



Reduced FEV₁ as Prognostic Factors in Patients With Advanced NSCLC Receiving Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors

Yi-Luen Shen^{1,2}, Chia-I Shen^{1,3,4}, Chi-Lu Chiang^{1,3,4}, Hsu-Ching Huang^{1,3}, Kun-Ta Chou^{1,3,4}, Chao-Hua Chiu^{1,3}, Yuh-Min Chen^{1,3} and Yung-Hung Luo^{1,3*}

¹ Department of Chest Medicine, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, ² Division of Chest Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Asia University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan, ³ School of Medicine, National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University, Taipei, Taiwan, ⁴ Institute of Clinical Medicine, National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University, Taipei, Taiwan

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Meng Rui Lee, National Taiwan University, Taiwan

Reviewed by:

Tzu-Yi Chuang, China Medical University Hsinchu Hospital, Taiwan Sheng-Kai Liang, National Taiwan University Cancer Center, Taiwan Chang Wei Wu, National Taiwan University Hospital Hsin-Chu Branch, Taiwan

*Correspondence:

Yung-Hung Luo hecterlo@gmail.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Pulmonary Medicine, a section of the journal Frontiers in Medicine

Received: 23 January 2022 Accepted: 28 February 2022 Published: 22 March 2022

Citation:

Shen Y-L, Shen C-I, Chiang C-L, Huang H-C, Chou K-T, Chiu C-H, Chen Y-M and Luo Y-H (2022) Reduced FEV₁ as Prognostic Factors in Patients With Advanced NSCLC Receiving Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors. Front. Med. 9:860733. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.860733 **Background:** The aim of study is to investigate the influence of pulmonary function on the prognosis in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) receiving immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICI).

Patients and Methods: Data were collected retrospectively from 151 patients with stage IV NSCLC who received ICI and completed spirometry before ICI therapy in Taipei Veterans General Hospital between January 2016 and December 2020. The co-primary end points were overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS) between groups divided by 80% predicted FEV₁ since ICI therapy started; the secondary outcomes were objective response rate.

Results: Among 151 patients enrolled to this study, 67.5% of patients were men, 75.5% were adenocarcinoma, 24.5% had known targetable driver mutation, 33.8% received first-line ICI, and 62.8% received ICI monotherapy. The objective response rate was 24.5% and disease control rate was 54.3%. In multivariable analysis, patient with reduced FEV₁ had inferior PFS (FEV₁ < 80% vs. FEV₁ ≥ 80%, adjusted HR = 1.80, P = 0.006) and OS (FEV₁ < 80% vs. FEV₁ ≥ 80%, adjusted HR = 2.50, P < 0.001). Median PFS and OS in the preserved FEV₁ group (≥80% predicted FEV₁) compared to the reduced FEV₁ group (<80% predicted FEV₁) were 5.4 vs. 2.9 months (HR = 1.76, P = 0.003) and 34.9 vs. 11.1 months (HR = 2.44, P < 0.001), respectively. The other independent prognostic factors of OS include stage IVA disease (adjusted HR = 0.57, P = 0.037), initial liver metastasis (adjusted HR = 2.00, P = 0.049), ICI monotherapy (adjusted HR

= 1.73, P = 0.042) and ICI related pneumonitis (adjusted HR = 3.44, P = 0.025).

Conclusions: Reduced FEV₁ is strongly associated with inferior clinical outcomes in patients with advanced NSCLC treated with ICI.

Keywords: forced expiratory volume (FEV) 1 second, immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI), advanced non-small cell lung cancer, pulmonary function test (PFT), chronic lung disease (CLD)

1

INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer is the leading cause of death worldwide, most of which is non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), accounting for 85% of all cases (1). In recent decades, several oncogenic molecular alterations such as epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutation, anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) gene rearrangement, have been found and established as the targets of therapy. The management of NSCLC with targetable oncogene is remarkably advanced in past decade (2). However, the outcome of advanced NSCLC without targeted therapy remained dismal. Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICI) represent another breakthrough achievement in cancer treatment. ICI which targets programmed cell death protein-1 (PD-1) or programmed cell death ligand-1 (PD-L1), have shown improvement of progression free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) in clinical trials, compared to chemotherapy in first-line or secondline therapy (3-7).

Lung cancer frequently developed in the patients with chronic lung disease, such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) or interstitial lung disease (ILD) (8–11). Chronic inflammation is the keystone pathogenesis of airway remodeling, mucus plugging, and parenchymal destruction (12). The negative impact of coexisting chronic lung disease on prognosis in lung cancer is well-known in previous studies (13–17). Furthermore, reduced forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) is recognized as poor prognostic factor in lung cancer (18–20).

Interestingly, recent studies on immunotherapy implicate that COPD is associated with better clinical outcomes in patients with NSCLC treated with ICI (21). Another immunological study suggests pre-existing ILD doesn't impact prognosis in patients treated with first-line pembrolizumab (22). To the best of our knowledge, the influence of reduced pulmonary function in patients with NSCLC treated with ICI is not fully investigated. The aim of study is to investigate the impact of pulmonary function on the prognosis and treatment outcome in patients treated with ICI.

METHODS

Study Population

This is a retrospectively observational cohort study of patients with advanced NSCLC who received ICI. We identified 296 patients who received ICI between January 2016 and December 2020 from the lung cancer registry in Taipei Veterans General Hospital. Patients with small cell lung cancer (N = 32), or with stage III disease received definite concurrent chemoradiotherapy then followed by ICI (N = 7) were excluded. Then patients who received ICI treatment without undergoing spirometry (N = 95) before ICI, or those who underwent spirometry without meeting the criterions of the American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society (N = 11) were excluded. The final study population included 151 patients (Figure 1). Our study was carried out in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. The Institutional Review Board of Taipei Veterans General Hospital had approved our study (VGHIRB no. 2020-07-046CC) and waived the requirement for informed consent.

