#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Yan Li, Oregon Health and Science University, United States

REVIEWED BY Shi Song Rong, Harvard Medical School, United States Ningli Wang, Capital Medical University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Yanjun Hua hyj1860@hotmail.com Xiaomei Qu quxiaomei2002@126.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Ophthalmology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Medicine

RECEIVED 13 May 2022 ACCEPTED 31 October 2022 PUBLISHED 08 December 2022

#### CITATION

Shang J, Hua Y, Wang Y, He JC, Zhou X and Qu X (2022) Comparison of lens refractive parameters in myopic and hyperopic eyes of 6–12-year-old children. *Front. Med.* 9:942933. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.942933

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Shang, Hua, Wang, He, Zhou and Qu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Comparison of lens refractive parameters in myopic and hyperopic eyes of 6–12-year-old children

# Jianming Shang<sup>1,2,3†</sup>, Yanjun Hua<sup>1,4\*†</sup>, Yuliang Wang<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Ji C. He<sup>5</sup>, Xingtao Zhou<sup>1,2,3</sup> and Xiaomei Qu<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Ophthalmology and Vision Science, Eye & ENT Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China, <sup>2</sup>NHC Key Laboratory of Myopia, Fudan University, Shanghai, China, <sup>3</sup>Laboratory of Myopia, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Shanghai, China, <sup>4</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University Affiliated Sixth People's Hospital, Shanghai, China, <sup>5</sup>New England School of Optometry, Boston, MA, United States

**Background/aims:** To evaluate the influence of cycloplegia on lens refractive parameters in 6–12-year-old children with myopia and hyperopia for exploring the pathogenesis of myopia.

**Methods:** One hundred eyes of 100 patients (50 boys) were included. In the myopic group, 50 subjects (25 boys and 25 right eyes) were enrolled with a mean age of  $9.20 \pm 1.69$  years. IOLMaster 700 measurements were performed pre- and post-cycloplegia. The pictures were marked using semi-automatic software. The lens curvature and power were obtained using MATLAB image processing software. Paired and independent sample *t*-tests were used for data analysis. Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05.

**Results:** Anterior and posterior lens curvature radius in myopic eyes were larger than those in hyperopic eyes, both pre- and post-cycloplegia (both P < 0.001). The refractive power in myopic eyes was lower than that in hyperopic eyes without cycloplegia, both pre- and post-cycloplegia (both P < 0.001). The changes in anterior lens curvature and refractive power between pre- and post-cycloplegia in hyperopic eyes were larger than those in myopic eyes (both P < 0.05). No significant difference was found in the change in posterior lens curvature and refractive power after cycloplegia in hyperopic and myopic eyes (P > 0.05).

**Conclusion:** Anterior and posterior surfaces of the lens were flatter, and the refractive power was lower in the myopia group than in the hyperopia group. Myopic and hyperopic patients showed a tendency for lens flattening and refractive power decrease after cycloplegia. Hyperopic patients had more changes in anterior lens curvature and refractive power after cycloplegia.

#### KEYWORDS

lens curvature, lens refractive power, cycloplegia, pathogenesis of myopia, hyperopia

# Highlights

- The mechanism of myopia is not yet clear.
- This study focuses on the changes of lens refractive power and curvature before and after cycloplegia in different people with myopia and hyperopia, to reveal the differences in lens physiological characteristics between them and provide a new direction for the exploration of the mechanism of myopia.

## Introduction

Myopia is a global public health concern. The World Health Organization predicts that 49.8% of the population will suffer from myopia by 2050 (1–3). Compared with the rapid growth trend of myopia, the mechanism of myopia is still not fully understood, which poses a great challenge to its prevention and control. During the development of human vision, the cornea and lens are constantly changing. When the cornea, lens, and axial development maintain a dynamic balance, the human eye can maintain a state of emmetropia (4–6). Once a part of this balance develops abnormally and its integrity is destroyed, it may manifest as myopia and other ametropia. Therefore, it is of great significance to observe the difference in eyeball structure under various refractive states to understand the mechanism of myopia.

