Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Roberto Gramignoli, Karolinska Institutet (KI), Sweden

REVIEWED BY Haitao Shi, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, China Darrick Li, Yale University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Jong Seung Kim Kisjdk@jbnu.ac.kr

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Gastroenterology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Medicine

RECEIVED 21 October 2022 ACCEPTED 12 December 2022 PUBLISHED 11 January 2023

CITATION

Kim M-G, Im Y-J, Lee J-H, Kim E-Y, Yeom SW and Kim JS (2023) Comparison of hepatotoxicity of tegoprazan, a novel potassium-competitive acid blocker, with proton pump inhibitors using real-world data: A nationwide cohort study. *Front. Med.* 9:1076356. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.1076356

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Kim, Im, Lee, Kim, Yeom and Kim. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Comparison of hepatotoxicity of tegoprazan, a novel potassium-competitive acid blocker, with proton pump inhibitors using real-world data: A nationwide cohort study

Min-Gul Kim^{1,2,3,4,5}, Yong-Jin Im^{2,3,5}, Jong-Hwan Lee⁶, Eun-Young Kim^{2,3,5}, Sang Woo Yeom⁷ and Jong Seung Kim^{3,4,6,7}*

¹Department of Pharmacology, Medical School, Jeonbuk National University, Jeonju, South Korea,
²Center for Clinical Pharmacology, Jeonbuk National University Hospital, Jeonju, South Korea,
³Biomedical Research Institute of Jeonbuk National University Hospital, Jeonju, South Korea,
⁴Research Institute of Clinical Medicine of Jeonbuk National University, Jeonju, South Korea,
⁵Nanum Space Co. Ltd., Jeonju, Jeonbuk, South Korea, ⁶Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Medical School, Jeonbuk National University, Jeonju, South Korea, ⁷Department of Medical Informatics, Medical School, Jeonbuk National University, Jeonju, South Korea

Background: Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) are acid suppressants that are frequently prescribed in many countries to reduce heartburn. A potassium-competitive acid blocker (P-CAB; tegoprazan) was launched relatively recently that also inhibits gastric acid secretion. This study aimed to compare the hepatotoxicity of the six existing PPIs with P-CAB.

Methods: This retrospective cohort study was conducted between January 2019 and December 2020 and included data from the total population of 50 million inhabitants in Korea. Propensity score (PS) matching was performed using 10 variables, and the differences in hepatotoxicity between P-CAB and the six PPIs were compared in a similar distribution. The primary endpoint was hepatotoxicity which included toxic liver disease, hepatitis, hepatic failure, liver transplantation, and other liver diseases.

Results: The risk ratios (RR) of tegoprazan vs. the six PPIs (dexlansoprazole, esomeprazole, lansoprazole, omeprazole, pantoprazole, and rabeprazole) were all significant [RR: 0.70 (95% CI: 0.69–0.72), 0.81 (95% CI: 0.79–0.83), 0.61 (95% CI: 0.59–0.63), 1.17 (95% CI: 1.13–1.20), 0.61 (95% CI: 0.59–0.62), and 0.73 (95% CI: 0.71–0.75), respectively]. The risk ratio of tegoprazan vs. the six existing PPIs was 0.73 (95% CI: 0.72–0.75). The hazard ratios (HRs) of hepatotoxicity of the six PPIs to tegoprazan showed significantly higher values apart from omeprazole (HR: dexlansoprazole, 1.13; esomeprazole, 1.04; lansoprazole, 1.25; omeprazole, 0.77; pantoprazole, 1.26; rabeprazole, 1.15, respectively, and the six existing PPIs, 1.10).

Conclusion: Using a large-scale data cohort analysis consisting of 50 million Koreans, tegoprazan did not induce higher hepatotoxicity compared with the six conventional PPIs.

