Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Sree Bhushan Raju, Nizam's Institute of Medical Sciences, India

REVIEWED BY Yuri Battaglia, University of Verona, Italy Vijay Chander, Nizam's Institute of Medical Sciences, India

*CORRESPONDENCE Wei Fang ⊠ fangwei_sh@126.com

RECEIVED 21 November 2023 ACCEPTED 06 February 2024 PUBLISHED 21 February 2024

CITATION

Shen Y, Su X, Yu Z, Yan H, Ma D, Xu Y, Yuan J, Ni Z, Gu L and Fang W (2024) Association between sarcopenic obesity and mortality in patients on peritoneal dialysis: a prospective cohort study.

Front. Med. 11:1342344. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2024.1342344

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Shen, Su, Yu, Yan, Ma, Xu, Yuan, Ni, Gu and Fang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Association between sarcopenic obesity and mortality in patients on peritoneal dialysis: a prospective cohort study

Yiwei Shen^{1,2}, Xinyu Su^{1,2}, Zanzhe Yu^{1,2}, Hao Yan^{1,2}, Dahua Ma³, Yimei Xu³, Jiangzi Yuan^{1,2}, Zhaohui Ni^{1,2}, Leyi Gu^{1,2} and Wei Fang^{1,2}*

¹Department of Nephrology, Renji Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China, ²Shanghai Center for Peritoneal Dialysis Research, Shanghai, China, ³Jiangsu Hengrui Pharmaceuticals Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China

Background: Whether sarcopenic obesity had unfavorable effect on survival of peritoneal dialysis (PD) patients is unknown. We aimed to investigate the association between sarcopenic obesity and survival in PD patients.

Methods: This was a prospective observational study. Eligible PD patients from November 2016 to December 2017 were enrolled and followed until August 31, 2023. Sarcopenia was defined following the recommendations of the Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia (AWGS) as low appendicular skeletal muscle mass index (ASMI) and handgrip strength (HGS). Obesity was defined using the percentage of body fat (PBF). Survival analysis was conducted using the Kaplan– Meier and log-rank test. The Cox regression and the cumulative incidence competing risk (CICR) analyzes were used to investigate the association between sarcopenic obesity and all-cause mortality.

Results: A total of 223 patients were enrolled with 133 (59.6%) males, a median age of 57.5 (44.6, 65.7) years, a median dialysis vintage of 20.3 (6.4, 57.7) months and 48 (21.5%) who had comorbid diabetes mellitus. Among them, 46 (20.6%) patients were sarcopenic, and 25 (11.2%) patients were diagnosed with sarcopenic obesity. After followed up for 51.6 (25.6, 73.9) months, the Kaplan–Meier curve showed the sarcopenic obesity (log-rank = 13.527, p < 0.001) group had significant lower survival rate compared to the nonsarcopenic non-obesity group. For multivariate analysis, the CICR method showed patients with sarcopenic obesity had significantly higher mortality rate (HR: 2.190, 95% CI: 1.011–4.743, p = 0.047) compared to those with nonsarcopenic non-obesity.

Conclusion: Sarcopenia is not uncommon in PD patients, with a considerable proportion having sarcopenic obesity. There is a significant association between sarcopenic obesity and an increased risk of mortality in PD patients.

KEYWORDS

sarcopenic obesity, sarcopenia, prevalence, survival, peritoneal dialysis

Introduction

Peritoneal dialysis (PD), one of the major kidney replacement therapies, has shown survival advantage among patients with end-stage kidney disease (ESKD) (1, 2). The incidence of PD initiation has increased rapidly worldwide in the last 10 years (3, 4). Factors such as the use of bio-incompatible dialysis solutions, accumulation of uremic toxins, and chronic inflammation may contribute to proteinenergy waste, which refers to a state of insufficient nutrient intake, depleted energy reserves, disruptions in body composition, and heightened muscle protein breakdown in patients with ESKD (5). Consequently, it can result in the loss of lean body mass in this patient population (6). Sarcopenia, characterized by muscle atrophy and impaired muscle function, is strongly associated with adverse clinical outcomes in dialysis patients, including worse quality of life, higher hospitalization rate and increased mortality (7, 8). Similar to the trend in the general population, obesity is increasingly common in patients receiving PD (9). It has been shown that the prevalence of obesity has increased substantially after PD initiation (10). Daily access to glucose-based dialysis solutions, insulin resistance, lipid metabolism disorders and reduced physical activity are risk factors that contribute to obesity in long-term PD treatment. The coexistence of sarcopenia and obesity, namely sarcopenic obesity, has garnered increasing attention due to its association with poorer survival in both general and elderly populations (11, 12). As PD patients are susceptible to develop both sarcopenia and obesity, the clinical outcomes of this cross-linked pathology are of great interest.

Only a few studies have investigated the clinical impact of sarcopenic obesity on dialysis patients' outcomes, and the findings have been inconsistent. In a study by Malhotra et al. (13), sarcopenic obesity showed no statistically significant association with mortality in 122 patients undergoing hemodialysis (HD). Conversely, in a study by Sabatino et al., it was found that among 212 HD patients, the group with sarcopenic obesity exhibited worse survival in comparison to their counterparts with nonsarcopenic non-obesity (14). Given that PD patients are particularly susceptible to sarcopenic obesity, it is obviously of clinical importance to understand the association between sarcopenic obesity and patient outcomes. Therefore, this study was designed to investigate the association between sarcopenic obesity and survival in patients undergoing PD.

Methods

Study design

This was a prospective observational study undertaken in Renji Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Renji Hospital (protocol code: [2016]101 K). Written informed consent was obtained from all recruited patients.

Patient enrollment

Stable patients receiving continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD) between November 1, 2016 and December 31, 2017 were screened for eligibility. Patients were eligible if they were aged 18

to 80 years and treated on PD for at least three months. Exclusion criteria included: (1) amputations; (2) implantation of cardiac pacemakers and internal defibrillators; (3) concomitant with severe diseases such as active malignancy, severe cardiac diseases, etc.; (4) ongoing severe infection; (5) planned or ongoing pregnancy or lactation; (6) combined HD; (7) refusal to give a written consent. All enrolled patients received treatment with lactate-buffered glucose-based dialysis solutions (Dianeal[®], Baxter) utilizing a twin-bag system. No additional solutions were administered alongside the glucose-based dialysis solutions.

Data collection

Demographic data were collected at enrollment including age, gender, height, weight, dialysis vintage, blood pressure, etiology of ESKD and comorbidities. Age-adjusted Charlson comorbidity index (aCCI) scores were calculated (15). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight divided by height squared. Cardiovascular disease (CVD) was defined as the presence of myocardial infarction, coronary artery bypass surgery, percutaneous coronary intervention, congestive heart failure, angina pectoris, cerebrovascular disease and peripheral vascular disease. Fasting venous blood was collected from each patient at enrollment for the measurement of laboratory data including hemoglobin, serum albumin, fasting blood glucose (FBG), glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), total cholesterol, total triglyceride, low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-c), high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-c) and high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP). Standard peritoneal equilibration test was performed to calculate the 4-h dialysate-to-plasma concentration ratio for creatinine (D/Pcr) (16). Weekly total urea clearance (Kt/ V_{urea}) and creatinine clearance (Ccr) were estimated using standard methods (17). Residual renal function (RRF) was evaluated as the average clearance of 24-h urinary urea and creatinine (18). Normalized protein catabolic rate (nPCR) was calculated and normalized to standard body weight (19). Daily glucose exposure to dialysate was assessed according to dialysis prescriptions.

Measurement of body composition and muscle strength

At enrollment, body composition including extracellular water (ECW), intracellular water (ICW) and fat tissue mass (FTM) were measured using bioimpedance spectroscopy (BCM, Fresenius Medical Care, Germany) for each patient. The measurements were performed with patients in a supine position with 2L dialysate dwell. The appendicular skeletal muscle mass index (ASMI) was calculated according to the regression models developed by using bioimpedance spectroscopy (20), as the sum of skeletal muscle mass of arms and legs divided by height squared. These regression models have been shown to strongly correlate with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) measures of limb muscle mass. The equations for ASMI (arms) and ASMI (legs) were as following: ASMI $(arms) = 1.69 + 0.301 \times ICW$ $(L) + 0.603 \text{ (male)} + 0.0234 \times \text{weight (kg)} - 0.123 \times \text{age (year)}, ASMI$ $(legs) = 4.53 + 0.5005 \times ICW (L) + 1.535 (male) + 0.0839 \times weight (kg)$ - 0.0483 × age (year). Percentage of body fat (PBF) was calculated as the ratio of FTM and weight. Muscle strength, defined by handgrip strength (HGS), was measured using a digital dynamometer (CAMRY EH101, Guangdong, China). Patients were directed to perform three measurements using their dominant hand with maximum force, and the largest HGS was recorded.

Patients with low ASMI and low HGS were classified as sarcopenia. Low ASMI and low HGS were defined according to the recommendations of Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia (AWGS), as ASMI <7.0 kg/m² for men and <5.7 kg/m² for women, and HGS <26 kg for men and <18 kg for women (21). Obesity was defined as PBF \geq 25% for men and \geq 35% for women (22). Therefore, our study population was divided into four groups based on muscle and fat status: non-sarcopenia and non-obesity (reference), nonsarcopenic obesity, nonobese sarcopenia, and sarcopenic obesity.

Follow-up

All enrolled participants were prospectively followed up from enrollment until death, transfer to permanent HD, kidney transplantation, loss to follow-up, transfer to other dialysis centers, or to the end of the study (August 31, 2023). The outcome measure in our study was patient survival.

Statistical analysis

Data normality was measured using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Continuous variables with normal distribution were presented as mean ± standard deviation and compared using One-Way ANOVA. Continuous variables with unnormal distribution were presented as median and interquartile range and compared using Kruskal-Wallis test. The Bonferroni or Tukey comparison post-hoc test was used in between-group comparisons. Categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages and compared using Chi-square test. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was run to explore the association between sarcopenia, sarcopenic obesity, and clinical and demographic variables. The Kaplan-Meier and log-rank test, and the Cox regression analysis were used to perform survival analysis. In addition, we used the cumulative incidence competing risk (CICR) analysis to mitigate the effect of competing events on survival. Analyzes were performed using IBM SPSS 22.0 for Windows software and R Statistical Software (v4.2.3). All statistical analysis used a significance level of 0.05 (2-sided).

Results

Patient characteristics

As shown in Table 1, a total of 223 patients were enrolled, of whom 133 (59.6%) were males, with a median age of 57.5 (44.6, 65.7) years and a median dialysis vintage of 20.3 (6.4, 57.7) months. The prevalence of sarcopenia in the study cohort was 20.6%. Of them, 25 (11.2%) patients met the criteria for sarcopenic obesity. Sarcopenic patients were older, with higher aCCI scores and FBG, lower HGS and ASMI than nonsarcopenic patients (all p < 0.05). When compared to the reference group, the sarcopenic obesity group had higher BMI, PBF, ECW/ICW, hsCRP, HbA1c, and lower blood pressure, HDL-c

and Ccr (all p < 0.05). With comparison to the nonobese sarcopenia group, the sarcopenic obesity group had higher BMI, PBF, ECW/ICW, hsCRP, and lower HDL-c, Kt/V_{urea}, Ccr (all p < 0.05). No significant difference was observed in the dialysis vintage, proportion of diabetes mellitus, serum albumin, glucose exposure and RRF (all p > 0.05) across the four subgroups.

Age (OR=1.145, 95% CI: 1.083–1.210, p < 0.001), ECW/ICW (OR=1.091, 95% CI: 1.035–1.150, p = 0.001) and BMI (OR=0.701, 95% CI: 0.585–0.839, p < 0.001) were significantly associated with sarcopenia after adjustment for gender, dialysis vintage, glucose exposure, serum albumin, total cholesterol, hsCRP, Kt/V_{urea}, RRF and diabetes mellitus. Age (OR=1.096, 95% CI: 1.033–1.162, p=0.002) and ECW/ICW (OR=1.101, 95% CI: 1.040–1.166, p=0.001) were significantly associated with sarcopenic obesity when adjusting for gender, dialysis vintage, glucose exposure, serum albumin, total cholesterol, hsCRP, Kt/V_{urea}, RRF and diabetes mellitus (Table 2).

Patient outcomes

As shown in Table 3, after followed up for 51.6 (25.6, 73.9) months, 60 (26.9%) patients died, 59 (26.5%) patients transferred to permanent HD, 18 (8.1%) patients underwent kidney transplantation, 8 (3.6%) patients transferred to other centers, 3 (1.3%) patients were lost to follow-up, and 75 (33.6%) patients were still on PD. The leading cause of death was cardiovascular events for 38 (63.3%) patients. No significant difference was found in the distribution of outcomes among four subgroups.

Patient survival and association between all-cause mortality and groups based on muscle and fat status

As shown in Figure 1, the sarcopenic obesity (log-rank=13.527, p < 0.001) and the nonobese sarcopenia groups (log-rank=4.830, p = 0.028) had significantly inferior survival in comparison to the reference group. Among the patients with sarcopenia, no significant difference was found in survival between the sarcopenic obesity group and the nonobese sarcopenia group (log-rank=0.310, p = 0.578).

In the multivariate Cox regression analysis, the nonobese sarcopenia (p = 0.191) and the sarcopenic obesity groups (p = 0.139) were no longer associated with all-cause mortality compared to the reference group when adjusting for gender, dialysis vintage, serum albumin, total cholesterol, hsCRP and aCCI. However, when the CICR model was used to mitigate the effect of censored events, the sarcopenic obesity group was independently associated with increased all-cause mortality compared to the reference group (HR: 2.190, 95% CI: 1.011–4.743, p = 0.047) (Table 4).

Discussion

The present study showed that sarcopenia was not uncommon in PD patients, with a significant proportion of them having sarcopenic obesity. The group with sarcopenic obesity was independently associated with increased mortality in PD patients compared to the nonsarcopenic nonobese group. However, among patients with

TABLE 1 Patient characteristics according to the groups based on muscle and fat status.

	Total (n = 223)	Reference (n = 129)	Nonsarcopenic obesity (<i>n</i> = 48)	Nonobese sarcopenia (n = 21)	Sarcopenic obesity (<i>n</i> = 25)	p value
Age (years)	57.5 (44.6, 65.7)	52.5 (38.4, 62.4)	60.4 (48.8, 66.9)#&	65.7 (57.9, 68.8) [#]	68.3 (61.5, 71.6)**	< 0.001
Dialysis vintage (months)	20.3 (6.4, 57.7)	18.6 (6.0, 53.6)	23.5 (7.8, 53.8)	41.9 (7.5, 97.3)	42.4 (9.6, 74.2)	0.211
Gender (male) [n (%)]	133 (59.6)	83 (64.3)	31 (64.6)*	5 (23.8) [#]	14 (56.0)	0.005
BMI (kg/m²)	23.4±3.1	22.6±2.7	$26.2 \pm 3.1^{\#\&}$	21.1±2.3	24.2±2.4 ^{#&} *	< 0.001
Etiology of ESKD [n (%)]				1		0.155
Chronic glomerulonephritis	87 (39.0)	57 (44.2)	18 (37.5)	8 (38.1)	4 (16.0)	
Diabetic nephropathy	32 (14.3)	12 (9.3)	9 (18.8)	3 (14.3)	8 (32.0)	
Hypertension	6 (2.7)	5 (3.9)	1 (2.1)	0	0	
Polycystic kidney disease	7 (3.1)	2 (1.6)	2 (4.2)	1 (4.8)	2 (8.0)	
Others	16 (7.2)	10 (7.8)	4 (8.3)	0	2 (8.0)	
Unknown	75 (33.6)	43 (33.3)	14 (29.2)	9 (42.9)	9 (36.0)	
Comorbidities [n (%)]						
Hypertension	173 (77.6)	102 (20.9)	38 (79.2)	16 (76.2)	17 (68.0)	0.665
Diabetes mellitus	48 (21.5)	22 (17.1)	12 (25.0)	4 (19.0)	10 (40.0)	0.072
CVD	36 (16.1)	18 (14.0)	9 (18.8)	5 (23.8)	4 (16.0)	0.657
Systolic pressure (mmHg)	143 (132, 155)	145 (136, 160)	142 (132, 154)	141 (136, 152)	134 (127, 145)#	0.015
Diastolic pressure (mmHg)	91 (84, 99)	95 (87, 102)	89 (80, 96)#	88 (84, 90)#	86 (78, 91)#	< 0.001
aCCI	4 (3, 5)	3 (2, 4)	4 (3, 5)#	4 (3.5, 5)#	5 (4, 6)**	< 0.001
Hemoglobin (g/L)	107.2 ± 16.7	104.9 ± 15.4	108.5±19.6	113.8±17.9	110.5 ± 14.5	0.071
Serum albumin (g/L)	38.9±3.8	38.8±3.9	39.7±3.6	37.9±4.4	38.2±3.4	0.255
FBG (mmol/L)	4.7 (4.3, 5.6)	4.6 (4.3, 5.2)	4.8 (4.3, 6.2)	5.0 (4.4, 7.0)*	5.1 (4.8, 7.3)*	0.016
Glycated hemoglobin (%)	5.3 (5.0, 5.8)	5.2 (4.9, 5.8)	5.5 (4.9, 6.0)	5.3 (5.2, 5.7)	5.6 (5.3, 6.7)#	0.012
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	4.89 ± 1.16	4.84 ± 1.09	4.71±1.12 ^{&}	5.59±1.38 [#]	4.94±1.21	0.027
Total triglycerides (mmol/L)	1.67 (1.12, 2.29)	1.61 (1.03, 2.14)	1.76 (1.27, 3.00)	1.76 (1.21, 2.23)	1.68 (1.22, 3.14)	0.361
HDL-c (mmol/L)	1.08 (0.85, 1.33)	1.11 (0.86, 1.33)	0.96 (0.79, 1.10)#&	1.39 (1.14, 1.57)*	0.97 (0.76, 1.16) ^{#&}	< 0.001
LDL-c (mmol/L)	2.96 ± 0.94	2.92 ± 0.95	2.84 ± 0.86	3.42 ± 1.03	3.02±0.93	0.107
HsCRP (mg/L)	1.58 (0.62, 5.22)	1.22 (0.55, 4.65)	2.31 (0.63, 5.64)	1.58 (0.55, 2.66)	4.07 (1.20, 13.5) ^{#&}	0.012
Glucose exposure (g/d)	130 (110, 180)	130 (97.5, 160)	140 (110, 180)	110 (90, 155)	160 (110, 190)	0.075
4-h D/Pcr	0.60 (0.52, 0.69)	0.61 (0.54, 0.70)	0.61 (0.50, 0.68)	0.59 (0.43, 0.73)	0.58 (0.53, 0.65)	0.385
Kt/V _{urea}	1.85 (1.57, 2.13)	1.86 (1.58, 2.17)	1.70 (1.46, 1.93)#&	2.06 (1.88, 2.50)#	1.85 (1.59, 2.04)&	0.004
Ccr	57.28 (48.53, 70.25)	58.48 (49.60, 73.28)	51.85 (45.91, 64.14) ^{#&}	61.86 (51.57, 79.70)	52.57 (47.94, 62.11) ^{#&}	0.012
RRF (ml/min)	1.30 (0, 3.57)	1.75 (0, 3.79)	0.71 (0, 3.49)	1.45 (0.38, 4.25)	0.49 (0, 1.63)	0.158
nPCR (g/kg/d)	0.94 ± 0.20	0.96±0.19	$0.86 \pm 0.20^{\# \%}$	1.05 ± 0.16	0.91±0.21	0.001
HGS (kg)	24.9 (18.5, 31.9)	27.4 (21.4, 34.4)	26.5 (19.7, 34.5) ^{&}	16.6 (12.4, 17.7) [#]	16.1 (14.2, 19.9)**	< 0.001
ASMI (kg/m ²)	7.1 (5.7, 8.1)	7.5 (6.5, 8.4)	7.2 (6.1, 8.0) ^{&}	5.2 (4.9, 5.6)*	5.5 (4.7, 6.1)**	< 0.001
PBF (%)	27.4 (20.0, 33.0)	20.9 (16.1, 27.7)	34.2 (30.0, 36.8) ^{#&}	26.7 (20.7, 30.9)	36.2 (33.0, 40.4) ^{#&}	< 0.001
ECW/ICW	0.92±0.12	0.87 ± 0.10	$0.96 \pm 0.10^{\#}$	0.94±0.12	1.04±0.15 ^{#&} *	< 0.001

Variables were presented as mean ± standard deviation, median and interquartile range, frequencies and percentages and compared using One-Way ANOVA, Kruskal-Wallis test and Chisquare test, followed by Bonferroni or Tukey comparison post-hoc test.

BMI, body mass index; ESKD, end-stage kidney disease; CVD, Cardiovascular disease; aCCI, age-adjusted Charlson comorbidity index; FBG, Fasting blood glucose; HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; LDL-c, low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; HSCRP, high sensitivity C-reactive protein; D/Pcr, dialysate-to-plasma concentration ratio for creatinine; Ccr, total creatinine clearance; RRF, residual renal function; nPCR, normalized protein catabolic rate; HGS, handgrip strength; ASMI, appendicular skeletal muscle mass index; PBF, percentage of body fat; ECW, extracellular water; ICW, intracellular water.

* p < 0.05 vs. reference (nonsarcopenic non-obesity); * p < 0.05 vs. nonobese sarcopenia; * p < 0.05 vs. nonsarcopenic obesity.

	Sarcopenia				Sarcopenic obe	sity
	OR	95% CI	p value	OR	95% CI	<i>p</i> value
Age	1.145	1.083-1.210	< 0.001	1.096	1.033-1.162	0.002
Gender (male)	0.503	0.148-1.706	0.270	1.287	0.312-5.305	0.727
Dialysis vintage	1.010	0.996-1.024	0.161	0.995	0.978-1.011	0.507
Glucose exposure	0.991	0.978-1.006	0.236	0.994	0.979-1.010	0.480
Serum albumin	1.109	0.971-1.266	0.126	1.141	0.981-1.327	0.086
Total cholesterol	1.339	0.899-1.996	0.151	0.981	0.639-1.507	0.931
HsCRP	1.015	0.969-1.064	0.528	1.045	0.995-1.098	0.076
Kt/V _{urea}	1.918	0.392-9.372	0.421	2.300	0.396-13.377	0.354
RRF	0.937	0.644-1.364	0.735	0.800	0.530-1.207	0.288
Diabetes mellitus	1.621	0.576-4.560	0.360	1.018	0.317-3.269	0.976
BMI	0.701	0.585-0.839	<0.001	0.945	0.796-1.121	0.516
ECW/ICW (increase 0.01)	1.091	1.035-1.150	0.001	1.101	1.040-1.166	0.001

TABLE 2 Multivariate logistic regression of the association between sarcopenia, sarcopenic obesity and clinical and demographic variables.

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; HsCRP, high sensitivity C-reactive protein; Kt/V_{urea}, weekly total urea clearance; RRF, residual renal function; ECW, extracellular water; ICW, intracellular water.

sarcopenia, there was no significant difference in survival between patients with nonobese sarcopenia and sarcopenic obesity.

The prevalence of sarcopenia and sarcopenic obesity in ESKD patients varies significantly depending on the diagnostic criteria, assessment tools and cut-off values used. Previous studies showed that the prevalence of sarcopenia and sarcopenic obesity in patients on PD ranging from 4 to 38.2%, and from 3 to 8.6%, respectively (23–27). Similarly, a prevalence of 20.6% for sarcopenia and 11.2% for sarcopenic obesity was reported in our study cohort. These findings suggested that sarcopenia and sarcopenic obesity were not uncommon in PD patients.

In the present study, we observed a significant association between sarcopenic obesity and advanced age, as well as higher ECW/ICW ratios. Age is widely recognized as an important risk factor for sarcopenic obesity in the general population (28). Elderly PD patients are particularly vulnerable to sarcopenia due to factors such as uremic toxins, dialysis treatment and dietary restrictions. They also tend to gain fat more easily due to exposure to glucose-based dialysis solutions and reduced physical activities. Consistent with our findings, Sabatino et al. conducted a retrospective study involving 212 HD patients and found age was independently associated with sarcopenic obesity (OR = 1.17, 95% CI: 1.09–1.25, *p* < 0.001). Therefore, it is crucial to pay close attention to the evaluation of sarcopenic obesity in elderly individuals undergoing dialysis. It has been indicated that there might be a strong association between volume status and nutritional status (29). Recent studies have suggested that the ECW/ICW ratio may be a more sensitive indicator of changes in muscle properties than muscle mass alone (30). While the exact mechanism underlying the interaction between volume overload and sarcopenic obesity in ESKD patients is not yet fully understood, it is speculated that chronic inflammation resulting from excessive fluid (31) might contribute to both the rise in ECW/ICW ratios and the development of sarcopenic obesity. In general, these findings suggested that sarcopenic obesity was more likely to occur in patients who were older and experiencing volume overload.

Regarding patient survival, we found that the sarcopenic obesity group had poorer survival than that with nonsarcopenic non-obesity. Several retrospective studies have consistently reported a strong association between sarcopenic obesity and an elevated risk of mortality among PD patients. Do and Kang (32) retrospectively evaluated the association between sarcopenia or its components, obesity and patient survival by analyzing the body composition of 199 incident PD patients. After 18 months of follow-up, they reported that the survival rate of patients with nonsarcopenic non-obesity, nonobese sarcopenia, and sarcopenic obesity were 97.5, 83.5, and 70.1%, respectively. Furthermore, patients with sarcopenic obesity had significantly lower survival rate compared to those with nonsarcopenic non-obesity. Another study conducted in Italy, which utilized abdominal computed tomography to diagnose sarcopenia and obesity in 212 HD patients, reported that the group with sarcopenic obesity exhibited inferior survival in comparison to the group with nonsarcopenic non-obesity (14). The present prospective study showed that sarcopenic obesity served as an independent predictor for higher mortality rate in PD patients, which was in accordance with previous studies.

Obesity is known to be an important risk factor for cardiovascular disease and increased mortality in the general population (33). However, there is evidence of a reverse epidemiology phenomenon referred to as the "obesity paradox" in ESKD patients, wherein patients with obesity may experience improved clinical outcomes (34). In a study conducted in a 2-year cohort of 54,535 HD patients in the United States, obesity was described to be associated with reduced cardiovascular mortality significantly (35). Moreover, another study enrolled 261 HD patients reported better quality of life and lower risk of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular risk in patients with sarcopenic obesity compared to those with nonobese sarcopenia (36). However, our study did not identify a survival advantage in the sarcopenic obesity group compared to the nonobese sarcopenia group, which aligned with the findings of studies conducted by Do and Kang (32)

TABLE 3 Outcomes of patients.

	Total (n = 223)	Reference (<i>n</i> = 129)	Nonsarcopenic obesity (n = 48)	Nonobese sarcopenia (n = 21)	Sarcopenic obesity (<i>n</i> = 25)	p value
Follow-up (months)	51.6 (25.6, 73.9)	56.6 (30.0, 74.6)	50.5 (22.3, 65.8)	40.4 (10.0, 74.9)	50.1 (29.8, 77.2)	0.404
Outcomes [n (%)]						0.134
Death	60 (26.9)	22 (17.1)	18 (37.5)	7 (33.2)	13 (52.0)	
Transfer to hemodialysis	59 (26.5)	37 (28.7)	11 (22.9)	6 (28.6)	5 (20.0)	
Kidney transplantation	18 (8.1)	14 (10.8)	3 (6.3)	1 (4.8)	0	
Transfer to other centers	8 (3.6)	5 (3.9)	2 (4.2)	1 (4.8)	0	
Lost to follow-up	3 (1.3)	2 (1.5)	1 (2.1)	0	0	
Still on PD	75 (33.6)	49 (38.0)	13 (27.1)	6 (28.6)	7 (28.0)	
Death [<i>n</i> (%)]	N = 60	N=22	N = 18	N = 7	N = 13	
Cardiovascular events	38 (63.3)	14 (63.6)	11 (61.1)	5 (71.4)	8 (61.5)	
Cardiac disease	15 (25.0)	3 (13.6)	5 (27.8)	2 (28.6)	5 (38.5)	
Cerebrovascular disease	13 (21.7)	7 (31.8)	3 (16.7)	2 (28.6)	1 (7.7)	
Peripheral vascular disease	3 (5.0)	1 (4.5)	1 (5.6)	0	1 (7.7)	
Sudden death	7 (11.7)	3 (13.6)	2 (11.1)	1 (14.3)	1 (7.7)	
Infection	11 (18.3)	5 (22.7)	2 (11.1)	0	4 (30.8)	
Peritonitis	4 (6.7)	2 (9.1)	2 (11.1)	0	0	
Pneumonia	5 (8.3)	2 (9.1)	0	0	3 (23.1)	
Sepsis	2 (3.3)	1 (4.5)	0	0	1 (7.7)	
Malignancy	3 (5.0)	1 (4.5)	2 (11.1)	0	0	
Gastrointestinal hemorrhage	2 (3.3)	1 (4.5)	1 (5.6)	0	0	
Unknown	6 (10.0)	1 (4.5)	2 (11.1)	2 (28.6)	1 (7.7)	

Variables were presented as median and interquartile range, and frequencies and percentages and compared using Kruskal-Wallis test and Chi-square test. PD, peritoneal dialysis.

as well as Sabatino (14). Possible explanations for the varying results could be attributed to the different dialysis modalities, longer dialysis vintage, extended follow-up period and better nutritional status in our cohort. Body fat is thought to have positive effect on nutrition in the short term by serving as source of energy (34, 37). But excess body fat is known to be strongly associated with inflammation (38), which may have an adverse effect on survival in the long term, as supported by our finding that the sarcopenic obesity patients had higher hsCRP levels. Taken together, the reverse epidemiology phenomenon of obesity may be not present in patients on PD with a long dialysis vintage and favorable nutritional status.

Our study has several limitations. Firstly, due to the single-center design, the results might not be extrapolated to patients in other populations. Secondly, we did not conduct longitudinal monitoring of patients' muscle and adipose tissue, thus limiting our understanding of the association between patient outcomes and changes in muscle and fat status. Thirdly, patients older than 80 were excluded in the present study, who may exhibit a higher prevalence of sarcopenic obesity. Fourthly, we lacked information regarding patient daily activity and personal habits such as smoking and alcohol consumption, which are known to be associated closely with patients' outcomes. Fifthly, we did not document new onset hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and dyslipidemia during the 6-year follow-up, despite their potential impact on patient prognosis. Sixthly, the muscle and fat values obtained from bioimpedance analysis were not compared with those from other body composition assessment tools, such as muscle and fat ultrasound. The application of ultrasound technique has been reported to offer reliable and valid measurements of muscle and fat mass, and their parameters have shown significant correlation with the results of bioimpedance analysis (39, 40). Clearly, multicenter studies with better design and larger sample size are needed to confirm our results.

Conclusion

In summary, our results showed that sarcopenia, and in particular sarcopenic obesity, was not uncommon in PD patients. Sarcopenic obesity was significantly associated with increased mortality risk in PD patients. Therefore, we suggest attention should be directed



TABLE 4 Multivariate Cox regression and CICR analyzes for all-cause mortality according to groups based on muscle and fat status.

	Univariate		Multivariate			
	cs-HR (95% Cl)	p value	cs-HR (95% Cl)	<i>p</i> value	sd-HR (95% Cl)	<i>p</i> value
Gender (male)	1.119 (0.661–1.894)	0.677	1.030 (0.582–1.823)	0.920	1.175 (0.661–2.086)	0.58
Dialysis vintage	0.999 (0.991–1.006)	0.730	0.996 (0.987–1.006)	0.433	0.996 (0.989-1.004)	0.35
aCCI	1.558 (1.322–1.836)	< 0.001	1.469 (1.208–1.786)	< 0.001	1.491 (1.235–1.800)	< 0.001
Serum albumin	0.929 (0.876-0.984)	0.013	0.924 (0.867-0.986)	0.017	0.925 (0.867-0.986)	0.016
HsCRP	1.016 (0.990-1.043)	0.224	1.000 (0.971-1.029)	0.987	0.993 (0.961–1.026)	0.67
Total cholesterol	1.186 (0.933–1.509)	0.164	1.124 (0.870–1.451)	0.371	1.075 (0.818-1.414)	0.60
Anuria	1.542 (0.904-2.627)	0.112	1.871 (0.916-3.821)	0.085	1.630 (0.784-3.387)	0.19
Groups based on muscle and	fat status				· · ·	
Nonsarcopenic non- obesity	Reference		Reference		Reference	
Nonobese sarcopenia	2.521 (1.076-5.906)	0.033	1.888 (0.728-4.898)	0.191	1.872 (0.652-5.374)	0.24
Nonsarcopenic obesity	2.567 (1.374-4.795)	0.003	2.134 (1.100-4.141)	0.025	2.269 (1.170-4.400)	0.015
Sarcopenic obesity	3.356 (1.690-6.665)	0.001	1.787 (0.828-3.859)	0.139	2.190 (1.011-4.743)	0.047

CICR, cumulative incidence competing risk; cs-HR, cause-specific hazard ratio; sd-HR, subdistribution hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; aCCI, age-adjusted Charlson comorbidity index; HsCRP, high sensitivity C-reactive protein; RRF, residual renal function. Anuria was defined as urine output <100 mL/d.

towards this interconnected pathology, rather than solely focusing on alterations in muscle or adipose tissue.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Ethics Committee of Renji Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

YS: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Visualization. XS: Investigation, Writing – original draft. ZY: Investigation, Writing – original draft. HY: Investigation, Writing – original draft. DM: Investigation, Writing – original draft. YX: Investigation, Writing – original draft. JY: Writing – review & editing. ZN: Writing – review & editing. WF: Funding acquisition, Investigation, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation. LG: Resources, Visualization, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

References

1. Vonesh EF, Snyder JJ, Foley RN, Collins AJ. Mortality studies comparing peritoneal dialysis and hemodialysis: what do they tell us? *Kidney Int Suppl.* (2006) 103:S3–S11. doi: 10.1038/sj.ki.5001910

2. Wong B, Ravani P, Oliver MJ, Holroyd-Leduc J, Venturato L, Garg AX, et al. Comparison of patient survival between hemodialysis and peritoneal Dialysis among patients eligible for both modalities. *Am J Kidney Dis.* (2018) 71:344–51. doi: 10.1053/j. ajkd.2017.08.028

3. Li PK, Chow KM, Van de Luijtgaarden MW, Johnson DW, Jager KJ, Mehrotra R, et al. Changes in the worldwide epidemiology of peritoneal dialysis. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* (2017) 13:90–103. doi: 10.1038/nrneph.2016.181

4. Teitelbaum I, Finkelstein FO. Why are we not getting more patients onto peritoneal Dialysis? Observations from the United States with global implications. *Kidney Int Rep.* (2023) 8:1917–23. doi: 10.1016/j.ekir.2023.07.012

5. Kalantar-Zadeh K, Ikizler TA, Block G, Avram MM, Kopple JD. Malnutritioninflammation complex syndrome in dialysis patients: causes and consequences. *Am J Kidney Dis.* (2003) 42:864–81. doi: 10.1016/j.ajkd.2003.07.016

6. Han SH, Han DS. Nutrition in patients on peritoneal dialysis. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* (2012) 8:163–75. doi: 10.1038/nrneph.2012.12

7. Hara H, Nakamura Y, Hatano M, Iwashita T, Shimizu T, Ogawa T, et al. Protein energy wasting and sarcopenia in Dialysis patients. *Contrib Nephrol.* (2018) 196:243–9. doi: 10.1159/000485729

8. Wathanavasin W, Banjongjit A, Avihingsanon Y, Praditpornsilpa K, Tungsanga K, Eiam-Ong S, et al. Prevalence of sarcopenia and its impact on cardiovascular events and mortality among Dialysis patients: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. *Nutrients*. (2022) 14:4077. doi: 10.3390/nu14194077

 Ng JK, Than WH, Szeto CC. Obesity, weight gain, and fluid overload in peritoneal Dialysis. Front Nephrol. (2022) 2:880097. doi: 10.3389/fneph.2022.880097

10. Than WH, Ng JK, Chan GC, Fung WW, Chow KM, Szeto CC. The change in the prevalence of obesity and new-onset diabetes in Chinese peritoneal dialysis patients over 25 years. *Clin Kidney J.* (2022) 15:70–8. doi: 10.1093/ckj/sfab139

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the National Nature Science Foundation Grant of China (81370864, 81670691) and Shanghai Municipal Education Commission-Gaofeng Clinical Medicine Grant (20152211).

Acknowledgments

We thank all the staff at the Nephrology Department of Renji Hospital in Shanghai, China for their work.

Conflict of interest

DM and YX were employed by Jiangsu Hengrui Pharmaceuticals Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

11. Baumgartner RN, Wayne SJ, Waters DL, Janssen I, Gallagher D, Morley JE. Sarcopenic obesity predicts instrumental activities of daily living disability in the elderly. *Obes Res.* (2004) 12:1995–2004. doi: 10.1038/oby.2004.250

12. Janssen I, Heymsfield SB, Ross R. Low relative skeletal muscle mass (sarcopenia) in older persons is associated with functional impairment and physical disability. J Am Geriatr Soc. (2002) 50:889–96. doi: 10.1046/j.1532-5415.2002.50216.x

13. Malhotra R, Deger SM, Salat H, Bian A, Stewart TG, Booker C, et al. Sarcopenic obesity definitions by body composition and mortality in the hemodialysis patients. *J Ren Nutr.* (2017) 27:84–90. doi: 10.1053/j.jrn.2016.09.010

14. Sabatino A, Avesani CM, Regolisti G, Adinolfi M, Benigno G, Delsante M, et al. Sarcopenic obesity and its relation with muscle quality and mortality in patients on chronic hemodialysis. *Clin Nutr.* (2023) 42:1359–68. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2023.06.032

15. Koppie TM, Serio AM, Vickers AJ, Vora K, Dalbagni G, Donat SM, et al. Ageadjusted Charlson comorbidity score is associated with treatment decisions and clinical outcomes for patients undergoing radical cystectomy for bladder cancer. *Cancer*. (2008) 112:2384–92. doi: 10.1002/cncr.23462

16. Twardowski ZJ. Clinical value of standardized equilibration tests in CAPD patients. *Blood Purif.* (1989) 7:95–108. doi: 10.1159/000169582

17. Nolph KD, Moore HL, Twardowski ZJ, Khanna R, Prowant B, Meyer M, et al. Cross-sectional assessment of weekly urea and creatinine clearances in patients on continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. *ASAIO J.* (1992) 38:M139–42. doi: 10.1097/00002480-199207000-00004

18. van Olden RW, Krediet RT, Struijk DG, Arisz L. Measurement of residual renal function in patients treated with continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* (1996) 7:745–50. doi: 10.1681/asn.V75745

19. Randerson DH, Chapman GV, Farrell PC. Amino acid and dietary status in CAPD patients In: RC Atkins, PC Farrell and N Thomson, editors. *Peritoneal dialysis*. Edinburgh: Churchill-Livingstone (1981). 180–91.

20. Kaysen GA, Zhu F, Sarkar S, Heymsfield SB, Wong J, Kaitwatcharachai C, et al. Estimation of total-body and limb muscle mass in hemodialysis patients by using

multifrequency bioimpedance spectroscopy. Am J Clin Nutr. (2005) 82:988–95. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/82.5.988

21. Chen LK, Liu LK, Woo J, Assantachai P, Auyeung TW, Bahyah KS, et al. Sarcopenia in Asia: consensus report of the Asian working Group for Sarcopenia. *J Am Med Dir Assoc.* (2014) 15:95–101. doi: 10.1016/j.jamda.2013.11.025

22. Gracia-Iguacel C, Qureshi AR, Avesani CM, Heimbürger O, Huang X, Lindholm B, et al. Subclinical versus overt obesity in dialysis patients: more than meets the eye. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* (2013) 28:iv175–81. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gft024

23. Wu J, Guan J, Lin S, Wu X, Ding M, Ren Z, et al. Prediction of sarcopenia among peritoneal dialysis patients using a combination of irisin and phase angle. *Nephrol Ther.* (2023) 19:66–75. doi: 10.1684/ndt.2023.7

24. Abro A, Delicata LA, Vongsanim S, Davenport A. Differences in the prevalence of sarcopenia in peritoneal dialysis patients using hand grip strength and appendicular lean mass: depends upon guideline definitions. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* (2018) 72:993–9. doi: 10.1038/s41430-018-0238-3

25. da Silva MZC, Vogt BP, Reis N, Caramori JCT. Update of the European consensus on sarcopenia: what has changed in diagnosis and prevalence in peritoneal dialysis? *Eur J Clin Nutr.* (2019) 73:1209–11. doi: 10.1038/s41430-019-0468-z

26. Lin YL, Wang CH, Tsai JP, Chen CT, Chen YH, Hung SC, et al. A comparison of SARC-F, calf circumference, and their combination for sarcopenia screening among patients undergoing peritoneal Dialysis. *Nutrients*. (2022) 14:923. doi: 10.3390/nu14050923

27. Yoowannakul S, Tangvoraphonkchai K, Davenport A. The prevalence of muscle wasting (sarcopenia) in peritoneal dialysis patients varies with ethnicity due to differences in muscle mass measured by bioimpedance. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* (2018) 72:381–7. doi: 10.1038/s41430-017-0033-6

28. Lombardo M, Boaria A, Aulisa G, Padua E, Annino G, Pratesi A, et al. Sarcopenic obesity: etiology and lifestyle therapy. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* (2019) 23:7152–62. doi: 10.26355/eurrev_201908_18761

29. Cheng LT, Tang W, Wang T. Strong association between volume status and nutritional status in peritoneal dialysis patients. *Am J Kidney Dis.* (2005) 45:891–902. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2005.01.037

30. Tanaka H, Kitamura G, Nankaku M, Taniguchi M, Shide K, Fujita M, et al. Association of Physical Activity and Nutritional Intake with muscle quantity and quality changes in acute stroke patients. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis.* (2022) 31:106442. doi: 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2022.106442

31. Dekker MJ, Marcelli D, Canaud BJ, Carioni P, Wang Y, Grassmann A, et al. Impact of fluid status and inflammation and their interaction on survival: a study in an international hemodialysis patient cohort. *Kidney Int.* (2017) 91:1214–23. doi: 10.1016/j. kint.2016.12.008

32. Do JY, Kang SH. Association between low handgrip strength and obesity with mortality in peritoneal dialysis patients. Sci Rep. (2023) 13:1852. doi: 10.1038/s41598-023-28708-8

33. Atkins JL, Wannamathee SG. Sarcopenic obesity in ageing: cardiovascular outcomes and mortality. *Br J Nutr*. (2020) 124:1102–13. doi: 10.1017/s0007114520002172

34. Park J, Ahmadi SF, Streja E, Molnar MZ, Flegal KM, Gillen D, et al. Obesity paradox in end-stage kidney disease patients. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis.* (2014) 56:415–25. doi: 10.1016/j.pcad.2013.10.005

35. Kalantar-Zadeh K, Kopple JD, Kilpatrick RD, McAllister CJ, Shinaberger CS, Gjertson DW, et al. Association of morbid obesity and weight change over time with cardiovascular survival in hemodialysis population. *Am J Kidney Dis.* (2005) 46:489–500. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2005.05.020

36. Beberashvili I, Azar A, Khatib A, Abu Hamad R, Neheman A, Efrati S, et al. Sarcopenic obesity versus nonobese sarcopenia in hemodialysis patients: differences in nutritional status, quality of life, and clinical outcomes. *J Ren Nutr.* (2023) 33:147–56. doi: 10.1053/j.jrn.2022.05.003

37. Park J. Kidney disease and obesity paradox. *Kidney Res Clin Pract*. (2017) 36:1–2. doi: 10.23876/j.krcp.2017.36.1.1

38. Kalantar-Zadeh K, Kuwae N, Wu DY, Shantouf RS, Fouque D, Anker SD, et al. Associations of body fat and its changes over time with quality of life and prospective mortality in hemodialysis patients. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2006) 83:202–10. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/83.2.202

39. Battaglia Y, Ullo I, Massarenti S, Esposito P, Prencipe M, Ciancio G, et al. Ultrasonography of quadriceps Femoris muscle and subcutaneous fat tissue and body composition by BIVA in chronic Dialysis patients. *Nutrients*. (2020) 12:1388. doi: 10.3390/nu12051388

40. Sabatino A, Regolisti G, Delsante M, Di Motta T, Cantarelli C, Pioli S, et al. Noninvasive evaluation of muscle mass by ultrasonography of quadriceps femoris muscle in end-stage renal disease patients on hemodialysis. *Clin Nutr.* (2019) 38:1232–9. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2018.05.004

Glossary

PD	peritoneal dialysis
ESKD	end-stage kidney disease
HD	hemodialysis
aCCI	age-adjusted Charlson comorbidity index
BMI	body mass index
CVD	cardiovascular disease
FBG	fasting blood glucose
HbA1c	glycated hemoglobin
LDL-c	low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol
HDL-c	high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol
hsCRP	high sensitivity C-reactive protein
D/Pcr	dialysate-to-plasma concentration ratio for creatinine
Kt/V _{urea}	weekly total urea clearance
Ccr	creatinine clearance
RRF	residual renal function
nPCR	normalized protein catabolic rate
ECW	extracellular water
ICW	intracellular water
FTM	fat tissue mass
ASMI	appendicular skeletal muscle mass index
PBF	percentage of body fat
HGS	handgrip strength
AWGS	Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia
CICR	cumulative incidence competing risk
OR	odds ratio
CI	confidence interval
cs-HR	cause-specific hazard ratio
sd-HR	subdistribution hazard ratio