There are several theories about the occurrence and development of myopia; of these, the theory of periretinal hyperopia defocus is widely accepted. Animal experiments have shown that myopic defocus may induce hyperopia, while hyperopia defocus may induce myopia; the latter may have a stronger inducing effect on myopia (7–9). In the process of myopia, the anterior chamber will deepen, and the lens will become thinner. When the curvature radius of the lens increases and its refractive power decreases, the object falls behind the retina through the refractive stroma and forms a hyperopia defocus, which may lead to the occurrence of myopia (10–20).

At present, two methods for measuring lens refractive power and deformation are widely accepted and are as follows: (1) measurement of the structural parameters such as ACD and AL of the eyeball and (2) measurement of the curvature radius of the anterior and posterior surfaces of the cornea and lens. The refractive power of the lens is calculated from the measurement results in both methods (21–24).

Few instruments have been used to directly measure the lens refractive power. In this study, all parameters were measured using an IOLMaster 700. The parameters of the cornea and lens were directly evaluated and indirectly calculated using MATLAB software using the fitting and formula methods, respectively; these were employed to evaluate changes in the ocular biological parameters between hyperopia, myopia, and pre- and post-cycloplegia with tropicamide. The aim of this study was to explore the possible pathogenesis and biological basis of myopia by comparing the changes in ocular structure under different refractive states as well as to explore the effect of cycloplegia on the anterior segment and lens.

## Materials and methods

### Subjects

This study was conducted between August and October 2018 at the Ophthalmology Department of the Eye and ENT Hospital, Shanghai, China. The study protocol followed the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the ethics committee of the Eye and ENT Hospital of Fudan University. All participants provided written informed consent after the purpose of the study was explained to them in detail.

All subjects had the following qualities: (1) age between 6 and 12 years; (2) refractive spherical equivalent (RSE) from -6.00 diopter (D) to +6.00 D, with astigmatism not more than 1.5 D after cycloplegia; (3) best corrected visual acuity not <0.5 in LogMar, with good fixation to the target; and (4) clear cornea and crystalline lens without visible opacity under slit-lamp examination. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) history of serious dry eye, corneal opacity, congenital cataract, glaucoma, uveitis, strabismus, and nystagmus; (2) history of using 0.01% atropine eye drops or orthokeratology for controlling myopia progression; and (3) history of any eye trauma and surgery. All subjects were classified into two groups according to the RSE after cycloplegia: (1) myopic group with RSE from -6.00 D to -0.50 D and (2) hyperopic group with RSE from +0.5 D to +6.00 D.

Potential candidates received objective refractions thrice. The average values were subsequently obtained. IOL Master 700 measurements were then performed. Subject attributes were as follows: (1) the candidate was seated; (2) the chin was placed on the chinrest; (3) the forehead rested, and (4) the eyes were looking forward. Before the measurement, the subject was instructed to blink thrice and then to look straight ahead. All measurements were conducted in the automatic mode with an active enhanced scan display. A valid measurement was completed when all parameters displayed a "green check" status on the display interface. After IOLMaster 700 examination, the subjects received tropicamide 1% for cycloplegia every 5 min, three times overall. Thirty minutes after the last administration, objective and subjective refractions as well as IOLMaster 700 measurements were performed. Only one eye from each subject was included in this study.

The IOLMaster 700 is a non-contact device that uses swept-source OCT technology at a 1,055-µm wavelength. B-scans were generated to determine the eye biometry. All measurements were the average values of the three scans for each of the six meridians. The axial length (AL), anterior chamber depth (ACD), lens thickness (LT), and central corneal thickness (CCT) measurements were based on the swept-source technology. Corneal curvature measurements were based on reflected light spots on the anterior corneal surface. Pupil diameter (PD) and white-to-white (WTW) measurements were based on scleral and iris images.

