



# Description of an Integrated and Dynamic System to Efficiently Deal With a Raging COVID-19 Pandemic Peak

Vanni Agnoletti<sup>1\*</sup>, Emiliano Gamberini<sup>1</sup>, Alessandro Circelli<sup>1</sup>, Costanza Martino<sup>1</sup>, Domenico Pietro Santonastaso<sup>1</sup>, Giuliano Bolondi<sup>1</sup>, Giorgia Bastoni<sup>2</sup>, Martina Spiga<sup>2</sup>, Paola Ceccarelli<sup>2</sup>, Luca Montaguti<sup>3</sup>, Fausto Catena<sup>4</sup>, Venerino Poletti<sup>5</sup>, Carlo Lusenti<sup>6</sup>, Claudio Lazzari<sup>6</sup>, Mattia Altini<sup>7</sup> and Emanuele Russo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Emergency Surgery and Trauma, Anesthesia and Intensive Care Unit, M Bufalini Hospital, Cesena, Italy, <sup>2</sup> Nursing Department, M Bufalini Hospital, Cesena, Italy, <sup>3</sup> Department of Internal Medicine, M Bufalini Hospital, Cesena, Italy, <sup>4</sup> Department of Emergency Surgery and Trauma, M Bufalini Hospital, Cesena, Italy, <sup>5</sup> Department of Respiratory Diseases, AUSL Romagna-Morgagni Hospital, Forli, Italy, <sup>6</sup> Hospital Direction M Bufalini Hospital, Cesena, Italy, <sup>7</sup> Hospital Direction Azienda Unità Sanitaria Locale della Romagna, Ravenna, Italy

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Ornella Piazza, University of Salerno, Italy

#### Reviewed by:

Rosalba Romano, Hospital Antonio Cardarelli, Italy Geremia Zito Marinosci, AORN Santobono-Pausilipon, Italy

\***Correspondence:** Vanni Agnoletti vanni.agnoletti@auslromagna.it

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Intensive Care Medicine and Anesthesiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Medicine

Received: 20 November 2021 Accepted: 14 February 2022 Published: 18 March 2022

#### Citation:

Agnoletti V, Gamberini E, Circelli A, Martino C, Santonastaso DP, Bolondi G, Bastoni G, Spiga M, Ceccarelli P, Montaguti L, Catena F, Poletti V, Lusenti C, Lazzari C, Altini M and Russo E (2022) Description of an Integrated and Dynamic System to Efficiently Deal With a Raging COVID-19 Pandemic Peak. Front. Med. 9:819134. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.819134 **Background:** This study aimed to describe an innovative and functional method to deal with the increased COVID-19 pandemic-related intensive care unit bed requirements.

**Methods:** We described the emergency creation of an integrated system of internistic ward, step-down unit, and intensive care unit, physically located in reciprocal vicinity on the same floor. The run was carried out under the control of single intensive care staff, through sharing clinical protocols and informatics systems, and following single director supervision. The intention was to create a dynamic and flexible system, allowing for rapid and fluid patient admission/discharge, depending on the requirements due to the third Italian peak of the COVID-19 pandemic in March 2021.

**Results:** This study involved 142 COVID-19 patients and 66 non-COVID-19 patients who were admitted; no critical patient was left unadmitted and no COVID-19 severe patients referring to our center had to be redirected to other hospitals due to bed saturation. This system allowed shorter hospital length-of-stay in general wards (5.9  $\pm$  4 days) than in other internistic COVID-19 wards and overall mortality in line with those reported in literature despite the peak raging.

**Conclusion:** This case report showed the feasibility and the efficiency of this dynamic model of hospital rearrangement to deal with COVID-19 pandemic peaks.

Keywords: COVID-19, intensive care unit, high dependency unit, step-down unit, hospital admission criteria, bed management, bed occupancy rate, patients throughput

## INTRODUCTION

Since its onset, the COVID-19 pandemic has pressured healthcare systems worldwide. We previously described a functional and dynamic strategy that allowed our intensive care unit (ICU) to deal with the first two peaks of the pandemic, in March and October 2020, that in our community reached 8.2 cases per 1,000 inhabitants (1).

1



**FIGURE 1** Critical area on the 4th floor. Planimetry and bed location of the 4<sup>th</sup> floor, under the direct control of the intensive care department. Full-black beds, COVID-19 patients; Spotted-black beds, non-COVID-19 patients; Asterick, supra-numerary beds, usually not in use, open only for emergency; Dotted rectangles with unit name, medical positions and monitor areas physically separated from patient's open-space.

The setting is a public hospital of 450 beds, which is a reference point for a population of about 210,000 people. Before COVID-19, the ICU consisted of 18 beds, whereas during the pandemic, 6 non-COVID ICU beds and 9 step-down unit (SDU) beds were opened.

