Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Majid Moshirfar, University of Utah, United States

REVIEWED BY Hun Lee, University of Ulsan, Republic of Korea Pushpa Rao, Tufts University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Shengmei Ge ⊠ polyge@126.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 27 July 2023 ACCEPTED 29 November 2023 PUBLISHED 16 January 2024

CITATION

Zhang S, Mi J, Ge S, Wang G, Zhou Z, Zhao Y and Zhao Y (2024) Analysis of clinical characteristics and factors influencing herpes simplex virus keratitis. *Front. Med.* 10:1267783. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2023.1267783

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Zhang, Mi, Ge, Wang, Zhou, Zhao and Zhao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Analysis of clinical characteristics and factors influencing herpes simplex virus keratitis

Shuai Zhang^{1†}, Jinhua Mi^{1†}, Shengmei Ge^{2*}, Guoqiang Wang¹, Zhongyou Zhou¹, Yantao Zhao¹ and Yan Zhao¹

¹Department of Ophthalmology, The First Hospital of Hebei Medical University, Shijiazhuang, Hebei, China, ²Intensive Care Medicine, The Forth Hospital of Hebei Medical University, Shijiazhuang, Hebei, China

Objective: To investigate the clinical characteristics and factors associated with herpes simplex virus keratitis.

Methods: Patients with herpes simplex virus keratitis who came to our hospital from January 2018 to June 2022 were selected and divided into a good prognosis group and a poor prognosis group according to their prognosis. The clinical data of the two groups were compared, and univariate/multivariate logistic regression was used to analyze the factors influencing the poor prognosis of herpes simplex virus keratitis.

Results: A one-way analysis of variance showed that, compared with the good prognosis group, the poor prognosis group had more elderly patients and a longer course of disease, and the difference was statistically significant (p < 0.05). There were significant differences in the types of patients between the two groups (p < 0.05). Univariate logistic regression analysis also showed that age (≥ 65 years) (OR: 1.557, 95%CI: 1.081–2.183, p < 0.05), course of disease (> 7 months) (OR: 1.303, 95%CI: 1.003–1.829, p < 0.05), epithelial type (OR: 2.321, 95%CI: 1.198–4.321, p < 0.05), and stromal type (OR: 2.536, 95%CI: 1.672–3.871, p < 0.05) were risk factors for poor prognosis. Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that age (≥ 65 years) (OR: 1.656, 95%CI: 1.168–2.357, p < 0.05) and course of disease (> 7 months) (OR: 1.461, 95%CI: 1.031–2.001, p < 0.05) were independent risk factors for the prognosis of herpes simplex keratitis.

Conclusion: The clinical symptoms of herpes simplex virus keratitis include corneal opacity, corneal posterior elastic layer folds, corneal infiltration, posterior corneal mass, corneal edema, and ocular pain. Age and course of disease are important factors in the prognosis of herpes simplex virus keratitis.

KEYWORDS

herpes simplex keratitis, clinical characteristics, influencing factors, corneal opacity, eye pain

1 Introduction

Herpes simplex keratitis is a relatively common and serious infectious corneal disease in ophthalmology, and its incidence and blindness rate are increasing (1, 2). Herpes simplex virus keratitis is mainly caused by herpes simplex virus (HSV) infection, which is mainly observed in the clinic as HSV-1. Clinically, it can be divided into recurrent infection and primary infection