The calculation of lens curvature and lens power were as follows:

We set the corneal refractive index as  $n_0 = 1.3375$ , the aqueous refractive index as  $n_1 = 1.3333$ , the lens refractive index as  $n_2 = 1.4160$ , the vitreous refractive index as  $n_3 = 1.3333$ , the corneal power as Km, and the lens thickness as LT. The specific values of anterior chamber depth, pupil diameter, and central lens thickness were obtained using IOLMaster 700. The original image of the 6-mm area of the lens layer on the optic axis was obtained; the original image was reconstructed to obtain a scanning tomogram of the optic axis area. The anterior and posterior surfaces of the cornea, anterior and posterior surfaces of the lens, and boundary of the pupil were marked using semiautomatic software. The corresponding interface was fitted and described according to the tomogram; the fitting curve of the anterior and posterior surface of the lens was obtained; and the anterior (AL Curv) and posterior lens surface curvature radius values (PL Curv) were obtained using the MATLAB image processing software. This program utilized an algorithm as follows:

The anterior corneal curvature =  $(n_0 - 1)/\text{Km}$ 

AL Curv = the anterior corneal curvature×lens anterior surface curvature pixel/the anterior corneal curvature pixel

 $\label{eq:PL} \begin{array}{l} \text{PL Curv} = \text{the anterior corneal curvature} \times \text{lens posterior} \\ \text{surface curvature pixel/the anterior corneal curvature pixel} \end{array}$ 

The refractive power of the front surface of the lens:

AL Power =  $(n_2 - n_1)$ /AL Curv.

The refractive power of the posterior surface of the lens:

PL Power =  $(n_3 - n_2)$ /PL Curv.

The total refractive power of the lens:

TL Power = AL Power + PL Power - LT×AL Power × PL Power/ $n_2$ .

### Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using MedCalc Statistical Software version 11.0 (MedCalc Software Inc., Mariakerke, Belgium). Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05. The distribution of all datasets was analyzed for normality using Kolmogorov–Smirnov tests. A paired *t*-test was applied to compare the ocular lens parameters obtained pre- and post-cycloplegia in the two groups. An independent sample *t*-test was used to compare ocular lens parameters between hyperopic and myopic eyes.

## Results

One hundred eyes of 100 participants (including 50 boys) were included in this study. In the myopic group, 50 subjects (including 25 boys and 25 right eyes) were enrolled with a mean age of 9.20  $\pm$  1.69 years old. On the other hand, the hyperopic group included 50 subjects (including 25 boys and 25 right eyes) with a mean age of 8.20  $\pm$  1.67 years old. Table 1 shows the spherical equivalent of objective and subjective refractions in the hyperopic and myopic groups pre- and post-cycloplegia.

Table 2 shows the changes of ocular lens parameters in hyperopic eyes between pre- and post-cycloplegia obtained using the IOLMaster 700. The AL and PL Curv<sub>H</sub> post-cycloplegia were  $1.490 \pm 1.583$  mm and  $0.260 \pm 0.385$  mm larger than pre-cycloplegia, respectively (both *P* < 0.001). The AL, PL, and TL Power<sub>H</sub> were  $1.010 \pm 1.150$  D,  $0.664 \pm 0.974$  D, and  $1.617 \pm 1.648$  D lower than pre-cycloplegia, respectively (all *P* < 0.001).

Table 3 shows the changes of ocular lens parameters between pre- and post-cycloplegia in myopic eyes. The AL and PL Curv<sub>M</sub> after cycloplegia were  $0.766 \pm 1.127$  and  $0.180 \pm 0.421$  mm larger than those before cycloplegia, respectively (both P < 0.001). The AL, PL, and TL Power<sub>M</sub> after cycloplegia were  $0.366 \pm 0.501$  D (P < 0.001),  $0.383 \pm 0.893$  D (P = 0.004), and  $0.728 \pm 1.113$  D (P < 0.001) lower than the values before cycloplegia, respectively.

