



Multidisciplinary Approach in the Early Detection of Undiagnosed Connective Tissue Diseases in Patients With Interstitial Lung Disease: A Retrospective Cohort Study

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Argyrios Tzouvelekis, Alexander Fleming Biomedical Sciences Research Center, Greece

Reviewed by:

Peter Korsten, Nephrology and Rheumatology University Medical Center Göttingen, Germany Vasilios Tzilas, Sotiria General Hospital, Greece

*Correspondence:

Lorenzo Cavagna lorenzo.cavagna@unipv.it

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Pulmonary Medicine, a section of the journal Frontiers in Medicine

Received: 31 July 2019 Accepted: 13 January 2020 Published: 18 February 2020

Citation:

Tirelli C, Morandi V, Valentini A, La Carrubba C, Dore R, Zanframundo G, Morbini P, Grignaschi S, Franconeri A, Oggionni T, Marasco E, De Stefano L, Kadija Z, Mariani F, Codullo V, Alpini C, Scirè C, Montecucco C, Meloni F and Cavagna L (2020) Multidisciplinary Approach in the Early Detection of Undiagnosed Connective Tissue Diseases in Patients With Interstitial Lung Disease: A Retrospective Cohort Study. Front. Med. 7:11. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2020.00011 Claudio Tirelli^{1†}, Valentina Morandi^{2†}, Adele Valentini³, Claudia La Carrubba¹, Roberto Dore⁴, Giovanni Zanframundo², Patrizia Morbini⁵, Silvia Grignaschi², Andrea Franconeri³, Tiberio Oggionni¹, Emiliano Marasco², Ludovico De Stefano², Zamir Kadija¹, Francesca Mariani¹, Veronica Codullo⁶, Claudia Alpini⁷, Carlo Scirè⁸, Carlomaurizio Montecucco², Federica Meloni¹ and Lorenzo Cavagna^{2*}

¹ Division of Pneumology, University and IRCCS Policlinico S. Matteo Foundation, Pavia, Italy, ² Division of Rheumatology, University and IRCCS Policlinico S. Matteo Foundation, Pavia, Italy, ³ Institute of Radiology, University and IRCCS Policlinico S. Matteo Foundation, Pavia, Italy, ⁴ Radiology Unit, Isituti Clinici Città di Pavia, Pavia, Italy, ⁵ Pathology Unit, University and IRCCS Policlinico S. Matteo Foundation, Pavia, Italy, ⁶ Rheumatology Department, Hopital Cochin, Paris, France, ⁷ Laboratory of Biochemical-Clinical Analyses, IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo Foundation, Pavia, Italy, ⁸ Division of Rheumatology, Arcispedale Sant'Anna, Ferrara, Italy

Interstitial lung disease (ILD) encompasses a wide range of parenchymal lung pathologies with different clinical, histological, radiological, and serological features. Follow-up, treatment, and prognosis are strongly influenced by the underlying pathogenesis. Considering that an ILD may complicate the course of any connective tissue disease (CTD) and that CTD's signs are not always easily identifiable, it could be useful to screen every ILD patient for a possible CTD. The recent definition of interstitial pneumonia with autoimmune features is a further confirmation of the close relationship between CTD and ILD. In this context, the multidisciplinary approach is assuming a growing and accepted role in the correct diagnosis and follow-up, to as early as possible define the best therapeutic strategy. However, despite clinical advantages, until now, the pathways of the multidisciplinary approach in ILD patients are largely heterogeneous across different centers and the best strategy to apply is still to be established and validated. Aims of this article are to describe the organization of our multidisciplinary group for ILD, which is mainly focused on the early identification and management of CTD in patients with ILD and to show our results in a 1 year period of observation. We found that 15% of patients referred for ILD had an underlying CTD, 33% had interstitial pneumonia with autoimmune feature, and 52% had ILD without detectable CTD. Furthermore, we demonstrated that the adoption of a standardized strategy consisting of a screening questionnaire, specific laboratory tests, and nailfold videocapillaroscopy in all incident ILD proved useful in making the right diagnosis.

Keywords: interstitial lung disease, connective tissue diseases, multidisciplinary team, early diagnosis, rheumatology, pulmonology, radiology

1

INTRODUCTION

Interstitial lung disease (ILD) includes a heterogeneous group of parenchymal lung pathologies with different clinical, histological, radiological, and serological features (1). To correctly classify ILD is crucial, since follow-up, treatment, and prognosis are strongly dependent on ILD subtype (2, 3). Considering that ILD may complicate the course of any connective tissue disease (CTD) and that signs of CTD are frequently not easy to identify (4-7), an underlying CTD should be ruled out in every ILD, even when the suspect is low or even absent. The recent definition of interstitial pneumonia with autoimmune features (IPAF) is a further confirmation of the close relationship between CTDs and ILD and of how the borders between the rheumatology and pulmonology practices are day by day less defined (8). In a similar context, the multidisciplinary approach is assuming a growing and accepted role, as the discussion of such cases may help to identify the sometime subtle signs or symptoms of CTD in ILD (9-14). However, despite the clinical advantages, the pathways of the multidisciplinary approach in ILD are largely heterogeneous across different centers and countries, and the best strategy to apply is still to be established and validated, as well as the composition of the multidisciplinary team (i.e., the rheumatologist is not included in many of the described multidisciplinary teams) (15). Furthermore, until now, no screening tools for the early identification of CTD signs and symptoms have been applied in ILD, although previous reports in other settings showed their potential usefulness (16). The inclusion of the rheumatology assessment is an added value for patients (9, 17, 18), and the possibility to start the multidisciplinary pathway from a screening tool seems to be effective in terms of health-care resources optimization. Despite these observations, the best strategy to apply in the multidisciplinary evaluation still has to be defined and validated (19). In this article, we want to describe the organization, and share the first results, of our Multidisciplinary Group for Interstitial Lung Disease (GI-ILD), focusing on the early identification of CTDs in ILD patients referring to our clinics.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Pavia Multidisciplinary Group for Interstitial Lung Disease

The GI-ILD is a multidisciplinary group first established in 2015 as a shared initiative between the Rheumatology, Pulmonology, and Radiology Divisions of the University and IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo Foundation of Pavia, a tertiary center of referral in the diagnosis and treatment of CTDs, ILD, and rare pulmonary diseases (4, 5, 20–32). The GI-ILD has been first created for the collegial discussion and revision of the most complex or intriguing cases of ILD through a multidisciplinary discussion (MDD). From 2015 to 2018 the selection of cases to be discussed was on individual basis, as every clinician identified independently the patients. To improve the GI-ILD diagnostic performance at the meantime reducing the risk of missed CTDs diagnosis, from 2018, we established a multistep assessment pathway for newly referred (incident) ILD patients in our hospital. Actually, the process of selection is preliminary to MDD, and it is addressed to focus on patients at increased risk of CTDs, to facilitate the admission to our Multidisciplinary Rheumatology–Pulmonology outpatient clinic for the final assessment.

