Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Ying Zhou, Tongji University, China

REVIEWED BY Joaquim Marcoval, Bellvitge University Hospital, Spain Alfredo N. C. Santana, Escola Superior de Ciências da Saúde, Brazil

*CORRESPONDENCE Jin Woo Song jwsongasan@gmail.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Pulmonary Medicine, a section of the journal Frontiers in Medicine

RECEIVED 26 February 2022 ACCEPTED 18 July 2022 PUBLISHED 17 August 2022

CITATION

Huh J-Y, Moon DS and Song JW (2022) Sarcoid-like reaction in patients with malignant tumors: Long-term clinical course and outcomes. *Front. Med.* 9:884386. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.884386

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Huh, Moon and Song. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative

Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Sarcoid-like reaction in patients with malignant tumors: Long-term clinical course and outcomes

Jin-Young Huh[†], Do Sik Moon[†] and Jin Woo Song*

Department of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea

Background: The development of non-caseating epithelioid cell granulomas in cancer patients who do not fulfill the systemic sarcoidosis criteria is termed sarcoid-like reaction (SLR). Little is known about this condition's natural course and impact on the prognosis of malignancy. We aimed to investigate the natural course and prognostic value of cancer-associated SLR.

Methods: Clinical data were retrospectively analyzed in 32 patients with biopsy-proven cancer-associated SLR. Among patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), SLR cases (n = 8) were matched with non-SLR cases (n = 78) for survival analysis.

Results: Among the included patients, the mean age was 59.7 years, and 68.8% were female. The median follow-up period was 35.6 months [interquartile range (IQR): 14.0–61.4 months]. Of all the included malignancies (n = 32), breast cancer (25.0%) and NSCLC (25.0%) were the most common, with stage I being the most frequent tumor stage (59.4%). During follow-up, SLR progression to overt sarcoidosis was not observed. In the 28 patients with available follow-up computed tomography images (median interval: 24.9 months; IQR: 14.4–41.7), 4 patients received corticosteroids (n = 4), resulting to a decrease of SLR lesions. Meanwhile, among those who did not receive treatment (n = 24), the extent of SLR decreased or did not change in 85.7% of them, whereas 3.6% had increased SLR extent. Furthermore, among patients with NSCLC, SLR was not associated with overall survival [hazard ratio (HR) = 1.28, 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.02–67.71, P = 0.882] and recurrence of malignancy (HR = 1.27, 95% CI 0.21–7.51, P = 0.793) in the Cox proportional hazard regression model.

Conclusions: During the follow-up of cancer-related SLR, we found no further evidence for systemic sarcoidosis, and most of the lesions decreased or did not change. Development of SLR was also not associated with overall survival or disease-free survival in patients with NSCLC.

KEYWORDS

sarcoidosis, neoplasms, granuloma, mortality, progression-free survival

Introduction

Sarcoid-like reaction (SLR) is defined as the development of non-caseating epithelioid cell granulomas in patients who do not meet the criteria for systemic sarcoidosis (1). Its occurrence is known to be linked with diverse conditions, including malignancies (2–4), infections (5, 6), and exposures to inorganic substances or certain drugs (7–9). Studies have suggested that the pathogenesis of SLR is attributed to diverse antigens that are coupled with genetic susceptibility, consequently triggering T cell mediated immune responses, which then leads to the formation of non-necrotizing epithelioid cell granulomas (10).