KEYWORDS

P-CAB, dexlansoprazole, esomeprazole, lansoprazole, omeprazole, pantoprazole, rabeprazole, PPI

Introduction

Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) are drugs that are frequently used to treat the symptoms associated with excessive gastric acid secretion. PPI is a treatment of choice drug to treat erosive esophagitis, GERD, and peptic ulcer disease and is an essential drug to increase the susceptibility of antibiotics in Helicobacter pylori eradication therapy (1-3). PPI has extensive Food and Drug Administration-approved indications such as (1) healing of erosive esophagitis, (2) maintenance of healed erosive esophagitis, (3) treatment of GERD, (4) risk reduction for gastric ulcer associated with NSAIDs, (5) H. pylori eradication to reduce the risk of duodenal ulcer recurrence in combination with antibiotics, (6) hypersecretory conditions including Zollinger-Ellison syndrome, and (7) short-term and maintenance treatment for duodenal ulcer (3). They irreversibly act as a disulfide bond to H⁺/K⁺ ATPase resulting in a strong gastric acid inhibitory effect.

Despite a long history of use and relatively well-established safety profiles, PPIs still cannot completely fulfill the requirements necessary to control gastrointestinal (GI) problems. PPIs are inactive when taken and do not take effect until they are absorbed from the small intestine (4). To counter these limitations, potassium-competitive acid blockers (P-CABs) were developed in the early 1980s, and these bind reversibly to the K⁺ binding domain of H⁺/K⁺ ATPase to prevent gastric acid secretion. However, earlier P-CABs, such as SCH28080, were discontinued due to hepatotoxicity in the human study (5, 6). A phase 2 clinical trial of YH4808 for reflux esophagitis was discontinued due to hepatotoxicity (7). AZD0865, which was introduced to treat erosive and nonerosive reflux disease, had liver toxicity issues in a randomized comparative trial, and its development was discontinued (8, 9).

Currently, there are only three P-CABs (revaprazan, vonoprazan, and tegoprazan) that have overcome these problems and have been approved by some regulatory agencies (10). In South Korea, tegoprazan has been on the market since 2019. Although there were no significant side effects in phase 3 clinical trials of tegoprazan, the concerns over hepatotoxicity were not completely dispelled (11). Dyspepsia, chest discomfort, and headache are all reported as drug-related, treatment-emergent adverse events for tegoprazan, but the fact that it belongs to the P-CAB drug group does not completely eliminate the concern over hepatotoxicity that existed in early P-CABs.

Recently, many studies have been conducted using real-world data (RWD), including electronic health records and insurance claims data, for postmarket drug safety monitoring. Since South Korea has a national insurance system, the Health Insurance Review and Assessment (HIRA) Service, it is possible to evaluate the rare adverse drug reactions using the HIRA database. This study aimed to compare the risk of hepatotoxicity with tegoprazan vs. PPIs.

Methods

Data source

Data selected from the anonymized HIRA database included patient demographics and detailed data from diagnosis. Since 99% of patients in Korea are enrolled in health insurance, the HIRA database is a dataset that is representative of the entire population. The database contains demographic data such as age, sex, and income quintile of all patients, and also medical information such as date of treatment, whether or not surgery was performed, outpatient or admitted as an inpatient, course of treatment, treatment details, diagnosis, and type of surgery.

Study design

This nationwide population-based retrospective cohort study compared the risk of hepatotoxicity from tegoprazan compared with PPIs.

Study population

The definition of the experimental cohort was "tegoprazan prescription". The definition of the comparable cohort was "any PPI prescription". The observation period was defined as the time from the first prescription of tegoprazan or PPI to the last day of tegoprazan or PPI plus up to 14 days. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) any tegoprazan or PPI drug user; and (2) age over 18 years. There were two exclusion criteria: (1) no follow-up data available in the database after the first prescription of tegoprazan or PPI; and (2) the patient taking two or more drugs among tegoprazan and six PPIs during the observation period. In the case of consecutive prescription dates in one patient, this case was evaluated as one case, and if prescriptions were received 28 days after the date of an earlier prescription, each was evaluated as a separate case.

