Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Taewoo Ryu, Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University, Japan

REVIEWED BY Jiong Chen, Ningbo University, China Mahmoud A.O. Dawood, Kafrelsheikh University, Egypt

*CORRESPONDENCE Zhihua Lin Zhihua9988@126.com Qi Li gili66@ouc.edu.cn

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Marine Molecular Biology and Ecology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Marine Science

RECEIVED 31 October 2022 ACCEPTED 12 January 2023 PUBLISHED 01 February 2023

CITATION

Yang J, Liu M, Zhou T, Li Q and Lin Z (2023) Transcriptome and methylome dynamics in the gills of large yellow croaker (*Larimichthys crocea*) during low-salinity adaption. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 10:1082655. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2023.1082655

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Yang, Liu, Zhou, Li and Lin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Transcriptome and methylome dynamics in the gills of large yellow croaker (*Larimichthys crocea*) during low-salinity adaption

Jian Yang^{1,2}, Minhai Liu¹, Tingting Zhou¹, Qi Li^{2*} and Zhihua Lin^{1*}

¹ Ninghai Institute of Mariculture Breeding and Seed Industry, Zhejiang Wanli University, Ningbo, China, ²Key Laboratory of Mariculture, Ministry of Education, Ocean University of China, Qingdao, China

DNA methylation is a critical epigenetic modification that dynamically regulates gene expression in organisms facing abiotic stress. However, few studies have comprehensively examined the role of DNA methylation in marine fish during environmental adaptation. Therefore, this study explored the methylome dynamics and DNA methylation regulation mechanisms in large yellow croaker (Larimichthys crocea) during low-salinity adaption. The methylation level in the gills was notably raised in the S-group (5‰ salinity) compared to C-group (25‰ salinity). A total of 109 differentially methylated promoter target genes and 581 differentially expressed genes were identified via whole-genome bisulfite sequencing (WGBS) and RNA-seq of gills in the two salinity groups, respectively. Moreover, 23 hypomethylated/up-regulated differentially methylated genes (DMGs) and 28 hypermethylated/down-regulated DMGs were identified through integrative analysis, which were mainly enriched in signal transduction, ion exchange, energy metabolism, and cytoskeleton system and other biological processes. Collectively, our findings suggested that low-salinity stress can induce adaptive genome-wide DNA methylation changes, which can in turn affect the transcription of genes in large yellow croaker during low-salinity adaptation. Therefore, our findings provide new insights into the regulatory mechanisms of marine fish in response to rapid environmental changes.

KEYWORDS

low salinity stress, large yellow croaker, methylome, transcriptome, epigenetic regulation mechanism

Background

Epigenetic modifications can regulate gene transcription without altering DNA sequences, thus enabling organisms to adapt to environmental challenges (Busconi et al., 2015; Li et al., 2018). Among these epigenetic modifications, DNA methylation is currently among the most well-documented epigenetic modifications, which is critical in the regulation

of normal physiological activities and environmental adaption (Richards et al., 2010; Bossdorf and Zhang, 2011). Previous studies have indicated that genome-wide DNA methylation can be highly flexible in animals and plants facing complex and changeable environmental stressors (Weyrich et al., 2016; Artem et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2021).

Changes in environmental salinity can directly influence the distribution, size, growth, development, and reproduction of marine organisms (Macdonald et al., 2010). Due to global warming, extreme precipitation events are becoming increasingly frequent worldwide (Peter et al., 2019), which increases surface runoff. Sudden climate changes can thus severely and abruptly change the salinity of inshore aquaculture areas. Large yellow croaker (Larimichthys crocea) is the most productive cultured marine fish species in China, and coastal cage culture is the most widely implemented aquaculture model for this species. Recently, low-salinity conditions caused by extreme precipitation have resulted in serious economic losses to the inshore aquaculture industry. Therefore, elucidating the regulatory mechanisms of low-salinity adaptation in large yellow croaker and selecting new varieties with better adaptability to these conditions have garnered increasing attention. Previous studies on the salinity acclimation of large yellow croaker have mainly focused on osmotic regulation, energy metabolism, and immune responses (Zeng et al., 2017; Lu et al., 2020; Teng et al., 2020). However, few studies have assessed the role of DNA methylation in marine organisms facing low-salinity stress.

Whole genome bisulfite sequencing (WGBS) and highthroughput sequencing technologies provide a powerful means to investigate genome-wide DNA methylation dynamics at a near-basepair-level resolution (Adusumalli et al., 2015). Here, we performed an integrative analysis of WGBS and RNA-seq to clarify the association between the transcriptome and methylome dynamics in the gills of large yellow croaker under low-salinity stress. Importantly, our study not only sought to explore the underlying epigenetic regulation mechanisms of DNA methylation during the acclimation of marine fishes, but also to establish a theoretical basis for the selection of new varieties with better environmental adaptability to minimize losses to the aquaculture sector.

Materials and methods

Animal experiments and sample collection

Experimental fish were collected from aquaculture facilities located in Xiangshan Bay, Zhejiang Province, China. Before initiating the experiments, 120 individuals were randomly selected and allowed to acclimate in a tank (3 m diameter) with 25‰ salinity seawater for 30 days. Next, 60 similarly-sized healthy fish (body weight 69.49 \pm 15.20 g, body length 168.82 \pm 12.99 mm) were randomly selected and transferred to six tanks (1 m diameter; 10 individuals per tank with 500 L 25‰ seawater) to adapt to the experimental conditions for 7 days. During this period, the fish were fed daily with commercial mixed feed and the water was exchanged once per day to eliminate residual feed and excrement. After this secondary adaptation period, all of the fish from each tank were randomly assigned to two salinity treatments [5‰ (S-group) and 25‰ (C-group)] in triplicate. During the experiment, the temperature and DO were 21-23°C and 8.3-8.9 mg L⁻¹, respectively. The fish were fed once per day with commercial feed and 1/3 of the water was exchanged daily. After 7 days of exposure, 5 individuals from each tank were randomly selected and anesthetized with 50 mg/L MS-222, after which the gill tissues were dissected and immediately flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. The samples were divided into five sections (technical triplicates were obtained for each section) to conduct gene expression, methylation sensitive amplification polymorphism (MSAP) analysis, RNA-seq, WGBS, and Mass Array analysis.

MSAP analysis

Genomic DNA from gill tissues was isolated using the TIANamp Marine Animals DNA Kit (TIANGEN, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA concentration and integrity were examined using a NanoDrop 8000 spectrophotometer and 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis, respectively.

MSAP experiments were conducted as described by Xiong et al. (1999). Gill DNA samples were double digested by (EcoRI + HpaII) or (EcoRI + MspI). Each reaction was conducted using the following components: genomic DNA, 400 ng; 10× T4 Buffer, 2 µl; 20 µM EcoRI, 0.4 µl; HpaII (or MspI), 0.4 µl; 0.1% BSA, 0.2 µl; sterile water was added to a final volume of 20 $\mu l.$ The mixture was incubated at 16°C for 12 h. Afterward, the ligated DNA was pre-amplified in a 20 μl reaction system as follows: digested product, 2 µl; 10 mM dNTPs, 0.4 µL; 10× Buffer, 2 µL; 5 U/µL Taq polymerase, 0.2 µL; 10 µM preamplification E-A primer, 0.5 µL; 10 µM pre-amplification HM-T primer, 0.5 µL; sterile water, 14.6 µL. PCR was conducted under the following thermal profile: 94°C denaturation for 5 min; 26 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 56°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 1 min; 72°C extension for 10 min. The pre-amplification products were 20-fold diluted with sterile water and used as the template for the selective amplification reactions. The selective amplification reactions system had the following components: 20-fold diluted pre-amplification product, 2 µL; 10 mM dNTPs, 0.4 µL; 10× Buffer, 2 µL; 5 U/µL Taq Polymerase, 0.2 µL; 10 µM E-primer, 0.5 µL; 10 µM M-primer, 0.5 µL; sterile water was added to reach a 20 μ L volume. The reactions were conducted using the program described by Li et al. (2017). The sequences of the adaptors and pre-amplification primers are summarized in Table 1. The selective amplification products were separated and examined using capillary electrophoresis. The GeneMarker software (version 2.2) was used to analyze and visualize gill MSAP data. Data analyses were performed as described by Li et al. (2017).

Expression of DNA methylation and demethylation genes

The expression of genes related to DNA methylation (*dnmt1*, *dnmt3bb*, *tet1* and *tet2*) was analyzed through qRT-PCR. The primers were designed using the Primer3 program (Table 1). qRT-PCR was performed using the TB Green Premix Ex Taq II Kit (TaKaRa, Dalian, China). The amplification program was set as indicated by the manufacturers. The *18S* rRNA gene was used as a reference.

TABLE 1 Primers used in this work.

qRT-PCR			MSAP			
NCBI accession Gene number		Primer sequences	Name	No.	Primer sequences	
NM_131189.2	dnmt1	F: 5' AAGCCACCACCACTAAACTG 3'	Adapter	EcoR I adaptor	F: 5' CTCGTAGACTGCGTACC 3'	
		R: 5' CCACTGCCTCCAAACTTGAT 3'	-		R: 5' AATTGGTACGCAGTC 3'	
NM_001025450.1	dnmt3bb	F: 5' CATCACTGTAGGCATCGTCC 3'	-	HM adaptor	F: 5' GACGATGAGTCTAGAA 3'	
		R: 5' AGATCGTTGCATGGACTTCC 3'	-		R: 5' CGTTCTAGACTCATC 3'	
XM_019275269.2	tet1	F: 5' TCGTGGGTAACTGTGAGGGA 3'	Pre-amplication	E0	5' GACTGCGTACCAATTC 3'	
		R: 5' AGAGTGAGGTGGATTTGGAGG 3'	-	HM0	5' GATGAGTCTAGAACGG 3'	
XM_010740935.3	tet2	F: 5' ACAAGCCAGACATGAGACGG 3'	Slective amplication	E1	5' GACTGCGTACCAATTCAAT 3'	
		R: 5'	-	E2	5' GACTGCGTACCAATTCACG 3'	
		GGTTCCAGTTCGTATCCCCA 3'		E3	5' GACTGCGTACCAATTCCTA 3'	
				E4	5' GACTGCGTACCAATTCGTT 3'	
XM_005173157.3	arhgef37	F: 5' CCTGTGGATGGAGATGGTCA 3'	-	E5	5' GACTGCGTACCAATTCTCT 3'	
		R: 5' TAACGGGCGATTCTCTGGAC 3'	_	HM1	5' GATGAGTCTAGAACGGAGG 3'	
XM_010747046.3 gnb4		F: 5' GCAGCATCTACACTCACAGC 3'	_	HM2	5' GATGAGTCTAGAACGGAGT 3'	
		R: 5' TTGGGCTCTGTCCGTCTTTC 3'	-	HM3	5' GATGAGTCTAGAACGGCAT 3'	
XM_010732131.3	grtp1	F: 5' GAGGGTGGGGAAAGCAAGAA 3'	_	HM4	5' GATGAGTCTAGAACGGCTA 3'	
		R: 5' GGAAGCCACAACTGACCCAA 3'	-	HM5	5' GATGAGTCTAGAACGGCTC 3'	
XM_019269554.2	LOC104918888	F: 5' TCAGTTCACAGTTACCCCCG 3'	MassARRAY	Gene	Primer sequences	
		R: 5' TGAAGGAGGTGACGATGGTG 3'	_	gnb4	5' TGTTAGTTGTTTAATTGTAGTTTGGT 3'	
XM_019254673.2	LOC104928056	F: 5' TTAGAGACGGTATGCGGGGA 3'			3' CAAAAACCTACATAACTATTTCTTACCC 5'	
		R: 5' TGATGGAAGATGGCGGTGAA 3'		LOC104929349	5' TTTGTTGAGTGTTTTTAATAGATGAT 3'	
XM_019256985.2	LOC104929349	F: 5' ACCGACCTCCCAGATGTAGA 3'			3' ААСТАААСААААСТСССАССААТТА	
		R: 5' CTTCGGTTCCTCCTTCGTCA 3'		LOC104928056	5' GGTTTGTTTATGGTGTTAGATTGTAGA 3'	
XM_027289085.1	LOC104935555	F: 5' TAATGAGGCGGTGGTTTGGA 3'			3' AACCCTTTATTTACTCTCTTATCCCTTT 5'	