GI-ILD General Organization

The organization of the GI-ILD is represented in Figure 1. Our multidisciplinary group includes a team of six Pulmonology, three Rheumatology, two Radiology, and one Pathology specialists supported by their respective fellows. The group's meetings are regularly scheduled every 2 weeks. The GI-ILD is mainly focused on ILD patients first referred to the Pulmonology Unit and without a previous diagnosis of any CTD, to rule out the occurrence of an underlying autoimmune disorder. Patients with a previous diagnosis of CTD have a direct access to the Rheumatology CTD outpatient clinic for diagnosis confirmation. During the first pulmonology assessment, patients are asked to perform or repeat pulmonary function tests (PFT) with diffusion capacity test (DLCO) and to fill in a 12-item questionnaire addressed to identify CTDs features. A previous version of this questionnaire has been applied in another setting with good results (16). When available, all the high-resolution computed tomographies (HRCT) of the chest are evaluated and, if not performed in our center, a copy of the DICOM images are stored for future MDD. Further steps include nailfold videocapillaroscopy (NVC), which is performed independently of Raynaud's Phenomenon (RP) occurrence (25), and a locally established autoimmune and laboratory panel of tests (Figure 2). To avoid possible selection bias, NVC and laboratory tests are, respectively, performed in the Rheumatology and in the Laboratory Division of the IRCCS Policlinico S. Matteo Foundation, a tertiary structure with high skills in the analysis of autoimmune and laboratory tests (33-36). Patients with either a positive questionnaire, NVC, or autoimmune and laboratory panel enter the MDD. During the MDD, the baseline screening results are presented, and the clinical case is discussed, together with the evaluation of chest HRCT images, PFT, and DLCO results. At the end of the discussion, patients without the suspect of an underlying CTD are planned for the regular pulmonology follow-up and treatment according to the suspected or established diagnosis. In case of CTD/IPAF, the patients are referred to the Multidisciplinary Rheumatology-Pulmonology outpatient clinic (RP-OC) for the final diagnostic steps, treatment, and follow-up definition. According to guidelines or expert recommendations, every patient is treated following the best therapeutic option established for the specific diagnosis.

First Step

Baseline screening questionnaire

The baseline screening questionnaire consists of 12 questions, focusing on 11 CTD manifestations such as RP (question 1), mechanic's hands and pitting scars (question 2), cutaneous sclerosis or puffy fingers (question 3), skin lesions such as heliotrope rash, Gottron's papules, malar rash (question 4), arthritis/inflammatory arthralgias (questions 5 and 6), dry eyes



FIGURE 1 | Flow chart of the multidisciplinary discussion we applied in our cohort of newly referring ILD. ILD, interstitial lung disease; GI-ILD, Multidisciplinary Group for Interstitial Lung Disease.

La	boratory questionnaire
	ANA Centromere pattern positivity
	ANA Nucleolar pattern positivity
	ANA > 1/160 titer
	Specify the pattern of ANA test:
	ANA Cytoplasmic pattern positivity
	Rheumatoid Factor
	Titer and laboratory reference values:
	Anti-CCP positivity
	Titer and laboratory reference values:
	Anti-ENA positivity
	Specify which Anti-ENA are positive:
	Myositis specific/associated antibodies positivity
	Specify which Myositis specific/associated antibodies are positive:
	Systemic sclerosis rare antibodies positivity (test only if history of Raynaud's phenomenon)
	Specify which Systemic sclerosis rare antibodies are positive:
	p-ANCA positivity (anti-myeloperoxidase antibody)
	c-ANCA positivity (anti-proteinase 3 antibody)
	Lymphopenia (lymphocytes < 1500/mmc)
	Hyperferritinemia
	Value and reference levels:
	High Creatine-phosphokinase
	Value and reference levels:
	High aldolase
	Value and reference levels:
	Nailfold videocapillaroscopy with severe alterations (scleroderma or borderline pattern)
FIGURE 2 Laboratory	tests assessed as a screening tool in newly referring patients with interstitial lung disease.

and dry mouth (question 7), oral ulcers (question 8), dysphagia (question 9), proximal muscle weakness (question 10), cutaneous telangiectasias (question 11), and other CTD (and also vasculitis)

features such as deep venous thrombosis, sinusitis, and adultonset asthma (question 12). As pointed-out, every item explores a single manifestation, except for questions 5 and 6, which should be considered as a single item. The positivity of a single item of the baseline questionnaire is sufficient to enter the MDD.

