Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Paolo Fogagnolo, University of Milan, Italy

REVIEWED BY

Lyne Racette, University of Alabama at Birmingham, United States Łukasz Milanowski, Medical University of Warsaw, Poland Adisu Birhanu Weldesenbet, Haramaya University, Ethiopia

*CORRESPONDENCE Shunsuke Nakakura shunsukenakakura@yahoo.co.jp

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Ophthalmology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Medicine

RECEIVED 22 May 2022 ACCEPTED 05 October 2022 PUBLISHED 28 October 2022

CITATION

Nakakura S, Oogi S, Tanoue A and Miyoshi T (2022) Case report: Findings of automated perimetry during a migraine episode in a patient with glaucoma. *Front. Med.* 9:950148. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.950148

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Nakakura, Oogi, Tanoue and Miyoshi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Case report: Findings of automated perimetry during a migraine episode in a patient with glaucoma

Shunsuke Nakakura^{1*}, Satomi Oogi¹, Asaya Tanoue² and Teruyuki Miyoshi²

¹Department of Ophthalmology, Saneikai Tsukazaki Hospital, Himeji, Japan, ²Miyoshi Eye Clinic, Department of Ophthalmology, Fukuyama, Japan

Comorbidities like glaucoma and migraine are often observed among middleaged individuals, especially women. Herein, we report a rare case of a patient who underwent automated perimetry during a migraine attack. A 52-yearold woman with a 1-year history of blurred vision in the nasal field of her right eye visited Miyoshi Eye Clinic. The intraocular pressures of the right and left eyes were 22 and 24 mm Hg, respectively. Retinal imaging revealed a retinal nerve fiber defect in the temporal superior macula with corresponding thinning of the superior ganglion cell complex in the right eye. The left eye appeared normal. Primary open-angle glaucoma was suspected, and the patient underwent a visual field examination on the same day. Perimetry showed that the mean deviations in the right and left eyes were -5.00 and -7.68 dB, respectively. A visual field defect in the inferior nasal aspect of the right eye corresponded to the retinal nerve fiber defect. However, rightsided homonymous hemianopia-like visual field defects were observed in both eyes. After the examination, the patient stated that a migraine attack had started 5 min before the examination and continued till after its end (attack duration was \sim 20 min). In the follow-up examinations without migraine, homonymous hemianopia-like visual field defects disappeared, and only a glaucomatous visual field defect in the right eye was observed. Hence, the initial visual field examination findings reflected the effects of a migraine attack alongside glaucoma. Detailed interviews with patients may be beneficial for understanding visual field findings and preventing their untimely examination.

KEYWORDS

automated perimetry, migraine, glaucoma, patient, case report, homonymous hemianopia

Introduction

Migraine, a common neurological headache disorder, affects 10-15% of individuals worldwide, especially those of working age (1). Typical migraines are characterized by headache; nausea, vomiting, or both; photophobia and phonophobia; and mild blurring of vision (2). Glaucoma is also a common ocular disease whose prevalence increases with age. In 2020, glaucoma was responsible for 11% of all cases of blindness globally in adults aged \geq 50 years (3). Some studies (4-6) have suggested that migraine increases the risk of developing glaucoma. However, there have been studies reporting no such findings (7, 8). Hence, the relationship between migraine and glaucoma is yet to be fully clarified. Visual field defects are characteristic of glaucoma and are also experienced by patients with migraine (9-17); furthermore, migraine and glaucoma often present as comorbidities. Here we report a case of primary open-angle glaucoma wherein automated perimetry during a migraine episode revealed unique visual field defects.

Case description

This case report was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Saneikai Tsukazaki Hospital, Himeji, Japan (No. 221002). All examinations were conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

A 52-year-old woman visited Miyoshi Eye Clinic in Fukuyama, Japan, with complaints of blurred vision in the nasal field of her right eye persisting for 1 year. Visual acuities and intraocular pressures (according to Goldmann applanation tonometry) in the right and left eyes of the patient were 0.2 ($1.0 \times S$ -2.25D) and 0.2 ($1.0 \times S$ -2.75D) and 22 and 24 mm Hg, respectively.

Slit-lamp examination revealed no inflammation in both eyes, which exhibited normal anterior chamber depth. A Mirante Scanning Laser Ophthalmoscope (Nideck Co., Gamagori, Japan) was employed to capture retinal photographs. Additionally, the RS-3000 system of optical coherence tomography (Nideck Co.) was used to measure the thickness of the macular ganglion cell complex (Figure 1). The color image of the right eye revealed a defect of the retinal nerve fiber layer and a corresponding notch sign in the superior optic disk. Moreover, optical coherence tomography demonstrated thinning of the macular ganglion cell complex in the temporal superior aspect (Figure 1, left panel). The left eye of the patient exhibited no apparent glaucomatous alterations (Figure 1, right panel). The findings of the right eye indicated primary open-angle glaucoma, and a visual field examination using a Humphrey field analyzer (HFA; Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Dublin, CA; 30-2 Swedish Interactive Threshold Algorithm standard) was performed on the same day. HFA was conducted from the right to the left eye without interval. The mean deviations of the right and left eyes were -5.00 and -7.68 dB, respectively (**Figure 2**). A visual field defect in the nasal inferior aspect of the right eye corresponded to the retinal nerve fiber layer defect observed earlier. However, in both eyes, a right-sided homonymous hemianopia-like visual field defect was observed in both grayscale and pattern deviation images (**Figure 2**). Following perimetry, the patient stated that she experienced a migraine attack with an aura during the HFA examination. Consequently, a detailed medical interview regarding the migraine history of the patient was conducted.