This case study aimed to show how this dynamic system has been furtherly implemented to deal with the third and strongest pandemic peak, in March 2021, reaching a local incidence of 13.3 positive cases per 1,000 inhabitants (62% increase).

### METHODS

As the third peak was arising, all the hospital non-COVID wards were promptly resized and relocated. Strategically, the first units

Abbreviations: ICU, Intensive Care Unit; SDU, Step-Down Unit; PPNR, Patient-Per-Nurse Ratio; HFNC, High Flow Nasal Cannulae; SARS-CoV-2, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2; SpO<sub>2</sub>, Oxygen Saturation; GW<sub>C19</sub>, General Ward Covid-19; LOS, Length-Of-Stay; SD, Standard Deviation; ICU<sub>C19</sub>, Intensive Care Unit Covid-19; ICU<sub>no-C19</sub>, Intensive Care Unit No Covid-19; HDU, High Dependency Unit; ED, Emergency Department.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{TABLE 1}}$  | Clinical description of the patients admitted in the different units during the pandemic peak.

	GW <sub>C19</sub>	SDU	ICU <sub>C19</sub>	ICU <sub>no-C19</sub>
Number of patients admitted	76	41	25	66
Men/women	46/30	28/13	19/6	36/30
Mean (SD) age, in years	63.7 (9.2)	61.4 (12.5)	58.3 (12.4)	61.8 (13.4)
Mean (SD) length-of-stay (LOS), in days	5.9 (4.0)	7.0 (4.6)	8.6 (6.7)	4.0 (5.3)

 $GW_{C19}$ , General (Internal Medicine) Ward COVID-19; SDU, Step Down Unit; ICU<sub>C19</sub>, Intensive Care Unit COVID-19; ICU<sub>no-C19</sub>, Intensive Care Unit Non-COVID-19.

left empty were those close to the ICU-SDU (4<sup>th</sup> floor) and to the COVID-internal medicine (6<sup>th</sup> floor).

With respect to the previous strategy, a further internistic COVID unit, General Internal Medicine Ward COVID-19  $(GW_{C19})$ , of 16 beds was carved out on the 4<sup>th</sup> floor, next to the SDU (Figure 1). It was staffed by an intensivist physician, with an 8:1 patient-per-nurse ratio (PPNR), wherein nurses were not ICU-trained. Two monitored beds (oxygen saturation, non-invasive or invasive blood pressure, and ECG) for patients needing high flow nasal cannulae (HFNC) were available. Admission criteria to this general ward were the following: patients positive to severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), with radiologic evidence of pulmonary interstitiopathy, dyspnea, oxygen saturation (SpO<sub>2</sub>) > 92% on room air, and low oxygen supplementation (less 10 L/min). Clinical factors, such as fever, hypertension, and diabetes mellitus, were considered risk factors for possibly severe evolution (2).

The 4th floor, under the control of the intensive care department, thus counted on the following: 16  $GW_{C19}$  beds, 1 intensivist, 8:1 PPNR; 9 beds SDU, 1 intensivist, and 3:1 PPNR (at least 1 ICU-trained nurse); 11 ICU1 beds, 2 intensivists (1 during the night shift), and 2:1 PPNR; 7 ICU2 beds, 2 intensivists (1 during the night shift), and 2:1 PPNR; 6 ICU3 beds, 1 intensivist, and 2:1 PPNR (**Figure 1**). Admission criteria to SDU were previously described (1).

This was made possible by a drastic 50% reduction of the planned operating room activity, actuated for safety reasons, that allowed to recover a sufficient number of anesthetists to be employed at the 4<sup>th</sup> floor. In Italy, anesthesia and intensive care still constitute a single residency program, thus specialists are certified and trained to manage complex patients with one or more ongoing organ failure.

In March 2021, the Italian Ministry of Health published new guidelines on the management of isolation of severe COVID-19 patients: (3) 21 days after the first SARS-CoV-2 positivity, if asymptomatic or with a negative molecular test, they were considerable non-infective and transferable to non-COVID units. This increased the fluidity in the management of bed occupancy. **TABLE 2** Summary of the provenience and the discharge destination of all the patients treated at the  $4^{th}$  floor integrated system led by the intensive care department.

