.

Experimental design

We used structured illumination confocal imaging to allow quantification of cells and microvasculature in individual sections (2D) and stacks (3D) at multiple locations in the brain of five adult mice. In two of those mice, subjected to detailed 3D quantification, brain microvasculature was revealed by injection of a fluorescent tracer (FITC-Dextran, see below) into the caudal vein; in the other three, subjected to much more time-efficient 2D quantification, brain capillaries were revealed by immunohistochemistry against collagen IV, which labels the basal lamina of blood vessels, once we established that measurements of capillary density were indistinguishable between the two labeling methods (see below). Immunohistochemistry against the neuronal marker NeuN (Mullen et al., 1992) was used to reveal neurons; glial cells (astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, and microglia) were identified by exclusion of neurons and capillary-associated cells from the total number of cell nuclei visualized with DAPI (Figure 1).

We quantified capillary area fraction (which is identical to capillary volume fraction) and cell densities in ten easily definable brain structures (cerebral cortical gray and



μm.

white matter, cerebellar gray and white matter, thalamus, hypothalamus, striatum, hippocampal and cerebellar granular and molecular layers) imaged with structured confocal illumination in a total of 5 mice, comprising 867 stacks analyzed in 3D (two mice, total of 32,270 cells), and 750 ROI acquired and analyzed in 2D (three mice, total of 196,206 cells; **Table 1**). While image stacks allow detailed visualization of microvasculature in 3D, two-dimensional analysis of image montages allows for larger sites with larger cell populations to be

sampled in less time. We thus compared the two approaches in this study to determine whether 2D analysis of the distribution of capillaries and neurons is a viable and more efficient alternative to 3D quantification.

From all DAPI-labeled cell nuclei in each stack or 2D ROI, we identified all NeuN-positive cell nuclei as neurons; all nuclei directly associated with collagen IV-labeled or FITC-dextran filled capillaries as endothelial and associated cells (which includes eventual pericytes; heretofore, we refer to

Structure	Mouse 01	Mouse 02	Mouse 03	Mouse 04	Mouse 05
CerCx, GM	32 stacks	54 stacks	76 ROI	57 ROI	34 ROI
	2,516 cells	3,495 cells	63,022 cells	17,613 cells	12,053 cells
CerCx, WM	60 stacks	69 stacks	59 ROI	19 ROI	27 ROI
	1,497 cells	2,531 cells	2,651 cells	1,636 cells	3,931 cells
Thalamus	83 stacks	42 stacks	21 ROI	15 ROI	16 ROI
	4,349 cells	1,997 cells	9,096 cells	5,442 cells	3,634 cells
Hypothalamus	45 stacks	34 stacks	13 ROI	12 ROI	11 ROI
	2,816 cells	1,861 cells	5,358 cells	2,260 cells	2,125 cells
Striatum	59 stacks	43 stacks	29 ROI	16 ROI	18 ROI
	3,283 cells	2,693 cells	15,539 cells	6,284 cells	6,467 cells
Hippocampus, ML	40 stacks	73 stacks	9 ROI	9 ROI	8 ROI
	137 cells	202 cells	136 cells	88 cells	118 cells
Hippocampus, GL	25 stacks	21 stacks	13 ROI	13 ROI	9 ROI
	470 cells	368 cells	726 cells	310 cells	609 cells
Cerebellum, GL	37 stacks	23 stacks	43 ROI	22 ROI	34 ROI
	2,105 cells	1,167 cells	16,850 cells	4,084 cells	5,892 cells
Cerebellum, ML	41 stacks	26 stacks	42 ROI	23 ROI	35 ROI
	361 cells	179 cells	3,982 cells	1,313 cells	1,632 cells
Cerebellum, WM	32 stacks	28 stacks	29 ROI	15 ROI	23 ROI
	138 cells	105 cells	1,746 cells	778 cells	831 cells
Total	454 stacks	413 stacks	334 ROI	201 ROI	215 ROI
	17,672 cells	14,598 cells	119,106 cells	39,808 cells	37,292 cells

TABLE 1 Numbers of cells, stacks and ROIs analyzed in each of ten structures of interest in the mouse brain.

CerCx, cerebral cortex; GM, gray matter; WM, white matter; GL, granular cell layer; ML, molecular cell layer.



FIGURE 2

Two-dimensional image analysis. **(A)** Areas sampled for 2D quantification are shown in white across structures in a coronal section of the mouse brain. Scale bar, 500 μ m. **(B)** ROI throughout the cortical gray matter, from pial surface to white matter interface, showing microvasculature labeled with anti-collagen IV (green), neurons labeled with anti-NeuN (red) and DAPI to reveal all cell nuclei (blue). Cell nuclei appearing inside green-labeled blood vessels are considered capillary-associated nuclei (and therefore, "endothelial cells"); cell nuclei double-labeled in red are considered neuronal cell nuclei; all remaining nuclei are identified by exclusion as gial cell nuclei. **(C)** A Cavalieri estimator grid of 4 μ m spacing (shown) was applied to images acquired under 20× magnification, and a 2 μ m grid was applied to images acquired under 63× magnification. The points marked in red correspond to the area of the image occupied by capillaries. Scale bar, 10 μ m **(D)**. Superposition of FITC-dextran (green, lumen) and collagen IV (red, basal lamina) labeling of capillaries. Single image acquired under 63× magnification.

all capillary-associated cells as "endothelial cells"), and by elimination, all remaining nuclei were deemed glial cells (**Figure 2**). Cell densities were calculated as cell nuclei per mm^3 or mm^2 , depending on the stack volume or ROI area analyzed to include capillaries only. All results are shown side by side in 2D and 3D analyses.

Experimental animals

Five male Swiss mice aged 2.5 months were analyzed. Two mice received an injection of 200 mg/kg of fluoresceinisothiocyanate-dextran (FITC-dextran 70 kDa) in the caudal vein, to label the lumen of all blood vessels (Figure 1). One hour after the injection, the half-life required for distribution in the lumen of blood vessels (Wang et al., 2016), the animals were deeply anesthetized with Xylazine (15 mg/Kg) and Ketamine (100 mg/Kg), decapitated, and their brain was quickly removed and fixed by immersion in 4% of paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffer (PB) for 1 h followed by 30% sucrose in 0.1 M PB for cryoprotection. Using a Leica microtome, the cerebellum was cut into a series of 40 µm thick sagittal sections, and the remaining tissue was cut into a series of 40 µm coronal sections. All sections were stored at -20° C in antifreeze solution (30% polyethylene glycol and 30% glycerol in 0.1 M PB) until further use. Three other mice were similarly deeply anesthetized with Xylazine and Ketamine and perfused through the heart with 0.9% saline followed by 4% paraformaldehyde in PB. The brain was removed and post-fixed for 1 h by immersion in 4% of paraformaldehyde in PB, then sectioned as above.

Immunofluorescence

One in every six sections of each brain was subjected to immunohistochemistry and counterstaining with DAPI. Anti-NeuN antibody was used to reveal neurons; additionally, those sections from the three animals not injected with FITC-Dextran were subjected to immunohistochemistry against collagen IV to reveal cell nuclei intimately associated with capillaries (Figure 1).

Each section was washed in PB for 5 min, heated for 1 h at 70°C in a 0.1 M solution of boric acid, pH 9.0, then incubated for 1 hour in PB containing 3% normal goat serum (Sigma-Aldrich, LG9023) and 2% bovine serum albumin (Sigma-Aldrich- A2058). Sections from animals not injected with FITC-Dextran were next incubated under stirring for 48 h at 4°C in PB containing rabbit polyclonal anti-collagen IV antibody (Abcam, Ab6586) at a 1:500 dilution. Each section was then washed three times in PB for 5 min each and incubated for 2 h at 4°C in a 1:500 dilution of Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Abcam, Ab150077). From this step on, all brain sections were treated similarly: washed three times in PB and incubated for 24 h at 4°C under continuous stirring with rabbit primary polyclonal antibody against Cy3conjugated NeuN (Millipore, ABN78C3) diluted 1:1,000 in PB. All sections were then labeled with DAPI (4',6-Diamidino-2-Phenylindole Dilactate, from stock solution at 20 mg/l) to provide counter-staining for identification of brain structures and allow visualization of all cell nuclei in each section. The sections were then mounted on glass slides and coated with Vectashield (Vector Labs, Cat. number H-1400) for viewing under fluorescence microscopy.

Structures analyzed

The ten structures of interest targeted for analysis [gray matter (GM) and white matter (WM)] of the cerebral cortex; striatum; thalamus; hypothalamus; granular and molecular layers of the hippocampal dentate gyrus; WM and granular and molecular layers of the cerebellum) were defined according to the Mouse Brain Atlas (Franklin and Paxinos, 1997). To capture values that are representative of the different regions of the cerebral cortex, irrespective of layer-specific variations in capillary density (Tsai et al., 2009), all ROIs placed in the GM of the cerebral cortex were long rectangles that spanned all layers, from the pial surface to the GM-WM interface (Figure 2).

Microscopy

Two Zeiss AxioImager M2 microscopes equipped with an Apotome 2 for confocal imaging under structured illumination (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) and driven by StereoInvestigator software (Microbrightfield Bioscience, Williston, VT) were used for all image acquisition.

We first delineated the boundaries of the ten brain structures of interest in each section. For each structure, image stacks for 3D analysis were acquired using systematic random sampling (SRS) in Stereo Investigator under magnification with a $63 \times$ objective (Plan-ApoChromat), which gives a depth of field of 0.5 μ m with oil, with an optical plane of 0.5 μ m and step size of 0.5 $\mu m.$ Stacks were 1,388 \times 1,040 μm wide \times 30 μm thick and typically contained 60 images each, spanning most of the full mounted thickness of the section. Within each stack, 3D counting probes placed were variable in size according to the structure (Table 2) and were placed to exclude the sectioned surfaces and zones outside the structure of interest. The gray matter of the cerebellar cortex was imaged as a whole and analyzed separately into molecular and granular layers. For 2D analysis, image composites of the entire brain sections were acquired under 20× magnification (EC Plan-NeoFluar/420350-9900), which gives a thicker depth of field of ca. 4 μ m, and counting probes consisted of rectangular regions of interest (ROIs) placed to cover large areas of the target structures in each

TABLE 2 Size of 3D counting probes per structure.

CerCx GM	X 127 y 96 z 20 μm
CerCx WM	x 80 y 75 z 20 μm
Thalamus	x 100 y 95 z 20 μm
Hypothalamus	x 100 y 95 z 20 μm
Striatum	x 100 y 95 z 20 μm
Hippocampus ML	x 40 y 40 z 20 μm
Hippocampus GL	x 30 y 30 z 20 μm
Cerebellum GL	x 40 y 40 z 20 μm
Cerebellum ML	x 40 y 40 z 20 μm
Cerebellum WM	x 40 y 40 z 20 μm

CerCx, cerebral cortex; GM, gray matter; WM, white matter; GL, granular cell layer; ML, molecular cell layer.

brain section (see Figure 2). In the cerebral cortex, rectangular probes spanned the entire gray matter from pia to the WM border, and 5–15 probes of ca. 800 μ m width were placed at regular intervals along each section. For all other structures, 2–8 rectangular probes were placed in each section, seeking to maximize the sampled surface of each structure (Figure 2A).

Although highly time-consuming, detailed analysis of 3D images was performed first to rule out bias in data acquisition due to any preferential organization of capillaries relative to the plane of section, given the three-dimensional nature of the distribution of the capillary network. To ensure feasibility of future analyses of a large number of species, and to allow for a much larger sample size, we compared the results of the 3D analysis of small image stacks with the much faster and more practical analysis of 2D image composites, which permitted the analysis of much larger ROIs and numbers of cells in half as much time. Those analyses had to be performed in separate animals due to bleaching of the immunofluorescence during the acquisition of image stacks. Due to software limitations that precluded acquiring large composites at 63×, 2D image composites had to be acquired at $20\times$, which leads to inflated estimates of area (or volume) fraction occupied by capillaries due to the projection of oblique vessels given the larger thickness of the optical sections at $20 \times$ (ca. 4 μ m) compared to $63 \times$ (ca. 0.5 µm). For the same reason, estimates of local cell densities will also differ between the two methods. However, we hypothesize that all relationships across variables should remain unaffected by the method of image acquisition. All results are thus presented side by side for 3D and 2D analyses. Data points overlap across the five animals (shown in different symbols in all figures), and are thus analyzed jointly.

3D analysis

Stacks in the targeted brain structures of two FITC-injected mice were acquired using systematic random sampling (SRS) of each structure in each of 1 of 6 sections. From each brain, 13 and 18 sagittal sections through the cerebellum and 24 and 23 coronal sections through the remaining brain structures, including the cerebrum, were analyzed. A total of 867 stacks were analyzed across the 10 brain structures in two mice (Table 1, mouse 01 and mouse 02).

2D analysis

From each of three animals, 21, 12, and 17 sections through the cerebellum and 21, 20, and 14 sections through the remaining brain structures were analyzed. A total of 750 ROIs were imaged and analyzed across the ten brain structures (Table 1, mice 03–05).

Image analysis

In each stack and ROI analyzed, we manually identified each DAPI-stained cell nucleus in the structure site as neuronal (when it expressed NeuN immunoreactivity), endothelial or belonging to other capillary-associated cells (when the cell was intimately associated with FITC-labeled capillary lumen or collagen IV-stained basal lamina, which includes pericytes; all of these are referred to as "endothelial cells"), or glial (by exclusion; **Figure 2B**).

Microvascular area fraction (hereafter termed "capillary area fraction" for simplicity) was estimated in image stacks and 2D ROIs using the Cavalieri estimator in StereoInvestigator using a grid of points separated by 2 μ m in 3D images, and 4 μ m in 2D images (Figure 2C). Capillary *area* and *volume* fraction are interchangeable (Gundersen et al., 1999) and refer to the fraction of tissue formed by endothelial cells and the lumen of the capillaries that they form. In order to restrict analysis to capillaries, wherever stacks included vessels larger than the typical capillary diameter, the counting probe was reduced to avoid it, or else the stack was discarded. In 2D, ROIs were placed to avoid large vessels.

with FITC-dextran Labeling capillaries or immunohistochemistry to collagen IV is expected to lead to slightly different measurements of capillary density expressed as area (or volume) fraction, because while the former labels the lumen of blood vessels, the latter labels the basal lamina of the cells that form the walls of the vessels. However, estimates of numbers of capillary-associated cells per site should remain unaffected. To evaluate how much the difference in measured capillary volume fraction depending on staining method would impact our results, one additional 1-in-6 series of brain sections of mouse #01, which received the injection of FITC-Dextran, were double-labeled for collagen IV using the same primary antibody as before, but a different secondary antibody, conjugated to Alexa Fluor 546 (1:500/#A11010 - Life), resulting in coincident but non-overlapping double labeling of lumen and basal lamina of the microvasculature and the associated DAPI-stained cell nuclei (**Figure 2D**). Quantification of the area fraction covered by capillaries in 2D composites acquired at $20 \times$ showed a detectable but not statistically significant difference between the microvascular fraction estimated with collagen IV (7.4 \pm 0.3%) compared to FITC-Dextran (6.6 \pm 0.4%). We thus combined the data on microvascular (capillary) fraction across all animals.

Correlations with synaptic density

In order to determine whether local densities of synapses correlate with local densities of neurons and capillaries and to establish how variable are the ratios of synapses per neuron and number of synapses supplied per capillary cell, we proceeded with the quantification of four sections from the brain of mouse #4 that matched the respective levels of the four coronal sections through the cerebral cortex of one individual mouse in the synaptome study of Zhu et al. (2018). We used the Mouse Brain Atlas (Franklin and Paxinos, 1997) to place large ROIs in the same structures. 2D composite images acquired under 20× magnification were analyzed to determine the density of cells and vascular fraction of neocortex in our images for comparison with the total number of synapses labeled with either PSD95 or SAP (Zhu et al., 2018).

Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed in JMP14 PRO, using non-parametric Spearman correlation coefficients to test the correlation between variables, and regression to mathematical functions to determine the type of relationship between variables.

Results

To guide the interpretation of our findings, the three main possible scenarios are depicted in **Figure 3**. The top row illustrates the results expected if the steady-state capillary density in the adult brain reflected local variations in neuronal density, and the average neuron was supplied with similar amounts of energy regardless of its size or location. In this case, local capillary density should be directly proportional to local neuronal density, and energy availability per neuron, indicated by the ratio of endothelial-associated (or simply "endothelial") cells per neuron, should remain constant across brain sites. On the other hand, if larger neurons are supplied with more energy, then sites with larger neurons and thus lower neuronal densities should have higher E/N (Figure 3, rows 2 and 3). However, such finding of more energy availability where

neurons are larger could occur in two different scenarios. In the first, higher E/N would happen due to reorganization of the capillary bed reflecting neuronal *demand*, in which case larger capillary densities would be expected in those sites with larger neurons (and therefore lower neuronal densities; row 3). In the second, higher E/N in those sites with larger neurons would be simply imposed by a lack of variation in capillary density across brain sites (row 2). The three particular cases in which numbers of synapses are constant per volume (left), constant per neuron (center) or larger in bigger neurons (right) are depicted separately for each scenario and illustrate the ensuing expected variation in energy availability per synapse as well as how energy availability per neuron would vary together with energy availability per synapse or not.