and can be divided into disk, map, dendritic, and spot depending on the morphological manifestations of the lesions. Depending on the site of invasion, it can be further classified as a superficial layer or a deep layer. The superficial layer is mainly divided into common clinical epithelial types, and its clinicopathological morphology is mainly dendritic and punctiform. Its pathogenesis is that HSV directly infects the epithelial cells of the cornea, and its proliferation in the cells causes the degeneration and necrosis of corneal epithelial cells and the formation of epithelial defects (3). Herpes simplex virus keratitis is characterized by prolonged and difficult-to-cure, repeated relapses. This condition, if therapy is delayed or negligent, will seriously affect vision and may even lead to blindness. Currently, there is no specific drug for the treatment of herpes simplex virus keratitis in clinics, which is usually treated with glucocorticoid and antiviral drugs. However, due to the adverse reactions of hormone drugs and cell tinning of antiviral drugs, their clinical application has certain limitations, and their therapeutic effect is not good (4, 5). Therefore, it is the focus of clinical research to actively analyze the clinical characteristics and influencing factors of patients with herpes simplex virus keratitis and give targeted treatment to improve the prognosis of patients. Based on this, the clinical characteristics and influencing factors of patients with herpes simplex virus keratitis were discussed in this study in order to provide evidence for the clinical prevention and treatment of herpes simplex virus keratitis.

2 Patients and methods

1.1 Subjects: A total of 198 patients with herpes simplex virus keratitis admitted to our hospital from January 2018 to June 2022 were selected and divided into 166 patients with a good prognosis and 32 patients with a poor prognosis according to their prognostic status. Good prognosis group: 86 men, 80 women. Poor prognosis group: 17 men, 15 women. There was no significant difference in general information between the two groups (p < 0.05). The research protocol was formulated in accordance with the relevant requirements of the World Medical Association's Declaration of Helsinki.

2.1 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria: Diagnosis of herpes simplex virus keratitis according to the diagnostic criteria in the Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Eye Diseases by the American Academy of Ophthalmology (3rd Edition) (6): accompanied by a foreign body, irritation, redness, blurred vision, excessive tearing, photophobia, pain, and other symptoms; there are typical corneal signs, such as map and dendritic corneal ulcers, corneal stroma disk infiltration or edema, and subcutaneous deposits in the focal area of the cornea. The subjects should have a history of recurrent attacks and monocular disease and often appear to have fever, fatigue, or mental stress before the onset of the disease. The subjects should have tested positive in laboratory tests such as HSV-1 antibody or viral PCR analysis, and these specimens should have been obtained from the diseased site of the cornea by an ophthalmologist using a sterile procedure. Those who have not received antiviral, hormone, and other related treatments within 1 month; those with normal liver and kidney function. Exclusion criteria: patients with cataracts, iritis, glaucoma, and other eye diseases; patients with hematological diseases; those with complications of malignant tumors; those with immune system dysfunction; those with coagulation dysfunction; and finally, those who did not want to participate in this study.

2.2 Methods

Ganciclovir eye gel for external use (Hubei Keyi Pharmaceutical Co., LTD., 181,204/T) was dropped into the conjunctival sac, 1 drop each time, 4 times a day, for 3 weeks; Interferon alpha-2b eye drops were dropped into the conjunctival sac of the affected eye, 1–2 drops each time, 6 times a day for 3 weeks, with the eye closed for 1–2 min after application; and Acyclovir tablets (Shanghai No.6 Pharmaceutical Factory, batch number 971101), 200 mg each time, 5 times a day for 10 d.

2.3 Observation indicators

1.4.1 Clinical data: age, gender, BMI, course of disease (time from the patient's first visit to this visit), type, drinking, smoking, corneal opacity, corneal posterior elastic layer folds, corneal infiltration, posterior corneal mass, corneal edema, and related complications of all subjects were collected.

Types: All subjects were classified according to the examination results of saccular lamp microscopy and corneal fluorescein staining: (1) Neurotype: Neurotrophic keratopathy was manifested; the punctured epithelial cells of the cornea were seen as erosion, then developed into persistent epithelial injury, an epithelial defect with smooth edges, gray thickening, and regular ulcerous lesions. (2) Epithelial type: presents with epithelial infectious keratitis with punctate microvesicles, dendritic corneal ulcers, or map-like corneal ulcers in the epithelium. (3) Stromal keratitis: presents as stromal keratitis, which can be further divided into necrotizing and non-necrotizing. Necrotizing stromal keratitis is usually characterized by corneal ulcers and dense stromal infiltrating lesions accompanied by epithelial defects. Non-necrotizing stromal keratitis may present as focal, multifocal, or diffuse infiltration without the formation of ulcers. (4) Endothelial type: presents with corneal endotheliitis (corneal endotheliitis is a specific inflammatory reaction of corneal endothelial cells, which is characterized by corneal edema, keratic deposits (KP), a mild inflammatory reaction in the anterior chamber, and corneal endothelial cell damage) and iritis (stromal edema may be disciform, linear, or diffuse). (5) Mixed type: the above two types exist simultaneously.

