Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Maria Bogdan, University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Craiova, Romania

REVIEWED BY Artem N. Kuzovlev, Research Institute General Resuscitation im.V.A.Negovskogo, Russia Antonio Ferrante, South Australia Pathology, Australia

CORRESPONDENCE Yuebin Shao ⊠ 3068772532@qq.com Xinmin Guo ⊠ guoxinmin@ext.jnu.edu.cn Bo Ning ⊠ 13053818196@163.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors share first authorship

RECEIVED 22 June 2023 ACCEPTED 22 August 2023 PUBLISHED 31 August 2023

#### CITATION

Luo X, Zhu Y, Zhang R, Zhu J, Kuang H, Shao Y, Guo X and Ning B (2023) The effect of vitamin C in adults with sepsis: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Front. Med.* 10:1244484. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2023.1244484

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Luo, Zhu, Zhang, Zhu, Kuang, Shao, Guo and Ning. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# The effect of vitamin C in adults with sepsis: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Xing Luo<sup>1†</sup>, Youfeng Zhu<sup>1†</sup>, Rui Zhang<sup>1†</sup>, JianQiu Zhu<sup>1</sup>, Huanming Kuang<sup>1</sup>, Yuebin Shao<sup>2</sup>\*, Xinmin Guo<sup>3</sup>\* and Bo Ning<sup>4</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Intensive Care Unit, Guangzhou Red Cross Hospital, Jinan University, Guangzhou, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou Red Cross Hospital, Jinan University, Guangzhou, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Ultrasonography, Guangzhou Red Cross Hospital, Jinan University, Guangzhou, China, <sup>4</sup>Department of Neurosurgery, Guangzhou Red Cross Hospital, Jinan University, Guangzhou, China

**Background:** The effect of intravenous (IV) vitamin C in the treatment of sepsis remains controversial. We aimed to explore the clinical efficacy of vitamin C in the treatment of sepsis.

**Methods:** Electronic databases (PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, and Cochrane Library) were searched from inception through November 15th, 2022, for randomized controlled trials evaluating the effect of IV vitamin C treatment in patients with sepsis. The primary outcome was short-term mortality, secondary outcomes included duration of vasopressor use, length of intensive care unit (ICU) stay, and Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score after vitamin C treatment. Subgroup analyses were performed based on the dose and duration of IV vitamin C and region to determine whether vitamin C benefited patients with sepsis.

**Results:** A total of 10 studies including 1,426 patients fulfilled the predefined criteria and were analyzed. Overall, there were no significant differences between the vitamin C group and the control group regarding short-term mortality [odds ratio (OR), 0.61; 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.37–1.01; p = 0.05], ICU length of stay [mean difference (MD), -1.24; 95% CI -3.54 to 1.05, p = 0.29] and SOFA score (MD, -0.85, 95% CI -2.38 to 0.67, p = 0.27). However, vitamin C significantly reduced the duration of vasopressor use (MD, -14.36, 95% CI -26.11 to -2.61, p = 0.02). Furthermore, subgroup analysis found that in developing countries, vitamin C was associated with a significant reduction in short-term mortality (OR, 0.33; 95% CI 0.12-0.90; p = 0.03), duration of vasopressor use (MD, -2.55, 95% CI -4.81 to -0.28, p = 0.03).

**Conclusion:** In our study, vitamin C administration for sepsis patients was not associated with a significant reduction in short-term mortality, length of ICU stay or SOFA score. However, we observed that vitamin C could reduce the duration of vasopressor use. Furthermore, sepsis patients in developing countries may benefit more from vitamin C administration than those in developed countries.

**Systematic review registration:** Identifier CRD42022380958, https://www.crd. york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/display\_record.php?RecordID=380958.

KEYWORDS

sepsis, vitamin C, mortality, meta-analysis, treatment

## Introduction

Sepsis refers to life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to infection (1). Sepsis is a growing global health issue, with an estimated 49 million cases worldwide resulting in 11 million deaths. Despite a declining age-standardized incidence and mortality, sepsis remains a major cause of health loss worldwide. The incidence and mortality of sepsis vary substantially across regions, with the highest burden in sub-Saharan Africa, Oceania, south Asia, east Asia, and southeast Asia (2, 3). Data from high-income countries indicate that the number of sepsis-related deaths was 2.8 million per year (4). Therefore, there is an urgent need for a new treatment option or adjuvant treatments to improve the clinical outcome of sepsis.

Ascorbic acid, usually called vitamin C, is a crucial trace element in the human body. In terms of pathophysiology, vitamin C as an antioxidant, protects essential molecules in the body from damage caused by free radicals and reactive oxygen species (ROS) produced by active immune cells (5–8). Furthermore, vitamin C is involved in the synthesis of catecholamine hormones and amide peptide hormones which are the cores of cardiovascular response to severe infections (9, 10). Moreover, vitamin C improves microvascular reactivity and peripheral tissue perfusion in septic shock patients (11). According to the previously published studies, an IV dose of 2 to 3 gram/day seems necessary to reach a normal plasma concentration (50–70 $\mu$ mol/L) (12–14). However, higher doses greater than 6 grams per day may lead to greater benefits, including reduced use of vasopressors, faster recovery and lower mortality (15).