(Continued)

TABLE	1	Continued
-------	---	-----------

qRT-PCR			MSAP			
NCBI accession number	Gene	Primer sequences	Name	No.	Primer sequences	
		R: 5' TGATGGGAACTGGGGCAATG 3'		slc2a4	5' TTGGAGTTATATTTTTGGGTTTTTT 3'	
XM_019268275.2	pdhb	F: 5' TCACCATTCCCATCGGCAAA 3'	-		3' ACTAATCAACAAAAACCCTCCTACC 5'	
		R: 5' TGGTCTTCATCACGCTGGTC 3'		slc9a3	5' GATGTTTTTAGATGGGTTTTTTGAA 3'	
XM_027287927.1	slc2a4	F: 5' ACCGATGTATGTGGGGGGAGA 3'	-		3' CAAAAAAACTAAATCCAACACACTACA 5'	
		R: 5' TTCCCAGTAACGCCTCCAGA 3'				
XM_010742286.3 slc9a3		F: 5' GCAGTATCTCCTCTTCGGCA 3'				
		F: 5' CAGCGACTCTCCAAACACCA 3'				
NW_020861186.1	185	F: 5' CATTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGT3'				
		R: 5' CCCGAGATCCAACTACGAGC3'				

RNA-seq library construction, sequencing, and data analysis

The total RNA of gill tissues was extracted from six large yellow croaker individuals from the S-group and C-group in triplicate (i.e., three fish per group). The concentration and integrity of the total RNA samples were measured using Qubit[®] RNA Assay Kit in a Qubit[®] 2.0 Fluorometer and 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis, respectively. Afterward, a strand-specific RNA library was constructed using the NEB Next UltraTM RNA Library Prep Kit (NEB, MA, USA), and the obtained library reads were purified using the AMPure XP system (Beckman Coulter, CA, USA). After library preparation, 150 bp paired-end sequencing was performed on a NovaSeq 6000 sequencer. Differential expression analysis was performed using DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014), with a false discovery rate (FDR) \leq 0.05, and $|\log_2(\text{fold change})| > 1$. GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analyses of DEGs were performed using 'GOseq' R package (Ashburner et al., 2000) and KOBAS software (Xie et al., 2011), respectively.

DNA methylation library construction, sequencing, and data analysis

Genomic DNA of six gill tissues from the S-group and C-group were extracted using the TIANamp Marine Animals DNA Kit (TIANGEN, Beijing, China). The concentration and integrity of genomic DNA was examined using a Nanodrop ND2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, MA, USA) and 1% agarose gel electrophoresis, respectively. Genomic DNA samples were fragmented to 200–300 bp using a Covaris S220 sonicator (Covaris, MA, USA). Afterward, the DNA samples were bisulfite-treated according to the EZ DNA Methylation-Gold kit (Zymo Research, CA, USA) instructions. After bisulfite treatment, un-methylated cytosine became uracil, and methylated cytosine remained unchanged. After end repair and adenylation reaction, the bisulfite DNA fragments were subjected to PCR amplification to construct the sequencing library. After quantifying the library and examining the inserted DNA fragments using a Qubit 2.0 fluorometer and an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer, qRT-PCR was conducted to determine the final concentration of the library (library concentration >2n M). The qualified DNA libraries were then sequenced using an Illumina HiSeq 2500 sequencer.

The raw reads were quality checked and filtered to obtain clean reads. Then, the sequencing reads were compared to the reference genome of large yellow croaker (https://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ genomes/all/GCF/000/972/) using the Bismark software (version 0.12.5) (Krueger and Andrews, 2011). After bisulfite treatment, the unmethylated cytosine (C) converted into thymine (T), and the methylated C remain unchanged; thus, the reads cannot be located accurately, and the methylated sites cannot be identified in the reference genome. However, using in silico bisulfite conversion algorithm of the Bismark software, we can comparatively analyze the mapping result of the bisulfite treated reads and no bisulfite treated reads to definite the methylated C sites by changing the entire C base in the reference genome into T base. Next, the methylated sites and differentially methylated regions (DMRs) identified using the MethylKit software (version 0.99.2) (Akalin et al., 2012) were compared with the results obtained with the Bismark software. In order to analyze the methylation status of different gene elements, we carried out a profiling analysis. The analytical procedure is dividing the gene elements into 20 bins first, and then comparing the

methylation level of each bin to reflect the methylation difference of each gene elements of each gill samples of S-group and C-group. Moreover, the number of methylated and demethylated DMRs in different gene elements and chromosomes were statistically analyzed to reflect the methylation dynamics in gill under low-salinity stress. Upon characterizing the distribution of DMRs, differentially methylated genes (DMGs) were identified by detecting which genes overlapped with the DMRs. GO and KEGG analyses of the identified DMGs were performed using the 'cluster Profiler' R package (Yu et al., 2012). Significant differences were identified *via* Student's *t*-test (p < 0.05).

Integrative analysis of DNA methylation and gene expression

To evaluate the correlation between DNA methylation and gene expression in the gills of large yellow croaker submitted to lowsalinity stress, Pearson correlation analyses were conducted to screen the transcriptome data and WGBS data, and identify the mutual DMGs among the DEGs in RNA-seq and the DMR target genes in WGBS. Afterward, GO and KEGG enrichment analyses of the obtained DMGs were conducted using the 'GOseq' R package and the KOBAS software, respectively.

Validation of the DMGs overlapped from WGBS and RNA-seq

10 DEGs (*arhgef37*, *gnb4*, *grtp1*, *LOC104929349*, *LOC104928056*, *LOC104918888*, *LOC104935555*, *pdhb*, *slc2a4*, and *slc9a3*) and 5 DMGs (*gnb4*, *LOC104929349*, *LOC104928056*, *slc2a4*, and *slc9a3*) were selected to verify the reliability of the RNA-seq and WGBS data, respectively. The validation of the DEGs and DMRs (which were significantly enriched in ion channels, energy metabolism, cytoskeleton, and other key gene pathways) was conducted using qRT-PCR and Mass Array methylation analysis. qRT-PCR was carried out as described above. For the Mass Array procedure, genomic DNA was isolated using the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Dusseldorf, Germany), and bisulfite modification of the

genomic DNA was carried out using an EpiTect Bisulfite Kit (QIAGEN, Dusseldorf, Germany). Quantitative methylation analyses of the 5' promoter of the DMGs were performed using the Sequenom Mass Array platform (Oebiotech, Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The primers used for qRT-PCR and Mass Array methylation analysis are summarized in Table 1.

Statistical analyses

Gene expression differences between the S-group and C-group were identified *via* two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's *post hoc* test. The differences in the methylation levels between the S-group and C-group were identified *via* one-way ANOVA with Duncan's multiple range tests. A *p*-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Methylation status in the gills of large yellow croaker under low-salinity stress

To clarify the DNA methylation status in the gills of large yellow croaker under low-salinity stress, we first detected the expression of DNA transmethylase genes (dnmt1 and dnmt3bb) and demethyltransferase genes (tet1 and tet2). The expression levels of the four genes were significantly increased in the S-group compared to the C-group (Figure 1A). Moreover, we examined the methylation status in gill tissues using the MSAP technique. The hemimethylation, full-methylation, and total methylation levels of gill genomic DNA in the C-group were 26.92%, 23.68%, and 50.60%, whereas the levels of the S-group were 29.19%, 27.41%, and 56.60%, respectively (Figure 1B). All three methylation indices were significantly different between the S-group and C-group. The analyses of the genomic DNA CpG methylated sites indicated that 33.36% CpG sites remained unchanged, whereas 32.83% CpG sites were methylated and 33.81% were demethylated in the S-group compared to the C-group (Figure 1C).



FIGURE 1

Expression of methyltransferase genes and genomic DNA methylation status in gills of large yellow croaker under low-salinity stress. (A) relative expression of DNA transmethylase genes (dnmt1 and dnmt3bb) and demethyltransferase genes (tet1 and tet2); (B) methylation level of gill in the S-group and C-group; (C) genomic DNA CpG site methylation status. *, represent the difference is significant (p<0.05); ***, represent the difference is extremely significant (p<0.01).

Comparative transcriptome analysis in gill tissues of large yellow croaker under low-salinity stress

After filtering low quality reads, a total of 263 million clean reads were generated, and at least 88.75% clean reads were uniquely mapped to the reference genome (Table 2). To clarify the effects of low-salinity stress on gene expression in the gills, principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted between the S-group and C-group individuals. As expected, the results showed a high similarity in gene expression among individuals under the same salinity treatment, and significant differences between the S-group and Cgroup (Figure 2A). Moreover, comparative analysis of FPKM (Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads) values indicated that the level of gene expression in the S-group individuals was significantly lower than that of the C-group individuals (p < 0.05) (Figure 2B). Furthermore, the gene expression levels in each of the gill samples were analyzed based on the range of the FPKM value (Figure 2C), and the results showed that gene expression was higher in the S-group compared to the C-group. Overall, these results demonstrated that low salinity stress significantly affected the gene expression patterns of gills.