Assessments and Data Collection

Data regarding patients' demographics, including age, sex, smoking history, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status (ECOG PS) (23), and tumor characteristics, such as stage, histology, initial metastatic sites, status of EGFR mutation or ALK, c-ROS oncogene 1 (ROS-1) rearrangement, prior treatments and ICI-related pneumonitis (ICI-pneumonitis) were collected from electronic medical records. PD-L1 expression was assessed in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tumor samples using PD-L1 IHC 22C3 pharmDx Kit (clone 22C3 [DAKO, Carpinteria, CA]), performed on Dako Autostainer Link 48 platform with a validated and automated staining protocol. The tumor proportion score (TPS) was defined as the percentage of viable tumor cells showing partial or complete membrane staining. Spirometry was performed using Vmax 22 (SensorMedics, Yorba Linda, CA), and interpretated according to the recommendations of the American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society guidelines (24, 25). The FEV1 (% of predicted), FVC (% of predicted), and FEV1/FVC (ratio) from pulmonary function test results were based on pre-bronchodilation values measured before ICI treatment. FEV1/FVC<0.7 is classified as airflow obstruction, and 80% of predicted FEV1 was determined as a universal cut-off value for distinction between the reduced FEV1 group and preserved FEV1 group, according to previous studies and the classification of Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) guideline (20, 26-28).

Endpoints

The co-primary endpoints of this study were OS, measured from the date of starting ICI to death from any cause or last known date alive, and PFS, measured from the date of starting ICI to the date of initial disease progression, death from any cause, or the last date known to be alive without disease progression. The treatment response and the date of disease progression were confirmed by two authors (Y.L.S. and Y.H.L.) who reviewed the diagnostic imaging and medical record. The secondary endpoint was the objective response rate (ORR), defined as the percentage of patients with a confirmed complete response (CR) or partial response (PR). Treatment response was routinely reviewed every 2–3 months, or when disease progression was highly suspected. The assessment of response was based on Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST, version 1.1) (29).

Statistical Analysis

Categorical data from patients' profile were presented as numbers (%), and compared using Pearson's Chi-square-test and Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. Continuous variables were presented as means with standard deviation or median with interquartile range based on Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test, then performed Student's *t*-test or Mann–Whitney *U*-test, respectively. The Kaplan–Meier method with the log-rank test was used for survival analysis. Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% CI were calculated using the Cox proportional-hazard model, and multivariable analysis for baseline characteristics of patients and spirometry parameters. Multiple Cox proportional-hazard models were performed using the significant variables (p < 0.10)



FIGURE 1 | Flow chart of the study population. ATS, American Thoracic Society; CCRT, concurrent chemoradiotherapy; ERS, European Respiratory Society; ICI, immune checkpoint inhibitors; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer. ^aIncluded adenocarcinomas, squamous cell carcinomas, adenosquamous carcinomas, large cell carcinomas, sarcomatoid carcinomas, large cell neuroendocrine carcinomas, and non–small-cell carcinomas not otherwise specified.

in the multivariate analysis. All tests were two-sided, and p < 0.05 were considered significant. All analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 25.0, IBM corp., Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

Patient Characteristics and Treatment

Among 151 patients receiving ICI, the mean age was 63.0 years old while started treatment; 102 (67.5%) were men and 83 patients had smoking history (55.0%). The majority of ECOG PS was 1-2 (90 patients, 59.6%), and other patients was 0 (61 patients, 40.4%). There were 58 patients at stage IVA (38.4%) and 93 patients at stage IVB or IVC (61.6%) before ICI, and the proportions of patients with initial distant metastasis of brain, lung, and liver were 22.5, 33.1, and 11.3%, respectively. Most of the histopathological type was adenocarcinoma (114 patients, 75.5%), then followed by squamous cell carcinoma (25 patients, 16.6%). Thirty-three patients presented *EGFR* mutation (21.9%); 1 patient had *ALK* rearrangement; 3 patients possessed *ROS-1* rearrangement, and 99 patients with EGFR wild type were documented without known driver mutation. The proportions of patients with PD-L1 TPS \geq 50%, 1–49%, and <1% were

23.2, 17.9, and 19.9%, respectively. However, PD-L1 expression was unavailable in many patients (39.1%). Total 51 patients received first-line ICI therapy (33.8%). Previous-treated patient received ICI had all received chemotherapy before (100 patients), then 89 patients had previously received radiotherapy and 42 patients had lung surgery. There were 96 patients received ICI monotherapy (62.8%) and 55 patients received ICI treatment combined with other therapies, including anti-angiogenesis agent, chemotherapy, or others (37.2%). Total 6 (4%) patients had ICI-pneumonitis. For spirometry data, median FEV1 was 1.99 liters (84.0% median prediction of FEV_1), and the median FVC was 2.65 liters (83.0% median prediction of FVC). The median FEV₁/FVC ratio was 77.0% (Table 1). There was significant correlation between percentage of prediction of FEV1 and FVC in our study (R = 0.910, P < 0.001). Thirty-three patients had FEV₁/FVC ratio < 0.7, but only 7 patients had physiciandiagnosed COPD in medical record. There are 65 patients with reduced FEV₁. But only 22 patients had FEV₁/FVC<0.7. Thirtyfive patients were reported restrictive lung disease, about 11 of 35 patients had received lung surgery, 21 of 35 patients experienced radiotherapy. For other 8 patients were reported normal ventilatory function with preserved TLC, 6 of 8 patients