Across the ten brain structures examined, we find that the capillary area fraction is very small, amounting to no more than 3% of the tissue in any structure in 3D image stacks. While individual measurements of local capillary fraction vary 10xfold across all brain sites, the average capillary fraction per structure varies only about twofold across structures, with 95% of measurements ranging around 1.29 \pm 1.39% (Table 3). The average capillary fraction is highest in the GM of the cerebral cortex (2.22 \pm 0.11%), and lowest in the granular layer of the dentate gyrus and subcortical WM (0.70 \pm 0.07%; Table 3). In 2D images, we found similarly small variation in capillary fraction, as well as lower capillary fractions in WM than in GM, despite the artificially higher nominal capillary fractions measured with this method due to the larger optical thickness of the images (Table 4). The capillary fractions we report in 3D analyses are similar to vascular fractions between 2 and 6% reported in the mouse cerebral cortical gray matter (Tsai et al., 2009) and between 2.1 and 3.1% in the cat, macaque and human GM cortex (Pawlik et al., 1981; Borowsky and Collins, 1989; Boero et al., 1999).

Interestingly, capillary-associated ("endothelial" cells) typically constitute between 7 and 14% of all cells forming the brain structures examined (Tables 3, 4), a much larger percentage than could be expected from the average vascular fraction of 0.7-2.2% of the 3D volume that they occupy. This discrepancy indicates that endothelial cells are, on average, much smaller than neurons and glial cells in the tissue. Still, glial cells are consistently the majority (60-70%) of non-neuronal cells in all structures (Tables 3, 4). As expected from our previous studies (Herculano-Houzel et al., 2013, 2014), the percentage of cells that are neurons is highly variable across structures and sites within a structure; neurons are the vast majority of all cells in the granular layers of the dentate gyrus and cerebellum, a smaller percentage of all cells in other GM structures, and rare (but present) within the subcortical WM of both cerebral and cerebellar cortices (Tables 3, 4).

As expected for two different measurements of the same feature (capillary density), local endothelial cell density correlates well with local capillary area or volume fraction



FIGURE 3

Three of several possible scenarios of relationships among local density of capillary cells (D_E), local density of neurons (D_N), energy available per neuron (E/N, estimated as D_E/D_N), local density of synapses (D_S), average number of synapses per neuron (S/N) and average energy supply per synapse (E/S). Scenario 1 (top row): neurons demand a certain fixed supply of energy, and the capillary bed adjusts to supply it at a steady-state, such that energy supply per neuron is constant across sites. D_E is expected to be proportional to D_N, and energy available per neuron (E/N) is constant. Scenario 2 (second row): capillary supply to brain tissue is constant and neurons compete for energy available. In this case, D_E is expected to be relatively constant regardless of local D_N; as a result, E/N is larger in sites of lower neuronal densities, where fewer neurons compete for a limited supply of energy. This is the scenario supported by our findings, but incompatible with current models. Scenario 3 (third row): larger neurons demand more energy, and the capillary bed adjusts to supply it, such that energy supply per neuron is larger where neurons are also larger, and thus occur at lower D_N. This scenario is compatible with current models, but is not supported by our present findings. Columns indicate, for each scenario of capillary and neuronal distribution, the expected findings regarding variations across the variables measurable in this study depending on whether (left column) local synaptic densities are mostly constant across sites, such that there are more synapses per neuron where neurons are larger (and D_N lower); (center column) the number of synapses per neuron is mostly constant, such that there are more synapses where there also are more neurons; and (right column) larger neurons have many more synapses than smaller neurons, such that local synaptic densities are larger where neurons are larger (and D_N lower). Our findings support a relatively constant density of capillaries with fairly constant densities of synapses per neuron, such that energy supply both per neuron and per synapse, and thus energy availability per neuron and per synapse, decreases the higher the local neuronal density, that is, the smaller the average local volume of individual neuronal cells. This is the scenario highlighted in the center panel.

3D	% capillary fraction	% endothelial cells	% neurons	% glial cells	Glial cells% of non-neuronal cells
CerCx, GM	$2.22\pm0.11\%$	$13.90 \pm 0.79\%$	$57.86\pm1.53\%$	$28.22\pm1.23\%$	$66.64\pm1.49\%$
CerCx, WM	$0.75\pm0.03\%$	$7.62\pm0.60\%$	$5.75 \pm 1.15\%$	$82.61 \pm 1.41\%$	$91.37 \pm 0.76\%$
Striatum	$1.43\pm0.06\%$	$7.94\pm0.34\%$	$62.47 \pm 1.10\%$	$29.57\pm1.10\%$	$77.58 \pm 0.99\%$
Thalamus	$1.35\pm0.05\%$	$10.74\pm0.52\%$	$51.24\pm1.31\%$	$38.01 \pm 1.2\%$	$77.31 \pm 1.00\%$
Hypothalamus	$1.34\pm0.06\%$	$10.14\pm0.69\%$	$60.62\pm1.61\%$	$29.23\pm1.36\%$	$73.34\pm1.64\%$
DG, GL	$0.70\pm0.07\%$	$1.46\pm0.47\%$	$94.96\pm1.08\%$	$3.57\pm0.92\%$	$67.46 \pm 9.30\%$
DG, ML	$1.10\pm0.06\%$	$29.18\pm3.21\%$	$10.33\pm3.29\%$	$60.48\pm3.29\%$	$68.23 \pm 3.35\%$
Cb, GL	$1.56\pm0.06\%$	$2.58\pm0.33\%$	$95.44\pm0.46\%$	$1.96\pm0.33\%$	$38.86\pm5.06\%$
Cb, ML	$1.69\pm0.09\%$	$13.92\pm3.76\%$	$59.77\pm3.90\%$	$26.30\pm3.76\%$	$54.35 \pm 4.93\%$
Cb, WM	$1.25\pm0.06\%$	$14.14\pm2.68\%$	$0.73\pm0.52\%$	$85.12\pm2.76\%$	$85.64 \pm 2.69\%$

TABLE 3 Fractional cellular composition of different structures of the mouse brain, quantified in 3D.

Values correspond to the average across sites in each structure of two mice (#1 and #2). CerCx, cerebral cortex; GM, gray matter; WM, white matter; GL, granular cell layer; ML, molecular cell layer; Cb, cerebellum.

TABLE 4 Fractional cellular composition of different structures of the mouse brain, quantified in 2D.

2D	% capillary fraction*	% endothelial cells	% neurons	% glial cells	Glial cells% of non-neuronal cells
CerCx, GM	$8.65\pm0.21\%$	$14.37\pm0.23\%$	$60.86\pm0.39\%$	$24.75\pm0.36\%$	$63.06 \pm 0.53\%$
CerCx, WM	$5.35\pm0.27\%$	$10.63 \pm 0.55\%$	$2.99\pm0.93\%$	$86.37\pm1.18\%$	$88.55 \pm 0.75\%$
Striatum	$7.74\pm0.25\%$	$11.88\pm0.37\%$	$63.59\pm0.83\%$	$24.51\pm0.71\%$	$67.14 \pm 0.88\%$
Thalamus	$7.58\pm0.71\%$	$14.53\pm0.71\%$	$45.88\pm1.89\%$	$39.57\pm1.90\%$	$72.19 \pm 1.30\%$
Hypothalamus	$7.28\pm0.39\%$	$12.38\pm0.63\%$	$57.13\pm1.60\%$	$30.47\pm1.34\%$	$70.77 \pm 1.40\%$
DG, GL	$6.17\pm0.06\%$	$4.55\pm0.72\%$	$90.89\pm1.46\%$	$4.55\pm0.89\%$	$46.32\pm5.72\%$
DG, ML	$10.91 \pm 0.91\%$	$30.67\pm1.70\%$	$13.17\pm2.34\%$	$56.15\pm2.34\%$	$64.43 \pm 1.93\%$
Cb, GL	$6.76\pm0.26\%$	$3.02\pm0.17\%$	$91.00\pm0.47\%$	$5.97\pm0.38\%$	$63.22\pm1.83\%$
Cb, ML	$7.20\pm0.25\%$	$18.77\pm0.62\%$	$47.02\pm1.57\%$	$34.20\pm1.48\%$	$62.47 \pm 1.48\%$
Cb, WM	$4.16\pm0.22\%$	$13.50\pm0.77\%$	$2.34\pm0.56\%$	$84.14\pm0.95\%$	$86.13\pm0.79\%$

Values correspond to the average across sites in each brain structure of three mice (#3, #4 and #5). *Note that the capillary fraction appears higher here than when measured in 3D due to the deeper focal thickness under the lower magnification used for 2D image acquisition, which artificially inflates the relative capillary fraction. Other values are similar between 2D and 3D estimates.

within and across the different brain structures, as well as across animals, especially in the 2D sample, which included a much larger number of sites and cells (Figure 4 and Table 5). Both capillary fraction and local endothelial cell density vary by a single order of magnitude across sites in the mouse brain, whether measured in 2D or 3D (Figure 4A). The two capillary density-related variables are correlated within each structure individually (Table 6), and there is good overlap in data points across structures and animals, especially in the larger 2D dataset (Figure 4A, right), where the overlapping power relationships (Table 6) indicate that the relationship between capillary fraction and cellular composition of capillaries is shared throughout the brain. This agreement suggests that endothelial cell density is a good proxy for the resting rates of blood flow and glucose use (Klein et al., 1986; Noda et al., 2002; Ventura-Antunes et al., 2022).

Neuronal densities, in contrast, vary over two orders of magnitude across sites in GM structures, from 31,250 to 3,843,750/mm³ (Figure 4B), and are not significantly correlated with local capillary fraction (Figure 4B) across brain sites and

structures (**Table 6**). In fact, brain structures with enormously different neuronal densities have capillary fractions in the same restricted range (**Figure 4B**), and correlations, where significant, can be positive (cortical GM) or negative (cerebellar granular layer; **Table 6**).

Like endothelial cell densities, variation in glial cell densities is restricted to within one order of magnitude across sites in the mouse brain (**Figure 5A**). Within the cortical GM, local glial cell densities vary significantly in positive correlation with local neuronal density, as previously observed across sites within both human (Lauwers et al., 2008) and mouse cortices (Herculano-Houzel et al., 2013; **Figure 5A** and **Table 6**). In contrast, there is no universal correlation between local neuronal and glial cell densities across brain structures; if anything, there is a modest negative correlation across all sites (**Figure 5A**), which is due to the slightly more elevated glial cell densities in WM sites.

Importantly, a large range of neuronal densities occurs with similar endothelial cell densities across structures in the mouse brain, with only a weak overall trend toward higher endothelial cell densities where neuronal densities are higher (Figure 5B).



(B); three animals, shown in circles, triangles, or rectangles, right) with the Spearman correlation coefficients and *p*-values across all animals and sites indicated in each graph. (C,D) In contrast, local neuronal density does not correlate significantly with local vascular fraction across brain sites and structures in 3D (C) or in 2D (D). Histograms above and to the right reflect the distribution of all data points in each axis. Graphs in (A,C) and (B,D) are shown with similar X and Y scales for comparison. Correlation coefficients and power exponents for each structure are given in **Table 6**.

A significant increase in local endothelial cell density in sites with increasing local neuronal density is found only in the cortical GM, thalamus and striatum (**Figure 5B** and **Table 6**). Similarly, we find significant correlations between local densities of endothelial cells and glial cells within some structures, but no strong systematic correlation across locations (**Figure 5C**).

Consistently with the larger variation of neuronal densities than glial cell densities across locations, the glia/neuron (G/N) ratio is highly variable within the mouse brain, and is universally and inversely related to local neuronal densities across all sites and structures, as we described previously (Mota and Herculano-Houzel, 2014; **Figures 6A,B**). That is, there are more glial cells per neuron in those sites with lower neuronal densities, in a relationship that applies both across and within structures, regardless of their identity (Table 6). In contrast, the relationship between local glia/neuron ratio and glial cell density is specific to each structure, with different ranges of glia/neuron ratios sharing similar glial cell densities across structures (Figures 6C,D). Importantly, although higher G/N ratios where neuronal densities are smaller (and therefore neurons are larger) have been proposed to accompany the presumably higher energetic demands of larger neurons (Attwell and Laughlin, 2001), the entire 10,000-fold range of G/N ratios occurs within the same restricted range of endothelial cell

