



Potential Value of Expiratory CT in Quantitative Assessment of Pulmonary Vessels in COPD

Xianxian Cao^{1,2†}, Xiaoyan Gao^{1,3†}, Nan Yu⁴, Meijuan Shi⁵, Xia Wei⁶, Xiaoqi Huang⁷, Shudi Xu⁶, Jiantao Pu⁸, Chenwang Jin^{1*} and Youmin Guo¹

¹ Department of Radiology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China, ² Department of Diagnostic Imaging, National Cancer Center/National Clinical Research Center for Cancer/Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China, ³ Medical Imaging Center, Shaanxi Provincial People's Hospital, Xi'an, China, ⁴ Department of Radiology, The Affiliated Hospital of Shaanxi University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Xianyang, China, ⁵ Department of Radiology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China, ⁶ Department of Respiratory Medicine, The Ninth Hospital of Xi'an Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China, ⁷ Department of Radiology, The Affiliated Hospital of Yan'an University, Yan'an, China, ⁸ Departments of Radiology and Bioengineering, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, United States

Objective: To investigate the associations between intrapulmonary vascular volume (IPVV) depicted on inspiratory and expiratory CT scans and disease severity in COPD patients, and to determine which CT parameters can be used to predict IPVV.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Hsiao-Chi Chuang, Taipei Medical University, Taiwan

Reviewed by:

Shin Matsuoka, St. Marianna University School of Medicine, Japan Yueh-hsun Lu, Taipei Medical University, Taiwan

> *Correspondence: Chenwang Jin jcw76@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Pulmonary Medicine, a section of the journal Frontiers in Medicine

Received: 20 August 2021 Accepted: 16 September 2021 Published: 14 October 2021

Citation:

Cao X, Gao X, Yu N, Shi M, Wei X, Huang X, Xu S, Pu J, Jin C and Guo Y (2021) Potential Value of Expiratory CT in Quantitative Assessment of Pulmonary Vessels in COPD. Front. Med. 8:761804. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.761804 **Methods:** We retrospectively collected 89 CT examinations acquired on COPD patients from an available database. All subjects underwent both inspiratory and expiratory CT scans. We quantified the IPVV, airway wall thickness (WT), the percentage of the airway wall area (WA%), and the extent of emphysema (LAA%₋₉₅₀) using an available pulmonary image analysis tool. The underlying relationship between IPVV and COPD severity, which was defined as mild COPD (GOLD stage I and II) and severe COPD (GOLD stage III and IV), was analyzed using the Student's *t*-test (or Mann-Whitney *U*-test). The correlations of IPVV with pulmonary function tests (PFTs), LAA%₋₉₅₀, and airway parameters for the third to sixth generation bronchus were analyzed using the Pearson or Spearman's rank correlation coefficients and multiple stepwise regression.

Results: In the subgroup with only inspiratory examinations, the correlation coefficients between IPVV and PFT measures were $-0.215 \sim -0.292$ (p < 0.05), the correlation coefficients between IPVV and WT₃₋₆ were $0.233 \sim 0.557$ (p < 0.05), and the correlation coefficient between IPVV and LAA%₋₉₅₀ were $0.238 \sim 0.409$ (p < 0.05). In the subgroup with only expiratory scan, the correlation coefficients between IPVV and PFT measures were $-0.238 \sim -0.360$ (p < 0.05), the correlation coefficients between IPVV and WT₃₋₆ were $0.260 \sim 0.566$ (p < 0.05), and the correlation coefficient between IPVV and WT₃₋₆ were $0.260 \sim 0.566$ (p < 0.05), and the correlation coefficient between IPVV and LAA%₋₉₅₀ were $0.241 \sim 0.362$ (p < 0.05). The multiple stepwise regression analyses demonstrated that WT were independently associated with IPVV (P < 0.05).

Conclusion: The expiratory CT scans can provide a more accurate assessment of COPD than the inspiratory CT scans, and the airway wall thickness maybe an independent predictor of pulmonary vascular alteration in patients with COPD.

Keywords: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), computed tomography, intrapulmonary vessels, inspiratory, expiratory

1

INTRODUCTION

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is very prevalent worldwide and carries high mortality and morbidity rates (1, 2). Among COPD patients, 30–70% have clinically significant pulmonary vascular disease (3–5). The major vascular alterations are vascular remodeling and vasoconstriction

TABLE 1 | Patient Characteristics and PFT results in the COPD subjects.

Characteristic	COPD subjects ($n = 89$		
Age (years)	63.6 ± 9.4		
Sex, %female	19 (21.35%)		
BMI (kg/m²)	22.76 ± 3.59		
GOLD stage I:II:III:IV	12:31:28:18		
FEV1/FVC%	51.45 ± 9.75		
FEV1%	47.00 (32.85)		

BMI, body mass index; FEV₁/FVC, ratio of forced expiratory volume in 1 s to forced vital capacity; FEV₁, percentage predicted forced expiratory volume in 1 s. caused by emphysema and/or hypoxemia, and often cause pulmonary hypertension (6, 7). There are investigations showing that pulmonary vascular alterations were found in patients with mild COPD, even in non-smokers with normal lung function (8, 9). All these suggest that pulmonary vascular alterations may persist throughout the entire progress of COPD, and it is important to develop methods to quantitatively assess the pulmonary vascular alterations in COPD.

