



Corrigendum: N₂ Fixation in the Eastern Arabian Sea: Probable Role of Heterotrophic Diazotrophs

P. Kiran Kumar¹, Arvind Singh^{1*}, R. Ramesh^{1†} and T. Nallathambi²

¹ Physical Research Laboratory, Geosciences Division, Ahmedabad, India, ² National Institute of Ocean and Technology, Chennai, India

Keywords: dinitrogen fixation, ¹⁵N, ¹³C, nitrogen budget, carbon uptake rate, nutrients, biogeochemistry, Arabian Sea

A Corrigendum on

N2 Fixation in the Eastern Arabian Sea: Probable Role of Heterotrophic Diazotrophs

by Kumar, P. K., Singh, A., Ramesh, R., and Nallathambi, T. (2017). Front. Mar. Sci. 4:80. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2017.00080

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

Selvaraj Kandasamy, Xiamen University, China

> *Correspondence: Arvind Singh arvinds@prl.res.in

[†]Present Address:

R. Ramesh, School of Earth and Planetary Sciences, NISER, Jatni, India

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Marine Biogeochemistry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Marine Science

Received: 30 August 2018 Accepted: 10 April 2019 Published: 26 April 2019

Citation:

Kumar PK, Singh A, Ramesh R and Nallathambi T (2019) Corrigendum: N₂ Fixation in the Eastern Arabian Sea: Probable Role of Heterotrophic Diazotrophs. Front. Mar. Sci. 6:223. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2019.00223 In the original article, there were errors in the text, **Figure 5** and **Figure 6**, and **Tables 1** and **2**. We made a mistake in the reporting N_2 fixation and carbon uptake rates. While reporting mean N_2 fixation and mean carbon uptake rates, we forgot to include the duplicate values in calculation in the original article. We provide correct values in the following sections, figures and tables as described. Corrections have been made to the **Results and Discussion** section (sub-section "**N**₂ **Fixation Rate and Carbon Uptake**," first line of first paragraph and sixteenth line of the first paragraph), the **Conclusion** (eighth line), as well as **Figures 5** and **6** and **Tables 1** and **2**.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the sub-section "N₂ Fixation Rate and Carbon Uptake," first line of the first paragraph:

 N_2 fixation rates varied from 4 to 238 nM N h⁻¹, while carbon uptake rate ranged between 16 and 1628 nM C h⁻¹ (Figure 5).

In sixteenth line of the first paragraph:

Heterotrophs contributed up to 52% to the total N_2 fixation (estimated from light and dark incubations, assuming light incubations correspond to phototrophic and dark to heterotrophic).

CONCLUSION

In the eighth line:

Based on the higher N_2 fixation values at the surface for dark incubation, we hypothesize that heterotrophic fixers dominantly (about 52% of total N_2 fixation is by heterotrophs) play an important role in fixing N_2 .

In the original article, there were mistakes in Figure 5, Figure 6, Table 1 and Table 2 as published. The figures and tables are now redrawn and reported with the revised N_2 fixation and carbon uptake rates. In Table 2, we reported areal rates wrongly in the last row. The corrected Figure 5, Figure 6, Table 1 and Table 2 are presented below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

REFERENCES

- Benavides, M., and Voss, M. (2015). Five decades of N_2 fixation research in the North Atlantic Ocean. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 2:40. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2015. 00040
- Singh, A., Lomas, M., and Bates, N. (2013). Revisiting N₂ fixation in the North Atlantic Ocean: significance of deviations from the Redfield Ratio, atmospheric deposition and climate variability. *Deep Sea Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr.* 93, 148–158. doi: 10.1016/j.dsr2.2013.04.008

Copyright © 2019 Kumar, Singh, Ramesh and Nallathambi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.





TABLE 1 Sampling Date, Latitude (°N), Longitude (°Ε), water depth (m), Nutrients concentrations (μM), N:P, P* (μM), particulate organic carbon (POC, μM) and nitrogen
(PON, μ M), N ₂ fixation (nM N h ⁻¹) and carbon (C) uptake (nM C h ⁻¹) at the three locations sampled in the eastern Arabian Sea.

Date and Station	Lat	Long	Depth	NO ₂	NO_3	PO ₄ ³⁻	SiO ₄	N:P	P*	POC	PON	N ₂ fixation	C uptake
10-May-10; NF-a	13.87	74.36	0	0.04	0.37	0.22	0.00	1.66	0.20	73	51	238.07	1628
			5	0.04	0.66	0.13	0.00	4.94	0.10	26	5	5.05	173
			10	0.06	0.76	0.18	0.00	4.25	0.13	21	7	6.68	121
			20	0.02	0.45	0.13	0.19	3.34	0.10	23	5	5.98	78
12-May-10; NF-b	17.12	73.11	0	0.06	0.76	0.53	1.69	1.42	0.49	24	6	6.63	68
			5	0.02	0.97	0.31	1.44	3.11	0.25	23	5	4.88	39
			10	0.00	0.67	0.27	0.63	2.51	0.22	21	4	4.01	50
			20	0.00	0.81	0.22	0.94	3.66	0.17	18	4	4.78	58
14-May-10; NF-c	14.96	73.84	0	0.14	2.42	0.71	0.00	3.40	0.56	44	25	8.70	24
			5	0.12	0.90	0.22	0.00	4.05	0.17	20	4	6.19	22
			10	0.12	0.58	0.09	0.00	6.53	0.05	16	4	5.38	24
			20	0.02	0.91	0.00	0.00	NA	-0.06	15	3	6.15	16

TABLE 2 | Summary of Photic N₂ fixation rates in the world oceans (Table updated after Singh et al., 2013; Benavides and Voss, 2015).

 (Only last row in the original Table 2 should be replaced by the following row).

Methodology	Areal Rates (μmol Ν m ⁻² d ⁻¹)	Region	References
¹⁵ N ₂ tracer-bubble method*	1,140–8,405	Eastern Arabian Sea	This study