Propensity score matching

In this study, 10 variables that may affect hepatotoxicity were defined as follows (12, 13). Demography: (1) age (per 10-year increase; reference age was set as the average age of all patients); (2) sex (reference: male); (3) antibiotic usage, and (4) seven liver-related diagnostic records <1 year before PPI or P-CAB prescription



(viral hepatitis, any type of malignancy, alcoholic hepatitis, chronic hepatitis, chronic hepatic failure, liver cirrhosis, and non-alcoholic fatty liver). To rule out hepatotoxicity from combined drugs, we used the combined antibiotics usage as matching variables in the propensity score matching. The antibiotics used are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

The independent variables in the comparable cohort had a distribution as similar as possible to the independent variables in the experimental cohort, and this was an essential part of the scientific method. For this purpose, 1:1 propensity score matching was performed six times (for P-CAB vs. the six PPIs) in the entire population. The propensity score was defined through logistic regression with 10 variables, and it was matched using the nearest greedy method. The potential risk of hepatotoxicity in the P-CAB and the PPI groups was equalized through propensity score matching.

Outcomes

The primary outcome was hepatotoxicity. Overall hepatotoxicity was subdivided on the basis of hepatotoxicity-related outcomes: (1) toxic liver disease; International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision (ICD-10), code K71; (2) hepatic failure, code K72; (3) hepatitis, codes K75.2, K75.9; (4) liver transplantation, code Z94.4; and (5) other liver diseases, codes K76, K76.2, K76.7, and K76.9. Any hepatotoxicity was defined as a new entry of an ICD-10 code for hepatotoxic disease during the observation period.

Statistical analysis

Data analysis was conducted between January 2019 and December 2020. The Cox proportional hazard model was used considering the prescription day of tegoprazan or PPIs and the observation period. The hazard ratio (HR), risk ratio (RR), and cumulative incidence rate were calculated using R 3.5.3 software (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Results

Baseline characteristics and incidence rates for hepatotoxicity with the six PPIs or tegoprazan

Among the 50 million people included in the HIRA database, 6,487,583 cases were defined in the study population (dexlansoprazole, 295,002; esomeprazole, 2,580,212; lansoprazole, 802,779; omeprazole, 251,966; pantoprazole, 675,991; rabeprazole, 1,352,744; and tegoprazan, 528,889) (Figure 1).

Considering the 10 variables, 1:1 propensity score matching was performed, and 1,737,176 out of 6,487,583 cases were selected as data to be used for analysis.

The standardized mean difference (SMD) values for the six PPI groups and the tegoprazan group for age, sex, use of antibiotics, and the seven liver-related underlying diseases were all <0.2, indicating a homogeneous distribution (Table 1). In South Korea, only one tablet per day is recognized in health insurance claims for all PPIs and P-CAB; therefore, all doses are the same with one tablet per day. The duration of prescription with regard to the six PPIs and P-CAB was not different, and the SMDs were <0.2; thus, it can be seen that there was relatively no significant difference in the dose and duration of prescription for P-CAB and the six PPIs (14, 15).

Cumulative incidence rates of the six PPIs and tegoprazan

Tegoprazan had a lower cumulative incidence rate for overall hepatotoxicity than the six PPIs (Figure 2A). Subgroup analysis showed that tegoprazan clearly had a lower cumulative incidence rate than five of the PPIs (dexlansoprazole, esomeprazole, lansoprazole, pantoprazole, and rabeprazole) (Figure 2B).

Risk ratios for hepatotoxicity of the six PPIs and tegoprazan

Tegoprazan had the lowest hepatotoxicity compared to the other PPIs. The risk ratio for tegoprazan vs. the six available PPIs was 0.73 (95% CI: 0.72–0.75) (Figure 3A). The risk ratios for tegoprazan vs. dexlansoprazole, esomeprazole, lansoprazole, omeprazole, pantoprazole, and rabeprazole were all significant [RR: 0.70 (95% CI: 0.69–0.72), 0.81 (95% CI: 0.79–0.83), 0.61

(95% CI: 0.59-0.63), 1.17 (95% CI: 1.13-1.20), 0.61 (95% CI: 0.59-0.62), and 0.73 (95% CI: 0.71-0.75), respectively] (Figure 3A).

