Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Ronaldo Go, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, United States

REVIEWED BY Stelvio Tonello, University of Eastern Piedmont, Italy Themba Nyirenda, Seton Hall University, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Dang Lin ⊠ Danglin4067@163.com Tangfeng Lv ⊠ bairoushui@163.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 06 May 2024 ACCEPTED 30 July 2024 PUBLISHED 09 August 2024

#### CITATION

Xu W, Zeng Y, Han H, Lv T and Lin D (2024) The role of methylprednisolone in severe COVID-19 patients: a meta-analysis. *Front. Med.* 11:1428581. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2024.1428581

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Xu, Zeng, Han, Lv and Lin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# The role of methylprednisolone in severe COVID-19 patients: a meta-analysis

Wanru Xu<sup>1†</sup>, Yujun Zeng<sup>1†</sup>, Hedong Han<sup>2†</sup>, Tangfeng Lv<sup>2\*†</sup> and Dang Lin<sup>1\*†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, The Affiliated Suzhou Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Suzhou Municipal Hospital, Nanjing Medical University, Suzhou, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, Jinling Hospital, School of Medicine, Nanjing University, Nanjing, China

**Background:** The purpose of this study is to assess the effectiveness of methylprednisolone in severe COVID-19.

**Methods:** PubMed, the Cochrane Library and Web of Science were searched for literatures comparing methylprednisolone and control treatment in severe COVID-19 patients. Statistical pooling was reported as risk ratio (RR) with corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI). The outcomes of interest in the literature survey were mortality and adverse events.

**Results:** A total of 13 studies were included, including 3,138 patients with severe COVID-19, of which 1,634 patients were treated with methylprednisolone and 1,504 patients were treated with control treatment. Five of the 13 studies reported severe adverse events. Our meta-analysis indicates that methylprednisolone treatment in COVID-19 patients is associated with a significant reduction in mortality (RR 0.62, 95% CI 0.46–0.85, p = 0.003) compared to control treatment, without an increased risk of adverse events (RR 1.20, 95% CI 0.92–1.56, p = 0.17). Moreover, high-dose methylprednisolone treatment (RR 0.57; 95% CI 0.40–0.82, p = 0.003) and short-course methylprednisolone treatment (RR 0.54; 95% CI 0.38–0.89, p = 0.01) found to significantly reduce mortality. Additionally, it was found that younger severe COVID-19 patients (RR 0.40; 95% CI 0.20–0.80, p = 0.01) had better outcomes to methylprednisolone than older patients.

**Conclusion:** Methylprednisolone was correlated with lower mortality compared with control treatment in severe COVID-19 patients without increasing serious adverse reactions. Furthermore, high-doses and short-term of methylprednisolone treatment were linked with better younger COVID-19 reported higher benefit from methylprednisolone than older COVID-19 patients.

KEYWORDS

COVID-19, severe, methylprednisolone, mortality, adverse event

# **1** Introduction

COVID-19 epidemic rapidly spread worldwide, leading to huge economic, social and health losses. COVID-19, which is a variant of the coronavirus, can result in a range of infection outcomes from asymptomatic carriers to quickly progressing life-threatening disease (such as ARDS) with a high mortality rate (1), primarily affecting older adults with

chronic underlying conditions (2). Glucocorticoids have been widely used in treating severe COVID-19, but there are conflicting researches about their effectiveness in treating SARS-CoV-2 infection. Some studies suggest that glucocorticoids should not be used regularly to treat COVID-19 (3). However, the RECOVERY trial reported that dexamethasone reduced the 28-day mortality in COVID-19 patients (4), providing evidence in support of systemic corticosteroid use. Nevertheless, recent studies suggest that methylprednisolone may have a better curative effect in severe COVID-19 patients (5). However, there are still no clear guidelines on the proper dosage, duration, and treatment period of methylprednisolone. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to explore the efficacy of methylprednisolone in treating COVID-19, followed by a meta-analysis of relevant literature.

# 2 Methods

#### 2.1 Literature search

According to the Cochrane scheme, two authors searched all published articles in PubMed, the Cochrane Library and Web of Science databases by combining subject headings with free words from December 2019 to March 10, 2023. The search terms were "glucocorticoid" or "corticosteroid" or "Methylprednisolone" AND "COVID-19" or "SARS-COV-2." Additionally, we screened the references of correlated articles.

