Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Qing Cai, East China Normal University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Anais Llorens 🖾 anaisllorens@hotmail.com

RECEIVED 09 April 2025 ACCEPTED 18 April 2025 PUBLISHED 30 April 2025

CITATION

Ivanova MV, Riès SK and Llorens A (2025) Editorial: Revisiting a 150-year-old conundrum on the role of Broca's area in language processing: embracing expected and unexpected results. *Front. Lang. Sci.* 4:1608928. doi: 10.3389/flang.2025.1608928

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Ivanova, Riès and Llorens. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Revisiting a 150-year-old conundrum on the role of Broca's area in language processing: embracing expected and unexpected results

Maria V. Ivanova¹, Stephanie Kathleen Riès² and Anais Llorens^{3,4*}

¹Department of Psychology, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, United States, ²School of Speech, Language, and Hearing Sciences, & Center for Clinical and Cognitive Sciences, San Diego State University, San Diego, CA, United States, ³Université Paris Cité, Institute of Psychiatry and Neuroscience of Paris (IPNP), INSERM U1266, STROKE Team, Paris, France, ⁴GHU Paris Psychiatrie et Neurosciences, Hôpital Sainte Anne, Neurophysiology and Epileptology Department, Paris, France

KEYWORDS

Broca's area, domain general, language, aphasia, neural plasticity

Editorial on the Research Topic

Revisiting a 150-year-old conundrum on the role of Broca's area in language processing: embracing expected and unexpected results

The current Research Topic was designed to contribute to the longstanding and evolving debate about the role of Broca's area in language processing. The four papers in this Research Topic, while focusing on different aspects of language processing, collectively deepen our understanding of Broca's area by questioning traditional views of its function, exploring its role in neural plasticity, and investigating its involvement in cognitive control mechanisms.

Broca's area, located in the posterior part of the left inferior frontal gyrus (typically corresponding to Brodmann areas 44 and 45), has been associated with the planning and execution of speech since Paul Broca's seminal work in Broca (1861). In the classical Wernicke-Lichtheim-Geschwind model of language processing, it was considered the central region for language production (Geschwind, 1970). Furthermore, Broca's area has been implicated in the construction of complex sentence structures, particularly those involving non-canonical word order, tense, and hierarchical relationships between sentence elements. Although historically associated with production, Broca's area has also been shown to support comprehension of syntactically complex sentences (e.g., passive constructions or object-relative clauses), indicating its involvement in parsing grammatical relationships (Caramazza and Zurif, 1976; Grodzinsky, 2000). Thus, Broca's area has been proposed as the brain center for syntactic processing in addition to its language and speech production functions (Friederici, 2011; Hagoort, 2014).

However, growing evidence suggests that Broca's area may not be as critical to speech and language processing as previously thought. For example, lesion studies have demonstrated that damage to Broca's area does not result in persistent language deficits (Bates et al., 2003; Gajardo-Vidal et al., 2021). More recently its role in supporting syntactic comprehension has been challenged (Biondo et al., 2024; Matchin and Hickok, 2020). Furthermore, its function likely extends beyond language to include domain-general

cognitive processes such as working memory (Fedorenko and Blank, 2020) and cognitive control (e.g., Nelson et al., 2003). The current Research Topic contributes to this ongoing debate by providing new insights that support and extend both perspectives.

A central theme that emerges across studies in this Research Topic is the need to reconsider the classical view of Broca's area as a core language region. Lesion studies, such as those by Herron et al. and Pracar et al., demonstrate that damage to Broca's area alone does not necessarily result in the speech and language deficits traditionally associated with damage to this region. Herron et al., using a large sample of individuals with left hemisphere damage, found no association between lesions in Broca's area and any speech or language functions, including speech production/fluency and syntactic comprehension-abilities classically linked to this area. Similarly, Pracar et al. showed that individuals behaviorally classified as having Broca's aphasia (characterized by non-fluent, agrammatic speech and relatively preserved comprehension) exhibited the greatest lesion overlap in the insula, along with disconnection of key white matter tracts such as the arcuate fasciculus, extreme capsule, and middle longitudinal fasciculus, while Broca's area was relatively spared.