Table 4 shows the ocular lens parameters between hyperopic and myopic eyes obtained using the IOLMaster 700. The AL and PL Curv in myopic eyes without cycloplegia were 1.468  $\pm$  0.352 mm and 0.391  $\pm$  0.124 mm larger, respectively, than those in hyperopic eyes without cycloplegia (both *P* < 0.001). The AL, PL, and TL powers in myopic eyes without cycloplegia were 1.113  $\pm$  0.222, 0.969  $\pm$  0.228, and 1.914  $\pm$  0.379 D lower than those in hyperopic eyes without cycloplegia, respectively (all *P* < 0.001). The AL and PL Curv in myopic eyes after cycloplegia were 0.788  $\pm$  0.236 mm and 0.301  $\pm$  0.181 mm larger, respectively, than those in hyperopic eyes after cycloplegia (both *P* < 0.001). The AL, PL, and TL powers in myopic eyes after cycloplegia were 0.349  $\pm$  0.144 D (*P* = 0.018), 0.629  $\pm$  0.154 D (*P* < 0.001), and 0.998  $\pm$  0.282 D (*P* < 0.001) lower than those in hyperopic eyes after cycloplegia, respectively.

Figure 1 shows the comparison of changes in ocular lens parameters between pre- and post-cycloplegia obtained by IOLMaster 700 in hyperopic and myopic eyes obtained using the IOLMaster 700.  $\Delta$ LT were 0.131 ± 0.126 and -0.033 ± 0.028 in hyperopic and myopic eyes, respectively. The difference between the two was statistically significant (P < 0.001).  $\Delta$ AL Curv were -1.490 ± 1.582 and -0.766 ± 1.127 in hyperopic and myopic eyes, respectively. The difference between the two was statistically significant (P = 0.010).  $\Delta$ PL Curv were -0.259 ± 0.385 and -0.180 ± 0.421 in hyperopic and myopic eyes, respectively. There was no statistically significant difference

| Refraction                | Mean $\pm$ SD      | Min    | Max    |
|---------------------------|--------------------|--------|--------|
| Hyperopic eyes $(n = 50)$ |                    |        |        |
| SEQ1                      | $2.438 \pm 1.594$  | -0.25  | 6.250  |
| SEQ2                      | $3.430 \pm 1.693$  | 0.375  | 6.250  |
| SEQ3                      | $3.465\pm1.622$    | 0.500  | 6.000  |
| Myopic eyes $(n = 50)$    |                    |        |        |
| SEQ1                      | $-3.135 \pm 1.491$ | -6.125 | -0.750 |
| SEQ2                      | $-2.837 \pm 1.526$ | -7.125 | -0.750 |
| SEQ3                      | $-2.696 \pm 1.425$ | -6.000 | -0.500 |
|                           |                    |        |        |

TABLE 1 Spherical equivalent of objective and subjective refractions in hyperopic and myopic eyes.

SEQ1, spherical equivalent of objective refraction before cycloplegic; SEQ2, spherical equivalent of objective refraction after cycloplegic; SEQ3, spherical equivalent of subjective refraction after cycloplegic; SD, standard deviation.

TABLE 2 Changes of ocular lens parameters between pre- and post-cycloplegia obtained by IOLMaster 700 in hyperopic eyes (n = 50).

|                                    | Mean $\pm$ SD      | t-values | P-values |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|----------|----------|
| ΔAL Curv <sub>H</sub> (mm)         | $1.490 \pm 1.583$  | 6.655    | <0.001   |
| $\Delta PL Curv_H (mm)$            | $0.260\pm0.385$    | 4.761    | < 0.001  |
| $\Delta AL Power_H (D)$            | $-1.010 \pm 1.150$ | -6.212   | < 0.001  |
| $\Delta PL$ Power <sub>H</sub> (D) | $-0.664 \pm 0.974$ | -4.825   | < 0.001  |
| $\Delta TL Power_H (D)$            | $-1.617 \pm 1.648$ | -6.938   | <0.001   |

between the two (P = 0.358).  $\Delta$ AL Power were  $1.010 \pm 1.150$ and  $0.366 \pm 0.501$  in hyperopic and myopic eyes, respectively. The difference between the two was statistically significant (P < 0.001).  $\Delta$ PL Power were  $0.664 \pm 0.893$  and  $0.383 \pm 0.898$ in hyperopic and myopic eyes, respectively. There was no statistically significant difference between the two (P = 0.135).  $\Delta$ TL power was  $1.617 \pm 1.648$  and  $0.728 \pm 1.113$  in the hyperopic and myopic eyes, respectively. The difference between the two was statistically significant (P = 0.002).