However, in the latest published LOVIT study, the composite outcome of death or persistent organ dysfunction on trial day 28 was higher in patients administered vitamin C than in the control group [risk ratio (RR), 1.21; 95% confidence interval (CI), 1.04 to 1.40; p = 0.01]. To explore this controversial issue, we conducted a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials to assess the effect of intravenous vitamin C as an adjuvant treatment option in patients with sepsis.

## **Methods**

This study was conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines (PRISMA) and was registered in PROSPERO (registration number: CRD42022380958) (16, 17).

## Eligibility criteria

A systematic literature search was completed for all peer reviewed and published studies reporting the effects of intravenous vitamin C treatment compared to standard care or placebo treatment. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) Population: adult patients ( $\geq$ 18 years of age) with sepsis; (2) Intervention: Intravenous vitamin C as the treatment group; (3) Comparison: placebo or standard care; (4) Outcomes: a primary outcome of all-cause short-term mortality. In the present meta-analysis, we defined all-cause short-term mortality as in-hospital mortality or 28-day/30-day mortality. Secondary outcomes included the duration of vasopressor use, length of ICU stay and SOFA score (according to the end time of administration), C-reactive protein (CRP) levels; (5) Study type: randomized controlled trials were eligible for inclusion.

## Data extraction

Two authors (Xing Luo, Jianqiu Zhu) independently retrieved relevant studies. We extracted the characteristics (first author, year of publication, study design, study site, participants, number of participants, intervention, control methods and vitamin C dose) and predefined outcomes from the included studies.

#### Assessment of risk of bias

Two investigators (Xing Luo, Jianqiu Zhu) independently assessed the risk of bias for each of the included studies using the Cochrane risk-of-bias tool (18). Any differences in opinion were resolved by a third adjudicator (Youfeng Zhu).

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using Review Manager version 5.4. We calculated the odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidential interval (CI) for categorical variables and the mean difference (MD) with 95% CI for continuous variables. Continuous variables, such as the duration of vasopressor use, length of ICU stay and SOFA score, which are expressed in the form of median and interquartile ranges, were assessed for skewness and normality of distribution, and then we converted these data into mean and standard deviation according to previously published studies (19, 20). Random-effects models were used to pool the data. Heterogeneity was assessed using the I<sup>2</sup> statistic. I<sup>2</sup> > 50% or p < 0.10 was considered to indicate substantial heterogeneity. Finally, sensitivity analysis was conducted to explore the effect of individual studies by consecutive exclusion of one study at a time.

#### Subgroup analysis

According to the previously published studies, the dose and treatment duration might influence the effect of vitamin C. Hence, we conducted subgroup analyses according to the dose and duration of administration of vitamin C and region (developed countries versus developing countries).

## Results

During the initial search, we found 3,040 articles. Among them, 859 were duplicated articles, and 2,137 additional studies were excluded by screening the abstracts. During the evaluation of the full text, 24 studies were further removed for various reasons (Figure 1). Finally, a total of ten randomized controlled trials were included in our study (21–30). The study selection flow diagram is visualized in Figure 1.



Table 1 presents the characteristics of the included studies. A total of 1,426 patients were included in the analysis, 713 of whom received intravenous (IV) vitamin C intervention during the study period, while 713 received placebo treatment. However, initiation time, dose of vitamin C, duration of the intervention and population type varied among the included trials. Two trials administered low-dose vitamin C (21, 26), and seven studies administered high-dose vitamin C (23–25, 27–30). One trial included a low-dose vitamin C group (less than or equal to 100 mg/kg/day) and a high-dose vitamin C group (greater than 100 mg/kg/day) (22). In eight trials, patients received IV vitamin C for 5 days or more (21, 25). There were six studies with patients from developed countries (21, 22, 24, 28–30) and four studies with patients from developing countries (23, 25–27).

#### Quality assessment

The results of the risk of bias assessment (Figure 2) showed that one study was rated as having a high risk of bias. Gayathri Ranie et al. used an open-label design, which carried a risk of bias (25). Two trials (21, 25) did not provide the methods of random sequence generation or allocation concealment. Two trials did not report the blinding method or may have broken the blinding, which would either underestimate or overestimate the size of the effect (21, 25). Moreover, all ten trials were rated as having an unclear risk of other bias, as these studies did not account for disease heterogeneity in patients with sepsis and did not analyze septic patients with specific phenotypes based on whether they were associated with a high inflammatory state, reduced vascular reactivity, or vitamin C deficiency.

## Primary outcome

The ten included trials reported short-term mortality with different definitions. Six trials (22–24, 27, 28, 30) reported 28-day mortality, three trials (21, 25, 26) reported in-hospital mortality, and one trial (29) reported multiple results; Overall, no significant difference in short-term mortality was observed between the study groups (OR, 0.61; 95% CI: 0.37–1.01; p=0.05) (Figure 3).