The patient started experiencing migraines at the age of 17 years, with a frequency of several times per month. However, the frequency of the attacks and the headache during migraine attack decreased with age. Presently, the migraine symptom of the patient involved a visual disturbance aura almost without headache. She described the visual disturbance as dazzling white areas that emerged at various points in her visual field and spread across the whole field in a wave. The direction of the waves varied with each attack. The migraine aura and headache alternated between the right and left visual fields and frontal, lateral, or both hemispheres, respectively. As the patient did not exhibit vertigo or dizziness (18), her migraine was categorized as ICHD-code 1.2.1.2 "Typical aura without headache" (19).

The visual disturbance started 5 min before the HFA examination. The patient expressed that she was nervous during the examination. During the examination, the patient developed the visual disturbance. The migraine attack intensity peaked following the completion of the HFA examination of both eyes, and the attack lasted for \sim 20 min.

The patient was started on antiglaucoma medication to prevent glaucoma progression. The intraocular pressure of the patient was controlled at approximately 18–20 mm Hg at the 11-month follow-up.

The HFA examination was repeated 1 and 10 months following the first examination (**Figure 3**), and mean deviations of -0.23 and -0.60 dB and -0.33 and 1.22 dB of the right and left eyes, respectively, were observed. During both examinations, the patient exhibited no migraine symptoms. The examination findings indicated no homonymous hemianopia-like visual field defects. The only abnormality was the visual field defect in the nasal inferior aspect of the right eye, corresponding to the retinal nerve fiber layer defect (**Figure 3**). Hence, the findings of the first HFA examination, which was performed during a migraine attack, reflected the effects of both glaucoma and migraine aura on the visual field.

Discussion

Here we reported a case of migraine-related visual field defect observed during perimetry in a patient with typical



FIGURE 1

Retinal photographs and thickness evaluation of the macular ganglion cell complex using optical coherence tomography. Retinal nerve fiber layer defects (white arrows, bottom left image) were observed in the right eye. Optical coherence tomography also showed thinning of the macular ganglion cell complex in the temporal superior aspect.



FIGURE 2

The results of a visual field examination using a Humphrey field analyzer. In the right eye, a visual field defect in the nasal inferior aspect corresponded to a defect in the retinal nerve fiber layer. In both eyes, a right-sided homonymous hemianopia-like visual field defect was observed.



visual field defects.

glaucoma. Luu et al. (9) reported a case of right-sided homonymous hemianopia assessed using HFA during a migraine attack; the HFA findings were similar to those of our patient. Furthermore, Yohannan and Jampel (10) reported a case of a left inferior quadrantanopsia using HFA during a migraine attack in a patient suspected of glaucoma. Both studies reported that repeated perimetry showed a resolution of anopia and a normal visual field (9, 10).

Yener and Korucu (11) compared HFA findings during attacks both in patients with migraine without aura and in those with tension-type headache and found no difference in their mean and pattern deviation values. Furthermore, the patients reportedly exhibited different patterns of non-specific visual field defects (11). Using automated perimetry (Dremel DigiLab 750; BioRad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA), Ebner (17) reported visual field depression, except in the central 5°, in a patient with homonymous type distribution during a migraine attack. During such an episode, aura, headache, and a consequent decrease in the ability to concentrate may impact visual field findings. Migraine is considered to have a cortical origin because visual auras are homonymous and hemianopic (2). Visual auras comprise transient neurological disturbances of sight (90% of cases), disturbances of speech, or tingling/numbness of the face or body (2). Other visual field analyses using automated perimetry following a migraine attack have been reported (12-16). In these reports, a week after the attack, a decrease only in the sensitivity of the examination was observed (12-15). Additionally, a bilateral homonymous deficit was not observed in any case (12-15). Therefore, homonymous hemianopia visual field defect (9, 10, 17) can be considered as a common visual field characteristic of migraine attacks. Furthermore, this supports our HFA examination findings during a migraine attack. However, whether the homonymous hemianopia visual field defect reflects the ongoing visual auras or transient cerebral cortex paralysis remains unknown. A study reported that decreased sensitivity following the headache lasts 30-40 days on average, with a few cases showing durations of up to 75 days (16). Fortunately, the visual field defects in our patient recovered after 1 month. However, ophthalmologists should consider migraine episodes while evaluating the visual fields of patients with glaucoma.