## Discussion

Several theories have attempted to explain the causes of myopia, including the choroidal ischemia, hyperopia defocus, accommodation, genetic, and form deprivation theories; all of which can be explained by different layers, such as blood supply, retinal imaging, accommodation, and vision development (25–29). As a global concern, the occurrence of myopia may be related to all of the above factors. This study focused on the comparison of lens refractive power in children with different refractive states, and further explore the changes of lens morphology and optical properties after cycloplegia with tropicamide, to propose new directions and ideas for mechanism of myopia

In the development of emmetropia, it has been proven that there are a series of structural eye changes, such as axial growth as well as lens thinning, surface flattening, and refractive power weakening (10, 12, 16, 20, 26). In this study, the AL, PL, and TL refractive powers of children with myopia under natural pupils were significantly lower than those with hyperopia. Correspondingly, the AL and PL curvature radius of children with myopia under natural pupils were significantly higher than those with hyperopia. Li et al. (16) showed that the curvature radius of the anterior and posterior surfaces of the lens in myopic patients was larger than that in emmetropic eyes, i.e., the lens was flatter. Furthermore, He et al. (10) showed that the lens in myopic patients was thinner, i.e., the lens refractive power was weaker. These results are in agreement with our results. Given the condition that the lens becomes thinner, its anterior and posterior surfaces become flat, and its refractive power decreases during the development of vision, object images will fall behind the retina, i.e., hyperopia defocus, thereby inducing axial growth and leading to myopia (17, 18). This study confirmed that the anterior and posterior surfaces of the lens in myopic children are flatter than those in hyperopia; the refractive power of the lens is smaller than that of hyperopia. The difference between myopia and hyperopia in the above aspects may indicate the importance of ocular structure changes in the process of myopia.

In terms of the choice of cycloplegic drugs, the patients included in this study are all patients who are regularly followed up in our hospital. Although previous studies have shown that tropicamide may be a relatively weak cycloplegic drug (30), considering that the patients included in this study used

|                            | Mean $\pm$ SD      | t-values | P-values |
|----------------------------|--------------------|----------|----------|
| ΔAL Curv <sub>M</sub> (mm) | $0.766 \pm 1.127$  | 4.832    | <0.001   |
| $\Delta PL Curv_M (mm)$    | $0.180\pm0.421$    | 3.019    | 0.004    |
| $\Delta AL Power_M (D)$    | $-0.366 \pm 0.501$ | -5.105   | < 0.001  |
| $\Delta PL Power_M (D)$    | $-0.383 \pm 0.898$ | -3.011   | 0.004    |
| $\Delta TL Power_M (D)$    | $-0.728 \pm 1.113$ | -4.637   | < 0.001  |

TABLE 3 Comparison of ocular lens parameters between pre- and post-cycloplegia obtained by IOLMaster 700 in myopic eyes (n = 50).

TABLE 4 Ocular lens parameters of obtained by IOLMaster 700 between hyperopic and myopic eyes.

