

Data-Driven Modeling of Dissolved Iron in the Global Ocean

Yibin Huang¹, Alessandro Tagliabue² and Nicolas Cassar^{1,3*}

¹ Division of Earth and Climate Sciences, Nicholas School of the Environment, Duke University, Durham, United States, ² School of Environmental Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom, ³ CNRS, Université de Brest, IRD, Ifremer, LEMAR, Plouzané, France

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Elodie Claire Martinez, Laboratoire d'Oceanographie Physique et Spatiale (LOPS), France

Reviewed by:

Maxime M. Grand, San Jose State University, United States Lucas Drumetz, IMT Atlantique Bretagne-Pays de la Loire, France Ruifeng Zhang, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China

> *Correspondence: Nicolas Cassar nicolas.cassar@duke.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Marine Biogeochemistry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Marine Science

Received: 16 December 2021 Accepted: 01 April 2022 Published: 19 May 2022

Citation:

Huang Y, Tagliabue A and Cassar N (2022) Data-Driven Modeling of Dissolved Iron in the Global Ocean. Front. Mar. Sci. 9:837183. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2022.837183 The importance of dissolved Fe (dFe) in regulating ocean primary production and the carbon cycle is well established. However, the large-scale distribution and temporal dynamics of dFe remain poorly constrained in part due to incomplete observational coverage. In this study, we use a compilation of published dFe observations (n=32,344) with paired environmental predictors from contemporaneous satellite observations and reanalysis products to build a data-driven surface-to-seafloor dFe climatology with 1°×1° resolution using three machine-learning approaches (random forest, supper vector machine and artificial neural network). Among the three approaches, random forest achieves the highest accuracy with overall R^2 and root mean standard error of 0.8 and 0.3 nmol L⁻¹, respectively. Using this data-driven climatology, we explore the possible mechanisms governing the dFe distribution at various depth horizons using statistical metrics such as Pearson correlation coefficients and the rank of predictors importance in the model construction. Our results are consistent with the critical role of aeolian iron supply in enriching surface dFe in the low latitude regions and suggest a far-reaching impact of this source at depth. Away from the surface layer, the strong correlation between dFe and apparent oxygen utilization implies that a combination of regeneration, scavenging and large-scale ocean circulation are controlling the interior distribution of dFe. with hydrothermal inputs important in some regions. Finally, our data-driven dFe climatology can be used as an alternative reference to evaluate the performance of ocean biogeochemical models. Overall, the new global scale climatology of dFe achieved in our study is an important step toward improved representation of dFe in the contemporary ocean and may also be used to guide future sampling strategies.

Keywords: dissolved iron, monthly climatology, data-driven model, machining learning, controlling mechanism

1 INTRODUCTION

Iron (Fe) is an essential micronutrient with a profound imprint on global ecology and biogeochemistry (Cassar et al., 2007; Boyd and Ellwood, 2010; Tagliabue et al., 2017; Marchetti, 2019). In the modern oxygenated ocean, Fe is relatively insoluble, resulting in dissolved Fe (dFe) often regulating the extent and the dynamics of phytoplankton growth in many oceanic regions. This is particularly the case in "High Nutrient Low Chlorophyll" (HNLC) regions which cover >20% of the global ocean (e.g., equatorial Pacific, Southern Ocean, subarctic Pacific, subpolar North Atlantic, and California Current System) (Martin et al., 1990; Coale et al., 1996; Hutchins et al., 1998; Boyd et al., 2007; Cassar et al., 2007). With this in mind, dFe has been incorporated in Earth system models to project climate across multiple time scales (Watson et al., 2000; Tagliabue et al., 2009; Hain et al., 2010; Lambert et al., 2015; Jaccard et al., 2016). Because substantial uncertainties result from different representations of dFe in these models (Kohfeld and Ridgwell, 2009; Bopp et al., 2013; Tagliabue et al., 2016a), a better understanding of the biogeochemistry of dFe in the ocean is critical.

The exponential growth in dFe measurements in the last three decades, in great part thanks to the GEOTRACES program (www.geotraces.org) (Anderson and Henderson, 2005), has provided an unprecedented insight into the distribution of dFe in the ocean (Johnson et al., 1997; Bergquist and Boyle, 2006; Pollard et al., 2009; Saito et al., 2013; Rijkenberg et al., 2014; Resing et al., 2015; Twining et al., 2015). Such observations provide a unique opportunity to evaluate biogeochemical models. For example, Tagliabue et al. (2016a) compiled the dFe distributions from 13 global ocean biogeochemistry models and conducted a comparison against recent oceanic sections from the GEOTRACES program. This exercise highlighted that models struggle to reproduce the observed spatial pattern, because of poor skills in representing intricate dFe cycling processes such as input fluxes, biological consumption, and complex chemical processes (i.e., scavenging and production of iron-binding ligands). However, the limited number of observations in some regions and at some times of the year (e.g., harsh winter in the Southern Ocean) makes it challenging to evaluate model performance. One way to circumvent the sparsity of observations is to upscale field observations using data-driven statistical models.

Machine learning (ML) methods are rapidly gaining interest across the geosciences for their adaptability and ability to capture complex relationships without prior knowledge of underpinning mechanisms (Li et al., 2016; Mattei et al., 2018; Rafter et al., 2019; Tang et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2020; Huang et al., 2021). In addition to being used to evaluate biogeochemical models, they can also be used to guide sampling strategies, and infer mechanisms through statistical inferences (Li and Cassar, 2016; Roshan and DeVries, 2017; Mattei et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2020; Huang et al., 2021).

In this study, we construct a global data-driven climatology of dFe by upscaling a global dataset of dFe using ML algorithms with satellite observations and reanalysis products as predictors. We compare the trade-offs among three ML techniques and explore possible mechanisms governing the distribution from the statistically inferred dFe distribution. Finally, we evaluate the distribution of dFe in Earth system models using our data-driven dFe products as a reference.

2 DATA SOURCES AND METHODS

2.1 Data Sources

2.1.1 Global Observational dFe Dataset

To build our data-driven model of oceanic dFe, we merged the dataset in Tagliabue et al. (2012) and a recently released dataset from the GEOTRACES program (IDP2021, GEOTRACES Intermediate Data Product Group, 2021, doi:10.5285/cf2d9ba9d51d-3b7c-e053-8486abc0f5fd). A list of references for the original observations is available in the aforementioned compilation efforts. The newly added data in the updated Tagliabue et al. (2012) dataset include the samples from the following studies: Fitzsimmons et al. (2013); Ussher et al. (2013); Fitzsimmons et al. (2014); Marsay et al. (2014); Grand et al. (2015b); Gerringa et al. (2015b); Fitzsimmons Jessica et al. (2014); Fitzsimmons et al. (2015); Grand et al. (2015a). The GEOTRACES database includes quality control flags. In our study, only data with "flag=1" (equivalent to good data) was used. In total, we obtained more than 32,000 dFe concentration measurements spanning from 1978 to 2014 with a sampling depth ranging from 0 to 7200 m (Figure 1). A more detailed description of the observational dataset is provided in Section 3.1.

2.1.2 Environmental Predictors

A suite of environmental predictors was selected, including basic sampling information (i.e., locations, sampling depth and time), physicochemical and biological parameters from contemporaneous satellite observations, reanalysis products and other model outputs. The data sources and resolution of these environmental predictors are listed in **Table 1**. The environmental parameters were matched with the dFe measurements according to the sampling day and location.