Characteristics	Total	Treatment response		
	(N = 151)	PD/SD (N =114)	Responder* (N = 37)	P-value
Age at ICI treatment (yrs)	63.0 ± 11.1	62.9 ± 10.6	63.2 ± 12.7	0.886
< 70 yrs	108 (71.5)	82 (71.9)	26 (70.3)	0.846
\geq 70 yrs	43 (28.5)	32 (28.1)	11 (29.7)	
Male sex	102 (67.5)	78 (68.4)	24 (64.9)	0.688
Smoking	83 (55.0)	61 (53.5)	22 (59.5)	0.527
ECOG				0.020
)	61 (40.4)	40 (35.1)	21 (55.8)	
1-2	90 (59.6)	74 (64.9)	16 (43.2)	
Stage at ICI treatment				0.101
VA	58 (38.4)	48 (42.1)	10 (27.0)	
VB & IVC	93 (61.6)	66 (57.9)	27 (73.0)	
nitial distant metastasis	,			
Brain	34 (22.5)	29 (25.4)	5 (13.5)	0.131
_ung	50 (33.1)	41 (36.0)	9 (24.3)	0.191
Liver	17 (11.3)	12 (10.5)	5 (13.5)	0.565 [†]
Pathology	(-)	()		0.848
Adenocarcinoma	114 (75.5)	85 (74.6)	29 (78.4)	
Squamous cell carcinoma	25 (16.6)	20 (17.5)	5 (13.5)	
Others	12 (7.9)	9 (7.9)	3 (8.1)	
Driver mutation	. ()	0 (110)	0 (011)	0.322
EGFR WT	99 (65.6)	70 (61.4)	29 (78.4)	0.022
EGFR Mu (+)	33 (21.9)	27 (23.7)	6 (16.2)	
Other mutation	4 (2.6)	4 (3.5)	0 (0.0)	
N/A	15 (9.9)	13 (11.4)	2 (5.4)	
PD-L1 expression	10 (0.0)	10 (1111)	2 (0.1)	0.180
TPS < 1%	30 (19.9)	23 (20.2)	7 (18.9)	01100
1% ≤ TPS ≤ 49%	27 (17.9)	20 (17.5)	7 (18.9)	
$\Gamma PS \ge 50\%$	35 (23.2)	22 (19.3)	13 (35.1)	
N/A	59 (39.1)	49 (43.0)	10 (27.0)	
First-line therapy	51 (33.8)	49 (43.0) 34 (29.8)	17 (45.9)	0.072
2-line therapy	100 (66.2)	34 (29.8) 80 (70.2)	20 (54.1)	0.072
Prior C/T**	100 (66.2)	80 (70.2)	20 (54.1)	0.072
Prior R/T	89 (59.8)	71 (62.3)	20 (34.1) 18 (48.6)	0.072
Prior Surgery	42 (27.8)	34 (29.8)	8 (21.6)	0.333
Cl regimen	TZ (Z1.0)	07 (23.0)	0 (21.0)	0.333
CI monotherapy	96 (62 8)	77 (67 5)	19 (51 4)	0.070
CI monotherapy CI combination therapy	96 (62.8) 55 (37.2)	77 (67.5) 37 (32.5)	19 (51.4) 18 (48.6)	
CI-pneumonitis (all grade)	55 (37.2) 6 (4.0)	6 (5.3)	0 (0)	0.337 [†]
EV_1 (L)	0 (4.0) 1.99 [1.41,	1.97 [1.33,	0 (0) 2.06 [1.45,	0.337* 0.314 [‡]
	2.59]	2.59]	2.75]	
EV ₁ % pred (%)	84.0 [63.0, 99.0]	83.0 [62.0, 99.3]	86.0 [67.5, 100.0]	0.374‡
FVC (L)	2.65 [1.92, 3.42]	2.62 [1.90, 3.33]	2.94 [1.96, 3.53]	0.240 [‡]
FVC % pred (%)	83.0 [67.0, 99.0]	82.5 [62.0, 98.0]	85.0 [74.5– 100.5]	0.300 [‡]

TABLE 1 | Demographics and characteristics of patients undergoing immune

 checkpoint inhibitors treatment and characteristics based on treatment response.

(Continued)

TABLE 1 | Continued

Characteristics	Total (N = 151)	Treatment response			
		PD/SD (N = 114)	Responder* (N = 37)	P-value	
FEV ₁ /FVC ratio (%)	77.0 [71.0, 82.0]	77.5 [70.0, 83.0]	76.0 [71.0, 81.0]	0.526 [‡]	

Categorical variables are presented as frequency (percentage) and compared PD/SD and Responder with Pearson's Chi-square-test and Fisher's exact test. Continuous variables are performed Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test initially. Age at ICI treatment pass normality test and it's presented as mean \pm standard deviation and compared with Student's T-test. Pulmonary function test is record as median [interquartile range] and use non-parametric test with Mann-Whitney U-Test.

 \geq 2-line, second-line or more therapy after previous treatment failure on advanced lung cancer; C/T, chemotherapy; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; EGFR Mu(+), EGFR mutation; EGFR WT, wild type of epidermal growth factor receptor; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FEV₁% pred, percentage of predicted FEV₁ FVC, forced vital capacity; FVC % pred, percentage of predicted FVC; ICI, immune checkpoint inhibitors; ICI combination therapy, immune checkpoint inhibitors; combined with other anticancer therapy, included chemotherapy, anti-angiogenesis, or tyrosine kinase inhibitor; ICI-pneumonitis, immune checkpoint inhibitors related pneumonitis; IV/A, not available; PD, disease progression based on RECIST 1.1; SD, stable disease based on RECIST 1.1; PD-L1, programmed death-receptor ligand-1; R/T, radiotherapy; TPS, tumor proportion score.