| Parameters                       | Mean $\pm$ SE      | t-values | P-values |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|----------|----------|
| Pre-cycloplegic                  |                    |          |          |
| AL Curv <sub>pre-M-H</sub> (mm)  | $1.468\pm0.352$    | 4.276    | < 0.001  |
| PL Curv <sub>pre-M-H</sub> (mm)  | $0.391\pm0.124$    | 4.012    | < 0.001  |
| AL Power <sub>pre-M-H</sub> (D)  | $-1.113 \pm 0.222$ | -4.258   | < 0.001  |
| PL Power <sub>pre-M-H</sub> (D)  | $-0.969 \pm 0.228$ | -4.012   | < 0.001  |
| TL Power <sub>pre-M-H</sub> (D)  | $-1.914 \pm 0.379$ | -4.978   | < 0.001  |
| Post-cycloplegic                 |                    |          |          |
| AL Curv <sub>post-M-H</sub> (mm) | $0.788\pm0.236$    | 2.862    | < 0.001  |
| PL Curv <sub>post-M-H</sub> (mm) | $0.301\pm0.181$    | 2.989    | < 0.001  |
| AL Power <sub>post-M-H</sub> (D) | $-0.349 \pm 0.144$ | -2.421   | 0.018    |
| PL Power <sub>post-M-H</sub> (D) | $-0.629 \pm 0.154$ | -3.238   | < 0.001  |
| TL Power <sub>post-M-H</sub> (D) | $-0.998 \pm 0.282$ | -3.573   | < 0.001  |
|                                  |                    |          |          |

tropicamide for cycloplegia in the past, this study finally chose tropicamide for cycloplegia. In our study, the curvature radius of the anterior and posterior surfaces of the lens increased; its refractive power decreased after cycloplegia with tropicamide. Studies have shown that cycloplegia has a great influence on the measurement of lens refractive power (31, 32). These changes are related to ligament tension and stretching of the lens, which is consistent with Helmholz's classical eye regulation theory. This study further verified the above conclusions, and more accurately measured the changes in the refractive power and curvature radius of the anterior and posterior surfaces of the lens, which further described the changes in morphology and function of the lens under cycloplegia. The results demonstrated that the changes in LT, AL curvature radius, AL refractive power, and TL refractive power in children with hyperopia between pre- and post-cycloplegia were greater than those in children with myopia, whereas there was no significant difference in the changes in PL curvature radius and PL refractive power between pre- and post-cycloplegia. This finding may explain why the hyperopic group had more and stronger accommodation than the myopic group. It is worth noting that the effect of cycloplegia on the posterior surface of the lens seems to be not as significant as that on the anterior surface. The PL refractive power in the myopic group was significantly lower than that in the hyperopic group, and the PL curvature radius was significantly greater than that in the hyperopic group, no matter before or after cycloplegia. However, after cycloplegia, there was no significant difference in the changes of PL refractive power (P = 0.135) and PL curvature radius (P = 0.358) between the two groups. This may suggest that in the process of myopia, the main manifestation of the lens is the decrease of the "elasticity" of the anterior surface, although the morphology of the entire lens has changed.

In this study, the IOLMaster 700 was used to measure the different refractive states of children aged 6–12. It was also used to analyze the differences and to calculate the curvature and refractive power of the anterior and posterior surfaces of the lens, which is helpful in understanding the mechanism of myopia. At the same time, by analyzing the changes in lens parameters after cycloplegia, this study may be helpful in exploring the differences between lenses in varying refractive states. It may also aid in understanding the process of cycloplegia and accommodation.

# Limitation

This was a cross-sectional study; we cannot understand the specific changes in the lens morphology and refractive power during the development of myopia. In addition, this study did not use cyclopentolate for cycloplegia, which may have an



impact on the examination after cycloplegia. Therefore, further cohort studies are warranted.

# Conclusion

The anterior and posterior surfaces as well as the refractive power of the lens in the myopia group were flatter and lower as compared with the hyperopia group, respectively. Both myopic and hyperopic patients showed a tendency for lens flattening and refractive power weakening after cycloplegia, which is consistent with existing theories. In addition, hyperopic patients had more changes in the above indicators before and after cycloplegia, which may explain the stronger accommodative power of hyperopic patients. In the process of myopia, the change of the anterior surface of the lens may be more important than the posterior surface, which is also the direction of follow-up research.

# Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

## **Ethics statement**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Eye and ENT Hospital of Fudan University. Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin.