DE $-0.084, 0.7047$ $0.412, 0.0509$ $-0.194, 0.4133$ $0.753, -6.0001$ $0.741, -0.001$ $0.123, 0.5758$ $-0.166, 0.4837$ $-0.933, 0.0827$ DN $-0.084, 0.7047$ $0.307, 0.1542$ $0.466, 0.0414$ $-0.652, 0.0007$ $-0.121, 0.5820$ $-0.235, 0.3177$ $-0.624, 0.0015$ $-0.545, 0.0129$ $0.056, 0.3113$ DC $0.1194, 0.1133$ $0.460, 0.0414$ $0.108, 0.6488$ $0.1138, 0.5605$ $-0.203, 0.3225$ $0.312, 0.1803$ $-0.241, 0.0376$ $-0.291, 0.2131$ $-0.831, 0.1001$ DS $-0.194, 0.113$ $0.460, 0.0414$ $0.108, 0.6488$ $0.128, 0.5605$ $-0.241, 0.0532$ $0.305, 0.1196$ $0.441, 0.0376$ $0.365, 0.1136$ EN $0.753, 0.0001$ $-0.652, 0.0007$ $0.128, 0.5605$ $-0.215, 0.3632$ $0.630, 0.0013$ $0.756, 0.0001$ $0.756, 0.018$ $0.171, 0.4717$ $-0.366, 0.1136$ EN $0.753, 0.3776$ $0.0630, 0.0230$ $0.630, 0.0013$ $0.756, 0.0001$ $0.556, 0.0123$ $0.256, 0.0269$ $0.441, 0.0755$ $0.255, 0.3177$ $-0.256, 0.0176$ EN $0.001, 0.0230$ <		\mathbf{D}_{E}	$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{N}}$	D_{G}	D_{S}	E/N	E/G	E/S	G/N	S/N	S/G
Ds -0.084, 0.7047 0.307, 0.1542 0.460, 0.0414 -0.652, 0.007 -0.121, 0.5820 -0.235, 0.3177 -0.545, 0.0129 0.056, 0.813 Dc 0.412, 0.0509 0.307, 0.1542 0.108, 0.6488 0.128, 0.5605 -0.203, 0.3525 0.312, 0.1800 -0.241, 0.0750 -0.241, 0.0750 -0.241, 0.0750 -0.364, 0.0175 -0.364, 0.0175 -0.364, 0.0175 -0.364, 0.0175 -0.364, 0.0175 -0.364, 0.0175 -0.364, 0.0175 -0.364, 0.0175 -0.364, 0.0175 -0.364, 0.0175 -0.364, 0.0175 -0.364, 0.0175 -0.364, 0.0175 -0.364, 0.0175 -0.364, 0.0189 0.363, 0.0001 -0.365, 0.0230 0.441, 0.0517 -0.364, 0.0189 0.171, 0.4717 -0.366, 0.030 -0.273, 0.2069 0.443, 0.0755 -0.366, 0.030 -0.364, 0.1163 -0.365, 0.0173 -0.365, 0.0173 -0.366, 0.1896 0.441, 0.0755 -0.366, 0.030 -0.273, 0.2069 0.435, 0.0555 -0.366, 0.030 -0.364, 0.1163 -0.366, 0.0133 -0.366, 0.0133 -0.366, 0.0133 -0.366, 0.0133 -0.366, 0.0133 -0.366, 0.0133 -0.366, 0.0133 -0.366, 0.0133 -0.366, 0.0133 -0.366, 0.0133 -0.366, 0.0133 <td< td=""><td>DE</td><td></td><td>-0.084, 0.7047</td><td>0.412, 0.0509</td><td>-0.194, 0.4133</td><td>0.753,<0.0001</td><td>0.741,<0.0001</td><td>0.906, < 0.0001</td><td>0.123, 0.5758</td><td>-0.166, 0.4837</td><td>-0.393, 0.0862</td></td<>	DE		-0.084, 0.7047	0.412, 0.0509	-0.194, 0.4133	0.753,<0.0001	0.741,<0.0001	0.906, < 0.0001	0.123, 0.5758	-0.166, 0.4837	-0.393, 0.0862
D_{c} $0.412, 0.050$ $0.307, 0.1542$ $0.108, 0.6488$ $0.128, 0.5605$ $-0.203, 0.3252$ $0.312, 0.136$ $-0.291, 0.2131$ $-0.831, -0.001$ B_{c} $-0.194, 0.1133$ $0.460, 0.0414$ $0.108, 0.6488$ $-0.441, 0.0517$ $-0.215, 0.3522$ $-0.306, 0.0230$ $-0.204, 0.0775$ $0.363, 0.1156$ E_{c} $0.753, c.0001$ $-0.652, 0.0007$ $0.128, 0.5605$ $-0.441, 0.0517$ $0.630, 0.0013$ $0.750, 0.001$ $0.751, 0.0118$ $0.171, 0.4717$ $-0.306, 0.1896$ $0.441, 0.775$ $0.363, 0.1186$ $0.2750, 0.001$ $0.550, 0.003$ $0.2750, 0.001$ $0.550, 0.003$ $0.2750, 0.003$ $0.250, 0.206$ $0.441, 0.775$ $0.250, 0.203$ $0.250, 0.023$ $0.2750, 0.001$ $0.550, 0.003$ $0.2750, 0.003$ $0.2750, 0.003$ $0.2750, 0.003$ $0.250, 0.206$ $0.441, 0.75$ $0.250, 0.206$ $0.441, 0.75$ $0.250, 0.207$ $0.250, 0.206$ $0.204, 0.163$ $0.252, 0.206$ $0.250, 0.206$ $0.250, 0.206$ $0.250, 0.206$ $0.250, 0.206$ $0.250, 0.206$ $0.250, 0.206$ $0.250, 0.206$ $0.250, 0.206$ $0.250, 0.206$ $0.250, 0.206$	D_N	-0.084, 0.7047		0.307, 0.1542	0.460, 0.0414	-0.652, 0.0007	-0.121, 0.5820	-0.235, 0.3177	-0.624, 0.0015	-0.545, 0.0129	0.056, 0.8113
Ds $-0.194, 0.413$ $0.460, 0.0414$ $0.108, 0.6488$ $-0.441, 0.0517$ $-0.215, 0.363$ $-0.306, 0.0230$ $-0.306, 0.1896$ $0.404, 0.0775$ $0.363, 0.1186$ E/O $0.753, < 0.0001$ $-0.652, 0.0007$ $0.128, 0.5605$ $-0.441, 0.0517$ $0.630, 0.0013$ $0.750, 0.0001$ $0.516, 0.0118$ $0.171, 0.4717$ $-0.366, 0.1893$ E/G $0.741, < 0.0001$ $-0.652, 0.0001$ $-0.121, 0.5820$ $-0.203, 0.3525$ $-0.203, 0.3525$ $-0.203, 0.3206$ $0.441, 0.0575$ $0.363, 0.0013$ $0.750, 0.0001$ $0.516, 0.018$ $0.750, 0.0030$ $0.250, 0.2868$ $0.445, 0.163$ $0.352, 0.3177$ $-0.546, 0.163$ $0.1163, 0.236, 0.3177$ $0.366, 0.003$ $0.250, 0.2868$ $0.235, 0.3177$ $0.669, 0.0013$ E/N $0.112, 0.5758$ $0.624, 0.0129$ $0.341, 0.0350$ $0.756, 0.0014$ $0.628, 0.0036$ $0.250, 0.2868$ $0.364, 0.1163$ $0.535, 0.3177$ $0.535, 0.3177$ $0.535, 0.3177$ $0.535, 0.3177$ $0.535, 0.3177$ $0.535, 0.3177$ $0.435, 0.0555$ $0.236, 0.0113$ $0.235, 0.3177$ $0.435, 0.0555$ $0.236, 0.01163$ $0.235, 0.317$	D_G	0.412, 0.0509	0.307, 0.1542		0.108, 0.6488	0.128, 0.5605	-0.203, 0.3525	0.312, 0.1803	0.441, 0.0350	-0.291, 0.2131	-0.831, < 0.0001
E/N 0.733 , -0.001 -0.652 , 0.007 0.128 , 0.5605 -0.441 , 0.0517 0.630 , 0.0013 0.750 , 0.0013 0.750 , 0.0018 0.171 , 0.4717 -0.306 , 0.1893 E/G 0.741 , -0.0001 -0.121 , 0.5820 -0.203 , 0.3525 -0.215 , 0.3632 0.630 , 0.0013 0.628 , 0.0030 0.526 , 0.0269 0.435 , 0.0555 0.252 , 0.2388 E/S 0.906 , -0.001 -0.121 , 0.3820 -0.203 , 0.3525 -0.203 , 0.0013 0.633 , 0.0030 0.256 , 0.2069 0.435 , 0.0163 0.252 , 0.2388 E/S 0.906 , -0.001 -0.235 , 0.3177 0.317 0.250 , 0.2030 0.750 , 0.0013 0.633 , 0.0013 0.623 , 0.0030 0.256 , 0.2368 -0.364 , 0.1163 -0.526 , 0.0131 E/N 0.123 , 0.5758 0.0012 0.7441 , 0.0350 0.750 , 0.0011 0.623 , 0.0030 0.250 , 0.2868 -0.364 , 0.1163 -0.526 , 0.0013 S/N -0.166 , 0.4837 0.224 , 0.0129 0.7441 , 0.0350 0.750 , 0.0118 0.250 , 0.2868 -0.364 , 0.1163 -0.526 , 0.0013 S/N -0.166 , 0.4837 -0.545 , 0.0129 0.7441 , 0.0775 0.171 , 0.4717 0.435 , 0.057 0.235 , 0.3177 -0.569 , 0.0013 S/G -0.393 , 0.0862 0.056 , 0.8113 0.244 , 0.0755 -0.364 , 0.1163 0.235 , 0.3177 -0.569 , 0.0013 S/G -0.393 , 0.0862 0.08113 0.293 , 0.1156 -0.364 , 0.1163 0.235 , 0.3177 0.235 , 0.3777 0.435 , 0.0555 <t< td=""><td>Ds</td><td>-0.194, 0.4133</td><td>0.460, 0.0414</td><td>0.108, 0.6488</td><td></td><td>-0.441, 0.0517</td><td>-0.215, 0.3632</td><td>-0.506, 0.0230</td><td>-0.306, 0.1896</td><td>0.404, 0.0775</td><td>0.363, 0.1156</td></t<>	Ds	-0.194, 0.4133	0.460, 0.0414	0.108, 0.6488		-0.441, 0.0517	-0.215, 0.3632	-0.506, 0.0230	-0.306, 0.1896	0.404, 0.0775	0.363, 0.1156
E/G $0.741, -0.001$ $-0.121, 0.5820$ $-0.203, 0.3525$ $-0.215, 0.3622$ $0.630, 0.0013$ $0.628, 0.0030$ $-0.273, 0.2069$ $0.435, 0.0555$ $0.252, 0.2383$ E/S $0.906, <-0.001$ $-0.235, 0.3177$ $0.312, 0.1803$ $-0.506, 0.0230$ $0.750, 0.001$ $0.628, 0.0030$ $-0.250, 0.2868$ $-0.364, 0.1163$ $-0.526, 0.0171$ G/N $0.123, 0.5758$ $-0.2441, 0.0350$ $-0.506, 0.0230$ $0.750, 0.001$ $0.628, 0.0030$ $0.250, 0.2868$ $-0.364, 0.1163$ $-0.526, 0.013$ S/N $-0.166, 0.4837$ $-0.254, 0.0129$ $0.201, 0.2131$ $0.404, 0.0775$ $0.171, 0.4717$ $0.435, 0.0555$ $-0.364, 0.1163$ $0.235, 0.3177$ $-0.669, 0.0013$ S/G $-0.293, 0.0862$ $0.364, 0.0133$ $0.236, 0.0113$ $0.235, 0.3177$ $0.235, 0.3177$ $-0.669, 0.0013$ S/G $-0.393, 0.0862$ $0.056, 0.0113$ $0.304, 0.0755$ $0.116, 0.435, 0.0555$ $-0.364, 0.1163$ $0.435, 0.0555$ S/G $-0.393, 0.0862$ $0.056, 0.0113$ $0.363, 0.1156$ $-0.366, 0.0171$ $0.235, 0.3177$ $0.435, 0.0555$ D_{10} hold helial cells. D _N , local number lensity. D _G , local density of glial cells. D _S , local density of	E/N	0.753, < 0.0001	-0.652, 0.0007	0.128, 0.5605	-0.441, 0.0517		0.630, 0.0013	0.750, 0.0001	0.516, 0.0118	0.171, 0.4717	-0.306, 0.1893
E/s $0.306, < 0.001$ $-0.235, 0.3177$ $0.312, 0.1803$ $-0.566, 0.0230$ $0.750, 0.001$ $0.628, 0.0030$ $0.226, 0.2368$ $-0.364, 0.1163$ $-0.554, 0.0171$ G/N $0.123, 0.5758$ $-0.624, 0.0015$ $0.441, 0.0350$ $-0.366, 0.11896$ $0.516, 0.0118$ $-0.273, 0.2069$ $0.250, 0.2868$ $-0.364, 0.1163$ $-0.526, 0.0013$ S/N $-0.166, 0.4837$ $-0.291, 0.2131$ $0.404, 0.0775$ $0.171, 0.4717$ $0.435, 0.0555$ $-0.364, 0.1163$ $0.235, 0.3177$ $-0.669, 0.0013$ S/G $-0.393, 0.0862$ $0.056, 0.8113$ $-0.231, c.0001$ $0.363, 0.1156$ $-0.306, 0.1893$ $0.252, 0.2338$ $-0.256, 0.0171$ $-0.669, 0.0013$ $0.435, 0.0555$ D ₁₅ , local density of glial cells, D ₅ , local density of glial cells, D ₅ , local density of synapses; E/N, ratio of endothelial cells per glial cells, E/S, ratio of endothelial cells per glial cells, E/S, ratio of endothelial cells, D ₅ , local density of synapses; E/N, ratio of endothelial cells, D ₅ , local density of synapses; E/N, ratio of endothelial cells, D ₅ , ratio of endothelial cells, E/S, ratio of endothelial cells, D ₅ , ra	E/G	0.741, < 0.0001	-0.121, 0.5820	-0.203, 0.3525	-0.215, 0.3632	0.630, 0.0013		0.628, 0.0030	-0.273, 0.2069	0.435, 0.0555	0.252, 0.2838
G/N 0.123, 0.578 -0.624, 0.0015 0.441, 0.0350 -0.306, 0.1896 0.516, 0.018 -0.273, 0.2069 0.250, 0.2868 0.235, 0.3177 -0.669, 0.0013 S/N -0.166, 0.4837 -0.545, 0.0129 -0.291, 0.2131 0.404, 0.0775 0.171, 0.4717 0.435, 0.0555 -0.364, 0.1163 0.235, 0.3177 -0.669, 0.0013 S/G -0.393, 0.0862 0.056, 0.8113 -0.364, 0.1156 0.365, 0.1719 0.435, 0.0555 -0.364, 0.1163 0.435, 0.0555 0.435, 0.0566 0.435, 0.0555 0.435, 0.0566 0.435, 0.0555 0.4056, 0.0171 0.435, 0.0555 0.435, 0.0555 0.435, 0.0566 0.435, 0.0555 0.435, 0.0566 0.435, 0.0555 0.435, 0.0566 0.435, 0.0555 0.435, 0.0566 0.435, 0.0555 0.435, 0.0566 0.435, 0.0555 0.435,	E/S	0.906, < 0.0001	-0.235, 0.3177	0.312, 0.1803	-0.506, 0.0230	0.750, 0.0001	0.628, 0.0030		0.250, 0.2868	-0.364, 0.1163	-0.526, 0.0171
S/N $-0.166, 0.4837$ $-0.545, 0.0129$ $-0.291, 0.2131$ $0.404, 0.0775$ $0.171, 0.4717$ $0.435, 0.0555$ $-0.364, 0.1163$ $0.235, 0.3177$ $0.435, 0.0555$ S/G $-0.393, 0.0862$ $0.056, 0.8113$ $-0.831, < 0.0001$ $0.363, 0.1156$ $-0.306, 0.1893$ $0.252, 0.2838$ $-0.526, 0.0171$ $-0.669, 0.0013$ $0.435, 0.0555$ D _E , local density of endothelial cells; D _N , local neuronal density; D _G , local density of glial cells; D _S , local density of synapses; E/N, ratio of endothelial cells per neuron; E/G, ratio of endothelial cells; E/S, ratio of endothelial cells; P _S , ratio of endothelial cells	G/N	0.123, 0.5758	-0.624, 0.0015	0.441, 0.0350	-0.306, 0.1896	0.516, 0.0118	-0.273, 0.2069	0.250, 0.2868		0.235, 0.3177	-0.669, 0.0013
$\frac{S/G}{D_{\rm E}}, \text{local density of endothelial cells; D_N}, \text{local neuronal density; D_G}, \text{local density of glial cells; D_S}, \text{local density of synapses; E/N}, \text{ratio of endothelial cells per neuron; E/G, ratio of endothelial cells per glial cell; E/S, ratio of endothelial cells per neuron; E/G, ratio of endothelial cells; D_S, \text{local density of glial cells; D_S}, \text{local density of synapses; E/N}, ratio of endothelial cells per neuron; E/G, ratio of endothelial cells; D_S, neuron; E/G, ratio of endothelial cel$	S/N	-0.166, 0.4837	-0.545, 0.0129	-0.291, 0.2131	0.404, 0.0775	0.171, 0.4717	0.435, 0.0555	-0.364, 0.1163	0.235, 0.3177		0.435, 0.0555
DE. local density of endothelial cells; D ₀ , local density of glial cells; D ₅ , local density of synapses; E/N, ratio of endothelial cells per neuron; E/G, ratio of endothelial cells; D ₆ , local density of glial cells; D ₅ , local density of synapses; E/N, ratio of endothelial cells per synapse;	S/G	-0.393, 0.0862	0.056, 0.8113	-0.831, < 0.0001	0.363, 0.1156	-0.306, 0.1893	0.252, 0.2838	-0.526, 0.0171	-0.669, 0.0013	0.435, 0.0555	
	D _E , local	density of endothelial ce	lls; D _N , local neuronal d	lensity; D _G , local density c	of glial cells; D _S , local den	usity of synapses; E/N, ra	tio of endothelial cells po	r neuron; E/G, ratio of ei	ndothelial cells per glial	cell; E/S, ratio of endothe	ial cells per synaps

described in Zhu et al. (2018); all values pertaining do D₅ were calculated from that reference. Values in bold denote significant correlations with $p \leq 0.02$. Values in italics denote correlations with P-values between 0.02 and 0.05

Because of the much larger variation in neuronal densities than in endothelial cell densities across sites, the local ratio of endothelial cells per neuron is inversely proportional to local neuronal density across all sites and structures examined (Figure 7). Importantly, this relationship is universal across brain locations, as the power function that applies across structures contains all structures and almost all data points within its 95% CI (Figures 7A,B). That is, each neuron is accompanied by more endothelial cells at sites with lower neuronal densities than at sites with higher neuronal densities. In contrast, the ratio of endothelial cells per neuron does not vary consistently with local glial cell density neither across nor within brain structures (Figures 7C,D and Table 6). As expected for the quantity in the numerator of the ratio, local endothelial cell density is very strongly correlated with the E/N ratio in each brain structure in the mouse brain, but there is hardly any overlap across structures: because of the widely different neuronal densities across structures, brain sites with similar densities of endothelial cells have very different E/N ratios across structures (Figures 7E,F). As could be expected of two quantities that are heavily dependent on variation in neuronal density, the E/N ratio is strongly and linearly correlated with the G/N ratio across all sites and structures (Figure 8). That is, where more endothelial cells supply energy per neuron (i.e., in structures and sites with low neuron density), there are also more glial cells per neuron. Importantly, the relationship that applies across structures includes most data points for every structure, indicating that this relationship is universal.

Finally, by comparing our measurements of local cell densities and capillary fraction in cortical gray matter sites matching those with recently published data on local synaptic densities in the mouse cerebral cortex (Zhu et al., 2018), we could examine whether there is evidence of higher energy availability in sites of higher synaptic densities, even at rest, to support the expectation that energy supply responds to local demands due to synaptic activity by self-reorganization of capillary densities (Attwell and Laughlin, 2001; Harris et al., 2012). Densities of synapses (measured as synapses that express PSD and/or SAP according to data in Zhu et al., 2018) vary 1.5fold across cortical sites, while densities of endothelial cells vary 2.5-fold and neuronal densities vary a similar 2.2-fold. However, we find no significant correlation between local endothelial cell density and synaptic density (Figure 9A) or neuronal density (Figure 9B) across areas within the mouse cerebral cortex (Spearman, p = 0.4133 and 0.7047), indicating that cortical areas with more synapses or more neurons receive as much capillary supply as sites with fewer synapses or neurons. Higher local synaptic densities are only modestly associated with higher local neuronal densities (Figure 9C; Spearman, p = 0.0414), such that

IABLE 5 Spearman correlation coefficients and *p*-values for correlations across cerebral cortical sites

TABLE 6 Correlation analysis between variables across sites and animals.