To determine the transcriptome dynamics in gill under lowsalinity stress, a total of 581 differential expressed genes (DEGs) were identified based on a |log₂(Fold Change)|> 1.5 and q-value < 0.05 threshold. Among the identified DEGs, 330 were down-regulated and 281 were up-regulated in the S-group compared to the C-group (Figure 3A). Functional enrichment analysis of the DEGs showed that these DEGs were significantly enriched in GO terms involved in "metabolic process," "stress response related biological regulation," among other pathways (Figure 3B, Table 3). KEGG pathway analysis indicated that the up- and down-regulated DEGs were significantly enriched in the "cell adhesion molecules (CAMs)," "cytokinecytokine receptor interaction," "cellular process," and "tight junction" gene pathways, among others (Figure 3C, Table 4).

Validation of the DEGs in the transcriptome analysis

A total of 10 DEGs in the gill transcriptome were selected for expression validation using qRT-PCR. Our results confirmed that the expression of *arhgef37*, *gnb4*, *LOC104929349*, *LOC104928056*, and *LOC104918888* were significantly decreased, whereas the expression

TABLE 2 Summary of gill RNA-seq data of large yellow croaker under low-salinity stress.

Groups	Sample Name	Clean Reads	Clean Bases (G)	Q30 (%)	GC (%)	Total mapped reads	Uniquely mapped reads
C-group	cg-1	38787580	5.73	94.60	48.58	35931916 (92.64%)	34423349 (88.75%)
	cg-2	44790154	6.63	94.62	47.87	41474139 (92.60%)	39880703 (89.04%)
	cg-3	46744668	6.92	94.63	48.40	43489527 (93.04%)	41796421 (89.41%)
S-group	sg-1	44615680	6.60	94.63	48.24	41245679 (92.45%)	39666474 (88.91%)
	sg-2	43875594	6.49	94.51	48.51	40734527 (92.84%)	39244281 (89.44%)
	sg-3	45137936	6.69	94.47	48.99	41825315 (92.66%)	40221397 (89.11%)



FIGURE 2

Assessment of low-salinity stress on gene expression. (A) PCA of gene expression levels among different salinity treatment; (B) Box plots showing the differences in gene expression levels between two salinity treatments; (C) Distribution of the expressed gene number based on the differences in FPKM value between the two salinity treatments. ***, represent the difference is extremely significant (ρ <0.01).



Functional enrichment analysis of DEGs. (A) Volcano plot showing the DEGs between different salinity treatments; (B) KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of the up- and down-regulated DEGs; (C) GO enrichment analysis of up- and down-regulated DEGs.

Group	Samples	Clean Reads	> Q20 (%)	Mapping Rate	Convert Rate	10×coverage (%)	mC (%)	mCG (%)	mCHG (%)	mCHH (%)
C-	cg-1	151548046	96.61	70.73	99.14	100	6.69	76.50	9.57	9.74
group	cg-2	166550602	96.87	68.5	99.38	100	6.90	75.68	10.40	10.72
	cg-3	154062480	96.80	70.39	99.53	100	6.67	74.99	10.64	10.87
S-group	sg-1	169609316	96.79	67.04	99.36	100	6.94	76.27	10.10	10.37
	sg-2	159704402	96.71	71.59	99.34	100	6.62	76.84	9.96	10.11
	sg-3	161559222	96.59	70.46	99.38	100	6.67	73.34	10.03	10.31

TABLE 3 Summary of the gills WGBS data of large yellow croaker under low-salinity stress.

TABLE 4 GO analysis of DMRs target DMGs in gills of large yellow croaker under low-salinity stress.

GO ID	Description	category	Enrichment Score	<i>p</i> -value
GO: 0030036	actin cytoskeleton organization	biological process	1.41	7.49E-15
GO: 0007411	axon guidance	biological process	1.36	3.66E-12
GO: 0007165	signal transduction	biological process	1.20	2.56E-11
GO: 0035556	intracellular signal transduction	biological process	1.21	9.61E-11
GO: 0035023	regulation of Rho protein signal transduction	biological process	1.40	1.27E-09
GO: 0016477	cell migration	biological process	1.29	5.65E-09
GO: 0030335	positive regulation of cell migration	biological process	1.27	1.21E-07
GO: 0007010	cytoskeleton organization	biological process	1.33	2.73E-07
GO: 0007015	actin filament organization	biological process	1.34	4.68E-07
GO: 0034220	ion transmembrane transport	biological process	1.33	4.82E-07
GO: 0030054	cell junction	cellular component	1.25	1.84E-22
GO: 0005886	plasma membrane	cellular component	1.10	1.36E-20
GO: 0098978	glutamatergic synapse	cellular component	1.26	7.47E-17
GO: 0043005	neuron projection	cellular component	1.23	1.54E-12
GO: 0015629	actin cytoskeleton	cellular component	1.32	2.35E-11
GO: 0045211	postsynaptic membrane	cellular component	1.26	6.38E-11
GO: 0005938	cell cortex	cellular component	1.34	2.14E-10
GO: 0030027	lamellipodium	cellular component	1.31	2.84E-10
GO: 0014069	postsynaptic density	cellular component	1.24	3.23E-10
GO: 0005856	cytoskeleton	cellular component	1.21	7.77E-10
GO: 0005096	GTPase activator activity	molecular function	1.28	1.38E-11
GO: 0005524	ATP binding	molecular function	1.10	5.93E-10
GO: 0003779	actin binding	molecular function	1.23	2.2E-09
GO: 0005089	Rho guanyl-nucleotide exchange factor activity	molecular function	1.41	1.28E-08
GO: 0004888	transmembrane signaling receptor activity	molecular function	1.29	3.07E-07
GO: 0045296	cadherin binding	molecular function	1.29	8.71E-07
GO: 0051015	actin filament binding	molecular function	1.22	8.73E-07
GO: 0017124	SH3 domain binding	molecular function	1.30	1.01E-06
GO: 0030165	PDZ domain binding	molecular function	1.33	1.16E-06
GO: 0004714	transmembrane receptor protein tyrosine kinase activity	molecular function	1.43	1.26E-06

of *grtp1*, *LOC104935555*, *pdhb*, *slc2a4*, and *slc9a3* were significantly increased. These findings were consistent with our RNA-seq results, thus confirming the reliability of the transcriptome sequencing data (Figure 4).

DNA methylation dynamics in the gills of large yellow croaker under low-salinity stress

To reveal the effects of low-salinity stress on the gill tissues of large yellow croaker, bisulfite-treated genomic DNA libraries were

constructed using samples from the gill tissues of three individuals each from the S-group and the C-group. After quality control, 490.87 million and 472.16 million clean reads were obtained for the C-group and the S-group, respectively, and at least 67.04% of the clean reads were uniquely mapped to the reference genome. Therefore, the sequencing depth and density were deemed sufficient to conduct genome-wide methylation analysis. Furthermore, the bisulfite conversion rate of each sample exceeded 99%, thus ensuring reliable results for the WGBS analysis (Table 5). The genome-wide cytosine methylation levels of three sequence contexts (CG, CHG, and CHH; where "H" represents either A, T, or C) were also calculated. The average methylation levels of CG, CHG, and CHH in the C-group



were 75.72 \pm 0.76%, 10.20 \pm 0.56%, and 10.44 \pm 0.61%, respectively, whereas the levels for the S-group were 75.48 \pm 1.88%, 10.03 \pm 0.07%, and 10.26 \pm 0.14%.

To identify the genomic DNA methylation dynamics between the S-group and the C-group, we next analyzed the genome-wide gill methylation. Our findings indicated that exon and intron regions showed higher methylation levels than promoter and downstream regions. Furthermore, the S-group exhibited higher methylation levels than the C-group among different genomic elements (Figures 5A, B), and similar CG-type methylation patterns were observed at the chromosome level (Figure 5C). Moreover, differential methylation analysis showed that there were fewer hyper-methylated regions than hypo-methylated regions among different gene regions (Figure 5D).

According to previous studies, the CG context accounts for the largest proportion of genome-wide DNA methylation (Dodge et al., 2002; Lister et al., 2009; Lister et al., 2013), which was consistent with our findings. Therefore, downstream analyses of the relationship between DNA methylation and gene expression were conducted based on the CG context methylation. WGBS analyses elucidated a total of 16,027 DMRs and 3,858 DMPs (differentially methylated promoters). The GO and KEGG analysis results of the DMR target genes are summarized in Table 6 and Table 7, respectively. Functional analysis indicated that low salinity induced changes in DNA methylation were primarily involved in ion exchange, energy material transport, energy metabolism, cytoskeleton system, nervous system, signal transduction, and other biological processes in the gills of large yellow croaker.

Correlation analysis between DNA methylation and gene expression

The integrative analysis of RNA-seq with WGBS indicated that there were 422 and 109 DMGs among the identified DMRs and DMPs, respectively (Figure 6A). The expression/methylation correlations of the DMR and DMP target DMGs are illustrated in Figures 6B, C, respectively. Furthermore, the differences in the expression level of the DEGs and the methylation status of the entire DMRs in gill between the S-group and C-group are illustrated in Figure 6D.

Functional analysis indicated that the 422 target DMGs of the DMRs were significantly enriched in 228 sub-categories of three major GO categories, including 128 biological process (BP) sub-categories, 23 cellular component (CC) sub-categories, and 77 molecular function (MF) sub-categories with a *p*-value < 0.01. The top 30 enriched GO terms were mainly involved in osmotic regulation, energy metabolism, gene transcription, and signal transduction and are summarized in Table 8. Additionally, the top 20 enriched KEGG pathways were consistent with those elucidated through GO analysis and are summarized in Table 9.

