Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Paulo Filipe, Centro Hospitalar Lisboa Norte (CHLN), Portugal

REVIEWED BY Thomas Emmanuel, Aarhus University, Denmark Marco Harari, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel

*CORRESPONDENCE Albert Duvetorp albert.duvetorp@med.lu.se

RECEIVED 10 July 2024 ACCEPTED 07 October 2024 PUBLISHED 29 October 2024

CITATION

Nordgren M and Duvetorp A (2024) Psychosocial impact of climatotherapy in young patients with psoriasis: a 3-month cohort study. *Front. Med.* 11:1458394. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2024.1458394

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Nordgren and Duvetorp. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Psychosocial impact of climatotherapy in young patients with psoriasis: a 3-month cohort study

Max Nordgren^{1,2} and Albert Duvetorp^{2,3,4}*

¹Diagnostic Center Dermatology, Malmö, Sweden, ²Deparment of Dermatology, Skåne University Hospital, Malmö, Sweden, ³Leo Foundation Skin Immunology Research Center, Copenhagen University, Copenhagen, Denmark, ⁴Department of Clinical Sciences, Lund University, Malmö, Sweden

Ultraviolet rays are known to have positive effect on psoriasis, but the cold climate and latitude in Northern Europe reduce access to the sun. Climatotherapy is a treatment modality where the patient is relocated to a warmer region with a high ultraviolet (UV) index. Young patients with psoriasis can be particularly burdened by the disease, and studies have shown an association between psoriasis and mood disorders. Patients who have undergone climatotherapy often report beneficial psychological effects after treatment, but this has not yet been studied. To explore the psychosocial impact of climatotherapy, an observational study was designed. Thirty-four participants (median age of 24 years) underwent 3 weeks of treatment in Gran Canaria (Spain) and responded to questionnaires assessing the psychosocial aspects of the disease. Climatotherapy was associated with significantly reduced scores of HADS, PSS-10, PSQ, EQ-VAS, DLQI, and itch intensity at the end of and at 3 months after the end of treatment compared to before treatment onset. The results suggest that climatotherapy not only exerts effects on psoriasis skin disease but also improves symptoms of anxiety, depression, perceived stigmatization, stress, quality of life, self-assessed overall health perception, and itch intensity. The results can be of use in the decision-making process when choosing a suitable treatment for young patients with psoriasis.

KEYWORDS

climatotherapy, heliotherapy, phototherapy, psoriasis, psychosocial factors, prospective study

1 Introduction

Ultraviolet rays (UV rays) are known to have a positive effect on psoriasis, but the cold climate and the lower UV indexes of northern and southern latitudes of the Earth reduce the possibility of inhabitants with psoriasis in these regions taking advantage of the sun. Studies indicate that the prevalence of psoriasis in Northern Europe is considerably higher than the prevalence seen in regions closer to the Equator (1, 2). Patients with psoriasis have an increased risk of depression and suicidal thoughts and have reported a reduction in mental and physical functioning comparable to that seen in heart disease, arthritis, diabetes, and cancer (3-5). Individuals with early onset of psoriasis (age <20 years) are significantly more depressed and anxious than patients with the late-onset disease (6). Climatotherapy (CT) is a treatment modality where the patient is temporarily relocated to a warm region with a higher UV index and exposed to the sun according to skin type with an incremental dosage regimen. Several studies on CT have proven this effect of treatment modality on psoriasis (7–11). Exposure to UV rays decreases inflammation in psoriasis by various mechanisms, inducing T-cell

apoptosis, increasing the proportion of regulatory T cells in skin and blood, and reduction in mediators of the Th17 pathway and chemotaxis (12, 13).

A few studies have also investigated the impact on DLQI, EQ-5D, and EQ-VAS. In a Danish study with 18 participants (mean age of 52.2 years) attending CT at the Dead Sea, mean DLQI was 13.9 before CT and reduced to 2.0 at the end of treatment, EQ-5D increased from 0.79 to 0.90, but there was no difference in EQ-VAS (8). A follow-up assessment was performed when the first visible sign of psoriasis reappeared (mean time 94 days). Neither DLQI, EQ-5D, nor EQ-VAS was reduced from baseline at this assessment. A study with 49 participants (mean age of 51.9 years) investigating medium- to long-term effects of CT found that DLQI was 16.1 before CT and reduced to 10.6 at a follow-up time of 3 to 6 months after treatment (9).