## Secondary outcomes

Five studies reported the duration of vasopressor use (23, 26, 27, 29, 30), the length of ICU stay (23, 26, 27, 29, 30) and the SOFA score

Study	Study design	Study site	Sample size	Population	Interventions	Comparator	Outcomes
Lamontagne, 2022	Double-blind, randomized placebo- controlled trial	35 ICUs in Canada, France, and New Zealand.	Total: 863 (Intervention: 429; Control: 434)	Patients with sepsis requiring vasopressor	vitamin C (200 mg/kg/day) for 4 days;	5% dextrose or normal saline	composite of death or persistent organ dysfunction,SOFA score at 4-day
Rosengrave, 2022	Double-blind, randomized placebo- controlled trial	1 ceter in New Zealand	Total: 40 (Intervention: 20; Control: 20)	Adult patients with septic shock	vitamin C (100 mg/kg/day) for 4 days;	5% dextrose	Mortality (in- hospital, 30-day, 90-day), duration of vasopressor, ICU
Wacker, 2022	Double-blind, randomized placebo- controlled trial	8 ceters in US	Total: 124 (Intervention: 60; Control: 64)	Adult patients within 24 h of onset of septic shock	vitamin C (6,000 mg/ day) for 4 days;	normal saline	28-day mortality, duration of vasopressor, ICU length of stay
Driny, 2022	Double-blind, randomized placebo- controlled trial	1 ceter in Egypt	Total: 40 (Intervention: 20; Control: 20)	Adult patients with sepsis requiring mechanical ventilation	vitamin C (6,000 mg/day) for 4 days;	normal saline	28-day mortality, duration of vasopressor, ICU length of stay
Gayathri Ranie, 2021	A randomized placebo- controlled trial	1 ceter in india	Total: 40 (Intervention: 20; Control: 20)	Patients with sepsis shock	vitamin C (6,000 mg/day) for 5 days;	5% dextrose	In-hospital mortality
Fowler, 2019	Double-blind, randomized placebo- controlled trial	7 ceters in US	Total: 167 (Intervention: 84; Control: 83)	Patients with sepsis and ARDS	vitamin C (50 mg/kg/ day or 200 mg/kg/day) for 4 days;	5% dextrose	28-day mortality, improvement in SOFA score, SOFA score at 4-day
Zabet, 2016	Double-blind, randomized placebo- controlled trial	1 ceter in Iran	Total: 28 (Intervention: 14; Control: 14)	Adult surgical critically ill patients with diagnosis of septic shock	vitamin C (100 mg/ kg/day) for 3 days;	5% dextrose	28-day mortality, duration of vasopressor, ICU length of stay
Fowler, 2014	Double-blind, randomized placebo- controlled trial	1 ceter in US	Total: 24 (Intervention: 16; Control: 8)	Adult patients with severe sepsis in the ICU	vitamin C (200 mg/ kg/day) for 4 days;	5% dextrose	28-day mortality, improvement in SOFA score, SOFA score at 4-day
Mahmoodpoor, 2021	Double-blind, randomized placebo- controlled trial	1 ceter in Iran	Total: 80 (Intervention: 40; Control: 40)	Critically ill patients with severe pneumonia	vitamin C (60 mg/kg/ day) for 4 days;	normal saline	In-hospital mortality, duration of vasopressor, SOFA score at 4-day, ICU length of stay
Ferrón-Celma, 2009	Double-blind, randomized placebo- controlled trial	1 ceter in Spain	Total: 20 (Intervention: 10; Control: 10)	Adult patients developed sepsis after abdominal surgery	vitamin C (450 mg/ day) for 6 days;	5% dextrose	In-hospital mortality

#### TABLE 1 Characteristics of included studies.

(24, 26–29). Vitamin C treatment was associated with a reduction in the duration of vasopressor use (MD, -14.36; 95% CI, -26.11 to -2.61; p=0.02, Figure 4A).

However, there was no significant difference in the length of ICU stay (MD, -1.24, 95% CI, -3.54 to 1.05, p=0.29, Figure 4B) or SOFA score (MD, -0.85, 95% CI, -2.38 to 0.67, p=0.27, Figure 4C) between

the groups. Furthermore, there was high heterogeneity among the included studies.

Meanwhile, we performed the comparison of CRP levels between vitamin C group and placebo group (Figure 5). The result showed that



there was a significant reduction for CRP levels in vitamin C group compared with control group (MD -2.52, 95%CI -3.11--1.93, p <0.001, Figure 5). However, the doses used in the involved studies were different. The doses of vitamin C used in one study (27) was 6,000 mg/ day, while it was 60 mg/kg/day in another study (26). Hence, further large scale studies are needed for investigating the optimal doses of vitamin C in sepsis patients.

## Subgroup analysis

We conducted subgroup analyses according to the dose and duration of use of vitamin C and region (developed countries versus developing countries). Detailed data are shown in the Supplementary materials. Two studies enrolled low-dose vitamin C (21, 26), and seven studies enrolled high-dose vitamin C (23–25, 27–30). One trial included a low-dose vitamin C group and a high-dose vitamin C group (22). In eight trials, patients received vitamin C for less than 5 days (22–24, 26–30), and two trials administered vitamin C for 5 days or more (21, 25). In addition, there were six studies with patients from developed countries (21, 22, 24, 28–30) and four studies with patients from developing countries (23, 25–29).