Previous studies reported that promoter methylation has a negative correlation with gene transcription and expression (Law and Jacobsen, 2010). Therefore, we also analyzed the target DMGs of DMPs. GO analysis indicated that 23 hypo-methylated and upregulated DMGs were mainly enriched in plasma membrane (GO: 0005886), GTPase activator activity (GO: 0005096), signal transduction (GO: 0007165), and 22 other GO categories with a *p*-

KEGG ID	Description	KEGG Classify	Enrichment Score	<i>p</i> -value
ko04360	Axon guidance	Development and regeneration	1.37	2.55E-21
ko04015	Rap1 signaling pathway	Signal transduction	1.24	1.28E-10
ko04510	Focal adhesion	Cellular community - eukaryotes	1.25	2.9E-10
ko04810	Regulation of actin cytoskeleton	Cell motility	1.24	1.56E-09
ko04072	Phospholipase D signaling pathway	Signal transduction	1.27	1.81E-09
ko04921	Oxytocin signaling pathway	Endocrine system	1.23	1.86E-07
ko04723	Retrograde endocannabinoid signaling	Nervous system	1.28	3.49E-07
ko04020	Calcium signaling pathway	Signal transduction	1.20	9.77E-07
ko04666	Fc gamma R-mediated phagocytosis	Immune system	1.27	2.05E-06
ko04724	Glutamatergic synapse	Nervous system	1.24	2.66E-06
ko04010	MAPK signaling pathway	Signal transduction	1.16	3.64E-06
ko04611	Platelet activation	Immune system	1.22	1.17E-05
ko04071	Sphingolipid signaling pathway	Signal transduction	1.21	2.97E-05
ko04520	Adherens junction	Cellular community - eukaryotes	1.25	3.88E-05
ko04540	Gap junction	Cellular community - eukaryotes	1.25	3.88E-05
ko04012	ErbB signaling pathway	Signal transduction	1.25	4.41E-05
ko04722	Neurotrophin signaling pathway	Nervous system	1.21	6.49E-05
ko04024	cAMP signaling pathway	Signal transduction	1.15	7.41E-05
ko04022	cGMP-PKG signaling pathway	Signal transduction	1.17	7.92E-05
ko04062	Chemokine signaling pathway	Immune system	1.18	8.26E-05
ko04144	Endocytosis	Transport and catabolism	1.14	9.87E-05
ko04961	Endocrine and other factor-regulated calcium reabsorption	Excretory system	1.33	0.0001
ko04014	Ras signaling pathway	Signal transduction	1.15	0.0001
ko04720	Long-term potentiation	Nervous system	1.26	0.0002
ko04971	Gastric acid secretion	Digestive system	1.25	0.0002
ko04261	Adrenergic signaling in cardiomyocytes	Circulatory system	1.17	0.0002
ko04727	GABAergic synapse	Nervous system	1.22	0.0002
ko04512	ECM-receptor interaction	Signaling molecules and interaction	1.24	0.0002
ko04919	Thyroid hormone signaling pathway	Endocrine system	1.20	0.0002
ko04750	Inflammatory mediator regulation of TRP channels	Sensory system	1.21	0.0002

TABLE 5 KEGG analysis of DMRs target DMGs in gills of large yellow croaker under low-salinity stress.

value < 0.01 (Figure 7A). Moreover, these DMGs were also enriched in the cAMP signaling pathway and other 6 KEGG pathways (*p*-value < 0.01) (Figure 7B). Additionally, 28 hyper-methylated and downregulated DMGs were enriched in cell junction (GO: 0030054), plasma membrane (GO: 0005886), actin cytoskeleton organization (GO: 0030036), and 29 other sub-categories (*p*-value < 0.01) (Figure 7C), and were also enriched in axon guidance and 21 other KEGG pathways (*p*-value < 0.01) (Figure 7D). The functional analysis of these 51 DMGs (23 hypo-methylated + 28 hyper-methylated) indicated that DNA methylation might play a critical role in the regulation of ion exchange, energy metabolism, adaptive changes in cytoskeleton and nervous system, and other biological processes in gills during low-salinity adaption.

This work selected 10 DMGs from the integrative analysis to verify the correlation between promoter methylation status and gene expression in gills. The result indicated that the hyper-methylated status in the promoters of *arhgef37*, *gnb4*, *LOC104929349*, *LOC104928056*, and *LOC104918888* significantly decreased the expression of these genes in gill under low salinity stress. In contrast, the hypo-methylation of the promoters in *grtp1*, *LOC104935555*, *pdhb*, *slc2a4*, and *slc9a3* notably increased the expression of these genes (Figure 8).



Comparative methylome analysis in the gills of large yellow croaker under low-salinity stress. (A) Density plot of methylation levels in different regions of up-stream, gene body, and down-stream regions. (B) Density plot of methylation levels on different functional genomic elements. (C) Circos plot of CG-type methylation levels between S-group and C-group, the outer circle represents the S-group, the inner circle represents the C-group, and the middle circle is the differential methylation levels between the two groups; LG1 – 24 indicated large yellow croaker chromosome (NC_040011.1, NC_040012.1, NC_040013.1, NC_040015.1, NC_040016.1, NC_040017.1, NC_040018.1, NC_040019.1, NC_040020.1, NC_040021.1, NC_040022.1, NC_040023.1, NC_040024.1, NC_040025.1, NC_040026.1, NC_040027.1, NC_040028.1, NC_040029.1, NC_040030.1, NC_040032.1, NC_040033.1, NC_040033.

Validation of the DMGs in the WGBS analysis of the gill tissues

We selected 5 DMGs (*gnb4*, *LOC104929349*, *LOC104928056*, *slc2a4*, and *slc9a3*) for validation of the methylation level. One DMP region of each DMG was selected to comparatively validate the methylation levels in gill tissues among S-group and C-group individuals. As shown in Figure 9, *gnb4* and *LOC104928056* promoter regions exhibited higher methylation levels in the S-group than in the C-group; conversely, the *LOC104929349*, *slc2a4*, and *slc9a3* promoter regions exhibited lower methylation levels in the S-group. These results confirmed that the methylation status in the Mass Array test was consistent with the WGBS data.

Discussion

Living organisms have evolved complex and flexible regulation mechanisms to adapt to environmental changes. Environmental epigenetics studies have reported that epigenetic modifications (e.g., DNA methylation, histone modification, and non-coding RNA) could regulate the expression of related genes and result in adaptive phenotype mutations under environmental stress (Mirouze and Paszkowski, 2011). Among these modifications, DNA methylation is one of the most widely documented epigenetic modifications, which commonly occurs in eukaryotic genomes (Bird, 2002; Yara et al., 2015). In general, genome DNA methylation level is dynamically regulated by DNA methylation and demethylation enzymes, thus enabling organisms to cope with abiotic stresses (Schulte, 2014; Yoo et al., 2017). The process of DNA methylation is mainly mediated by DNA methyltransferase (DNMT). Among these enzymes, dnmt1 is responsible for the maintenance of existing DNA methylation, whereas dnmt3bb plays a critical role in the formation of new DNA methylations (Chen and Li, 2006; Moore et al., 2013; Cui and Xu, 2018). In contrast, the demethylation process is mainly mediated by the Tet protein family, which can oxidize 5-methylcystein (5mC) into 5hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC), 5-formyl cytosine (5-fC), and finally 5-carboxyl cytosine (5caC). Furthermore, tet1 is critical in the distinction of methylated and unmethylated DNA, and both tet1 and tet2 can oxidize 5mC into 5hmC (Tahiliani et al., 2009; Ito et al., 2010).

TABLE 6 GO analysis of the overlapped DMRs target DMGs in gills of large yellow croaker under low-salinity stress.

go ID	GO Term	Category	<i>p-</i> value	Enrichment score	Genes
GO: 0015986	ATP synthesis coupled proton transport	biological process	1.54E- 10	30.73	LOC104924437; LOC104926397; LOC104927157; LOC104931038; LOC109138921; atp5f1d
GO: 0006631	fatty acid metabolic process	biological process	2.96E- 05	6.63	LOC104920470; LOC104923077; LOC104927227; LOC104928230; cpt1b; fa2h
GO: 0007165	signal transduction	biological process	0.0003	3.01	LOC104921548; LOC104923111; LOC104929349; arhgap40; fam13a; gnb4; hras; rasa3; rasd1; rasl11b; tagap
GO: 0060237	regulation of fungal-type cell wall organization	biological process	0.00033	8.89	LOC104936179; LOC104937362; tagap
GO: 0006099	tricarboxylic acid cycle	biological process	0.0004	6.44	LOC104918888; mdh1; sucla2; suclg1
GO: 0000917	division septum assembly	biological process	0.0004	3.61	LOC104923954; LOC104924948; LOC104929349; LOC104931525; arhgap40; arhgef37; foxi1; foxi2
GO: 0005975	carbohydrate metabolic process	biological process	0.0009	4.40	LOC104917948; LOC104920468; LOC104927636; LOC104937959; mdh1
GO: 0015991	ATP hydrolysis coupled proton transport	biological process	0.0011	6.50	LOC104924437; LOC104927157; LOC109138921
GO: 0071805	potassium ion transmembrane transport	biological process	0.0012	6.26	LOC104925780; kcnk1; slc9a3
GO: 0042127	regulation of cell proliferation	biological process	0.0012	6.26	LOC104925807; LOC104926589; LOC104939931
GO: 0045263	proton- transporting ATP synthase complex, coupling factor F(o)	cellular component	9.61E- 08	42.25	LOC104924437; LOC104927157; LOC109138921
GO: 0005747	mitochondrial respiratory chain complex I	cellular component	0.0003	8.89	ndufa12; ndufs4; ndufv1
GO: 0097221	M/G1 phase- specific MADS box-forkhead transcription factor complex	cellular component	0.0011	6.50	LOC104931525; foxi1; foxi2
GO: 0005887	integral component of plasma membrane	cellular component	0.0013	3.02	LOC104923466; LOC104925807; LOC104930389; LOC104939931; clcn2; kcnk1; slc20a2; slc2a4
GO: 0016020	membrane	cellular component	0.0036	1.99	LOC104920743; LOC104923823; LOC104925222; LOC104927160; LOC104928230; LOC104934492; LOC104940221; LOC109136817; LOC109139247; fa2h; mdh1; mknk1; slc25a3 snx18; ulk2
GO: 0030427	site of polarized growth	cellular component	0.0053	4.22	LOC104924948; LOC104925240; tagap
GO: 0030428	cell septum	cellular component	0.0068	2.96	LOC104919667; LOC104923954; LOC104925240; LOC104929349; arhgap40
GO: 0016021	integral component of membrane	cellular component	0.0073	1.41	LOC104918391; LOC104918940; LOC104920255; LOC104920878; LOC104921639; LOC104922650; LOC104923466; LOC104923826; LOC104924437; LOC104924543; LOC104924690; LOC104925780; LOC104927157; LOC104927227; LOC104927355;

(Continued)