1.4.2 Prognosis: the prognosis was determined according to the follow-up after treatment (6); Cure: symptoms such as ocular congestion and pain disappear; fluorescent staining is positive; corneal ulcer disappears; no corneal edema; the HSV-1 antibody test or the virus PCR detection test are negative; Effective: All stimuli and symptoms are alleviated; the area of the corneal ulcer is reduced; the amniotic fat of the corneal wall is reduced; and the HSV-1 antibody or the virus PCR are detected and analyzed. Ineffective: none of the above symptoms have changed or appear to be worsening, and the HSV-1 antibody test or the virus PCR test were positive. Effective rate = cure rate + effective rate. If it is effective, the prognosis is good; if it is ineffective, the prognosis is poor.

Abbreviations: HSV, herpes simplex virus.

2.4 Statistical analysis

SPSS 21.0 software was used to analyze the data and create an Excel database. The measurement data conforming to the normal distribution were expressed as ±s. The overall comparison of the data in each group was analyzed by one-way variance, and the comparison between and within groups was performed by the LSD method. The statistical data were expressed as a rate (%) and compared by the chi-square test. Univariate/multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to analyze the factors influencing the poor prognosis of herpes simplex virus keratitis, and p < 0.05 was considered a significant difference.

3 Results

3.1 Comparison of general data between the two groups

After treatment, the efficacy rate of all subjects in this study was 83.83% (166/198). There was no significant difference between the two

TABLE 1 Comparing the general data of the two groups.

groups in terms of gender, BMI, alcohol consumption, smoking, corneal opacity, posterior elastic corneal layer fold, corneal infiltration, posterior corneal mass, corneal edema, eye pain, complications, and other aspects (p > 0.05). When compared with the course of disease and classification, the differences were statistically significant (p < 0.05), as shown in Table 1.

3.2 Single-factor logistic regression analysis was used to analyze the factors influencing the poor prognosis of herpes simplex virus keratitis

Independent variables were set as indicators with differences in comparison in general data, including gender, BMI, course of the disease, classification, alcohol consumption, smoking, corneal opacity, corneal posterior elastic folds, corneal infiltration, posterior corneal mass, corneal edema, eye pain, and complications. Dependent variables were the poor prognosis of herpes simplex keratitis, and univariate logistic regression analysis was performed. The results showed that the factors affecting the prognosis of herpes simplex virus