Together, these studies highlight the involvement of broader cortical and white matter networks, including the insula and adjacent frontal and opercular regions, in supporting language functions once thought to rely solely on Broca's area. It is important to note, however, that these findings are based on data from participants in the chronic phase of recovery. Therefore, while acute damage to Broca's area may initially impair speech and language, functional compensation by surrounding regions and broader language networks likely mitigates long-term deficits. Future longitudinal studies are needed to clarify the dynamic role Broca's area plays in language recovery over time.

Complementing these findings and addressing the dynamic role that Broca's area can play in language processing, Trebuchon et al. sheds light on its role in neural plasticity. By examining language rehabilitation in epilepsy patients, this study reveals that changes in activity within Broca's area and surrounding frontal regions accompany improvements in naming ability, supporting its role in adaptive reorganization in response to neural injury.

Beyond its role in language production and recovery, Broca's area is also implicated in cognitive control mechanism, as demonstrated in Neophytou et al. This study explores how distinct sub-regions of Broca's area, particularly BA45, are involved in facilitation and interference effects in written word production. The findings link Broca's area to broader executive functions, reinforcing the notion that its contributions extend beyond linguistic processing to domain-general cognitive control. This

study further reinforces the notion that Broca's area is a functionally heterogeneous region, with different parts contributing to domaingeneral and domain specific (linguistic) cognitive control abilities.

Taken together, this Research Topic reinforces the view that Broca's area is best understood not as an isolated language hub, but as a component of multiple dynamic and flexible neural networks involved in both domain-general cognitive control and linguistics processes. Rather than operating in isolation, Broca's area functions as part of a broader network that includes adjacent cortical regions—such as the insula, premotor, and prefrontal cortices—as well as more distant areas connected via key white matter pathways. This integrated perspective helps explain the variability in language deficits following lesions to Broca's area and emphasizes the importance of considering both network-level interactions and cognitive demands when studying the neural basis of language.

Author contributions

MI: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. SR: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. AL: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the NIH grant - NIDCD R56DC020700 (to MI) and the NSF CAREER award #2143805 (to SR).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Broca, P. (1861). Remarks on the seat of the faculty of articulated language, following an observation of aphemia (loss of speech). *Bull. Soc. Anatomique* 6, 330–357.

Caramazza, A., and Zurif, E. B. (1976). Dissociation of algorithmic and heuristic processes in language comprehension: evidence from aphasia. *Brain Lang.* 3, 572–582. doi: 10.1016/0093-934X(76)90048-1

Bates, E., Wilson, S. M., Saygin, A. P., Dick, F., Sereno, M. I., Knight, R. T., et al. (2003). Voxel-based lesion-symptom mapping. *Nat. Neurosci.* 6, 448-450. doi: 10.1038/nn1050

Biondo, N., Ivanova, M. V., Pracar, A. L., Baldo, J., and Dronkers, N. F. (2024). Mapping sentence comprehension and syntactic complexity: evidence from 131 stroke survivors. *Brain Commun.* 6:fcae379. doi: 10.1093/braincomms/fcae379

Fedorenko, E., and Blank, I. A. (2020). Broca's area is not a natural kind. Trends Cogn. Sci. 24, 270–284. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2020.01.001

Friederici, A. D. (2011). The brain basis of language processing: from structure to function. *Physiol. Rev.* 91, 1357–1392. doi: 10.1152/physrev. 00006.2011

Gajardo-Vidal, A., Lorca-Puls, D. L., Team, P., Warner, H., Pshdary, B., Crinion, J. T., et al. (2021). Damage to Broca's area does not contribute to long-term speech production outcome after stroke. *Brain* 144, 817–832. doi: 10.1093/brain/awaa460

Geschwind, N. (1970). The organization of language and the brain. *Science* 170, 940–944. doi: 10.1126/science.170.3961.940

Grodzinsky, Y. (2000). The neurology of syntax: language use without Broca's area. Behav. Brain Sci. 23, 21–71. doi: 10.1017/S0140525X00002399

Hagoort, P. (2014). Nodes and networks in the neural architecture for language: Broca's region and beyond. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 28, 136–141. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2014.07.013

Matchin, W., and Hickok, G. (2020). The cortical organization of syntax. *Cerebral Cortex* 30, 1481–1498. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bbz180

Nelson, J. K., Reuter-Lorenz, P. A., Sylvester, C.-Y. C., Jonides, J., and Smith, E. E. (2003). Dissociable neural mechanisms underlying response-based and familiarity-based conflict in working memory. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 100, 11171–11175. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1334125100