Interestingly, our subgroup analysis showed that vitamin C treatment led to a significant short-term mortality reduction in patients from developing countries (OR, 0.33; 95% CI, 0.12–0.90, p = 0.03; Supplementary Figure S3). Furthermore, subgroup analysis found that in developing countries, vitamin C was associated with a significant reduction in the duration of vasopressor use (MD, –24.37, 95% CI -33.72 to –15.02, p < 0.001; Supplementary Figure S4) and SOFA score (MD, –2.55, 95% CI -4.81 to –0.28, p = 0.03; Supplementary Figure S5).

## Discussion

In this meta-analysis, we included ten randomized controlled trials involving 1,426 patients to analyze the effect of intravenous vitamin C as an adjunctive option for treatment in sepsis patients. To our knowledge, this is the latest meta-analysis regarding this topic to date. Our study showed that vitamin C administration for sepsis patients was not associated with a significant reduction in short-term



Frontiers in Medicine

Study or Subcroup	Experime		Contro		Weight	Odds Ratio M-H. Fixed, 95% Cl		Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup 1.7.1 High dose	Events	Iotal	Events	rotal	weight	WI-H, FIXEd, 95% CI		M-H. Fixed, 95% Cl
Driny 2022	3	20	9	20	4.5%	0.22 [0.05, 0.98]		
Fowler III 2014	4	8	5	8	1.5%	0.60 [0.08, 4.40]		10
Fowler III 2019	25	84	38	82	15.8%	0.49 [0.26, 0.93]		
Gayathri Ranie 2021	2 152	20 429	1	20	0.5% 51.4%	2.11 [0.18, 25.35]		
Lamontagne 2022 Rosengrave 2022	6	429	137 7	434 20	2.9%	1.19 [0.90, 1.58] 0.80 [0.21, 3.00]		
Wacker 2022	16	60	26	64	10.8%	0.53 [0.25, 1.14]		
Zabet 2016	2	14	9	14	4.5%	0.09 [0.01, 0.59]		<b>_</b>
Subtotal (95% CI)	100000	655		662	91.8%	0.87 [0.70, 1.10]		•
Total events Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 18 Test for overall effect: Z			232 .008); l² = 6	63%				
		0.20)						
1.7.2 Low dose								
Ferrón-Celma 2009	6	10	4	10	0.9%	2.25 [0.38, 13.47]		
Fowler III 2014 Mahmoodpoor 2021	3	8 40	5 11	8 40	1.8% 5.5%	0.36 [0.05, 2.73] 0.47 [0.15, 1.41]		and the second s
Subtotal (95% CI)	•	58	1.25	58	8.2%	0.64 [0.28, 1.48]		
Total events Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 2. Test for overall effect: Z			20 28); l² = 219	%				
	1.04 (1	0.00)						
Total (95% CI)		713		720	100.0%	0.86 [0.69, 1.07]		•
Total events	225		252			F		
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 2 <sup>-</sup> Test for overall effect: Z			$(0.02); 1^2 = 1$	55%		0	.01	0.1 1 10 10
Test for subgroup different			, df = 1 (P	= 0.49	), $l^2 = 0\%$			Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
. sector subgroup unlen	OII	0.40	, 1 (12	0.49				
Otuda an O. I	Experim		Contro		141-1-1-1	Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Iotal	weight	M-H. Random. 95% C	d	M-H. Random. 95% Cl
1.8.2 <5 days	2	00	0	20	7 50/	0.00 10 05 0.00	2	
Driny 2022 Fowler III 2014	3	20 16		20 8	7.5% 5.8%	0.22 [0.05, 0.98] 0.47 [0.08, 2.66]		
Fowler III 2014	25	84		82		0.47 [0.08, 2.66]		
Lamontagne 2022	152	429		434	0.0%	1.19 [0.90, 1.58]		
Mahmoodpoor 2021	6	40		40	12.7%	0.47 [0.15, 1.41]		
Rosengrave 2022	7	20		20	9.7%	1.00 [0.27, 3.67]		
Wacker 2022	16	60	26	64	22.6%	0.53 [0.25, 1.14]		
Zabet 2016	2	14	9	14	5.1%	0.09 [0.01, 0.59]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		254		248	91.6%	0.47 [0.32, 0.69]		-
Total events	66		105					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0				0.49);	$l^2 = 0\%$			
Test for overall effect: Z	= 3.86 (P	= 0.000	)))					
1.8.3 ≥5 days								
Ferrón-Celma 2009	6	10	4	10	5.5%	2.25 [0.38, 13.47]	4	
Gayathri Ranie 2021	2	20		20	2.9%	2.11 [0.18, 25.35]		
Subtotal (95% CI)	-	30		30	8.4%	2.20 [0.52, 9.41]		
Total events	8		5			•		