TABLE 6 Continued

go id	GO Term	Category	<i>p</i> - value	Enrichment score	Genes
					LOC104928005; LOC104928331; LOC104928370; LOC104928835; LOC104930104; LOC104931825; LOC104934542; LOC104935027; LOC104935378; LOC104935494; LOC104936986; LOC104940175; LOC109136817; LOC109138921; LOC109139247; esyt2; fa2h; nos1; paqr5; rgl3; slc15a4; slc22a17; slc9a3; srebf2; timm50; tmem62
GO: 0031966	mitochondrial membrane	cellular component	0.0095	3.60	LOC104924437; LOC104927157; LOC109138921
GO: 0005935	cellular bud neck	cellular component	0.0140	2.54	LOC104921548; LOC104936179; grtp1; rasa3; tagap
GO: 0015078	proton transmembrane transporter activity	molecular function	1.40E- 06	28.17	LOC104924437; LOC104927157; LOC109138921
GO: 0046933	proton- transporting ATP synthase activity, rotational mechanism	molecular function	1.40E- 06	28.17	LOC104926397; LOC104931038; atp5f1d
GO: 0004092	carnitine O- acetyltransferase activity	molecular function	4.25E- 05	14.08	LOC104920470; LOC104923077; cpt1b
GO: 0008137	NADH dehydrogenase (ubiquinone) activity	molecular function	8.36E- 05	12.07	ndufa12; ndufs4; ndufv1
GO: 0008289	lipid binding	molecular function	0.0005	3.83	LOC104918391; LOC104918940; LOC104924437; LOC104927157; LOC104927160; LOC109138921; esyt2
GO: 0000982	transcription factor activity, RNA polymerase II proximal promoter sequence- specific DNA binding	molecular function	0.0017	4.60	LOC104931525; foxi1; foxi2; srebf2
GO: 0004712	protein serine/ threonine/ tyrosine kinase activity	molecular function	0.0034	2.49	LOC104920494; LOC104921819; LOC104925240; LOC104936804; itk; lck; met; mst1r; tesk2
GO: 0004674	protein serine/ threonine kinase activity	molecular function	0.0049	1.85	LOC104917948; LOC104920494; LOC104921819; LOC104923111; LOC104925240; LOC104936179; LOC104936804; LOC104936986; LOC104937362; itk; lck; met; mst1r; plk3; prkaa1; tesk2; ulk2
GO: 0000978	RNA polymerase II proximal promoter sequence- specific DNA binding	molecular function	0.0052	3.13	LOC104931525; LOC104940561; foxi1; foxi2; srebf2
GO: 0004721	phosphoprotein phosphatase activity	molecular function	0.0062	3.41	LOC104937111; daam1; ppp2ca; timm50

In this study, the expression of *dnmt1*, *dnmt3bb*, *tet1*, and *tet2* was notably increased in gills under low-salinity stress, indicating that these conditions triggered adaptive methylation and demethylation events in the gills of large yellow croaker. The MSAP test indicated that 32.83% and 33.81% of the CpG sites in gill were significantly methylated and demethylated under low-salinity stress, respectively. Both our gene

expression and MSAP analyses demonstrated that low salinity stress induced adaptive changes in whole-genome methylation. However, MSAP can only provide insights into the methylation status of the CG context, and therefore this approach cannot comprehensively and accurately reflect the methylation status of the whole genome. Therefore, we conducted an integrative analysis of WGBS and RNA-

Kegg ID	Term	<i>p-</i> value	Enrichment score	Gene
lco00190	Oxidative phosphorylation	1.01E- 05	3.68	ndufv3; LOC104924437; LOC109141004; LOC104927157; LOC104931038; ndufab1; LOC104923123; ndufa12; ndufv1; ndufs4; atp5f1d; LOC109138921; LOC104926397
lco04068	FoxO signaling pathway	7.58E- 05	2.94	prkaa1; LOC104937959; plk3; bcl6; sod2; hras; LOC109138540; LOC104937362; slc2a4; LOC104936179; LOC104926969; LOC104939189; LOC104922465; irs2
lco00020	Citrate cycle (TCA cycle)	0.0003	5.30	suclg1; sucla2; LOC104918888; mdh1; pdhb
lco04920	Adipocytokine signaling pathway	0.0007	3.27	cpt1b; prkaa1; LOC104937959; LOC104920470; slc2a4; LOC104931204; LOC104928230; irs2
lco00270	Cysteine and methionine metabolism	0.0009	3.81	LOC104937478; gclm; gclc; LOC104927636; mdh1; LOC104930034
lco00640	Propanoate metabolism	0.0012	4.86	suclg1; sucla2; LOC104927636; acss1
lco00630	Glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism	0.0026	4.13	LOC104918888; mdh1; LOC104930883; acss1
lco04530	Tight junction	0.0028	2.09	LOC109138324; prkaa1; LOC104937959; LOC104929514; LOC104934618; LOC104934884; LOC104934885; LOC104934886; ppp2ca; bves; LOC104936698; LOC104932134; arhgef2; LOC104922688
lco00220	Arginine biosynthesis	0.0043	4.43	nos1; LOC104930883; LOC104930034
lco03320	PPAR signaling pathway	0.0043	2.92	pparg; cpt1b; LOC104928370; LOC104920470; LOC104920963; LOC104928230
lco00620	Pyruvate metabolism	0.0048	3.59	LOC104927636; mdh1; acss1; pdhb
lco00480	Glutathione metabolism	0.0136	2.80	gclm; gclc; odc1; gsto1
lco04371	Apelin signaling pathway	0.0183	1.93	prkaa1; LOC104937959; LOC104935066; hras; LOC104928835; LOC104928056; ccn2; nos1; gnb4
lco04514	Cell adhesion molecules (CAMs)	0.0236	1.85	LOC104934884; LOC104934885; LOC104934886; LOC104937829; LOC104933395; LOC104931475; LOC104928930; LOC104922688; LOC104928102
lco00071	Fatty acid degradation	0.0301	2.53	cpt1b; LOC104920470; LOC104928230
lco04910	Insulin signaling pathway	0.0301	1.84	prkaa1; LOC104937959; hras; LOC104928056; slc2a4; irs2; LOC104919667; mknk1
lco00250	Alanine, aspartate and glutamate metabolism	0.0364	2.38	LOC104937478; LOC104930883; LOC104930034
lco04210	Apoptosis	0.0416	1.73	LOC104939559; LOC109138324; LOC104934618; hras; LOC104934542; LOC104931204; LOC104939189; LOC104922902
lco04216	Ferroptosis	0.0459	2.21	gclm; gclc; LOC104928230
lco04060	Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction	0.0505	1.62	LOC104935365; LOC104939559; LOC104918008; LOC104940378; prlr; LOC104939189; LOC104928757; LOC104932659; LOC104926341

seq to characterize the dynamics of genome-wide DNA methylation and the potential epigenetic regulation mechanisms in gills under lowsalinity stress.

By conducting a comparative transcriptome analysis of the Sgroup and C-group individuals, our study elucidated 581 DEGs in the gill tissues. GO enrichment showed that these DEGs were mainly enriched in gene pathways associated with ATP synthesis coupled proton transport, fatty acid metabolic process, carbohydrate metabolic process, tricarboxylic acid cycle, signal transduction, and regulation of meristem structural organization, indicating that lowsalinity stress induced adaptive changes in energy metabolism and allocation, osmotic regulation, adaptive structure changes, and signal transduction between cells or tissues. In line with the GO analysis results, KEEG enrichment analysis indicated that the DEGs were enriched in the FoxO signaling pathway, glutathione metabolism, cell adhesion molecules (CAMs), cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction and other energy metabolism, and ion exchange. Moreover, the DEGs were also significantly enriched in immune-related pathways such as necroptosis, herpes simplex infection, C-type lectin receptor signaling pathway, and Toll-like receptor signaling pathway. Among these, the C-type lectin family can recognize the polysaccharide structure on the surface of microorganisms and cause agglutination, thereby inducing phagotrophy, alexin activation, and other biological processes (van Vliet et al., 2008; Osorio and Reis e Sousa, 2011). Toll-like receptors are conserved pattern recognition receptors that can initiate innate immune response and eradicate pathogens (Kawai and Akira, 2010).



DMR target DMGs in gills between the S-group and C-group; (C) Venn diagram of overlapped genes of DEG expression and DMP target DMGs in gills between the S-group and C-group; (D) Heatmap showing the patterns between the DEG expression and methylation of the DMR target DMGs in the gills of large yellow croaker under low-salinity stress.

The enrichment of immune-related pathways suggest that lowsalinity stress might weaken immunity and increase the likelihood of bacterial, viral, and parasitic infection.

To examine DNA methylation dynamics and potential epigenetic mechanisms involved in low-salinity adaption, this work performed comparative WGBS analysis of S-group and C-group large yellow croaker individuals. The methylation rates of CG, CHG, and CHH in the gills of large yellow croaker were 75.60 \pm 1.29%, 10.35 \pm 0.41%, and 10.12 \pm 0.37%, respectively. Furthermore, our findings confirmed that the CG context accounted for the highest DNA methylation rate, which is consistent with previous studies (Chan et al., 2005; He et al., 2011). Given that CG context methylation exhibited the highest TABLE 8 TOP 30 GO terms in gills of large yellow croaker under low-salinity stress.

go id	GO Term	Category	<i>p</i> - value	Enrichment score	gene ID
GO: 0015986	ATP synthesis coupled proton transport	biological process	4.76E- 08	24.53	atp5f1d; LOC104924437; LOC104926397; LOC104927157; LOC104931038; LOC109138921
GO: 0006631	fatty acid metabolic process	biological process	1.47E- 05	7.053	abhd11; acot13; cpt1b; fa2h; LOC104920470; LOC104923077; LOC104927227; LOC104928230
GO: 0005747	mitochondrial respiratory chain complex I	cellular component	4.69E- 05	11.83	ndufa12; ndufa13; ndufb9; ndufs4; ndufv1
GO: 0015078	proton transmembrane transporter activity	molecular function	0.0002	22.48	LOC104924437; LOC104927157; LOC109138921
GO: 0046933	proton-transporting ATP synthase activity, rotational mechanism	molecular function	0.0002	22.48	atp5f1d; LOC104926397; LOC104931038
GO: 0005975	carbohydrate metabolic process	biological process	0.0005	4.92	LOC104917948; LOC104920468; LOC104927636; LOC104937959; mdh1; mdh2; ndufb9
GO: 0006099	tricarboxylic acid cycle	biological process	0.0010	6.42	LOC104918888; mdh1; mdh2; sucla2; suclg1
GO: 0005887	integral component of plasma membrane	cellular component	0.0018	3.02	clcn2; kcnk1; LOC104923466; LOC104925807; LOC104930389; LOC104934897; LOC104939931; slc20a2; slc2a12; slc2a4
GO: 0004092	carnitine O- acetyltransferase activity	molecular function	0.0021	11.24	cpt1b; LOC104920470; LOC104923077
GO: 0006633	fatty acid biosynthetic process	biological process	0.0028	6.66	fa2h; hacd2; LOC104937959; ndufab1
GO: 0042127	regulation of cell proliferation	biological process	0.0028	6.66	LOC104925807; LOC104926589; LOC104934897; LOC104939931
GO: 0008137	NADH dehydrogenase (ubiquinone) activity	molecular function	0.0033	9.64	ndufa12; ndufs4; ndufv1
GO: 0031966	mitochondrial membrane	cellular component	0.0037	4.78	LOC104924437; LOC104927157; LOC109138921; ndufa13; ndufb9
GO: 0009058	biosynthetic process	biological process	0.0041	8.99	aadat; got2; LOC104930034
GO: 0030428	cell septum	cellular component	0.0052	3.31	arhgap40; LOC104919667; LOC104923954; LOC104925240; LOC104929349; LOC109141109; LOC113746860
GO: 0007165	signal transduction	biological process	0.0063	2.40	arhgap40; fam13a; gnb4; hras; LOC104921548; LOC104923111; LOC104929349; rasa3; rasd1; rasl11b; tagap
GO: 0016020	membrane	cellular component	0.0066	1.90	fa2h; LOC104920743; LOC104923823; LOC104925222; LOC104927160; LOC104927375; LOC104928230; LOC104934492; LOC104935483; LOC104940221; LOC109136817; LOC109139247; LOC109141109; mdh1; mknk1; slc25a3; snx18; ulk2
GO: 0000917	division septum assembly	biological process	0.0067	2.88	arhgap40; arhgef37; foxi1; foxi2; LOC104923954; LOC104924948; LOC104929349; LOC104931525
GO: 0004364	glutathione transferase activity	molecular function	0.0069	7.49	clic2; gsto1; LOC104920430
GO: 0000022	mitotic spindle elongation	biological process	0.0070	14.99	LOC104919667; LOC113746860
GO: 0006086	acetyl-CoA biosynthetic process from pyruvate	biological process	0.0070	14.99	dlat; pdhb
GO: 0070941	eisosome assembly	biological process	0.0070	14.99	LOC104936179; LOC104937362
GO: 0009934	regulation of meristem structural organization	biological process	0.0073	5.14	LOC104925807; LOC104934897; LOC104936199; LOC104939931
GO: 0008289	lipid binding	molecular	0.0080	3.06	esyt2; LOC104918391; LOC104918940; LOC104924437; LOC104927157; LOC104927160; LOC109138921