Although various types of malignancies, including lymphoma (11, 12), breast cancer (13, 14), stomach cancer (15, 16), colon cancer (17, 18), and lung cancer (19, 20), have been reported to be associated with SLR development, its clinical significance in cancer patients remains unclear. In previous studies (14, 21), SLR has been associated with better cancer outcomes. In particular, Chen et al.'s study in five breast cancer patients with SLR showed that all patients were disease-free during the median follow-up of 6 years following curative resection (14). Similarly, Steinfort et al. reported that among 24 patients with early-stage (stage I) non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), no recurrence after lobectomy was observed in those with SLR (n = 8), whereas a recurrence rate of 44% was found in those without SLR (n = 16) (21). However, contradicting findings have also been reported; Tomimaru et al., for one, reported that among 1,733 lung cancer patients who underwent surgical resection, no significant difference in the overall survival (77.7 vs. 75.2%, P = 0.8227) was found between patients with (n = 22) and without SLR (n = 1,711) (19). Given all these findings, there are still uncertainties regarding impact of SLR on the prognosis of malignancy, and notably, the clinical course of SLR has not been addressed in previous studies. Therefore, we aimed to investigate the clinical course and prognostic value of SLR in patients with malignancies.

Materials and methods

Study population

Adult patients with ICD-10 codes for malignant neoplasm (C00-C97) identified between 2008 and 2018 at the Asan Medical Center, Seoul, Republic of Korea (n = 243,320) were screened for this study. Among them, 2,455 cases with medical records containing the following keywords were selected: "sarcoid-like reaction," "non-caseating epithelial cell granuloma," or "non-necrotizing epithelial cell granuloma." After reviewing the pathologic reports of these cases, 2,344 patients were excluded since no previous diagnoses or evidence of malignancy were provided at the time of biopsy. From the remaining 107 patients, those diagnosed with overt sarcoidosis

(n = 75) were excluded. Overt sarcoidosis was defined by evidence of two or more organ involvements at the time of biopsy (22). Patients' past medical history, ophthalmologic tests, electrocardiograms, echocardiography, Holter monitoring, laboratory examinations, including serum creatinine, serum alkaline phosphatase and complete blood cell count, and urine calcium levels were reviewed (Supplementary Table 1). Finally, a total of 32 patients with malignancy associated SLR were included in this study (Figure 1).

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Asan Medical Center (IRB No. 2019-1015), and the requirement for informed consent was waived due to the retrospective nature of the analysis.

Data collection

The clinical and survival data of all the included patients were retrospectively collected from their medical records and/or the National Health Insurance records of Korea. Spirometry, diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide, and total lung capacity were measured according to the ERS/ATS recommendations (16, 17), and the results were expressed as percentages of the normal predicted values.

Data from follow-up visits or hospitalizations were reviewed to determine malignancy recurrence. To evaluate the clinical course of SLR, baseline and the follow-up computed tomography (CT) images [median interval: 24.9 months, interquartile range (IQR): 14.4-41.7 months] were compared side by side by two readers (JYH and DSM), wherein disagreements were resolved via consensus. For each subsequent CT scan reading, findings were categorized into "improved," "unchanged," or "aggravated." Specifically, an SLR lesion was categorized as "improved" if the sum of lengths of the lesions decreased by 30% or more, whereas an "aggravated" SLR lesion was defined if the sum of lengths of the lesions increased by 20%, which is based on the modified RECIST criteria (23). Lesions without changes or with changes that did not belong to either the "improved" or "aggravated" categories were defined as "unchanged" SLR lesions.

Case-control matching in NSCLC

For the evaluation of the impact of SLR on the prognosis of patients with NSCLC, overall survival and disease-free survival were compared between patients with and without SLR. NSCLC patients without SLR were selected from the Center for Cancer Data Management at Asan Medical Center. Following selection, case-control matching (1:10) was performed to adjust for differences in baseline characteristics, including age, sex, T stage, N stage, the time of cancer diagnosis, and treatment modality.



Statistical analysis

All values are presented as means \pm standard deviations for continuous variables or as percentages for categorical variables. Survival was assessed using the Kaplan–Meier survival analysis, and differences between groups were evaluated using the logrank test. Furthermore, the Cox proportional-hazards model was applied to evaluate impact of SLR on overall survival and disease-free survival. All statistical analyses were performed using the R Statistical Software (version 4.0.3; R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna Austria), and a *P*-value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant (two-tailed).