The high-resolution characteristic of computed tomography (CT) makes it possible to visualize very detailed lung structures and quantify a variety of lung abnormalities, such as emphysema, airway remodeling, and pulmonary vascular alterations in COPD (10, 11). There have been investigative efforts made to quantitatively assess pulmonary vascular alterations in COPD. Matsuoka et al. (12) proposed the total cross-sectional area (CSA) of small pulmonary vessels as an index of pulmonary vascular alterations. They reported that %CSA<5 mm² had a significant correlation with forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁) and FEV₁/forced vital capacity (FVC) as well as %LAA₋₉₅₀ in severe COPD. Previous studies (13–15) have demonstrated that there were quantitative pulmonary



FIGURE 1 | Flow chart of CT quantitative parameter measurement. (A) The delineation of lung fissures and the identification of lung lobes on CT images; (B) The total lung volume identified by the 3D adaptive border marching algorithm, the lung fissure segmented by the computational geometry approach, and the five lung lobes segmented by implicit surface functions; (C) The principal curvatures and the principal directions were used to distinguish pulmonary vessels from lung tissue, and the vascular tree was automatically extracted and segmented to calculate IPVV in the whole lung and each individual lobe; The differential geometric approach to segment the airway tree, and the average values of the measurements for the 3–6th bronchial generation were automatically calculated; The extent of emphysema under the threshold of –950 HU was automatically computed, the area shown in color.

		Mild COPD ($n = 43$)	Severe COPD ($n = 46$)	t/z-value	P-value
Inspiration IPVV					
	WL	168.94 ± 44.12	176.78 ± 48.61	-0.796	0.428
	RL	87.66 (35.42)	92.83 ± 25.05	-0.452	0.652
	LL	77.12 ± 22.36	85.15 ± 24.04	-1.628	0.107
	RUL	33.68 (15.16)	33.07 (15.26)	-0.164	0.87
	RML	12.36 (5.69)	14.13 ± 5.42	-1.355	0.176
	RLL	$43.41 \pm 12.46^{*}$	$43.69 \pm 13.11^*$	-0.105	0.917
	LUL	38.37 (14.95)	40.29 ± 12.98	-0.435	0.663
	LLL	37.58 ± 13.24	45.65 ± 13.84	-2.809	0.006
Expiration IPVV					
	WL	145.37 ± 49.68	171.18 ± 45.11	-2.568	0.012
	RL	79.46 ± 25.73	90.46 ± 22.90	-2.133	0.036
	LL	65.91 ± 26.06	81.93 ± 22.98	-3.081	0.003
	RUL	29.57 (16.28)	31.50 (11.32)	-1.067	0.286
	RML	10.87 (5.00)	14.32 ± 5.54	-2.451	0.014
	RLL	35.69 ± 15.26	$41.58 \pm 12.56^{*}$	-1.993	0.049
	LUL	34.97 ± 13.99	39.53 ± 12.33	-1.635	0.106
	LLL	30.94 ± 16.01	43.34 ± 14.83	-3.793	< 0.00
Difference Value					
	WL	17.19 (32.77)	4.72 (16.95)	-3.966	< 0.00
	RL	11.00 (16.12)	1.71 (10.60)	-3.834	< 0.00
	LL	8.82 (13.97)	1.00 (8.16)	-3.53	< 0.00
	RUL	3.15 (4.82)	0.10 (3.23)	-3.875	< 0.00
	RML	0.72 (1.76)	-0.19 ± 1.24	-3.654	< 0.00
	RLL	4.80 (12.39)*	0.93 (5.09)	-3.296	0.001
	LUL	4.58 ± 5.60	0.78 (3.82)	-3.851	< 0.00
	LLL	5.54 (7.52)	2.07 (6.17)	-3.206	0.001
Relative Value					
	WL	1.10 (0.24)	1.03 (0.10)	-4.335	< 0.00
	RL	1.14 (0.25)	1.02 (0.12)	-4.171	< 0.00
	LL	1.11 (0.30)	1.02 (0.09)	-3.966	< 0.00
	RUL	1.10 (0.21)	1.00 (0.10)	-3.982	< 0.00
	RML	1.09 (0.13)	1.01 (0.11)	-3.752	< 0.00
	RLL	1.14 (0.36)	1.02 (0.12)	-3.465	0.001
	LUL	1.11 (0.20)	1.02 (0.10)	-3.998	< 0.00
	LLL	1.14 (0.55)	1.04 (0.13)	-3.563	< 0.00

IPVV, intrapulmonary vascular volume; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; WL, the whole lung; RL, the right lung; LL, the left lung; RUL, the right upper lobe; RML, the right night lower lobe; RLL, the right lower lobe; LLL, the left upper lobe; LLL, the left lower lobe.

*Difference of IPVV between RUL and RLL, P < 0.05.

vascular features, such as the percentage of total vessel area and the number of small vessels, closely associated with survival and PFT measures in COPD patients. It is notable that most of the available investigations about pulmonary vascular alternation were limited to the inspiratory CT scans. Although there are studies (16–18) demonstrating the unique value of expiratory CT examinations in assessing COPD, it is unclear whether the expiratory CT scans have any advantage over inspiratory CT scans in assessing pulmonary vascular alternation.