(Continued)

TABLE 8 Continued

go id	GO Term	Category	<i>p</i> - value	Enrichment score	gene ID
GO: 0001558	regulation of cell growth	biological process	0.0080	4.99	LOC104925807; LOC104934897; LOC104939931; LOC109141109
GO: 0060237	regulation of fungal-type cell wall organization	biological process	0.0081	7.10	LOC104936179; LOC104937362; tagap
GO: 0090628	plant epidermal cell fate specification	biological process	0.0094	6.74	LOC104925807; LOC104934897; LOC104939931
GO: 0097264	self-proteolysis	biological process	0.0094	6.74	LOC104925807; LOC104934897; LOC104939931
GO: 2000014	regulation of endosperm development	biological process	0.0094	6.74	LOC104925807; LOC104934897; LOC104939931
GO: 0009813	flavonoid biosynthetic process	biological process	0.0096	12.85	LOC104926346; LOC113748150

TABLE 9 TOP 20 KEGG pathways in gills of large yellow croaker under low-salinity stress.

kegg ID	Term	Classification	<i>p-</i> value	Enrichment Score	gene ID
path: lco04514	Cell adhesion molecules (CAMs)	Environmental Information Processing	0.0023	3.10	cd226; LOC104922687; LOC104922688; LOC104928930; LOC104928931; LOC104934884; LOC104934885; LOC104934886; LOC109141249
path: lco04060	Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction	Environmental Information Processing	0.0173	2.42	LOC104917755; LOC104928552; LOC104928757; LOC104935365; LOC104939189; LOC104939559; LOC104940378; prlr
path: lco04530	Tight junction	Cellular Processes	0.0182	2.25	bves; jun; LOC104922687; LOC104922688; LOC104929514; LOC104934618; LOC104934884; LOC104934885; LOC104934886
path: lco03320	PPAR signaling pathway	Organismal Systems	0.0342	3.25	cpt1b; LOC104920963; LOC104928230; LOC104928370
path: lco04216	Ferroptosis	Cellular Processes	0.0468	3.71	gclm; LOC104928230; LOC104930544
path: lco04068	FoxO signaling pathway	Environmental Information Processing	0.0651	2.11	LOC104922465; LOC104926969; LOC104937362; LOC104939189; LOC109138540; slc2a4
path: lco04260	Cardiac muscle contraction	Organismal Systems	0.0953	2.31	LOC104923235; LOC104928331; LOC104935494; LOC104936446
path: lco04217	Necroptosis	Cellular Processes	0.0955	2.06-	LOC104930544; LOC104930883; LOC104936986; LOC104939189; LOC104939559
path: lco00010	Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis	Metabolism	0.1213	2.47	acss1; LOC104932890; LOC104935008
path: lco04020	Calcium signaling pathway	Environmental Information Processing	0.1272	1.66	LOC104928835; LOC104930544; LOC104933049; LOC104934529; LOC104935494; nos1; ntsr1
path: lco04080	Neuroactive ligand- receptor interaction	Environmental Information Processing	0.1512	1.45	LOC104918333; LOC104919156; LOC104922432; LOC104926092; LOC104926609; LOC104933049; LOC104934331; LOC104934529; ntsr1; prlr
path: lco04920	Adipocytokine signaling pathway	Organismal Systems	0.1792	2.05	cpt1b; LOC104928230; slc2a4
path: lco04145	Phagosome	Cellular Processes	0.2066	1.71	LOC104920415; LOC104934618; LOC109141249; nos1
path: lco04621	NOD-like receptor signaling pathway	Organismal Systems	0.2280	1.64	jun; LOC104927489; LOC104930544; LOC104936986

(Continued)

TABLE 9 Continued

KEGG ID	Term	Classification	<i>p-</i> value	Enrichment Score	gene ID
path: lco04261	Adrenergic signaling in cardiomyocytes	0 0 0 0		1.53	LOC104928331; LOC104933049; LOC104935494; LOC104936446; LOC104940561
path: lco04012	ErbB signaling Environmental pathway Information Processing		0.2354	1.79	jun; LOC104924202; nrg4
path: lco04210	Apoptosis	Cellular Processes	0.2983	1.45	jun; LOC104934618; LOC104939189; LOC104939559
path: lco04912	GnRH signaling pathway	Organismal Systems	0.3140	1.53	jun; LOC104924202; LOC104935494
path: lco05168	Herpes simplex infection	Human Diseases	0.3180	1.40	jun; LOC104918281; LOC104922642; LOC109141249
path: lco04010	MAPK signaling pathway	Environmental Information Processing	0.3840	1.18	dusp1; dusp8; fgf7; jun; LOC104920494; LOC104920973; LOC104935494

distribution rate, our study especially focused on its distribution characteristics and function. Our findings indicated that CG context methylation was mainly distributed in the gene bodies, CDS regions, and introns in the gills of large yellow croaker, which was consistent with previous studies (Cokus et al., 2008; Lister et al., 2009). Additionally, a total of 7,025 methylated and 8,042 demethylated CG sites among the gene elements in gill were identified under low-salinity stress. As mentioned above, the demethylated CG sites outnumbered the methylated sites, which was consistent with the MSAP data.



FIGURE 7

GO and KEGG analysis of DMR target genes in the gills of large yellow croaker under low-salinity stress. (A) GO enrichment of up-regulated, hypomethylated DMGs; (B) KEGG pathway enrichment of up-regulated, hypo-methylated DMGs; (C) GO enrichment of down-regulated, hyper-methylated DMGs; (D) KEGG pathway enrichment of down-regulated, hyper-methylated DMGs.



Previous studies have reported that DNA methylation can regulate gene transcription and expression. For example, promoter DNA methylation can negatively regulate gene expression (Gal-Yam et al., 2008; Jones, 2012). However, the regulating pattern of DNA methylation in other gene regions is still unclear (Ball et al., 2009). Therefore, our study also focused on characterizing the function of DMPs. The integrative analysis of WGBS and RNA-seq showed that there were 51 DMGs (23 hypo-methylated/up-regulated DMGs, 28 hyper-methylated/down-regulated DMGs) whose expression was negatively related to their promoter methylation status. GO analysis indicated that the hypo-methylated/up-regulated DMGs and hypermethylated/down-regulated DMGs were simultaneously enriched in six GO terms: signal transduction, plasma membrane, integral component of plasma membrane, GTPase activator activity, ATP binding, and calcium ion binding. Among these enriched pathways, the first three play critical roles in signal transduction and ion transmembrane transport. GTPase activator participates in cell migration, cell proliferation, vesicular transport, and cytoskeletal dynamics (Lee et al., 2012; Jin et al., 2016), whereas calcium ion binding plays critical roles in cell volume maintenance and GTP binding protein can regulate cell calcium concentrations (Yuge et al., 2003). The common enriched GO terms among hypo-methylated/upregulated DMGs and hyper-methylated/down-regulated DMGs indicated that different methylation and gene expression patterns contributed to common key processes, including signal transduction, ion transport, cell migration, and other process, which warrants further exploration. Moreover, the 23 hypo-methylated and upregulated DMGs were also enriched in GO terms involved in osmotic regulation, cell shape maintenance, and signal transduction. For example, the up-regulation of DMGs related to

ion transmembrane transport, membrane, and extracellular matrix likely improved the osmotic regulation capability of the gills under low-salinity stress. Furthermore, the up-regulation of cell adhesion molecules (CAMs) is known to enhance the regulation of cell shape maintenance and signal transduction (Axler et al., 2008). The PDZ domain is a common protein interaction domain that is vital in the transmembrane transport of receptors and ions (Kim and Sheng, 2004). Phosphatidylinositol-4, 5-bisphosphate (PIP2) plays a critical role in the regulation of actin cytoskeleton reorganization, ion transporter activity, and apoptosis (Loo et al., 2015; Patra and Choi, 2018). Low-salinity stress induced the expression of related DMGs and enhanced the osmotic regulation capability and collaboration among cells. Rab proteins can regulate cell migration and neural synaptic plasticity (Stenmark, 2009; Szodorai et al., 2009), which was consistent with the up-regulation of cytoskeletal protein binding and extracellular exosome. However, the 28 hyper-methylated and downregulated DMGs were notably enriched in the cytoskeleton system and nervous system gene pathways including actin cytoskeleton organization, cell migration, regulation of cell shape, lamellipodium, stress fiber, actin binding, and other processes. The enrichment data suggested that seven days of adaption to low-salinity stress were enough to induce substantial physiological changes in the gills of large yellow croaker. Rho belongs to the Ras superfamily and plays an important role in cell migration, adhesion, proliferation, and apoptosis (Stanley et al., 2014). The decrease of related DMGs might have resulted from the adaptation of gill tissues to the lowsalinity environment, thus restricting cell migration (e.g., chloride cells) and injured cells apoptosis. Protein phosphorylation is critical in ion exchange, cell morphology maintenance, and cell proliferation under environmental stress (Zhou et al, 2018; Millar et al., 2019). In



this study, we speculate that the decrease of DMGs associated with protein autophosphorylation and protein serine/threonine kinase activity is also associated with the acclimation of the gills to the low-salinity environment. Consistent with GO analysis, KEGG pathway analysis of these DMGs confirmed that they were enriched in axon guidance, cAMP signaling pathway, aldosterone-regulated sodium reabsorption, and the HIF-1 signaling pathway. Additionally, the hyper-methylated and down-regulated DMGs were also enriched in immune response related pathways such as the chemokine signaling pathway, T-cell receptor signaling pathway, and cholinergic synapse. Among these, the chemokine signaling pathway can induce nearby cells into directional chemotaxis (Baggiolini, 1998). T cells are important immune cells that play critical roles in directly eradicating target cells or assist other immune cells to eliminate abnormal cells and pathogens (Robertsen, 2006; Forlenza et al., 2008). Therefore, we speculated that low-salinity stress weakened the immune system, which rendered the host more vulnerable to pathogen invasion. In turn, immune-

related gene expression was activated to neutralize these pathogens. After 7 days of acclimation, the internal environment and homeostasis were recovered, and the expression of immune-related DMGs decreased gradually.