Results

Baseline characteristics

Among the included patients in this study, the mean age was 59.7 years, and 68.8% were female (Table 1). The median followup period from the date of SLR diagnosis was 35.6 months (IQR: 14.0–61.4 months). Notably, the mean angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) level was 38.4 U/L (n = 14), which was elevated (normal range: 7.5–53.0 U/L) in 28.6% (4/14) of them.

For all patients, SLR developed in various types of cancer. Among them, breast cancer (25.0%) and NSCLC (25.0%) were the most common, which was followed by colon cancer

(18.8%) (Table 2). The median interval between cancer and SLR diagnoses was 3.8 months (IQR: 0.7-30.5 months), with most patients (81.3%) reporting SLR occurrence within 3 years after cancer diagnosis (Supplementary Figure 1). Regarding tumor staging at the time of SLR diagnosis, stage I was the most frequently diagnosed stage (59.4%, 19/32), while only one patient had stage IV disease (Table 2). Also, in terms of the treatment of malignancy, 26 (81.3%) underwent surgical resection, 4 (12.5%) received chemotherapy, 1 (3.1%) had concurrent chemoradiotherapy, and 1 (3.1%) was treated with radiotherapy. No patients were treated with immune check point inhibitors. Furthermore, 93.8% of the patients presented with lymphadenopathy (mediastinum: 65.6%, neck: 21.9%, axilla: 3.1%, intrabdomen: 3.1%), and 6.3% had lung lesions (Table 1). For mediastinal lymph nodes, endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration was performed and, for lymph nodes at other sites, ultrasound-guided needle biopsy was done. The samples of the lung parenchymal lesions were acquired with percutaneous lung biopsy.

Clinical outcomes of malignancy

During follow-up, two patients with SLR (6.3% of total patients) died. Specifically, one patient with Hodgkin lymphoma died due to an acute exacerbation of combined idiopathic

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of the study population.

Characteristics

Patient number	32
Age, years	59.7 ± 11.7
Female sex	22 (68.8)
Smoking status	
Current smoker	2 (6.2)
Ever-smoker	8 (25.0)
Never-smoker	22 (68.8)
Angiotensin-converting enzyme, U/L	38.4 ± 21.8
Pulmonary function test	
FVC, % predicted	84.7 ± 17.8
DLCO, % predicted	77.4 ± 16.7
TLC, % predicted	93.6 ± 20.8
6-minute walk test	
Distance, meter	490.1 ± 49.8
Lowest SpO _{2,%}	95.6 ± 2.4
BAL fluid analysis	
WBC, /mm ³	120.8 ± 112.3
Neutrophil, %	1.8 ± 1.6
Lymphocyte, %	21.6 ± 18.9
Site of sarcoid-like reaction	
Mediastinal lymph node	21 (65.6)
Neck lymph node	7 (21.9)
Lung parenchyma	2 (6.3)
Axillary lymph node	1 (3.1)
Intraabdominal lymph node	1 (3.1)

Data are presented as means \pm standard deviations, or as numbers (%), unless otherwise indicated. FVC, forced vital capacity; DLCO, diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide; TLC, total lung capacity; SpO₂, saturation of oxygen; BAL, bronchoalveolar lavage; WBC, white blood cell.

pulmonary fibrosis, whereas the other patient with NK/T cell lymphoma died from hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis progression. Moreover, malignancy recurrence following curative treatment was observed in one patient with SLR (3.1%). In this case, the patient with NSCLC (adenocarcinoma, stage IB) underwent surgical resection for curative treatment; however, brain metastasis occurred 35 months after SLR diagnosis. On the other hand, no evidence of disease progression or recurrence was observed in the remaining 29 patients with SLR.

To compare survival between NSCLC patients with (n = 8) and without SLR, a control cohort was selected from the Asan Medical Center database (n = 79). Baseline clinical characteristics and outcomes between the two groups are shown in Table 3. No deaths were reported in the SLR group, while two patients (2.5%) died in the control group (P > 0.99). One patient (12.5%) in the SLR group had recurrence of NSCLC, whereas 11 patients (13.9%) in the control group reported disease recurrence P > 0.99 as presented in Table 2. No significant

TABLE 2 Types and stage of the malignancy in patients with sarcoid-like reaction.