# Author contributions

JS is responsible for writing the article. JS and YH are responsible for patient follow-up and data entry. JS, YH, and YW complete the calculation of crystal diopter. JH and XZ provide opinions and suggestions in the research and analysis. YH and XQ are the corresponding authors of this paper and lead the design of this study. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Funding

This work was supported by the Key Laboratory of the Ministry of Health in Myopia, and Fundamental Research Funds (Grant No. 2018PT32019), Natural Science Foundation of Minhang District (Grant no. 00222000051), National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 82171093), and Shanghai Scientific Research Plan Project (Grant No. 21dz2311300).

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# References

1. Edwards MH, Lam C. The epidemiology of myopia in Hong Kong. *Ann Acad Med Singapore*. (2004) 33:34–8.

2. Holden BA, Fricke TR, Wilson DA, Jong M, Naidoo KS, Sankaridurg P, et al. Global prevalence of myopia and high myopia and temporal trends from 2000 through 2050. *Ophthalmology*. (2016) 123:1036. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2016.01.006

3. Pan CW, Ramamurthy D, Saw SM. Worldwide prevalence and risk factors for myopia. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt.* (2011) 32:3–16. doi: 10.1111/j.1475-1313.2011.00884.x

4. Wong HB, Machin D, Tan SB, Wong TY, Saw SM. Ocular component growth curves among Singaporean children with different refractive error status. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* (2010) 51:1341. doi: 10.1167/iovs.09-3431

5. Wallman J, Winawer J. Homeostasis of eye growth and the question of myopia. *Neuron.* (2004) 43:447–68. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2004.08.008

6. Charman W, Radhakrishnan HH. Peripheral refraction and the development of refractive error: a review. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt.* (2010) 30:321–38. doi: 10.1111/j.1475-1313.2010.00746.x

7. Li Z, Cui D, Yin H, Ao S, Zeng J, Yang X. Choroidal thickness and axial length changes in myopic children treated with orthokeratology. *Cont Lens Anterior Eye.* (2017) 40(6):417–23. doi: 10.1016/j.clae.2017.09.010

8. Wallman J, Gottlieb M, Rajaram V, Fugate-Wentzek L. Local retinal regions control local eye growth and myopia. *Science*. (1987) 237:73–7. doi: 10.1126/science.3603011

9. Iii ELS, Hung LF. The role of optical defocus in regulating refractive development in infant monkeys. *Vision Res.* (1999) 39:1415–35. doi: 10.1016/S0042-6989(98)00229-6

10. He JC. A model of the effect of lens development on refraction in schoolchildren. *Optom Vis Sci.* (2017) 94:1129. doi: 10.1097/OPX.00000000001146

11. Jones LA, Mitchell GL, Mutti DO, Hayes JR, Moeschberger ML, Zadnik K. Comparison of ocular component growth curves among refractive error groups in children. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis.* (2005) 46:2317–27. doi: 10.1167/iovs.04-0945

12. Karla Z, Mutti DO, Lynn MG, Jones LA, Deborah B, Moeschberger ML. Normal eye growth in emmetropic schoolchildren. *Optom Vis Sci.* (2004) 81:819. doi: 10.1097/01.OPX.0000145028.53923.67

13. Mutti DO, Zadnik K, Fusaro RE, Friedman NE, Adams AJ. Optical and structural development of the crystalline lens in childhood. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis.* (1998) 39:120–33.

14. Rafael I, Morgan IG, Chan YH, Lin X, Seang-Mei S. Changes in lens power in Singapore Chinese children during refractive development. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* (2012) 53:5124. doi: 10.1167/iovs.12-9637

15. Zadnik K, Mutti DO, Fusaro RE, Adams AJ. Longitudinal evidence of crystalline lens thinning in children. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* (1995) 36:1581.