In this study, we proposed to quantify the intrapulmonary vascular volume (IPVV) depicted on CT images in COPD

patients. The objective is to study whether pulmonary vascular alternations in COPD subjects are associated with emphysema extent, pulmonary functions, and airway abnormalities, and to determine which parameter can be used as predictor of IPVV in COPD patients. In particular, we performed the analyses on both inspiratory and expiratory CT scans, aiming to clarify the potential of expiratory CT examinations in assessing pulmonary vascular alternations in COPD. For this purpose, we established a dataset consisting of 89 paired inspiration-expiration CT scans. A detailed description of our dataset, methods, and experimental results follows.



FIGURE 2 | Comparison of IPVV between mild and severe COPD in inspiratory and expiratory CT. **(A,C)** A male with mild COPD (GOLD II, 68 years): a, the IPVV of the whole lung in inspiratory CT is 209.95 ml; b, the IPVV of the whole lung in expiratory CT is 169.10 ml. **(B,D)** A male with severe COPD (GOLD III, 64 years): a, the IPVV of the whole lung in inspiratory CT is 208.31 ml; b, the IPVV of the whole lung in expiratory CT is 201.18 ml.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population

We retrospectively identified 92 patients from the "Digital Lung" Respiratory Disease Evaluation System and Diagnostic Criteria (201402013). These subjects were diagnosed with COPD and underwent both inspiratory and expiratory CT examinations. COPD was diagnosed on the basis of past history, physical examination, and spirometry data by following the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) (1) diagnostic criteria ($FEV_1/FVC < 70\%$ bronchodilators inhaled). Among the collected subjects, three were excluded, because of the involved issues: (1) concomitant lung diseases such as interstitial lung disease, lung cancer, infectious pneumonia, and pulmonary tuberculosis; (2) previous lung surgery; (3) insufficient CT quality of analysis; and (4) unable to complete the pulmonary function test. As a result, we have 89 subjects involved in this study and the demographics information was summarized in Table 1. All subjects were divided into subjects with mild COPD (GOLD I and II, n = 43) and subjects with severe COPD (GOLD III and IV, n=46) for comparison of IPVV. This retrospective study was approved by the Chinese Clinical Research Registry (Grant No.: ChiCTR-OCH-14004904), and written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

Pulmonary Function Tests

All subjects underwent spirometry according to American Thoracic Society/European Thoracic Society guidelines (19). PFT measurements included forced expiratory volume during the first second of exhalation (FEV₁) percent to the predicted value (FEV₁%predicted) post inhalation of 200 μ g salbutamol, FEV₁/forced vital capacity ratio (FEV₁/FVC), the ratio of residual volume to total lung capacity(RV%TLC) and the diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide (DL_{CO}). Referring to previous studies (20, 21), we only used the FEV₁% predicted and FEV₁/FVC in the subsequent analysis in this study.

CT Scan Acquisition

The CT examinations were performed at full inspiration and expiration states for the involved subjects in the supine position using 64-slice multi-detector CT scanners (SOMATOM Definition AS; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). All subjects were given breathing training prior to examination. The scan parameters were as follows: tube voltage: 100 or 120 KV tube current, autoexposure control, exposure time: 0.5 s, and the matrix size: 512×512 pixels. Images were reconstructed with a 1 mm slice thickness (with 0.625 mm overlap) using a standard kernel algorithm.

Image Processing

We analyzed the CT scans using the FACT-Digital Lung Workstation (Dexin, Xi'an, China), which have both US FDA 510K and CFDA cleared. This software system enables automated segmentation of a variety of lung structures, including right/left lungs, lung vessels, airway trees, inner/outer airway walls. On the basis of the segmentations, an automatically 3D approach was used to reconstruct the pulmonary vasculature and calculate the entire volume of the intrapulmonary vascular volume (IPVV) in the whole lung or each individual lobe. In inspiratory and expiratory CT, the measures of IPVV all includes the vascular wall and lumen of both arteries and veins, which is specified in milliliter (ml). We also measured the airway wall thickness (WT), and the percentage of the airway wall area (WA%) of the 3-6th generations and the extent of emphysema in each individual lobe of both inspiratory and expiratory CT examinations. The extent of emphysema, which is defined as the percentage of lung area with CT attenuation values <-950 HU at inspiration (LAA%₋₉₅₀), was also automatically computed at a threshold of -950 Hounsfield Unit (HU). The difference in the values between inspiratory and expiratory scans was defined as difference value, the ratio of inspiratory scans to expiratory was defined as relative value. Detailed descriptions of these computerized schemes have been reported elsewhere (22-24), and the segmentation results were shown in Figure 1.