Ch				

Patient number	32
Type of cancer	
Breast cancer	8 (25.0)
Non-small cell lung cancer	8 (25.0)
Colon cancer	6 (18.8)
Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma	3 (9.4)
Gastric cancer	2 (6.3)
Thyroid cancer	2 (6.2)
Hodgkin's lymphoma	1 (3.1)
Prostate cancer	1 (3.1)
Cervix cancer	1 (3.1)
Stage of cancer	
Ι	19 (59.4)
II	6 (18.8)
III	6 (18.8)
IV	1 (3.1)

Data are presented as numbers (%), unless otherwise indicated.

differences were observed in the overall survival [100.0% (SLR group) vs. 94.6 (control group), P = 0.633] (Figure 2A) and disease-free survival (75.0 vs. 77.8%, P = 0.899) between both groups (Figure 2B). In a multivariate Cox proportional-hazards model adjusted for age, sex, cell type and treatment modality, SLR was not associated with overall survival (hazard ratio = 1.28; 95% confidence interval, 0.02–67.71; P = 0.882) and disease-free survival (hazard ratio = 1.27; 95% confidence interval, 0.21–7.51; P = 0.793) (Supplementary Table 2).

Clinical outcomes of SLR

Among the 32 included patients, follow-up CT images were available in 28 patients, which were analyzed to evaluate the clinical course of SLR. Notably, four patients 14.3% were treated with corticosteroids [initial mean dose: $28.8 \pm 2.5 \text{ mg}$ of prednisolone, the median treatment period: 20.1 months (IQR: 15.9–23.7 months)] at the attending physician's discretion; one patient with co-existing chronic obstructive pulmonary disease received corticosteroid due to progressive dyspnea, and the other three patients were treated with corticosteroid to further confirm that the lesions were due to SLR and not metastasis. All four patients had an improvement in all SLR lesions (100%). Meanwhile, in the untreated group (n =24), the outcomes were categorized as "improved" in 58.3%, "unchanged" in 37.5%, and "aggravated" in 4.2% (Figure 3). There was no further evidence of systemic sarcoidosis during the follow-up.

Characteristics	SLR	No-SLR	P-value
Patient number	8	79	
Age, years	68.6 ± 6.0	69.0 ± 6.1	0.877
Male sex	4 (50.0)	39 (49.4)	>0.99
Cell type			>0.99
Adenocarcinoma	7 (87.5)	69 (87.3)	
Squamous cell carcinoma	1 (12.5)	10 (12.7)	
Treatment			>0.99
Surgical resection	7 (87.5)	69 (87.3)	
Radiotherapy	1 (12.5)	10 (12.7)	
Chemotherapy	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
Median follow-up period	33.2 (11.6-64.8)	18.5 (11.6–62.7)	0.831
Recurrence	1 (12.5)	11 (13.9)	>0.99
Death	0 (0.0)	2 (2.5)	>0.99
Disease-free survival, months	26.3 (11.2-41.5)	17.4 (10.3–38.0)	0.814

TABLE 3 Comparison of baseline characteristics and clinical courses between SLR and non-SLR groups among NSCLC patients.

Data are presented as means \pm standard deviations, numbers (%), or as medians (interquartile range), unless otherwise indicated.

SLR, sarcoid-like reaction; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer.

Discussion

In this study, we identified 32 biopsy-confirmed SLR patients with various types of malignancies. At the time of SLR diagnosis, the most common underlying cancers were breast cancer and NSCLC, with stage I as the most common tumor staging. During follow-up, most of SLR lesions improved or did not progress in treatment-naïve cases, whereas SLR lesions improved in all treatment cases. We found no further evidence of systemic sarcoidosis during the follow-up. Furthermore, overall survival and disease-free survival were similar between the SLR and non-SLR groups of NSCLC patients.