Figure 4.EndoneniaGapillary fractions/SAll6/40.450-0.0000.409 ± 0.0130.400CXM720.0150.0000.479 ± 0.0130.010CXM720.0160.0090.479 ± 0.0130.010Thy Noil6.5-0.0160.0090.479 ± 0.0130.010Bypendulamo790.1610.0000.794 ± 0.130.011Bypendulamo790.1610.0000.794 ± 0.130.0120.001Consol100.0100.794 ± 0.130.0120.0100.0310.010Consol100.0100.0900.074 ± 0.150.0200.0210.010Consol100.0100.0900.074 ± 0.150.0200.0210.010Consol100.0100.0200.074 ± 0.150.0200.0210.010Consol100.0100.0200.074 ± 0.150.0200.0210.010Consol10100.0100.0100.071 ± 0.0600.0100.0100.010Consol10100.0100.0100.0110.0100.0100.0110.010Consol10100.0110.0110.0110.0110.0110.0110.011Consol100.0110.0110.0110.0110.0110.0110.011Consol100.0110.0110.0110.0110.0110.0110.011Consol10 <th>Dependent variable</th> <th>Independent variable</th> <th>Structure</th> <th>N sites</th> <th>Spearman rho</th> <th>P-value</th> <th>Exponent</th> <th><i>r</i>²</th> <th>P-value</th>	Dependent variable	Independent variable	Structure	N sites	Spearman rho	P-value	Exponent	<i>r</i> ²	P-value
Car Carl Ca CaR 72 0.331 0.0070 0.394 ± 0.137 0.106 0.0052 Ca WM 102 0.418 0.0001 0.479 ± 0.124 0.100 0.0092 Fly Mail 5 -0.0115 0.0097 0.128 0.012 0.009 0.012 0.019 0.012 0.019 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.000 0.62 ± 0.07 0.26 -0.001 0.022 0.021 0.000 0.62 ± 0.07 0.26 -0.001 0.022 0.000 0.62 ± 0.07 0.26 0.0001 0.52 ± 0.07 0.26 0.0001 0.55 ± 0.12 0.012 0.0001 0.56 ± 0.034 0.001 0.56 ± 0.034 0.0001 0.56 ± 0.034 0.0001 0.56 ± 0.034 0.0001 0.56 ± 0.034 0.0001 0.56 ± 0.034 0.0001 0.56 ± 0.034 0.0001 0.56 ± 0.034 0.0001 0.56 ± 0.034 0.0001 0.56 ± 0.034 0.0001 0.56 ± 0.034 0.0001 0.56 ± 0.034 0.0001 <t< td=""><td>Figure 4A Endothelial cells/mm³</td><td>Capillary fraction,%</td><td>All</td><td>654</td><td>0.3450</td><td><0.0001</td><td>$\textbf{0.460} \pm \textbf{0.046}$</td><td>0.135</td><td><0.0001</td></t<>	Figure 4A Endothelial cells/mm ³	Capillary fraction,%	All	654	0.3450	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.460} \pm \textbf{0.046}$	0.135	<0.0001
Cx WM 02 0.418 0.000 0.79 ± 0.124 0.100 0.479 ± 0.124 0.100 0.499 Hp Grant 0 0.015 0.0350 0.0399 -0.009 ± 0.122 0.000 0.4914 Hp Grant 1 0.5351 0.024 0.552 ± 0.075 0.020 0.552 ± 0.075 0.020 0.552 ± 0.027 0.0001 Ch Mall 43 -0.012 0.909 0.052 ± 0.027 0.000 0.652 ± 0.027 0.000 0.652 ± 0.027 0.000 0.021 Ch Mall 43 -0.012 0.909 0.062 ± 0.025 0.020 0.021 Ch Mall 70 0.552 0.000 0.676 ± 0.014 0.30 0.021 Figure 40 Ca CM 16 0.564 0.000 0.676 ± 0.014 0.30 0.0001 Figure 41 Ca CM 160 0.667 0.001 0.571 0.001 0.571 0.001 0.571 0.001 0.571 0.001 0.571 0.001 0.571 0.001 0.571 <td< td=""><td>cens/ mm</td><td></td><td>Cx GM</td><td>72</td><td>0.3151</td><td>0.0070</td><td>0.394 + 0.137</td><td>0.106</td><td>0.0052</td></td<>	cens/ mm		Cx GM	72	0.3151	0.0070	0.394 + 0.137	0.106	0.0052
Hp Mall55-0.00150.9399-0.009 ±0.1220.0000.00140.011-0.001Hp Granl790.0401-0.0010.7020.013-0.0010.7020.0000.7020.0010.7020.01			Cx WM	102	0.4118	<0.0001	0.479 ± 0.124	0.130	0.0002
Hp Caral 9 0.1437 0.128 ± 0.175 0.072 0.0401 Typehslamms 79 0.6101 -0.0001 0.528 ± 0.075 0.012 -0.0001 Striatum 102 0.3351 0.0002 0.576 ± 0.126 0.172 <0.0001			Hp MolL	55	-0.0015	0.9899	-0.009 ± 0.122	0.000	0.9418
Hypothalamus 79 0.6101 -0.0001 0.790 ± 0.134 0.311 <0.0001 Tahahmus 121 0.5251 -0.0001 0.652 ± 0.077 0.76 <0.0001			Hp GranL	9	0.1487	0.3473	0.128 ± 0.175	0.072	0.4864
Talama i< i< i i< i< i< i< i< i< i<< i<< i<< i< <thi<< th=""></thi<<>			Hypothalamus	79	0.6101	< 0.0001	0.790 ± 0.134	0.311	< 0.0001
Virtual Strictur Co Co MollVirtual Co Co MollVirtual Co Co MollVirtual Co Co MollVirtual Co Co 			Thalamus	121	0.5205	< 0.0001	0.652 ± 0.097	0.276	< 0.0001
Comma Co Const Co			Striatum	102	0.3561	0.0002	0.576 ± 0.126	0.172	< 0.0001
			Ch Moll	43	-0.0127	0.9205	0.370 ± 0.120	0.039	0.2015
Bar			Ch GranI	46	0.2976	0.0209	0.237 ± 0.103	0.003	0.7133
Figure 43 Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Endonhelial Capillary fraction,% All 727 0.5942 <0.0001			Cb WM	25	-0.0100	0.9404	-0.037 ± 0.185	0.003	0.8421
Part of the second se	Figure 4R		CD WM	25	-0.0100	0.9404	-0.037 ± 0.103	0.002	0.0421
 Cx GM 166 0.5882 -0.0001 0.71±0.068 0.333 -0.0011 D72±0.164 0.333 -0.0011 PF Graft 27 0.5107 0.0034 0.5164±0.161 0.330 0.0017 Hp Graft 0.77±0.195 0.349 0.0001 0.514±0.161 0.5197 0.001 0.512+0.195 0.519 0.637±0.125 0.637 0.637±0.126 0.637 0.637±0.126 0.637 0.637±0.126 0.637 0.637±0.126 0.637 0.639	Endothelial cells/mm ²	Capillary fraction,%	All	727	0.5942	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.676} \pm \textbf{0.034}$	0.350	<0.0001
Cx WM1000.6407-0.0010.728 ± 0.1410.33-0.001Hp MolL280.53480.0040.304 ± 0.1250.230.0112Hp GranL270.5070.0020.564 ± 0.1610.0000.0700.000Hp GranL500.6020.00010.571 ± 0.1030.601-0.0001Briatums500.6044-0.0010.624 ± 0.030.001-0.001Cb MolL970.2730.0010.624 ± 0.030.001-0.001Cb MolL070.738-0.0010.624 ± 0.030.005-0.001Cb MolL070.738-0.0010.52 ± 0.0860.055-0.001Fgure 4C0.0010.52 ± 0.0860.055-0.0010.052Fgure 4D0.0140.0040.292 ± 0.1300.0670.028Cx WM470.0680.00530.184 ± 0.1700.0050.182Cx WM470.0680.00530.184 ± 0.1700.0010.052Hp MolL24-0.0140.06950.184 ± 0.1700.0010.012Cx WM790.29890.0750.383 ± 0.1260.0170.0131Hp MolL1210.0160.01270.0260.01270.0260.0127Cb MolL790.02810.01610.01510.0260.01270.0160.0127Cx WM1220.0160.01270.0260.01270.0260.01270.0160.0127 <trr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Cx GM</td><td>166</td><td>0.5882</td><td><0.0001</td><td>$\textbf{0.771} \pm \textbf{0.068}$</td><td>0.439</td><td><0.0001</td></trr<>			Cx GM	166	0.5882	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.771} \pm \textbf{0.068}$	0.439	<0.0001
Hp Moll 28 0.5348 0.0034 0.340 ± 0.125 0.233 0.0017 Hp Grant 27 0.5107 0.0028 0.554 ± 0.161 0.330 0.0017 Hypothalamus 31 0.6072 0.0001 0.951 ± 0.153 0.349 0.0001 Striatum 62 0.5044 -0.0001 0.571 ± 0.126 0.300 -0.0001 Cb Moll 97 0.5717 -0.0001 0.652 ± 0.103 0.279 -0.0001 Cb Moll 97 0.5717 -0.0001 0.528 ± 0.108 0.279 -0.0001 Cb Moll 97 0.2736 -0.0001 0.552 ± 0.086 0.585 -0.0001 Fgure 4C 0.077 0.3041 0.009 0.228 ± 0.130 0.006 0.0280 Fgure 4D Kr M 72 0.3041 0.009 0.328 ± 0.130 0.030 0.2497 Hp Grant 22 0.0141 0.067 0.338 ± 0.128 0.017 0.229 Hp Grant 22 0.0424			Cx WM	100	0.6407	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.728} \pm \textbf{0.104}$	0.333	<0.0001
Ip Grant. 27 0.5107 0.0028 0.564 ± 0.161 0.309 0.0017 Hypothalamus 31 0.6072 0.0003 0.77 ± 0.195 0.499 0.0005 Thalamus 50 0.8044 <0.0001			Hp MolL	28	0.5348	0.0034	$\textbf{0.340} \pm \textbf{0.125}$	0.223	0.0112
Hypothalamus310.60720.00030.770 \pm 0.1950.3490.0005Talamus500.8044<0.0001			Hp GranL	27	0.5107	0.0028	$\textbf{0.564} \pm \textbf{0.161}$	0.330	0.0017
Figure 4CThalamus50 0.8044 <0.0001 0.061 ± 0.093 0.691 <0.0001 Striatum62 0.5044 <0.0001 0.637 ± 0.126 0.300 <0.0001 Cb Mul97 0.5717 <0.0001 0.624 ± 0.103 0.279 <0.0001 Cb Gval90 0.238 <0.0001 0.623 ± 0.108 0.585 <0.0001 Figure 4CNeuron/mm ³ Capillary fraction/%All 607 0.2726 <0.0001 0.155 ± 0.080 0.067 0.0280 Ca CM72 0.3041 0.0094 0.292 ± 0.130 0.067 0.0280 Ca CM72 0.3041 0.0094 0.292 ± 0.130 0.067 0.0280 Ca VM71 0.0142 0.0053 0.388 ± 0.107 0.0303 0.2406 Hp MolL24 -0.0142 0.0043 0.231 ± 0.108 0.007 0.184 ± 0.172 0.076 0.184 ± 0.172 0.076 Hp MolL21 0.0141 0.0075 0.383 ± 0.126 0.107 0.0321 0.0075 0.383 ± 0.126 0.107 0.0321 Cb Mal67 0.0994 0.6751 0.335 ± 0.128 0.067 0.3351 ± 0.108 0.015 0.3351 ± 0.108 0.015 0.0371 Cb GranL610 -0.152 0.234 -0.102 ± 0.101 0.015 0.3531 0.351 ± 0.108 0.024 0.0071 Cb WM7 -0.1637 0.6191 0.6494 ± 0.115 0.626 0.01			Hypothalamus	31	0.6072	0.0003	$\textbf{0.770} \pm \textbf{0.195}$	0.349	0.0005
Striatum620.504 < 0.001 0.637 ± 0.126 0.300 < 0.001 Cb MolL970.5717 < 0.001 0.624 ± 0.103 0.279 < 0.001 Cb GranL990.28630.00350.288 ± 0.103 0.0197Gpillary fraction,%All6070.738 < 0.001 0.525 ± 0.080 0.066Cx GM720.30410.00940.292 ± 0.130 0.0670.0286Cx WM470.30680.0080.368 ± 0.309 0.0670.2292Figrar AC20.0410.09350.184 ± 0.170 0.0500.2907Cx WM470.04240.09030.184 ± 0.170 0.0500.2907Fig FaraL420.04440.66440.241 ± 0.127 0.0760.1734Hy obla.24-0.0420.09350.383 ± 0.126 0.1070.032Cb MolL570.9540.0750.383 ± 0.126 0.1070.032Cb MolL570.9540.0140.221 ± 0.087 0.0560.357Cb WM230.1670.2234-0.102 ± 0.110 0.050.357Figrar D50.0260.01740.337 ± 0.026 0.0280.037Figrar D50.0260.3170.0260.2280.026Ca CM460.3190.0010.464 ± 0.163 0.0260.031Cb MolL570.0260.337 ± 0.056 0.0470.1436Cb MolL21-0.06330.6			Thalamus	50	0.8044	< 0.0001	$\textbf{0.961} \pm \textbf{0.093}$	0.691	<0.0001
			Striatum	62	0.5044	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.637} \pm \textbf{0.126}$	0.300	<0.0001
Cb Granl. 99 0.2863 0.0035 0.288 ± 0.121 0.005 0.0197 Figure 4C 6.001 0.7388 <0001		Cb MolL	97	0.5717	< 0.0001	$\textbf{0.624} \pm \textbf{0.103}$	0.279	<0.0001	
Figure 4CSearons/mm ³ Capillary fractions, M Capillary fractions, M Capillary fractions, M All6070.2726<0.0001		Cb GranL	99	0.2863	0.0035	$\textbf{0.288} \pm \textbf{0.121}$	0.055	0.0197	
Figure 4C Neurons/mm ³ Capillary fraction,% All 607 0.2726 <0.001 0.155 ± 0.080 0.006 0.0552 Cx GM 72 0.3041 0.0094 0.292 ± 0.130 0.067 0.0280 Cx WM 47 0.3068 0.0008 0.368 ± 0.309 0.030 0.2406 Hp MolL 24 -0.0142 0.9035 0.184 ± 0.170 0.057 0.383 ± 0.126 0.1734 Hp MolL 24 0.0194 0.66694 0.241 ± 0.172 0.076 0.0171 Hp OranL 122 0.1946 0.0318 0.331 ± 0.108 0.001 Striatum 102 0.2359 0.0174 0.221 ± 0.087 0.060 0.0127 Cb MolL 57 0.0954 0.4535 0.335 ± 0.126 0.104 0.315 Striatum 102 0.2389 0.017 0.221 ± 0.087 0.060 0.017 Cb MM 27 0.0954 0.4335 0.335 ± 0.232 0.036 0.4136 Cb MM		Cb WM	67	0.7388	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.825} \pm \textbf{0.086}$	0.585	<0.0001	
Neurons/mm ³ Capillary fraction,% All 607 0.2726 <0.001 0.155 ± 0.080 0.006 0.0552 Cx GM 72 0.3041 0.0094 0.292 ± 0.130 0.067 0.0280 Cx WM 47 0.3068 0.008 0.368 ± 0.309 0.030 0.2406 Hp MolL 24 -0.0142 0.9035 0.184 ± 0.170 0.050 0.2907 Hp GranL 42 0.0494 0.6694 0.241 ± 0.172 0.076 0.1734 Hypothalamus 79 0.2989 0.0075 0.383 ± 0.126 0.107 0.0021 Cb MolL 57 0.0954 0.4535 0.335 ± 0.108 0.015 0.0174 Cb GranL 60 -0.1562 0.234 -0.102 ± 0.110 0.015 0.3537 Cb WM 25 -0.1637 0.2194 n.a. 0.0001 0.337 ± 0.028 0.028 0.0001 Figure 4D X All 628 0.2797 <0.001 0.464 ± 0.115 0.66 <0.001 <td>Figure 4C</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Figure 4C								
Cx GM720.30410.00940.292 \pm 0.1300.0670.0280Cx WM470.30680.00080.368 \pm 0.3090.0300.2406Hp MolL24-0.01420.90350.184 \pm 0.1700.0500.2907Hp GranL420.04940.66940.241 \pm 0.1720.0760.1734Hypothalamus790.29890.00750.383 \pm 0.1260.1070.0032Thalamus1220.19460.03180.351 \pm 0.1080.0810.0015Striatum1020.23500.01740.221 \pm 0.0870.0600.0127Cb MolL570.09540.45350.335 \pm 0.2320.0360.1544Cb GranL60-0.1620.2334-0.102 \pm 0.1100.0150.3537Cb WM25-0.16370.00010.337 \pm 0.0680.128<0.0001	Neurons/mm ³	Capillary fraction,%	All	607	0.2726	< 0.0001	0.155 ± 0.080	0.006	0.0552
Kar WM 47 0.3068 0.0088 0.368 ± 0.309 0.030 0.2406 Hp MolL 24 -0.0142 0.9035 0.184 ± 0.170 0.050 0.2907 Hp GranL 42 0.0494 0.6694 0.241 ± 0.172 0.076 0.0173 Hypothalamus 79 0.2989 0.0075 0.383 ± 0.126 0.107 0.0032 Thalamus 122 0.1946 0.0318 0.351 ± 0.108 0.081 0.0015 Striatum 102 0.2350 0.0174 0.221 ± 0.087 0.660 0.127 Cb MolL 57 0.0954 0.4535 0.335 ± 0.232 0.036 0.1544 Cb GranL 60 -0.1562 0.2344 -0.102 ± 0.110 0.015 0.3537 Cb WM 25 -0.637 0.2194 n.a. - - - - - - - - - 0.0125 0.126 - - - - - - 0.0124 - 0.1			Cx GM	72	0.3041	0.0094	$\textbf{0.292} \pm \textbf{0.130}$	0.067	0.0280
Hp Moll. 24 -0.0142 0.0935 0.184 ± 0.170 0.050 0.2907 Hp Granl. 42 0.0494 0.6694 0.241 ± 0.172 0.076 0.01734 Hypothalamus 79 0.2989 0.0075 0.383 ± 0.126 0.107 0.0032 Thalamus 122 0.1946 0.0318 0.351 ± 0.108 0.081 0.0015 Striatum 102 0.2350 0.0174 0.221 ± 0.087 0.660 0.0127 Cb Moll 57 0.0954 0.4535 0.335 ± 0.232 0.036 0.1544 Cb GranL 60 -0.1562 0.2334 -0.102 ± 0.110 0.015 0.3537 Cb WM 25 -0.637 0.2194 n.a. - - - - - - - - - 0.001 0.337 ± 0.068 0.128 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -			Cx WM	47	0.3068	0.0008	0.368 ± 0.309	0.030	0.2406
H P 42 0.0494 0.6694 0.241 ± 0.172 0.076 0.1734 Hypothalamus 79 0.2889 0.0075 0.383 ± 0.126 0.107 0.0032 Thalamus 122 0.1946 0.0318 0.351 ± 0.108 0.081 0.0015 Striatum 102 0.2350 0.0174 0.221 ± 0.087 0.060 0.0127 Cb MolL 57 0.0954 0.4535 0.335 ± 0.322 0.363 0.1544 Cb GranL 60 -0.1562 0.234 -0.102 ± 0.110 0.015 0.3537 Cb WM 25 -0.1637 0.2194 n.a - Figure 4D Cx GM 166 0.319 <0.001 0.337 ± 0.068 0.128 <0.0001 Cx WM 47 -0.0467 0.6408 0.529 ± 0.356 0.047 0.1436 Hp MolL 21 -0.0467 0.6408 0.529 ± 0.356 0.047 0.1436 Hp MolL 21 -0.0467 0.6408 0.529 ± 0.136		Hp MolL	24	-0.0142	0.9035	0.184 ± 0.170	0.050	0.2907	
Hypothalamus 79 0.2989 0.0075 0.383 ± 0.126 0.107 0.0032 Thalamus 122 0.1946 0.0318 0.351 ± 0.108 0.081 0.0015 Striatum 102 0.2350 0.0174 0.221 ± 0.087 0.060 0.0127 Cb MolL 57 0.0954 0.4535 0.335 ± 0.232 0.36 0.1544 Cb GranL 60 -0.1562 0.234 -0.102 ± 0.110 0.015 0.3537 Cb GranL 60 -0.1562 0.234 -0.102 ± 0.110 0.015 0.3537 Cb WM 25 -0.1637 0.2194 n.a. 0.001 0.464 ± 0.115 0.026 <0.0001			Hp GranL	42	0.0494	0.6694	0.241 ± 0.172	0.076	0.1734
Thalamus 122 0.1946 0.0318 0.351 ± 0.108 0.081 0.0015 Striatum 102 0.2350 0.0174 0.221 ± 0.087 0.060 0.0127 Cb MolL 57 0.0954 0.4535 0.335 ± 0.232 0.036 0.1544 Cb GranL 60 -0.1562 0.2344 -0.102 ± 0.110 0.015 0.3537 Figure 4D Capillary fraction,% All 628 0.2579 <0.001 0.464 ± 0.115 0.026 <0.0001 Cx GM 166 0.3119 <0.001 0.337 ± 0.068 0.128 <0.001 Cx WM 47 -0.0467 0.6408 0.529 ± 0.356 0.047 0.1436 Hp MolL 21 -0.0833 0.6552 -0.328 ± 0.316 0.053 0.3128 Hp GranL 31 -0.0467 0.6408 0.529 ± 0.136 0.016 0.5386 Hypothalamus 31 -0.0457 0.6408 0.125 ± 0.184 0.016 0.5038 Cb MolL 97			Hypothalamus	79	0.2989	0.0075	$\textbf{0.383} \pm \textbf{0.126}$	0.107	0.0032
Striatum 102 0.2350 0.0174 0.221 ± 0.087 0.060 0.0127 Cb MolL 57 0.0954 0.4535 0.335 ± 0.232 0.036 0.1544 Cb GranL 60 -0.1562 0.2334 -0.102 ± 0.110 0.015 0.3537 Cb WM 25 -0.1637 0.2194 n.a. 0.006 <0.0001			Thalamus	122	0.1946	0.0318	$\textbf{0.351} \pm \textbf{0.108}$	0.081	0.0015
Cb MolL 57 0.0954 0.4355 0.335 ± 0.232 0.036 0.1544 Cb GranL 60 -0.1562 0.2334 -0.102 ± 0.110 0.015 0.3537 Cb WM 25 -0.1637 0.2194 n.a.			Striatum	102	0.2350	0.0174	$\textbf{0.221} \pm \textbf{0.087}$	0.060	0.0127
Cb GranL 60 -0.1562 0.2334 -0.102 ± 0.110 0.015 0.3537 Cb WM 25 -0.1637 0.2194 n.a. Figure 4D Karons/mm ² Capillary fraction,% All 628 0.2579 <0.001 0.464 ± 0.115 0.026 <0.001 Cx GM 166 0.3119 <0.001 0.337 ± 0.068 0.128 <0.001 Cx WM 47 -0.0467 0.6408 0.529 ± 0.356 0.047 0.1436 Hp MolL 21 -0.0883 0.6552 -0.328 ± 0.316 0.053 0.3376 Hp GranL 31 -0.0761 0.6790 -0.055 ± 0.104 0.016 0.5038 Hp GranL 31 -0.0434 0.8169 0.125 ± 0.184 0.016 0.5038 Kriatum 62 0.3504 0.0052 0.446 ± 0.143 0.139 0.0028 Cb MolL 97 0.835 0.4159 0.059 ± 0.119 0.002 0.6223 Cb WM 97 0.835 0.4159			Cb MolL	57	0.0954	0.4535	0.335 ± 0.232	0.036	0.1544
Cb WM 25 -0.1637 0.2194 n.a. Figure 4D Neurons/mm ² Capillary fraction, All 628 0.2579 <0.0001 0.464 ± 0.115 0.026 <0.0001 Cx GM 166 0.3119 <0.001 0.337 ± 0.068 0.128 <0.0001 Cx WM 47 -0.0467 0.6408 0.529 ± 0.356 0.047 0.1436 Hp MolL 21 -0.0883 0.6552 -0.328 ± 0.316 0.053 0.3128 Hp GranL 31 -0.0761 0.6790 -0.055 ± 0.104 0.013 0.5396 Hypothalamus 31 -0.0434 0.8169 0.125 ± 0.184 0.016 0.5038 Kriatum 62 0.3504 0.0052 0.446 ± 0.143 0.139 0.0028 Cb MolL 97 0.835 0.4159 0.059 ± 0.119 0.002 0.6233			Cb GranL	60	-0.1562	0.2334	-0.102 ± 0.110	0.015	0.3537
Figure 4D Neurons/mm ² Capillary fraction,% All 628 0.2579 <0.001 0.464 ± 0.115 0.026 <0.001 Cx GM 166 0.319 <0.001 0.337 ± 0.068 0.128 <0.0001 Cx WM 47 -0.0467 0.6408 0.529 ± 0.356 0.047 0.1436 Hp MolL 21 -0.0883 0.6552 -0.328 ± 0.316 0.053 0.3128 Hp GranL 31 -0.0761 0.6790 -0.055 ± 0.104 0.013 0.5396 Hypothalamus 31 -0.0434 0.8169 0.125 ± 0.184 0.016 0.5038 Cb MolL 97 0.0835 0.4159 0.446 ± 0.143 0.139 0.0026 Cb WM 97 0.0835 0.4159 0.059 ± 0.119 0.002 0.6223			Cb WM	25	-0.1637	0.2194	n.a.		
Neurons/mm ² Capillary fraction,% All 628 0.2579 <0.0001 0.464 \pm 0.115 0.026 <0.0001 Cx GM 166 0.3119 <0.0001 0.337 \pm 0.068 0.128 <0.0001 Cx WM 47 -0.0467 0.6408 0.529 \pm 0.356 0.047 0.1436 Hp MolL 21 -0.0883 0.6552 -0.328 ± 0.316 0.053 0.3128 Hp GranL 31 -0.0761 0.6790 -0.065 ± 0.104 0.016 0.5396 Hypothalamus 31 -0.0434 0.8169 0.125 \pm 0.184 0.016 0.5038 Thalamus 50 0.3228 0.0222 0.342 \pm 0.143 0.107 0.0206 Striatum 62 0.3504 0.0052 0.446 \pm 0.143 0.139 0.0028 Cb MolL 97 0.0835 0.4159 0.059 \pm 0.119 0.002 0.6223 Cb WM 97 0.0835 0.4159 0.059 \pm 0.119 0.002 0.6223	Figure 4D								
Cx GM1660.3119<0.00010.337 \pm 0.0680.128<0.0001Cx WM47-0.04670.64080.529 \pm 0.3560.0470.1436Hp MolL21-0.08830.6552-0.328 \pm 0.3160.0530.3128Hp GranL31-0.07610.6790-0.065 \pm 0.1040.0130.5396Hypothalamus31-0.04340.81690.125 \pm 0.1840.0160.5038Thalamus500.32280.02220.342 \pm 0.1430.1070.0206Striatum620.35040.00520.446 \pm 0.1430.1390.0028Cb MolL970.08350.41590.059 \pm 0.1190.0020.6223Cb WM970.08350.41590.059 \pm 0.1190.0020.6223	Neurons/mm ²	Capillary fraction,%	All	628	0.2579	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.464} \pm \textbf{0.115}$	0.026	<0.0001
Cx WM47 -0.0467 0.6408 0.529 ± 0.356 0.047 0.1436 Hp MolL21 -0.0883 0.6552 -0.328 ± 0.316 0.053 0.3128 Hp GranL31 -0.0761 0.6790 -0.065 ± 0.104 0.013 0.5396 Hypothalamus31 -0.0434 0.8169 0.125 ± 0.184 0.016 0.5038 Thalamus50 0.3228 0.0222 0.342 ± 0.143 0.107 0.0206 Striatum62 0.3504 0.0052 0.446 ± 0.143 0.139 0.0028 Cb MolL97 0.0835 0.4159 0.059 ± 0.119 0.002 0.6223 Cb WM97 0.0835 0.4159 0.059 ± 0.119 0.002 0.6223			Cx GM	166	0.3119	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.337} \pm \textbf{0.068}$	0.128	<0.0001
Hp MolL21 -0.0883 0.6552 -0.328 ± 0.316 0.053 0.3128 Hp GranL31 -0.0761 0.6790 -0.065 ± 0.104 0.013 0.5396 Hypothalamus31 -0.0434 0.8169 0.125 ± 0.184 0.016 0.5038 Thalamus50 0.3228 0.0222 0.342 ± 0.143 0.107 0.0206 Striatum62 0.3504 0.0052 0.446 ± 0.143 0.139 0.0028 Cb MolL97 0.0835 0.4159 0.059 ± 0.119 0.002 0.6223 Cb GranL102 -0.3804 <0.001 -0.242 ± 0.084 0.077 0.0047 Cb WM97 0.0835 0.4159 0.059 ± 0.119 0.002 0.6223			Cx WM	47	-0.0467	0.6408	0.529 ± 0.356	0.047	0.1436
Hp GranL31 -0.0761 0.6790 -0.065 ± 0.104 0.013 0.5396 Hypothalamus31 -0.0434 0.8169 0.125 ± 0.184 0.016 0.5038 Thalamus50 0.3228 0.0222 0.342 ± 0.143 0.107 0.0206 Striatum62 0.3504 0.0052 0.446 ± 0.143 0.139 0.0028 Cb MolL97 0.0835 0.4159 0.059 ± 0.119 0.002 0.6223 Cb GranL102 -0.3804 <0.001 -0.242 ± 0.084 0.077 0.0047 Cb WM97 0.0835 0.4159 0.059 ± 0.119 0.002 0.6223			Hp MolL	21	-0.0883	0.6552	-0.328 ± 0.316	0.053	0.3128
Hypothalamus31 -0.0434 0.8169 0.125 ± 0.184 0.016 0.5038 Thalamus50 0.3228 0.0222 0.342 ± 0.143 0.107 0.0206 Striatum62 0.3504 0.0052 0.446 ± 0.143 0.139 0.0028 Cb MolL97 0.0835 0.4159 0.059 ± 0.119 0.002 0.6223 Cb GranL102 -0.3804 <0.0001 -0.242 ± 0.084 0.077 0.0047 Cb WM97 0.0835 0.4159 0.059 ± 0.119 0.002 0.6223			Hp GranL	31	-0.0761	0.6790	-0.065 ± 0.104	0.013	0.5396
Thalamus 50 0.3228 0.0222 0.342 ± 0.143 0.107 0.0206 Striatum 62 0.3504 0.0052 0.446 ± 0.143 0.139 0.0028 Cb MolL 97 0.0835 0.4159 0.059 ± 0.119 0.002 0.6223 Cb GranL 102 -0.3804 <0.001 -0.242 ± 0.084 0.077 0.0047 Cb WM 97 0.0835 0.4159 0.059 ± 0.119 0.002 0.6223			Hypothalamus	31	-0.0434	0.8169	0.125 ± 0.184	0.016	0.5038
Striatum 62 0.3504 0.0052 0.446 ± 0.143 0.139 0.0028 Cb MolL 97 0.0835 0.4159 0.059 ± 0.119 0.002 0.6223 Cb GranL 102 -0.3804 <0.001 -0.242 ± 0.084 0.077 0.0047 Cb WM 97 0.0835 0.4159 0.059 ± 0.119 0.002 0.6223			Thalamus	50	0.3228	0.0222	$\textbf{0.342} \pm \textbf{0.143}$	0.107	0.0206
Cb MolL97 0.0835 0.4159 0.059 ± 0.119 0.002 0.6223 Cb GranL102 -0.3804 <0.0001 -0.242 ± 0.084 0.077 0.0047 Cb WM97 0.0835 0.4159 0.059 ± 0.119 0.002 0.6223			Striatum	62	0.3504	0.0052	$\textbf{0.446} \pm \textbf{0.143}$	0.139	0.0028
Cb GranL 102 -0.3804 <0.0001 -0.242 ± 0.084 0.077 0.0047 Cb WM 97 0.0835 0.4159 0.059 ± 0.119 0.002 0.6223			Cb MolL	97	0.0835	0.4159	0.059 ± 0.119	0.002	0.6223
Cb WM 97 0.0835 0.4159 0.059 ± 0.119 0.002 0.6223			Cb GranL	102	-0.3804	<0.0001	-0.242 ± 0.084	0.077	0.0047
			Cb WM	97	0.0835	0.4159	0.059 ± 0.119	0.002	0.6223