CT is a treatment modality that is currently being replaced by modern systemics; hence, it could be important to map effects beyond the skin and DLQI. Patients who have undergone CT often report beneficial psychological effects after treatment, but this observation has not been thoroughly studied. In this observational study, we investigated whether CT impacts psychological symptoms in young patients with psoriasis using a questionnaire-based approach.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Subject inclusion and climatotherapy intervention

The patient organization *Ung med psoriasis* has organized annual CT trips to Gran Canaria since 1987. Applications are open for all individuals with psoriasis in Sweden between the ages 15 and 35 years. Applications include an evaluation by the applicant's dermatologist, which enables for the selection of participants with higher needs, mainly based on PASI and DLQI scores (see Supplementary Figure S1 for the application form). Applicants with younger ages (age group 15–25 years) are also prioritized. CT used to be financed through the public healthcare system but with the introduction of more efficient systemic drugs, this is no longer so in many regions of Sweden. Although subsidized through private grants and grants to the patient organization, the CT of *Ung med psoriasis* trips is in part funded by participant fees (approximate cost of 515 euros per participant).

Patients of three 3-week-long CT trips to Gran Canaria during 2018, 2019, and 2022 (in June and July) were included in a prospective observational cohort study. No CT trips were arranged in 2020 and 2021 due to the COVID-19 pandemic. All participants were living in Sweden. Inclusion criteria were being a participant in the Ung med psoriasis CT trip, being able to understand study information, and giving informed consent. The exclusion criterion was having participated in the study a previous year. The intervention program included daily scheduled sunbathing with durations depending on skin type (incremented every third day until day 8 if sun exposure has been tolerated well), physical activity on weekdays, educational lectures, social events, motivational discussions, and assisted topical exfoliative treatment. The approximate total sunbathing time over the 3 weeks would be 805+805 min for Fitzpatrick skin type II, 1,610+1,610min for skin type III, and 2,190 + 2,190 min for skin type IV (anterior and posterior sides of the body). Participants were encouraged to always use sunscreen minimum SPF 50 with UVA and UVB filter on non-lesional skin and lesional skin after the daily scheduled sunbathing had been completed. Participants responded to questionnaires Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) (14), Perceived Stress Scale 10 (PSS-10) (15), Perceived Stigmatization Questionnaire (PSQ) (16), Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) (17), EQ visual analog scale (EQ VAS) (*The EuroQol Group*), and itch intensity graded from 0 to 10 at three time points.

The time points of assessment were before start of treatment (on the day of arrival), end of treatment (on the day or day before departure), and 3 months after the end of treatment (Figure 1). Psoriasis Assessment Severity Index (PASI) was evaluated before treatment and at end of treatment by AD (consultant dermatologist). HADS is a questionnaire frequently used in healthcare to assess symptoms of anxiety and depression during the previous week. PSS-10 is a questionnaire developed to assess stress levels in young people above 12 years of age and adults the previous month. PSQ is a questionnaire originally developed to assess stigmatization behaviors among burn survivors but is also used for the assessment of stigma related to other visible skin diseases. The study was registered in the clinical trial registry *Deutsche Register fur Klinische Studien* with DRKS-ID DRKS00014916 as at the time being no equivalent register was available in Sweden.

2.2 Statistical analysis and handling of missing data

Pre-treatment scores were compared with scores at the end of treatment and 3 months after the end of treatment. Significance levels were calculated with the Friedman test and *post-hoc* two-tailed Wilcoxon signed-rank test using IBM SPSS Statistics v. 27. A *p*-value of <0.05 was considered significant. Descriptive statistics were performed to assess medians and interquartile ranges.

Two participants failed to answer all the questions in one of the questionnaires at one time point (HADS after treatment for one participant and PSQ after treatment for the other participant). These two participants were excluded from the analyses of HADS and PSQ, respectively. Several participants failed to answer one isolated question in a questionnaire. This was handled by giving a score in the direction of disadvantage the significance level to avoid false significance.

2.3 Ethics

The study was approved by the Ethics Review Board in Lund, Sweden (Dnr 2018/378).