Conclusion

We described the findings of automated an field examination performed patient visual on а glaucoma with typical during а migraine attack. Detailed interviews with patients may be beneficial for understanding visual field findings and preventing their untimely examination.

Data availability statement

Data are available upon reasonable request. All data relevant to the study are accessed by the corresponding author. No additional data are available.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Saneikai Tsukazaki Hospital, Himeji, Japan (No. 221002). The

References

1. Stovner LJ, Hagen K, Jensen R, Katsarava Z, Lipton R, Scher A, et al. The global burden of headache: a documentation of headache prevalence and disability worldwide. *Cephalalgia*. (2007) 27:193–210. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-2982.2007.01288.x

2. Nguyen BN, Lek JJ, Vingrys AJ, McKendrick AM. Clinical impact of migraine for the management of glaucoma patients. *Prog Retin Eye Res.* (2016) 51:107–24. doi: 10.1016/j.preteyeres.2015.07.006

3. Gbd 2019 Blindness and Vision Impairment Collaborators, Vision Loss Expert Group of the Global Burden of Disease Study. Causes of blindness and vision impairment in 2020 and trends over 30 years, and prevalence of avoidable blindness in relation to VISION 2020: the Right to Sight: an analysis for the global burden of disease study. *Lancet Glob Health*. (2021) 9:e144–60. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(20) 30489-7

4. Huang JY, Su CC, Wang TH, Tsai IJ. Migraine and increased risk of developing open angle glaucoma: a population-based cohort study. *BMC Ophthalmol.* (2019) 19:50. doi: 10.1186/s12886-019-1062-9

5. Cursiefen C, Wisse M, Cursiefen S, Jünemann A, Martus P, Korth M. Migraine and tension headache in high-pressure and normal-pressure glaucoma. *Am J Ophthalmol.* (2000) 129:102–4. doi: 10.1016/s0002-9394(99)00289-5

 Funk RO, Hodge DO, Kohli D, Roddy GW. Multiple systemic vascular risk factors are associated with low-tension glaucoma. J Glaucoma. (2022) 31:15–22. doi: 10.1097/IIG.000000000001964

7. Usui T, Iwata K, Shirakashi M, Abe H. Prevalence of migraine in low-tension glaucoma and primary open-angle glaucoma in Japanese. *Br J Ophthalmol.* (1991) 75:224–6. doi: 10.1136/bjo.75.4.224

8. C	Chen	HY,	Lin	CL,	Kao	CH.	Does	migraine	increase
the	risk	of	glaucoma?:		а	population-based		d cohort	study.

patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

SN: data collection, study design and interpretation, manuscript drafting, and figures creation. SO: data collection, manuscript review, and editing. AT and TM: data collection and manuscript review. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Medicine. (2016) 95:e3670. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000000 3670

9. Luu ST, Pesudovs K, Lee AW, Chen CS. Homonymous hemianopia captured on automated perimetry during a migraine episode. *Intern Med J.* (2010) 40:310–1. doi: 10.1111/j.1445-5994.2010.02194.x

10. Yohannan J, Jampel H. Progressing scintillating scotoma captured on automated visual field testing. *Ophthalmology.* (2016) 123:1395–6. doi: 10.1016/j. ophtha.2016.01.002

11. Yener AÜ, Korucu O. Visual field losses in patients with migraine without aura and tension-type headache. *Neuroophthalmology*. (2017) 41:59-67. doi: 10. 1080/01658107.2016.1251466

12. Comoğlu S, Yarangümeli A, Köz OG, Elhan AH, Kural G. Glaucomatous visual field defects in patients with migraine. *J Neurol.* (2003) 250:201-6. doi: 10.1007/s00415-003-0975-6

13. Yenice O, Temel A, Incili B, Tuncer N. Short-wavelength automated perimetry in patients with migraine. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* (2006) 244:589–95. doi: 10.1007/s00417-005-0083-7

14. McKendrick AM, Vingrys AJ, Badcock DR, Heywood JT. Visual dysfunction between migraine events. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* (2001) 42:626–33.

15. McKendrick AM, Badcock DR. Decreased visual field sensitivity measured 1 day, then 1 week, after migraine. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* (2004) 45:1061–70. doi: 10.1167/iovs.03-0936

16. McKendrick AM, Vingrys AJ, Badcock DR, Heywood JT. Visual field losses in subjects with migraine headaches. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* (2000) 41:1239–47.

17. Ebner R. Visual field examination during transient migrainous visual loss. J Clin Neuroophthalmol. (1991) 11:114–7.

18. Nowaczewska M. Vestibular migraine - an underdiagnosed cause of vertigo. Diagnosis and treatment. *Neurol Neurochir Pol.* (2020) 54:106–15. doi: 10.1590/ 0004-282X20160037

19. Cephalalgia, Headache classification committee of the international headache society (IHS) The international classification of headache disorders, 3rd edition. *Cephalalgia*. (2018) 38:1–211. doi: 10.1177/0333102417738202