Autoimmune and laboratory tests

Laboratory tests (Figure 2) include the antinuclear antibody (ANA) test (for both classic and cytoplasmic positivity) (HEp-2000[®]; Immunoconcepts), an extractable nuclear antigen screen test (EliA SymphonyS; Phadia 250), rheumatoid factor (Rheumatoid factor Flex reagent cartridge Dimension Vista; Siemens), anticyclic citrullinated peptide antibodies (EliA CCP; Phadia 250), antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (ANCA) tests (EliA PR3S and EliA MPO S: Phadia 250), creatinephosphokinase, aldolase, erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein, and myositis-specific/myositis-associated antibodies (anti-Jo1, anti-PL7, anti-PL12, anti-OJ, anti-EJ, anti-Pm-Scl 75 and 100, anti-SRP, anti-Mi2, anti-MDA5, anti-NXP2, anti-TIF1gamma, anti-Ku, and anti-Ro52) (EUROLINE, Autoimmune Inflammatory Myopathies 16 Ag; EUROIMMUN). Systemic sclerosis rare antibodies (e.g., anti-PDGFR, anti-Ku, anti-Th/T0, anti-NOR90, anti-fibrillarin, anti-RNA polymerase I and III) [EUROLINE: Systemic Sclerosis (Nucleoli) Profile; Immunoblot EUROIMMUN] are tested only in patients with RP and after the negative result of myositis-specific/myositisassociated antibodies. As a reference value for autoimmune tests, we used the IPAF criteria (8), although for ANA without the nucleolar and anticentromere positivity, we considered as significant every pattern with titers higher than 1/160. Among the positive laboratory findings, we considered also hyperferritinemia and lymphopenia because of some reports as negative prognostic factor in patients with anti-MDA5 syndrome and thus potentially linked to the occurrence of CTD-ILD (37-39). Furthermore, on the basis of previous reports, we included also ANCA antibodies, ANA cytoplasmic positivity, and muscle enzymes assessment (15, 23, 40, 41). In case of a single positive result in autoimmune or laboratory tests, the patient is considered eligible for discussion during the GI-ILD.

Nailfold videocapillaroscopy

NVC is performed by the Rheumatology team generally within 10 days from the first pulmonology assessment. A single experienced operator (LC) performs NVC on a VideoCap 13 microscope with 200× magnification. Each exam includes the storage of pictures (three per finger) on a dedicated computer. A second rheumatologist reviews all the stored NVC images and formulates a comment (see Contribution). NVC is systematically performed in all patients according to the consolidated methodology described by Cutolo et al. (42) on each finger of both hands excluding thumbs. Patterns are described as "normal," "aspecific abnormalities," and "scleroderma pattern" (25). Scleroderma anomalies include megacapillaries, specific microhemorrhages, neoangiogenesis, or avascular areas (42). Patients with scleroderma anomalies are discussed during the GI-ILD.

Second Step

Multidisciplinary discussion

The results of the first step are presented during the GI-ILD by the clinician in charge of the patient. HRCT scans are collegially reviewed and discussed, to identify the radiological pattern of lung involvement (43). CT findings are qualitatively analyzed by two radiologists with great expertise on ILD. Similarly, PFTs results are presented, together with other clinically relevant information. In some cases, according to clinical suspicion, further analysis could be asked: muscle magnetic resonance, or muscle biopsy in suspected inflammatory myositis; plan X-rays or Doppler ultrasound of hands and feet in the suspect of arthritis; bronchoscopy with bronchoalveolar lavage fluid examination and cytogram to better characterize alveolitis; and surgical or cryo-biopsies in case of suspected IPF or other forms of fibrosing ILD not otherwise characterizable. Cases for which further analysis are needed enter a rediscussion in the subsequent GI-ILD. After the multidisciplinary discussion, patients diagnosed with a CTD-ILD or IPAF are followed up in the multidisciplinary Rheumatology-Pulmonology outpatient clinic, whereas all the other ILD patients without any rheumatologic involvement continue a regular pulmonology follow-up in a dedicated ILD outpatient clinic. According to the diagnosis, when clinically indicated, specific anti-fibrotic or immunosuppressant therapy is started.

Multidisciplinary rheumatology–pulmonology outpatient clinic

The Rheumatology-Pulmonology outpatient clinic is in charge to FMe (Pulmonologist) and to LC (Rheumatologist). At first assessment, patients generally repeat PFT with DLCO. A pulmonology and rheumatology medical examination is then performed, and all the data from the screening phase and of previous tests are reviewed. If a diagnosis is obtained, the appropriate treatment is started according to international guidelines or expert recommendations, and follow-up is planned. PFT + DLCO are repeated every 6 months. Annual HRCT is performed in patients with fibrotic ILD (with or without CTD) or IPF to follow up the stability/progression of fibrotic lung disease, as well as surveillance for possible neoplastic evolution on fibrotic scars or parenchyma. Timing for HRCT follow-up in non-fibrotic CTD-ILD depends largely on clinical and functional aspects. ILD patients diagnosed with established CTDs are subsequently followed in the CTD outpatient clinic and in the Rheumatology-Pulmonology outpatient clinic, while IPAF patients are followed up only in the Rheumatology-Pulmonology outpatient clinic, to identify patients who will develop an established CTD during follow-up. For every definite diagnosis, we adopt well-established classification criteria (8, 44-49), except for the antisynthetase syndrome, because of the lack of shared definitions (8, 50). In fact, in our cohort, every patient testing positive for antisynthetase antibodies is diagnosed with antisynthetase syndrome, in line with our previous reports (5). In case of ILD patients with clinical or laboratory findings suggestive for CTD but without fulfilling any of the existing classification criteria, the final attributed diagnosis is undifferentiated connective tissue disease (45).

Data collection

Patient's data from January to December 2018 were collected from electronic health records and medical records of GI-ILD. Every patient signed an informed consent during the first clinical evaluation. The screening questionnaire, autoimmune and laboratory tests, and NVC are collected from patient's medical records, while HRCT and PFT performed at the IRCCS Policlinico S. Matteo Foundation are stored in electronic health records. Copies of outside-performed HRCT DICOM files and PFT are recorded during GI-ILD evaluation and stored locally on a dedicated computer. All patient's medical records are stored in the multidisciplinary Rheumatology–Pulmonology outpatient clinic.

Statistical Analysis

Patients' characteristics at screening visit have been reported using median and interquartile range for the quantitative variables and absolute/relative frequency values for the qualitative ones. The population study has been divided in three different groups: connective tissue disease (CTD), which includes patients diagnosed with established autoimmune rheumatic diseases; interstitial pneumonia with autoimmune features (IPAF); and finally, the "other ILD" group, including all the remaining patients. Overall comparison among groups was performed by the one-way ANOVA or by non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test for quantitative variables and by the chi-square or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05. Significant differences between groups were further evaluated in a post-hoc analysis (head-to-head comparison) with a statistical significance set at p < 0.025 (Bonferroni correction). Analyses were performed using STATA software package (2018, release 15.1; StataCorp, College Station, TX).