*Responder: only partial remission (PR) in this cohort study.

**All patients who initiated ICI as \geq 2-line therapy had received chemotherapy before. † Fisher's Exact Test.

[‡]Mann-Whitney U-Test.

had received radiotherapy and 1 patient was treated as asthma and received inhaled corticosteroid. There was 1 patient cannot be refined detailly based on electrical medical record.

Treatment Response in Patients Receiving ICI

In first period of follow-up, the ORR based on RECIST was 24.5%, and the disease control rate was 54.3%. The demographics distribution of patients, categorized by treatment response, was not significantly different in levels of PD-L1 expression and other variables, except the ECOG PS. The proportion of ECOG PS = 0 in the group with treatment responder (with CR or PR) was higher than that in the non-responder (with stable disease or progressive disease) (P = 0.020) (**Table 1**). The detailed subgroup treatment response was shown in **Supplementary Table 1**. Among 19 variables, ECOG PS was an independent factor for prediction of treatment response in multivariable analysis (EGOG PS = 1-2 vs. ECOG PS = 0, adjusted odds ratio = 0.42, P = 0.026) (**Supplementary Table 2**).

Progression Free Survival in Patients Treated With ICI

In Kaplan-Meier survival analysis, median PFS in reduced FEV₁ group (FEV₁ pred<80%) was significantly shorter than in preserved FEV₁ group (FEV₁ pred≥80%) (Median PFS: 2.9 vs. 5.6 months; HR = 1.76, P = 0.003) (Figure 2A). The subgroup analysis of PFS showed that an increased risk of disease progression/death with reduced FEV₁ was found in most subgroups (Figure 2B).



FIGURE 2 | Kaplan–Meier curve of PFS and subgroup analysis of PFS in all patients received ICI (80% of predicted FEV₁ as a cut-off value of FEV₁). (A) The Kaplan–Meier curve estimates of PFS, according to using 80% of predicted FEV₁ as a cut-off value. Tick marks represent data censored at the last time the patient was known to be alive and without disease progression. Progression free survival was assessed according to Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST), version 1.1, and the data reviewed by authors group. (B) The data sheet and Forest plot shows the analysis of PFS in all subgroups. Vertical dotted line in subgroup analysis represents HR, showing PFS benefit for FEV₁ pred \geq 80% compared with FEV₁ pred <80% in all subgroups evaluated.

In univariable Cox regression models of PFS, known prognostic factors including sex, smoking, ECOG PS, lung/liver metastasis, first-line therapy, prior radiotherapy, ICI regimen, ICI-pneumonitis, and reduced FEV₁ were significant variables, which were then analyzed in multivariable Cox models (**Supplementary Table 3**). In multivariable analysis, reduced FEV₁ group showed higher risk for disease progression compared to preserved FEV₁ group (adjusted HR = 1.80, P = 0.006), and other 5 independent factors were: ECOG PS = 1-2 (adjusted HR = 2.08, P < 0.001), initial lung metastasis (adjusted HR = 1.69, P = 0.014), initial liver metastasis (adjusted HR = 2.36, P = 0.005), prior radiotherapy (adjusted HR = 1.72, P = 0.009), ICI-pneumonitis (adjusted HR = 3.78, P = 0.003) (**Table 2**).

Overall Survival in Patients Treated With ICI

Total 82 events of death were record in study cohort. In Kaplan-Meier survival analysis, OS in reduced FEV₁ group was significantly shorter than in preserved FEV₁ group. (Median OS: 11.1 vs. 34.9 months; HR = 2.44, p < 0.001) (**Figure 3A**). The subgroup analysis of OS showed that an increased risk of death with reduced FEV₁ was found in most subgroups (**Figure 3B**).

In univariable Cox regression models of OS, known prognostic factors including ECOG PS, stage, brain/liver metastasis, prior radiotherapy, ICIs regimen, ICI-pneumonitis, and reduced FEV₁ were significant variables, which were then analyzed in multivariable Cox models (**Supplementary Table 4**). In multivariable analysis, reduced FEV₁ group presented higher risk of mortality than preserved FEV₁ group (adjusted HR = 2.50, P < 0.001). Other 5 independent factors, including ECOG PS (adjusted HR = 1.90, P = 0.013), stage IVA disease (adjusted HR = 0.57, P = 0.037), initial liver metastasis (adjusted HR = 2.00, P = 0.049), and ICI-pneumonitis (adjusted HR = 3.44, P = 0.025) (**Table 3**).

Reduced FEV_1 group also presented increased risk of disease progression or death in analysis of key subgroups (Different lines of therapy, patients without driver mutation; detail in **Supplementary Data**).

DISCUSSION

To date, this is the first study to analyze the treatment outcome of stage IV NSCLC receiving ICI in terms of lung function. Our study demonstrated that reduced FEV_1 , not reduced FEV_1/FVC ratio, is an independent prognostic factor of the inferior survival outcome in patients with ICI-treated advanced NSCLC, irrespective of ECOG PS, various degrees of distant metastasis, different lines of therapy, ICI-combination therapy and ICI-pneumonitis.