16. Li SM, Iribarren R, Kang MT Li H, Li SY, Liu LR, Sun YY, et al. Corneal power, anterior segment length and lens power in 14-year-old chinese children: the anyang childhood eye study. *Sci Rep.* (2016) 6:20243. doi: 10.1038/srep20243

17. Mutti DO, Mitchell GL, Jones LA, Friedman NE, Frane SL, Lin WK, et al. Axial growth and changes in lenticular and corneal power

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

during emmetropization in infants. Invest Ophthalmol Vis. (2005) 10:188-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jaapos.2006.03.009

18. Mutti DO, Mitchell GL, Sinnott LT, Jones-Jordan LA, Zadnik K. Corneal and crystalline lens dimensions before and after myopia onset. *Optom Vis Sci.* (2012) 89:251. doi: 10.1097/OPX.0b013e3182418213

19. Yuan Y, Zhang Z, Zhu J, He X, Du E, Jiang K, et al. Responses of the ocular anterior segment and refraction to 0.5% tropicamide in Chinese schoolaged children of myopia, emmetropia, and hyperopia. *J Ophthalmol.* (2015) 2015:612728. doi: 10.1155/2015/612728

20. Muralidharan G, Martínez-Enríquez E, Birkenfeld J, Velasco-Ocana M, Pérez-Merino P. Morphological changes of human crystalline lens in myopia. *Biomed Opt Express.* (2019) 10:6084–95. doi: 10.1364/BOE.10.006084

21. Chang YC, Mesquita GM, Williams S, Gregori G, Cabot F, Ho A, et al. *In vivo* measurement of the human crystalline lens equivalent refractive index using extended-depth OCT. *Biomed Opt Express.* (2019) 10:411–22. doi: 10.1364/BOE.10.000411

22. He JC, Wang J. Measurement of wavefront aberrations and lens deformation in the accommodated eye with optical coherence tomography-equipped wavefront system. *Opt Express.* (2014) 22:9764–73. doi: 10.1364/OE.22.009764

23. Jin H, Rabsilber T, Ehmer A, Borkenstein AF, Limberger IJ, Guo H, et al. Comparison of ray-tracing method and thin-lens formula in intraocular lens power calculations. *J Cataract Refract Surg.* (2009) 35:650–62. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrs.2008.12.015

24. Olsen T. Calculation of intraocular lens power: a review. Acta Ophthalmol Scand. (2007) 85:472–85. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-3768.2007.00879.x

25. Cooper J, Tkatchenko AV. A review of current concepts of the etiology and treatment of myopia. *Eye Contact Lens.* (2018) 44:231–47. doi: 10.1097/ICL.00000000000499

26. Flitcroft DI. The complex interactions of retinal, optical and environmental factors in myopia aetiology. *Prog Retin Eye Res.* (2012) 31:622–60. doi: 10.1016/j.preteyeres.2012.06.004

27. Liu Y, Wang L, Xu Y, Pang Z, Mu G. The influence of the choroid on the onset and development of myopia: from perspectives of choroidal thickness and blood flow. *Acta Ophthalmologica*. (2021) 99:730–8. doi: 10.1111/aos.14773

28. Ramamurthy D, Chua S, Saw SM. A review of environmental risk factors for myopia during early life, childhood and adolescence. *Clin Exp Optom.* (2016) 98:497–506. doi: 10.1111/cxo.12346

29. Xiong S, Sankaridurg P, Naduvilath T, Zang J, Zou H, Zhu J, et al. Time spent in outdoor activities in relation to myopia prevention and control: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *Acta Ophthalmol.* (2017). 95:551–66. doi: 10.1111/aos.13403

30. Morgan IG, Iribarren R, Fotouhi A, Grzybowski A. Cycloplegic refraction is the gold standard for epidemiological studies. *Acta Ophthalmol.* (2015) 93:581– 5. doi: 10.1111/aos.12642

31. Huang J, Mcalinden C, Su B, Pesudovs K, Feng Y, Hua Y, et al. The effect of cycloplegia on the lenstar and the IOLMaster biometry. *Optom Vis Sci.* (2012) 89:1691. doi: 10.1097/OPX.0b013e3182772f4f

32. Tuncer I, Zengin MZ, Yldz S. The effect of cycloplegia on the ocular biometry and intraocular lens power based on age. *Eye.* (2020) 35:1–6. doi: 10.1038/s41433-020-01131-3