RESULTS

We retrospectively analyzed the performance of the GI-ILD group from January to December 2018 (Table 1). A total of 142 patients were referred to the Pulmonology outpatient clinic for a suspected ILD. Fifteen of them were excluded from the multidisciplinary approach after the first screening visit because an alternative diagnosis out of ILD was reached (five idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension, one pulmonary veno-occlusive disease; eight chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with paraseptal emphysema mimicking lung cysts or fibrotic air space enlargements; one lung cancer with carcinomatous lymphangitis). Eight patients entered the GI-ILD multidisciplinary discussion, but a definite diagnosis was not yet established at the end of the period considered for the present study, so they were excluded from analysis (STROBE diagram, Figure 3). We thus enrolled 119 patients (59 female and 60 male, 50% each), with a median age at first referral of 70 years (interquartile range, 64-77 years). A CTD was diagnosed in 18 cases (15%: 11 male, 60%; 7 female, 40%) and an IPAF in 39 (33%: 10 male, 26%; 29 female, 74%), together representing 48% of the evaluated cases. The remaining 62 patients (52% of cases: 23 female, 37%; 39 male, 63%) had other forms of ILD (idiopathic, sarcoidosis, exposure related,

rare ILD, other origin, i.e., Langerhans cell histiocytosis and lymphangioleiomyomatosis). Sex prevalence was different across the three groups (p = 0.036). In a *post-hoc* analysis, we observed that female patients were more commonly classified as IPAF (p = 0.010). The age at first referral was not different between patients with (70 years; interquartile range, 64-77) and without CTD/IPAF (70 years; interquartile range, 63-77) (p = 0.665). In addition, when considering the referral age of CTD (median, 69 years; interquartile range, 61-73) vs. IPAF (median, 70 years; interquartile range, 64-78 years), we did not find statistically significant differences (p = 0.508). The CTD patients were classified as rheumatoid arthritis in four (3%), systemic sclerosis in three (3%), undifferentiated connective tissue disease in three (2%), and antisynthetase syndrome in two (2%) cases, whereas six patients (5%) were classified one each as polymyositis, dermatomyositis, Sjogren syndrome, scleromyositis, amyopathic dermatomyositis, and granulomatosis with polyangiitis. Although granulomatosis with polyangiitis is not a CTD but a vasculitis, we included this patient in the analysis because identified thanks to screening steps. Patients in the "other ILD" group (n = 62) were mainly classified as idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (n = 30, 48%). Interestingly, three of these patients (10%) were also diagnosed with polymyalgia rheumatica. The remaining 32 patients were diagnosed as idiopathic non-specific interstitial pneumonia (NSIP) (n = 2; 2%), respiratory bronchiolitis–ILD (n = 5; 4%), cryptogenic organizing pneumonia (n = 2; 2%), lymphoid interstitial pneumonia (n = 2; 2%), hypersensitivity pneumonitis (n = 5; 4%), secondary organizing pneumonia (OP) (n = 3; 2%), postactinic fibrosis (n = 1; 1%), sarcoidosis (n = 3; 2%), Langerhans cell histiocytosis (n = 1; 1%), lymphangioleiomyomatosis (n = 1; 1%), combined pulmonary fibrosis and emphysema (n = 5; 4%), pleuroparenchymal fibroelastosis (n = 2; 2%).

The results of the first screening step have been reported in Figure 4, stratified according to the diagnosis. The screening questionnaire discriminated well between CTD and other groups (CTD vs. IPAF, p = 0.001; CTD vs. other ILD, p < 0.001). Laboratory screening was less significantly positive in other ILD (p = 0.002 vs. CTD and p < 0.001 vs. IPAF). ANA test positivity was more common in CTD group (p = 0.016 vs. IPAF and p < 0.001 vs. other ILD) and in IPAF group (with respect to other ILD, p = 0.016), whereas cytoplasmic positivity of ANA test was more common in CTD and IPAF group with respect to other ILD (p = 0.012 and p = 0.003, respectively). A similar trend was observed for antiextractable nuclear antigen screen (p < 0.001 between IPAF and other ILD) and for myositisspecific and myositis-associated antibodies positivity (for both CTD vs. other ILD and for IPAF vs. other ILD, p < 0.001). Rheumatoid factor positivity was not different across the groups (p = 0.791), anticyclic citrullinated peptide antibodies were more common in CTD patients with respect to other ILD (p = 0.008). Finally, NVC was more frequently positive in CTDs (p = 0.003) with respect to IPAF and (p < 0.001) with respect to other ILD and in IPAF patients (p = 0.010) with respect to other ILD.

Regarding the HRCT pattern observed (Figure 5), the most prevalent was usual interstitial pneumonia (usual interstitial

Tirelli et al.

Multidisciplinary Approach for Detection of CTD-ILD

NSIP + OP, non-specific interstitial pneumonia + organizing pneumonia; UIP, usual interstitial pneumonia.

ILD category		Specific diagnosis (no of patients and %)		Median Age (y) and IQR	Male (<i>n</i> = 60; 50%)	Female (<i>n</i> = 59; 50%)	Preliminary screening phase							HRCT pattern					
							Questionnaire (≥1 item pos)	Scleroderma pattern at NVC	Laboratory screening						NSIP	NSIP + OP	- UIP (def/prob)	OP	Other patterns
			ANA						Cytoplasn ANA	nic Anti-ENA	MSA/MAA	RF	anti- CCP						
CTD-ILD	SSc RA ASSD UCTD Other CTD	4 (3%) 3 (3%) 2 (2%) 3 (2%) 6 (5%)	18 (15%)	69 (61–73)	11 (57%)	7 (43%)	100%	44%	89%	28%	28%	28%	17%	11%	34%	22%	17%	11%	17%
IPAF	IPAF	39 (33%)	39 (33%)	70 (64–78)	10 (26%)	29 (74%)	56%	10%	56%	28%	51%	56%	10%	3%	61%	8%	15%	13%	3%
Other ILD Idiopathic	RB-ILD idiopathic NSIP idiopathic	30 (25%) 5 (4%) 2 (2%) 2 (2%)	62 (52%)	70 (63–77)	39 (63%)	23 (37%)	52%	0%	32%	6%	10%	0%	3%	0%	10%	2%	61%	6%	21%
	LIP COP	0 (00()																	
		2 (2%) 2 (2%)																	
Exposur related		2 (2%)																	
Rare ILD Myscellanea	CPFE	5 (4%)																	
	PPFE	2 (2%)																	
	inea HP	5 (4%)																	
	LAM	1 (1%)																	
	LCH	1 (1%)																	
<i>p</i> -value			=0.665	=0	.036	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	=0.007	< 0.001	< 0.001	=0.791	=0.003	< 0.001	=0.008	< 0.001	=0.005	=0.035	