Furthermore, 10 DMGs were selected based on GO and KEGG enrichment information, and the validation test indicated that the expression pattern and the methylation status of these genes were consistent with the RNA-seq and WGBS results. The promoter regions of *arhgef37*, *gnb4*, *LOC104929349* (*dlc1*), *LOC104928056* (*lipea*), and *LOC104918888* (*aco2*) were significantly hypermethylated and their expressions were significantly down-regulated. Among these, *arhgef37* has guanine nucleotide exchange factor activity, which can influence cell migration and proliferation by regulating the activity of Rho GTPases (Viplav et al., 2019). *LOC104929349* possesses GTP-Rho negative regulatory activity, which is essential in cytoskeletal composition and cell migration (Kawai et al., 2010). *gnb4* is an important component of the heterotrimer G protein, which participates in signal transduction mediated by G protein-coupled receptors (Wang et al., 2018), LOC104928056 is a neutral lipase secreted by adipose tissue catalyzing triglyceride hydrolysis (Kraemer and Shen, 2006). Aconitase 2 is a key enzyme that maintains the homeostasis of white fat cell metabolism and regulates fatty acid synthesis (Devisser et al., 2011). The down-regulation of the five DMGs indicated that adaptive cell migration, signal transduction strength, and lipid metabolism decreased in the gills after the 7-day adaption period. Furthermore, the promoter regions of grtp1, LOC104935555, pdhb, slc2a4, and slc9a3 were significantly demethylated and their expressions were notably up-regulated. Among these genes, grtp1 possesses GTPase-activating activity and participates in intracellular protein transport (Lu et al., 2001). LOC104935555 possesses carbonic anhydrase 4 activity, which plays a critical role in intracellular pH homeostasis maintenance (Waheed and Sly, 2014). pdhb possesses lanine dehydrogenase activity and regulates cell migration and proliferation (Tang et al., 2016). slc2a4 is a facilitated glucose transporter that can regulate intracellular glucose homeostasis maintenance (Abel et al., 2001). slc9a3 is also known as NH3, which is a sodium/hydrogen exchanger participating in Na⁺/H⁺ transmembrane transport to maintain intracellular acid-base balance and promotes the absorption of water and ions (Subramanya et al., 2007). The up-regulation of these five DMGs can enhance the ion/glucose transmembrane transport capability to maintain internal homeostasis and energy supply in gills under lowsalinity stress.

Conclusions

Based on the above analysis, adaptive methylation and demethylation events generally occurred in gill under low-salinity stress. The differential methylation events enable large yellow croaker to adapt to low-salinity stress by improving osmotic regulation, energy metabolism, cell shape maintenance, signal transduction, and other biological process by regulating the transcription and expression of related genes. Collectively, our findings provide new insights into the molecular mechanisms through which marine animals respond and adapt to various environmental stressors.

References

Abel, E. D., Peroni, O., Kim, J. K., Kim, Y. B., Boss, O., Hadro, E., et al. (2001). Adiposeselective targeting of the *GLUT4* gene impairs insulin action in muscle and liver. *Nature* 409 (6821), 729–733. doi: 10.1038/35055575

Adusumalli, S., Mohd, O. M. F., Soong, R., and Benoukraf, T. (2015). Methodological aspects of whole-genome bisulfite sequencing analysis. *Briefings Bioinf.* 16 (3), 369–379. doi: 10.1093/bib/bbu016

Akalin, A., Kormaksson, M., Li, S., Garrett-Bakelman, F. E., Figueroa, M. E., Melnick, A., et al. (2012). methylKit: A comprehensive r package for the analysis of genome-wide DNA methylation profiles. *Genome Biol.* 13 (10), R87. doi: 10.1186/gb-2012-13-10-r87

Artem, V. A., Nikolai, S. M., and Sergey, M. R. (2017). Genome-wide DNA methylation profiling reveals epigenetic adaptation of stickleback to marine and freshwater conditions. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 34 (9), 2203–2213. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msx156

Ashburner, M., Ball, C. A., Blake, J. A., Botstein, D., Butler, H., Cherry, J. M., et al. (2000). Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. the gene ontology consortium. *Nat. Genet.* 25 (1), 25–29. doi: 10.1038/75556

Axler, O., Ahnström, J., and Dahlbäck, B. (2008). Apolipoprotein m associates to lipoproteins through its retained signal peptide. *FEBS Lett.* 582 (5), 826–828. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2008.02.007

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/, PRJNA798588 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/, PRJNA87638.

Ethics statement

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Care and Use of laboratory animals of Zhejiang Wanli University.

Author contributions

JY, ZL conceived and designed the study. JY and TZ contributed to data curation. JY and ML participated in formal analysis and methodology. JY wrote the manuscript. ZL and QL reviewed and edited the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Baggiolini, M. (1998). Chemokines and leukocyte traffic. *Nature* 392 (6676), 565–568. doi: 10.1038/33340

Ball, M. P., Li, J. B., Gao, Y., Lee, J. H., LeProust, E. M., Park, I. H., et al. (2009). Targeted and genome-scale strategies reveal gene-body methylation signatures in human cells. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 27 (4), 361–368. doi: 10.1038/nbt.1533

Bird, A. (2002). DNA Methylation patterns and epigenetic memory. *Genes Dev.* 16 (1), 6–21. doi: 10.1101/gad.947102

Bossdorf, O., and Zhang, Y. Y. (2011). A truly ecological epigenetics study. *Mol. Ecol.* 20 (8), 1572–1574. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05044.x

Busconi, M., Colli, L., Sánchez, R. A., Santaella, M., De-Los-Mozos Pascual, M., Santana, O., et al. (2015). AFLP and MS-AFLP analysis of the variation within saffron crocus (*Crocus sativus l.*) germplasm. *PloS One* 4 (10), e123434. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0123434

Chan, S. W., Henderson, I. R., and Jacobsen, S. E. (2005). Gardening the genome: DNA methylation in Arabidopsis thaliana. Nat. Rev. Genet. 6 (5), 351–360. doi: 10.1038/nrg1601

Chen, T., and Li, E. (2006). Establishment and maintenance of DNA methylation patterns in mammals. *Curr. Topics Microbiol. Immunol.* 301, 179–201. doi: 10.1007/3-540-31390-7_6

Cokus, S. J., Feng, S., Zhang, X., Chen, Z., Merriman, B., Haudenschild, C. D., et al. (2008). Shotgun bisulphite sequencing of the *Arabidopsis* genome reveals DNA methylation patterning. *Nature* 452 (7184), 215–219. doi: 10.1038/nature06745

Cui, D., and Xu, X. (2018). DNA Methyltransferases, DNA methylation, and ageassociated cognitive function. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 19 (5), 1315. doi: 10.3390/ijms19051315

Devisser, A., Yang, C., Herring, A., Martinez, J. A., Rosales-Hernandez, A., Poliakov, I., et al. (2011). Differential impact of diabetes and hypertension in the brain: adverse effects in grey matter. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 44 (2), 161–173. doi: 10.1016/j.nbd.2011.06.005

Dodge, J. E., Ramsahoye, B. H., Wo, Z. G., Okano, M., and Li, E. (2002). *De novo* methylation of MMLV provirus in embryonic stem cells: CpG versus non-CpG methylation. *Gene* 289 (1-2), 41. doi: 10.1016/S0378-1119(02)00469-9

Forlenza, M., de Carvalho Dias, J. D., Veselý, T., Pokorová, D., Savelkoul, H. F., and Wiegertjes, G. F. (2008). Transcription of signal-3 cytokines, IL-12 and IFN alpha beta, coincides with the timing of CD8 alpha beta up-regulation during viral infection of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio l*). *Mol. Immunol.* 45 (6), 1531–1547. doi: 10.1016/j.molimm.2007.10.010

Gal-Yam, E. N., Egger, G., Iniguez, L., Holster, H., Einarsson, S., Zhang, X., et al. (2008). Frequent switching of polycomb repressive marks and DNA hypermethylation in the PC3 prostate cancer cell line. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 105 (35), 12979. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.0806437105

He, X. J., Chen, T., and Zhu, J. K. (2011). Regulation and function of DNA methylation in plants and animals. *Cell Res.* 21 (3), 442–465. doi: 10.1038/cr.2011.23

Huang, Z. K., Xiao, Q. Z., Yu, F., Gan, Y., Lu, C., Peng, W., et al. (2021). Comparative transcriptome and DNA methylation analysis of phenotypic plasticity in the pacific abalone (*Haliotis discus hannai*). *Front. Physiol.* 12, 683499. doi: 10.3389/ fphys.2021.683499

Ito, S., D'Alessio, A. C., Taranova, O. V., Hong, K., Sowers, L. C., and Zhang, Y. (2010). Role of tet proteins in 5mC to 5hmC conversion, ES-cell self-renewal and inner cell mass specification. *Nature* 466 (7310), 1129–1133. doi: 10.1038/nature09303

Jin, Y., Lv, X., Zhou, J., and Chen, J. (2016). Potential involvement of IQGAP1 in proliferation and metastasis of human pancreatic cancer. *Front. bioscience* 21 (5), 1076–1083. doi: 10.2741/4442

Jones, P. A. (2012). Functions of DNA methylation: islands, start sites, gene bodies and beyond. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 13 (7), 484–492. doi: 10.1038/nrg3230

Kawai, K., Kitamura, S. Y., Maehira, K., Seike, J., and Yagisawa, H. (2010). *START-GAP1/DLC1* is localized in focal adhesions through interaction with the PTB domain of tensin2. *Adv. Enzyme Regul.* 50 (1), 202–215. doi: 10.1016/j.advenzreg.2009.10.013

Kawai, T., and Akira, S. (2010). The role of pattern-recognition receptors in innate immunity: update on toll-like receptors. *Nat. Immunol.* 11 (5), 373–384. doi: 10.1038/ni.1863

Kim, E., and Sheng, M. (2004). PDZ domain proteins of synapses. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 5 (10), 771-781. doi: 10.1038/nrn1517

Kraemer, F. B., and Shen, W. J. (2006). Hormone-sensitive lipase knockouts. Nutr. Metab. 3 (1), 12–19. doi: 10.1186/1743-7075-3-12