In light of our limited understanding of the intricate interplay of factors influencing dFe cycling, we intentionally include a large number of predictors and allow the statistical models to identify the most important ones. Properties such as temperature, salinity, macronutrients, dissolved oxygen concentration and composite biogeochemical tracers, such as apparent oxygen utilization (AOU), N*, and Si* (see below), link to the underlying processes that affect the distribution of dFe in the ocean (e.g., via biology and chemistry such as precipitation and scavenging by particles, complexation with organic ligands and redox transformations, large-scale ocean circulation) (Tagliabue et al., 2014c; Resing et al., 2015). AOU integrates information about water ventilation, remineralization, water age and ocean circulation (Ito et al., 2004). $N^* (= [NO_3^- - PO_4^{3-} + 2.90] \times 0.87)$ represents the distribution of denitrification and N2 fixation in the global ocean (Gruber and Sarmiento, 1997). The process of N2 fixation may be linked to dFe distribution because of its high demand for Fe (Kustka



Predictors	Product/Reference	nce Resolution	
Longitude (°E) Latitude (°N) Month Depth (m)	Sampling information		
Temperature (°C) Salinity	World Ocean Atlas 2018	1°, depth profile, monthly climatology	
Density (kg m ⁻³)			
NO_3^- (µmol kg ⁻¹)			
SiO_4^{2-} (µmol kg ⁻¹)			
PO_4^{3-} (µmol kg ⁻¹)			
Dissolved oxygen (µmol kg ⁻¹)			
AOU (μmol kg ⁻¹)			
Si* (µmol kg⁻¹)	Sarmiento et al. (2004)		
N* (μ mol kg ⁻¹)	Gruber and Sarmiento, 1997		
Wind speed (m s ⁻¹) Mixed layer depth (m)	NCEP reanalysis_II Hycom	2°, surface data, daily scale 2°, monthly climatology	
Particulate organic carbon (mg C m ⁻³) Chlorophyll-a (mg m ⁻³)	Ocean Color	0.083°, surface, 8-day average	
Rrs (λ)			
Aerosol optical depth			
Net primary production_VGPM (mg C m ⁻² d ⁻¹) Helium (‰)	Ocean productivity Behrenfeld and Falkowski (1997) Bianchi et al. (2010)	0.083°, depth integration, 8-day average 1°, depth profile, annual mean	
Distance to the bottom depth (m) Distance to the coast (m)	lfremer	0.025°	

AOU, apparent oxygen utilization; Rrs (λ), remote sensing reflectance above the water surface at the spectral bands of 412, 442, 488, 555 and 667 nm. N^{*} (= [NO₃⁻ - PO₄³⁻ + 2.90]*0.87) (Gruber and Sarmiento, 1997); Si^{*} = SiO₄²⁻ - NO₃⁻ (Sarmiento et al., 2004).

et al., 2002; Dutkiewicz et al., 2012). Si*, defined as Si* = SiO₄²⁻ – NO₃⁻, traces the transport of the iron-limited subantarctic mode waters to the subantarctic front and impacts surface plankton communities (Sarmiento et al., 2004).

Wind speed and mixed layer depth provide some insight into the role of surface forcing and the strength of vertical mixing in driving dFe patterns (Tagliabue et al., 2014b). Because dFe is a micronutrient, biological properties partly reflect their dFe status. Therefore, we included a wide spectrum of remotely-sensed biological metrics such as chlorophyll-a, particulate organic carbon (a proxy of biomass), and net primary production (Behrenfeld and Falkowski, 1997). Optical properties (Rrs) were also included because they provide some information about plankton community characteristics (Li et al., 2013). Given the importance of Fe sources from aeolian (Conway and John, 2014; Cassar et al., 2007; Hamilton et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2021), hydrothermal (Tagliabue et al., 2010; Resing et al., 2015; Roshan et al., 2020), coastal, riverine and benthic inputs in some regions (Elrod et al., 2004; Lam and Bishop, 2008), we also incorporated satellite estimates of the aerosol optical depth, model-simulated helium concentration (Bianchi et al., 2010), and distance to the coast and from the seafloor.

2.2 Data-Driven Model Construction and Validation

2.2.1 Data Processing

The overall workflow for the data processing, model construction and statistical analyses is illustrated in **Figure 2**. To avoid spatial autocorrelation and the overfitting induced by using near-neighbor values, measured dFe data and paired environmental parameters were gridded onto $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ horizontal grid with 31 vertical levels following the PISCES model [Aumont et al. (2015)]: 5, 15, 25, 35, 45, 55, 65, 75, 85, 95, 106, 117, 129, 142, 159, 181, 216, 271, 362, 508, 730, 1030, 1404, 1830, 2289, 2768, 3257, 3752, 4250, 4750, 5250 m. The sampling coordinates (longitude and latitude) and months were converted to periodic functions using sine and cosinece data (Eq. 1-Eq. 2) (Gade, 2010):

Time =
$$\left[\cos\left(\operatorname{month} \frac{2\pi}{12}\right), \sin\left(\operatorname{month} \frac{2\pi}{12}\right)\right]$$
 Eq. 2

All the data were log-transformed to ensure a normal distribution.



2.2.2 Model Construction and Validation

The processed dataset was randomly divided into training (70 %) and validation datasets (30 %). For training, we used the ML techniques of random forest (RF), super vector machining (SVM), and artificial neural network (ANN). While the three techniques differ in how they find the optimal predictand solution from predictors, their general objective is to minimize the error between the observed and predicted dFe.

Briefly, RF is an ensemble algorithm composed of multiple individual decision trees which are constructed by a bootstrap sampling of the training dataset (Liaw and Wiener, 2002). Each individual tree splits out a class prediction and the class with the most votes become the model's prediction. RF was implemented using the function 'randomForest' in the R package 'randomForest'. The number of decision trees and minimum leaf size in our study were set to 300 and 20, respectively. SVM is a supervised learning model that searches for the hyperplane from the observations used for the classification or regression (Noble, 2006). SVM was performed using the function 'svm' in the R package 'e1071'. The Kernel used in training and predicting is set to radial basis, with epsilon, gamma and the cost of constraints violation set to 0.2, 0.1, and 3, respectively. ANN is a nonlinear system using hyperbolic tangent as its activation function between the input and hidden layer (Wu and Feng, 2018). To reduce the dynamic range (Rafter et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020), the dataset used to train the ANN was further standardized to their z-scores using Gaussian kernel (Z = (C – \overline{C})/ σ where C, \overline{C} and σ represent the predictor's individual concentration, mean concentration and standard deviation, respectively). ANN was trained using the R package 'keras'. The architecture of our ANN consists of one input layer with node numbers equivalent to the number of environmental predictors (n=26), two hidden layers with nodes of 50 for both, and an output layer with a single node corresponding to the predicted dFe (n=1). The epoch, activation function and learning rate were set to 300, 'rlu", and 0.001, respectively. The optimizer of "Adam" was adopted to fit the model. In order to assess the added-value of using ML to model the complex distribution of dFe in the ocean, we compared the accuracy of our predictions to the more traditional statistical approach of multiple linear regression (MLR). The MLR was implemented using the function 'lm' in the R package 'stats'.

The model performance was evaluated using the regression coefficients (R^2) and root mean standard errors (RMSE) between predicted dFe and observed dFe from the validation dataset. To further assess the robustness of our predictions and dependence on the training dataset, we used a bootstrap approach to repeatedly reconstruct the training dataset and then calculated the coefficient of variation of the predicted dFe from 1000 iterations (c.v.= standard deviation/mean × 100 %). An attempt to build a data-driven algorithms for specific depth ranges (0-200 m, 200-1000 m, 1000-2500 m and >2500 m) did not improve the model accuracy compared to ML trained on the entire dataset (**Figure S1**). Finally, we used the RF algorithm (given its better performance, see section 3.2) to map the global climatology of dFe with a 1°×1° resolution at 31 vertical intervals as aforementioned.