ILD, interstitial lung disease; CTD-ILD, connective tissue disease associated ILD; IPAF, interstitial pneumonia with autoimmune features; SSc, systemic sclerosis; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; ASSD, antisynthetase syndrome; UCTD, undifferentiated connective tissue disease; IPF, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis; RB-ILD, respiratory bronchiolitis-ILD; NSIP, non-specific interstitial pneumonia; LIP, lymphoid interstitial pneumonia; COP, cryptogenic organizing pneumonia; SOP, secondary organizing pneumonia; CPFE, combined pulmonary fibrosis and emphysema; PPFE, pleuroparenchymal fibroelastosis; HP, hypersensitivity pneumonitis; LAM, lymphangioleiomyomatosis; LCH, Langerhans cell histiocytosis. MSA/MAA, myositis specific antibodies/myositis associated antibodies; RF, rheumatoid factor; anti-CCP, anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibodies; NVC, nailfold videocapillaroscopy; NSIP, non-specific interstitial pneumonia;



epidemiology following the EQUATOR network).

pneumonia probable, n = 47, 44%) followed by NSIP (n = 24, 20%), fibrosing NSIP (n = 12, 10%) and OP (n = 11, 8%). Some patients had superimposed NSIP and OP (n = 8, 7%). The distribution of different patterns across the established groups (CTD, IPAF, and other ILD) was statistically different (p < 0.001). In particular (**Figure 5**), NSIP pattern was less common in "other ILD" (p = 0.013 vs. CTD and p < 0.001 vs. IPAF), the mixed pattern NSIP + OP was more common in CTD than in other ILD (p < 0.001), and usual interstitial pneumonia was more common in other ILD ($p \le 0.001$ with respect to other groups).

DISCUSSION

The multidisciplinary collaborative model we applied in the assessment of newly referred ILD seems to be effective in the *de novo* diagnosis of CTD/IPAF. In fact, we correctly classified more than 45% of patients within the spectrum of autoimmune connective tissue disorders. Interestingly, we did not include three patients with polymyalgia rheumatica in the CTD group, although this exclusion could be discussed, in particular if we



FIGURE 4 | Results (in percentage) of different screening steps according to final patients' classification. *Statistical significance <0.025 for *post-hoc* analysis. MSA/MAA, myositis specific antibodies/myositis associated antibodies; RF, rheumatoid factor; anti-CPP, anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibodies; NVC, nailfold videocapillaroscopy.



consider the recently described case series of Sambataro et al. (51).

The results we obtained are relevant, even because our model is reproducible and potentially applicable in other centers after an external validation of the entry questionnaire. The model described seems to improve the overall ILD management, increasing the capability to perform a preliminary differential diagnosis of possible rheumatic disorders underlying an ILD. In fact, the identification of subtle CTD signs is not always easy (52), with the risk to underdiagnose rheumatologic disorders, as we recently showed in a cohort of patients first referring to our hospital with a diagnosis of idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension (6). Furthermore, several patients we screened were at the end diagnosed with established CTDs, as a further confirmation that the definition of CTD signs is not rarely troublesome also in ILD patients. The adoption of a selfadministered questionnaire seems to represent an added value, allowing the homogeneous evaluation of CTD symptoms in a

non-rheumatology setting before the MDD. Moreover, thanks to a well-established collaboration between the Gynecology and the Rheumatology Division of our hospital, a similar approach has been previously applied to a cohort of pregnant women, showing that in patients with positive results, a final diagnosis of CTD was performed in the 25% of cases (16). This is a preliminary confirmation of the potential efficacy of a similar approach in patients referred for ILD, not suspected for but at risk to have a CTD. It is true that continuous clinical exchange within the multidisciplinary team may increase the sensibility of pulmonologist to rheumatology conditions and vice versa, but a standardized preliminary screening for ILD patients may surely reduce the interoperator variability in the assessment of CTD signs. This may be useful, in particular, in smaller secondary centers, were an MDD is not established or feasible. Obviously, as previously suggested, this approach should be validated in other contexts, and support from the National Health Systems and of respective national scientific societies will be necessary for its further application. If the questionnaire is important and generally positive in patients diagnosed with established CTD, in IPAF patients, it is possible to have only laboratory signs of autoimmunity and not clinically relevant features (8). On this basis, during the screening of ILD patients, it is mandatory not only to evaluate the autoimmune profile indicated in the IPAF criteria but also to consider other laboratory tests (15, 23) that have been associated to ILD occurrence, such as the panel we selected. The prototypical example is the cytoplasmic positivity of ANA, which has been linked to the occurrence of antisynthetase syndrome (41). Furthermore, we also enlarged the spectrum of potential rheumatology conditions identified by considering ANCA-associated vasculitis because these conditions are not rarely complicated by the occurrence of ILD (40) and are of primarily interest for both rheumatologists and pulmonologists. One of the patients discussed in the GI-ILD was diagnosed with granulomatosis with polyangiitis, having reported the occurrence of sinusitis together with ANCA positivity at baseline assessment. However, the most useful screening tool we identified was nailfold videocapillaroscopy, which was positive only in case of CTD or IPAF diagnosis, independent to the occurrence of RP, as recently shown in antisynthetase syndrome (25). Although nailfold capillaroscopy should surely enter the routine assessment of every ILD patient, the overall rate of positivity of the test we found in our cohort was quite low.

From the combination of these different domains, during the MDD, we can obtain a series of information that could be helpful in patient's classification, at the same time reducing the number of referral visits before a CTD diagnosis is established. When an ILD occurs, the early identification of CTD or IPAF is crucial and should be carefully considered for the best therapeutic strategy to apply. In fact, an ILD with an autoimmune origin could benefit from immunosuppressant drugs such as cyclophosphamide, cyclosporine, mycophenolate mofetil, azathioprine, and rituximab (20, 53, 54), whereas until now, these patients were simply excluded from the access to anti-fibrotic drugs, such as Nintedanib and Pirfenidone (55). However, the exclusion of these patients from CTD group could be discussed, in particular, if we consider the recently described case series of Sambataro et al. (51) or the promising results of the INBUILD study (56).