Statistical Analysis

We assessed the correlations of IPVV with PFT measures, WT₃₋₆, and LAA%₋₉₅₀ using Pearson or Spearman's rank correlation analysis and multiple linear regression analysis with step-wise selection method for inspiratory and expiratory CT. Continuous data were tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk or Kolmogorov-Smirnov test according to the number of subjects. Data meeting the normal distribution were expressed as mean \pm SD. Non-normally distributed data were expressed as median (interquartile range). The comparison of IPVV between mild COPD (GOLD stage I and II) and



expiratory CT; (B) Comparison in difference value and relative value.

severe COPD (GOLD stage III and IV) was analyzed using the Student's *t*-test or Mann-Whitney *U*-test. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 20.0. A *p*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The comparison of IPVV between mild and severe COPD in inspiratory and expiratory CT were summarized in **Table 2** and **Figures 2**, **3**. In the subgroup with only expiratory CT examinations, there were significant differences of IPVV between mild and severe COPD groups, except for the right upper lobe (RUL, p = 0.286) and left upper lobe (LUL, p = 0.106). In contrast, in the subgroup with only inspiratory CT examinations, only the IPVV value in the left lower lobe (LLL, p = 0.006) showed a difference regardless COPD severity. The IPVV values of the lower lobes were consistently higher than those of the upper lobes in both inspiratory and expiratory CT scans. For the difference values and relative values, the changes of IPVV in the severe COPD groups were significantly less than the mild.

TABLE 3 | Correlation between IPVV and PFT.

Pulmonary vascular measurement	Spirometry			
	FEV ₁ /FVC	FEV ₁ %		
Inspiration				
IPVV _{RUL}	-0.289 (0.006)	-0.046 (0.666)		
IPVV _{RML}	-0.280 (0.008)	-0.246 (0.020)		
IPVV _{RLL}	-0.215 (0.043)	-0.062 (0.562)		
IPVV _{LUL}	-0.283 (0.007)	-0.094 (0.383)		
IPVVLLL	-0.292 (0.005)	-0.230 (0.030)		
Expiration				
IPVV _{RUL}	-0.318 (0.002)	-0.168 (0.117)		
IPVV _{RML}	-0.346 (0.001)	-0.360 (0.001)		
IPVV _{RLL}	-0.238 (0.024)	-0.202 (0.057)		
IPVV _{LUL}	-0.326 (0.002)	-0.243 (0.022)		
IPVVLLL	-0.292 (0.005)	-0.297 (0.005)		
Difference Value				
IPWRUL	0.157 (0.143)	0.393 (<0.001)		

TABLE 3 | Continued

Pulmonary vascular measurement	Spirometry			
	FEV ₁ /FVC	FEV ₁ %		
IPWRML	0.137 (0.202)	0.353 (0.001)		
IPVV _{RLL}	0.176 (0.099)	0.338 (0.001)		
IPVVLUL	0.162 (0.130)	0.406 (<0.001)		
IPVVLLL	0.173 (0.106)	0.311 (0.003)		
Relative Value				
IPVV _{RUL}	0.200 (0.060)	0.412 (<0.001)		
IPVV _{RML}	0.211 (0.047)	0.391 (<0.001)		
IPVV _{RLL}	0.197 (0.064)	0.367 (<0.001)		
IPWLUL	0.226 (0.033)	0.444 (<0.001)		
IPVVLLL	0.228 (0.032)	0.359 (0.001)		

FEV₁/FVC, ratio of forced expiratory volume in 1s to forced vital capacity; FEV₁, percentage predicted forced expiratory volume in 1s; IPVV, the intrapulmonary vascular volume; All P-values were presented in parentheses.

The correlations between IPVV and PFT measures were presented in **Table 3**. For the inspiratory CT scan, there were mild negative correlations between IPVV and FEV₁/FVC in each individual lobes (r = -0.215 to -0.292, all p < 0.05), between IPVV and FEV₁% in right middle lobe (RML, r = -0.246, p = 0.020) and LLL(r = -0.230, p = 0.030). LAA%₋₉₅₀ (r = 0.221 to 0.409, all p < 0.05) and WT_{3-6th} (r = 0.233 to 0.557, all p < 0.05) were significantly associated with IPVV in all lobes (see **Figures 4**, **5** and **Table 4**). In particular, the strongest correlation was consistently observed for right lower lobe (RLL) and LLL. IPVV had no association WA%, except for WA%_{4-5th} in RML (r = -0.272, -0.236, respectively, p < 0.05) and WA%_{6th} in LUL(r = -0.219, p = 0.045).

For expiratory CT scans, FEV₁/FVC (r = -0.238 to -0.346, p < 0.05) and FEV₁% (r = -0.243 to -0.360, all p < 0.05) had a significant, mild-to-moderate negative correlation with IPVV, except for FEV₁% in RUL and RLL. LAA%₋₉₅₀ and WT_{3-6th} (except for WT_{5-6th} in RML) positively correlated with IPVV (see **Figures 6**, 7 and **Table 4**). Similar to the inspiratory





CT, IPVV had no association with WA%, except for WA%_{3th} (r = -0.266, p = 0.016) in LLL. The correlation coefficients of the expiratory CT were slightly higher than that of the inspiratory CT.

For the respiratory variation, FEV₁% showed significant, moderate positive correlations with the difference value and relative value (r = 0.350-0.463, all p < 0.05), and FEV₁/FVC showed mild positive correlations with the relative value (except for RUL and RLL, p > 0.05). There was no correlation between FEV₁/FVC and the difference value.