2.3 Insight Into Mechanisms Governing dFe

We adopted two approaches to identify factors potentially influencing the spatial and temporal variability of dFe. First, we calculated the Pearson correlation coefficient between the field collected dFe samples and environmental predictors. Second, we sought the most important factors in predicting dFe concentrations by extracting the predictors that persistently ranked in the top three in relative importance during the repeated reconstruction of the training dataset used in the RF algorithm development. We applied these two statistical inferences in the subsets of the multiple depth intervals. We also derived the ferricline depth by extrapolating our data-driven predictions to profiles with 1m resolution. The ferricline is defined as the depth with the steepest vertical gradient in dFe Tagliabue et al. (2014b).

2.4 Comparison With dFe From Process-Based Models

We used our global dFe climatology as a reference to compare the performance of 13 ocean biogeochemistry models (OBM) compiled by Tagliabue et al. (2016a). Some of these OBMs have been used to specifically study global patterns of dFe cycling, and others have more broadly been used to simulate coupled climate-carbon systems as part of the recent Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) report for studies. The OBM features and settings are summarized in **Table 1** of Tagliabue et al. (2016a). For our comparisons, the dFe simulations from the OBM were temporally and spatially matched to our global Fe projections. While we use data-driven climatology as a reference in this study, we note that statistical models, just like process-driven models, have drawbacks and carry uncertainties, as discussed in section 3.5.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Global Distribution of Observational dFe Dataset

The global compilation dataset consists of over 32,000 measured dFe at the discrete depth intervals, covering the main ocean domains, and longitude and latitude bands (**Figure 1**). The Pacific and the Atlantic Ocean encompass the most dFe measurements among the basins, partly due to their large area (**Figure 1B**). The number of dFe measurements increase from around 1995 (**Figure 1E**) and with the start of the GEOTRACES program in 2010 (Anderson and Henderson, 2005). 70 % of samples were collected in the upper 500 m (**Figure 1F**). Compared to the relatively homogenous distribution of sampling months in the northern hemisphere, the striking feature in the southern hemisphere is the scarce number of measurements in the austral winter (**Figures 1G, H**).

3.2 Model Performance

The algorithms trained by ML approach outperform conventional linear regressions as reflected by the R^2 and RMSE (~0.8 vs. 0.5 and ~0.5 vs. 0.3 nmol L⁻¹, respectively, **Figure 3**). RF tends to



overestimate in the lower range and underestimate in the higher range of dFe concentrations (**Figure 3B**). The SVM and ANN appear to do a better job in predicting endmembers, results in more scatter than the RF algorithm relative to observations (**Figures 3C-E**). All ML-based projections display larger errors at shallower depths and none of the models show stronger predictive skills at specific depth intervals (**Figure 3F**). The c.v. of the projected dFe from the data-driven model obtained from 1000 repeated reconstructions of the training dataset using a bootstrap approach (**Figure 4**) suggests that the RF algorithm was less sensitive to the



selection of the training dataset (generally less than 20 %). The higher c.v. of SVM and ANN suggests that they may not be as stable (**Figure 4**). Hereafter, we focus our analyses on the global dFe climatology obtained using the RF algorithm given its greater stability with respect to the training dataset and the capability to rank the features importance.

3.3 Global Climatology of Projected dFe and Potential Underlying Mechanism

The new global scale estimates of dFe achieved from our data-driven model can be used to advance our understanding of the regional magnitude and temporal dynamics of dFe in the contemporary ocean. Furthermore, the global patterns of dFe climatology and predictors provide an opportunity to assess the underlying mechanisms potentially governing the global dFe distribution at various depth horizons.

3.3.1 Surface Layer

dFe is a limiting nutrient in many regions of the surface ocean (Martin, 1990; Tagliabue et al., 2017). As expected, our data-driven model projects a prevalence of low surface dFe concentrations in many regions of the world ocean (typically less than 0.3 nmol L^{-1} , **Figures 5A–C**). Elevated surface dFe concentrations are found in the low latitude regions of the Arabian Sea, tropical Atlantic and continental margins, consistent with prior studies (Johnson et al., 1997; Rijkenberg et al., 2014).

In the upper ocean, our analysis suggests that remotely-sensed aerosol optical depth is the best predictor of dFe (r=0.37, p<0.05, **Table 2**), with elevated surface dFe coinciding with high aerosol optical depth (**Figures 5A–C** and **6A**, **B**). The pattern also holds for the seasonal cycle of both properties with surface dFe varying seasonally by a factor of two or more. The relation of surface dFe and aerosol optical depth supports the important role of aeolian dust in regulating the spatial and temporal variability in dFe concentrations in the tropical ocean (Johnson et al., 1997; Hamilton et al., 2020; Conway and John, 2014), with the caveat that remotely sensed AOD does not necessarily directly translate into aerosol deposition.

The correlation of dissolved oxygen concentration with surface dFe is as high as for aerosol optical depth but negative (r=-0.33, p<0.05, Table 2). This negative correlation likely is not causal, and more likely results from low dFe generally being observed in the high latitudes, where O₂ solubility is high because of the cold water temperatures (Garcia and Gordon, 1992). In addition, satelliteretrieved surface ocean reflectance [i.e., Rrs(448/412)] is identified as an important feature in RF model construction (r=-0.32, p<0.05, Table 2). Water reflectance is impacted by intrinsic water properties, community structure, dissolved organic matter and detritus (Li et al., 2013; Dutkiewicz et al., 2019). The elevated surface dFe in the ultra-oligotrophic South Pacific Gyre align with the higher Rrs(412) signal (Figures 6C, D). It is unclear if the elevated dFe reflects accumulation of surface dFe due to the unique ecological characteristics of the region [weak biological activity under ultra-oligotrophic conditions, Yang et al. (2019); Quay et al. (2020)], or alternatively if it can be attributed to the misrepresentation of dFe in our data-driven model due to the limited number of observations in this region.

Another feature in the surface projection worth pointing out is that our model exhibited a higher surface dFe during summertime as opposed to winter in the Southern Ocean [as noted in prior compilations, Tagliabue et al. (2012)], which appears to contrast with a common axiom that active biological drawdown of dFe in the growing season (austral summer) and subsequent enrichment of dFe *via* the winter mixing. Combined with some high summer dFe (probably collected from regions with higher iron due to the island mass effect, e.g., Kerguelen and Crozet plateau), the uneven distribution of the training data is likely responsible for distorting the seasonal pattern of dFe in the Southern Ocean.