In conclusion, with our study, we confirmed that the multidisciplinary approach we applied may be really useful in the identification of CTD-ILD/IPAF in ILD patients without previous rheumatology diagnosis. We suggest that a rheumatologist is necessary in every ILD multidisciplinary team and that, to optimize the diagnostic pathway, a preliminary screening phase with a dedicated questionnaire could be useful. In our opinion, a targeted autoimmune and laboratory profile evaluation and nailfold capillaroscopy should be part of the routine assessment of ILD patients.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All datasets generated for this study are included in the article/supplementary material.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The GI-ILD is approved and recognized by our Foundation. In line with the Declaration of Helsinki, with our national and institutional regulations, and according to our local Institutional Review Board, we obtained from all patients the signed informed consent for the retrospective use of clinical data collected.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

TO, RD, AV, FMe, FMa, ZK, PM, and VC organized the GI-ILD. VC, CM, FMe, CS, and LC drafted the screening questionnaire. CA, LC, ZK, CS, FMa, and FMe defined the laboratory test to be searched for in the preliminary phase. LC performed the nailfold videocapillaroscopies, which were reviewed by EM and, in case of conflict, by VC and GZ. AV, RD, and AF performed, analyzed, and discussed CT scans. CT and CL for the pulmonology counterpart. SG, LD, EM, GZ, and VM for the rheumatology counterpart filled the database. LC performed statistical analysis. All Pavia's authors participated to GI-ILD meetings. The paper was mainly drafted by CT, VM, LC, and FMe. CM revised the first draft, and other authors contributed to paper improvement with respect to first version.

REFERENCES

- Antoniou KM, Margaritopoulos GA, Tomassetti S, Bonella F, Costabel U, Poletti V. Interstitial lung disease. *Eur Respir Rev.* (2014) 23:40–54. doi: 10.1183/09059180.00009113
- Kouranos V, Miranda G, Corte TJ, Renzoni EA. New treatment paradigms for connective tissue disease-associated interstitial lung disease. *Curr Opin Pulm Med.* (2018) 24:453–60. doi: 10.1097/MCP.00000000000508
- Maher TM, Wuyts W. Management of fibrosing interstitial lung diseases. Adv Ther. (2019) 36:1518–31. doi: 10.1007/s12325-019-00992-9

- Cavagna L, Monti S, Grosso V, Boffini N, Scorletti E, Crepaldi G, et al. The multifaceted aspects of interstitial lung disease in rheumatoid arthritis. *BioMed Res Int.* (2013) 2013:759760. doi: 10.1155/2013/ 759760
- Cavagna L, Nuño L, Scirè CA, Govoni M, Longo FJL, Franceschini F, et al. Clinical spectrum time course in anti Jo-1 positive antisynthetase syndrome: results from an international retrospective multicenter study. *Medicine*. (2015) 94:e1144. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000001144
- Cavagna L, Codullo V, Ghio S, Scirè CA, Guzzafame E, Scelsi L, et al. Undiagnosed connective tissue diseases: high prevalence in pulmonary arterial hypertension patients. *Medicine*. (2016) 95:e4827. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000004827
- Demoruelle MK, Mittoo S, Solomon JJ. Connective tissue disease-related interstitial lung disease. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol.* (2016) 30:39–52. doi: 10.1016/j.berh.2016.04.006
- Fischer A, Antoniou KM, Brown KK, Cadranel J, Corte TJ, du Bois RM, et al. An official European Respiratory Society/American Thoracic Society research statement: interstitial pneumonia with autoimmune features. *Eur Respir J.* (2015) 46:976–87. doi: 10.1183/13993003.00150-2015
- 9. Chartrand S, Swigris JJ, Peykova L, Chung J, Fischer A. A multidisciplinary evaluation helps identify the antisynthetase syndrome in patients presenting as idiopathic interstitial pneumonia. *J Rheumatol.* (2016) 43:887–92. doi: 10.3899/jrheum.150966
- Chaudhuri N, Spencer L, Greaves M, Bishop P, Chaturvedi A, Leonard C. A review of the multidisciplinary diagnosis of interstitial lung diseases: a retrospective analysis in a single UK specialist centre. *J Clin Med.* (2016) 5:E66. doi: 10.3390/jcm5080066
- 11. Prasad JD, Mahar A, Bleasel J, Ellis SJ, Chambers DC, Lake F, et al. The interstitial lung disease multidisciplinary meeting: a position statement from the Thoracic Society of Australia and New Zealand and the Lung Foundation Australia. *Respirology*. (2017) 22:1459–72. doi: 10.1111/resp.13163
- Kalluri M, Claveria F, Ainsley E, Haggag M, Armijo-Olivo S, Richman-Eisenstat J. Beyond idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis diagnosis: multidisciplinary care with an early integrated palliative approach is associated with a decrease in acute care utilization and hospital deaths. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. (2018) 55:420–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2017.10.016
- Richeldi L, Launders N, Martinez F, Walsh SLF, Myers J, Wang B, et al. The characterisation of interstitial lung disease multidisciplinary team meetings: a global study. *ERJ Open Res.* (2019) 5:00209–2018. doi: 10.1183/23120541.00209-2018
- 14. Fischer A, Strek ME, Cottin V, Dellaripa PF, Bernstein EJ, Brown KK, et al. Proceedings of the American College of Rheumatology/Association of Physicians of Great Britain and Ireland Connective Tissue Disease-Associated Interstitial Lung Disease Summit: a multidisciplinary approach to address challenges and opportunities. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* (2019) 71:182–95. doi: 10.1002/art.40769
- Sambataro G, Sambataro D, Torrisi SE, Vancheri A, Pavone M, Rosso R, et al. State of the art in interstitial pneumonia with autoimmune features: a systematic review on retrospective studies and suggestions for further advances. *Eur Respir Rev.* (2018) 27:170139. doi: 10.1183/16000617.0139-2017
- 16. Spinillo A, Beneventi F, Epis OM, Montanari L, Mammoliti D, Ramoni V, et al. Prevalence of undiagnosed autoimmune rheumatic diseases in the first trimester of pregnancy. Results of a two-steps strategy using a self-administered questionnaire and autoantibody testing. *BJOG.* (2018) 115:51–7. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-0528.2007.01530.x
- Sambataro G, Sambataro D, Torrisi SE, Vancheri A, Colaci M, Pavone M, et al. Clinical, serological and radiological features of a prospective cohort of interstitial pneumonia with autoimmune features (IPAF) patients. *Respir Med.* (2019) 150:154–60. doi: 10.1016/j.rmed.2019.03.011
- Chartrand S, Swigris JJ, Stanchev L, Lee JS, Brown KK, Fischer A. Clinical features and natural history of interstitial pneumonia with autoimmune features: a single center experience. *Respir Med.* (2016) 119:150– 4. doi: 10.1016/j.rmed.2016.09.002
- Jo HE, Corte TJ, Moodley Y, Levin K, Westall G, Hopkins P, et al. Evaluating the interstitial lung disease multidisciplinary meeting: a survey of expert centres. *BMC Pulm Med.* (2016) 16:22. doi: 10.1186/s12890-016-0179-3
- 20. Cavagna L, Caporali R, Abdì-Alì L, Dore R, Meloni F, Montecucco C. Cyclosporine in anti-Jo1-positive patients with corticosteroid-refractory