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0	.00; Chi <sup>2</sup> =	0.00, d	if = 1 (P =	0.97);	$I^2 = 0\%$			
Test for overall effect: Z	:= 1.07 (P	= 0.29)						
Total (95% CI)		284		278	100.0%	0.52 [0.34, 0.80]		
Total events Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0	74	0.40 d	110	0 201	12 - 169/		<b>—</b>	
Test for overall effect: Z				0.30);	1* = 16%		0.01	0.1 1 10 10
Test for subgroup differ				= 0.04	1) $l^2 = 75 f$	3%		Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
rest for subgroup differ	ences. Chi	- 4.10	), ui – i (F	- 0.04	+), 1 75.0	576		
	Experime		Contro			Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	fotal	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% C	1	M-H, Random, 95% Ci
1.9.1 Developed count					_			
Ferrón-Celma 2009	6	10	4	10	5.9%	2.25 [0.38, 13.47]		
Fowler III 2014	7	16	5	8	6.1%	0.47 [0.08, 2.66]		a second and a s
Fowler III 2019	25	84	38	82	16.4%	0.49 [0.26, 0.93]		
Lamontagne 2022	152	429	137	434	20.9%	1.19 [0.90, 1.58]		
Rosengrave 2022	6	20	7	20	8.8%	0.80 [0.21, 3.00]		and the second sec
Wacker 2022 Subtotal (95% CI)	16	60 619	26	64 618	14.8%	0.53 [0.25, 1.14]		
Subtotal (95% CI)	040	019	047	618	72.8%	0.78 [0.48, 1.26]		
Total events Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0	212	10.00	217	0.000	12 - 5001			
Test for overall effect: Z				0.06)	; 1- = 52%			
		(August -		10000				
1.9.2 Developing coun	3	20	9	20	7.4%	0.22 [0.05, 0.98]		
Driny 2022	2	20	1	20	3.5%	2.11 [0.18, 25.35]		
Driny 2022 Gayathri Ranie 2021		40	11	40	10.7%	0.47 [0.15, 1.41]		
Driny 2022 Gayathri Ranie 2021 Mahmoodpoor 2021	6	14	9	14	5.6%	0.09 [0.01, 0.59]		
Driny 2022 Gayathri Ranie 2021 Mahmoodpoor 2021 Zabet 2016	6 2	94	1000	94	27.2%	0.33 [0.12, 0.90]		
Driny 2022 Gayathri Ranie 2021 Mahmoodpoor 2021 Zabet 2016 Subtotal (95% CI)	2	• •	30					
Driny 2022 Gayathri Ranie 2021 Mahmoodpoor 2021 Zabet 2016 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events	2 13				r = 35%			
Driny 2022 Gayathri Ranie 2021 Mahmoodpoor 2021 Zabet 2016 Subtotal (95% CI)	2 13 .37; Chi² =	4.62, d		0.20);				
Driny 2022 Gayathri Ranie 2021 Mahmoodpoor 2021 Zabet 2016 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0 Test for overall effect: Z	2 13 .37; Chi² =	4.62, d = 0.03)				0.01.00.00.00.00		
Driny 2022 Gayathri Ranie 2021 Mahmoodpoor 2021 Zabet 2016 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0 Test for overall effect: Z Total (95% CI)	2 13 .37; Chi² = = 2.16 (P	4.62, d			100.0%	0.61 [0.37, 1.01]		•
Driny 2022 Gayathri Ranie 2021 Mahmoodpoor 2021 Zabet 2016 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0 Test for overall effect: Z Total (95% CI) Total events	2 13 .37; Chi <sup>2</sup> = = 2.16 (P 225	4.62, d = 0.03) 713	247	712	100.0%	0.61 [0.37, 1.01]	<b></b>	-
Driny 2022 Gayathri Ranie 2021 Mahmoodpoor 2021 Zabet 2016 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0 Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0	2 13 .37; Chi <sup>2</sup> = = 2.16 (P 225 .30; Chi <sup>2</sup> =	4.62, d = 0.03) 713 21.61,	247 df = 9 (P =	712	100.0%	0.61 [0.37, 1.01]	0.01	
Driny 2022 Gayathri Ranie 2021 Mahmoodpoor 2021 Zabet 2016 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0 Test for overall effect: Z Total (95% CI) Total events	2 13 .37; Chi <sup>2</sup> = = 2.16 (P 225 .30; Chi <sup>2</sup> = = 1.93 (P	4.62, d = 0.03) 713 21.61, = 0.05)	247 df = 9 (P =	712 • 0.01)	100.0% ; l² = 58%		⊢ 0.01	0.1 1 10 10 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]



mortality, length of ICU stay or SOFA score. However, we observed that vitamin C could reduce the duration of vasopressor use, which could be explained by the mechanism of action of vitamin C.