3.3.2 Subsurface and Intermediate Layers

In the subsurface layer (here shown as 271 m in Figure 5D), high dFe are observed in the tropics and subpolar Pacific. At this depth horizon, AOU emerges as the top predictor of dFe distribution as demonstrated by the Pearson correlation coefficient to individual field dFe observations (r=0.62, p<0.05, Table 2) and the spatial patterns (Figures 5D and 7A). The relationship between deep dFe and AOU has been documented in previous studies (Martin and Michael Gordon, 1988; Tagliabue et al., 2010; Tagliabue et al., 2019). AOU reflects local remineralization and spatiotemporally accumulated oxygen consumption due to the ocean circulation, with the latter tending to be predominant in the deeper layer (Ito et al., 2004). The correlation between dFe and AOU is known to be confounded by the removal of remineralized dFe via scavenging as reflected by observed Fe:O ratios diverging from those expected from sinking particulate material (Tagliabue et al., 2019). The generally elevated subsurface dFe in equatorial and high latitude regions is a result of mesopelagic respiration fueled by upper layer organic matter export in these productive regions. In addition, the upwelling in the equatorial Pacific (Wyrtki, 1981) can bring deep dFe to the subsurface depth. The highest subsurface AOU and dFe are found in the Arabian Sea and southeast tropical Pacific (Figures 5D and 7A), which are well-known oxygen minimum zones (OMZs). The mesopelagic respiration occurring at OMZs (Tiano et al., 2014), potentially coupled with reduced scavenging and oxidation of dFe under low oxygen condition (Tagliabue et al., 2019), may thus drive the high dFe in these regions.

In addition to AOU, the aerosol optical depth also shows a high correlation with the observed subsurface dFe (r=0.54, p<0.05, Table 2). From vertical distributions in the Pacific and Atlantic (Figures 5G, H), and mean profiles (Figure 8), we observe high dFe penetrating deeper in the water column (up to 2000-3000 m) in these dust-impacted regions. Studies have shown that Fe-rich dust can impact the entire column (Bruland et al., 1994; Johnson et al., 1997) via a range of processes such as dissolution of aeolian iron, enhanced mesopelagic remineralization through an increase in particle flux from the surface ocean thereby altering the deep organic complexing-ligands (Wozniak et al., 2015) or not being consumed by phytoplankton in the upper layer due to other nutrients being limiting (Bruland et al., 1994; Wu and Luther, 1994). In the subtropical gyres of the North Atlantic, higher dFe concentrations at the subsurface than in overlaying waters is perhaps driven by equatorward subduction and transport of excess dFe and organic Fe ligands from the more northern latitudes (Tagliabue et al., 2019).



TABLE 2 | Most important environmental predictors based on Pearson correlation and importance in the construction of the random forest (RF) algorithm (only top three are shown; the comprehensive results for all the predictors are provided in Table S1 and Figure S2).

Depth	Order	Pearson correlation	Importance in RF
Surface (0-140 m)	First	Aerosol optical depth (0.37)	Rrs(488/412)
n=7434	Second	O ₂ (-0.33)	Aerosol Optical depth
	Third	Rrs(488) (-0.32)	O ₂
Subsurface (260-340 m)	First	AOU (0.62)	AOU
(n=863)	Second	O ₂ (-0.61)	O ₂
	Third	Aerosol Optical depth (0.54)	NA
Intermediate depth (700-1200 m)	First	AOU (0.50)	AOU
(n=754)	Second	O ₂ (-0.47)	O ₂
	Third	Aerosol Optical depth (0.44)	NA
Deep depth (3200-3500 m) (n=366)	First	Month (-0.40)	Helium
	Second	Helium (0.30)	NA
	Third	Longitude/Latitude (0.28)	NA

The numbers in brackets in the first and third columns denote the number of samples and Pearson correlation coefficient between the environmental predictors and dissolved Fe, respectively. NA denotes results that are not robust and change with the repeated reconstruction of the training dataset (see method 2.3).

The distribution of dFe in the subsurface also provides a qualitative insight into the potential for vertical entrainment. We compare the depth difference between annual deepest mixed layer and ferricline, which provide information about the potential of vertical dFe supply from the subsurface to the surface *via* the seasonal entrainment. We find that the deepest winter mixed layer depths rarely extend to the ferricline in the tropical Pacific and subpolar Pacific (**Figures 6F–H**). In contrast, the deep mixed layers in the subpolar Atlantic and some regions of Southern Ocean

(e.g., subantarctic zone) extend to the ferricline (**Figure 6**). However, we note that entrainment supply of dFe is not only controlled by the ferricline depth. Entrainment input of dFe is determined by the volume of water being entrained during winter and detrainmented in the subsequent spring, together with the concentration difference of dFe between the mixed layer and underlying waters. These processes vary regionally (Rigby et al., 2020). For example, the persistently-low subsurface dFe in the subpolar Atlantic and subantarctic zone (**Figure 5D**) may



FIGURE 6 | Global distributions of remotely-sensed aerosol optical depth (A, B), surface optical reflectance, Rrs(412) (C, D), seasonal variability of dissolved Fe represented as the percentage of the annual variance relative to the annual mean, (E) ferricline depth, (F), annual deepest mixed layer (MLD_{max}) (G) and the difference in ferricline and MLD_{max} (H).



limit the total amount of dFe that can be pumped *via* winter mixing even when mixing reaches the ferricline. In principle, the amount of dFe supply *via* vertical entrainment can be quantified by a combination of our modeled monthly dFe profile and seasonally

varying mixed layer depth. Yet, we are cautious about overinterpretation of the present results because the global map of the ferricline depth obtained from our data-driven model is coarse, especially when it is diagnosed to be deeper than 200 m due to



coarse resolution of vertical grids below 200 m in our projection (10 m spacing in the upper 200 m and 20-300m spacing between 200 m and 1000 m).

In the intermediate layers (here shown as 730 m in **Figure 5E**), AOU is still the top predictor of the dFe variability (**Table 2**, r=0.50, p<0.05). dFe generally increases equatorward in the southern hemisphere (**Figure 5E**). Water masses at these depths are generally composed of mode waters originating from the subantarctic (Herraiz-Borreguero and Rintoul, 2011). The increasing dFe likely reflects an accumulated remineralization signal along the Subantarctic Mode Water and Antarctic Intermediate Water pathways, reinforced by similar trends in AOU (**Table 2** and **Figure 7C**) (Tagliabue et al., 2019).

3.3.3 Deep Layer

The variability of dFe at depth is relatively small, ranging from 0.4-0.7 nmol L^{-1} (Figures 5E, 8). This has been hypothesized to result from relatively uniform concentrations of organic ironcomplexing ligands in the ocean interior that act as a buffer on dFe concentrations (Gledhill and van den Berg, 1994; Johnson et al., 1997), although recent studies reveal more spatial heterogeneity than previously thought (Tagliabue et al., 2014c; Buck et al., 2015; Gerringa et al., 2015a). Despite the small variability, the deep layer still displays some distinct features, with the highest dFe in the subpolar and equatorial Pacific and the lowest dFe in the Southern Ocean. As the end of the overturning circulation, the subpolar Pacific preserves the footprint of dFe signals accumulated alongside the circulation. The Southern Ocean receives the lowest input of aeolian and sedimentary dFe (Hamilton et al., 2020). Moreover, due to the high levels of major nutrients, dFe is often strongly depleted by the end of the upper ocean growing season. Deep water masses, like Antarctic Bottom Water, form in the region and transport these low dFe values to the ocean interior. These younger water masses have had little chance to accumulate additional dFe from regeneration and hence deep water dFe values are the lowest in the global ocean. The lowest dFe observed in the Southern Ocean is aligned with the lowest $\delta^{15}N$ found in the abyssal Southern Ocean among global ocean basin, suggesting a correlation to remineralization (Rafter et al., 2019). Such a pattern is also confirmed by the relatively low amount of sinking particles exported to depth in the Southern Ocean as estimated from sediment trap observations and satellite-based models (Elrod et al., 2004; Lam et al., 2008).