interstitial lung disease. J Rheumatol. (2013) 40:484–92. doi: 10.3899/jrheum.121026

- Cavagna L, Monti S, Caporali R, Gatto M, Iaccarino L, Doria A. How I treat idiopathic patients with inflammatory myopathies in the clinical practice. *Autoimmun Rev.* (2017) 16:999–1007. doi: 10.1016/j.autrev.2017.07.016
- 22. Cavagna L, Nuño L, Scirè CA, Govoni M, Longo FJL, Franceschini F, et al. Serum Jo-1 autoantibody and isolated arthritis in the antisynthetase syndrome: review of the literature and report of the experience of AENEAS collaborative group. *Clin Rev Allergy Immunol.* (2017) 52:71–80. doi: 10.1007/s12016-016-8528-9
- Cavagna L, Gonzalez Gay MA, Allanore Y, Matucci-Cerinic M. Interstitial pneumonia with autoimmune features: a new classification still on the move. *Eur Respir Rev.* (2018) 27:180047. doi: 10.1183/16000617.0047-2018
- Gonzalez-Gay MA, Montecucco C, Selva-O'Callaghan A, Trallero-Araguas E, Molberg O, Andersson H, et al. Timing of onset affects arthritis presentation pattern in antisyntethase syndrome. *Clin Exp Rheumatol.* (2018) 36:44–9.
- 25. Sebastiani M, Triantafyllias K, Manfredi A, González-Gay MA, Palmou-Fontana N, Cassone G, et al. Nailfold capillaroscopy characteristics of antisynthetase syndrome and possible clinical associations: results of a multicenter international study. *J Rheumatol.* (2019) 46:279–84. doi: 10.3899/jrheum.180355
- Piloni D, Morosini M, Magni S, Balderacchi A, Scudeller L, Cova E, et al. Analysis of long term CD4+CD25highCD127- T-reg cells kinetics in peripheral blood of lung transplant recipients. *BMC Pulm Med.* (2017) 17:102. doi: 10.1186/s12890-017-0446-y
- Nosotti M, Dell'Amore A, Diso D, Oggionni T, Aliberti S, Study Group for Thoracic Organs Transplantation. Selection of candidates for lung transplantation: the first Italian Consensus Statement. *Transplant Proc.* (2017) 49:702–6. doi: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2017.02.026
- Aiello M, Bertorelli G, Bocchino M, Chetta A, Fiore-Donati A, Fois A, et al. The earlier, the better: impact of early diagnosis on clinical outcome in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Pulm Pharmacol Ther.* (2017) 44:7–15. doi: 10.1016/j.pupt.2017.02.005
- Sverzellati N, Odone A, Silva M, Polverosi R, Florio C, Cardinale L, et al. Structured reporting for fibrosing lung disease: a model shared by radiologist and pulmonologist. *Radiol Med.* (2018) 123:245–53. doi: 10.1007/s11547-017-0835-6
- Panigada S, Ravelli A, Silvestri M, Granata C, Magni-Manzoni S, Cerveri I, et al. HRCT and pulmonary function tests in monitoring of lung involvement in juvenile systemic sclerosis. *Pediatr Pulmonol.* (2009) 44:1226–34. doi: 10.1002/ppul.21141
- Cavagna L, Caporali R. Therapeutic options in anti-jo-1 antisynthetase syndrome with interstitial lung disease: comment on the article by Marie et al. Arthritis Care Res. (2013) 65:1548. doi: 10.1002/acr.22024
- 32. Cavagna L, Castañeda S, Sciré C, Gonzalez-Gay MA, AENEAS Collaborative Group Members. Antisynthetase syndrome or what else? Different perspectives indicate the need for new classification criteria. Ann Rheum Dis. (2018) 77:e50. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-212368
- Alpini C, Lotzniker M, Valaperta S, Bottone MG, Malatesta M, Montanelli A, et al. Characterization for anti-cytoplasmic antibodies specificity by morphological and molecular techniques. *Auto Immun Highlights*. (2012) 3:79–85. doi: 10.1007/s13317-012-0033-4
- 34. Bartoloni E, Alunno A, Bistoni O, Bizzaro N, Migliorini P, Morozzi G, et al. Diagnostic value of anti-mutated citrullinated vimentin in comparison to anticyclic citrullinated peptide and anti-viral citrullinated peptide 2 antibodies in rheumatoid arthritis: an Italian multicentric study and review of the literature. *Autoimmun Rev.* (2012) 11:815–20. doi: 10.1016/j.autrev.2012.02.015
- Bizzaro N, Allegri F, Alpini C, Doria A, Gerli R, Lotzniker M, et al. Multicentric evaluation of a second generation assay to detect antiviral citrullinated peptide antibodies: a collaborative study by the Forum Interdisciplinare per la Ricerca nelle Malattie Autoimmuni. *J Clin Pathol.* (2011) 64:1139–41. doi: 10.1136/jclinpath-2011-200308
- 36. Bizzaro N, Bartoloni E, Morozzi G, Manganelli S, Riccieri V, Sabatini P, et al. Anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibody titer predicts time to rheumatoid arthritis onset in patients with undifferentiated arthritis: results from a 2-year prospective study. *Arthritis Res Ther.* (2013) 15:R16. doi: 10.1186/ar4148
 27. Ortuge T, Marchan M, Sana M, San
- Osawa T, Morimoto K, Sasaki Y, Matsuda S, Yamana K, Yano R, et al. The Serum ferritin level is associated with the treatment responsivity for

rapidly progressive interstitial lung disease with amyopathic dermatomyositis, irrespective of the anti-MDA5 antibody level. *Intern Med.* (2018) 57:387–91. doi: 10.2169/internalmedicine.8335-16