For a long time, vitamin C was shown to play an important role in the control of free radicals and ROS (31-34). Sepsis can lead to the release of several ROS, which are capable of causing severe injury to lipids, proteins, and nucleic acids that, in turn, results in endothelial and mitochondrial dysfunction, cell death, and ultimately multiple organ dysfunction syndrome (MODS) (14, 20, 35). Vitamin C exerts its antioxidant effects by scavenging these ROS. In addition, vitamin C is an important antioxidant in the body, supporting the synthesis of vasopressin, cortisol and catecholamine in the form of coenzymes. Vitamin C also has several immunomodulatory and antiinflammatory effects that deficient levels correlated with multiple organ dysfunction failure. This compound also enhances the synthesis of these enzymes and improves arteriolar sensitivity to vasopressors by inhibiting endothelial expression of inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) (9, 11, 33, 34, 36, 37). This might be the reason why vitamin C reduced the duration of vasopressor use according to the analyses in our study.

Vitamin C deficiency might be contributing to the complications of sepsis. Two included studies reported the baseline vitamin C levels (22, 25). In the one study (25), the normal range of Vitamin C was 4.4 to 14.4 ng/mL. And the baseline Vitamin C level was significantly lower in sepsis patients ( $5.14\pm4.19$  ng/mL) than that in healthy controls ( $14.64\pm5.51$  ng/mL). And according to the other study (22), the plasma vitamin C level of septic patients was  $17.9\pm2.4\mu$ M, while it was 50–70  $\mu$ M in normal populations. In an observational study, it was estimated that nearly 40% sepsis patients were vitamin C deficient (14).

Subgroup analysis showed that sepsis patients in developing countries could benefit more from vitamin C supplementation and could serve as a way identify specific sepsis patients. To our knowledge, this is the first study that found the outcomes of sepsis patients in developing countries were significantly improved with vitamin C administration. This might be because patients in developing countries usually receive insufficient vitamin C. Previous studies have shown that the vitamin C level in people of developing countries is generally lower than that in developed countries, and there is a high prevalence of vitamin C deficiency, particularly in low- and middle-income countries, so sepsis patients in developing countries may need more vitamin C supplements (38, 39). As few included RCTs reported the vitamin C level could not be performed.

Previous study showed that vitamin C played a various roles in the immune system, including maintaining mucosal barrier integrity and leukocyte function (40-42). Certain cell types accumulate high

concentrations of vitamin C via the sodium-dependent vitamin C transporter (SVCT). Although physiological plasma concentrations of vitamin C are 50–70 umol/L, concentrations in some organs are more higher (1 mmol/L in the liver and lung, 2–10 mmol/L in the brain and 4–10 mmol/L in the adrenal glands) (40). Leukocytes actively accumulate vitamin C via SVCT, resulting in a concentration over 1 mM and increasing the concentration to 10 mM after an oxidative burst. This protects immune cells from self-induced oxidative bursts caused by killing pathogens (40). However, the appropriate doses of vitamin C for immune system are still un-conclusive. As few included RCTs reported the vitamin C levels within immune system, a further meta-analysis with regards to the optimal doses for the immune system could not be performed.

The recent LOVIT study showed that the use of vitamin C in the treatment of sepsis was harmful to the composite outcome (death or persistent organ dysfunction) on trial day 28 (risk ratio, 1.21; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.04 to 1.40; p = 0.01) (24). This result needs to be treated with great caution. Most importantly, a composite outcome (death or persistent organ dysfunction) rather than simple mortality or other survival indicator was used as the primary outcome in the LOVIT study, which was different from previous studies. For sepsis patients requiring vasoactive drug treatment, prognostic indicators such as 28-day mortality or MODS are affected by many factors, which may affect the evaluation of the effectiveness of vitamin C.

However, this meta-analysis also has several limitations. First, since sepsis is a clinically common syndrome with high heterogeneity, the patients included in the present study represent a heterogeneous population. For example, some were surgical patients who had undergone a major operation, some had severe pneumonia or respiratory failure, and some were patients with the latest form of COVID-19 (21–30). Second, vitamin C deficiency could be contributing to the complications of sepsis. As few included RCTs reported the vitamin C levels, a further meta-analysis with regards to the baseline vitamin C level could not be performed. Third, most of the outcome analyses were based on a small population sample size, and single-center trials showed larger treatment effects than multicenter trials. These may generate bias. Finally, the safety of vitamin C was not comprehensively investigated in this study.

# Conclusion

Our study showed that vitamin C administration for sepsis patients was not associated with a significant reduction in short-term mortality, length of ICU stay or SOFA score. However, we observed that vitamin C can reduce the duration of vasopressor use. Furthermore, sepsis patients in developing countries may benefit more than those in developed countries. Our results need to be further confirmed by large-scale, randomized studies.

## Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

## **Ethics statement**

Ethical approval was not required for the study involving humans in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent to participate in this study was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

## Author contributions

XL, YZ, RZ, JZ, HK, YS, XG, and BN contributed to the study conception and design. YZ, XL, JZ, RZ, YS, XG, and BN performed the research. YZ, XL, JZ, and RZ collected and analyzed the data. YZ, XL, JZ, RZ, YS, XG, and BN wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## Funding

This study was supported by the Health Science and Technology Project of Guangzhou Municipal Health Commission (20201A011022)

## References

1. Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, Shankar-Hari M, Annane D, Bauer M, et al. The third international consensus definitions for Sepsis and septic shock (Sepsis-3). *JAMA*. (2016) 315:801–10. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.0287

2. Rudd KE, Johnson SC, Agesa KM, Shackelford KA, Tsoi D, Kievlan DR, et al. Global, regional, and national sepsis incidence and mortality, 1990-2017: analysis for the global burden of disease study. *Lancet.* (2020) 395:200–11. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)32989-7

3. Vincent JL. Highlighting the huge global burden of sepsis. *Anaesth Crit Care Pain Med.* (2020) 39:171–2. doi: 10.1016/j.accpm.2020.03.004

4. Cecconi M, Evans L, Levy M, Rhodes A. Sepsis and septic shock. Lancet. (2018) 392:75–87. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30696-2

5. Amrein K, Oudemans-van Straaten HM, Berger MM. Vitamin therapy in critically ill patients: focus on thiamine, vitamin C, and vitamin D. *Intensive Care Med.* (2018) 44:1940–4. doi: 10.1007/s00134-018-5107-y

6. Kuhn SO, Meissner K, Mayes LM, Bartels K. Vitamin C in sepsis. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol. (2018) 31:55-60. doi: 10.1097/ACO.00000000000549

7. Fujii T, Fowler R, Vincent JL. Vitamin C and thiamine for sepsis: time to go back to fundamental principles. *Intensive Care Med.* (2020) 46:2061–3. doi: 10.1007/s00134-020-06242-9

8. Oudemans-van Straaten HM, Spoelstra-de Man AM, de Waard MC. Vitamin C revisited. *Crit Care.* (2014) 18:460. doi: 10.1186/s13054-014-0460-x

9. Carr AC, Shaw GM, Fowler AA, Natarajan R. Ascorbate-dependent vasopressor synthesis: a rationale for vitamin C administration in severe sepsis and septic shock? *Crit Care.* (2015) 19:418. doi: 10.1186/s13054-015-1131-2

10. Teng J, Pourmand A, Mazer-Amirshahi M. Vitamin C: the next step in sepsis management? J Crit Care. (2018) 43:230-4. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrc.2017.09.031

and Research-oriented Hospital Program of Guangzhou (RHPG05) and Guangdong Natural Science Foundation (2020A1515010816). The funding body was not involved in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

## **Acknowledgments**

The authors acknowledge all staffs who helped us in performing this study.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed.2023.1244484/ full#supplementary-material

11. Lavillegrand JR, Raia L, Urbina T, Hariri G, Gabarre P, Bonny V, et al. Vitamin C improves microvascular reactivity and peripheral tissue perfusion in septic shock patients. *Crit Care*. (2022) 26:25. doi: 10.1186/s13054-022-03891-8

12. de Grooth HJ, Choo WP, Spoelstra-de Man AM, Swart EL, Oudemans-van Straaten HM. Pharmacokinetics of four high-dose regimens of IV vitamin C in critically ill patients [abstract]. *Intensive Care Med Exp.* (2016) 4:A52.

13. Oudemans-van Straaten HM, Elbers PWG, Spoelstra-de Man AME. How to give vitamin C a cautious but fair chance in severe Sepsis. *Chest.* (2017) 151:1199–200. doi: 10.1016/j.chest.2017.01.008

14. Carr AC, Rosengrave PC, Bayer S, Chambers S, Mehrtens J, Shaw GM. Hypovitaminosis C and vitamin C deficiency in critically ill patients despite recommended enteral and parenteral intakes. *Crit Care*. (2017) 21:300. doi: 10.1186/s13054-017-1891-y

15. Spoelstra-de Man AME, Elbers PWG, Oudemans-Van Straaten HM. Vitamin C: should we supplement? *Curr Opin Crit Care.* (2018) 24:248–55. doi: 10.1097/MCC.00000000000510

16. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *J Clin Epidemiol.* (2021) 134:178–89. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2021.03.001

17. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche PC, Ioannidis JP, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. *BMJ*. (2009) 339:b2700. doi: 10.1136/bmj.b2700

18. Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, Jüni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ*. (2011) 343:d5928. doi: 10.1136/bmj.d5928

19. Luo D, Wan X, Liu J, Tong T. Optimally estimating the sample mean from the sample size, median, mid-range, and/or mid-quartile range. *Stat Methods Med Res.* (2018) 27:1785–805. doi: 10.1177/0962280216669183

20. Shi J, Luo D, Weng H, Zeng XT, Lin L, Chu H, et al. Optimally estimating the sample standard deviation from the five-number summary. *Res Synth Methods*. (2020) 11:641–54. doi: 10.1002/jrsm.1429

21. Ferrón-Celma I, Mansilla A, Hassan L, Garcia-Navarro A, Comino AM, Bueno P, et al. Effect of vitamin C administration on neutrophil apoptosis in septic patients after abdominal surgery. *J Surg Res.* (2009) 153:224–30. doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2008.04.024

22. Fowler AA, Syed AA, Knowlson S, Sculthorpe R, Farthing D, DeWilde C, et al. Phase I safety trial of intravenous ascorbic acid in patients with severe sepsis. *J Transl Med.* (2014) 12:32. doi: 10.1186/1479-5876-12-32