In line with a number of recent studies showing the importance of hydrothermal dFe sources along mid-ocean ridges (Tagliabue et al., 2010; Tagliabue et al., 2014a; Resing et al., 2015; Roshan et al., 2020), helium concentration, a tracer of hydrothermal activity, ranked as one of the important predictors for deep dFe distribution (r=0.30, p<0.05, Table 2). However, no consistent pattern was observed between the global distribution of helium and projected dFe at depth (Figures 5F and 7D). This is probably due to the different water column chemistry that decouples Fe from helium (Tagliabue and Resing, 2016b), as well as the impact of other local iron sources, such as sedimentary input (Elrod et al., 2004; Lam et al., 2008). Additionally, some patches of dFe emerge in the Northern Atlantic and South Pacific Gyre (Figure 5F). These patches are in regions with high bootstrap c.v. (Figure 4), implying that the dFe predictions in these regions are sensitive to the selection of the training dataset and therefore may not be robust.

3.4 Comparison With Process Model Simulations

We assess the OBM performance using our reference climatology from our data-driven model, building upon the previous effort of Tagliabue et al. (2016a) who used discrete observations. In the upper 200 m, while the correlation of dFe between the thirteen processbased models versus the data-driven model converge to 0.4, the RMSE vary widely from 0.15 to 0.5 nmol L⁻¹ (**Figure 9A**). This implies consistency in relative variability in dFe distribution at the surface but some differences in the absolute magnitude of dFe. Tagliabue et al. (2016a) found that most OBM reproduced the predicted enhancement in surface dFe in the tropical Atlantic, Arabian Sea and continental margins, but that some models' representation of surface sources and biological uptake resulted in varying surface dFe concentrations. At depth, the OBMs skills were



more variable, with Pearson correlation coefficients ranging from -0.4 to 0.6 (**Figures 9B–D**), depending on whether the models include or properly represent important Fe cycling processes occurring in the deep ocean, including organic blinding ligand, hydrothermal vents and scavenging (Gledhill and Buck, 2012; Tagliabue et al., 2016a; Tagliabue et al., 2010). Even OBM models with high Pearson correlation coefficients (up to 0.6) tend to underrepresent the degree of spatial variability in dFe concentration at depth (**Figure 9D**).

3.5 Caveats and Limitations

Our study carries limitations and caveats that need to be considered. First, biases may be present in the dFe dataset due to differences in sampling protocols and analytical techniques (Achterberg et al., 2001; Johnson et al., 2007), particularly for data collected before the implementation of the GEOTRACES program. For example, the filter pore size used as a cut-off for the dissolved phase differs between some historical studies, ranging from 0.2-0.45 *u*m (Tagliabue et al., 2012).

Second, despite substantial progress in observations, the coverage of our training observational dataset remains uneven. For example, the winter season in the Southern hemisphere remains undersampled (Figure 1H). Extrapolation of projections from the data-driven model to under-represented regions or periods may be of acceptable quality if the algorithm captures the full range of relationships between predictors and predictants. However, because the factors regulating dFe differ among ocean regimes and timescales (Tagliabue et al., 2014a), our ML may not capture the full range of predictor-predictant relationships, and may as importantly not include some important predictors (e.g., properties important to dFe distribution not observable from space). The low c.v. obtained from repeated reconstructions of the training dataset using the bootstrap approach (Figure 4A) suggests that our results are relatively insensitive to the dataset selected used for training the algorithms, and that the subset of the entire data covers variability in the functional relationships between predictors and predictant dFe. However, the performance of our data-driven model is expected to improve as the number of observations grows.

Third, the environmental properties used as predictors also carry uncertainties. Because concurrent field measurements of environmental parameters are limited, we were forced to rely on reanalysis products (i.e., World Ocean Dataset 2018 or NCEP reanalysis-II), satellite observations and other model outputs. Some of these estimates carry substantial intrinsic uncertainties and errors associated with temporal and spatial mismatch to paired dFe observations. The ML approach may provide accurate projections if uncertainties in the predictors are systematic biases that can be captured by the algorithm. However, such biases would misrepresent the true relation between environmental factors and dFe and the mechanisms governing dFe cycling. Finally, as with more traditional statistical approaches, because the information provided by such analyses is inferential, conclusions about potential causation should be interpreted with caution and require field campaigns to further look into these patterns and underlying mechanisms. For example, our model yielded a unique vertical structure of dFe in the data-poor tropical eastern Pacific, with low surface dFe, but elevated dFe a few hundred meters beneath the surface. The relation between dFe and remotely sensed reflectance in the utra-oligotrophic South Pacific Gyre is another intriguing result that merits further exploration regarding the potential underlying mechanisms.

4 CONCLUSION

By leveraging a compilation of published data and a novel ML approach, we derived a global dFe climatology and explored possible mechanisms governing the dFe distribution using statistical inferences. Our study emphasizes the importance of dust input in enriching the dFe inventory at low latitudes. The

correlation between AOU and dFe highlights the role of net regeneration and large-scale ocean circulation pathways as key mediators of the global dFe distribution at depth. Finally, we present a general assessment of existing OBMs using our datadriven model as reference. The uneven geographic distribution of observational dataset and uncertainty in environmental predictors remain important caveats for data-driven climatological maps. Further expansion in dFe observations together with parallel measurements of comprehensive environmental parameters, as conducted in the ongoing GEOTRACES program, will improve model simulations. While our approach carries uncertainties, our next data-based climatology may provide an independent mean to assess, evaluate, and ultimately improve the new generation of ocean iron models.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The products of the monthly climatological dissolved Fe generated in this study are available on the public data library Zenodo (https:// zenodo.org/records/6994318, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.6994318).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

NC and AT conceived and designed the project. AT compiled the dataset. YH and NC constructed the models, did the data analysis, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript, and all co-authors contributed the input to the final version. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

REFERENCES

- Achterberg, E. P., Holland, T. W., Bowie, A. R., Mantoura, R. F. C., and Worsfold, P. J. (2001). Determination of Iron in Seawater. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 442, 1–14. doi: 10.1016/S0003-2670(01)01091-1
- Anderson, R., and Henderson, G. (2005). Program Update | GEOTRACES—A Global Study of the Marine Biogeochemical Cycles of Trace Elements and Their Isotopes. Oceanography 18, 76–79. doi: 10.5670/oceanog.2005.31
- Aumont, O., Ethé, C., Tagliabue, A., Bopp, L., and Gehlen, M. (2015). PISCES-V2: An Ocean Biogeochemical Model for Carbon and Ecosystem Studies. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 8, 2465–2513. doi: 10.5194/gmd-8-2465-2015
- Behrenfeld, M. J., and Falkowski, P. G. (1997). Photosynthetic Rates Derived From Satellite-Based Chlorophyll Concentration. *Limnol. Oceanog.* 42, 1–20. doi: 10.4319/lo.1997.42.1.0001
- Bergquist, B. A., and Boyle, E. A. (2006). Dissolved Iron in the Tropical and Subtropical Atlantic Ocean. *Global Biogeochem. Cycle.* 20, GB1015. doi: 10.1029/2005gb002505
- Bianchi, D., Sarmiento, J. L., Gnanadesikan, A., Key, R. M., Schlosser, P., and Newton, R. (2010). Low Helium Flux From the Mantle Inferred From Simulations of Oceanic Helium Isotope Data. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 297, 379–386. doi: 10.1016/j.epsl.2010.06.037
- Bopp, L., Resplandy, L., Orr, J. C., Doney, S. C., Dunne, J. P., Gehlen, M., et al. (2013). Multiple Stressors of Ocean Ecosystems in the 21st Century: Projections With CMIP5 Models. *Biogeosciences* 10, 6225–6245. doi: 10.5194/bg-10-6225-2013
- Boyd, P. W., and Ellwood, M. J. (2010). The Biogeochemical Cycle of Iron in the Ocean. Nat. Geosci. 3, 675–682. doi: 10.1038/ngeo964
- Boyd, P. W., Jickells, T., Law, C. S., Blain, S., Boyle, E. A., Buesseler, K. O., et al. (2007). Mesoscale Iron Enrichment Experiments 1993-2005: Synthesis and Future Directions. *Science* 315, 612–617. doi: 10.1126/science.1131669