The patients included in this study were mostly in the earlystage of their malignancy (stage I in 59.4%). This was similar to the observations in Steinfort et al.'s study of 187 NSCLC patients, wherein they reported that all eight cases (4.3%) with SLR were stage I (20). Murthi et al.'s multicenter study including 133 cancer-associated SLR patients also reported that stage I was the most common tumor staging (38.3% of 131 patients with available data for cancer stages) (2). The pathogenesis of SLR in malignancy has been postulated by previous studies to be caused by immune responses to malignancy-related antigens involving dendritic cells and T cells (2, 10). Vimentin, a type III intermediate filament that forms the cytoskeletal microtubules and microfilaments (24), has been a suspected culprit antigen for SLR in cancer patients (2). While this is plausible, vimentin is not expressed universally and its expression has been associated with worse prognosis or advanced disease (25, 26). Diversity of cancer associated with SLR, and findings of more frequent

early-stage cancers suggest further investigation for the causative antigen is required and we may even find that not a single antigen is responsible for all cases of cancer-related SLR. In fact, the T cell response to the antigen may be the key to impact of SLR on the clinical course. The presence of tumor-infiltrating T cells has been known to be associated with better prognosis (27), and it has been suggested that SLR might be associated with potential antitumor response, leading to cancer progression inhibition (21).

In the comparison between NSCLC patients with and without SLR, no association was found between SLR and the prognosis of NSCLC patients. Our results showed that no deaths occurred in the SLR group, whereas two deaths were found in the non-SLR group (mortality rate: 0.0 vs. 2.5%, P > 0.99). Tomimaru et al. showed similar results in their study of lung cancer patients who underwent surgical resection, reporting that the 3-year (85.2 vs. 82.1%) and 5-year survival rates (77.7 vs. 75.2%) were similar between the SLR (n = 22) and non-SLR (n =1,711) groups (19). However, in other previous studies, SLR was associated with better outcomes in NSCLC patients (2, 19, 28). Dagaonkor et al.'s study, among 127 lung cancer patients who underwent surgery, reported that the survival rate was higher (3-year survival rate: 21.1 vs. 6.5%, P = 0.06) in those with SLR (n = 19) than those without SLR (n = 108) (28). Murthi et al.'s study of 133 patients with various cancer-related SLRs (lung cancer, n = 30) also showed that survival was significantly better (odds ratio, 0.223; 95% confidence interval, 0.079-0.632; P = 0.005) in the SLR group (n = 46) than in the non-SLR group (n = 134) (2). Furthermore, Steinfort et al. showed that among NSCLC patients, disease-free survival was better in the SLR group (n = 8), as compared to the non-SLR group (n =16) (100 vs. 56%, P = 0.044) (21). This discrepancy between our study and previous investigations may be due to small number of patients in our study's SLR group. Additionally, our controls were matched based on age, sex, T stage, N stage, and the time of NSCLC diagnosis, whereas in other studies, the controls were either unmatched (2, 28) or matched regardless of the time of diagnosis (21).

Regarding the clinical course, most of the SLR lesions either improved or did not progress during follow-up; this was consistent with the results of previous studies (29, 30). Lau et al.'s study of 11 patients with SLR (associated with malignancy, hepatitis C, or medication) showed that the extent of SLR lesions decreased in 81.8%, whereas 18.2% reported no changes during the median follow-up of 10.6 months (IQR: 6.5–32.6 months) (29). Kaneko et al. also reported that among 14 patients who developed SLR following malignant tumor treatment, SLR regressed in 78.6%, remained unchanged in 7.1%, and worsened in 14.3% of the patients (30). In our study, the only patient whose CT findings were "aggravated" presented with mediastinal lymph node enlargements as a manifestation of SLR. In addition, at the time of the patient's last chest CT scan, pneumonia was also reported, possibly contributing to the



FIGURE 2

Comparison of the Kaplan–Meier survival curves between SLR and non-SLR groups among NSCLC patients. (A) Overall survival curve, (B) Disease-free survival curve. Log-rank test was used for the analysis. SLR, sarcoid-like reaction; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer.



enlargement of the lymph nodes. Given all these findings, our study and previous studies suggest a favorable clinical course in patients with SLR. Furthermore, all patients treated with prednisolone in our study showed significant improvements, suggesting the effectiveness of corticosteroid therapy for SLR.