- 38. Yamada K, Asai K, Okamoto A, Watanabe T, Kanazawa H, Ohata M, et al. Correlation between disease activity and serum ferritin in clinically amyopathic dermatomyositis with rapidly-progressive interstitial lung disease: a case report. BMC Res Notes. (2018) 11:34. doi: 10.1186/s13104-018-3146-7
- 39. Xu Y, Yang CS, Li YJ, Liu XD, Wang JN, Zhao Q, et al. Predictive factors of rapidly progressive-interstitial lung disease in patients with clinically amyopathic dermatomyositis. *Clin Rheumatol.* (2016) 35:113–6. doi: 10.1007/s10067-015-3139-z
- Alba MA, Flores-Suárez LF, Henderson AG, Xiao H, Hu P, Nachman PH, et al. Interstital lung disease in ANCA vasculitis. *Autoimmun Rev.* (2017) 16:722–9. doi: 10.1016/j.autrev.2017.05.008
- 41. Aggarwal R, Dhillon N, Fertig N, Koontz D, Qi Z, Oddis CV. A negative antinuclear antibody does not indicate autoantibody negativity in myositis: role of anticytoplasmic antibody as a screening test for antisynthetase syndrome. *J Rheumatol.* (2017) 44:223–9. doi: 10.3899/jrheum.160618
- Cutolo M, Pizzorni C, Tuccio M, Burroni A, Craviotto C, Basso M, et al. Nailfold videocapillaroscopic patterns and serum autoantibodies in systemic sclerosis. *Rheumatology*. (2004) 43:719–26. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/keh156
- Walsh SLF, Hansell DM. High-resolution CT of interstitial lung disease: a continuous evolution. Semin Respir Crit Care Med. (2014) 35:129–44. doi: 10.1055/s-0033-1363458
- 44. Aletaha D, Neogi T, Silman AJ, Funovits J, Felson DT, Bingham CO, et al. 2010 Rheumatoid arthritis classification criteria: an American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism collaborative initiative. Arthritis Rheum. (2010) 62:2569–81. doi: 10.1002/art.27584
- 45. Mosca M, Neri R, Bombardieri S. Undifferentiated connective tissue diseases (UCTD): a review of the literature and a proposal for preliminary classification criteria. *Clin Exp Rheumatol.* (1999) 17:615–20.
- 46. van den Hoogen F, Khanna D, Fransen J, Johnson SR, Baron M, Tyndall A, et al. 2013 classification criteria for systemic sclerosis: an American college of rheumatology/European league against rheumatism collaborative initiative. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2013) 72:1747–55. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2013-204424
- Bohan A, Peter JB. Polymyositis and dermatomyositis (second of two parts). N Engl J Med. (1975) 292:403–7. doi: 10.1056/NEJM197502202920807
- 48. Shiboski CH, Shiboski SC, Seror R, Criswell LA, Labetoulle M, Lietman TM, et al. 2016 American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism classification criteria for primary Sjögren's syndrome: a consensus and data-driven methodology involving

three international patient cohorts. Ann Rheum Dis. (2017) 76:9–16. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2016-210571

- 49. Dasgupta B, Cimmino MA, Kremers HM, Schmidt WA, Schirmer M, Salvarani C, et al. 2012 Provisional classification criteria for polymyalgia rheumatica: a European League Against Rheumatism/American College of Rheumatology collaborative initiative. *Arthritis Rheum*. (2012) 64:943–54. doi: 10.1016/j.ymed.2012.09.009
- Castañeda S, Cavagna L, González-Gay MA. New criteria needed for antisynthetase syndrome. *JAMA Neurol.* (2018) 75:258–9. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2017.3872
- 51. Sambataro G, Sambataro D, Pignataro F, Torrisi SE, Vancheri A, Pavone M, et al. Interstitial lung disease in patients with polymyalgia rheumatica: a case series. *Respir Med Case Rep.* (2019) 26:126–30. doi: 10.1016/j.rmcr.2018.12.014
- 52. Cottin V. Idiopathic interstitial pneumonias with connective tissue diseases features: a review. *Respirol.* (2016) 21:245–58. doi: 10.1111/resp.12588
- Bauhammer J, Blank N, Max R, Lorenz HM, Wagner U, Krause D, et al. Rituximab in the treatment of Jo1 Antibody-associated antisynthetase syndrome: anti-Ro52 positivity as a marker for severity and treatment response. J Rheumatol. (2016) 43:1566–74. doi: 10.3899/jrheum.150844
- 54. Denton CP, Khanna D. Systemic sclerosis. Lancet. (2017) 390:1685-99. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30933-9
- Raghu G, Remy-Jardin M, Myers JL, Richeldi L, Ryerson CJ, Lederer DJ, et al. Diagnosis of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. An official ATS/ERS/JRS/ALAT clinical practice guideline. *Am J Resp Crit Care Med.* (2018) 198:e44–68. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201807-1255ST
- Flaherty KR, Wells AU, Cottin V, Devaraj A, Walsh SLF, Inoue Y, et al. Nintedanib in progressive fibrosing interstitial lung diseases. N Engl J Med. (2019) 381:1718–27. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1908681

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Tirelli, Morandi, Valentini, La Carrubba, Dore, Zanframundo, Morbini, Grignaschi, Franconeri, Oggionni, Marasco, De Stefano, Kadija, Mariani, Codullo, Alpini, Scirè, Montecucco, Meloni and Cavagna. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.