## 2.2 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) Subjects: Patients who met the diagnostic criteria for severe or critical COVID-19 (2). (2) Type of study: randomized controlled study, cohort study and casecontrol study. (3) Intervention measures: the Methylprednisolone group received conventional treatment along with methylprednisolone, the control group was only used with conventional treatment. There were no restrictions on the dose or administration method of methylprednisolone for inclusion in the study. (4) Study results: the primary outcome was mortality, and the secondary outcome was adverse event incidence.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) patients who did not have severe COVID-19 or were not treated with methylprednisolone. (2) Literature review, systematic review, meta-analysis, case reports, animal tests, guidelines, etc. (3) Literature with repeated publication, incomplete data and unrigorous experiments. (4) Mortality was not reported in the Results section. (5) Control group was not established in studies.

#### 2.3 Data extraction

Two researchers independently reviewed the titles and abstracts of literatures to identify possible related studies. After a thorough text review, relevant literature was included and data was collected. Any disputes between the two researchers were resolved with the assistance of the third researcher. The data extracted included baseline information such as author, publication year, study interval, sample size, and population characteristics. Additionally, intervention measures including methylprednisolone prescription were recorded, along with study endpoint and results measurement data.

#### 2.4 Risk assessment

The Risk of Bias tool suggested by the Cochrane Handbook 5.1.0 was utilized to assess the bias risk for the included RCTs. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) was used to assess the quality of the included cohort and case–control studies, which consists of 3 main aspects: study selection (0–4 points), comparability (0–2 points), and exposure factors or outcomes (0–4 points). A total score of 8 or 9 was assessed as good quality, 6 or 7 as fair quality, and  $\leq$ 5 as poor quality. The above steps were independently evaluated and cross-checked by 2 investigators, and if discussions failed to reach a consensus, they were discussed by both parties or resolved after conferring with a third investigator.

# 2.5 Statistical analysis

Review Manager 5.4.1 was utilized for the statistical analysis. Risk ratios (RR) and their associated 95% confidence intervals (CI) were used to evaluate dichotomous data. Heterogeneity was determined quantitatively by  $X^2$  test and  $I^2$ . If p > 0.1 and  $I^2 < 50\%$ , no heterogeneity was considered and fixed effects model was adopted. If p < 0.1 or  $I^2 > 50\%$ , significant heterogeneity was suspected, thus implementing a random effects model for analysis. Besides, a sensitivity analysis and subgroup analysis were conducted to further examine the factors of the heterogeneity. Additionally, Stata 15.0 was utilized to carry out the Egger test, Begg test, cut-and-patch method, and funnel plot that collectively evaluated publication bias. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

# **3** Results

## 3.1 Search results

The flow chart of the literature search is shown in Supplementary Figure S1. Our study consisted of a total of 13 eligible studies (1, 6-17), consisting of 10 observational studies and three randomized controlled trials. Three thousand one hundred thirty-eight patients with severe COVID-19 were reviewed, of whom 1,634 in the control group and 1,504 in the methylprednisolone group. All studies reported mortality data, while five studies reported serious adverse events. The baseline characteristics of the included studies are displayed in Supplementary Table S1.

## 3.2 Quality evaluation of research literature

The risk assessment methods recommended by the Cochrane Collaboration were utilized to assess the quality of RCTs (Supplementary Figure S2). Meanwhile, the observational studies were rated using the NOS, with scores ranging from 6 to 8 points, indicating an overall good quality (Supplementary Table S2).

#### 3.3 Meta-analysis

#### 3.3.1 Main results

In all 13 studies reviewed, among the 3,138 severe COVID-19 patients evaluated, 530 (35.2%) of 1,504 severe patients who received methylprednisolone died, compared to 623 (38.1%) of 1,634 patients who did not receive methylprednisolone. The result of the meta-analysis suggest that the use of methylprednisolone significantly reduced the death rate of patients with severe COVID-19 (RR 0.62, 95%CI 0.46–0.85, p < 0.01), as shown in Figure 1.

#### 3.3.2 Secondary results

Our meta-analysis identified five studies that reported data on severe adverse reactions following treatment in both the methylprednisolone and control groups. Serious adverse reactions occurred at a rate of 24.5% (74/302) in the methylprednisolone group and 23.1% (72/312) in the control group. The most commonly reported severe adverse reactions were sepsis and gastrointestinal bleeding. However, there was not a significant distinction between the methylprednisolone group and the control group in the probability of developing severe adverse events (RR 1.20, 95%CI 0.92–1.56, p=0.17), as shown in Figure 2.