| Project | Good prognosis group (n = 166) | Poor prognosis group (<i>n</i> = 32) | χ²/t | р |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|---------|--------|
| Gender (<i>n</i> %) | | | 0.019 | 0.891 |
| Male subjects | 86 (51.8%) | 17 (53.1%) | | |
| Female subjects | 80 (48.2%) | 15 (46.9%) | | |
| Age (<i>n</i> %) | | | 4.807 | 0.028 |
| ≥65 | 79(47.6%) | 22(68.8%) | | |
| <65 | 87(52.4%) | 10(31.2%) | | |
| BMI(kg/m ²) | 24.87 ± 3.23 | 24.78±3.38 | 0.143 | 0.886 |
| Course of disease (months) | 4.03 ± 0.69 | 9.03±0.73 | -37.184 | <0.001 |
| Types (<i>n</i> %) | | | 11.637 | 0.020 |
| Epithelial type | 53(31.9%) | 17(53.1%) | | |
| Neurotype | 50(30.1%) | 1(3.1%) | | |
| Stromal type | 50(30.1%) | 12(37.5%) | | |
| Endotheliform | 5(3.0%) | 1(3.1%) | | |
| Mixed type | 8(4.8%) | 1(3.1%) | | |
| Alcohol consumption (<i>n</i> %) | 20(12.0%) | 4(12.5%) | 0.005 | 0.943 |
| Smoking (n%) | 16(9.6%) | 3(9.4) | 0.002 | 0.963 |
| Clinical symptoms (n%) | | | 0.499 | 0.992 |
| Corneal opacity | 130(78.3%) | 29(90.6%) | 2.571 | 0.109 |
| Fold of corneal posterior elastic layer | 135(81.3%) | 27(84.4%) | 0.168 | 0.682 |
| Corneal infiltration | 121(72.9%) | 28(87.5%) | 3.074 | 0.080 |
| Posterior corneal deposit | 127(76.5%) | 29(90.6%) | 3.200 | 0.074 |
| Corneal edema | 122(73.5%) | 28(87.5%) | 2.866 | 0.090 |
| Ocular pain | 125(75.3%) | 29(90.6%) | 3.645 | 0.056 |
| Complications (<i>n</i>) | | | | |
| Diabetes | 17(10.2%) | 6(18.8%) | 1.892 | 0.169 |
| Hypertension | 12(7.2%) | 4(12.5%) | 1.004 | 0.316 |

TABLE 2 Single-factor logistic regression analysis of poor prognostic factors in herpes simplex virus keratitis.

| Variable | β | SE | Wald $\chi 2$ value | OR(95%CI) | p value |
|---|-------|-------|---------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Gender (Male subjects) | 1.321 | 0.701 | 3.498 | 0.987(0.061-1.089) | 0.063 |
| Age (n)(≥65) | 0.573 | 0.193 | 6.528 | 1.557(1.081-2.183) | 0.001 |
| BMI(24.00 kg/m ²) | 0.109 | 0.281 | 0.132 | 1.128(0.897-1.328) | 0.573 |
| Course of disease (> 7 months) | 0.283 | 0.202 | 6.359 | 1.303(1.003-1.829) | 0.007 |
| Types | | | | | |
| Epithelial type | 0.969 | 0.397 | 5.721 | 2.321(1.198-4.321) | 0.013 |
| Neurotype | 0.868 | 0.713 | 1.516 | 2.282(0.581-6.756) | 0.213 |
| Stromal type | 0.898 | 0.276 | 11.823 | 2.536(1.672-3.871) | < 0.001 |
| Endotheliform | 0.265 | 0.693 | 1.456 | 2.189(1.002-3.492) | 0.224 |
| Mixed type | 3.804 | 2.009 | 3.321 | 3.291(1.204-7.023) | 0.069 |
| Alcohol consumption | 0.259 | 0.243 | 1.069 | 0.989(0.456-1.342) | 0.309 |
| Smoking | 0.098 | 0.162 | 0.337 | 0.919(0.076-1.321) | 0.567 |
| Corneal opacity | 0.083 | 0.239 | 0.317 | 1.083(0.678-1.719) | 0.739 |
| Fold of corneal posterior elastic layer | 0.152 | 0.187 | 0.847 | 1.193(0.812-1.629) | 0.391 |
| Corneal infiltration | 0.048 | 0.256 | 0.209 | 1.059(0.628-1.769) | 0.834 |
| Posterior corneal deposit | 0.169 | 0.349 | 0.472 | 1.183(0.578-2.365) | 0.638 |
| Corneal edema | 0.067 | 0.269 | 0.062 | 1.067(0.629-1.819) | 0.819 |
| Eye pain | 0.457 | 0.273 | 2.731 | 1.345(0.909-2.312) | 0.091 |
| Complications (n) | | | | | |
| Diabetes | 0.339 | 0.689 | 0.217 | 1.323(0.238-4.871) | 0.628 |
| Hypertension | 0.239 | 0.419 | 0.329 | 1.238(0.548-2.781) | 0.567 |

keratitis were age (\geq 65 years), course of disease (> 7 months), epithelial type, and stromal type, as shown in Table 2.