In subgroup analysis, tegoprazan had a better result for RR [0.46 (95% CI: 0.31–0.68)] than omeprazole for liver transplantation, equivalent results for toxic liver disease and hepatic failure [RR; 1.01 (95% CI: 0.92–1.10), 0.52 (95% CI: 0.25–1.09), respectively], and a poorer outcome for acute hepatitis [RR; 1.42 (95% CI: 1.33–1.51)] (Figure 3B).

In all other subcategories, tegoprazan had lower RR values than the other five PPIs (Figure 3B).

Cox proportional hazard analysis for hepatotoxicity of the six PPIs and tegoprazan

A Cox proportional hazard model was used to consider the interrelationships of all variables associated with hepatotoxicity over time. Apart from omeprazole, all PPIs had higher adjusted HRs for overall hepatotoxicity compared with tegoprazan [HR: 6 PPIs, 1.10 (95% CI: 1.08–1.13); dexlansoprazole, 1.13 (95% CI: 1.10–1.16); esomeprazole, 1.04 (95% CI: 1.01–1.07); lansoprazole, 1.25 (95% CI: 1.22–1.29); omeprazole, 0.77 (95% CI: 0.75–0.79); pantoprazole, 1.26 (95% CI: 1.23–1.29); and rabeprazole, 1.15 (95% CI: 1.12–1.18)] (Figure 4).

Overall, hepatotoxicity increased with age [HR 1.18 (95% CI: 1.17–1.19)], and there was a lower risk in women [HR 0.81 (95% CI: 0.80–0.82)].

Prior antibiotic use was a factor that increased the risk of hepatotoxicity [HR 1.31 (95% CI: 1.30–1.33)], and all seven liver-related underlying diseases (viral hepatitis, any type of malignancy, alcoholic hepatitis, chronic hepatitis, chronic hepatic failure, liver cirrhosis, and non-alcoholic fatty liver) were significant factors that increased hepatotoxicity [HR: 2.07 (95% CI: 2.03–2.12), 1.70 (95% CI: 1.67–1.73), 2.45 (95% CI: 2.37–2.52), 2.29 (95% CI: 2.23–2.34), 1.52 (95% CI: 1.27–1.82), 1.64 (95% CI: 1.56–1.73), 2.06 (95% CI: 2.02–2.10), respectively] (Figure 4).

Discussion

Tegoprazan, a novel P-CAB that has recently been introduced, provides a good alternative for gastric acid-related problems (14). It is an acid-stable, rapid-acting, long-lasting, and reversible inhibitor of H^+ - K^+ ATPase (7). We have shown that the hepatotoxicity of tegoprazan is significantly lower than the six available PPIs.

Proton pump inhibitors are known to be relatively safe since they have been used for peptic ulcer disease and *H. pylori* eradication for the past 30 years (15). However, there are reports that they affect calcium metabolism during long-term use and