4 <sup>th</sup> floor unit	GW <sub>C19, n</sub> (%)	SDU, n (%)	ICU <sub>C19, n</sub> (%)	ICU <sub>no-C19</sub> , n (%)
Patients coming fr	om (admissio	n):		
COVID-19 wards				
ED	41 (53.9)	8 (19.5)	1 (4)	19 (28.8)
GW <sub>C19</sub>	-	26 (63.4)	8 (32)	-
GeW <sub>C19</sub>	24 (31.5)	-	-	-
SDU	11 (14.4)	3 (7.3)	11 (44)	1 (1.5)
ICU <sub>C19</sub>	-	4 (9.7)	-	-
oICU <sub>C19</sub>	-	-	5 (20)	-
Non-COVID-19 wa	rds			
GWno-C19	-	-	-	40 (60.6)
ICU <sub>no-C19</sub>	-	-	-	6 (9.1)
Total number (%)	76 (100)	41 (100)	25 (100)	66 (100)
Patients going to (	discharge):			
COVID-19 wards				
GW <sub>C19</sub>	-	20 (42.5)	-	-
oGW <sub>C19</sub>	9 (14.2)	6 (12.7)	1 (7.4)	-
SDU	10(15.9)	-	7 (50)	-
ICU <sub>C19</sub>	-	11 (23.4)	-	-
oICU <sub>C19</sub>	-	10 (21.2)	5(35.7)	-
RW <sub>C19</sub>	-	-	1 (7)	-
Non-COVID-19 wa	rds			
GW <sub>no-C19</sub>	-	-	-	44 (63.8)
ICU <sub>no-C19</sub>	-	-	-	9 (13)
RW <sub>no-C19</sub>	-	-	-	16 (23.2)
Home	44 (69.8)	-	-	-
Total number (%)	63 (100)	47 (100)	14 (100)	69 (100)

The upper part of the table shows from where the patients were admitted to the different units. The lower part of the table shows to which units the patients were discharged.  $oICU_{C19}$  refer to nearby hospital ICUs collapsed during the pandemic peak, which referred 5 of their supernumerary patients to our ICU<sub>C19</sub>. Other patients were carried from the ambulances directly from nearby provinces to our emergency department. As soon as they were stabilized and a bed was available in their local ICU or hospital, they were discharged outside our hospital. A geriatric ward (GeW<sub>C19</sub>) was also available for elderly patients in our hospital, although not described in the paper. When a patient fulfilled the admission criteria, he was admitted to the  $GW_{C19}$  despite the advanced age, upon bed availability. ED, Emergency Department; GW<sub>C19</sub>, General (Internal Medicine) Ward Covid-19; oGW<sub>C19</sub>, Other General (Internal Medicine) Ward Covid-19 not led by intensivists; GeW<sub>C19</sub>, Geriatric Ward Covid-19, GW<sub>no-C19</sub>; SDU, Step Down Unit; ICU<sub>C19</sub>, Intensive Care Unit Covid-19; oICU<sub>C19</sub>, Other Intensive Care Unit Covid-19 in a different hospital; RW<sub>C19</sub>, Rehabilitation Ward Covid-19; GW<sub>no-C19</sub>, General Ward No Covid-19; ICU<sub>no-C19</sub>, Intensive Care Unit No Covid-19; RW<sub>no-C19</sub>, Rehabilitation Ward No Covid-19

### RESULTS

In March 2021 (31 days), a total of 142 COVID-19 patients and 66 non-COVID-19 patients were admitted to the 4<sup>th</sup> floor. **Table 1** describes the characteristics of these patients. The 4th-floor setting allowed to admit every patient to a level of intensiveness appropriate for their clinical status.

Mean length-of-stay (LOS) (*SD*) in days was 5.9 (4) for  $GW_{C19}$ , 7 (4.6) for SDU, 8.6 (6.7) for ICU COVID-19 (ICU<sub>C19</sub>), and 4 (5.3) for ICU non-COVID-19 (ICU<sub>no-C19</sub>). The mortality rate was 2.6% for  $GW_{C19}$ , 20% for SDU, 36% for ICU<sub>C19</sub>, and 9.2% for ICU<sub>no-C19</sub>, in line with available literature (4, 5).



 Table 2, Figure 2 summarize the overall flow of patients

 between different units.

Mean ( $\pm$  *SD*) LOS in GW<sub>C19</sub> was shorter than in other internistic COVID-19 wards: 5.9 (4) days vs. 11.8 (5) days (p< 0.0001; unpaired *t*-test). This is probably due to the higher rapidity by which patients were transferred to a higher level of care through early detection of clinical deterioration and simple transfer systems or to the mindset of intensivists used to working with short timescales. All patients with severe COVID-19 who were referred to our hospital have been hospitalized, none needed to be referred to other hospitals, thanks to a system that avoided hospital bed saturation.

#### DISCUSSION

The decision to staff the GW<sub>C19</sub> with anesthesiologists/intensivists was proposed by the hospital direction due to staff contingency. The director of anesthesia and ICU and the collaborators agreed with this setting, to ease and improve the management of patient discharge from ICU and SDU, trying to avoid bed saturation. Being part of the same team, sharing the same protocols, informatics system (Margherita 3), and coordinators allowed considerable time-saving. This was an efficient solution to maintain a safe and balanced hospital environment.