Table 5 shows the results of multiple linear regression analysis, where IPVV was the dependent variable, age, BMI and other CT parameters were the independent variables. In inspiratory CT, the R^2 values of each pulmonary lobe regression equation were within the range of 0.075–0.426, while the R^2 was 0.165–0.559 in the expiratory, except for RML. The R^2 value of each lobe in the expiratory was higher than the inspiratory. The largest R^2 was observed at LLL in two respiratory phases, while the least is RML. The multiple regression analysis for IPVV revealed that WT was a significant independent predictor of IPVV at the inspiratory and expiratory CT, particularly in difference value and relative value.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we quantified IPVVs depicted on both inspiratory and expiratory CT scans and investigated their associations with pulmonary functions, airway remodeling, and disease severity in



COPD patients. The analyses were performed at the levels of the entire lungs and individual lobes. Our experimental results showed that the IPVV could serve as a quantitative index for pulmonary vascular alternations in COPD patients. In particular, the IPVVs quantified using expiratory CT examinations does not only provide a more reliable and accurate assessment of pulmonary vascular alternations and COPD as well as their progression than the inspiratory CT examinations, but also allows the calculation of the difference and relative value to show the dynamic changes of IPVV during respiration. Additionally, the multiple linear regression analyses showed that bronchial wall thickness had significant correlation with IPVV and suggested that WT might be an independent predictor of pulmonary vascular alteration in COPD.

Our findings are in consistent with Estepar et al.'s investigation (25), where there was no association between COPD severity

and total blood vessel volume depicted on inspiratory CT scans. In contrast, in the expiratory CT examinations, the IPVV in severe COPD subgroup were significantly higher than those in the mild COPD subgroup at the levels of in the entire lung and individual lobes except for RUL and LUL. The difference value and relative value between inspiratory and expiratory CT revealed that the alteration of severe COPD was less than the mild. The results demonstrated that pulmonary vascular alternations between breaths decreased with the increase of COPD severity.

Stronger correlations were found between IPVV and PFT in the expiratory CT compared with the inspiratory CT. This finding reinforces the viewpoint about the value of expiratory CT in COPD patients proposed by previous studies (17, 26). Matsuoka et al. (27) reported that the correlation coefficients between airway luminal area measured at expiratory CT and PFT



Pulmonary vascular measurement	Emphysema index	Airway measurements							
	LAA%-950	WA%3	WA% ₄	WA%5	WA%6	WT ₃	WT ₄	WT ₅	WT ₆
Inspiration									
IPVV _{RUL}	0.238 (0.025)	-0.099 (0.362)	-0.124 (0.256)	-0.062 (0.575)	-0.157 (0.176)	0.233 (0.030)	0.257 (0.017)	0.391 (<0.001)	0.415 (<0.001)
IPVV _{RML}	0.221 (0.037)	-0.142 (0.192)	-0.272 (0.013)	-0.236 (0.037)	-0.101 (0.437)	0.280 (0.009)	0.258 (0.019)	0.372 (0.001)	0.257 (0.043)
IPVV _{RLL}	0.409 (<0.001)	-0.109 (0.311)	0.045 (0.684)	0.100 (0.364)	0.219 (0.045)	0.448 (<0.001)	0.460 (<0.001)	0.422 (<0.001)	0.557 (<0.001)
IPVVLUL	0.353 (0.001)	-0.027 (0.802)	-0.046 (0.678)	0.031 (0.776)	-0.021 (0.852)	0.383 (<0.001)	0.351 (0.001)	0.401 (<0.001)	0.425 (<0.001)
IPVVLLL	0.406 (<0.001)	-0.036 (0.745)	0.079 (0.470)	0.181 (0.096)	0.087 (0.434)	0.440 (<0.001)	0.487 (<0.001)	0.481 (<0.001)	0.432 (<0.001)
Expiration									
IPVV _{RUL}	0.265 (0.012)	0.137 (0.218)	-0.030 (0.793)	-0.144 (0.248)	0.073 (0.589)	0.370 (0.001)	0.394 (<0.001)	0.422 (<0.001)	0.418 (0.001)
IPVV _{RML}	0.241 (0.023)	-0.160 (0.157)	-0.186 (0.124)	-0.167 (0.213)	-0.093 (0.631)	0.308 (0.005)	0.260 (0.030)	0.242 (0.070)	0.256 (0.181)
IPVV _{RLL}	0.362 (<0.001)	-0.027 (0.807)	-0.091 (0.425)	0.153 (0.184)	0.158 (0.182)	0.529 (<0.001)	0.383 (<0.001)	0.527 (<0.001)	0.504 (<0.001)
IPVVLUL	0.361 (0.001)	0.040 (0.717)	0.088 (0.437)	-0.051 (0.665)	-0.048 (0.718)	0.417 (<0.001)	0.455 (<0.001)	0.446 (<0.001)	0.566 (<0.001)
IPVVLLL	0.355 (0.001)	-0.266 (0.016)	0.139 (0.238)	-0.178 (0.140)	0.199 (0.146)	0.474 (<0.001)	0.441 (<0.001)	0.481 (<0.001)	0.535 (<0.001)

IPVV, the intrapulmonary vascular volume; LAA%_950, the percentage of lung area with CT attenuation values <-950HU; WT₃₋₆, airway wall thickness of the 3–6th generations; WA%₃₋₆, the percentage of the airway wall area of the 3–6th generations. All P-values were presented in parentheses.