3.3 Multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to analyze the factors influencing the poor prognosis of herpes simplex virus keratitis

Independent variables were set as indicators with differences in general data, including age, course of disease, epithelial type, and stromal type. Dependent variables were the poor prognosis of herpes simplex virus keratitis. Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that the main factors influencing the poor prognosis of herpes simplex virus keratitis were age and course of disease, as shown in Table 3.

4 Discussion

Herpes simplex keratitis is a major corneal disease that causes visual damage worldwide. Studies have shown that 90% of U.S. adults (7) are positive for herpes simplex virus seroantigen, but only 20–30% of them have relevant clinical symptoms, most of which manifest as oral sores, and relatively few of them have ocular manifestations. According to relevant studies (8), the annual incidence of herpes

simplex virus keratitis is 5.9 to 20.7 per million people, which may reach 149 per million people in developed countries. Herpes simplex virus, whose plasmid size is about 180 nm, is a kind of DNA virus that can infect humans. It can be divided into type I (HSV-1) and type 2 (HSV-2), and the majority of eye infections are caused by type 1 (9). Due to the long course of the disease and the high recurrence rate, the disease will have a negative impact on the life, work, and study of patients, seriously reduce their quality of life, and may even lead to blindness in some cases because of the treatment not being done in time. Therefore, more efforts should be made to prevent and treat herpes simplex virus keratitis.

Currently, there is no specific agent for the clinical treatment of herpes simplex virus keratitis, and antiviral therapy is the main treatment method, depending on the herpes virus causing the disease (10, 11)⁻ In the clinical antiviral treatment of herpes simplex virus keratitis, commonly used drugs include acyclovir, ganciclovir eye fluid, or eye gel. Ganciclovir eye gel is a nucleoside antiviral drug, and its mechanism of action in the treatment of herpes simplex virus keratitis is as follows: after the drug enters human cells, it phosphorylates ganciclovir monophosphate to ganciclovir triphosphate by cell kinase, thus inhibiting the replication of herpes virus (12). The practice has confirmed (13) that ganciclovir eye gel has the effect of less irritation, longer duration of efficacy, and can increase the local concentration and duration of action, thus promoting the improvement of eye irritation symptoms. However, the easy access to ganciclovir eye

| Variable | β | SE | Wald χ 2value | OR(95%CI) | p value |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Age (≥65 years old) | 0.528 | 0.178 | 2.839 | 1.656(1.168-2.357) | 0.003 |
| Course of disease (> 7 months) | 0.383 | 0.176 | 2.452 | 1.461(1.031-2.001) | 0.029 |
| Epithelial type | 0.059 | 0.276 | 0.203 | 1.067(0.782-1.783) | 0.839 |
| Stromal type | 0.156 | 0.181 | 0.845 | 1.162(0.819-1.628) | 0.392 |

TABLE 3 Multivariate logistic regression analysis of factors influencing poor prognosis in herpes simplex virus keratitis.

gel has certain limitations. For example, repeated use can easily induce drug resistance in patients, leading to unsatisfactory treatment efficacy. Recombinant human interferon alpha-2b eye drops, indicated for the treatment of herpes simplex virus keratitis, have spectroviral activity, can quickly reach the site of the lesion, protect target cells, and prevent the spread of the virus, effectively killing it. The combined use of ganciclovir eye gel and recombinant human interferon alpha-2b eye drops can not only inhibit the replication of the virus, but also enhance the body's immunity, with better long-term effects while improving efficacy (14). After treatment with ganciclovir eye gel combined with interferon alpha-2b eye drops, the efficacy rate of the subjects in this study reached 83.83% (166/198), although it was lower than that of previous studies, which may be caused by different subjects enrolled in the study.

Herpes simplex keratitis mostly occurs in one eye, with binocular disease accounting for only 1.3-12% of cases, and is mostly caused by reduced immune function (15). In this study, seven patients (3.54% (7/198)) developed binocular disease, which is consistent with the above research results. Previous studies (16) indicated that the incidence of herpes simplex keratitis was similar in men and women. Multiple studies (17) have indicated that the male-to-female ratio of patients with herpes simplex virus keratitis ranges from 0.83 to 1.4. The results of this study showed that the ratio of female-to-male patients with herpes simplex virus keratitis was 1.08 to 1, which is consistent with the above findings. Herpes simplex keratitis can cause inflammatory reactions in various layers of the cornea. In the past, depending on the anatomical site of the lesion, herpes simplex keratitis was clinically classified as endothelial (manifested as endokeratitis), epithelial (manifested as epithelial infectious keratitis), stromal (manifested as stromal keratitis), neurotrophic keratitis (manifested as neurotrophic keratitis), and mixed type (18). A French study (19) indicated that the epithelial type was the most common type in patients with recurrent herpes simplex virus keratitis. In this study, the classification comparison between the good prognosis group and the poor prognosis group (p < 0.05) was consistent with the above research results. In patients with a poor prognosis of herpes simplex virus keratitis, epithelial and stromal types were more common, while neural, endothelial, and mixed types were relatively rare. A study by Wang Li pointed out that the clinical symptoms of severe herpes simplex virus keratitis include corneal opacity, corneal posterior elastic layer folds, corneal infiltration, posterior corneal mass, corneal edema, eye pain, and so on. In this study, the clinical symptoms of herpes simplex virus keratitis included corneal opacity, corneal posterior elastic layer folds, corneal infiltration, posterior corneal mass, and corneal edema, which were also consistent with the results of previous studies.

The findings of this study showed that the factors influencing the poor prognosis of herpes simplex virus keratitis were age (\geq 65 years), course of disease (> 7 months), and epithelial and stromal type. The results of multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that the main factors affecting the prognosis of herpes simplex virus keratitis were age and course of disease, suggesting that age and course of disease are important factors affecting the prognosis of herpes simplex virus keratitis. The reason may be that the older the patient is, the more the physical function of the patient will decline; the resistance to the latent virus will also decrease, which may be complicated by a variety of underlying conditions (20). In addition, the longer the course of the disease, the more severe the condition may be, and the more difficult the treatment of severe cases, the more difficult the recovery - hence the poor prognosis.

In summary, the main clinical symptoms of herpes simplex virus keratitis include corneal opacity, corneal posterior elastic layer folds, corneal infiltration, posterior corneal mass, corneal edema, eye pain, and so on. Age and course of disease are important factors affecting the prognosis of the disease. However, this study also has many shortcomings. At present, there is no clinical analysis of the clinical characteristics and risk factors of herpes simplex virus keratitis, and the sample size included in this study is small. Coupled with the short follow-up time, this means that the sample size should be further expanded and the follow-up time should be extended so as to further confirm the results of this study.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Ethics Committee of The First Hospital of Hebei Medical University. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

SZ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – original draft. JM: Conceptualization, Data

curation, Formal analysis. SG: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. GW: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Writing – review & editing. ZZ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. YantZ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. YanZ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

1. Richardson-May J, Rothwell A, Rashid M. Reactivation of herpes simplexkeratitis following vaccination for COVID-19. *BMJ Case Rep.* (2021) 14:e24579. doi: 10.1136/bcr-2021-245792

2. Porcar Plana CA, Matarredona Muñoz J, Moya Roca J, Campos Mollo E. Moraxellanonliquefaciens superinfecting herpes simplex keratitis. *Eur J Ophthalmol.* (2022) 32:NP24–7. doi: 10.1177/11206721211019565

3. Majtanova N, Kriskova P, Keri P, Fellner Z, Majtan J, Kolar P. Herpes SimplexKeratitis in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection: a series of five cases. *Medicina (Kaunas)*. (2021) 57:412. doi: 10.3390/medicina57050412