Interestingly, the level of ACE was not elevated in most of our patients, with only 28.6% of patients reporting an elevated ACE level. The ACE is secreted by epithelioid and giant cells, which becomes elevated among patients with epithelioid and giant cell-containing granulomas (31). In particular, a non-caseating granuloma with epithelioid and giant cells is a pathologic hallmark of sarcoidosis (32), and SLR is also characterized by epithelioid granulomas (21). Despite this pathologic linkage, previous studies have shown that the mean level of ACE was not elevated in most patients with SLR (2, 3). Murthi et al., for one, reported on the ACE levels of 54 patients from 133 cancer-related SLR patients, showing elevated ACE levels in 15.7% of them (2). Another case-control study by Pastre et al. regarding cancer patients with SLR reported ACE level elevation in 50.0% (16/32) of them (3). Given all these findings, the studies suggest that ACE levels can be variable in SLR.

Several limitations of this study should be noted. First, as we restricted patients to those who underwent biopsy at a single center, selection bias might have affected the results. However, the clinical features of our patients were comparable to those in previous studies (2, 28). Second, the number of patients included in our study was small, consequently confounding statistical analysis and data interpretation. However, our results suggested a favorable clinical course of SLR in cancer patients despite the small sample size. Third, prognosis was only evaluated in NSCLC, and the included number of patients was very small. Nevertheless, this is one of the only few reports in the literature of the prognostic value of SLR among NSCLC patients and the relatively low incidence can make it difficult to conduct largescale prospective studies of this subject. Lastly, chemotherapy for cancer has been associated with granulomas in previous reports (8, 9), and some of the patients in our study were exposed. Nonetheless, only about 15% were treated with chemotherapy before the diagnosis of SLR and the medications did not include immune check point inhibitors, which are commonly related. Despite these limitations, investigations on the longterm clinical course and prognostic impact of SLR were strengths of the study.

In conclusion, among cancer-associated SLR patients, earlystage breast cancer and NSCLC were the most common underlying malignancies. Our findings showed no further evidence of systemic sarcoidosis after initial evaluation, wherein most of the SLR lesions decreased or did not change during follow-up. Furthermore, SLR development may not be associated with the prognosis of NSCLC patients.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Institutional Review Board of Asan Medical Center.

Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

Author contributions

JWS, DM, and J-YH contributed to conception and design of the study. DM organized the database. DM and J-YH performed the statistical analysis. J-YH wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read, and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This study was supported by a grant from the Basic Science Research Program (NRF-2022R1A2B5B02001602) and the Bio & Medical Technology Development Program (NRF-2022M3A9E4082647) of the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science & ICT, Republic of Korea.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fmed.2022.884386/full#supplementary-material

References

1. Cohen PR, Kurzrock R. Sarcoidosis and malignancy. Clin Dermatol. (2007) 25:326-33. doi: 10.1016/j.clindermatol.2007.03.010

2. Murthi M, Yoshioka K, Cho JH, Arias S, Danna E, Zaw M, et al. Presence of concurrent sarcoid-like granulomas indicates better survival in cancer patients: a retrospective cohort study. *ERJ Open Res.* (2020) 6: 00061-2020. doi: 10.1101/2020.03.28.20046003

3. Pastré J, Bouvry D, Juvin K, Benattia A, Annesi-Maesano I, Valeyre D, et al. Sarcoidosis-like cancer-associated granulomatosis: characteristics and a case-control comparison with sarcoidosis. *J Clin Med.* (2021) 10:1988. doi: 10.3390/jcm10091988

