frontiers | Frontiers in Marine Science

TYPE Correction
PUBLISHED 18 August 2025
DOI 10.3389/fmars.2025.1673739

OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY
Frontiers Editorial Office,
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

*CORRESPONDENCE
Patrick Astruch
patrick.astruch@univ-amu.fr

†Deceased

RECEIVED 26 July 2025
ACCEPTED 30 July 2025
PUBLISHED 18 August 2025

CITATION
Astruch P, Orts A, Schohn T, Belloni B, Ballesteros E, Bănaru D, Bianchi CN, Boudouresque C-F, Changeux T, Chevaldonné P, Harmelin J-G, Michez N, Monnier B, Morri C, Thibaut T, Verlaque M and Daniel B (2025) Correction: Ecosystem-based assessment of a widespread Mediterranean marine habitat: The Coastal Detrital Bottoms, with a special focus on epibenthic assemblages. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 12:1673739. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2025.1673739

Correction: Ecosystem-based assessment of a widespread Mediterranean marine habitat: The Coastal Detrital Bottoms, with a special focus on epibenthic assemblages

Patrick Astruch[1]*, Ameline Orts[1], Thomas Schohn[1], Bruno Belloni[1], Enric Ballesteros[2], Daniela Bănaru[3], Carlo Nike Bianchi[4,5], Charles-François Boudouresque[3], Thomas Changeux[3], Pierre Chevaldonné[6], Jean-Georges Harmelin[1], Noëmie Michez[7,8], Briac Monnier[9], Carla Morri[4,5], Thierry Thibaut[3], Marc Verlaque[3] and Boris Daniel[8†]

[1]Groupement d'Intérêt Scientifique (GIS) Posidonie, Observatoire des Sciences de l'Univers (OSU) Pythéas, Marseille, France, [2]Center for Advenced Studies of Blanes, Spanish National Research Council (CSIC) Blanes, Girona, Spain, [3]Aix Marseille University, Université de Toulon, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), Mediterranean Institute of Oceanography (MIO), Marseille, France, [4]Seascape Ecology Laboratory, Department of Earth, Environment and Life Sciences (DiSTAV), University of Genoa, Genova, Italy, [5]Department of Integrative Marine Ecology (EMI), Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn–National Institute of Marine Biology, Ecology and Biotechnology, Genoa Marine Centre (GMC), Genova, Italy, [6]Institut Méditerranéen de Biodiversité et d'Ecologie Marine et Continentale (IMBE), Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), Aix-Marseille University, Avignon University, Station Marine d'Endoume, Marseille, France, [7]Natural Marine Park of the Gulf of Lion, Argelès-sur-mer, France, [8]French Office for Biodiversity, Vincennes, France, [9]Université de Corse Pasquale Paoli, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Unité Mixte de Recherche (UMR) 6134 Science pour l'Environnement (SPE), Corte, France

KEYWORDS

coastal detrital bottoms, ecosystem-based approach (EBA), quality assessment, marine habitat, rhodolith beds, epibenthic assemblages

A Correction on

Ecosystem-based assessment of a widespread Mediterranean marine habitat: The Coastal Detrital Bottoms, with a special focus on epibenthic assemblages

By Astruch P, Orts A, Schohn T, Belloni B, Ballesteros E, Bănaru D, Bianchi CN, Boudouresque C-F, Changeux T, Chevaldonné P, Harmelin J-G, Michez N, Monnier B, Morri C, Thibaut T, Verlaque M and Daniel B (2023) *Front. Mar. Sci.* 10:1130540. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2023.1130540

10.3389/fmars.2025.1673739

There was a mistake in Figures 7, 8 and 9 as published. Figure 7 has two parts (left and right) but only the left part appears on the article. The right part of Figure 7 is displayed as Figure 8 instead of the actual Figure 8 and the actual Figure 8 is displayed as Figure 9 instead of the actual Figure 9. This needs to be corrected without changing figures' captions. The corrected Figures 7, 8 and 9 appear below.

The original version of this article has been updated.

Publisher's note



FIGURE 7
Box plots showing EBQI notation (left) and circularity value (right) according management level. The black crossbar corresponds to the mean EBQI notation, the grey rectangle corresponds to the standard error, the vertical bars correspond to the 95% confidence interval.



FIGURE 8
Robustness of the EBQI with regard to the Ecological status per box. Sampling sites (x-axis) are sorted in ascending order according to the EBQI notation from left to right. In order to test the effect of the status per box on the EBQI (robustness), status values have been randomly perturbed (above, ± 1; below, ± 2) 25% (left) and 100% (right) of probability; 1000 iterations were performed. The change of the EBQI notation (Bad through Very Good) of a site, for a given iteration, is shown by the colour of the new class in which it falls). Red: Poor; Yellow: Bad; Green: Intermediate; Blue: Good; Dark Blue: Very Good.

10.3389/fmars.2025.1673739



FIGURE 9
Robustness of the EBQI with regard to the weighting per box. Sampling sites (x-axis) are sorted in ascending order according to the EBQI notation from left to right. In order to test the effect of the weighting per box on the EBQI (robustness), status values have been randomly perturbed (above, ± 1; below, ± 2) 25% (left) and 100% (right) of probability; 1000 iterations were performed. The change of the EBQI notation (Bad through Very Good) of a site, for a given iteration, is shown by the colour of the new class within which it falls. Red: Poor; Yellow: Bad; Green: Intermediate; Blue: Good; Dark Blue: Very Good.