FUNDING

NC was supported by the "Laboratoire d'Excellence" LabexMER (ANR-10-LABX-19) and co-funded by a grant from the French government under the program "Investissements d'Avenir". AT has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement no. 724289). YH was supported by Chinese State Scholarship Fund to study at Duke University as a joint Ph. D student (No. 201806310052). The work of WG 151 presented in this article results, in part, from funding provided by national committees of the Scientific Committee on Oceanic Research (SCOR) and from a grant to SCOR from the U.S. National Science Foundation (OCE-1840868).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to all the prior efforts in collecting, measuring and compiling dissolved iron in the global ocean and making these data publicly available, which forms the key basis for the construction of our data-driven model.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmars.2022.837183/ full#supplementary-material

- Bruland, K. W., Orians, K. J., and Cowen, J. P. (1994). Reactive Trace Metals in the Stratified Central North Pacific. *Geochi. Cosmochim. Acta* 58, 3171–3182. doi: 10.1016/0016-7037(94)90044-2
- Buck, K. N., Sohst, B., and Sedwick, P. N. (2015). The Organic Complexation of Dissolved Iron Along the U.S. GEOTRACES (GA03) North Atlantic Section. *Deep. Sea. Res. Part II. Top. Stud. Oceanog.* 116, 152–165. doi: 10.1016/ j.dsr2.2014.11.016
- Cassar, N., Bender, M. L., Barnett, B. A., Fan, S., Moxim, W. J., Levy, H., et al. (2007). The Southern Ocean Biological Response to Aeolian Iron Deposition. *Science.* 317, 1067–1070. doi: 10.1126/science.1144602
- Chen, B., Liu, H., Xiao, W., Wang, L., and Huang, B. (2020). A Machine-Learning Approach to Modeling Picophytoplankton Abundances in the South China Sea. *Prog. Oceanog.* 189, 102456. doi: 10.1016/j.pocean.2020.102456
- Coale, K. H., Johnson, K. S., Fitzwater, S. E., Gordon, R. M., Tanner, S., Chavez, F. P., et al. (1996). A Massive Phytoplankton Bloom Induced by an Ecosystem-Scale Iron Fertilization Experiment in the Equatorial Pacific Ocean. *Nature* 383, 495–501. doi: 10.1038/383495a0
- Conway, T. M., and John, S. G. (2014). Quantification of Dissolved Iron Sources to the North Atlantic Ocean. *Nature* 511, 212–215. doi: 10.1038/ nature13482
- Dutkiewicz, S., Hickman, A. E., Jahn, O., Henson, S., Beaulieu, C., and Monier, E. (2019). Ocean Colour Signature of Climate Change. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 578. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-08457-x
- Dutkiewicz, S., Ward, B., Monteiro, F., and Follows, M. (2012). Interconnection of Nitrogen Fixers and Iron in the Pacific Ocean: Theory and Numerical Simulations. *Global Biogeochem. Cycle.* 26. doi: 10.1029/2011GB004039
- Elrod, V. A., Berelson, W. M., Coale, K. H., and Johnson, K. S. (2004). The Flux of Iron From Continental Shelf Sediments: A Missing Source for Global Budgets. *Geophys. Res. Letter.* 31, n/a–n/a. doi: 10.1029/2004gl020216

- Fitzsimmons, J. N., and Boyle, E. A. (2014). Both Soluble and Colloidal Iron Phases Control Dissolved Iron Variability in the Tropical North Atlantic Ocean. *Geochi Cosmochim. Acta* 125, 539–550. doi: 10.1016/j.gca.2013.10.032
- Fitzsimmons, J. N., Hayes, C. T., Al-Subiai, S. N., Zhang, R., Morton, P. L., Weisend, R. E., et al. (2015). Daily to Decadal Variability of Size-Fractionated Iron and Iron-Binding Ligands at the Hawaii Ocean Time-Series Station ALOHA. *Geochi Cosmochim. Acta* 171, 303–324. doi: 10.1016/j.gca.2015. 08.012
- Fitzsimmons Jessica, N., Boyle Edward, A., and Jenkins William, J. (2014). Distal Transport of Dissolved Hydrothermal Iron in the Deep South Pacific Ocean. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 111, 16654–16661. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1418778111
- Fitzsimmons, J. N., Zhang, R., and Boyle, E. A. (2013). Dissolved Iron in the Tropical North Atlantic Ocean. *Mar. Chem.* 154, 87–99. doi: 10.1016/ j.marchem.2013.05.009
- Gade, K. (2010). A non-Singular Horizontal Position Representation. J. Navigat. 63, 395–417. doi: 10.1017/S0373463309990415
- Garcia, H. E., and Gordon, L. I. (1992). Oxygen Solubility in Seawater-Better Fitting Equations. *Limnol. Oceanog.* 37, 1307–1312. doi: 10.4319/lo.1992. 37.6.1307
- Gerringa, L. J. A., Laan, P., van Dijken, G. L., van Haren, H., De Baar, H. J. W., Arrigo, K. R., et al. (2015b). Sources of Iron in the Ross Sea Polynya in Early Summer. *Mar. Chem.* 177, 447–459. doi: 10.1016/j.marchem.2015.06.002
- Gerringa, L. J., Rijkenberg, M. J., Schoemann, V., Laan, P., and De Baar, H. J. (2015a). Organic Complexation of Iron in the West Atlantic Ocean. *Mar. Chem.* 177, 434–446. doi: 10.1016/j.marchem.2015.04.007
- Gledhill, M., and Buck, K. (2012). The Organic Complexation of Iron in the Marine Environment: A Review. *Front. Microbiol.* 3. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2012. 00069
- Gledhill, M., and van den Berg, C. M. G. (1994). Determination of Complexation of Iron(III) With Natural Organic Complexing Ligands in Seawater Using Cathodic Stripping Voltammetry. *Mar. Chem.* 47, 41–54. doi: 10.1016/0304-4203(94)90012-4
- Grand, M. M., Measures, C. I., Hatta, M., Hiscock, W. T., Landing, W. M., Morton, P. L., et al. (2015a). Dissolved Fe and Al in the Upper 1000 M of the Eastern Indian Ocean: A High-Resolution Transect Along 95°E From the Antarctic Margin to the Bay of Bengal. *Global Biogeochem. Cycle.* 29, 375–396. doi: 10.1002/2014GB004920
- Grand, M. M., Measures, C. I., Hatta, M., Morton, P. L., Barrett, P., Milne, A., et al. (2015b). The Impact of Circulation and Dust Deposition in Controlling the Distributions of Dissolved Fe and Al in the South Indian Subtropical Gyre. *Mar. Chem.* 176, 110–125. doi: 10.1016/j.marchem.2015.08.002
- Gruber, N., and Sarmiento, J. L. (1997). Global Patterns of Marine Nitrogen Fixation and Denitrification. *Global Biogeochem. Cycle.* 11, 235–266. doi: 10.1029/97GB00077
- Hain, M. P., Sigman, D. M., and Haug, G. H. (2010). Carbon Dioxide Effects of Antarctic Stratification, North Atlantic Intermediate Water Formation, and Subantarctic Nutrient Drawdown During the Last Ice Age: Diagnosis and Synthesis in a Geochemical Box Model. *Global Biogeochem. Cycle.* 24. doi: 10.1029/2010GB003790
- Hamilton, D. S., Moore, J. K., Arneth, A., Bond, T. C., Carslaw, K. S., Hantson, S., et al. (2020). Impact of Changes to the Atmospheric Soluble Iron Deposition Flux on Ocean Biogeochemical Cycles in the Anthropocene. *Global Biogeochem. Cycle.* 34, 12. doi: 10.1029/2019gb006448
- Herraiz-Borreguero, L., and Rintoul, S. R. (2011). Subantarctic Mode Water: Distribution and Circulation. Ocean. Dynam. 61, 103–126. doi: 10.1007/ s10236-010-0352-9
- Huang, Y., Nicholson, D., Huang, B., and Cassar, N. (2021). Global Estimates of Marine Gross Primary Production Based on Machine Learning Upscaling of Field Observations. *Global Biogeochem. Cycle.* 35. doi: 10.1029/2020GB006718
- Hutchins, D. A., DiTullio, G. R., Zhang, Y., and Bruland, K. W. (1998). An Iron Limitation Mosaic in the California Upwelling Regime. *Limnol. Oceanog.* 43, 1037–1054. doi: 10.4319/lo.1998.43.6.1037
- Ito, T., Follows, M. J., and Boyle, E. A. (2004). Is AOU a Good Measure of Respiration in the Oceans? *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 31, L17305. doi: 10.1029/ 2004gl020900
- Jaccard, S. L., Galbraith, E. D., Martínez-García, A., and Anderson, R. F. (2016). Covariation of Deep Southern Ocean Oxygenation and Atmospheric CO₂ Through the Last Ice Age. *Nature* 530, 207–210. doi: 10.1038/nature16514