Dependent variable	Independent variable	Structure	N sites	Spearman rho	P-value	Exponent	r^2	P-value
Figures 3D, 5A								
Glia/mm ³	Neurons/mm ³	All	528	-0.3976	<0.0001	$\textbf{-0.162} \pm \textbf{0.024}$	0.080	<0.0001
		Cx GM	72	0.2398	0.0425	0.162 ± 0.107	0.031	0.1368
		Cx WM	47	-0.2684	0.0034	-0.154 ± 0.080	0.077	0.0588
		Hp MolL	22	-0.0575	0.6241	0.252 ± 0.291	0.036	0.3953
		Hp GranL	16	-0.3542	0.0214	0.084 ± 0.519	0.002	0.8729
		Hypothalamus	78	0.1997	0.0776	0.259 ± 0.122	0.056	0.0378
		Thalamus	122	0.1891	0.0370	0.143 ± 0.090	0.021	0.1141
		Striatum	102	0.0844	0.3989	0.050 ± 0.107	0.002	0.6376
		Cb MolL	35	-0.4620	0.0001	0.096 ± 0.154	0.096	0.0705
		Cb GranL	32	0.3707	0.0035	$\textbf{0.959} \pm \textbf{0.377}$	0.178	0.0163
		Cb WM	35	-0.4620	0.0001	-0.287 ± 0.154	0.096	0.0705
Figures 2D, 5A	Normana (mm²	411	(10	0.5202	<0.0001	0.257 0.020	0.212	<0.0001
Gila/IIIII	Neurons/mm		166	-0.3202	< 0.0001	-0.237 ± 0.020	0.212	< 0.0001
		Cx WM	47	0.3505	< 0.0001	0.038 ± 0.072	0.403	< 0.0001
		Un Moll	21	0.2303	0.6401	0.029 ± 0.029	0.022	0.3177
		Hp Gran	21	0.0399	0.1316	0.038 ± 0.120 0.153 ± 0.332	0.005	0.6397
		Hypothalamus	31	-0.0637	0.7335	-0.097 ± 0.186	0.010	0.6044
		Thalamus	50	-0.1676	0.7355	-0.156 ± 0.122	0.009	0.2082
		Striatum	62	0.4281	0.0005	-0.130 ± 0.122 0.447 + 0.108	0.000	0.0001
		Ch Moll	97	-0.1563	0.1263	-0.222 ± 0.162	0.020	0.1720
		Ch GranL	100	0 3934	< 0.0001	1.239 ± 0.176	0.336	< 0.0001
		Cb WM	21	0.0064	0.9591	0.206 ± 0.093	0.204	0.0399
Figures 3D, 5B								
Endothelial cells/mm ³	Neurons/mm ³	All	530	0.1499	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.132} \pm \textbf{0.024}$	0.053	<0.0001
		Cx GM	72	0.2430	0.0397	0.149 ± 0.127	0.019	0.2442
		Cx WM	44	0.3494	0.0001	0.011 ± 0.086	0.011	0.4984
		Hp MolL	15	-0.0910	0.4374	-0.132 ± 0.244	0.022	0.5969
		Hp GranL	9	-0.1646	0.2975	-0.050 ± 0.330	0.003	0.8849
		Hypothalamus	79	0.2225	0.0487	0.211 ± 0.136	0.030	0.1239
		Thalamus	121	0.1084	0.2346	0.138 ± 0.092	0.019	0.1343
		Striatum	102	0.3594	0.0002	$\textbf{0.606} \pm \textbf{0.142}$	0.154	<0.0001
		Cb MolL	40	0.1462	0.2489	0.302 ± 0.101	0.190	0.0049
		Cb GranL	46	0.1482	0.2584	0.261 ± 0.283	0.019	0.3616
		Cb WM	2	n.a.		n.a.		
Figures 2D, 5B Endothelial	Neurons/mm ²	All	620	0.1058	0.0041	$\textbf{0.035} \pm \textbf{0.016}$	0.008	0.0301
cens/mm		Cx GM	166	0.6777	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.845} \pm \textbf{0.070}$	0.467	<0.0001
		Cx WM	46	-0.0097	0.9226	0.378 ± 0.061	0.465	< 0.0001
		Hp MolL	21	0.0949	0.6311	0.003 ± 0.114	0.000	0.9818
		Hp GranL	27	-0.4297	0.0141	-0.214 ± 0.270	0.024	0.4372
		Hypothalamus	31	0.1024	0.5835	0.162 ± 0.240	0.015	0.5058
		Thalamus	50	0.3409	0.0154	$\textbf{0.492} \pm \textbf{0.142}$	0.199	0.0012
		Striatum	62	0.4886	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.399} \pm \textbf{0.115}$	0.168	0.0010
		Cb MolL	97	0.0017	0.9865	-0.009 ± 0.104	0.000	0.9281
		Cb GranL	99	0.1937	0.0511	0.396 ± 0.131	0.085	0.0033
		Cb WM	21	-0.0364	0.7700	0.187 ± 0.111	0.130	0.1076