	Tegoprazan	Dexlansoprazole	Esomeprazole	Lansoprazole	Omeprazole	Pantoprazole	Rabeprazole	SMD
N (%)	248,168	248,168	248,168	248,168	248,168	248,168	248,168	
Age, years [mean (SD)]	52.96 (16.55)	56.14 (16.73)	55.19 (16.23)	56.86 (16.86)	53.55 (16.70)	56.81 (16.78)	56.11 (16.25)	0.09
Sex female	134,092 (54.03)	130,116 (52.43)	130,157 (52.45)	126,928 (51.15)	128,774 (51.89)	125,366 (50.52)	132,949 (53.57)	0.03
Sex male	114,076 (45.97)	118,052 (47.57)	118,011 (47.55)	121,240 (48.85)	119,394 (48.11)	122,802 (49.48)	115,219 (46.43)	
Antibiotic	150,376 (60.59)	160,523 (64.68)	157,546 (63.48)	162,199 (65.36)	145,699 (58.71)	157,028 (63.27)	156,247 (62.96)	0.06
Viral hepatitis	7,590 (3.06)	9,244 (3.72)	8,939 (3.60)	10,078 (4.06)	7,530 (3.03)	10,859 (4.38)	8,832 (3.56)	0.03
Malignancy	17,233 (6.94)	29,371 (11.84)	21,622 (8.71)	31,620 (12.74)	18,453 (7.44)	30,466 (12.28)	19,626 (7.91)	0.08
Alcoholic hepatitis	3,015 (1.21)	3,589 (1.45)	3,808 (1.53)	4,800 (1.93)	3,004 (1.21)	4,712 (1.90)	3,894 (1.57)	0.03
Chronic hepatitis	6,102 (2.46)	6,362 (2.56)	7,432 (2.99)	8,411 (3.39)	6,910 (2.78)	8,584 (3.46)	6,899 (2.78)	0.03
Chronic hepatic failure	27 (0.01)	38 (0.02)	61 (0.02)	75 (0.03)	41 (0.02)	97 (0.04)	42 (0.02)	0.09
Liver cirrhosis	652 (0.26)	916 (0.37)	1,066 (0.43)	1,169 (0.47)	637 (0.26)	1,396 (0.56)	1,048 (0.42)	0.02
Non-alcoholic fatty liver	13,439 (5.42)	12,670 (5.11)	13,728 (5.53)	13,251 (5.34)	13,771 (5.55)	13,402 (5.40)	15,014 (6.05)	0.01
Duration, days [mean (SD)]	32.21 (63.00)	67.37 (135.21)	53.25 (113.77)	68.26 (140.74)	45.42 (102.21)	68.53 (143.66)	58.40 (125.89)	0.14
Hepatotoxicity	9,169 (3.69)	13,011 (5.24)	11,364 (4.58)	15,034 (6.06)	7,866 (3.17)	15,103 (6.09)	12,570 (5.07)	0.06
Toxic liver disease	987 (0.40)	1,741 (0.70)	1,298 (0.52)	2,215 (0.89)	978 (0.39)	1,945 (0.78)	1,262 (0.51)	
Hepatic failure	11 (0.00)	21 (0.01)	52 (0.02)	54 (0.02)	21 (0.01)	55 (0.02)	22 (0.01)	
Acute hepatitis	2,285 (0.92)	3,311 (1.33)	2,558 (1.03)	2,941 (1.19)	1,609 (0.65)	3,127 (1.26)	2,966 (1.20)	
Liver transplantation	36 (0.01)	70 (0.03)	285 (0.11)	136 (0.05)	79 (0.03)	645 (0.26)	59 (0.02)	
Other liver disease	5,850 (2.36)	7,867 (3.17)	7,170 (2.89)	9,688 (3.90)	5,178 (2.09)	9,330 (3.76)	8,261 (3.33)	



cause an increased risk of hip fractures (16), and there are reports that they are associated with community-acquired pneumonia

(17). In addition, since all PPIs are metabolized by CYP2C19, the cytochrome P450 isozyme, there are reports stating that caution