- Johnson, K. S., Elrod, V., Fitzwater, S., Plant, J., Boyle, E., Bergquist, B., et al. (2007). Developing Standards for Dissolved Iron in Seawater. *Eos. Trans. Am. Geophys. Union.* 88, 131–132. doi: 10.1029/2007EO110003
- Johnson, K. S., Gordon, R. M., and Coale, K. H. (1997). What Controls Dissolved Iron Concentrations in the World Ocean? *Mar. Chem.* 57, 137–161. doi: 10.1016/S0304-4203(97)00043-1
- Kohfeld, K. E., and Ridgwell, A. (2009). Glacial-Interglacial Variability in Atmospheric CO₂. Surf. Ocean-Low. Atmos. Process. 187 (2009), 251–286 doi: 10.1029/2008GM000845
- Kustka, A., Carpenter, E. J., and Sañudo-Wilhelmy, S. A. (2002). Iron and Marine Nitrogen Fixation: Progress and Future Directions. *Res. Microbiol.* 153, 255– 262. doi: 10.1016/S0923-2508(02)01325-6
- Lambert, F., Tagliabue, A., Shaffer, G., Lamy, F., Winckler, G., Farias, L., et al. (2015). Dust Fluxes and Iron Fertilization in Holocene and Last Glacial Maximum Climates. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 42, 6014–6023. doi: 10.1002/2015gl064250
- Lam, P. J., and Bishop, J. K. B. (2008). The Continental Margin Is a Key Source of Iron to the HNLC North Pacific Ocean. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 35. doi: 10.1029/ 2008GL033294
- Liaw, A., and Wiener, M. (2002). Classification and Regression by Randomforest. *R. News.* 2, 18–22.
- Li, Z., and Cassar, N. (2016). Satellite Estimates of Net Community Production Based on O₂/Ar Observations and Comparison to Other Estimates. *Global Biogeochem. Cycle.* 30, 735–752. doi: 10.1002/2015GB005314
- Li, Z., Li, L., Song, K., and Cassar, N. (2013). Estimation of Phytoplankton Size Fractions Based on Spectral Features of Remote Sensing Ocean Color Data. J. Geophys. Res.: Ocean. 118, 1445–1458. doi: 10.1002/jgrc.20137
- Marchetti, A. (2019). A Global Perspective on Iron and Plankton Through the Tara Oceans Lens. Global Biogeochem. Cycle. 33, 239–242. doi: 10.1029/ 2019gb006181
- Marsay, C. M., Sedwick, P. N., Dinniman, M. S., Barrett, P. M., Mack, S. L., and McGillicuddy, D. J.Jr. (2014). Estimating the Benthic Efflux of Dissolved Iron on the Ross Sea Continental Shelf. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 41, 7576–7583. doi: 10.1002/2014GL061684
- Martin, J. H. (1990). Glacial-Interglacial CO₂ Change: The Iron Hypothesist. Paleoceanography. 5, 1–13. doi: 10.1029/PA005i001p00001
- Martin, J. H., Gordon, R. M., and Fitzwater, S. E. (1990). Iron in Antarctic Waters. *Nature* 345, 156–158. doi: 10.1038/345156a0
- Martin, J. H., and Michael Gordon, R. (1988). Northeast Pacific Iron Distributions in Relation to Phytoplankton Productivity. *Deep. Sea. Res. Part A. Oceanog. Res. Papers* 35, 177–196. doi: 10.1016/0198-0149(88)90035-0
- Mattei, F., Franceschini, S., and Scardi, M. (2018). A Depth-Resolved Artificial Neural Network Model of Marine Phytoplankton Primary Production. *Ecol. Modell.* 382, 51–62. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2018.05.003
- Noble, William S. (2006). What Is a Support Vector Machine?. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 24, 1565–67. doi: 10.1038/nbt1206-1565
- Pollard, R. T., Salter, I., Sanders, R. J., Lucas, M. I., Moore, C. M., Mills, R. A., et al. (2009). Southern Ocean Deep-Water Carbon Export Enhanced by Natural Iron Fertilization. *Nature*. 457, 577–580. doi: 10.1038/nature07716
- Quay, P., Emerson, S., and Palevsky, H. (2020). Regional Pattern of the Ocean's Biological Pump Based on Geochemical Observations. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 47, e2020GL088098. doi: 10.1029/2020gl088098
- Rafter, P. A., Bagnell, A., Marconi, D., and DeVries, T. (2019). Global Trends in Marine Nitrate N Isotopes From Observations and a Neural Network-Based Climatology. *Biogeosciences* 16, 2617–2633. doi: 10.5194/bg-16-2617-2019
- Resing, J. A., Sedwick, P. N., German, C. R., Jenkins, W. J., Moffett, J. W., Sohst, B. M., et al. (2015). Basin-Scale Transport of Hydrothermal Dissolved Metals Across the South Pacific Ocean. *Nature* 523, 200–203. doi: 10.1038/ nature14577
- Rigby, S. J., Williams, R. G., Achterberg, E. P., and Tagliabue, A. (2020). Resource Availability and Entrainment are Driven by Offsets Between Nutriclines and Winter Mixed-Layer Depth. *Global Biogeochem. Cycle.* 34. doi: 10.1029/ 2019gb006497
- Rijkenberg, M. J., Middag, R., Laan, P., Gerringa, L. J., van Aken, H. M., Schoemann, V., et al. (2014). The Distribution of Dissolved Iron in the West Atlantic Ocean. *PloS One* 9, e101323. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0101323
- Roshan, S., and DeVries, T. (2017). Efficient Dissolved Organic Carbon Production and Export in the Oligotrophic Ocean. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 2036. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-02227-3