Dependent variable	Independent variable	Structure	N sites	Spearman rho	P-value	Exponent	<i>r</i> ²	P-value
Figures 3D, 5C								
Endothelial cells/mm ³	Glia/mm ³	All	609	0.0733	0.0394	-0.038 ± 0.042	0.001	0.3719
		Cx GM	72	0.1622	0.1733	0.314 ± 0.135	0.071	0.0233
		Cx WM	102	-0.0497	0.5943	-0.168 ± 0.120	0.019	0.1626
		Hp MolL	49	0.1563	0.1804	0.041 ± 0.103	0.003	0.6895
		Hp GranL	4	0.0782	0.6227	0.500 ± 0.500	0.333	0.4226
		Hypothalamus	78	0.4838	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.496} \pm \textbf{0.113}$	0.203	<0.0001
		Thalamus	121	0.2868	0.0014	$\textbf{0.342} \pm \textbf{0.087}$	0.114	0.0001
		Striatum	102	0.1675	0.0924	0.232 ± 0.142	0.026	0.1060
		Cb MolL	31	0.2535	0.0435	-0.032 ± 0.128	0.002	0.8017
		Cb GranL	26	0.1674	0.2010	0.166 ± 0.155	0.046	0.2946
		Cb WM	24	-0.0869	0.5165	0.129 ± 0.130	0.043	0.3316
Figures 2D, 5C								
Endothelial cells/mm ²	Glia/mm ²	All	719	0.2327	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.180} \pm \textbf{0.025}$	0.069	<0.0001
		Cx GM	166	0.6092	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.607} \pm \textbf{0.059}$	0.393	<0.0001
		Cx WM	100	0.2706	0.0060	$\textbf{0.463} \pm \textbf{0.183}$	0.061	0.0132
		Hp MolL	28	0.0958	0.6278	0.189 ± 0.189	0.037	0.3284
		Hp GranL	21	0.1771	0.3322	0.320 ± 0.185	0.136	0.0995
		Hypothalamus	31	0.3218	0.0775	0.434 ± 0.226	0.112	0.0651
		Thalamus	50	0.3048	0.0314	0.315 ± 0.179	0.060	0.0858
		Striatum	62	0.4523	0.0002	$\textbf{0.411} \pm \textbf{0.121}$	0.161	0.0012
		Cb MolL	97	0.4590	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.250} \pm \textbf{0.060}$	0.152	<0.0001
		Cb GranL	97	0.4635	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.343} \pm \textbf{0.055}$	0.292	<0.0001
		Cb WM	67	0.2578	0.0352	$\textbf{0.293} \pm \textbf{0.138}$	0.065	0.0375
Figure 6A								
Glia/neuron	Neurons/mm ³	All	528	-0.7611	<0.0001	-1.162 ± 0.024	0.816	<0.0001
		Cx GM	72	-0.5422	<0.0001	$\textbf{-0.838} \pm \textbf{0.107}$	0.465	<0.0001
		Cx WM	47	-0.8862	<0.0001	$\textbf{-1.154} \pm \textbf{0.080}$	0.823	<0.0001
		Hp MolL	22	-0.5863	0.0026	$\textbf{-0.747} \pm \textbf{0.291}$	0.248	0.0182
		Hp GranL	16	-0.4273	0.0048	-0.915 ± 0.519	0.182	0.0996
		Hypothalamus	78	-0.5376	<0.0001	$\textbf{-0.741} \pm \textbf{0.122}$	0.325	<0.0001
		Thalamus	122	-0.6216	<0.0001	$\textbf{-1.857} \pm \textbf{0.090}$	0.430	<0.0001
		Striatum	102	-0.5799	<0.0001	$\textbf{-0.949} \pm \textbf{0.107}$	0.440	<0.0001
		Cb MolL	35	-0.5250	<0.0001	$\textbf{-1.287} \pm \textbf{0.154}$	0.680	<0.0001
		Cb GranL	32	0.2515	0.0525	-0.041 ± 0.377	0.000	0.9146
		Cb WM	2	n.a.		n.a.		
		GM structures	479	-0.7038	<0.0001	-1.087 ± 0.032	0.708	<0.0001
Figure 6C								
Glia/neuron	Glia/mm ³	All	528	0.7820	<0.0001	$\textbf{1.495} \pm \textbf{0.073}$	0.444	<0.0001
		Cx GM	72	0.6163	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.806} \pm \textbf{0.129}$	0.359	<0.0001
		Cx WM	47	0.5395	<0.0001	$\textbf{1.499} \pm \textbf{0.257}$	0.430	<0.0001
		Hp MolL	22	0.8532	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.856} \pm \textbf{0.166}$	0.571	<0.0001
		Hp GranL	16	0.9882	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.978} \pm \textbf{0.137}$	0.783	<0.0001
		Hypothalamus	78	0.6404	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.786} \pm \textbf{0.101}$	0.441	<0.0001
		Thalamus	122	0.5863	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.856} \pm \textbf{0.090}$	0.427	<0.0001
		Striatum	102	0.7138	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.956} \pm \textbf{0.093}$	0.512	<0.0001
		Cb MolL	35	0.9471	<0.0001	$\textbf{1.333} \pm \textbf{0.178}$	0.629	<0.0001

Dependent variable	Independent variable	Structure	N sites	Spearman rho	P-value	Exponent	r^2	P-value
		Cb GranL	32	0.9816	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.815} \pm \textbf{0.073}$	0.807	<0.0001
		Cb WM	2	n.a.		n.a.		
		GM structures	479	0.7670	<0.0001	$\textbf{1.177} \pm \textbf{0.065}$	0.410	<0.0001
Figure 6E								
Glia/neuron	Endothelial cells/mm ³	All	491	0.1041	0.0103	$\textbf{-0.247} \pm \textbf{0.095}$	0.014	0.0096
		Cx GM	72	0.0362	0.7626	0.098 ± 0.136	0.007	0.4757
		Cx WM	44	-0.1710	0.2505	0.075 ± 0.350	0.001	0.8320
		Hp MolL	14	0.1413	0.5103	1.008 ± 0.412	0.333	0.0307
		Hp GranL	4	0.1012	0.5238	0.938 ± 0.479	0.658	0.1890
		Hypothalamus	78	0.1961	0.0832	0.265 ± 0.119	0.061	0.0293
		Thalamus	121	0.1350	0.1381	0.199 ± 0.117	0.024	0.0928
		Striatum	102	-0.1644	0.0987	-0.143 ± 0.092	0.024	0.1225
		Cb MolL	28	0.2339	0.0799	-0.712 ± 0.460	0.084	0.1339
		Cb GranL	26	0.1136	0.3873	0.44 ± 0.246	0.001	0.8591
		Cb WM	2	n.a.		n.a.		
		GM structures	445	0.1706	< 0.0001	-0.012 ± 0.079	0.000	0.8834
Figure 6B	N / ²		(10	0.0450	0.0001	1.055 0.000	0.045	0.0001
Glia/neuron	Neurons/mm ²	All	619	-0.8450	< 0.0001	-1.257 ± 0.020	0.865	< 0.0001
		Cx GM	100	-0.1281	0.1001	-0.111 ± 0.072	0.014	0.1211
			4/	-0.9650	<0.0001	-0.971 ± 0.029	0.962	<0.0001
		Hp MolL	21	-0.8285	< 0.0001	-0.962 ± 0.120	0.7/1	< 0.0001
		Hp GranL	24	-0.3783	<0.0001	-1.133 ± 0.322	0.508	0.0017
		Thelemus	51	-0.6972	< 0.0001	-1.097 ± 0.180	0.545	< 0.0001
		Striatum	50	-0.7800	< 0.0001	-1.130 ± 0.122 0.552 \pm 0.108	0.031	< 0.0001
		Ch Moll	97	-0.5924	< 0.0001	-0.332 ± 0.103 -1.222 + 0.162	0.376	< 0.0001
		Ch Gran	100	-0.0052	0.9587	-1.222 ± 0.102 0.239 ± 0.176	0.018	0.1769
		Ch WM	21	-0.8909	< 0.0001	-0.794 ± 0.093	0.792	<0.0001
		GM structures	551	-0 7925	< 0.0001	-1.124 ± 0.029	0.734	< 0.0001
Figure 6D				017720			00001	
Glia/neuron	Glia/mm ²	All	619	0.7222	<0.0001	1.824 ± 0.064	0.569	<0.0001
		Cx GM	166	0.5901	<0.0001	0.454 ± 0.044	0.395	<0.0001
		Cx WM	47	0.1093	0.4646	0.241 ± 0.751	0.002	0.7493
		Hp MolL	21	0.3554	0.1138	0.861 ± 0.436	0.170	0.0630
		Hp GranL	24	0.9211	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.726} \pm \textbf{0.140}$	0.726	<0.0001
		Hypothalamus	31	0.6895	<0.0001	$\textbf{1.096} \pm \textbf{0.184}$	0.552	<0.0001
		Thalamus	50	0.7051	<0.0001	$\textbf{1.210} \pm \textbf{0.165}$	0.528	<0.0001
		Striatum	62	0.4399	0.0003	$\textbf{0.504} \pm \textbf{0.120}$	0.227	<0.0001
		Cb MolL	97	0.8495	<0.0001	$\textbf{1.088} \pm \textbf{0.064}$	0.754	<0.0001
		Cb GranL	100	0.8797	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.729} \pm \textbf{0.038}$	0.785	<0.0001
		Cb WM	21	-0.0494	0.8318	0.010 ± 0.449	0.000	0.9816
		GM structures	551	0.6442	<0.0001	$\textbf{1.263} \pm \textbf{0.061}$	0.438	<0.0001
Figure 6F								
Glia/neuron	Endothelial cells/mm ²	All	612	0.1768	<0.0001	0.302 ± 0.134	0.008	0.0247
		Cx GM	166	0.0765	0.3273	0.094 ± 0.058	0.016	0.1051
		Cx WM	46	-0.1882	0.2052	$\textbf{-1.080} \pm \textbf{0.214}$	0.367	<0.0001
		Hp MolL	21	0.0825	0.7221	0.231 ± 0.503	0.011	0.6517

Dependent variable	Independent variable	Structure	N sites	Spearman rho	P-value	Exponent	r^2	P-value
		Hp GranL	21	0.2869	0.1113	0.571 ± 0.303	0.158	0.0748
		Hypothalamus	31	0.1565	0.4006	0.164 ± 0.210	0.021	0.4405
		Thalamus	50	-0.0167	0.9086	-0.213 ± 0.185	0.027	0.2545
		Striatum	62	-0.0528	0.6838	-0.029 ± 0.133	0.001	0.8258
		Cb MolL	97	0.3234	0.0012	$\textbf{0.620} \pm \textbf{0.191}$	0.100	0.0016
		Cb GranL	97	0.4672	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.641} \pm \textbf{0.114}$	0.250	<0.0001
		Cb WM	21	-0.2117	0.3570	-0.426 ± 0.383	0.061	0.2793
		GM structures	545	0.3382	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.998} \pm \textbf{0.093}$	0.174	<0.0001
Figure 7A								
Endothelial cells/neuron	Neurons/mm ³	All	530	-0.6724	<0.0001	$\textbf{-0.868} \pm \textbf{0.024}$	0.706	<0.0001
		Cx GM	72	-0.4863	<0.0001	$\textbf{-0.851} \pm \textbf{0.127}$	0.390	<0.0001
		Cx WM	44	-0.6024	<0.0001	$\textbf{-1.058} \pm \textbf{0.086}$	0.784	<0.0001
		Hp MolL	15	-0.0667	0.7567	$\textbf{-1.132} \pm \textbf{0.244}$	0.624	0.0005
		Hp GranL	9	-0.2148	0.1719	-1.050 ± 0.330	0.591	0.0155
		Hypothalamus	79	-0.5261	<0.0001	$\textbf{-0.788} \pm \textbf{0.136}$	0.304	<0.0001
		Thalamus	121	-0.6059	<0.0001	$\textbf{-0.862} \pm \textbf{0.092}$	0.426	<0.0001
		Striatum	102	-0.3124	0.0014	$\textbf{-0.394} \pm \textbf{0.142}$	0.072	0.0065
		Cb MolL	40	-0.4594	0.0003	$\textbf{-0.698} \pm \textbf{0.101}$	0.556	<0.0001
		Cb GranL	46	-0.1465	0.2642	-0.739 ± 0.283	0.134	0.0122
		Cb WM	2	n.a.		n.a.		
		GM structures	484	-0.6379	<0.0001	$\textbf{-0.879} \pm \textbf{0.032}$	0.612	<0.0001
Figure 7C								
Endothelial cells/neuron	Glia/mm ³	All	491	0.4007	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.521} \pm \textbf{0.078}$	0.083	<0.0001
		Cx GM	72	0.0621	0.6045	0.120 ± 0.178	0.006	0.5007
		Cx WM	44	-0.0228	0.8794	0.371 ± 0.327	0.030	0.2627
		Hp MolL	14	0.2353	0.2683	0.119 ± 0.382	0.008	0.7601
		Hp GranL	4	0.0957	0.5464	0.244 ± 0.898	0.036	0.8109
		Hypothalamus	78	0.2155	0.0565	0.282 ± 0.146	0.047	0.0568
		Thalamus	121	0.0983	0.2815	0.197 ± 0.120	0.022	0.1024
		Striatum	102	0.1512	0.1293	0.188 ± 0.136	0.019	0.1710
		Cb MolL	28	0.4360	0.0007	0.354 ± 0.194	0.114	0.0785
		Cb GranL	26	0.0595	0.6515	0.028 ± 0.141	0.002	0.8419
		Cb WM	2	n.a.		n.a.		
		GM structures	445	0.3614	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.304} \pm \textbf{0.075}$	0.036	<0.0001
Figure 7E								
Endothelial cells/neuron	Endothelial cells/mm ³	All	530	0.5915	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.600} \pm \textbf{0.074}$	0.112	<0.0001
		Cx GM	72	0.6810	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.870} \pm \textbf{0.110}$	0.471	<0.0001
		Cx WM	44	0.6229	<0.0001	$\textbf{1.188} \pm \textbf{0.274}$	0.308	<0.0001
		Hp MolL	15	0.9729	<0.0001	$\textbf{1.167} \pm \textbf{0.309}$	0.524	0.0023
		Hp GranL	9	0.9942	<0.0001	$\textbf{1.065} \pm \textbf{0.431}$	0.466	0.0429
		Hypothalamus	79	0.6696	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.856} \pm \textbf{0.093}$	0.526	<0.0001
		Thalamus	121	0.6790	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.864} \pm \textbf{0.090}$	0.437	<0.0001
		Striatum	102	0.7182	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.745} \pm \textbf{0.060}$	0.610	<0.0001
		Cb MolL	40	0.7711	< 0.0001	0.371 ± 0.211	0.076	0.0860
		Cb GranL	46	0.9230	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.927} \pm \textbf{0.079}$	0.759	<0.0001
		Cb WM	2	n.a.		n.a.		
		GM structures	484	0.6704	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.760} \pm \textbf{0.063}$	0.231	<0.0001