#### 3.3.3 Subgroup analysis

In this study, we analyzed different doses of methylprednisolone according to the dosage used. In the study of I. DUMAN, the methylprednisolone group has been divided into a low-dose group and a pulse group. Therefore, nine studies involved high-dose methylprednisolone (methylprednisolone  $\geq 1.0 \text{ mg/kg/d}$ ) and five studies involved low-dose methylprednisolone (methylprednisolone < 1.0 mg/kg/d). Notably, high-dose methylprednisolone was significantly associated with reduced mortality of severe COVID-19 patients (RR 0.57; 95% CI 0.40–0.82, p = 0.003), as shown in Figure 3. However, patients with severe COVID-19 mortality did not significantly decrease in the low-dose methylprednisolone group (RR 0.81; 95% CI 0.51–1.28, p = 0.36).

The study included subgroup analyses based on the methylprednisolone treatment course among which one of the studies did not report this information for severe COVID-19 patients, and the

remaining 12 studies were divided into long course, medium course and short course according to the treatment time of methylprednisolone. Three studies were classified as long-term treatment ( $\geq$ 10 days), six studies as short-term treatment ( $\leq$ 5 days), and three studies as medium-term treatment (>5 days, <10 days). The results showed that the long course of methylprednisolone, (RR 0.54; 95% CI 0.22–1.30, p=0.17) moderate treatment (RR 1.11; 95% CI 0.67–1.83, p=0.70) had no significant correlation with the mortality of severe patients. Whereas, short course of methylprednisolone significantly reduced the mortality of patients with severe COVID-19 (RR 0.54; 95% CI 0.38–0.89, p=0.01). The result is shown in Figure 4.

Subgroup analysis was carried out in terms of the median age of patients. Eight studies were elderly ( $\geq$ 60 years) and five studies were young adults (<60 years). The results showed that young patients (<60 years) had a significantly lower mortality (RR 0.40; 95% CI 0.20– 0.80, p=0.01), whereas the elderly group ( $\geq$ 60 years) did not show a notable connection with reduced mortality (RR 0.81; 95% CI 0.99– 1.09, p=0.15). The result is shown in Figure 5.

Besides, we performed subgroup analyses by study type, geographic locations and sample size (Supplementary Table S3). The analyses revealed that in observational studies, methylprednisolone reduced mortality among patients with severe COVID-19. Furthermore, the results indicated there were no notable differences in study findings based on geographic location or sample size.

## 3.4 Sensitivity analysis and publication bias

The 'leave-one-out' method was used to conduct the sensitivity analysis of the study. Sensitivity analysis showed that the aggregate effect size remained unaffected when each study was in turn removed, suggesting that the results were stable.

The funnel plot of the study (see Supplementary Figure S3) showed poor symmetry, as evidenced by the Egger's test *p*-value of 0.02. This suggests the potential for publication bias in reporting results. However, the *p*-value of Begg's test was 0.13, and the effect values were adjusted using the cut-and-patch method. The results demonstrated that they were consistent with the pre-correction values, supporting the stability of the results.



	Experime		Contr			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Brian C. Nelson2021	26	48	32	69	40.0%	1.17 [0.81, 1.68]	
Francesco Salton2020	29	83	30	90	43.9%	1.05 [0.69, 1.59]	+
Giorgio Bozzi2020	9	65	4	55	6.6%	1.90 [0.62, 5.85]	
Maryam Edalatifard2020	2	34	2	28	3.3%	0.82 [0.12, 5.48]	
Mónica 2021	8	72	4	70	6.2%	1.94 [0.61, 6.17]	
Total (95% CI)		302		312	100.0%	1.20 [0.92, 1.56]	•
Total events	74		72				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1.91	, df = 4 (P =	0.75);1	²=0%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 1	36 (P = 0 ·	17)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

FIGURE 2

Forest plot of the serve advent events rate of serve COVID-19 patients in Methylprednisolone group vs. Control group.