In clinical practice, FEV₁ is a non-invasive tool for evaluating pulmonary function. Patient who has declined FEV₁ might be associated with increased respiratory symptoms, inferior life quality, and mortality (30–32). Past studies showed FEV₁ worked as a better predictor than FVC in survival (33). A previous research discloses that patients with small cell lung cancer and FEV₁ < 80% had inferior OS compared to those with FEV₁≥ 80% (27). According to the American Society of Clinical Oncology guideline, baseline spirometry is recommended for

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{TABLE 2} \mid \text{Factors associated with progression free survival by Cox regression} \\ \text{model in all patients received immune checkpoint inhibitors (80% of predicted} \\ \text{FEV}_1 \text{ as a cut-off value of FEV}_1 \text{)}. \end{array}$

Variable	N	Multivariable analysis ($P < 0.1$)		
		HR (95% CI)	P-value	
Gender			0.111	
Female	49	Reference		
Male	102	0.67 (0.41-1.10)		
Smoking history			0.837	
No	68	Reference		
Yes	83	0.95 (0.60–1.52)		
ECOG PS			0.001	
0	61	Reference		
1-2	90	2.08 (1.35–3.20)		
Initial lung metastasis			0.014	
No	101	Reference		
Yes	50	1.69 (1.11–2.56)		
Initial liver metastasis			0.005	
No	134	Reference		
Yes	17	2.36 (1.29-4.29)		
First-line therapy			0.180	
No	100	Reference		
Yes	51	0.69 (0.41-1.18)		
Prior radiotherapy			0.009	
No	62	Reference		
Yes	89	1.72 (1.15–2.59)		
ICIs regimen			0.305	
Monotherapy	96	1.27 (0.80-2.02)		
Combination therapy	55	Reference		
ICI-pneumonitis (all grade)			0.003	
No	145	Reference		
Yes	6	3.78 (1.57–9.11)		
FEV1 pred(%)			0.006	
Preserved $FEV_1 (\geq 80\%)$	86	Reference		
Reduced FEV ₁ (< 80%)	65	1.80 (1.18–2.74)		

In total 119 events of progression or death in this study, we selected those variables which P < 0.1 in univariate analysis, and perform multivariable Cox regression analysis for PFS (See detail full Cox regression analysis of PFS in **Supplementary Table 2**).

Combination therapy, immune checkpoint inhibitors combined with other anticancer therapy, included chemotherapy, anti-angiogenesis, or tyrosine kinase inhibitor; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor mutation; FEV₁ pred(%), percentage of predicted FEV₁; HR, hazard ratio; ICI, immune checkpoint inhibitors; ICI-pneumonitis, immune checkpoint inhibitor related pneumonitis.

every patient with cancer prior to ICI (34). However, it is not routinely tested in real-world experience. In most clinical settings, spirometry was performed for preoperative evaluation, pre-radiotherapy assessment, evaluating the cause of persisted dyspnea, or follow-up for chronic lung diseases, such as COPD or ILD.

Our study tested the hypothesis that using 80% predicted value of FEV_1 in patients with advanced NSCLC receiving ICIs could meet the prognostic significance in OS and PFS. Previous two studies have reported that 50% predicted value of FEV_1 at initial



FIGURE 3 | Kaplan–Meier curve of OS and subgroup analysis of OS in all patients received ICI (80% of predicted FEV₁ as a cut-off value of FEV₁). (A) The Kaplan–Meier curve estimates of OS, according to using 80% of predicted FEV₁ as a cut-off value. Tick marks represent data censored at the last time the patient was known to be alive. (B) The data sheet and Forest plot shows the analysis of OS in all subgroups. Vertical dotted line in subgroup analysis represents HR, showing OS benefit for FEV₁ pred \geq 80% compared with FEV₁ pred <80% in all subgroups evaluated.

Reduced FEV1 in Immunotherapy-Treated NSCLC

diagnosis of lung cancer is an independent prognostic factor for advanced NSCLC, after adjusting TNM stage and the presence of malignant pleural effusion, suggesting reduced FEV₁ is an important factor in survival prediction (18, 19). Additionally, our study focuses on pre-treatment pulmonary functions, considering that initial pulmonary functions would be affected by subsequent treatment such as palliative surgical resection, radiotherapy, or drug-induced lung toxicities. Collectively, these findings suggest that patients with reduced FEV₁ had inferior outcome, and their pulmonary function should be carefully monitored. Lung function forms part of exercise performance and quality of life. Assessment of respiratory symptoms and health related quality of life might be important to clarify the relationship between FEV₁ and mortality.

In an earlier study, patients coexisting with COPD and NSCLC who received pembrolizumab monotherapy had longer PFS and OS than those without COPD (21). Nonetheless, our study did not show significant correlation between COPD and outcome. We used pre-bronchodilator spirometry in this study due to the limited number of patients with postbronchodilator spirometry, which estimate the prevalence of COPD should be careful (35). Surprisingly, total 33 patients had FEV1/FVC<0.7 but only 7 patients had physiciandiagnosed COPD in electrical medical records, which indicated COPD might be underestimated. Clinical physicians might overlook COPD or other chronic lung diseases and attribute respiratory symptoms to lung cancer in real-world practice (36). Inappropriate management of comorbidity might be fatal. The importance of pulmonary function tests for improving the clinical practice in comorbidity management of those patients with lung cancer is heightened by our findings in the current study.

In consideration of the ECOG PS, tumor stage, and ICI regimen, reduced FEV1 is still statistically significant with the risk of death, implicating that reduced FEV1 might interfere ICI treatment via some ambiguous mechanisms. Reduced FEV1 could be resulted from chronic lung disease in several pathways, such as recurrent infection, airway inflammation, mucus plugging, structural change of alveoli. Chronic engagement of checkpoint receptors with frequent inflammation and antigenic stimulation would lead to T cell exhaustion. Previous research disclosed that increasing PD-1 expression of CD8⁺ tumorinfiltrating T lymphocytes is found in patients coexisting with NSCLC and COPD, correlated to the level of reduced FEV₁ (37). Given that T-cell exhaustion is the self-protective mechanism for dysregulation of immune reaction, patients with impaired lung function might be vulnerable to ICI therapy as blockade of PD-1/PD-L1 pathway, causing airway injury, lung function decline, or pneumonitis. Additionally, analysis of circulating inflammatory markers related to ICI treatment such as neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio, LDH or CRP, which are widely used in previous studies, could provide more detailed inflammatory profiles for evaluation in the future investigation (38, 39).