Dependent variable	Independent variable	Structure	N sites	Spearman rho	P-value	Exponent	r^2	P-value
Figure 7B	Neurons/mm ²	411	620	-0 8553	<0.0001	-0.965 + 0.016	0.853	<0.0002
cells/neuron	iventons/init	711	020	-0.0333	<0.0001	-0.903 ± 0.010	0.055	<0.0002
		Cx GM	166	-0.1877	0.0155	$\textbf{-0.155} \pm \textbf{0.070}$	0.029	0.0290
		Cx WM	46	-0.8327	<0.0002	$\textbf{-0.621} \pm \textbf{0.061}$	0.701	<0.0001
		Hp MolL	21	-0.8893	<0.0001	$\textbf{-0.997} \pm \textbf{0.114}$	0.802	<0.0001
		Hp GranL	27	-0.7353	<0.0001	$\textbf{-1.214} \pm \textbf{0.270}$	0.446	0.0001
		Hypothalamus	31	-0.6492	<0.0001	$\textbf{-0.838} \pm \textbf{0.240}$	0.296	0.0016
		Thalamus	50	-0.5413	<0.0001	$\textbf{-0.508} \pm \textbf{0.142}$	0.209	0.0008
		Striatum	62	-0.5308	<0.0001	$\textbf{-0.601} \pm \textbf{0.115}$	0.314	<0.0001
		Cb MolL	97	-0.6847	<0.0001	$\textbf{-1.009} \pm \textbf{0.104}$	0.496	<0.0001
		Cb GranL	99	-0.4014	<0.0001	$\textbf{-0.604} \pm \textbf{0.131}$	0.179	<0.0001
		Cb WM	21	-0.8388	<0.0001	$\textbf{-0.813} \pm \textbf{0.111}$	0.739	<0.0001
		GM structures	553	-0.8243	<0.0001	$\textbf{-1.082} \pm \textbf{0.022}$	0.805	<0.0001
Figure 7D	Glia/mm ²	411	612	0 5240	<0.0001	1 072 + 0 062	0 332	<0.0001
cells/neuron		711	012	0.5240	<0.0001	1.072 ± 0.002	0.332	<0.0001
		Cx GM	166	0.0492	0.5291	0.062 ± 0.056	0.007	0.2722
		Cx WM	46	0.1352	0.3647	0.420 ± 0.572	0.012	0.4666
		Hp MolL	21	-0.0007	0.9978	0.077 ± 0.486	0.001	0.8765
		Hp GranL	21	0.2199	0.2266	0.423 ± 0.245	0.136	0.1000
		Hypothalamus	31	0.3673	0.0421	0.530 ± 0.266	0.120	0.0562
		Thalamus	50	0.3911	0.0050	$\textbf{0.525} \pm \textbf{0.170}$	0.166	0.0034
		Striatum	62	-0.0672	0.6037	-0.085 ± 0.145	0.006	0.5618
		Cb MolL	97	0.4094	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.337} \pm \textbf{0.086}$	0.140	0.0002
		Cb GranL	97	0.1279	0.2001	0.066 ± 0.069	0.010	0.3385
		Cb WM	21	-0.3355	0.1371	-0.640 ± 0.452	0.095	0.1730
		GM structures	545	0.3867	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.683} \pm \textbf{0.069}$	0.153	<0.0001
Figure 7F Endothelial	Endothelial	All	620	0.3497	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.783} \pm \textbf{0.100}$	0.091	<0.0001
cells/neuron	cells/mm ²							
		Cx GM	166	0.5384	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.447} \pm \textbf{0.046}$	0.364	<0.0001
		Cx WM	46	0.1956	0.1875	-0.230 ± 0.199	0.029	0.2541
		Hp MolL	21	0.3531	0.1164	0.989 ± 0.462	0.194	0.0455
		Hp GranL	27	0.9011	<0.0001	$\textbf{1.114} \pm \textbf{0.144}$	0.704	<0.0001
		Hypothalamus	31	0.6278	0.0002	$\textbf{0.905} \pm \textbf{0.141}$	0.585	<0.0001
		Thalamus	50	0.5568	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.595} \pm \textbf{0.117}$	0.350	<0.0001
		Striatum	62	0.4069	0.0010	$\textbf{0.580} \pm \textbf{0.121}$	0.277	<0.0001
		Cb MolL	97	0.6868	<0.0001	$\textbf{1.009} \pm \textbf{0.101}$	0.513	<0.0001
		Cb GranL	99	0.7647	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.784} \pm \textbf{0.072}$	0.552	<0.0001
		Cb WM	21	0.1443	0.5325	0.303 ± 0.413	0.027	0.4726
E'man 0		GM structures	553	0.5258	<0.0001	$\textbf{1.283} \pm \textbf{0.078}$	0.329	<0.0001
Endothelial cells/neuron	Glia/neuron (3D)	All	491	0.6371	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.645} \pm \textbf{0.023}$	0.609	<0.0001
		Cx GM	72	0.4862	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.629} \pm \textbf{0.109}$	0.323	<0.0001
		Cx WM	44	0.4673	0.0009	$\textbf{0.729} \pm \textbf{0.092}$	0.599	<0.0001
		Hp MolL	14	0.2512	0.2363	0.785 ± 0.215	0.526	0.0033
		Hp GranL	4	0.1230	0.4379	0.959 ± 0.610	0.552	0.2567

Dependent variable	Independent variable	Structure	N sites	Spearman rho	P-value	Exponent	r^2	P-value
		Hypothalamus	78	0.5360	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.668} \pm \textbf{0.100}$	0.369	<0.0001
		Thalamus	121	0.5480	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.620} \pm \textbf{0.073}$	0.376	<0.0001
		Striatum	102	0.2816	0.0041	$\textbf{0.298} \pm \textbf{0.098}$	0.084	0.0032
		Cb MolL	28	0.4601	0.0003	$\textbf{0.424} \pm \textbf{0.084}$	0.493	<0.0001
		Cb GranL	26	0.0474	0.7190	0.050 ± 0.150	0.004	0.7425
		Cb WM	2	n.a.		n.a.		
		GM structures	445	0.5986	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.635} \pm \textbf{0.031}$	0.482	<0.0001
Endothelial cells/neuron	Glia/neuron (2D)	All	612	0.8538	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.714} \pm \textbf{0.012}$	0.849	<0.0001
		Cx GM	166	0.2679	0.0005	$\textbf{0.300} \pm \textbf{0.074}$	0.091	<0.0001
		Cx WM	46	0.8774	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.652} \pm \textbf{0.056}$	0.755	<0.0001
		Hp MolL	21	0.8419	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.850} \pm \textbf{0.128}$	0.697	<0.0001
		Hp GranL	21	0.4367	0.0124	$\textbf{0.566} \pm \textbf{0.166}$	0.378	0.0030
		Hypothalamus	31	0.7157	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.623} \pm \textbf{0.154}$	0.361	0.0004
		Thalamus	50	0.6504	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.437} \pm \textbf{0.092}$	0.318	<0.0001
		Striatum	62	0.4926	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.521} \pm \textbf{0.120}$	0.237	<0.0001
		Cb MolL	97	0.6260	<0.0001	0.469 ± 0.056	0.425	<0.0001
		Cb GranL	97	0.3471	0.0004	0.303 ± 0.079	0.134	0.0002
		Cb WM	21	0.8004	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.854} \pm \textbf{0.144}$	0.649	<0.0001
		GM structures	545	0.6115	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.823} \pm \textbf{0.018}$	0.789	<0.0001
Figure 9								
Endothelial cells/glia	Endothelial cells/mm ²	Cx GM	23	0.741	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.801} \pm \textbf{0.158}$	0.551	<0.0001
Endothelial cells/glia	Endothelial cells/neuron	Cx GM	23	0.630	0.0013	$\textbf{0.707} \pm \textbf{0.146}$	0.528	<0.0001
Endothelial cells/glia	Endothelial cells/synapse	Cx GM	23	0.628	0.0030	$\textbf{0.622} \pm \textbf{0.167}$	0.435	0.0016
Endothelial cells/neuron	Endothelial cells/mm ²	Cx GM	23	0.753	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.862} \pm \textbf{0.152}$	0.604	<0.0001
Endothelial cells/neuron	Endothelial cells/synapse	Cx GM	23	0.750	0.0001	$\textbf{0.807} \pm \textbf{0.121}$	0.712	<0.0001
Endothelial cells/neuron	Glia/neuron	Cx GM	23	0.5156	0.0118	0.550 ± 0.274	0.161	0.0577
Endothelial cells/synapse	Endothelial cells/mm ²	Cx GM	23	0.906	<0.0001	$\textbf{1.117} \pm \textbf{0.109}$	0.854	<0.0001
Endothelial cells/synapse	Endothelial cells/neuron	Cx GM	23	0.750	<0.0001	$\textbf{0.882} \pm \textbf{0.132}$	0.712	<0.0001
Endothelial cells/synapse	Synapses/mm ²	Cx GM	23	-0.506	0.0230	$\textbf{-1.515} \pm \textbf{0.478}$	0.358	0.0053
Glia/mm ²	Synapses/glia	Cx GM	23	-0.831	<0.0001	$\textbf{-0.784} \pm \textbf{0.104}$	0.759	<0.0001
Glia/neuron	Endothelial cells/neuron	Cx GM	23	0.516	0.0118	0.293 ± 0.146	0.161	0.0577
Glia/neuron	Glia/mm ²	Cx GM	23	0.441	0.0350	$\textbf{0.634} \pm \textbf{0.191}$	0.344	0.0032
Glia/neuron	Neurons/mm ²	Cx GM	23	-0.624	0.0015	$\textbf{-0.592} \pm \textbf{0.212}$	0.270	0.0110
Glia/neuron	Synapses/glia	Cx GM	23	-0.669	0.0013	$\textbf{-0.688} \pm \textbf{0.143}$	0.562	0.0001
Neurons/mm ²	Synapses/mm ²	Cx GM	23	0.460	0.0414	0.654 ± 0.317	0.191	0.0541
Neurons/mm ²	Synapses/neuron	Cx GM	23	-0.545	0.0129	$\textbf{-0.820} \pm \textbf{0.164}$	0.581	<0.0001
Synapses/glia	Endothelial cells/synapse	Cx GM	23	-0.526	0.0171	$\textbf{-0.378} \pm \textbf{0.167}$	0.222	0.0361
Synapses/glia	Glia/mm ²	Cx GM	23	-0.831	<0.0001	$\textbf{-0.969} \pm \textbf{0.129}$	0.759	<0.0001
Synapses/glia	Glia/neuron	Cx GM	23	-0.669	0.0013	$\textbf{-0.816} \pm \textbf{0.170}$	0.562	0.0001
Synapses/neuron	Neurons/mm ²	Cx GM	23	-0.545	0.0129	$\textbf{-0.708} \pm \textbf{0.142}$	0.581	<0.0001
Synapses/neuron	Synapses/mm ²	Cx GM	23	0.750	0.0001	0.346 ± 0.317	0.062	0.2890

Lines in bold denote structures with both a significant correlation and a significant power scaling between the variables at the level of p < 0.05, except for correlations in Figure 9, where all relationships with p < 0.05 are indicated in bold.



FIGURE 5

Local densities of different cell types vary concertedly only within some brain structures. Each plot is accompanied by the respective distribution histograms aligned with the X and Y axes. Spearman correlation coefficients and *p*-values across all structures and sites are indicated in each graph. (A) Glial cell densities span just over one order of magnitude across structures, while neuronal cell densities span two orders of magnitude. While the correlation across all data points is significant and negative in both 3D and 2D datasets, analysis at that level ignores obvious differences across structures (see **Table 6**). (B) Similarly, endothelial cell densities are concentrated within one order of magnitude across structures and sites, and are similar across structures with very different neuronal densities. Still, local endothelial and neuronal cell densities are significantly and positively correlated within the cortical gray matter, the thalamus, and the striatum (see **Table 6**). (C) Glial and endothelial cell densities, are not strongly correlated across brain structures, and weakly correlated within some (see **Table 6**). All graphs are shown with similar X and Y scales for comparison.



Ratio of glial cells per neuron (G/N) increases across brain structures and sites with decreasing neuronal densities. Spearman correlation coefficients and *p*-values across all structures and sites are indicated in each graph; relationships for each structure are given in **Table 6**. G/N ratios span over three orders of magnitude and vary as a single power function of neuronal density across all brain structures and sites whether measured in 3D stacks (**A**) or in 2D composite images (**B**). Power functions are plotted with 95% confidence interval (dashed lines), and have exponents -1.162 ± 0.024 , $r^2 = 0.816$, p < 0.0001 (**A**, 3D) or -1.257 ± 0.020 , $r^2 = 0.865$, p < 0.0001 (**B**, 2D). Notice that most data points in all structures are contained within the 95% CI of the function that applies across structures, indicating that the relationship is brain-wide. (**C**,**D**) G/N ratio also correlates with glial cell densities across all brain structures and sites, but the relationships are clearly distinct across brain structures and sites, most local correlations are non-significant or weak, with a wide range of non-overlapping values of G/N for similar endothelial cell densities across brain structures. All graphs are shown with similar X and Y scales for comparison.

the ratio of synapses per neuron is concentrated in the 6,500– 9,500 range (**Figure 9E**; Spearman, p = 0.0129 due to a single data point above 12,500), compatible with previous estimates of ca. 8,000 synapses per cortical neuron in the mouse (Schüz and Palm, 1989; Braitenberg and Schüz, 1998). We find that the average number of synapses per neuron is not higher where there are more synapses (**Figure 9D**; Spearman, p = 0.0775), and is also not significantly correlated with the local number of glial cells per neuron (Spearman, p = 0.3177; **Table 6**). Indeed, local densities of synapses are not correlated with local glial cell densities (Spearman, p = 0.6488), and the higher the local glial cell density, the fewer the synapses per glial cell (Spearman rho = -0.831, p < 0.0001; **Table 6**). Crucially, the average number of synapses per neuron is not significantly correlated with the local ratio of endothelial cells per neuron (**Figure 9F**; Spearman, p = 0.4717): the E/N ratio varies about four-fold across cortical sites with similar average numbers of synapses per neuron, and at a similar capillary supply per neuron, local neurons may have variable average numbers of synapses. Instead, the most striking pattern is, again, that at cortical sites where each neuron has more capillary cells available to it, there are also more capillary cells available per synapse (E/S; **Figure 9G**; Spearman, p = 0.0001).

These data thus indicate that higher E/S is associated with higher E/N, even though there are not more endothelial cells where there are more synapses (or more neurons;



but closer inspection reveals that the overall correlation results from the combination of locations and does not hold within most structures (see **Table 3**). (**E**,**F**) In contrast, E/N ratios are strongly correlated with endothelial cell densities locally within each structure, but different brain structures have non-overlapping E/N ratios with similar endothelial cell densities, indicating that the apparent overall correlation does not result from a universal correlation, unlike that seen for the strong and universal correlation between E/N and neuronal density (**A**,**B**). All graphs are shown with similar X and Y scales for comparison.

Figures 9A,B), and there are also not more E/N where there are more S/N (Figure 9F). Rather, higher E/S occurs with higher E/N (Figure 9G) simply due to small variations in endothelial cell densities that are not correlated with variations in densities of neurons or synapses (Figures 9A,B) in the presence of significant local variations in neuronal densities that are only somewhat correlated with smaller variations in densities of synapses (Figure 9C). A principal component analysis supports this conclusion. We find that three factors are required to account for the variance in all six variables, with capillary density loading only in the first factor [explaining 42.9% of the variance; factor loading, 0.996, associated with E/N (0.816) and E/S (0.926)], neuronal density loading only in the second factor [total variance explained, 78.8%; loading, -0.943, associated with E/N (0.557) and S/N (0.976)], and synaptic density as the sole contributor to the third factor (explaining a total of 99.3% of the variance; factor loading, 0.981).

Finally, while the glia/neuron ratio is locally higher in cortical sites where the endothelial cell/neuron ratio is also higher (Spearman, p = 0.0118), the number of endothelial cells per synapse is not correlated with the local glia/neuron ratio (p = 0.2868; **Table 6**). Thus, a higher proportion of glial cells per neuron is not associated with a larger capillary supply per synapse.

Discussion

The brain is an expensive organ and, at rest, already uses nearly as much energy as during sensory activation (Leithner and Royl, 2014). The high energy cost of the brain has



traditionally been regarded as driven by an unusually high energy demand by neurons, leading to the expectation that sites with more neurons, or larger neurons, or more synapses use more energy and thus have more capillary supply. Instead, here we find that capillary density is relatively homogeneous across brain structures despite a 100-fold variation in local neuronal densities. We propose that in the adult steady-state measured in a fixed brain, neurons are constrained to using what energy is made available by the relatively homogeneous capillary supply, with little evidence for plastic, self-organizing adjustments according to local demand (see Herculano-Houzel and Rothman, 2022). Such a supply-limited view of brain metabolism explains its high risk of ischemia and vulnerability to states of compromised metabolism, including normal aging.

While neuroscientists often frame the energy *cost* of the brain in terms of high neuronal *demand* for energy, we prefer to reframe metabolism simply as energy *use*, a term that implies nothing about what defines it, whether supply or demand, and instead leaves these open to investigation (Herculano-Houzel and Rothman, 2022). The issue of energetic *use* by neurons can then be separated into the more tractable questions of (a) whether there is evidence that larger neurons *demand* and thus are provided with more energy, depending on their intrinsic biophysical properties (Lin et al., 2010), and (b) whether there is evidence that larger neurons are *supplied* with more energy than smaller neurons, regardless of (a). Our finding that local capillary area fraction or density of capillary cells does not accompany the enormous variation in neuronal densities across

sites in the mouse brain nor synaptic densities in the mouse cerebral cortex provides evidence against (a) and in support of (b), against several intuitive, but so far untested, central tenets of neurophysiology and functional brain imaging. These untested tenets are, namely: that neurons *demand* energy, and larger neurons *demand* (and receive) more energy than smaller neurons; that sites with more synapses use more energy; that because larger neurons have more synapses, they demand (and receive) more energy; and that the steady-state density of the adult capillary bed reflects self-organized adjustments across brain sites according to variations in local energy demand by neurons and synapses.

Instead, given our main finding of a comparatively constant capillary density, we interpret our data to indicate that, with the 100× larger variation in neuronal densities throughout the mouse brain, larger neurons get access to more energy simply because where neurons are larger, as indicated by lower neuronal densities, fewer neurons compete for the capillary densityrestricted blood supply. Although neuronal networks are by definition distributed, thanks to the far-reaching dendrites and axons that define neurons, the neuronal cell body is the site of massive macromolecule synthesis that constitutes a major destination of resources; thus, the E/N ratio, defined here as the number of capillary cells supplying an individual neuronal cell body, is a physiologically relevant variable, although individual neurons will undoubtedly receive partial energetic support from other capillary cells that supply territories where their neurites extend.