Hepatotoxicity Overall Hepatotoxicity			Total number (P-CAB)	Total number (PPIs)	Risk ratio (95% CI)
			rotar namber (r -oAB)		
Overall Hepatotoxicity					
Tegoprazan vs 6PPIs	 0 		9169	75171	0.73 (0.72 to 0.75)
Tegoprazan vs Dexlansoprazole	Hel		9169	13017	0.70 (0.69 to 0.72)
Tegoprazan vs Esomerazole	Hel		9169	11385	0.81 (0.79 to 0.83)
Tegoprazan vs Lansoprazole	H		9169	15066	0.61 (0.59 to 0.63)
Tegoprazan vs Omeprazole		Heri	9169	7880	1.17 (1.13 to 1.20)
Tegoprazan vs Pantoprazole	H		9169	15232	0.61 (0.59 to 0.62)
Tegoprazan vs Rabeprazole	Hel		9169	12591	0.73 (0.71 to 0.75)
Subgroup Analysis of Hepatotoxicity			Total number (P-CAB)	Total number (PPIs)	Risk ratio (95% CI)
Toxic Liver Disease					
Tegoprazan vs 6PPIs		iei	987	9468	0.63 (0.59 to 0.67)
Tegoprazan vs Dexlansoprazole		ы	987	1742	0.57 (0.52 to 0.61)
Tegoprazan vs Esomerazole		н	987	1300	0.76 (0.70 to 0.83)
Tegoprazan vs Lansoprazole		н	987	2220	0.45 (0.41 to 0.48)
Tegoprazan vs Omeprazole		Hei	987	980	1.01 (0.92 to 1.10)
Tegoprazan vs Pantoprazole		Iel	987	1962	0.51 (0.47 to 0.55)
		101	987	1264	0.78 (0.72 to 0.85)
Tegoprazan vs Rabeprazole			907	1264	0.78 (0.72 to 0.85)
Hepatic Failure					
Tegoprazan vs 6PPIs			11	225	0.29 (0.16 to 0.54)
Tegoprazan vs Dexlansoprazole	н	•	11	21	0.52 (0.25 to 1.09)
Tegoprazan vs Esomerazole	•	-	11	52	0.21 (0.11 to 0.41)
Tegoprazan vs Lansoprazole		-	11	54	0.20 (0.11 to 0.39)
Tegoprazan vs Omeprazole	⊢		11	21	0.52 (0.25 to 1.09)
Tegoprazan vs Pantoprazole		-	11	55	0.20 (0.11 to 0.39)
Tegoprazan vs Rabeprazole			11	22	0.50 (0.24 to 1.03)
Acute Heptatis					0.00 (0.24 (0 1.00)
Tegoprazan vs 6PPIs		н	2285	16560	0.83 (0.79 to 0.87)
Tegoprazan vs Dexlansoprazole			2285	3313	0.69 (0.65 to 0.73)
Tegoprazan vs Esomerazole		(e)	2285	2563	0.89 (0.84 to 0.94)
Tegoprazan vs Lansoprazole		н	2285	2947	0.78 (0.74 to 0.82)
Tegoprazan vs Omeprazole		(e)	2285	1612	1.42 (1.33 to 1.51)
Tegoprazan vs Pantoprazole		н	2285	3154	0.73 (0.69 to 0.77)
Tegoprazan vs Rabeprazole		ж	2285	2971	0.77 (0.73 to 0.81)
Liver Transplantation					
Tegoprazan vs 6PPIs	⊢ •−−1		36	1281	0.17 (0.12 to 0.24)
Tegoprazan vs Dexlansoprazole			36	70	0.51 (0.34 to 0.77)
Tegoprazan vs Esomerazole	— •—		36	286	0.13 (0.09 to 0.18)
Tegoprazan vs Lansoprazole	⊢ •		36	136	0.27 (0.18 to 0.38)
Tegoprazan vs Omeprazole		-	36	79	0.46 (0.31 to 0.68)
Tegoprazan vs Pantoprazole	⊢ ●−−1		36	651	0.06 (0.04 to 0.08)
Tegoprazan vs Rabeprazole		—	36	59	0.61 (0.40 to 0.93)
Other liver disease					
Tegoprazan vs 6PPIs			5850	47637	0.74 (0.72 to 0.76)
Tegoprazan vs Dexlansoprazole			5850	7871	0.74 (0.72 to 0.77)
Tegoprazan vs Esomerazole			5850	7184	0.82 (0.79 to 0.84)
Tegoprazan vs Lansoprazole		*	5850	9709	0.60 (0.58 to 0.62)
			0000		
Tegoprazan vs Omeprazole		*	5850	5188	1.13 (1.09 to 1.17)
		н	5850 5850 5850	5188 9410 8275	1.13 (1.09 to 1.17) 0.63 (0.61 to 0.65) 0.71 (0.69 to 0.73)

FIGURE 3

(A) Forest plots of overall hepatotoxicities showing the risk ratios for tegoprazan vs. the six available PPIs. (B) Forest plots of each hepatotoxicity in subgroup analysis showing the risk ratios for tegoprazan vs. the six available PPIs.



should be exercised when co-administered with drugs such as clopidogrel (15). Theoretically, since PPIs are metabolized in the liver, they may be hepatotoxic, and this was reported in several articles (18–20).

