

Corrigendum: Characteristics of the Fractional Amplitude of Low-Frequency Fluctuation in Ocular Hypertension Patients: A Resting-State fMRI Study

Ying Liang[†], Yi-Cong Pan[†], Hui-Ye Shu[†], Xue-Mei Chou, Qian-Min Ge, Li-Juan Zhang, Qiu-Yu Li, Rong-Bing Liang, Han-Lin Li^{*} and Yi Shao^{*}

Department of Ophthalmology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, Jiangxi Province Ophthalmology Institute and Ocular Disease Clinical Research Centre, Nanchang, China

Keywords: ocular hypertension, resting state functional magnetic resonance imaging, fractional amplitude of low-frequency fluctuation, left anterior cingulate cortex, left precuneus

A Corrigendum on

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

Jodhbir Mehta, Singapore National Eye Center, Singapore

*Correspondence:

Han-Lin Li 13177820133@163.com Yi Shao freebee99@163.com

[†]These authors share first authorship

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Ophthalmology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Medicine

Received: 01 June 2022 **Accepted:** 13 June 2022 **Published:** 29 June 2022

Citation:

Liang Y, Pan Y-C, Shu H-Y, Chou X-M, Ge Q-M, Zhang L-J, Li Q-Y, Liang R-B, Li H-L and Shao Y (2022) Corrigendum: Characteristics of the Fractional Amplitude of Low-Frequency Fluctuation in Ocular Hypertension Patients: A Resting-State fMRI Study. Front. Med. 9:958937. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.958937 Characteristics of the Fractional Amplitude of Low-Frequency Fluctuation in Ocular Hypertension Patients: A Resting-State fMRI Study

by Liang, Y., Pan, Y.-C., Shu, H.-Y., Chou, X.-M., Ge, Q.-M., Zhang, L.-J., Li, Q.-Y., Liang, R.-B., Li, H.-L., and Shao, Y. (2022). Front. Med. 8:687420. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.687420

In the original article, there was a mistake in Table 2. Demographics and behavioral results of OH and HCs groups as published. The age of HC was incorrectly listed as 50.36 ± 54.22 . The correct age of HC is 50.36 ± 5.42 . The corrected Table 2 appears below.

In the original article, there was an error in the section **Materials and Methods**, sub-section **Subjects.** The subject characteristics: "18 HC **patients** (8 males and 8 females; mean age 50.36 \pm 54.22 years; age range 20–60 years)" is incorrect. The correct sentence is: "18 HCs (9 males and 9 females; mean age 50.36 \pm 5.42 years; age range 20–60 years)".

In the original article, there was an error in the section **Results**, sub-section **The Use of ROC**. The sentence: "To test whether the **ROC curve** has potential as a diagnostic marker for OH, ROC curves were constructed using mean fALFF values of the two brain regions in the OH and HC groups. An area under the curve of 0.5–0.7 is considered to indicate low accuracy, 0.7–0.9 higher accuracy, and an area higher than 0.9 represents good accuracy. Accuracy was **low** in the left anterior cingulate gyrus (LAC) (0.9892; P < 0.0001; **Figure 4A**) and **higher** in the left **anterior curve** for Constructed using mean fALFF values of the two brain regions in the OH, and HC methods (LP) **HC** (0.8834; P < 0.0001; **Figure 4B**)." is incorrect. The sentence should be: "To test whether the fALFF value has potential as a diagnostic marker for OH, ROC curves were constructed using mean fALFF values of the two brain regions in the OH and HC groups. An area under the curve of 0.5–0.7 is considered to indicate low accuracy, 0.7–0.9 higher accuracy, and an area higher than 0.9 represents good accuracy. Accuracy was high in the left anterior cingulate gyrus (LAC) (0.9892; P < 0.0001; **Figure 4A**) and lower in the left anterior cingulate gyrus (LAC) (0.9892; P < 0.0001; **Figure 4A**) and lower in the left anterior cingulate gyrus (LAC) (0.9892; P < 0.0001; **Figure 4A**) and lower in the left anterior cingulate gyrus (LAC) (0.9892; P < 0.0001; **Figure 4A**) and lower in the left precuneus (LP) (0.8834; P < 0.0001; **Figure 4B**)."

In the original article, there was an error in section **Results**, sub-section **The fALFF Value**. The values in the sentence: "The fALFF value of LP in the OH group was higher than in the HC group (t = 5.5535), while the fALFF value of LAC in the OH group was decreased (t = -3.7520; Figure 5)" are incorrect. They should be: "The fALFF value of LP in the OH group was higher than in the HC

1

group (t = -3.7520); while the fALFF value of LAC in the OH group was decreased (t = 5.5535) (**Figure 5**)."

The authors apologize for these errors and state that they do not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers.

Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Liang, Pan, Shu, Chou, Ge, Zhang, Li, Liang, Li and Shao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

TABLE 2 | Demographics and behavioral results of OH and HCs groups.

	ОН	НС	<i>T</i> -value	P-value
Male/female	9/9	9/9	N/A	>0.99
Age (years)	51.18 ± 5.22	50.36 ± 5.42	0.325	0.872
Handedness	18R	18 R	N/A	>0.99
Duration (years)	1.12 ± 0.32	N/A	N/A	N/A
Best-corrected VA-L	1.00 ± 0.10	1.05 ± 0.15	0.989	0.121
Best-corrected VA-R	1.05 ± 0.15	0.95 ± 0.10	0.086	0.127
IOP-L	27.56 ± 6.67	15.69 ± 4.86	12.087	0.012
IOP-R	27.61 ± 5.32	14.78±4.39	11.537	0.009