Differently from the previous report from March and April 2020, SDU worked more as a high dependency unit (HDU), at a semi-intensive care level, more complex than a common step-down unit (6). Furthermore, 8 patients were transferred from  $ICU_{C19}$  to SDU without requiring invasive ventilation; of

the 41 patients admitted in SDU, only 11 needed escalation to ICU<sub>C19</sub> for higher monitoring or orotracheal intubation; 34 patients were admitted directly from the emergency department (ED) to SDU. These data seem to testify to the high intensity of care reached in SDU at this third wave.

A limitation of this report is that, by its nature of case study, it is not matched with a comparative system. Moreover, at first superficial sight, the employment of intensivists in  $GW_{C19}$  and SDU might seem a waste of resources. In our experience, this has allowed many physicians to cyclically work at a lower intensity, periodically decompressing from the stress and pressure of a year in an  $ICU_{C19}$ , interacting with conscious patients experiencing better outcomes, thus reducing burnout problems (7).

A further limitation of this system is that it worked in our specific context, whereas, it might not be applicable for hospitals acting as referral centers for a much wider general population and it might also not be applicable to regions where the incidence rate is much higher, determining a dramatic pandemic wave.

The model of differential intensity for hospital care management (high-intensity for ICU, medium-intensity for SDU, and low-intensity for GW), handled by a single intensive care unit, determined a sort of independence of the 4<sup>th</sup> floor from the hospital. The 4<sup>th</sup> floor was able to admit COVID-19 patients from other units/floors as needed from their clinical status evaluated by a consultant, but internally there was no need for hospital bed-manager coordination, counseling requests, bureaucracy, and time-wasting procedures.

### CONCLUSION

The use of a COVID-19 "critical floor" from the general ward to ICU is an example of system adaptability. The effort made by intensivists was useful for patients in terms of quality of care and doctors in terms of occupational stress and mental health.

### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

VA conceived the study and wrote the article. EG, AC, CM, DS, and GBo worked in COVID-19 ICU and wards and helped to write the article. GBa, MS, and PC coordinated the nursing staff in the management of the ward. LM, FC, CLu, MA, CLa, and VP collaborated to review the manuscript. ER helped to write the manuscript and provided supervision. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank all the colleagues (physicians, nurses, and healthcare operators) who cannot be mentioned in this article, who bravely and restlessly collaborated to face this year of COVID-19 pandemic.

### REFERENCES

- Agnoletti V, Russo E, Circelli A, Benni M, Bolondi G, Martino C, et al. From intensive care to step-down units: managing patients throughput in response to COVID-19. *Int J Qual Health Care.* (2021) 33:mzaa091. doi: 10.1093/intqhc/mzaa091
- Yetmar ZA, Issa M, Munawar S, M Burton MC, Pureza V, Sohail MR, et al. Inpatient care of patients with COVID-19: a guide for hospitalists. *Am J Med.* (2020) 133:1019–24. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2020.03.041
- COVID-19: indicazioni per la durata e il termine dell'isolamento e della quarantena. Available online at: http://www.salute.gov.it/portale/ nuovocoronavirus/dettaglioNotizieNuovoCoronavirus.jsp?lingua=italiano& id=5117.
- 4. Taniguchi Y, Kuno T, Komiyama J, Adomi M, Suzuki T, Abe T, et al. Comparison of patient characteristics and in-hospital mortality between patients with COVID-19 in 2020 and those with influenza in 2017-2020: a multicenter, retrospective cohort study in Japan. *Lancet Reg Health West Pac.* (2022) 20:100365. doi: 10.1016/j.lanwpc.2021.100365
- Borman AM, Fountain H, Guy R, Casale E, Gerver SM, Elgohari S, et al. Increased mortality in COVID-19 patients with fungal co- and secondary infections admitted to intensive care or high dependency units in NHS hospitals in England. *J Infect.* (2022) 4. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2021.12.047. [Epub ahead of print].
- 6. Solberg BC, Dirksen CD, Nieman FH, van Merode G, Ramsay G, Roekaerts P, et al. Introducing an integrated intermediate care unit improves ICU

utilization: a prospective intervention study. *BMC Anesthesiol.* (2014) 14:76. doi: 10.1186/1471-2253-14-76

 Magnavita N, Soave PM, Ricciardi W, Antonelli M. Occupational stress and mental health among anesthetists during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2020) 17:8245. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17218245

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Agnoletti, Gamberini, Circelli, Martino, Santonastaso, Bolondi, Bastoni, Spiga, Ceccarelli, Montaguti, Catena, Poletti, Lusenti, Lazzari, Altini and Russo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.