Tegoprazan is a novel drug that was approved in 2018 and launched in March 2019 in Korea. We evaluated its hepatotoxicity by analyzing the claims data from HIRA for a 1-year period after launch (January 2019 to December 2020). In South Korea, National Health Insurance covers \sim 98% of the total Korean population; thus, large-scale longitudinal cohort data analysis was possible.

In this study, hepatotoxicity was analyzed by dividing the patients into five subgroups (toxic liver disease, hepatic failure, hepatitis, liver transplantation, and other liver diseases) based on the hepatotoxicity-related outcome. We analyzed hepatotoxicity using various statistical models—the incidence rate, the cumulative incidence rate using the Cox proportional hazard model, and the risk ratio.

This study had several limitations. First, there is an inherent disadvantage embodied in the research design of a retrospective

study. Second, in the definition of hepatotoxicity, laboratory results or image tests were not included, and as it was a claimbased study, it was defined only by the ICD-10 code.

However, to overcome these disadvantages, we analyzed a large-scale dataset of \sim 250,000 individuals using propensity score matching, a technique that has only recently been introduced. It controlled tegoprazan and each PPI so that they had similar risks of hepatotoxicity. In addition, the strength of this study is that it identified the hepatotoxicity of PPI and P-CAB accurately by analyzing the entire population data of 50 million people in South Korea.

In conclusion, when analyzed using real data, tegoprazan did not induce higher hepatotoxicity compared to six conventional PPIs. Although the six existing PPIs are recognized as relatively safe drugs, in this study, tegoprazan was associated with lower hepatotoxicity than these PPIs. It reduced the risk of overall hepatotoxicity by \sim 27% compared with the PPIs. In the future, real-world data-based drug safety monitoring will be able to confirm the hepatotoxicity of tegoprazan more clearly.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

This study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of JBNUH (IRB number CUH 2020-04-029-002). As information used for analyses from the NHIS-National Sample Cohort database was encrypted, anonymized, and de-identified, informed consent was not required.

Author contributions

M-GK: conceptualization, writing—review and editing, and project administration. Y-JI, J-HL, E-YK, and SY: data curation and statistical analysis. JK: data curation, analysis and interpretation of data, and writing—original draft preparation. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

References

1. Kantor ED, Rehm CD, Haas JS, Chan AT, Giovannucci EL. Trends in prescription drug use among adults in the United States from 1999-2012. *JAMA*. (2015) 314:1818–30. doi: 10.1001/jama.2015.13766

2. Le Thi TG, Werkstetter K, Kotilea K, Bontems P, Cabral J, Cilleruelo Pascual ML, et al. Management of Helicobacter pylori infection in paediatric patients in Europe: results from the EuroPedHp Registry. *Infection.* (2022) 1–14. doi: 10.1007/s15010-022-01948-y. [Epub ahead of print].

3. McDonagh MS, Carson S, Thakurta S. *Drug Class Review: Proton Pump Inhibitors: Final Report Update 5.* Portland, OR: Oregon Health and Science University (2009).