TABLE 5 | Multiple linear regression analysis of IPW in inspiratory CT, expiratory CT, difference value and relative value.

Inspiratory IPVV		β	CI	P-value
RUL ($R^2 = 0.160$)	BMI	-0.88	(-1.75, -0.02)	0.045
	WT ₆	39.86	(14.95, 64.77)	0.002
RML ($R^2 = 0.075$)	WT ₅	10.05	(0.40, 19.69)	0.042
RLL ($R^2 = 0.373$)	LAA%-950	0.21	(0.06, 0.35)	0.006
	WT ₆	46.45	(27.91, 65.00)	<0.001
LUL ($R^2 = 0.189$)	WT ₅	46.80	(24.17, 69.44)	<0.001
LLL ($R^2 = 0.426$)	Age	-0.38	(-0.66, -0.11)	0.007
	LAA%-950	0.18	(0.04, 0.33)	0.016
	WA%4	-46.00	(-81.93, -10.07)	0.013
	WT ₄	50.17	(30.98, 69.37)	<0.001
Expiratory IPVV		β	CI	P-value
$RUL (R^2 = 0.165)$	WT ₆	41.22	(15.11, 67.33)	0.003
RLL ($R^2 = 0.439$)	Age	-0.32	(-0.63, -0.01)	0.045
	LAA%-950	0.29	(0.08, 0.50)	0.007
	WA%4	-61.44	(-108.07, -14.81)	0.011
	WT ₅	67.73	(43.21, 92.24)	<0.001
LUL ($R^2 = 0.330$)	WT ₅	61.69	(37.69, 85.68)	< 0.001
LLL ($R^2 = 0.559$)	Age	-0.50	(-0.83, -0.16)	0.005
	WA%3	-95.39	(-144.02, -46.75)	0.001
	WT ₆	67.83	(41.88, 93.79)	<0.001
	LAA%-950	0.24	(0.04, 0.45)	0.021
Difference Value		β	CI	P-value
$RUL(R^2 = 0.196)$	WT ₆	18.42	(7.60, 29.24)	0.001
$RML(R^2 = 0.174)$	WT ₃	4.97	(0.30, 9.65)	0.038
RLL ($R^2 = 0.247$)	WT ₅	27.92	(15.73, 40.10)	< 0.001
LUL ($R^2 = 0.191$)	WT ₄	14.05	(5.93, 22.17)	0.001
LLL ($R^2 = 0.283$)	Age	0.22	(0.04, 0.41)	0.017
	WT ₄	22.50	(10.71, 34.29)	<0.001
Relative Value		β	CI	P-value
RUL ($R^2 = 0.359$)	WT ₆	0.39	(0.03, 0.76)	0.035
	LAA%-950	-0.11	(-0.19, -0.04)	0.004
	WT ₄	0.40	(0.03, 0.76)	0.035
RML ($R^2 = 0.385$)	WT ₃	0.79	(0.32, 1.26)	0.002
	WA%3	-0.93	(-1.70, -0.17)	0.019
RLL ($R^2 = 0.119$)	WT ₅	1.54	(0.49, 2.59)	0.005
LUL ($R^2 = 0.253$)	WT ₃	0.49	(0.25, 0.72)	<0.001
LLL ($R^2 = 0.311$)	WT ₅	1.47	(0.79, 2.15)	<0.001
	WA%5	-1.11	(-1.78, -0.45)	0.002

BMI, body mass index; IPVV, the intrapulmonary vascular volume; LAA%_₉₅₀, the percentage of lung area with CT attenuation values <-950 HU; WT₃₋₆, airway wall thickness of the 4–6th generations; WA%₃₋₄, the percentage of the airway wall area of the 3–4th generations.

were higher than those for inspiratory CT. Gawlitza et al. (17) demonstrated that quantitative CT parameters of emphysema such as mean lung density and low attenuation volume in expiratory phase show stronger correlation with lung function testing than the inspiratory. Nevertheless, there are few studies on the expiratory CT involving pulmonary blood vessels. Our study not only verified higher correlations in expiratory CT but also found significant correlations between $FEV_1\%$ and the difference, relative values. Compared with the difference value, the relative value may be the better indicator of changes in

pulmonary vessels during respiration. From a pathophysiological standpoint, this may be explained by promotion of pulmonary vasoconstriction and remodeling by expiratory state in patients with airflow obstruction (6).

We in particular investigated the association between pulmonary vascular disease and airway disease. Very limited investigations (28) have been conducted in this regard. We found that the airway wall thickness correlated positively with the IPVV on both inspiratory and expiratory CT. Our finding indicated that vascular alteration in COPD was influenced by both emphysema and airway remodeling, and the multiple linear regression analyses of inspiratory, expiratory CT, the difference and relative value showed that the main parameter able to explain pulmonary vascular alteration in patients with COPD was WT, which was similar in part to the result reported by Coste et al. (29). Furthermore, the higher correlations in the expiratory CT demonstrated that the expiratory CT had potential value in quantitative pulmonary vascular disease and evaluating the severity and progress of COPD, compared with the inspiratory CT.