Krueger, F., and Andrews, S. R. (2011). Bismark: a flexible aligner and methylation caller for bisulfite-seq applications. *Bioinformatics* 27 (11), 1571–1572. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr167

Law, J. A., and Jacobsen, S. E. (2010). Establishing, maintaining and modifying DNA methylation patterns in plants and animals. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 11, 204–220. doi: 10.1038/ nrg2719

Lee, I. J., Coffman, V. C., and Wu, J. Q. (2012). Contractile-ring assembly in fission yeast cytokinesis: Recent advances and new perspectives. *Cytoskeleton (Hoboken)* 69 (10), 751–763. doi: 10.1002/cm.21052

Li, S. P., He, F., We, H. S., Li, J., Si, Y., Liu, M., et al. (2017). Analysis of DNA methylation level by methylation-sensitive amplification polymorphism in half smooth tongue sole (*Cynoglossus semilaevis*) subjected to salinity stress. *J. Ocean Univ. China* (*Oceanic Coast. Sea Research*) 16 (2), 269–278. doi: 10.1007/s11802-017-3156-4

Li, L., Li, A., Song, K., Meng, J., Guo, X., and Li, S. (2018). Divergence and plasticity shape adaptive potential of the pacific oyster. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 2 (11), 1751–1760. doi: 10.1038/s41559-018-0668-2

Lister, R., Mukamel, E. A., Nery, J. R., Urich, M., Puddifoot, C. A., Johnson, N. D., et al. (2013). Global epigenomic reconfiguration during mammalian brain development. *Science* 341 (6146), 629. doi: 10.1126/science.1237905

Lister, R., Pelizzola, M., Dowen, R. H., Hawkins, R. D., Hon, G., Tonti-Filippini, J., et al. (2009). Human DNA methylomes at base resolution show widespread epigenomic differences. *Nature* 462 (7271), 315–322. doi: 10.1038/nature08514

Loo, L., Wright, B. D., and Zylka, M. J. (2015). Lipid kinases as therapeutic targets for chronic pain. *Pain* 156 Suppl 1 (0 1), S2–S10. doi: 10.1097/01.j.pain.0000460345.92588.4b

Love, M. I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* 15 (12), 550. doi: 10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

Lu, C., Kasik, J., Stephan, D. A., Yang, S., Sperling, M. A., and Menon, R. K. (2001). *Grtp1*, a novel gene regulated by growth hormone. *Endocrinology* 142 (10), 4568–4571. doi: 10.1210/endo.142.10.8527

Lu, Z., Huang, W. Q., Wang, S., Shan, X., Ji, C., and Wu, H. (2020). Liver transcriptome analysis reveals the molecular responses to low-salinity in large yellow croaker larimichthys crocea. *Aquaculture* 517 (C), 734827. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.734827

Macdonald, J. S., Patterson, D. A., Hague, M. J., and Guthrie, I. C. (2010). Modeling the influence of environmental factors on spawning migration and mortality for sockeye

salmon fisheries management in the Fraser river, British Columbia. Trans. Am. Fisheries Society. 139, 768–782. doi: 10.1577/T08-223.1

Millar, A. H., Heazlewood, J. L., Giglione, C., Holdsworth, M. J., Bachmair, A., and Schulze, W. X. (2019). The scope, functions, and dynamics of posttranslational protein modifications. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 70, 119–151. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-050718-100211

Mirouze, M., and Paszkowski, J. (2011). Epigenetic contribution to stress adaptation in plants. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 14, 267–274. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2011.03.004

Moore, L. D., Le, T., and Fan, G. (2013). DNA Methylation and its basic function. Neuropsychopharmacology 38 (1), 23–38. doi: 10.1038/npp.2012.112

Osorio, F., and Reis e Sousa, C. (2011). Myeloid c-type lectin receptors in pathogen recognition and host defense. *Immunity* 34 (5), 651-664. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2011.05.001

Patra, M. C., and Choi, S. (2018). Insight into phosphatidylinositol-dependent membrane localization of the innate immune adaptor protein toll/interleukin 1 receptor domain-containing adaptor protein. *Front. Immunol.* 9, 75. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.00075

Peter, P., Carl, F. S., Kai, K., and Dim, C. (2019). Summer weather becomes more persistent in a 2°C world. *Nat. Climate Change* 9, 666–671. doi: 10.1038/s41558-019-0555-0

Richards, C. L., Bossdorf, O., and Pigliucci, M.. (2010). What role does heritable epigenetic variation play in phenotypic evolution? *BioScience* 60 (3), 232-237. doi: 10.1525/bio.2010.60.3.9

Robertsen, B. (2006). The interferon system of teleost fish. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 20 (2), 172-191. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2005.01.010

Schulte, P. M. (2014). What is environmental stress? insights from fish living in a variable environment. J. Exp. Biol. 217, 23–34. doi: 10.1242/jeb.089722

Stanley, A. C., Wong, C. X., Micaroni, M., Venturato, J., Khromykh, T., Stow, J. L., et al. (2014). The rho GTPase Rac1 is required for recycling endosome-mediated secretion of TNF in macrophages. *Immunol. Cell Biol.* 92 (3), 275–286. doi: 10.1038/icb.2013.90

Stenmark, H. (2009). Rab GTPases as coordinators of vesicle traffic. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 10 (8), 513–525. doi: 10.1038/nrm2728

Subramanya, S. B., Rajendran, V. M., Srinivasan, P., Nanda. Kumar, N. S., Ramakrishna, B. S., and Binder, H. J. (2007). Differential regulation of cholera toxininhibited Na-h exchange isoforms by butyrate in rat ileum. *Am. J. Physiol.* -*Gastrointestinal Liver Physiol.* 293 (4), G857–G863. doi: 10.1152/ajpgi.00462.2006

Szodorai, A., Kuan, Y. H., Hunzelmann, S., Engel, U., Sakane, A., Sasaki, T., et al. (2009). APP anterograde transport requires Rab3A GTPase activity for assembly of the transport vesicle. *J. Neurosci.* 29 (46), 14534–14544. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1546-09.2009

Tahiliani, M., Koh, K. P., Shen, Y., Pastor, W. A., Bandukwala, H., Brudno, Y., et al. (2009). Conversion of 5-methylcytosine to 5-hydroxymethylcytosine in mammalian DNA by MLL partner *TET1. Science* 324 (5929), 930–935. doi: 10.1126/science.1170116

Tang, H., Luo, X., Li, J., Zhou, Y., Li, Y., Song, L., et al. (2016). Pyruvate dehydrogenase b promoted the growth and migration of the nasopharyngeal carcinoma cells. *Tumor Biol.* 37 (8), 10563–10569. doi: 10.1007/s13277-016-4922-4

Teng, G. L., Huang, W. Q., Ji, C. L., Chen, Y., and Shan, X. (2020). Morphological changes and variations in Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase activity in the gills of juvenile large yellow croaker (*Larimichthys crocea*) at low salinity. *Aquaculture Fisheries* 7 (3), 313–320. doi: 10.1016/j.aaf.2020.08.003

van Vliet, S. J., García-Vallejo, J. J., and van Kooyk, Y. (2008). Dendritic cells and c-type lectin receptors: coupling innate to adaptive immune responses. *Immunol. Cell Biol.* 86 (7), 580–587. doi: 10.1038/icb.2008.55

Viplav, A., Saha, T., Huertas, J., Selenschik, P., Ebrahimkutty, M. P., Grill, D., et al. (2019). *ArhGEF37* assists dynamin 2 during clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *J. Cell Sci.* 132 (9), jcs226530. doi: 10.1242/jcs.226530

Waheed, A., and Sly, W. S. (2014). Membrane associated carbonic anhydrase IV (CA IV): a personal and historical perspective. *Subcellular Biochem.* 75, 157–179. doi: 10.1007/978-94-007-7359-2_9

Wang, B., Li, D., Rodriguez-Juarez, R., Farfus, A., Storozynsky, Q., Malach, M., et al. (2018). A suppressive role of guanine nucleotide-binding protein subunit beta-4 inhibited by DNA methylation in the growth of anti-estrogen resistant breast cancer cells. *BMC Cancer* 18 (1), 817. doi: 10.1186/s12885-018-4711-0

Weyrich, A., Lenz, D., Jeschek, M., Chung, T. H., Rübensam, K., Göritz, F., et al. (2016). Paternal intergenerational epigenetic response to heat exposure in male wild guinea pigs. *Mol. Ecol.* 25 (8), 1729–1740. doi: 10.1111/mec.13494

Xie, C., Mao, X., Huang, J., Ding, Y., Wu, J., Dong, S., et al. (2011). KOBAS 2.0: a web server for annotation and identification of enriched pathways and diseases. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 39 (Web Server issue), W316–W322. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkr483

Xiong, L. Z., Xu, C. G., Maroof, M. A. S., and Zhang, Q. (1999). Patterns of cytosine methylation pattern in an elite rice hybrid and its parental lines detected by a methylation sensitive amplification polymorphism technique. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 261 (3), 439–446. doi: 10.1007/s004380050986

Yara, S., Lavoie, J. C., and Levy, E. (2015). Oxidative stress and DNA methylation regulation in the metabolic syndrome. *Epigenomics* 7 (2), 283–300. doi: 10.2217/epi.14.84

Yoo, Y., Park, J. H., Weigel, C., Liesenfeld, D. B., Weichenhan, D., Plass, C., et al. (2017). TET-mediated hydroxymethylcytosine at the pparγlocus is required for initiation of adipogenic differentiation. *Int. J. Pediatr. Obes.* 41 (4), 652–659. doi: 10.1038/ijo.2017.8

Yuge, S., Inoue, K., Hyodo, S., et al. (2003). A novel guanylin family (Guanylin, uroguanylin, and renoguanylin) in eels: possible osmoregulatory hormones in intestine and kidney. J. Biol. Chem. 278 (25), 22726–22733. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M303111200

Yu, G., Wang, L. G., Han, Y., and He, Q. Y. (2012). clusterProfiler: an r package for comparing biological themes among gene clusters. *OMICS* 16 (5), 284–287. doi: 10.1089/omi.2011.0118

Zeng, L., Ai, C. X., Wang, Y. H., Zhang, J. S., and Wu, C. W. (2017). Abrupt salinity stress induces oxidative stress via the Nrf2-Keap1 signaling pathway in large yellow croaker *Pseudosciaena crocea. Fish Physiol. Biochem.* 43 (4), 955–964. doi: 10.1007/s10695-016-0334-z

Zhou, H. P., Wang, C. W., Tan, T. H., Cai, J., He, J., and Lin, H. (2018). Patellin1 negatively modulates salt tolerance by regulating pm Na⁺/H⁺ antiport activity and cellular redox homeostasis in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell Physiol.* 59 (8), 1630–1642. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcy081