Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Oleh Andrukhov, Medical University of Vienna, Austria

REVIEWED BY Swapnil Ganesh Sanmukh, Université Clermont Auvergne, France Aeryun Kim, Yonsei University, Republic of Korea Sema S. Hakki, Selçuk University, Türkiye

*CORRESPONDENCE Benedikt Eggers ⊠ benedikt.eggers@ukbonn.de

 $^{\dagger}\mbox{These}$ authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 03 June 2024 ACCEPTED 29 October 2024 PUBLISHED 19 November 2024

CITATION

Eggers B, Seher L, Marciniak J, Pauck T, Deschner J, Eick S, Stope MB, Kramer F-J, Küchler EC, Kirschneck C, Nokhbehsaim M and Beisel-Memmert S (2024) Beneficial effects of non-invasive physical plasma on human periodontal ligament cells *in vitro*. *Front. Med.* 11:1443368. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2024.1443368

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Eggers, Seher, Marciniak, Pauck, Deschner, Eick, Stope, Kramer, Küchler, Kirschneck, Nokhbehsaim and Beisel-Memmert. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Beneficial effects of non-invasive physical plasma on human periodontal ligament cells *in vitro*

Benedikt Eggers^{1*}, Lennard Seher^{1,2}, Jana Marciniak², Tristan Pauck³, James Deschner⁴, Sigrun Eick⁵, Matthias Bernhard Stope³, Franz-Josef Kramer¹, Erika Calvano Küchler², Christian Kirschneck², Marjan Nokhbehsaim^{6†} and Svenja Beisel-Memmert^{2†}

¹Department of Oral, Maxillofacial and Plastic Surgery, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn, Germany, ²Department of Orthodontics, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn, Germany, ³Department of Gynecology and Gynecological Oncology, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn, Germany, ⁴Department of Periodontology and Operative Dentistry, University Medical Center of the Johannes Gutenberg University, Mainz, Germany, ⁵Department of Periodontology, School of Dental Medicine, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland, ⁶Section of Experimental Dento-Maxillo-Facial Medicine, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn, Germany

Introduction: Periodontitis is a chronic inflammatory disease of the periodontium that can lead to the loss of affected teeth if left untreated. It is induced by a multifactorial process centered on microbial pathogens such as Fusobacterium nucleatum (*F.n.*). Non-invasive physical plasma (NIPP), a highly reactive gas, has become a focus of research, not only for its hemostatic, proliferation-enhancing and apoptotic properties, but also for its antimicrobial potential. The objective of this study was to examine the impact of NIPP on human periodontal ligament (PDL) cells that had been induced into a state of periodontal infection in vitro.

Methods: Initially, the solitary effect of NIPP was evaluated by measuring temperature and pH and analyzing reactive oxygen species (ROS). Additionally, DAPI and phalloidin staining were employed to investigate possible cytotoxic effects. The cells were pre-incubated with *F.n.* and treated with NIPP after 24 hours. Interleukin (IL)-6 and IL-8 were analyzed at mRNA and protein levels, respectively, by real-time PCR and ELISA.

Results: NIPP alone had no significant effect on PDL cells. However, the *F.n.*-induced upregulation of IL-6 and IL-8 was counteracted by NIPP.

Discussion: Thus, the utilization of NIPP may be regarded as a promising therapeutic strategy for the treatment of periodontal diseases.

KEYWORDS

human periodontal ligament cells, periodontology, cold plasma, non-invasive physical plasma, non-thermal plasma, antimicrobial

1 Introduction

Inflammatory diseases of the tooth-supporting tissue (periodontium) affect—depending on the definition—up to 90% of the world's population (1, 2). This includes gingivitis, a reversible form of periodontal disease, but also periodontitis, that is characterized by irreversible bone and attachment loss and continues to pose a major challenge to the dental profession (3).

The periodontium is comprised of several components, including gingiva, bone, root cementum, and the periodontal ligament. Collagen fibers, also known as Sharpey fibers, radiate into the root cementum and alveolar bone on all sides, providing an anchor for the tooth root within the socket and bridging the periodontal ligament space. These collagen fibers are formed by PDL cells, which have different phenotypes, such as fibroblast like, osteoblast like and cementoblast like (4, 5). PDL cells are capable of secreting cytokines and chemokines, thereby contributing to the host's immuno-inflammatory response. Compared to PDL cells from periodontally healthy individuals, inflamed PDL cells show reduced immunomodulatory activity and secrete higher levels of inflammatory cytokines-they also exhibit reduced regenerative potential, such as lower ALP activity (6-8). As a result, these cells influence the course and extent of periodontal diseases, which in the long run leads to the destruction of the tissue (3, 9). However, the rapid degradation of the tissue is primarily mediated by the bacterially induced immunological inflammatory response (10).

In a healthy state, there is an ecological balance between the polymicrobial communities and the host's immune system. However, various destabilizing factors can destroy the homeostatic balance and lead to a shift in the microbial community towards periodontally destructive species, thus triggering periodontitis (11, 12). The most important bacterial species of periodontitis are periodontal pathogens such as *Porphyromonas gingivalis* (*P.g.*), *Tannerella forsynthia* (*T.f.*), *Tannerella denticola* (*T.d.*) or *Fusobacterium nucleatum* (*F.n.*) (13–15).

Mechanical removal of the supra- and subgingival biofilm, i.e. reduction of the bacterial load, is the fundamental part of periodontal treatment (16). This can also be aided by the surgical procedure in which the pockets are reduced or eliminated by means of regenerative or resective surgery. In addition, the use of local or systemic antibiotics can help eliminate pathogens, although this is becoming problematic in the context of increasing antibiotic resistance (17). All in all, the individual optimization of oral hygiene plays an important role in the possible progression of the disease (18, 19). However, the long-term goal of these methods is to stop the progression of the disease and to stabilize the periodontal conditions.

In recent years, non-invasive physical plasma (NIPP) has emerged as a promising new treatment modality, exhibiting potential to modulate the inflammatory response of human cells (20–22). Starting from the states of matter 'solid', 'liquid' and 'gaseous', which can be transformed into each other by altering the pressure and temperature, NIPP is generated from the gaseous state by adding further energy. A special feature of physical plasma, which has been used in industry for many years, is the temperature, which at 37° C to 40° C is within the range of body temperature, allowing it to be used on patients (22). In the course of its use on patients, a wide range of beneficial effects have been described, including antimicrobial, wound healing and hemostatic properties (23–25). Interestingly, NIPP also appears to have an apoptotic effect on some types of cancer cells (26). However, the precise molecular and cellular mode of action of NIPP is not yet known. During application, various highly reactive oxygen species (ROS) are produced, which are presumably responsible for the biomedical effects (27, 28).

The potential of NIPP, particularly in the oral cavity, has yet to be sufficiently researched. If the results of the above-mentioned studies are confirmed, NIPP could be used for both antibacterial purposes and to promote regeneration without damaging the tissue. Therefore, the rationale of the present study was to find out whether NIPP exerts an anti-inflammatory effect on PDL cells in a microbial environment. In a previous study, we have already shown the effect of NIPP on F.n. stimulation in human gingival fibroblasts (29). Nevertheless, the precise extent to which NIPP exerts an effect on the molecular processes of other cells within the periodontium in the presence of F.n. remains unknown. Therefore, the aim of the present study was examine the effects of NIPP on the inflammatory response of PDL cells under microbial stimulation, monitored by the classic inflammatory markers interleukin (IL)-6 and IL-8. The objective is to pave the way for future clinical applications of NIPP, which could facilitate the treatment of periodontitis and thus improve oral health.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 NIPP treatment

The DBD device Plasma One (Plasma MEDICAL SYSTEMS, Nassau, Germany), was used with instrument probe PS30, at intensity level 5 (Supplementary Figures 1A–C).

2.2 Determination of temperature, pH, and UV-C

During NIPP treatment, the temperature was measured at various points using the Optris PI 400i infrared camera with Optri PIX Connect analysis software (Optris, Berlin, Germany). The measurement was taken for the Probe tip, discharge, cell culture medium, and counter electrode. In addition, the pH changes of the medium during the NIPP treatment were determined at different time points using a benchtop pH50 VioLab (Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany).

A sensor SUV 20.2 with reference radiometer MUV 2.4WR (both IL Metronic Sensortechnik, Ilmenau, Germany) was used to determine the UV-C emission. The sensor has a sensitivity range of 220–280 nm and is traceably calibrated. For the distance- and angle-dependent measurement of UV-C intensity, the NIPP probe and UV-C detector were installed on tripod stands and brought into the measurement positions using measuring scales (distances: 1 cm, 5 cm, 10 cm; angles: 0° , 90° , 180° in the YZ plane and 0° and 180° in the XY plane).



FIGURE 1

Basic characterization of NIPP during treatment: (A) temperature of the NIPP device and treated objects, n = 5. * Statistical significance compared to NIPP 0 s (p < 0.05). (B) Plasma ONE with probe PS30 and counter electrode in discharge mode. (C) Magnification of the probe tip. (D) Thermal image of the probe tip using the Optris PI 400i infrared camera with Optri PIX Connect analysis software (Optris, Berlin, Germany). (E) Thermal image of the bottom view of the probe tip.

2.3 Measurement of ROS during NIPP treatment

For the O_3 measurement in the gas phase, the O_3 -specific detector (ZE25-O3 Ozone Sensor, Electronics Technology, Zhengzhou, China) was used with an Arduino UNO r3 microcontroller (Arduino S.r.l., Monza, Italy). The measurement was performed continuously for 200 s at a detector-probe tip distance of 10 cm.

A Quantofix Relax reflectance photometer (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) with Quantofix peroxide 25 tests (H₂O₂; measuring range 0.0–25 mg/L), Quantofix nitrite (NO₃– measuring range 0.0–80.0 mg/L), and Quantofix nitrate 100 (NO₃⁻; measuring range 0.0–100.0 mg/L) was employed to determine selected ROS in the aqueous phase.

2.4 Cell culture

Human PDL cells (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) were propagated in 75 cm² flasks (Greiner bio-one, Frickenhausen, Germany) in Dulbecco's modified essential medium (DMEM; Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Invitrogen) and 100 units/mL penicillin and 100 μ g/mL streptomycin (Invitrogen), and maintained in 37 °C humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂. The medium was replaced every 2–3 days. For mRNA and protein analysis, PDL cells were seeded in 35 mm Petri dishes (Greiner bio-one). For the morphological analysis, cells were cultivated on cover slips (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). One day prior to the experiments FBS concentration in the culture medium was reduced to 1%.

2.5 NIPP treatment of PDL cells

PDL cells in the presence or absence of *F.n.* were treated with NIPP for various application times as previously described (29, 30). Untreated PDL cells served as control.

2.6 Determination of endogenous ROS generation in PDL cells

The endogenously generated ROS were detected with the CellROX[®] Deep Red Reagent according to the manufacturer's instructions. The intracellular cellular ROS production was then visualized after 10 min and 60 min using the ZOE Fluorescent Cell Imager (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Additionally, quantification of ROS production was performed using a fluorescent plate reader at 665 nm (Promega, Madison, WI, USA).

2.7 Cell morphology

PDL cells were fixated with 4 % paraformaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint-Louis, Missouri, USA) for 10 min and permeabilized

in 0.1 % Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) for 5 min. In order to label actin filaments, cells were incubated for a period of 60 minutes with phalloidin (Sigma-Aldrich). The DNA was stained by incubating DAPI (Sigma-Aldrich) for 5 min. Rinsing with Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS; Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA) was performed between the staining steps. After mounting with Mowiol (Carl-Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany), the cells were analyzed with the ZOE Fluorescent Cell Imager (Bio-Rad).

2.8 Inflammation model

To mimic a microbial environment, PDL cells were preincubated with lysates of inactivated *F.n.* (ATCC 25586) 24 h prior to NIPP application. The bacteria had been inactivated by suspension in PBS (OD₆₆₀ = 1.0, corresponding to 1.2×10^9 bacterial cells/mL) and sonication (160 W for 15 min, twice).

2.9 Analysis of gene expression

RNA was isolated with the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's information. Next, 1 μ g of total RNA was transcribed into cDNA using the iScript Select cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Munich, Germany). One μ l of cDNA, 2.5 μ l of commercially available primers Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), IL-6, IL-8 (QuantiTect Primer Assay, Qiagen), 9 μ l of deionized water, and 12.5 μ l of SSoAdvanced Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) was incubated in the iCycler iQ5 detection system (Bio-Rad). The following amplification protocol was used: 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 10 s and combined annealing/extension at 60 °C for 30 s. Data was analyzed using the comparative threshold cycle method.

2.10 Analysis of protein levels

The IL-6 and IL-8 protein levels in cell culture supernatants were analyzed by specific enzyme-linked immunoassay (ELISA) Kits (Bio-Techne, Minneapolis, MN, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. A microplate reader (EpochTM Microplate Spectrophotometer, BioTek Instruments, Winooski, VT, USA) at 450 nm was employed to read out the immunoassays. The protein concentrations of IL-6 and IL-8 were normalized to the total protein concentration by the Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (23227, Thermo Scientific, Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL, USA) and a microplate reader at 570 nm.

2.11 Statistical analysis

Statistics was done with GraphPad Prism version 10 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). Nonparametric tests (Kruskal–Wallis test with post hoc Dunn's multiple comparisons test) and parametric tests (One-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey multiple comparisons test) were applied. The observed differences were considered statistically significant, with *p*-values below 0.05.

3 Results

3.1 Basic NIPP characterization I: influence of NIPP on temperature, pH and ROS in cell culture medium

First, the main physico-chemical characteristics of the NIPP device (temperature changes at the probe, discharge zone, counter electrode and treated media surface) were studied (Figures 1A–E). The temperature of the probe increased continuously with increasing treatment time: from 23.2° C (0 s) to 24.7° C (240 s) and up to 25.3° C (600 s). The temperature of the effluent did not change with increasing treatment time and averaged 24.1° C. The temperature of the media surface increased slightly over 600 s from 23.0° C to 24.1° C. However, there was no significant temperature change within the first 120 s. The direct discharge on the counter electrode showed a slight temperature change from 22.9° C to 23.3° C. Overall, the temperature in the culture medium and in the NIPP device did not increase during operation to the extent that thermal effects on the cells would be expected.

Next, we analyzed the pH of the medium during NIPP treatment. As shown in Figure 2A there was no change in medium pH during 600 s of NIPP treatment. Another important parameter, particularly regarding patient and user protection, was the UV-C emission of the device. It was found that UV radiation between 200 and 280 nm could not be detected at any distance greater than 1 cm from the tip of the probe and at any angle to the probe (data not shown).

The generation of high energy NIPP and its subsequent interaction with the ambient air produces a large number of ROS. These have been shown to be significantly involved in the biomedical effect of NIPP and were therefore also analyzed. In the gaseous phase around the glass probe, the O₃ concentration increased and reached 3.52 ppm after 60 s and 8.04 ppm after 120 s (Figure 2B). Since ROS are also water-soluble, the comparatively long-lived ROS H2O2, NO2- and NO3- were detected in the aqueous phase of the cell culture medium after NIPP treatment. Due to the low sensitivity of the measuring system, longer NIPP treatment times of 60, 180, and 600 s were applied in these experiments. While no H2O2 was detectable in the medium after 60 s, the H_2O_2 concentration subsequently increased to 0.8 mg/L (180 s) and 1.4 mg/L (600 s; Figure 2C). NO_2^- was only measurable after 600 s (1.6 mg/L; Figure 2D). NO₃⁻ could be detected after 180 s of NIPP treatment (14.6 mg/L; Figure 2E). After 600 s, however, no further increase in concentration was observable, as NO2⁻ that then also appeared interfered with the NO3⁻ detection reaction. Overall, however, ROS analyses confirmed that the long-lived ROS marker molecules O₃, H₂O₂, NO₂⁻ and NO3⁻ were detectable after NIPP treatment of the cell culture medium.

3.2 Basic NIPP characterization II: impact of NIPP on the production of ROS in PDL cells

The next step was to test whether treating PDL cells with NIPP generates intracellular ROS. The CellROX Deep Red reagent

is a membrane-permeable low-molecular compound that can be used to label living cells. The endogenous formation of ROS leads to the oxidation of the intracellular reagent. As a result, the reagent can no longer permeate the cytoplasmic membrane and leave the cell, and the molecule exhibits a strong, highly detectable and well quantifiable fluorescence signal. The live cell imaging experiments revealed that 10 min after NIPP treatment there was a clear and dose-dependent generation of endogenous ROS, which was statistically significant after 120 and 180 s of treatment (Figures 3A–D). In contrast, no ROS-induced fluorescence signals were detectable 60 min after NIPP treatment (data not shown).

3.3 Effect of NIPP on PDL cell morphology and viability

Following NIPP characterization, we focused on an application time of 30 s, in accordance with our previous study (29). Our first objective was to observe whether the selected NIPP treatment time had a negative effect on viability and cell morphology of PDL cells. Phalloidin-DAPI double staining revealed an intact cytoskeleton and undamaged nucleus 24 h after NIPP treatment (Figures 4A, B).

3.4 Influence of *F.n.* on IL-6 and IL-8 regulation in PDL cells

Having studied the effects of NIPP on PDL cells under control conditions, PDL cells were exposed to *F.n.* to mimic a microbial environment. To this end, PDL cells were incubated with the periodonto-pathogen in different concentrations. A dosedependent upregulation of IL-6 was observed at mRNA level after 24 h, which was also reflected at protein level after 48 h (Figures 5A, B). A similar effect was observed for the expression of IL-8 at mRNA level after 24 h and at protein level after 48 h (Figures 5C, D). As all *F.n.* concentrations resulted in a dosedependent upregulation at protein level, we decided to use the lowest *F.n.* concentration (OD: 0.025) for subsequent experiments.

3.5 Influence of NIPP on IL-6 regulation in *F.n.* pre-incubated PDL cells

As a next step the impact of NIPP on *F.n.* pre-incubated PDL cells was investigated. NIPP did not directly affect IL-6 regulation at mRNA level (Figure 6A). There was a significant increase in IL-6 expression following NIPP treatment of *F.n.* pre-incubated PDL cells as compared to control. However, the IL-6 expression did not change significantly as compared to treatment with *F.n.* alone. Interestingly, at protein level, NIPP treatment counteracted the upregulation of IL-6 due to *F.n.* pre-incubation (Figure 6B).

3.6 Influence of NIPP on IL-8 regulation in *F.n.* pre-incubated PDL cells

Similarly, we examined the effect of NIPP on IL-8 in PDL cells after *F.n.* exposure. We observed a significant increase in IL-8



FIGURE 2

Basic characterization of NIPP during treatment of medium: (A) pH of medium, n = 5 (B) O₃ concentration in medium, n = 2 (C) H₂O₂ concentration in medium, n = 6 (D) NO₃⁻ concentration in medium, n = 5 (E) NO₂⁻ concentration in medium, n = 5. *Statistical significance compared to control (p < 0.05).





Immunofluorescence staining of ROS production in PDL cells, 10 min after NIPP treatment. Cells were treated for 0 s (A), 30 s (B), 120 s (C), 180 s (D). The scale bar represents 100 μ m. ROS fluorescence was quantified using a fluorescent reader (E). n = 4. *Statistical significance (p < 0.05).



FIGURE 4

Immunofluorescence staining of periodontal ligament (PDL) cells with DAPI (blue staining of the nucleus) and phalloidin (green staining of the cytoskeleton) that were left untreated (A) or treated with NIPP for 30 s at 24 h (B). NIPP treatment showed no cytotoxic effects. The scale bar represents 100 μ m.



gene expression in cells, which were subjected to the combination of *F.n.* and NIPP (Figure 7A). However, this significant increase was not observed at the protein level. In parallel to IL-6 levels, the elevation of IL-8 by *F.n.* was counteracted by NIPP treatment (Figure 7B).

4 Discussion

Our data demonstrate for the first time that NIPP treatment may counteract bacterial induced proinflammatory oral cell response during the course of an infection. Applying an infection



FIGURE 6

IL-6 regulation in PDL cells after 24 h pre-incubation with inactivated *F.n.* at OD 0.025 (+) and after 30 s of NIPP treatment (+). (A) IL-6 mRNA expression at 24 h, n = 6 (B) IL-6 protein level at 48 h, n = 12. * Statistical significance (p < 0.05).



model in which PDL cells were incubated with *F. n.* lysates, a single short-term treatment of 30 to 120 s with NIPP already led to a significant reduction of inflammatory effects.

The innovative and inexpensive physical treatment method with NIPP is characterized by the fact that it is gentle on surrounding cells and tissue, is almost painless and no undesirable therapeutic effects have been described to date. This could also be indicated *in vitro*. Neither the surface temperature of the treated samples nor the pH of the cell culture medium changed as a result of the NIPP treatment. The cell morphology also did not allow any conclusions to be drawn about cytotoxic changes in the PDL cells.

Furthermore, it was demonstrated that NIPP also led to the generation of biomedically effective ROS also in the context of a peridontitis therapy approach. O₃ was detected in the gaseous phase, while NO_2^- , NO_3^- and H_2O_2 accumulated in the aqueous phase. According to the current state of knowledge, ROS in general, but in particular the above-mentioned and comparatively stable ROS, are the main factors in antimicrobial including antiinflammatory NIPP efficacy (22, 29, 31).

An infectious cell environment was mimicked by stimulating PDL cells with F. n. lysates. This led to the induction of proinflammatory IL-6 and IL-8 indicating an inflammatory cell response of the *in vitro* cell culture model. Subsequent treatment with NIPP was able to reduce this interleukin expression again, which suggests an anti-inflammatory therapeutic effect of the procedure. Interestingly, however, stimulation of F. n. preincubated cells showed an induction of IL-6 and IL-8 at the mRNA level and a decrease at the protein level. Similar effects were also observed previously in gingival fibroblasts (29). Even if the clinical effects of NIPP are reflected only in protein levels and not in mRNA expression, the opposite regulation seen in both PDL cells and gingival fibroblasts may indicate a specific mechanism regulated by NIPP. This could be due to posttranslational regulatory mechanisms that may be regulated by NIPP (32). Furthermore its possible, that degrading enzymes activated by ROS directly or indirectly which than could interfere with the cytokines induced (33). However, further studies should clarify this discrepancy between the mRNA and protein levels. Regarding the anti-inflammatory effect of NIPP, similar effects have been demonstrated in fibroblast-like synoviocytes from patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Also here, NIPP treatment led to a reduction in inflammatory factors, such as NF- κ B or IL-6 (34). NIPP has also been shown to reduce inflammation and improve wound healing in diabetic wounds (35).

Interestingly, another study has shown that NIPP treatment promotes the induction of IL-6 and IL-8 in skin cancer cells (36). However, it is known that NIPP can have different or even opposite effects on the cell viability of physiologically healthy and malignant cells. NIPP increases metabolic activity, migration and proliferation in benign cells (37, 38), but has opposite effects on malignant cells, causing them to undergo apoptosis (39, 40). The stimulatory effects of NIPP on cell viability in PDL cells have already been shown in our previous study (30). It appears that skin cancer cells and benign oral cells also respond differently to NIPP therapy in terms of IL-6 and IL-8 secretion. However, further studies are needed to investigate the background of the different reaction patterns.

We have focused on the cytokines IL-6 and IL-8 as being the key drivers of inflammatory processes in periodontitis (41, 42). The immunoregulatory cytokine IL-6 is secreted by immune and tissue cells. It acts as a chemoattractant for lymphocytes and controls the differentiation of B and T cells (43–45). Consequently, IL-6 is involved in inflammatory immune diseases such as Crohn's disease (46), systemic lupus erythematosus (47), and also periodontitis (48). It is therefore considered a diagnostic factor for periodontitis and is seen in patients with an increased alveolar bone loss and an overrepresentation of periodontal pathogens (49, 50).

IL-8 has pro-inflammatory effects and is responsible for the activation and migration of neutrophils into tissue (51). It is released in the affected tissue in various inflammatory diseases (52), including atherosclerosis (53), intestinal inflammation (54), and periodontitis (55). For this reason, it is a biomarker employed in the diagnosis of periodontitis (56).

We utilized F.n. lysate to experimentally induce a cellular inflammatory response. F.n. is an important bridging bacterium that serves as a link between early and late colonizers in oral biofilms (13). It plays an important role in both, gingivitis and periodontitis (57). As demonstrated by other authors, stimulation with F.n. in vitro results in the release of proinflammatory cytokines (58-60). However, other bacteria may also be used to induce experimental periodontitis in vitro: Yumoto et al. have shown, that Eikenella corrodonens stimulates the secretion of TNF-a, IL-6 and IL-8 (61). Similar effects have also been described by stimulation of gingival fibroblasts with P.g. (62). However, this study focused on pre-incubation with F.n. as this study is intended to complement our previous experiments on gingival fibroblasts (29). The restriction to F. n. as bacterial inducer of inflammatory processes in the in vitro PDL cell model is therefore a limitation of the present study. Other periodontal pathogens, such as T.d., P.g., and Prevotella intermedia should be the focus of future projects. Moreover, it would also be interesting to investigate NIPP effects on the expression rates and activities of further inflammatory mediators such as IL-1 β or TNF α .

Moreover, we have used a dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) device to generate NIPP, which, as with all NIPP device types, is generated between two electrodes. In this NIPP device, one electrode with an isolating layer forms the discharge gap (63). The object to be treated forms the second electrode, such as the PDL cells in this study. The device must be in close contact with the

periodontal cells to generate NIPP (64). This may be challenging in some clinical situations. However, a clinical application of DBD devices in dentistry has been described for palatal wound healing (65) and antifungal therapy (66). There are other NIPP technologies that do not require close contact with the surface being treated. NIPP jet technology, for example, generates a NIPP effluent similar to a gas flow, which can also be used to reach and treat uneven surfaces. A limitation of the jet technology, however, is that the target area for treatment is limited. Nevertheless, its clinical use in dentistry has been described in the literature: For instance, the application of a NIPP jet has been shown to improve wound healing after gingivectomy (67).

Comparison of in vitro NIPP studies in which different NIPP devices from different suppliers were used is only possible to a very restricted extent. The physicochemical properties of the generated NIPP are highly dependent on the technology used and are additionally modulated by other technical variables, e.g. carrier gas and flow rate as well as device parameters such as power and frequency. All these factors have an influence on the composition of the ROS mixture, the concentrations of the individual ROS and thus on the biomedical effect (68, 69). Nevertheless, a comparison can be made between the present results and those of previous studies. These findings indicate that a treatment duration of 30 seconds exerts an anti-inflammatory effect on the cells (29). In previous studies, it was also observed that NIPP effects on wound healing became visible after approximately 60 s (30, 31). It is hypothesized that when NIPP is applied to PDL cells, an antimicrobial effect can be observed initially, followed by a wound healing-promoting effect with increasing application time. This hypothesis requires further investigation.

This study has other limitations: It should be considered that an inactivated F.n. was used to induce periodontitis in our experiments. As not only F.n. but a lot of other microorganisms play a crucial role in periodontitis (70), these should also be investigated in further studies. It should also be noted that a lysate has been used in our experiments. Further experiments could also be conducted with living bacteria, which exert varying effects on cells depending on their specific virulence factors, such as lipopolysaccharides, proteolytic enzymes, and toxins, when present alone or in whole biofilms. In addition, only PDL cells were examined in this study. As periodontitis affects the entire periodontium and leads to the degradation of hard tissue (71), human osteoblasts, cementoblasts or gingival keratinocytes should also be included in future studies. Moreover, it should be considered that in this study we only treated the cells with a single NIPP application time of 30 s. It is possible that repeated or extended application may have a stronger anti-inflammatory effect. Although we were guided by previous findings that NIPP therapy had a positive effect on growth-regulatory processes in PDL cells (30), longer application times should be further investigated in future studies. Moreover, the present study was conducted with a specific focus on the pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-6 and IL-8. Additional research into anti-inflammatory cytokines and their receptors would be advantageous in order to substantiate the antiinflammatory effects of NIPP. In addition, it must be considered that the study was an in vitro study that can only be applied to the clinical situation to a limited extent. In patients, a number of factors, including age, gender, medication, and living conditions, as well as the degree and extent of existing periodontal disease,

may influence the efficacy of NIPP. Our results, however, suggest a potential mechanism of NIPP in clinical practice, and a clinical trial may provide further evidence of its clinical effectiveness.

However, the results of the study could be extrapolated to the clinical situation. Thus, a clinical trial should be conducted to determine whether NIPP has a role in the treatment of periodontitis. As clinical studies in dermatology suggest that NIPP both promotes wound healing (23) and has antibacterial effects (72), it seems reasonable to assume, that NIPP might also have beneficial effects on diseases in periodontal tissues. A single clinical study has also shown that NIPP treatment during periodontal therapy reduces recolonization with periodontopathogens (73). However, further clinical studies are necessary to examine the potential of NIPP in clinical periodontology. Should these antiinflammatory properties for periodontal disease be validated in clinical trials, NIPP could potentially assist in reducing the prevalence of periodontitis and improving overall oral health.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

Ethical approval was not required for the studies on humans in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements because only commercially available established cell lines were used.

Author contributions

BE: Writing – review and editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Supervision, Project administration, Methodology, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. LS: Writing – review and editing, Writing – original draft, Validation, Methodology, Investigation. JM: Writing – review and editing, Writing – original draft, Methodology, Investigation, Data curation. TP: Writing – review and editing, Writing – original draft, Methodology, Investigation. JD: Writing – review and editing, Writing – original draft, Validation, Formal analysis, Data curation. SE: Writing – review and editing, Writing – original draft, Methodology, Formal analysis. MS: Writing – review and editing, Writing – original draft, Validation, Methodology, Formal analysis, Data curation. F-JK: Writing – review and editing, Writing – original draft, Validation, Resources, Methodology,

References

2. Trindade D, Carvalho R, Machado V, Chambrone L, Mendes J, Botelho J. Prevalence of periodontitis in dentate people between 2011 and 2020: A systematic review and meta-analysis of epidemiological studies. *J Clin Periodontol.* (2023) 50:604–26. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.13769

Funding acquisition, Formal analysis. EK: Writing – review and editing, Writing – original draft, Validation, Methodology. CK: Writing – review and editing, Writing – original draft, Validation, Methodology. MN: Writing – review and editing, Writing – original draft, Validation, Resources, Project administration, Methodology, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. SB: Writing – review and editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Supervision, Software, Resources, Project administration, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of the article. The publication of this article was partly founded by the publication fund of the University of Bonn.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Ms. Inka Bay-Müller and Ms. Rachana Subedi for the valuable technical support.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed.2024. 1443368/full#supplementary-material

^{1.} Pihlstrom B, Michalowicz B, Johnson N. Periodontal diseases. Lancet. (2005) 366:1809-20.

^{3.} Deschner J, Schröder A, Weber M, Galler K, Proff P, Kirschneck C, et al. Advancing oral immunology for improving oral health. *J Orofac Orthop.* (2023): Online ahead of print. doi: 10.1007/s00056-023-00473-3

^{4.} Nanci A, Bosshardt D. Structure of periodontal tissues in health and disease*. *Periodontology*. (2006) 2000(40):11–28.

5. Komaki M, Iwasaki K, Arzate H, Narayanan A, Izumi Y, Morita I. Cementum protein 1 (CEMP1) induces a cementoblastic phenotype and reduces osteoblastic differentiation in periodontal ligament cells. *J Cell Physiol.* (2012) 227:649–57. doi: 10.1002/jcp.22770

6. Liu D, Xu J, Liu O, Fan Z, Liu Y, Wang F, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells derived from inflamed periodontal ligaments exhibit impaired immunomodulation. *J Clin Periodontol.* (2012) 39:1174–82.

7. Park J, Kim J, Jung I, Kim J, Choi S, Cho K, et al. Isolation and characterization of human periodontal ligament (PDL) stem cells (PDLSCs) from the inflamed PDL tissue: In vitro and in vivo evaluations. *J Clin Periodontol.* (2011) 38:721–31.

8. El-Awady A, Messer R, Gamal A, Sharawy M, Wenger K, Lapp C. Periodontal ligament fibroblasts sustain destructive immune modulators of chronic periodontitis. *J Periodontol.* (2010) 81:1324–35.

9. Jönsson D, Nebel D, Bratthall G, Nilsson B. The human periodontal ligament cell: A fibroblast-like cell acting as an immune cell. *J Periodontal Res.* (2011) 46:153–7.

10. Hathaway-Schrader J, Novince C. Maintaining homeostatic control of periodontal bone tissue. *Periodontol.* (2021) 2000(86):157–87.

11. Belibasakis G, Belstrøm D, Eick S, Gursoy U, Johansson A, Könönen E. Periodontal microbiology and microbial etiology of periodontal diseases: Historical concepts and contemporary perspectives. *Periodontol.* (2023): Online ahead of print. doi: 10.1111/prd.12473

12. Hajishengallis G, Lamont R. Polymicrobial communities in periodontal disease: Their quasi-organismal nature and dialogue with the host. *Periodontol.* (2021) 2000(86):210–30. doi: 10.1111/prd.12371

13. Signat B, Roques C, Poulet P, Duffaut D. Fusobacterium nucleatum in periodontal health and disease. Curr Issues Mol Biol. (2011) 13:25–36.

14. Travis J, Pike R, Imamura T, Potempa J. Porphyromonas gingivalis proteinases as virulence factors in the development of periodontitis. *J Periodontal Res.* (1997) 32:120–5.

15. Kesavalu L, Sathishkumar S, Bakthavatchalu V, Matthews C, Dawson D, Steffen M, et al. Rat model of polymicrobial infection, immunity, and alveolar bone resorption in periodontal disease. *Infect Immun.* (2007) 75:1704–12. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00733-06

16. Suvan J, Leira Y, Moreno Sancho F, Graziani F, Derks J, Tomasi C. Subgingival instrumentation for treatment of periodontitis. A systematic review. *J Clin Periodontol.* (2020) 47(Suppl 22):155–75.

17. Khattri S, Kumbargere Nagraj S, Arora A, Eachempati P, Kusum C, Bhat K, et al. Adjunctive systemic antimicrobials for the non-surgical treatment of periodontitis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2020) 11:CD012568.

18. Bakdash B. Oral hygiene and compliance as risk factors in periodontitis. J Periodontol. (1994) 65:539-44.

19. Kwon T, Lamster I, Levin L. Current concepts in the management of periodontitis. Int Dent J. (2021) 71:462–76.

20. Dubuc A, Monsarrat P, Virard F, Merbahi N, Sarrette J, Laurencin-Dalicieux S, et al. Use of cold-atmospheric plasma in oncology: A concise systematic review. *Ther Adv Med Oncol.* (2018) 10:1758835918786475.

21. Gan L, Jiang J, Duan J, Wu X, Zhang S, Duan X, et al. Cold atmospheric plasma applications in dermatology: A systematic review. *J Biophotonics*. (2020) 14:e202000415.

22. Kletschkus K, Haralambiev L, Mustea A, Bekeschus S, Stope M. Review of innovative physical therapy methods: Introduction to the principles of cold physical plasma. *In Vivo.* (2020) 34:3103–7.

23. Stratmann B, Costea T, Nolte C, Hiller J, Schmidt J, Reindel J, et al. Effect of cold atmospheric plasma therapy vs standard therapy placebo on wound healing in patients with diabetic foot ulcers: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Netw Open.* (2020) 3:e2010411.

24. Ferri E, Armato E, Capuzzo P. Argon plasma coagulation versus cold dissection tonsillectomy in adults: A clinical prospective randomized study. *Am J Otolaryngol.* (2007) 28:384–7. doi: 10.1016/j.amjoto.2006.11.007

25. Ulrich C, Kluschke F, Patzelt A, Vandersee S, Czaika V, Richter H, et al. Clinical use of cold atmospheric pressure argon plasma in chronic leg ulcers: A pilot study. *J Wound Care.* (2015) 196(198–200):202–3. doi: 10.12968/jowc.2015.24.5.196

26. Boer GMN, Hofhuis W, Reesink-Peters N, Willemsen S, Boere IA, Schoots IG, et al. Adjuvant use of plasmajet device during cytoreductive surgery for advancedstage ovarian cancer: Results of the placomov-study, a randomized controlled trial in the netherlands. *Ann Surg Oncol.* (2022) 29:4833–43. doi: 10.1245/s10434-022-11763-2

27. Wende K, von Woedtke T, Weltmann K, Bekeschus S. Chemistry and biochemistry of cold physical plasma derived reactive species in liquids. *Biol Chem.* (2018) 400:19–38.

28. Bagheri M, von Kohout M, Zoric A, Fuchs P, Schiefer J, Opländer C. Can cold atmospheric plasma be used for infection control in burns? A preclinical evaluation. *Biomedicines.* (2023) 11:1239.

29. Eggers B, Stope M, Marciniak J, Mustea A, Eick S, Deschner J, et al. Non-invasive physical plasma reduces the inflammatory response in microbially prestimulated human gingival fibroblasts. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2023) 24:16156.

30. Kleineidam B, Nokhbehsaim M, Deschner J, Wahl G. Effect of cold plasma on periodontal wound healing-an in vitro study. *Clin Oral Investig.* (2019) 23:1941–50.

31. Eggers B, Stope M, Marciniak J, Mustea A, Deschner J, Nokhbehsaim M, et al. Modulation of inflammatory responses by a non-invasive physical plasma jet during gingival wound healing. *Cells.* (2022) 11:2740.

32. Tian Q, Stepaniants S, Mao M, Weng L, Feetham M, Doyle M, et al. Integrated genomic and proteomic analyses of gene expression in Mammalian cells. *Mol Cell Proteomics*. (2004) 3:960–9.

33. Schaller T, Ringen J, Fischer B, Bieler T, Perius K, Knopp T, et al. Reactive oxygen species produced by myeloid cells in psoriasis as a potential biofactor contributing to the development of vascular inflammation. *Biofactors*. (2023) 49:861–74.

34. Faramarzi F, Zafari P, Alimohammadi M, Golpour M, Ghaffari S, Rafiei A. Inhibitory effects of cold atmospheric plasma on inflammation and tumor-like feature of fibroblast-like synoviocytes from patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Inflammation.* (2022) 45:2433–48.

35. He R, Li Q, Shen W, Wang T, Lu H, Lu J, et al. The efficacy and safety of cold atmospheric plasma as a novel therapy for diabetic wound in vitro and in vivo. *Int Wound J.* (2020) 17:851–63.

36. Clemen R, Heirman P, Lin A, Bogaerts A, Bekeschus S. Physical plasma-treated skin cancer cells amplify tumor cytotoxicity of human natural killer (NK) cells. *Cancers*. (2020) 12:3575. doi: 10.3390/cancers12123575

37. Liu J, Xu G, Shi X, Zhang G. Low temperature plasma promoting fibroblast proliferation by activating the NF-κB pathway and increasing cyclinD1 expression. *Sci Rep.* (2017) 7:11698.

38. Hasse S, Duong Tran T, Hahn O, Kindler S, Metelmann H, von Woedtke T, et al. Induction of proliferation of basal epidermal keratinocytes by cold atmosphericpressure plasma. *Clin Exp Dermatol.* (2016) 41:202–9. doi: 10.1111/ced.12735

39. Karki S, Yildirim-Ayan E, Eisenmann K, Ayan H. Miniature dielectric barrier discharge nonthermal plasma induces apoptosis in lung cancer cells and inhibits cell migration. *Biomed Res Int.* (2017) 2017:8058307. doi: 10.1155/2017/8058307

40. Almeida-Ferreira C, Silva-Teixeira R, Gonçalves A, Marto C, Sarmento-Ribeiro A, Caramelo F, et al. Cold atmospheric plasma apoptotic and oxidative effects on MCF7 and HCC1806 human breast cancer cells. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2022) 23:1698. doi: 10.3390/ijms23031698

41. Noh M, Jung M, Kim S, Lee S, Park K, Kim D, et al. Assessment of IL-6, IL-8 and TNF- α levels in the gingival tissue of patients with periodontitis. *Exp Ther Med.* (2013) 6:847–51. doi: 10.3892/etm.2013.1222

42. Dongari-Bagtzoglou A, Ebersole J. Increased presence of interleukin-6 (IL-6) and IL-8 secreting fibroblast subpopulations in adult periodontitis. *J Periodontol.* (1998) 69:899–910. doi: 10.1902/jop.1998.69.8.899

43. Kishimoto T. Interleukin-6: Discovery of a pleiotropic cytokine. Arthritis Res Ther. (2006) 8(Suppl 2):S2.

44. Kang S, Narazaki M, Metwally H, Kishimoto T. Historical overview of the interleukin-6 family cytokine. J Exp Med. (2020) 217:e20190347.

45. Boulanger M, Chow D, Brevnova E, Garcia K. Hexameric structure and assembly of the interleukin-6/IL-6 alpha-receptor/gp130 complex. *Science.* (2003) 300:2101–4. doi: 10.1126/science.1083901

46. Sawczenko A, Azooz O, Paraszczuk J, Idestrom M, Croft N, Savage M, et al. Intestinal inflammation-induced growth retardation acts through IL-6 in rats and depends on the -174 IL-6 G/C polymorphism in children. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2005) 102:13260–5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0503589102

47. Linker-Israeli M, Wallace D, Prehn J, Michael D, Honda M, Taylor K, et al. Association of IL-6 gene alleles with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and with elevated IL-6 expression. *Genes Immun.* (1999) 1: 45–52.

48. Naruishi K, Nagata T. Biological effects of interleukin-6 on gingival fibroblasts: Cytokine regulation in periodontitis. *J Cell Physiol.* (2018) 233:6393–400.

49. Ptasiewicz M, Bębnowska D, Małkowska P, Sierawska O, Poniewierska-Baran A, Hrynkiewicz R, et al. Immunoglobulin disorders and the oral cavity: A narrative review. *J Clin Med.* (2022) 11:4873.

50. Isola G, Lo Giudice A, Polizzi A, Alibrandi A, Murabito P, Indelicato F. Identification of the different salivary Interleukin-6 profiles in patients with periodontitis: A cross-sectional study. *Arch Oral Biol.* (2021) 122:104997.

51. Hull J, Ackerman H, Isles K, Usen S, Pinder M, Thomson A, et al. Unusual haplotypic structure of IL8, a susceptibility locus for a common respiratory virus. *Am J Hum Genet.* (2001) 69:413–9. doi: 10.1086/321291

52. Baggiolini M, Clark-Lewis I. Interleukin-8, a chemotactic and inflammatory cytokine. FEBS Lett. (1992) 307:97–101.

53. Boisvert W, Curtiss L, Terkeltaub R. Interleukin-8 and its receptor CXCR2 in atherosclerosis. *Immunol Res.* (2000) 21:129–37.

54. Keshavarzian A, Fusunyan R, Jacyno M, Winship D, MacDermott R, Sanderson I. Increased interleukin-8 (IL-8) in rectal dialysate from patients with ulcerative colitis: Evidence for a biological role for IL-8 in inflammation of the colon. *Am J Gastroenterol.* (1999) 94:704–12.

55. Finoti L, Nepomuceno R, Pigossi S, Corbi S, Secolin R, Scarel-Caminaga R. Association between interleukin-8 levels and chronic periodontal disease. *Medicine*. (2017) 96:e6932.

56. Arroyo E, Oliveira-Alves M, Chamorro-Petronacci C, Marichalar-Mendia X, Bravo-López S, Blanco-Carrión J, et al. Protein-based salivary biomarkers for the diagnosis of periodontal diseases: Systematic review and metaanalysis. *J Taibah Univ Med Sci.* (2023) 18:737–47. doi: 10.1016/j.jtumed.2022. 12.004

57. Chen Y, Huang Z, Tang Z, Huang Y, Huang M, Liu H, et al. More than just a periodontal pathogen -the research progress on *Fusobacterium nucleatum*. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. (2022) 12:815318. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2022. 815318

58. Rath-Deschner B, Nogueira A, Beisel-Memmert S, Nokhbehsaim M, Eick S, Cirelli J, et al. Interaction of periodontitis and orthodontic tooth movement-an in vitro and in vivo study. *Clin Oral Investig.* (2022) 26:171–81. doi: 10.1007/s00784-021-03988-4

59. Tateishi F, Hasegawa-Nakamura K, Nakamura T, Oogai Y, Komatsuzawa H, Kawamata K, et al. Detection of *Fusobacterium nucleatum* in chorionic tissues of highrisk pregnant women. *J Clin Periodontol.* (2012) 39:417–24. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-051X. 2012.01855.x

60. Stähli A, Scherler C, Zappalà G, Sculean A, Eick S. In vitro activity of anti-rheumatic drugs on release of pro-inflammatory cytokines from oral cells in interaction with microorganisms. *Front Oral Health* (2022) 3:960732. doi: 10.3389/ froh.2022.960732

61. Yumoto H, Nakae H, Fujinaka K, Ebisu S, Matsuo T. Interleukin-6 (IL-6) and IL-8 are induced in human oral epithelial cells in response to exposure to periodontopathic Eikenella corrodens. *Infect Immun.* (1999) 67:384–94. doi: 10.1128/ IAI.67.1.384-394.1999

62. Imatani T, Kato T, Okuda K. Production of inflammatory cytokines by human gingival fibroblasts stimulated by cell-surface preparations of Porphyromonas gingivalis. *Oral Microbiol Immunol.* (2001) 16:65–72.

63. Weltmann K, Kindel E, Woedtke T, Hähnel M, Stieber M, Brandenburg R. Atmospheric-pressure plasma sources: Prospective tools for plasma medicine. *Pure Appl. Chem.* (2010) 82:1223–37.

64. Zhang S, Jia L, Wang W, Yang D, Tang K, Liu Z. The influencing factors of nanosecond pulse homogeneous dielectric barrier discharge in air. *Spectrochim Acta A Mol Biomol Spectrosc.* (2014) 117:535-40. doi: 10.1016/j.saa.2013. 08.051

65. Pekbağrıyanık T, Dadas FK, Enhoş Ş. Effects of non-thermal atmospheric pressure plasma on palatal wound healing of free gingival grafts: A randomized controlled clinical trial. *Clin Oral Investig.* (2021) 25:6269–78. doi: 10.1007/s00784-021-03925-5

66. Preissner S, Kastner I, Schütte E, Hartwig S, Schmidt-Westhausen A, Paris S, et al. Adjuvant antifungal therapy using tissue tolerable plasma on oral mucosa and removable dentures in oral candidiasis patients: A randomised double-blinded split-mouth pilot study. *Mycoses.* (2016) 59:467–75. doi: 10.1111/myc.12495

67. Kusakci-Seker B, Demirayak-Akdemir M. The effect of non-thermal atmospheric pressure plasma application on wound healing after gingivectomy. *Int Wound J.* (2020) 17:1376–83. doi: 10.1111/iwj.13379

68. Lee J, Jeong W, Seo S, Kim H, Kim K, Choi E, et al. Non-thermal atmospheric pressure plasma functionalized dental implant for enhancement of bacterial resistance and osseointegration. *Dent Mater.* (2017) 33:257–70.

69. Yoon S, Kim K, Seol Y, Kim S, Bae B, Huh S, et al. Effects of metastable species in helium and argon atmospheric pressure plasma jets (APPJs) on inactivation of periodontopathogenic bacteria. *J Korean Phys Soc.* (2016) 68:1176–91.

70. Di Stefano M, Polizzi A, Santonocito S, Romano A, Lombardi T, Isola G. Impact of oral microbiome in periodontal health and periodontitis: A critical review on prevention and treatment. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2022) 23:5142.

71. Graziani F, Karapetsa D, Alonso B, Herrera D. Nonsurgical and surgical treatment of periodontitis: How many options for one disease? *Periodontol.* (2017) 2000(75):152–88.

72. Isbary G, Morfill G, Schmidt H, Georgi M, Ramrath K, Heinlin J, et al. A first prospective randomized controlled trial to decrease bacterial load using cold atmospheric argon plasma on chronic wounds in patients. *Br J Dermatol.* (2010) 163:78–82.

73. Küçük D, Savran L, Ercan U, Yarali Z, Karaman O, Kantarci A, et al. Evaluation of efficacy of non-thermal atmospheric pressure plasma in treatment of periodontitis: A randomized controlled clinical trial. *Clin Oral Investig.* (2020) 24:3133–45.