23. Zabet MH, Mohammadi M, Ramezani M, Khalili H. Effect of high-dose ascorbic acid on vasopressor's requirement in septic shock. *J Res Pharm Pract.* (2016) 5:94–100. doi: 10.4103/2279-042X.179569

24. Fowler AA, Truwit JD, Hite RD, Morris PE, DeWilde C, Priday A, et al. Effect of vitamin C infusion on organ failure and biomarkers of inflammation and vascular injury in patients with Sepsis and severe acute respiratory failure: the CITRIS-ALI randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. (2019) 322:1261–70. doi: 10.1001/jama.2019.11825

25. Gayathri Ranie AP. Effect of supplementation of vitamin c and thiamine on the outcome of sepsis. Indian. J Crit Care Med. (2020) 24:S59.

26. Mahmoodpoor A, Shadvar K, Sanaie S, Hadipoor MR, Pourmoghaddam MA, Saghaleini SH. Effect of vitamin C on mortality of critically ill patients with severe pneumonia in intensive care unit: a preliminary study. *BMC Infect Dis.* (2021) 21:616. doi: 10.1186/s12879-021-06288-0

27. El Driny WA, Esmat IM, Shaheen SM, Sabri NA. Efficacy of high-dose vitamin C infusion on outcomes in Sepsis requiring mechanical ventilation: a double-blind randomized controlled trial. *Anesthesiol Res Pract.* (2022) 2022:1–10. doi: 10.1155/2022/4057215

28. Lamontagne F, Masse MH, Menard J, Sprague S, Pinto R, Heyland DK, et al. Intravenous vitamin C in adults with Sepsis in the intensive care unit. *N Engl J Med.* (2022) 386:2387–98. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2200644

29. Rosengrave P, Spencer E, Williman J, Mehrtens J, Morgan S, Doyle T, et al. Intravenous vitamin C administration to patients with septic shock: a pilot randomised controlled trial. *Crit Care*. (2022) 26:26. doi: 10.1186/s13054-022-03900-w 30. Wacker DA, Burton SL, Berger JP, Hegg AJ, Heisdorffer J, Wang Q, et al. Evaluating vitamin C in septic shock: a randomized controlled trial of vitamin C monotherapy\*. *Crit Care Med.* (2022) 50:E458–67. doi: 10.1097/CCM.00000000005427

31. Wilson JX, Wu F. Vitamin C in sepsis. Subcell Biochem. (2012) 56:67–83. doi: 10.1007/978-94-007-2199-9\_5

32. Holmannová D, Koláčková M, Krejsek J. Vitamin C and its physiological role with respect to the components of the immune system. *Vnitr Lek.* (2012) 58:743–9.

33. Gęgotek A, Skrzydlewska E. Antioxidative and anti-inflammatory activity of ascorbic acid. Antioxidants (Basel). (2022) 11. doi: 10.3390/antiox11101993

34. Long CL, Maull KI, Krishnan RS, Laws HL, Geiger JW, Borghesi L, et al. Ascorbic acid dynamics in the seriously ill and injured. *J Surg Res.* (2003) 109:144–8. doi: 10.1016/S0022-4804(02)00083-5

35. Kashiouris MG, L'Heureux M, Cable CA, Fisher BJ, Leichtle SW, Fowler AA. The emerging role of vitamin C as a treatment for Sepsis. *Nutrients*. (2020) 12. doi: 10.3390/ nu12020292

36. Wilson JX. Mechanism of action of vitamin C in sepsis: ascorbate modulates redox signaling in endothelium. *Biofactors*. (2009) 35:5–13. doi: 10.1002/biof.7

37. Peng Y, Kwok KH, Yang PH, Ng SS, Liu J, Wong OG, et al. Ascorbic acid inhibits ROS production, NF-kappa B activation and prevents ethanol-induced growth retardation and microencephaly. *Neuropharmacology*. (2005) 48:426–34. doi: 10.1016/j. neuropharm.2004.10.018

38. Rowe S, Carr AC. Global vitamin C status and prevalence of deficiency: a cause for concern? *Nutrients*. (2020) 12:2008. doi: 10.3390/nu12072008

39. Carr AC, Rowe S. Factors affecting vitamin C status and prevalence of deficiency: a Global Health perspective. *Nutrients*. (2020) 12:1963. doi: 10.3390/nu12071963

40. Carr AC, Maggini S. Vitamin C and immune function. *Nutrients*. (2017) 9:11. doi: 10.3390/nu9111211

41. Wan X, Wang W, Liu J, Tong T. Estimating the sample mean and standard deviation from the sample size, median, range and/or interquartile range. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* (2014) 14:135. doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-14-135

42. Borrelli E, Roux-Lombard P, Grau GE, Girardin E, Ricou B, Dayer J, et al. Plasma concentrations of cytokines, their soluble receptors, and antioxidant vitamins can predict the development of multiple organ failure in patients at risk. *Crit Care Med.* (1996) 24:392–7. doi: 10.1097/00003246-199603000-00006