4. Sachs G, Shin JM, Vagin O, Lambrecht N, Yakubov I, Munson K. The gastric H, K ATPase as a drug target: past, present, and future. *J Clin Gastroenterol.* (2007) 41:S226. doi: 10.1097/MCG.0b013e31803233b7

5. Hori Y, Matsukawa J, Takeuchi T, Nishida H, Kajino MN, Inatomi E, et al. A Study comparing the antisecretory effect of TAK-438, a novel potassiumcompetitive acid blocker, with lansoprazole in animals. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* (2011) 337:797–804. doi: 10.1124/jpet.111.179556

6. Rawla P, Sunkara T, Ofosu A, Gaduputi V. Potassium-competitive acid blockers-are they the next generation of proton pump inhibitors? *World J Gastrointest Pharmacol Ther.* (2018) 9:63. doi: 10.4292/wjgpt.v9. i7.63

7. Oshima T, Miwa H. Potent potassium-competitive acid blockers: a new era for the treatment of acid-related diseases. *J Neurogastroenterol Motil.* (2018) 24:334. doi: 10.5056/jnm18029

8. Kahrilas PJ, Dent J, Lauritsen K, Malfertheiner P, Denison H, Franzén S, et al. randomized, comparative study of three doses of AZD0865 and esomeprazole for healing of reflux esophagitis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2007) 5:1385–91. doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2007.08.014

9. Dent J, Kahrilas PJ, Hatlebakk J, Vakil N, Denison H, Franzén S, et al. randomized, comparative trial of a potassium-competitive acid blocker (AZD0865) and esomeprazole for the treatment of patients with nonerosive reflux disease. *ACG*. (2008) 103:20–6. doi: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2007.01544.x

Conflict of interest

M-GK is the CEO of Nanum Space Co. Ltd. Y-JI and E-YK are employed by Nanum Space Co. Ltd.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fmed.2022.1076356/full#supplementary-material

10. Sugano K. Vonoprazan fumarate, a novel potassium-competitive acid blocker, in the management of gastroesophageal reflux disease: safety and clinical evidence to date. *Ther Adv Gastroenterol.* (2018) 11:1756283X17745776. doi: 10.1177/1756283X17745776

11. Lee KJ, Son BK, Kim GH, Jung HK, Jung HY, Chung IK, et al. Randomised phase 3 trial: tegoprazan, a novel potassium-competitive acid blocker, vs. esomeprazole in patients with erosive oesophagitis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* (2019) 49:864–72. doi: 10.1111/apt.15185

12. Stravitz RT, Lee WM. Acute liver failure. Lancet. (2019) 394:869-81. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31894-X

13. Heidelbaugh JJ, Bruderly M. Cirrhosis and chronic liver failure: part I. Diagnosis and evaluation. *Am Fam Physician*. (2006) 74:756–62.

14. Takahashi N, Take Y. Tegoprazan, a novel potassium-competitive acid blocker to control gastric acid secretion and motility. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* (2018) 364:275–86. doi: 10.1124/jpet.117.244202

15. Strand DS, Kim D, Peura DA. 25 years of proton pump inhibitors: a comprehensive review. *Gut Liver*. (2017) 11:27. doi: 10.5009/gnl15502

16. Yang Y-X, Lewis JD, Epstein S, Metz DC. Long-term proton pump inhibitor therapy and risk of hip fracture. *JAMA*. (2006) 296:2947–53. doi: 10.1001/jama.296.24.2947

17. Eom C-S, Jeon CY, Lim J-W, Cho E-G, Park SM, Lee K-S. Use of acidsuppressive drugs and risk of pneumonia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cmaj.* (2011) 183:310–9. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.092129

Christe C, Stoller R, Vogt N. Omeprazole-induced hepatotoxicity?
A case report. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.* (1998) 7:S41–
4. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1099-1557(199808)7:1+<S41::AID-PDS347>3.0.CO;2-2

19. Meunier L, Ursic-Bedoya J, Pageaux GP, Larrey D. Pantoprazole-induced autoimmune chronic hepatitis. *Liver Int.* (2018) 38:995–9. doi: 10.1111/liv.13737

20. Aslan M, Celik Y, Karadas S, Olmez S, Cifci A. Liver hepatotoxicity associated with pantoprazole: a rare case report. *Wien Klin Wochenschr.* (2014) 126:390–2. doi: 10.1007/s00508-014-0535-3