When evaluating the distribution of pulmonary vascular alteration in different lobes, Wrobel et al. (30) quantified the percentage wall thickness to vessel diameter and showed that there was increased pulmonary arterial remodeling in the upper lobes compared with the lower lobes in subjects with COPD. Our results were in consistent with Estepar et al.'s (25) but contradict with Wrobel et al.'s (30) in that the IPVV of the lower lobes was higher than that of the upper lobes. However, this study failed to observe significant difference in IPVV between LUL and LLL. This may be due to the influence of cardiac motion in the left lung, resulting in some errors in IPVV measurement (31) and the limitation of the small datasets. Additional efforts are needed to verify this.

We are aware that the primary limitations with this study is the relatively small dataset for the analyses. There is significant imbalance with the study population in many aspects, such as gender, disease severity, and lung functions. All these along with other potential confounding factors (e.g., image quality and acquisition protocols) could unavoidably lead to some biases in both conclusion and analyses, and this may also be the reason why the correlation coefficient of this study is small. Nevertheless, the findings in this study suggest the unique potential of expiratory CT scans in analyzing pulmonary vascular alternations and the potential association of pulmonary vascular alternations with COPD and other airway diseases.

In conclusion, the quantitative parameter IPVV demonstrated significant associations with PFT, emphysema

REFERENCES

- Vogelmeier CF, Criner GJ, Martinez FJ, Anzueto A, Barnes PJ, Bourbeau J, et al. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive lung disease 2017 report: GOLD executive summary. *Eur Respir J.* (2017) 49:1700214. doi: 10.1183/13993003.00214-2017
- Wang C, Xu J, Yang L, Xu Y, Zhang X, Bai C, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in China (the China Pulmonary Health [CPH] study): a national cross-sectional study. *Lancet*. (2018) 391:1706–17. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30841-9
- Chatila WM, Thomashow BM, Minai OA, Criner GJ, Make BJ. Comorbidities in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Proc Am Thorac Soc.* (2008) 5:549– 55. doi: 10.1513/pats.200709-148ET
- Chaouat A, Naeije R, Weitzenblum E. Pulmonary hypertension in COPD. Eur Respir J. (2008) 32:1371–85. doi: 10.1183/09031936.00015608
- Rahaghi FN, Wells JM, Come CE, De La Bruere IA, Bhatt SP, Ross JC, et al. Arterial and venous pulmonary vascular morphology and their relationship to findings in cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in smokers. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* (2016) 40:948–52. doi: 10.1097/RCT.000000000 0000465

and airway disease in patients with COPD, the expiratory CT and the relative values showed potential values in quantifying pulmonary vascular alterations and evaluating the severity of COPD. Additionally, the airway wall thickness may be the independent predictor of pulmonary vascular alteration in COPD. Further work is required to clarify and validate the exact value of expiratory CT in quantitative pulmonary vessels in COPD with advanced quantitative technique.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Chinese Clinical Research Registry (Grant No.: ChiCTR-OCH-14004904) and written informed consent was obtained from all subjects. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

XC, CJ, and YG conceived of the idea. XC conducted statistical analyses. XG, NY, XW, and XH collected the data. XC and XG wrote the manuscript with inputs from all authors. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health from National Health and Family Planning Commission of China (No. 201402013).

- Rahaghi FN, van Beek EJ, Washko GR. Cardiopulmonary coupling in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: the role of imaging. *J Thorac Imaging*. (2014) 29:80–91. doi: 10.1097/RTI.000000000000076
- Minai OA, Chaouat A, Adnot S. Pulmonary hypertension in COPD: epidemiology, significance, and management: pulmonary vascular disease: the global perspective. *Chest.* (2010) 137:39S–51S. doi: 10.1378/chest.10-0087
- Matsuura Y, Kawata N, Yanagawa N, Sugiura T, Sakurai Y, Sato M, et al. Quantitative assessment of cross-sectional area of small pulmonary vessels in patients with COPD using inspiratory and expiratory MDCT. *Eur J Radiol.* (2013) 82:1804–10. doi: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2013.05.022
- Uejima I, Matsuoka S, Yamashiro T, Yagihashi K, Kurihara Y, Nakajima Y. Quantitative computed tomographic measurement of a cross-sectional area of a small pulmonary vessel in nonsmokers without airflow limitation. *Jpn J Radiol.* (2011) 29:251–5. doi: 10.1007/s11604-010-0551-9
- Washko GR, Coxson HO, O'Donnell DE, Aaron SD. CT imaging of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: insights, disappointments, and promise. *Lancet Respir Med.* (2017) 5:903–8. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(17)30345-4
- Schroeder JD, McKenzie AS, Zach JA, Wilson CG, Curran-Everett D, Stinson DS, et al. Relationships between airflow obstruction and quantitative CT measurements of emphysema, air trapping, and airways in subjects with

and without chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Roentgenol. (2013) 201:W460-70. doi: 10.2214/AIR.12.10102