Author Subgroup	Methylprednis Events		Contr		Moinht	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% Cl	Risk Ratio M-H. Random, 95% Cl
<u>Study or Subgroup</u> 5.1.1 low-dose Methylprednisolone(<1.(		Total	Events	Total	weight	M-H, Kanuom, 95% CI	M-H, Kandom, 95% Ci
		404	70	400	0.000	0.07/0.76 4.061	
Christiane Maria Prado Jeronimo2020	72	194	76	199	9.9%	0.97 [0.75, 1.25]	1
DUMAN2022 low-dose	217	330	259	413	10.8%	1.05 [0.94, 1.17]	
orenzo Porta2022	26	71	52	240	8.7%	1.69 [1.15, 2.49]	
Nónica 2021	4	72	9	70	3.4%	0.43 [0.14, 1.34]	
Rongrong Yang2020	32	140	26	36	9.0%	0.32 [0.22, 0.46]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		807		958	41.8%	0.81 [0.51, 1.28]	
Total events	351		422				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.23; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 47.79,		001); I*=	92%				
fest for overall effect: Z = 0.91 (P = 0.36)							
.1.2 high-dose Methylprednisolone(≥	1.0mg/kg/d)						
likaterini Papamanoli2021	37	153	65	294	9.1%	1.09 [0.77, 1.56]	+
Brian C. Nelson2021	10	48	20	69	6.3%	0.72 [0.37, 1.40]	
)ujana Mostafa Hamed2021	3	49	5	27	2.6%	0.33 [0.09, 1.28]	
rancesco Salton2020	6	83	21	90	4.9%	0.31 [0.13, 0.73]	
Fiorgio Bozzi2020	9	65	20	55	6.0%	0.38 [0.19, 0.77]	
DUMAN2022 pulse-dose	77	124	259	413	10.6%	0.99 [0.85, 1.16]	+
lustine J. Ko 2021	17	104	31	75	7.6%	0.40 [0.24, 0.66]	
/aryam Edalatifard2020	2	34	12	28	2.5%	0.14 [0.03, 0.56]	
Subodh J Saggi2020	18	37	27	38	8.7%	0.68 [0.46, 1.01]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)		697		1089	58.2%	0.57 [0.40, 0.82]	•
Total events	179		460				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.20; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 37.10,	df = 8 (P < 0.00	01); I <sup>2</sup> = 7	'8%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.02 (P = 0.003	3)						
otal (95% CI)		1504		2047	100.0%	0.67 [0.52, 0.87]	◆
otal events	530		882				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.15; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 88.11,	df = 13 (P < 0.0	0001); I <sup>2</sup> :	= 85%				0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Fest for overall effect: Z = 3.06 (P = 0.002							
est for subαroup differences: Chi² = 1.2	9. df = 1 (P = 0.1	26), <b>P</b> = 23	2.8%				Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
E 3							

# **4** Discussion

We analyzed data from 13 studies comprising 3,138 patients to evaluate the effectiveness of methylprednisolone in severe COVID-19 patients. We also analyzed data from 5 studies assessing the risk of severe adverse reactions associated with methylprednisolone treatment for COVID-19 patients. Our findings suggest that methylprednisolone administration in treating severe COVID-19 patients is advantageous, leading to reduced mortality rates without increasing the risk of serious adverse reactions when compared to the treatment without glucocorticoids.

The COVID-19 pandemic has swept the globe, seriously affecting human health and life. Studies suggested that the treatment of corticosteroids could reduce mortality rates among severe COVID-19 patients. However, the results have been inconsistent. Research indicates that after infecting host cells, SARS-CoV-2 causes endothelial and alveolar cell inflammation and injury by inducing immunological and inflammatory responses (18, 19). Particularly, Severe COVID-19 patients have depleted antiviral defense systems, leading to life-threatening systemic inflammatory responses (15). Then viral 'sepsis' may occur with severe complications, including inflammatory lung injury, acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), different organ failures, and ultimately death (18, 20). Corticosteroid as a classic immunosuppressive drug could delay or prevent pneumonia progression and improve ARDS (21). At the same time, corticosteroid as an anti-inflammatory agent could reduce serum levels of proinflammatory mediators, suppress lung and systemic inflammatory responses, and rapidly improve lung damage, thereby reducing mortality rates (18). Nevertheless, some studies indicate that glucocorticoid may fail to reduce mortality rates and would result in serious adverse reactions, such as

	Methylprednis	olone	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
1.1.1 >5days,<10days							
Dujana Mostafa Hamed2021	3	49	5	27	3.2%	0.33 [0.09, 1.28]	
. DUMAN2022	294	454	259	413	13.7%	1.03 [0.93, 1.14]	<u>†</u>
_orenzo Porta2022	26	71	52	240	10.9%	1.69 [1.15, 2.49]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		574		680	27.8%	1.11 [0.67, 1.83]	<b>•</b>
Fotal events	323		316				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.13; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 8.67, c Fest for overall effect: Z = 0.39 (P = 0.70)	if = 2 (P = 0.01);	I² = 77%					
1.1.2 ≪5days							
Brian C. Nelson2021	10	48	20	69	7.7%	0.72 [0.37, 1.40]	
Christiane Maria Prado Jeronimo2020	72	194	76	199	12.4%	0.97 [0.75, 1.25]	+
Justine J. Ko 2021	17	104	31	75	9.4%	0.40 [0.24, 0.66]	- <b>-</b>
Maryam Edalatifard2020	2	34	12	28	3.0%	0.14 [0.03, 0.56]	
Mónica 2021	4	72	9	70	4.2%	0.43 [0.14, 1.34]	
Subodh J Saggi2020	18	37	27	38	10.9%	0.68 [0.46, 1.01]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)		489		479	47.6%	0.58 [0.38, 0.89]	•
Fotal events	123		175				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.16; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 17.06, Fest for overall effect: Z = 2.53 (P = 0.01)	df = 5 (P = 0.00	4); I² = 71	%				
restrict overall effect. $Z = 2.55 (P = 0.01)$							
I.1.3 ≥10days							
. ,	37	153	65	294	11.3%	1.09 [0.77, 1.56]	+
I.1.3 ≥10days	37 6	153 83	65 21	294 90	11.3% 5.9%	1.09 [0.77, 1.56] 0.31 [0.13, 0.73]	<del>_</del> _
<b>I.1.3</b> ≥ <b>10days</b> Aikaterini Papamanoli2021							
<b>I.1.3</b> ≥ <b>10days</b> Aikaterini Papamanoli2021 Francesco Salton2020	6	83	21	90	5.9%	0.31 [0.13, 0.73]	
<b>I.1.3 ≫10days</b> Ikaterini Papamanoli2021 Francesco Salton2020 Siorgio Bozzi2020	6	83 65	21	90 55	5.9% 7.3%	0.31 [0.13, 0.73] 0.38 [0.19, 0.77]	
<b>I.1.3 ≽10days</b> Alkaterini Papamanoli2021 Francesco Salton2020 Siorgio Bozzi2020 Subtotal (95% CI)	6 9 52	83 65 <b>301</b>	21 20 106	90 55	5.9% 7.3%	0.31 [0.13, 0.73] 0.38 [0.19, 0.77]	
<ul> <li>Iddays</li> <li>Natarini Papamanoli2021</li> <li>Francesco Salton2020</li> <li>Subtotal (95% CI)</li> <li>Fotal events</li> <li>Heterogeneity: Tau<sup>2</sup> = 0.50; Chi<sup>2</sup> = 12.19,</li> <li>Fest for overall effect: Z = 1.38 (P = 0.17)</li> <li>Fotal (95% CI)</li> </ul>	6 9 52	83 65 <b>301</b>	21 20 106	90 55 439	5.9% 7.3%	0.31 [0.13, 0.73] 0.38 [0.19, 0.77]	•
<b>I.1.3 ≫10days</b> Aikaterini Papamanoli2021 Francesco Salton2020 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.50; Chi² = 12.19, Fest for overall effect: Z = 1.38 (P = 0.17)	6 9 52	83 65 <b>301</b> 2); I <sup>2</sup> = 84	21 20 106	90 55 439	5.9% 7.3% 24.6%	0.31 [0.13] 0.73] 0.38 [0.19, 0.77] <b>0.54 [0.22, 1.30]</b>	•

Forest plot of the mortality among patients with severe COVID-19 in different time of methylprednisolone administration.

	Methylprednis	solone	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
6.1.1 ≥60 years							
Aikaterini Papamanoli2021	37	153	65	294	9.7%	1.09 [0.77, 1.56]	
Brian C. Nelson2021	10	48	20	69	7.3%	0.72 [0.37, 1.40]	
Francesco Salton2020	6	83	21	90	6.0%	0.31 [0.13, 0.73]	
Giorgio Bozzi2020	9	65	20	55	7.1%	0.38 [0.19, 0.77]	
I. DUMAN2022	294	454	259	413	11.0%	1.03 [0.93, 1.14]	+
Lorenzo Porta2022	26	71	52	240	9.5%	1.69 [1.15, 2.49]	
Mónica 2021	4	72	9	70	4.4%	0.43 [0.14, 1.34]	
Subodh J Saggi2020	18	37	27	38	9.5%	0.68 [0.46, 1.01]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		983		1269	64.6%	0.80 [0.59, 1.09]	•
Total events	404		473				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.12; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 29.49,	df = 7 (P = 0.00	01); I <sup>2</sup> = 7	'6%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.43 (P = 0.15)							
6.1.2 <60years							
Christiane Maria Prado Jeronimo2020	72	194	76	199	10.4%	0.97 [0.75, 1.25]	+
Dujana Mostafa Hamed2021	3	49	5	27	3.5%	0.33 [0.09, 1.28]	
Justine J. Ko 2021	17	104	31	75	8.5%	0.40 [0.24, 0.66]	_ <b>—</b>
Maryam Edalatifard2020	2	34	12	28	3.3%	0.14 [0.03, 0.56]	
Rongrong Yang2020	32	140	26	36	9.6%	0.32 [0.22, 0.46]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		521		365	35.4%	0.40 [0.20, 0.80]	-
Total events	126		150				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.45; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 33.05,		001); I <sup>2</sup> =	88%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.59 (P = 0.009	1)						
Total (95% CI)		1504		1634	100.0%	0.62 [0.46, 0.85]	•
Total events	530		623				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.22; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 86.50,	df = 12 (P < 0.0	0001); l²	= 86%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.01 (P = 0.003	6)						Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
Test for subaroup differences: Chi² = 3.1	7. df = 1 (P = 0.0	08), I <sup>2</sup> = 6	8.4%				r avours (experimental) i avours (control)
RE 5							

secondary infections that could worsen the disease. The RECOVERY trial demonstrated glucocorticoid advantages in severe COVID-19 treatment (4). However, this study used

dexamethasone, which has been reported that has lower *in vitro* responses than methylprednisolone, the preferred type of glucocorticoid for treating lung diseases like acute rejection of

lung transplantation and pneumonia caused by pneumonia (10, 22). A study by Ko et al. (10) comparing methylprednisolone and dexamethasone found that methylprednisolone was superior to than dexamethasone in reducing mortality rates among patients with severe COVID-19. Severe COVID-19 patients may experience rapidly developing lung injury, leading to high mortality rates. Methylprednisolone could have higher lung penetration than dexamethasone, contributing to its better effectiveness among severe COVID-19 patients.

The prospective study by Lorenzo Porta et al. demonstrated that routine use of methylprednisolone could not be effective in lowering short-term mortality in patients, specifically those with cardiovascular or respiratory complications, and may raise the likelihood of shock or acute respiratory failure (13). Conversely, the retrospective study found that intravenous methylprednisolone effectively reduced mortality among COVID-19-induced ARDS patients, while also improving the likelihood of recovering renal and lung function (14). In a meta-analysis similar to our own, methylprednisolone decreased short-term mortality, the requirement for mechanical ventilation, and ICU admission, but increased the viral clearance time in COVID-19 patients (22). However this analysis encompassed patients with mild, moderate, severe, and critical illness. Our meta-analysis also supports that methylprednisolone could be used to treat severe COVID-19 patients, since it significantly reduces mortality without increasing the occurrence of serious adverse reactions.

Currently, several studies have showed methylprednisolone could be an effective treatment for severe COVID-19 and may help reduce mortality rates. However, there is currently a lack of clear guidelines regarding the most appropriate therapeutic dosage, course, and duration of methylprednisolone. To address these issues, we performed subgroup analysis of the methylprednisolone dosage and course, examining the effects of varying quantities of the drug on severe COVID-19 patients. The result of subgroup suggested that doses greater than 1.0 mg/kg/d of methylprednisolone were associated with reduced mortality among severe patients. Notably, the retrospective study by Papamanoli et al. (12) also found that high-dose methylprednisolone treatment lowered mortality rate and mechanical ventilation among severe patients. Previous research has demonstrated that the treatment of lung diseases often requires a direct effect of high-dose glucocorticoids on cell membrane-related proteins, which could potentially offer greater efficacy than lower doses of methylprednisolone (10, 23). However, some studies do not advocate the use of high-dose glucocorticoids, possibly considering that high-dose glucocorticoids are more likely to cause serious adverse effects (24). In subgroup analysis based on the duration of methylprednisolone, the use of short-term glucocorticoids  $(\leq 5 \text{ days})$  showed a significant reduction in mortality. Short-term use of glucocorticoids (3-5 days) was also suggested by Parasher (25) for COVID-19 patients who deteriorate based on oxygenation index, rapid imaging progress, and excessive inflammatory response. In contrast, Salton's study found that early administration of low-dose (80 mg/d) methylprednisolone for a duration longer than 7 days was related with lower mortality and reduced dependence on ventilator (15). Our study had limited

literature, only a few studies included for 5–10 days or  $\geq 10$  days and this may have produced biased outcomes. Further prospective studies are required to precisely compare the timing of methylprednisolone use. Our subgroup analysis based on patient age showed that methylprednisolone produced better outcomes in severe COVID-19 patients aged below 60 years old. This may be due to the frequent occurrence of underlying diseases and complications among elderly patients, leading to multiple confounding factors that could affect patient prognosis. Although the optimal timing of hormone administration remains controversial, the lack of available literature in our analysis prevented a detailed assessment of methylprednisolone initiation time. Thus, more rigorous studies are needed to clarify the indications, optimal initiation time, and appropriate duration of glucocorticoid therapy.

Our meta-analysis also has some limitations. First, our study consisted of mostly retrospective studies and further prospective studies are still urgently needed. Secondly, owing to the high heterogeneity of data analysis, the possibility of migration is high. To mitigate this concern, sensitivity analysis and subgroup analysis were performed to minimize the impact of such variability. Thirdly, the definition and reporting of serious adverse events vary between trials. Furthermore, only a few of the included studies provided records of serious adverse events.

In conclusion, in severe COVID-19 patients, our analysis shows that the use of methylprednisolone is associated with reduced mortality when compared to conventional treatment. Furthermore, our analysis confirmed that high doses and short courses of methylprednisolone were significantly associated with increased mortality. In the clinic, Short-term high dose methylprednisolone treatment could be administered to the severe COVID-19 patients.

## Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

## Author contributions

WX: Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft. YZ: Data curation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. HH: Conceptualization, Data curation, Methodology, Software, Validation, Writing – original draft. TL: Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing. DL: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Validation.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by The Clinical Research Project of Jinling Hospital (No. 22LCYY-QH7) and The Research Project of Jiangsu Provincial Health Commission (ZD2022029).

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

# References

 Jeronimo CMP, Farias MEL, Val FFA, Sampaio VS, Alexandre MAA, Melo GC, et al. Methylprednisolone as adjunctive therapy for patients hospitalized with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19; Metcovid): a randomized, double-blind, phase Iib, placebocontrolled trial. *Clin Infect Dis*. (2021) 72:e373–81. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa1177

2. Berlin DA, Gulick RM, Martinez FJ. Severe COVID-19 [J]. N Engl J Med. (2020) 383:2451–60. doi: 10.1056/NEJMcp2009575

3. Li Y, Meng Q, Rao X, Wang B, Zhang X, Dong F, et al. Corticosteroid therapy in critically ill patients with COVID-19: a multicenter, retrospective study. *Crit Care.* (2020) 24:698. doi: 10.1186/s13054-020-03429-w

4. RECOVERY Collaborative GroupHorby P, Lim WS, Emberson JR, Mafham M, Bell JL, et al. Dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. *N Engl J Med.* (2021) 384:693–704. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2021436

 Dafni M, Karampeli M, Michelakis I, Manta A, Spanoudaki A, Mantzos D, et al. Treatment with 3-day methylprednisolone pulses in severe cases of COVID-19 compared with the standard regimen protocol of dexamethasone [J]. J Investig Med. (2022) 70:1423–8. doi: 10.1136/jim-2021-002274

6. Bozzi G, Mangioni D, Minoia F, Aliberti S, Grasselli G, Barbetta L, et al. Anakinra combined with methylprednisolone in patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia and hyperinflammation: an observational cohort study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2021) 147:561–566.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2020.11.006

7. Duman I, Celik JB, Iyisoy MS, Degirmencioglu S, Korkmaz A, Duman A. Evaluation of personalized methylprednisolone therapy in critically ill COVID-19 patients: an observational comparative study using real-life data [J]. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* (2022) 26:4497–508. doi: 10.26355/eurrev\_202206\_29089

 Edalatifard M, Akhtari M, Salehi M, Naderi Z, Jamshidi A, Mostafaei S, et al. Intravenous methylprednisolone pulse as a treatment for hospitalised severe COVID-19 patients: results from a randomised controlled clinical trial. *Eur Respir J.* (2020) 56:2002808. doi: 10.1183/13993003.02808-2020

9. Hamed DM, Belhoul KM, al Maazmi NA, Ghayoor F, Moin M, al Suwaidi M, et al. Intravenous methylprednisolone with or without tocilizumab in patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia requiring oxygen support: a prospective comparison. J Infect Public Health. (2021) 14:985–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jiph.2021.06.003

10. Ko JJ, Wu C, Mehta N, Wald-Dickler N, Yang W, Qiao R. A comparison of methylprednisolone and dexamethasone in intensive care patients with COVID-19. J Intensive Care Med. (2021) 36:673–80. doi: 10.1177/0885066621994057

11. Nelson BC, Laracy J, Shoucri S, Dietz D, Zucker J, Patel N, et al. Clinical outcomes associated with methylprednisolone in mechanically ventilated patients with COVID-19. *Clin Infect Dis.* (2021) 72:e367–72. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa1163

12. Papamanoli A, Yoo J, Grewal P, Predun W, Hotelling J, Jacob R, et al. High-dose methylprednisolone in non-intubated patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia. *Eur J Clin Investig.* (2021) 51:e13458. doi: 10.1111/eci.13458

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed.2024.1428581/ full#supplementary-material

13. Porta L, Huang SS, Wei C, Su CH, Hsu WT, Sheng WH, et al. Effect of methylprednisolone treatment on COVID-19: an inverse probability of treatment weighting analysis. *PLoS One*. (2022) 17:e0266901. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0266901

14. Saggi SJ, Nath S, Culas R, Chittalae S, Burza A, Srinivasan M, et al. Early experience with methylprednisolone on Sars-CoV-2 infection in the African American population, a retrospective analysis. *Clin Med Insights Circ Respir Pulm Med.* (2020) 14:117954842098069. doi: 10.1177/1179548420980699

15. Salton F, Confalonieri P, Meduri GU, Santus P, Harari S, Scala R, et al. Prolonged low-dose methylprednisolone in patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia [J]. Open forum. *Infect Dis.* (2020) 7:ofaa421. doi: 10.1093/ofid/ofaa421

16. Yang R, Xiong Y, Ke H, Chen T, Gao S. The role of methylprednisolone on preventing disease progression for hospitalized patients with severe COVID-19. *Eur J Clin Investig.* (2020) 50:e13412. doi: 10.1111/eci.13412

17. Climente-Martí M, Ruiz-Millo O, López-Cruz I, Atienza-García Á, Martínez-Moragón E, Garijo-Gómez E, et al. Impact of intermediate to high doses of methylprednisolone on mortality rate in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia-induced severe systemic inflammation. *Int J Clin Pract.* (2021) 75:e14479. doi: 10.1111/ijcp.14479

18. Crisan Dabija R, Antohe I, Trofor A, Antoniu SA. Corticosteroids in Sars-Cov2 infection: certainties and uncertainties in clinical practice. *Expert Rev Anti-Infect Ther.* (2021) 19:1553–62. doi: 10.1080/14787210.2021.1933437

19. Wang X, Wen D, He Q, Yang J, You C, Tao C, et al. Effect of corticosteroids in patients with COVID-19: a Bayesian network meta-analysis. *Int J Infect Dis.* (2022) 125:84–92. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2022.10.021

20. Shah VK, Firmal P, Alam A, Ganguly D, Chattopadhyay S. Overview of immune response during Sars-CoV-2 infection: lessons from the past. *Front Immunol.* (1949) 11:11. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.01949

21. Alexaki VI, Henneicke H. The role of glucocorticoids in the Management of COVID-19. *Horm Metab Res.* (2021) 53:9–15. doi: 10.1055/a-1300-2550

22. Hong S, Wang H, Zhang Z, Qiao L. The roles of methylprednisolone treatment in patients with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Steroids*. (2022) 183:109022. doi: 10.1016/j.steroids.2022.109022

23. Solinas C, Perra L, Aiello M, Migliori E, Petrosillo N. A critical evaluation of glucocorticoids in the management of severe COVID-19. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* (2020) 54:8–23. doi: 10.1016/j.cytogfr.2020.06.012

24. Mattos-Silva P, Felix NS, Silva PL, Robba C, Battaglini D, Pelosi P, et al. Pros and cons of corticosteroid therapy for COVID-19 patients. *Respir Physiol Neurobiol.* (2020) 280:103492. doi: 10.1016/j.resp.2020.103492

25. Parasher A. COVID-19: current understanding of its pathophysiology, clinical presentation and treatment. *Postgrad Med J.* (2021) 97:312–20. doi: 10.1136/postgradmedj-2020-138577