4. Erdem E, Harbiyeli İİ, Öztürk G, Oruz O, Açıkalın A, Yağmur M, et al. Atypical herpes simplex keratitis: frequency, clinical presentations and treatment results[J]. *Int Ophthalmol.* (2020) 40:659–65. doi: 10.1007/s10792-019-01226-1

5. Poon SHL, Wong WHL, Lo ACY, Yuan H, Chen CF, Jhanji V, et al. Asystematic review on advances in diagnostics for herpes simplex keratitis[J]. *SurvOphthalmol.* (2021) 66:514–30. doi: 10.1016/j.survophthal.2020.09.008

6. Zhang H, Chen A. Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of eye diseases. 3rd ed. Beijing: Science Press (2013). 500 p.

7. Glick JE, Bar J. Herpes simplex virus-associated keratitis[J]. J Emerg Med. (2021) 61:e11–2. doi: 10.1016/j.jemermed.2021.02.032

8. Kanclerz P, Alio JL. Ocular surgery after herpes simplex and herpes zosterkeratitis[J]. Int Ophthalmol. (2020) 40:3599-612. doi: 10.1007/s10792-020-01539-6

9. Yin D, Ling S, Wang D, Dai Y, Jiang H, Zhou X, et al. Targeting herpes simplex virus with CRISPR-Cas9 cures herpetic stromal keratitisin mice[J]. *Nat Biotechnol.* (2021) 39:567–77. doi: 10.1038/s41587-020-00781-8

10. Liu X, Xu S, Wang Y, Jin X, Shi Y, Zhang H. Bilateral Limbal stem CellAlterations in patients with unilateral herpes simplex keratitis and HerpesZoster Ophthalmicus as shown by in vivo confocal microscopy[J]. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* (2021) 62:12. doi: 10.1167/iovs.62.6.12

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

11. Nie AQ, Chen XM, Li Q. Herpes simplex keratitis following smart PulseTechnology assisted transepithelial photorefractive keratectomy: a case report[J]. *BMC Ophthalmol.* (2022) 22:442. doi: 10.1186/s12886-022-02654-x

12. Zhang C, Wen W. Clinical effect of ganciclovir eye drops combined with low dose glucocorticoid in the treatment of herpes simplex virus keratitis [J]. *J clinical rational drug use.* (2022) 15:145–8.

13. Feng J. Efficacy of antiviral soft capsule combined with acyclovir in the treatment of herpes simplex virus keratitis [J]. *Medical J Chinese People's Health*. (2021) 33:93–5. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1672-9455.2016.11.021

14. Long Y. Clinical analysis of recombinant human interferon alpha _(2b) eye drops for treatment of herpes simplex virus keratitis [J]. *Med Forum*. (2015) 19:4779–80. doi: 10.3109/02713688709020104

15. Souza PM, Holland EJ, Huang AJ. Bilateral herpetic keratoconjunctivitis[J]. ophthaImoIogy. (2003) 110:493-6. doi: 10.1016/S0161-6420(02)01772-4

16. Liesegang TJ. Herpes simplex virus epidemiology and ocular importance[J]. Cornea. (2001) 20:1–13. doi: 10.1097/00003226-200101000-00001

17. Ribarle V. The incidence of herpetie keratitis among population[J]. Ophthalmologica. (1976) 173:19-22. doi: 10.1159/000307814

18. Kang H, Cao Q, Zhang S, Hai Y. Research progress in the treatment of herpes simplex virus keratitis [J]. *Infectious Disease Information*. (2021) 34:365–9. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1007-8134.2021.04.019

19. Labetoulle M, Auquier P, Conrad H, Crochard A, Daniloski M, Bouée S, et al. Incidence of herpes simplex virus keratitis in France[J]. *Ophthalmology*. (2005) 112:888–895.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2004.11.052

20. Sun W, Zhang H. Research progress on recurrence and treatment of herpes simplex virus keratitis [J]. *Intern Eye Sci.* (2019) 19:384–7. doi: 10.3980/j. issn.1672-5123.2019.3.08