Similarly to larger neurons having less competition for blood supply that is limited by capillary density, and given the small but significant variation in densities of synapses with densities of neurons within the cerebral cortex, we propose that there is a restricted range of numbers of synapses per neuron, and, where neurons are larger, more endothelial cells provide energy both per neuron and per synapse (highlighted middle panel in **Figure 3**). These findings suggest the intriguing possibility that, whereas cell sizes in mammalian bodies are constant across species for all other cell types, increasing neuronal sizes in evolution may have brought neurons the advantage of *not* decreasing energy availability per neuronal unit (with its surrounding glial cells), and possibly holding that constant, as body size increases and total metabolic rate scales more slowly than body mass (Herculano-Houzel, 2011), a possibility that we are now ready to address by extending the present analysis to other species.

Within the cerebral cortex, in particular, a previous detailed study of variation in fractional volume of the microvasculature across layers found that it varies little, and does not reflect

23

variations in neuronal density across cortical layers (Tsai et al., 2009). When compiling data across layers, that study did find a significant positive correlation between neuronal density and microvasculature volume fraction across sites, which our analysis corroborates when restricted to the cerebral cortical GM alone (Table 6). Importantly, while this variation of capillary density with neuronal density is significant across cortical sites, it is by no means large enough to maintain a constant E/N ratio across cerebral cortical GM, the E/N ratio still decreases with increasing neuronal density (Figures 7A,B and Table 6), which is indicative of less energy availability per neuron in these sites. Therefore, it remains true for the cerebral cortex alone that our data suggest that energy availability per neuron is supply-limited.

There are presently no direct data available on rates of energy use per neuron, whether in different structures or species, to test the hypothesis that larger neurons use more energy (whether due to supply or demand); all measurements so far have been of energy use per gram of tissue (Mink et al., 1981; Karbowski, 2007), not per neuron. A necessary first step to establishing whether larger neurons use more energy, be it due to increased demand, supply, or both, is comparing average energy use per neuron where neurons have different sizes, both within and across species. The practical impediment here is that measuring energy use requires bringing live animals to the lab. We propose that local capillary density, which can be measured readily and efficiently in 2D images of thin brain sections, is a good proxy and viable alternative to estimating energy availability per volume as well as per neuron in fixed brain tissue. What makes it a good proxy is that local capillary density in fixed brain tissue correlates very well, and linearly, with resting blood flow and local rate of glucose use in both rat (Klein et al., 1986; Borowsky and Collins, 1989) and macaque brains (Noda et al., 2002). A direct validation of the correspondence between local capillary density and resting metabolic rate is reported in the accompanying study (Ventura-Antunes et al., 2022), in which we find that the small variations in the local vascular fraction measured as in the present study across sites in the rat brain are a very good approximation of measurements of local energy cost at rest in each structure. We thus expect that systematic analyses of local capillary densities will provide a powerful tool to circumvent the limitations to studying energy use per neuron in living animals, and open the way to comparative studies of energy availability per neuron in brains of species that are unlikely ever to be brought alive to laboratory settings.

It is possible that blood flow rates through capillaries vary across sites depending on factors such as network geometry and branch order, and also vary over time, according to variations in blood pressure in arterioles. However, red blood cell transit times in the brain have been shown to be relatively uniform across resting awake and stimulated conditions, with rates of oxygen transfer determined primarily by capillary length density (Jesperson and Ostergaard, 2012). Most importantly, the demonstration that local rates of blood flow in the awake brain are strongly, and linearly, correlated with both capillary density and rates of glucose use (Klein et al., 1986; Borowsky and Collins, 1989) provides the necessary evidence that local capillary density is a useful proxy for local rates of energy supply and use at rest.

While we examine fixed tissue and can make no claims about physiological properties and dynamic changes in neurovascular coupling (Leithner and Royl, 2014), the relatively homogeneous distribution of the capillary bed in the perfused tissue compared to highly variable neuronal densities, in the presence of constant overall blood flow in the brain (Mintun et al., 2001; Sato et al., 2011; Smith and Ainslie, 2017), does inform that, per neuronal cell body, there is more energy available in those sites with lower neuronal densities (with few and larger neurons; Mota and Herculano-Houzel, 2014) than in sites of high neuronal density. These findings are compatible with a scenario where neurons compete for limited energy supply, rather than the usually presumed scenario in which larger neurons and/or neurons with more synapses demand more energy, which is then provided as the capillary bed adapts to those demands during development. A limited energy supply constrained by the density of the capillary bed would have direct consequences for the level of neuronal and synaptic activity that can be sustained across brain sites, in line with our previous suggestion that fundamental aspects of neuronal structure and function are constrained by energy supply across species (Herculano-Houzel, 2011). It remains to be determined whether these constraints manifest themselves during development, for instance through the self-regulation of the numbers of synapses that can form and remain active, or simply through self-regulation of levels of activity (as in synaptic homeostasis; Turrigiano, 2012).

The present findings have fundamental implications for brain health and normal and diseased aging. First, they suggest that the heightened vulnerability of the human WM to ischemia (Wang et al., 2016) may be primarily due to its low capillary density compared to GM structures, and not simply to its large relative volume. Second, as cortical locations with high neuron densities have far less blood supplied per neuron than locations with low neuronal densities, individual neurons in "crowded" cortical areas are likely to be much more vulnerable to aging and pathologies that compromise circulation and/or metabolic capacity. Most remarkably, the hippocampus, whose granular layer contains most of its neurons and exhibits some of the lowest values of E/N found here, is one of the brain structures most highly vulnerable to hypoxia (Hossmann, 1999). Finally, some of the regions first and most vulnerable to Alzheimer's disease are cortical areas with high resting metabolism (Buckner et al., 2005). While that might be indicative of higher capillary densities that would increase energy availability as a whole, it is possible that this is offset by very high neuronal densities, such as those found in the entorhinal cortex and hippocampus here, leading to low values of E/N and thus particularly high vulnerability to hypoxic insults. We are currently investigating the effects of aging on capillary density in the brain, and the possibility that local variation in E/N correlates with brain tissue vulnerability in normal and diseased aging.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

All animal use in this project was approved by the Committee on Ethical Animal Use of the Health Sciences Center (CEUA-CCS), Federal University of Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), with protocol number 01200.001568/2013-87.

Author contributions

SH-H conceived the study. LV-A executed all data acquisition. Both authors analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript.

References

Ames, I. I. I. A. (2000). CNS energy metabolism as related to function. *Brain Res. Rev.* 34, 42–68. doi: 10.1016/S0165-0173(00)00038-2

Aschoff, J., Günther, B., and Kramer, K. (1971). "Energiehaushalt und Thermoregulation," in *Physiologie des Menschen*, eds O. Gauer, K. Kramer, and R. Jung. (Berlin:München-Wien).

Attwell, D., and Laughlin, S. B. (2001). An energy budget for signaling in the grey matter of the brain. J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab. 21, 1133–1145. doi: 10.1097/00004647-200110000-00001

Banavar, J.-R., Damuth, J., Maritan, A., and Rinaldo, A. (2002). Supply-demand balance and metabolic scaling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 99, 10506–10509. doi: 10.1073/pnas.162216899

Boero, J. A., Ascher, J., Arregui, A., Rovainen, C., and Woolsey, T. A. (1999). Increased brain capillaries in chronic hypoxia. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 86, 1211–1219. doi: 10.1152/jappl.1999.86.4.1211

Borowsky, I. W., and Collins, R. C. (1989). Metabolic anatomy of brain: A comparison of regional capillary density, glucose metabolism, and enzyme activities. J. Comp. Neurol. 288, 401-413. doi: 10.1002/cne.902880304

Braitenberg, V., and Schüz, A. (eds). (1998). "Cortical architectonics," in *Cortex: Statistics and Geometry of Neuronal Connectivity*. (Berlin: Springer), 135–137. doi: 10.1007/978-3-662-03733-1_27

Buckner, R. L., Snyder, A. Z., Shannon, B. J., LaRossa, G., Sachs, R., Fotenos, A. F., et al. (2005). Molecular, structural, and functional characterization of Alzheimer's disease: Evidence for a relationship between default activity, amyloid, and memory. J. Neurosci. 25, 7709–7717. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2177-05.2005

Funding

This work was supported by the Scholar Award from the James S. McDonnell Foundation and Vanderbilt start-up funds to SH-H, and by a CAPES fellowship to LV-A.

Acknowledgments

We thank Louise Botelho, Felipe Tenório, and Marina Ricardo for contributing to image analysis, and to Doug Rothman for insightful discussions.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Franklin, K. B. J., and Paxinos, G. (1997). The Mouse Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.

Gundersen, H. J. G., Jensen, E. B. V., Kiêu, K., and Nielsen, J. J. J. O. M. (1999). The efficiency of systematic sampling in stereology—reconsidered. J. Microsc. 193, 199–211. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2818.1999.00457.x

Hainsworth, F. R., Collins, B.-G., and Wolf, L. L. (1977). The function of torpor in hummingbirds. *Physiol. Zool.* 50, 215–222. doi: 10.1086/physzool.50.3.30155724

Harris, J., Jolivet, R., and Attwell, D. (2012). Synaptic energy use and supply. *Neuron* 75, 762–777. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2012. 08.019

Herculano-Houzel, S. (2011). Scaling of brain metabolism with a fixed energy budget per neuron: Implications for neuronal activity, plasticity and evolution. *PLoS One* 6, e17514. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0017514

Herculano-Houzel, S., Manger, P. R., and Kaas, J. H. (2014). Brain scaling in mammalian evolution as a consequence of concerted and mosaic changes in numbers of neurons and average neuronal cell size. *Front. Neuroanat.* 8:77. doi: 10.3389/fnana.2014.00077

Herculano-Houzel, S., and Rothman, D. L. (2022). From a demand-based to a supply-limited framework of brain metabolism. *Front. Integr. Neurosci.* 16:818685. doi: 10.3389/fnint.2022.818685

Herculano-Houzel, S., Watson, C. R., and Paxinos, G. (2013). Distribution of neurons in functional areas of the mouse cerebral cortex reveals quantitatively different cortical zones. *Front. Neuroanat.* 7:35. doi: 10.3389/fnana.2013.0 0035

Hossmann, K. A. (1999). "The hypoxic brain," in *Hypoxia*, R. C. Roach, P. D. Wagner, P. H. Hackett, (eds) (Boston, MA: Springer), 155–169. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4615-4711-2_14

Howarth, C., Peppiatt-Wildman, C. M., and Attwell, D. (2010). The energy use associated with neural computation in the cerebellum. *J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab.* 30, 403–414. doi: 10.1038/jcbfm.2009.231

Hudetz, A. G. (1997). Blood flow in the cerebral capillary network: A review emphasizing observations with intravital microscopy. *Microcirculation* 4, 233–252. doi: 10.3109/10739689709146787

Jesperson, S. N., and Ostergaard, L. (2012). The roles of cerebral blood flow, capillary transit time heterogeneity, and oxygen tension in brain oxygenation and metabolism. *J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab.* 32, 264–277. doi: 10.1038/jcbfm.2011. 153

Karbowski, J. (2007). Global and regional brain metabolic scaling and its functional consequences. *BMC Biol.* 5:18. doi: 10.1186/1741-7007-5-18

Klein, B. W., Schrock, K. H., and Vetterlein, F. (1986). Interdependency of local capillary density, blood flow, and metabolism in rat brains. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circul. Physiol.* 251, H1333–H1340. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.1986.251.6.H1333

Kuschinsky, W., and Paulson, O. B. (1992). Capillary circulation in the brain. Cerebrovasc. Brain Metab. Rev. 4, 261–286.

Lauwers, F., Cassot, F., Lauwers-Cances, V., Puwanarajah, P., and Duvernoy, H. (2008). Morphometry of the human cerebral cortex microcirculation: General characteristics and space-related profiles. *Neuroimage* 39, 936–948. doi: 10.1016/j. neuroimage.2007.09.024

Leach, R. M., and Treacher, D. F. (1998). Oxygen transport. Tissue hypoxia. *Br. Med. J.* 317, 1370–1373. doi: 10.1136/bmj.317.7169.1370

Leithner, C., and Royl, G. (2014). The oxygen paradox of neurovascular coupling. J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab. 34, 19–29. doi: 10.1038/jcbfm.2013.181

Lin, A. L., Fox, P. T., Hardies, J., Duong, T. Q., and Gao, J.-H. (2010). Nonlinear coupling between cerebral blood flow, oxygen consumption, and ATP production in human visual cortex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 107, 8446–8451. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0909711107

Madsen, P. L., Holm, S., Herning, M., and Lassen, N. A. (1993). Average blood flow and oxygen uptake in the human brain during resting wakefulness: A critical appraisal of the Kety—Schmidt technique. *J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab.* 13, 646–655. doi: 10.1038/jcbfm.1993.83

Magistretti, P. J. (2006). Neuron-glia metabolic coupling and plasticity. J. Exp. Biol. 209, 2304–2311. doi: 10.1242/jeb.02208

Mink, J. W., Blumenschine, R. J., and Adams, D. B. (1981). Ratio of central nervous system to body metabolism in vertebrates: Its constancy and functional basis. *Am. J. Physiol. Reg. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* 241, R203–R212. doi: 10.1152/ajpregu.1981.241.3.R203

Mintun, M. A., Lundstrom, B. N., Snyder, A. Z., Vlassenko, A. G., Shulman, G. K., and Raichle, M. E. (2001). Blood flow and oxygen delivery to human brain during functional activity: Theoretical modeling and experimental data. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 98, 6859–6864. doi: 10.1073/pnas.111164398

Mota, B., and Herculano-Houzel, S. (2014). All brains are made of this: A fundamental building block of brain matter with matching neuronal and glial masses. *Front. Neuroanat.* 8:127. doi: 10.3389/fnana.2014. 00127

Mullen, R. J., Buck, C. R., and Smith, A. M. (1992). NeuN, a neuronal specific nuclear protein in vertebrates. *Development* 116, 201–211. doi: 10.1242/dev.116.1. 201

Noda, A., Ohba, H., Kakiuchi, T., Futatsubashi, M., Tsukada, H., and Nishimura, S. (2002). Age-related changes in cerebral blood flow and glucose metabolism in conscious rhesus monkeys. *Brain Res.* 936, 76–81. doi: 10.1016/S0006-8993(02) 02558-1

Pawlik, G., Rackl, A., and Bing, R. J. (1981). Quantitative capillary topography and blood flow in the cerebral cortex of cats: An in vivo microscopic study. *Brain Res.* 208, 35–58. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(81)90619-3

Pellerin, L., and Magistretti, P.-J. (2004). Neuroenergetics: Calling upon astrocytes to satisfy hungry neurons. *Neuroscientist* 10, 53–62. doi: 10.1177/1073858403260159

Rolfe, D. F., and Guy, C. B. (1997). Cellular energy utilization and molecular origin of standard metabolic rate in mammals. *Physiol. Rev.* 77, 731–758. doi: 10.1152/physrev.1997.77.3.731

Sato, K., Ogoh, S., Hirawawa, A., Oue, A., and Sadamoto, T. (2011). The distribution of blood flow in the carotid and vertebral arteries during dynamic exercise in humans. *J. Physiol.* 589, 2847–2856. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2010.204461

Schölvinck, M. L., Howarth, C., and Attwell, D. (2008). The cortical energy needed for conscious perception. *Neuroimage* 40, 1460–1468. doi: 10.1016/j. neuroimage.2008.01.032

Schüz, A., and Palm, G. (1989). Density of neurons and synapses in the cerebral cortex of the mouse. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 286, 442–455. doi: 10.1002/cne.902860404

Smith, K. J., and Ainslie, P. N. (2017). Regulation of cerebral blood flow and metabolism during exercise. *Exp. Physiol.* 102, 1356–1371. doi: 10.1113/EP086249

Tsai, P. S., Kaufhold, P., Blinder, P., Friedman, B., Drew, P. J., Karten, H. J., et al. (2009). Correlations of neuronal and microvascular densities in murine cortex revealed by direct counting and colocalization of nuclei and vessels. *J. Neurosci.* 29, 14553–14570. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3287-09.2009

Turrigiano, G. (2012). Homeostatic synaptic plasticity: Local and global mechanisms for stabilizing neuronal function. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* 4:a005736. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a005736

Ventura-Antunes, L., Dasgupta, O. M., and Herculano-Houzel, S. (2022). Resting rates of blood flow and glucose use per neuron are Proportional to number of endothelial cells available per neuron across sites in the rat brain. *Front. Integr. Neurosci.* 16:821850. doi: 10.3389/fnint.2022.821850

Wang, Y., Liu, G., Hong, D., Chen, F., Ji, X., and Cao, G. (2016). White matter injury in ischemic stroke. *Progr. Neurobiol.* 141, 45–60. doi: 10.1016/j.pneurobio. 2016.04.005

Zheng, J. H. A., Coggan, A. R., Zhang, X., Bashir, A., Muccigrosso, D., Peterson, L. R., et al. (2014). Noncontrast skeletal muscle oximetry. *Magn. Res. Med.* 71, 318–325. doi: 10.1002/mrm.24669

Zhu, F., Cizeron, M., Qiu, Z., Benavides-Piccione, R., Kopanitsa, M. V., Skene, N. G., et al. (2018). Architecture of the mouse brain synaptome. *Neuron* 99, 781–799. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2018.07.007