- 12. Matsuoka S, Washko GR, Dransfield MT, Yamashiro T, San Jose Estepar R, Diaz A, et al. Quantitative CT measurement of cross-sectional area of small pulmonary vessel in COPD: correlations with emphysema and airflow limitation. Acad Radiol. (2010) 17:93-9. doi: 10.1016/j.acra.2009.07.022
- 13. Cho YH, Lee SM, Seo JB, Kim N, Bae JP, Lee JS, et al. Quantitative assessment of pulmonary vascular alterations in chronic obstructive lung disease: associations with pulmonary function test and survival in the KOLD cohort. Eur J Radiol. (2018) 108:276-82. doi: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2018.09.013
- 14. Wang Z, Chen X, Liu K, Xie W, Wang H, Wei Y, et al. Small pulmonary vascular alteration and acute exacerbations of COPD: quantitative computed tomography analysis. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis. (2016) 11:1965-71. doi: 10.2147/COPD.S112651
- 15. Yu N, Wei X, Li Y, Deng L, Jin CW, Guo Y. Computed tomography quantification of pulmonary vessels in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease as identified by 3D automated approach. Medicine. (2016) 95:e5095. doi: 10.1097/MD.000000000005095
- 16. Akira M, Toyokawa K, Inoue Y, Arai T. Quantitative CT in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: inspiratory and expiratory assessment. Am J Roentgenol. (2009) 192:267-72. doi: 10.2214/AJR.07.3953
- 17. Gawlitza J, Trinkmann F, Scheffel H, Fischer A, Nance JW, Henzler C. et al. Time to exhale: additional value of expiratory chest CT in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Can Respir J. (2018) 2018:9493504. doi: 10.1155/2018/9493504
- 18. Gawlitza J, Haubenreisser H, Henzler T, Akin I, Schonberg S, Borggrefe M, et al. Finding the right spot: where to measure airway parameters in patients with COPD. Eur J Radiol. (2018) 104:87-93. doi: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2018.05.003
- 19. Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, Burgos F, Casaburi R, Coates A, et al. Standardisation of spirometry. Eur Respir J. (2005) 26:319-38. doi: 10.1183/09031936.05.00034805
- 20. Yahaba M, Kawata N, Iesato K, Matsuura Y, Sugiura T, Kasai H, et al. The effects of emphysema on airway disease: correlations between multidetector CT and pulmonary function tests in smokers. Eur J Radiol. (2014) 83:1022-8. doi: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2014.03.003
- 21. Onoe R, Yamashiro T, Handa H, Azagami S, Matsuoka S, Inoue T, et al. 3D-measurement of tracheobronchial angles on inspiratory and expiratory chest CT in COPD: respiratory changes and correlation with airflow limitation. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis. (2018) 13:2399-407. doi: 10.2147/COPD.S165824
- 22. Pu J, Roos J, Yi CA, Napel S, Rubin GD, Paik DS. Adaptive border marching algorithm: automatic lung segmentation on chest CT images. Comput Med Imaging Graph. (2008) 32:452-62. doi: 10.1016/j.compmedimag.2008.04.005
- 23. Pu J, Leader JK, Zheng B, Knollmann F, Fuhrman C, Sciurba FC, et al. A computational geometry approach to automated pulmonary fissure segmentation in CT examinations. IEEE Trans Med Imaging. (2009) 28:710-9. doi: 10.1109/TMI.2008.2010441
- 24. Pu J, Zheng B, Leader JK, Fuhrman C, Knollmann F, Klym A, et al. Pulmonary lobe segmentation in CT examinations using implicit surface fitting. IEEE

Trans Med Imaging. (2009) 28:1986-96. doi: 10.1109/TMI.2009.2027117

- 25. Estepar RS, Kinney GL, Black-Shinn JL, Bowler RP, Kindlmann GL, Ross JC, et al. Computed tomographic measures of pulmonary vascular morphology in smokers and their clinical implications. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. (2013) 188:231-9. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201301-0162OC
- 26. Lynch DA, Austin JH, Hogg JC, Grenier PA, Kauczor HU, Bankier AA, et al. CT-definable subtypes of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a statement of the Fleischner Society. Radiology. (2015) 277:192-205. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2015141579
- 27. Matsuoka S, Kurihara Y, Yagihashi K, Hoshino M, Nakajima Y. Airway dimensions at inspiratory and expiratory multisection CT in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: correlation with airflow limitation. Radiology. (2008) 248:1042-9. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2491071650
- 28. Dournes G, Laurent F, Coste F, Dromer C, Blanchard E, Picard F, et al. Computed tomographic measurement of airway remodeling and emphysema in advanced chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Correlation with pulmonary hypertension. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. (2015) 191:63-70. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201408-1423OC
- 29. Coste F, Dournes G, Dromer C, Blanchard E, Freund-Michel V, Girodet PO, et al. CT evaluation of small pulmonary vessels area in patients with COPD with severe pulmonary hypertension. Thorax. (2016) 71:830-7. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2015-207696
- 30. Wrobel JP, McLean CA, Thompson BR, Stuart-Andrews CR, Paul E, Snell GI, et al. Pulmonary arterial remodeling in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease is lobe dependent. Pulm Circ. (2013) 3:665-74. doi: 10.1086/6 74339
- 31. Karayama M, Lnui N, Mori K, Kono M, Hozumi H, Suzuki Y, et al. Respiratory impedance is correlated with morphological changes in the lungs on three-dimensional CT in patients with COPD. Sci Rep. (2017) 7:41709. doi: 10.1038/srep41709

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Cao, Gao, Yu, Shi, Wei, Huang, Xu, Pu, Jin and Guo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Cao et al.