Interestingly, in this study, ICI-pneumonitis was also associated with poorer PFS and OS. ICI-pneumonitis would impair pulmonary function seriously, and lead to mortality and **TABLE 3** | Factors associated with overall survival by Cox regression model in all patients received immune checkpoint inhibitors (80% of predicted FEV_1 as a cut-off value of FEV_1).

Variable	N	Multivariable analysis (P < 0.1)	
		HR (95% CI)	P-value
ECOG PS			0.013
0	61	Reference	
1-2	90	1.90 (1.14–3.16)	
Stage			0.037
IVA	58	0.57 (0.34–0.97)	
IVB & IVC	93	Reference	
Initial brain metastasis			0.701
No	117	Reference	
Yes	34	1.11 (0.65–1.91)	
Initial liver metastasis			0.049
No	134	Reference	
Yes	17	2.00 (1.00-4.00)	
Prior radiotherapy			0.112
No	62	Reference	
Yes	89	1.50 (0.91–2.47)	
ICIs regimen			0.060
Monotherapy	96	1.65 (0.98–2.77)	
Combination therapy	55	Reference	
ICI-pneumonitis (all grade)			0.025
No	145	Reference	
Yes	6	3.44 (1.17–10.09)	
FEV1 pred (%)			< 0.001
Preserved FEV₁ (≥80%)	86	Reference	
Reduced FEV ₁ (<80%)	65	2.50 (1.56–3.99)	

Total 82 events of death were record in study cohort, we selected those variables which P < 0.1 in univariate analysis, and perform multivariable Cox regression analysis for OS (See detail full Cox regression analysis of OS in **Supplementary Table 3**).

Combination therapy, immune checkpoint inhibitors combined with other anticancer therapy, included chemotherapy, anti-angiogenesis, or tyrosine kinase inhibitor; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor mutation; FEV₁ pred(%), percentage of predicted FEV₁; HR, hazard ratio; ICI, immune checkpoint inhibitors; ICI-pneumonitis, immune checkpoint inhibitor related pneumonitis.

morbidity if left untreated. However, our study didn't investigate all types of immune-related adverse events (irAE), which might be related to variable treatment response (40, 41). Consequently, reduced FEV₁ before treatment may be potentially exacerbated by ICIs, resulting in deterioration of pulmonary function and poor survival outcome.

Based on this study, pretreatment pulmonary function is a potentially immunotherapeutic parameter that should be regularly performed before ICI administration, regardless of previous treatment status. It also provides more information for clinicians regarding comprehensive evaluation of illness. In real-world practice, the prognosis for previous-treated patients remains dismal, the useful parameters are urgently needed clinically for these patients. Further prospective studies for longitudinal investigation of the dynamic changes of pulmonary function before and after ICI are warranted, and could help us elucidate the relationship between pulmonary function and prognosis of patients receiving ICIs.

There are several limitations of in our study. First, this is a retrospective cohort study in a single tertiary medical center, and some relevant data including all types of irAE, cumulative dose of ICI, subsequent treatment after ICI failure, tumor infiltrated lymphocytes, and tumor mutational burden were not available. Besides, there were about 39% of all patients misses the PD-L1 study owing to lack of adequate biopsy tissue or not performed. Those missing data may influence the statistical analysis. Second, our study population was relatively small and had limited generalizability due to a single center experience and lack of validation cohort. Third, we used spirometry test as closely as possible before ICI treatment, but it might remain unsatisfied for the perfect timing of test. Additionally, the follow-up spirometry for lung function decline was unavailable for comprehensive study. Fourth, lung volume test, bronchodilator test and diffusing capacity are not routinely performed in most patients, so the impact of restrictive lung disease or air trapping on the outcome is not fully investigated. Despite the limitations, our data are still representative in the real-world practice and useful for patients who undergo ICI therapy for NSCLC.

In conclusion, reduced FEV_1 with cut-off level of 80% predicted value is strongly associated with inferior outcomes in patients with advanced NSCLC treated with ICIs. Regular follow-up of spirometry might facilitate more accurate prediction of prognosis, thereby assisting in optimal decision-making in patients with ICI treatment. The detailed pathophysiology regarding the influences of reduced FEV₁ on patient's prognosis remains to be elucidated, and further perspective study is warranted.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Taipei Veterans General Hospital (VGHIRB no. 2020-07-046CC). Written informed consent for participation was not required for this

REFERENCES

- Molina JR, Yang P, Cassivi SD, Schild SE, Adjei AA. Non-small cell lung cancer: epidemiology, risk factors, treatment, and survivorship. *Mayo Clin Proc.* (2008) 83:584–94. doi: 10.1016/S0025-6196(11)60735-0
- Howlader N, Forjaz G, Mooradian MJ, Meza R, Kong CY, Cronin KA, et al. The effect of advances in lung-cancer treatment on population mortality. N Engl J Med. (2020) 383:640–9. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1916623
- Borghaei H, Gettinger S, Vokes EE, Chow LQM, Burgio MA, de Castro Carpeno J, et al. Five-year outcomes from the randomized, phase III trials CheckMate 017 and 057: Nivolumab versus docetaxel