- Roshan, S., DeVries, T., Wu, J., John, S., and Weber, T. (2020). Reversible Scavenging Traps Hydrothermal Iron in the Deep Ocean. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 542. doi: 10.1016/j.epsl.2020.116297
- Saito, M. A., Noble, A. E., Tagliabue, A., Goepfert, T. J., Lamborg, C. H., and Jenkins, W. J. (2013). Slow-Spreading Submarine Ridges in the South Atlantic as a Significant Oceanic Iron Source. *Nat. Geosci.* 6, 775–779. doi: 10.1038/ngeo1893
- Sarmiento, J. L., Gruber, N., Brzezinski, M. A., and Dunne, J. P. (2004). High-Latitude Controls of Thermocline Nutrients and Low Latitude Biological Productivity. *Nature* 427, 56–60. doi: 10.1038/nature02127
- Tagliabue, A., Aumont, O., and Bopp, L. (2014a). The Impact of Different External Sources of Iron on the Global Carbon Cycle. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 41, 920–926. doi: 10.1002/2013gl059059
- Tagliabue, A., Aumont, O., DeAth, R., Dunne, J. P., Dutkiewicz, S., Galbraith, E., et al. (2016a). How Well do Global Ocean Biogeochemistry Models Simulate Dissolved Iron Distributions? *Global Biogeochem. Cycle.* 30, 149–174. doi: 10.1002/2015gb005289
- Tagliabue, A., Bopp, L., Dutay, J.-C., Bowie, A. R., Chever, F., Jean-Baptiste, P., et al. (2010). Hydrothermal Contribution to the Oceanic Dissolved Iron Inventory. *Nat. Geosci.* 3, 252–256. doi: 10.1038/ngeo818
- Tagliabue, A., Bopp, L., Roche, D. M., Bouttes, N., Dutay, J. C., Alkama, R., et al. (2009). Quantifying the Roles of Ocean Circulation and Biogeochemistry in Governing Ocean Carbon-13 and Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide at the Last Glacial Maximum. *Climate Past.* 5, 695–706. doi: 10.5194/cp-5-695-2009
- Tagliabue, A., Bowie, A. R., Boyd, P. W., Buck, K. N., Johnson, K. S., and Saito, M. A. (2017). The Integral Role of Iron in Ocean Biogeochemistry. *Nature*. 543, 51–59. doi: 10.1038/nature21058
- Tagliabue, A., Bowie, A. R., DeVries, T., Ellwood, M. J., Landing, W. M., Milne, A., et al. (2019). The Interplay Between Regeneration and Scavenging Fluxes Drives Ocean Iron Cycling. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 4960. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-12775-5
- Tagliabue, A., Mtshali, T., Aumont, O., Bowie, A. R., Klunder, M. B., Roychoudhury, A. N., et al. (2012). A Global Compilation of Dissolved Iron Measurements: Focus on Distributions and Processes in the Southern Ocean. *Biogeosciences* 9, 2333–2349. doi: 10.5194/bg-9-2333-2012
- Tagliabue, A., and Resing, J. (2016b). Impact of Hydrothermalism on the Ocean Iron Cycle. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A.: Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 374, 20150291. doi: 10.1098/rsta.2015.0291
- Tagliabue, A., Sallée, J.-B., Bowie, A. R., Lévy, M., Swart, S., and Boyd, P. W. (2014b). Surface-Water Iron Supplies in the Southern Ocean Sustained by Deep Winter Mixing. *Nat. Geosci.* 7, 314–320. doi: 10.1038/ngeo2101
- Tagliabue, A., Williams, R. G., Rogan, N., Achterberg, E. P., and Boyd, P. W. (2014c). A Ventilation-Based Framework to Explain the Regeneration-Scavenging Balance of Iron in the Ocean. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 41, 7227–7236. doi: 10.1002/2014gl061066
- Tang, W., Li, Z., and Cassar, N. (2019). Machine Learning Estimates of Global Marine Nitrogen Fixation. J. Geophys. Res.: Biogeosci. 124, 717–730. doi: 10.1029/2018jg004828
- Tang, W., Llort, J., Weis, J., Perron, M. M. G., Basart, S., Li, Z., et al. (2021). Widespread Phytoplankton Blooms Triggered by 2019–2020 Australian Wildfires. *Nature* 597, 370–375. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03805-8
- Tiano, L., Garcia-Robledo, E., Dalsgaard, T., Devol, A. H., Ward, B. B., Ulloa, O., et al. (2014). Oxygen Distribution and Aerobic Respiration in the North and

South Eastern Tropical Pacific Oxygen Minimum Zones. Deep. Sea. Res. Part I.: Oceanog. Res. Papers. 94, 173–183. doi: 10.1016/j.dsr.2014.10.001

- Twining, B. S., Rauschenberg, S., Morton, P. L., and Vogt, S. (2015). Metal Contents of Phytoplankton and Labile Particulate Material in the North Atlantic Ocean. *Prog. Oceanog.* 137, 261–283. doi: 10.1016/j.pocean.2015.07.001
- Ussher, S. J., Achterberg, E. P., Powell, C., Baker, A. R., Jickells, T. D., Torres, R., et al. (2013). Impact of Atmospheric Deposition on the Contrasting Iron Biogeochemistry of the North and South Atlantic Ocean. *Global Biogeochem. Cycle.* 27, 1096–1107. doi: 10.1002/gbc.20056
- Wang, W.-L., Song, G., Primeau, F., Saltzman, E. S., Bell, T. G., and Moore, J. K. (2020). Global Ocean Dimethyl Sulfide Climatology Estimated From Observations and an Artificial Neural Network. *Biogeosciences* 17, 5335– 5354. doi: 10.5194/bg-17-5335-2020
- Watson, A. J., Bakker, D. C., Ridgwell, A. J., Boyd, P. W., and Law, C. S. (2000). Effect of Iron Supply on Southern Ocean CO₂ Uptake and Implications for Glacial Atmospheric CO2. *Nature* 407, 730–733. doi: 10.1038/35037561
- Wozniak, A. S., Shelley, R. U., McElhenie, S. D., Landing, W. M., and Hatcher, P. G. (2015). Aerosol Water Soluble Organic Matter Characteristics Over the North Atlantic Ocean: Implications for Iron-Binding Ligands and Iron Solubility. *Mar. Chem.* 173, 162–172. doi: 10.1016/j.marchem.2014.11.002
- Wu, Y.-c., and Feng, J.-w. (2018). Development and Application of Artificial Neural Network. Wireless Pers. Commun. 102, 1645–1656. doi: 10.1007/ s11277-017-5224-x
- Wu, J., and Luther, G. W.III (1994). Size-Fractionated Iron Concentrations in the Water Column of the Western North Atlantic Ocean. *Limnol. Oceanog.* 39, 1119–1129. doi: 10.4319/lo.1994.39.5.1119
- Wyrtki, K. (1981). An Estimate of Equatorial Upwelling in the Pacific. *J. Phys. Oceanog.* 11, 1205–1214. doi: 10.1175/1520-0485(1981)011<1205: Aeoeui>2.0.Co;2
- Yang, B., Emerson, S. R., and Quay, P. D. (2019). The Subtropical Ocean's Biological Carbon Pump Determined From O₂ and DIC/DIC¹³ Tracers. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 46, 5361–5368. doi: 10.1029/2018gl081239

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Huang, Tagliabue and Cassar. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.