4. Ravaglia C, Gurioli C, Casoni GL, Romagnoli M, Tomassetti S, Gurioli C, et al. Sarcoid-like lesion is a frequent benign cause of lymphadenopathy in neoplastic patients. *Eur Respirat J.* (2013) 41:754–5. doi: 10.1183/09031936.00141212

5. Yano S, Kobayashi K, Ikeda T, Kadowaki T, Wakabayashi K, Kimura M, et al. Sarcoid-like reaction in Cryptococcus neoformans infection. *BMJ Case Rep.* (2012) 2012:bcr0720114528. doi: 10.1136/bcr-07-2011-4528

6. Leis PFS. Disseminated sporotrichosis mimicking sarcoidosis. *Int J Dermatol.* (2006) 45:450–3. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-4632.2004.02363.x

7. Fireman E, Shai AB, Alcalay Y, Ophir N, Kivity S. and Stejskal, V. Identification of metal sensitization in sarcoid-like metal-exposed patients by the MELISA[®] lymphocyte proliferation test - a pilot study. *J Occup Med Toxicol.* (2016) 11:18. doi: 10.1186/s12995-016-0101-1

8. Tchernev G, Tana C, Schiavone C, Cardoso JC, Ananiev J. and Wollina, U. Sarcoidosis vs sarcoid-like reactions: the two sides of the same coin? *Wien Med Wochenschr*. (2014) 164:247–59. doi: 10.1007/s10354-014-0269-x

9. Chorti E, Kanaki T, Zimmer L, Hadaschik E, Ugurel S, Gratsias E, et al. Drug-induced sarcoidosis-like reaction in adjuvant immunotherapy: increased rate and mimicker of metastasis. *Eur J Cancer.* (2020) 131:18–26. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2020.02.024

10. Kurata A, Terado Y, Schulz A. Fujioka, Y. and Franke, FE Inflammatory cells in the formation of tumor-related sarcoid reactions. *Hum Pathol.* (2005) 36:546–54. doi: 10.1016/j.humpath.2005.02.017

11. O'Connell MJ, Schimpff SC, Kirschner RH, Abt AB, Wiernik PH. Epithelioid granulomas in Hodgkin disease. A favorable prognostic sign? *JAMA*. (1975) 233:886–9. doi: 10.1001/jama.233.8.886

12. Sacks EL, Donaldson SS, Gordon J, Dorfman RF. Epithelioid granulomas associated with Hodgkin's disease: clinical correlations in 55 previously untreated patients. *Cancer.* (1978) 41:562–7. doi: 10.1002/1097-0142(197802)41:2<562::AID-CNCR2820410224>3.0.CO;2-X

13. Martella S, Lohsiriwat V, Barbalho DM, Della Vigna P, Bottiglieri L, Brambullo T, et al. Sarcoid-like reaction in breast cancer: a long-term follow-up series of eight patients. *Surg Today.* (2012) 42:259–63. doi: 10.1007/s00595-011-0084-6

14. Sharon EF. Breast cancer and sarcoidosis: case series and review of the literature. *Breast Care*. (2015) 10:137–40. doi: 10.1159/000381324

15. Kojima M, Nakamura S, Fujisaki M, Hirahata S, Hasegawa H, Maeda D, et al. Sarcoid-like reaction in the regional lymph nodes and spleen in gastric carcinoma: a clinicopathologic study of five cases. *Gen Diagn Pathol.* (1997) 142:347–52.

16. Takashima SK. Gastric cancer with sarcoid reactions in the regional lymph nodes, the stomach wall, and the splenic parenchyma: report of a case. *Surg Today.* (1999) 29:549–52. doi: 10.1007/BF02482351

17. Díaz Del Arco C, Aceñero MJ. Sarcoid reaction: a rare occurrence associated to colon adenocarcinoma (case report and literature review). *J Gastrointest Oncol.* (2016) 7:E72–6. doi: 10.21037/jgo.2016.03.07

18. De Gregorio M, Brett AJ. Metastatic sigmoid colon adenocarcinoma and tumour-related sarcoid reaction. *Intern Med J.* (2018) 48:876-8. doi: 10.1111/imj.13812