study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Y-LS: conceptualization, methodology, software, formal analysis, writing—original draft, and visualization. C-IS: conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, investigation, resources, and data curation. C-LC, H-CH, and K-TC: investigation, resources, and data curation. C-HC: investigation, resources, data curation, and funding acquisition. Y-MC: investigation resources, supervision, project administration, and funding acquisition, formal analysis, investigation, resources, data curation, writing—review and editing, supervision, project administration, and funding acquisition. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This research was funded by the Ministry of Science and (MOST) (108-2628-B-075-007, 109-2628-B-Technology 07-023, 109-2628-B-075-023, 110-2314-B-075-078-MY3, 110-2811-B-075-513), Taipei Veterans and General Hospital (V108D46-004-MY2-2, V108E-006-43, V109C-123, V109E-007-3, V110C-140, V111C-138, and V111E-001-3), Yen-Tjing-Ling Medical Foundation (CI-111-10), Melissa Lee Cancer Foundation (MLCF-V111_A11105), and the Department of Health Cancer Center Research of Excellence (MOHW109-TDU-B-211-134019 and MOHW110-TDU-B-211-144019), Taiwan.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank all the patients included in this study, as well as their families. The authors are also grateful to every working staff in Taipei Veterans General Hospital. Wish all human-being health and safety from COVID-19.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed. 2022.860733/full#supplementary-material

in previously treated non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol. (2021) 2021:JCO2001605. doi: 10.1200/JCO.20.01605

- Reck M, Rodriguez-Abreu D, Robinson AG, Hui R, Csoszi T, Fulop A, et al. Updated analysis of KEYNOTE-024: pembrolizumab versus platinum-based chemotherapy for advanced non-smallcell lung cancer with PD-L1 tumor proportion score of 50% or greater. J Clin Oncol. (2019) 37:537–46. doi: 10.1200/JCO.18. 00149
- Carbone DP, Reck M, Paz-Ares L, Creelan B, Horn L, Steins M, et al. First-line nivolumab in stage IV or recurrent non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med. (2017) 376:2415–26. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1613493

- Garon EB, Rizvi NA, Hui R, Leighl N, Balmanoukian AS, Eder JP, et al. Pembrolizumab for the treatment of non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med. (2015) 372:2018–28. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1501824
- Socinski MA, Jotte RM, Cappuzzo F, Orlandi F, Stroyakovskiy D, Nogami N, et al. Atezolizumab for first-line treatment of metastatic nonsquamous NSCLC. *N Engl J Med.* (2018) 378:2288–301. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1716948
- Raghu G, Nyberg F, Morgan G. The epidemiology of interstitial lung disease and its association with lung cancer. Br J Cancer. (2004) 91(Suppl. 2):S3– 10. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6602061
- Karampitsakos T, Tzilas V, Tringidou R, Steiropoulos P, Aidinis V, Papiris SA, et al. Lung cancer in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Pulm Pharmacol Ther.* (2017) 45:1–10. doi: 10.1016/j.pupt.2017.03.016
- Park HY, Kang D, Shin SH, Yoo KH, Rhee CK, Suh GY, et al. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and lung cancer incidence in never smokers: a cohort study. *Thorax*. (2020) 75:506–9. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2019-213732
- Purdue MP, Gold L, Jarvholm B, Alavanja MC, Ward MH, Vermeulen R. Impaired lung function and lung cancer incidence in a cohort of Swedish construction workers. *Thorax*. (2007) 62:51–6. doi: 10.1136/thx.2006.064196
- Hogg JC, Timens W. The pathology of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Annu Rev Pathol. (2009) 4:435– 59. doi: 10.1146/annurev.pathol.4.110807.092145
- Turner MC, Chen Y, Krewski D, Calle EE, Thun MJ. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease is associated with lung cancer mortality in a prospective study of never smokers. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2007) 176:285– 90. doi: 10.1164/rccm.200612-1792OC
- Wilson DO, Weissfeld JL, Balkan A, Schragin JG, Fuhrman CR, Fisher SN, et al. Association of radiographic emphysema and airflow obstruction with lung cancer. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2008) 178:738–44. doi: 10.1164/rccm.200803-435OC
- Akaike K, Saruwatari K, Okabayashi H, Hamada S, Jodai Y, Jodai T, et al. Negative impact of coexisting interstitial lung disease on clinical outcomes in small-cell lung cancer patients. *Anticancer Res.* (2018) 38:6543– 50. doi: 10.21873/anticanres.13020
- Chen H, Senan S, Nossent EJ, Boldt RG, Warner A, Palma DA, et al. Treatment-related toxicity in patients with early-stage non-small cell lung cancer and coexisting interstitial lung disease: a systematic review. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2017) 98:622–31. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2017.03.010
- Gao YH, Guan WJ, Liu Q, Wang HQ, Zhu YN, Chen RC, et al. Impact of COPD and emphysema on survival of patients with lung cancer: A meta-analysis of observational studies. *Respirology*. (2016) 21:269– 79. doi: 10.1111/resp.12661
- Lee JH, Song EM, Sim YS, Ryu YJ, Chang JH. Forced expiratory volume in one second as a prognostic factor in advanced non-small cell lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol. (2011) 6:305–9. doi: 10.1097/JTO.0b013e318201884b
- Rancic M, Ristic L, Rancic S, Radovic M, Ciric Z. Pulmonary function parameters as prognostic factors in advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Med Glas (Zenica)*. (2014) 11:58–65.
- Lee SY, Choi YJ, Seo JH, Lee SY, Kim JS, Kang EJ. Pulmonary function is implicated in the prognosis of metastatic non-small cell lung cancer but not in extended disease small cell lung cancer. J Thorac Dis. (2019) 11:4562– 72. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2019.10.77
- Shin SH, Park HY, Im Y, Jung HA, Sun JM, Ahn JS, et al. Improved treatment outcome of pembrolizumab in patients with non-small cell lung cancer and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Int J Cancer.* (2019) 145:2433– 9. doi: 10.1002/ijc.32235
- 22. Yamaguchi O, Kaira K, Shinomiya S, Mouri A, Hashimoto K, Shiono A, et al. Pre-existing interstitial lung disease does not affect prognosis in non-small cell lung cancer patients with PD-L1 expression ≥50% on first-line pembrolizumab. *Thorac Cancer.* (2021) 12:304–13. doi: 10.1111/1759-7714.13725
- Oken MM, Creech RH, Tormey DC, Horton J, Davis TE, McFadden ET, et al. Toxicity and response criteria of the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group. *Am J Clin Oncol.* (1982) 5:649–55. doi: 10.1097/0000421-198212000-00014
- Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, Burgos F, Casaburi R, Coates A, et al. Standardisation of spirometry. *Eur Respir J.* (2005) 26:319–38. doi: 10.1183/09031936.05.00034805
- 25. Graham BL, Steenbruggen I, Miller MR, Barjaktarevic IZ, Cooper BG, Hall GL, et al. Standardization of spirometry 2019 update. An Official American

Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society Technical Statement. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. (2019) 200:e70–88. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201908-1590ST

- Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. Global Strategy for Diagnosis, Management, and Prevention of COPD. (2021). Available online at: https://goldcopd.org (accessed January 21, 2021).
- 27. Kang HS, Shin AY, Yeo CD, Kim JS, Kim YH, Kim JW, et al. A lower level of forced expiratory volume in one second predicts the poor prognosis of small cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Dis.* (2018) 10:2179– 85. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2018.03.121
- Siroux V, Boudier A, Dolgopoloff M, Chanoine S, Bousquet J, Gormand F, et al. Forced midexpiratory flow between 25% and 75% of forced vital capacity is associated with long-term persistence of asthma and poor asthma outcomes. J Allergy Clin Immunol. (2016) 137:1709–16.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2015.10.029
- Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, Schwartz LH, Sargent D, Ford R, et al. New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). *Eur J Cancer*. (2009) 45:228–47. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2008.10.026
- Young RP, Hopkins R, Eaton TE. Forced expiratory volume in one second: not just a lung function test but a marker of premature death from all causes. *Eur Respir J.* (2007) 30:616–22. doi: 10.1183/09031936.00021707
- Lange P, Nyboe J, Appleyard M, Jensen G, Schnohr P. Spirometric findings and mortality in never-smokers. J Clin Epidemiol. (1990) 43:867– 73. doi: 10.1016/0895-4356(90)90070-6
- 32. Shibata Y, Inoue S, Igarashi A, Yamauchi K, Abe S, Aida Y, et al. A lower level of forced expiratory volume in 1 second is a risk factor for all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in a Japanese population: the Takahata study. *PLoS ONE.* (2013) 8:e83725. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0083725
- Menezes AM, Pérez-Padilla R, Wehrmeister FC, Lopez-Varela MV, Muiño A, Valdivia G, et al. FEV1 is a better predictor of mortality than FVC: the PLATINO cohort study. *PLoS ONE.* (2014) 9:e109732. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0109732
- 34. Brahmer JR, Lacchetti C, Schneider BJ, Atkins MB, Brassil KJ, Caterino JM, et al. Management of immune-related adverse events in patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy: American society of clinical oncology clinical practice guideline. J Clin Oncol. (2018) 36:1714–68. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2017.77.6385
- Vollmer WM, Gíslason T, Burney P, Enright PL, Gulsvik A, Kocabas A, et al. Comparison of spirometry criteria for the diagnosis of COPD: results from the BOLD study. *Eur Respir J.* (2009) 34:588–97. doi: 10.1183/09031936. 00164608
- Ruparel M, Quaife SL, Dickson JL, Horst C, Tisi S, Hall H, et al. Prevalence, symptom burden, and underdiagnosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in a lung cancer screening cohort. *Ann Am Thorac Soc.* (2020) 17:869–78. doi: 10.1513/AnnalsATS. 201911-857OC
- 37. Biton J, Ouakrim H, Dechartres A, Alifano M, Mansuet-Lupo A, Si H, et al. Impaired tumor-infiltrating T cells in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease impact lung cancer response to PD-1 blockade. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. (2018) 198:928–40. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201706-1110OC
- Tray N, Weber JS, Adams S. Predictive biomarkers for checkpoint immunotherapy: current status and challenges for clinical application. *Cancer Immunol Res.* (2018) 6:1122–8. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066. CIR-18-0214
- Kauffmann-Guerrero D, Kahnert K, Kiefl R, Sellmer L, Walter J, Behr J, et al. Systemic inflammation and pro-inflammatory cytokine profile predict response to checkpoint inhibitor treatment in NSCLC: a prospective study. *Sci Rep.* (2021) 11:10919. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-90397-y
- 40. Toi Y, Sugawara S, Kawashima Y, Aiba T, Kawana S, Saito R, et al. Association of immune-related adverse events with clinical benefit in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer treated with nivolumab. *Oncologist.* (2018) 23:1358–65. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist. 2017-0384
- Das S, Johnson DB. Immune-related adverse events and anti-tumor efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors. J Immunother Cancer. (2019) 7:306. doi: 10.1186/s40425-019-0805-8

Conflict of Interest: C-HC has received honoraria from AstraZeneca, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Chugai Pharmaceutical, Eli Lilly, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Novartis, Ono Pharmaceutical, Pfizer, Roche, and Takeda. C-LC has received speaking honoraria from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Chugai Pharmaceutical, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Novartis, Pfizer, and Roche. C-IS has received support for attending meetings from Merck Sharp & Dohme.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Shen, Shen, Chiang, Huang, Chou, Chiu, Chen and Luo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.