19. Tomimaru Y, Higashiyama M, Okami J, Oda K, Takami K, Kodama K, et al. Surgical results of lung cancer with sarcoid reaction in regional lymph nodes. *Jpn J Clin Oncol.* (2007) 37:90–5. doi: 10.1093/jjco/hyl141

20. Steinfort DP, Irving LB. Sarcoidal reactions in regional lymph nodes of patients with non-small cell lung cancer: incidence and implications for minimally invasive staging with endobronchial ultrasound. *Lung Cancer*. (2009) 66:305–8. doi: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2009.03.001

21. Steinfort DP, Tsui A, Grieve J, Hibbs ML, Anderson GP, Irving LB. Sarcoidal reactions in regional lymph nodes of patients with early stage nonsmall cell lung cancer predict improved disease-free survival: a pilot casecontrol study. *Hum Pathol.* (2012) 43:333–8. doi: 10.1016/j.humpath.2011. 05.006

22. Park SW, Baek AR, Lee HL, Jeong SW, Yang SH, Kim YH, et al. Korean guidelines for diagnosis and management of interstitial lung diseases: part 1. *Introduct Tuberc Respir Dis.* (2019) 82:269–76. doi: 10.4046/trd.2018. 0090

23. Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, Schwartz LH, Sargent D, Ford R. et al. New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: revised RECIST guideline (version 11). *Eur J Cancer.* (2009) 45:228–47. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2008. 10.026

24. Wu S, Du Y, Beckford J, Alachkar H. Upregulation of the EMT marker vimentin is associated with poor clinical outcome in acute myeloid leukemia. *J Transl Med.* (2018) 16:170. doi: 10.1186/s12967-018-1539-y

25. Dauphin M, Barbe C, Lemaire S, Nawrocki-Raby B, Lagonotte E, Delepine G, et al. Vimentin expression predicts the occurrence of metastases in non small cell lung carcinomas. *Lung Cancer.* (2013) 81:117–22. doi: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2013.03.011

26. Yamashita N, Tokunaga E, Kitao H, Hisamatsu Y, Taketani K, Akiyoshi S, et al. Vimentin as a poor prognostic factor for triple-negative breast cancer. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.* (2013) 139:739-46. doi: 10.1007/s00432-013-1376-6

27. Dieu-Nosjean MC, Antoine M, Danel C, Heudes D, Wislez M, Poulot V, et al. Long-term survival for patients with non-small-cell lung cancer with intratumoral lymphoid structures. *J Clin Oncol.* (2008) 26:4410–7. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2007.15.0284

28. Dagaonkar RS, Choong CV, Asmat AB, Ahmed DB, Chopra A, Lim AY, et al. Significance of coexistent granulomatous inflammation and lung cancer. *J Clin Pathol.* (2017) 70:337–41. doi: 10.1136/jclinpath-2016-203868

29. Lau RK, Takasugi JE, David Godwin J, Pipavath SN. Sarcoid-like reactioncomputed tomography features in 12 patients. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* (2015) 39:143-8. doi: 10.1097/RCT.00000000000189

30. Kaneko Y, Kato H, Matsuo M. Hilar and mediastinal sarcoidlike reaction after the treatment of malignant tumors: imaging features and natural course on (18)F-FDG-PET/CT. *Jpn J Radiol.* (2019) 37:88–94. doi: 10.1007/s11604-018-0786-4

31. Studdy PR, Bird R. Serum angiotensin converting enzyme in sarcoidosisits value in present clinical practice. *Ann Clin Biochem*. (1989) 26:13-8. doi: 10.1177/000456328902600102

32. Crouser ED, Maier LA, Wilson KC, Bonham CA, Morgenthau AS, Patterson KC, et al. Diagnosis and detection of sarcoidosis. An official american thoracic society clinical practice guideline. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2020) 201:e26–51. doi: 10.1164/rccm.202002-0251ST