3 Results

Thirty-four of 35 invited research subjects (participants of CT) accepted participation giving their written consent and were included in the study. One participant did not complete the 3-month follow-up and was classified as lost to follow-up (and excluded from analysis). Demographics and clinical characteristics of the cohort at baseline can be seen in Table 1.



TABLE 1 Patient demographics and clinical characteristics at baseline

Number of participants	34
Males, n (%)	13 (38)
Females, n (%)	21 (62)
Age, median (range)	24 (15-34)
Duration of psoriasis, years, median (range)	10.4 (0-22)
BMI, kg/m², median (range)	29 (16.5–52.8)
Previous climatotherapy, n (%)	20 (59)
Was of 1 trip, n (%)	9 (26)
Was of 2–3 trips, n (%)	5 (15)
Was of >3 trips, n (%)	6 (18)
Heredity of psoriasis 1st degree, n (%)	11 (32)
Heredity of psoriasis 1st to 2nd degree, n (%)	19 (56)
Heredity of psoriasis 1st to 4th degree, n (%)	22 (65)
Use of systemic treatment, n (%)	15 (44)

BMI, body mass index.

CT was associated with a significantly reduced score of HADS, PSS-10, PSQ, DLQI, and itch intensity at the end of treatment and at 3 months after the end of treatment compared with before the start of treatment. EQ VAS was significantly increased at the end of treatment and at 3 months after the end of treatment. These significant changes correspond to decreased symptoms of anxiety, depression, stress level, stigmatization, and itch level and increased dermatologic-orientated life quality and overall health quality. PASI was assessed before and at the end of treatment and was significantly reduced. See Figure 2 for scores, interquartile range, and significance levels. For more precise details, see Supplementary Table S1.

4 Discussion

To our knowledge, no previous studies have investigated the psychological impact of CT with instruments specifically validated for anxiety, depression, stress, and stigmatization. In this study, we show that CT results in a positive psychosocial impact with sustained effects for at least 3 months post-treatment follow-up.

In the current study, the effects on DLQI and EQ-VAS were more pronounced and sustained also at assessment 3 months after treatment compared to previous studies (8, 9). Possible reasons for discrepancies between the current study and previous publications might be differences in the age of participants (younger in the current study), differences in disease severity (lower PASI in the current study), and differences in the intervention programs. CT trips performed by *Ung med psoriasis* are directed toward younger individuals, with a focus on getting to know peers with the same disease, education, exercise, selfempowerment, and discussing disease impact in small groups apart from clearing the skin from psoriasis. *Ung med psoriasis* CT group leaders often have psoriasis themselves and can as such figure as older role models for younger participants.

Normative data on HADS for randomly chosen adolescents and young adults from the general population in Sweden aged 13 to 23 years were published in 2006 (18). HADS median scores were sorted out separately for anxiety and depression with scores of 5.0 and 2.0, respectively, for the mail responders and 4.0 and 2.0, respectively, for telephone responders. This can be compared to our study with median scores of 10.50 for anxiety and 5.5 for depression before the start of treatment and 6.5 for anxiety and 2.5 for depression 3 months after treatment. This indicates that our psoriasis cohort suffered more from anxiety and depressive symptoms than the general population before the start of treatment but had scores similar to the general population 3 months after treatment. Normative data for PSS-10 are also available for the Swedish population published in 2013 (19). The age group closest to our cohort was aged 18 to 34 years who scored a mean of 15.6 (the median was not present in the study). The mean for our cohort was 22.0 (median 21.0) before the start of treatment, 11.5 (median 10) after the end of treatment, and 15.5 (median 13.0) 3 months after treatment. This indicates that our cohort suffered from more stress than the general population before the start of treatment but achieved a stress level comparable to the general population after treatment and at the 3 months post-treatment follow-up.

Psychosocial parameters have been evaluated in psoriasis studies investigating many other treatment modalities, and it is appealing to compare our results with such studies. Direct comparison is difficult as methods and study populations often are divergent. An observational study on narrowband-UVB (nb-UVB) phototherapy evaluated the psychosocial parameters DLQI and itch intensity (also included in our study) on participants with psoriasis including 3 months after the end-of-treatment follow-up (20). The baseline was in part comparable to our study with a mean DLQI of 10.1 (vs 10.2) and mean itch intensity of 4.4 (vs 4.1). At 3-month follow-up, mean DLQI was 6.3 and itch intensity 3 in comparison with DLQI in our study being 4.1 (median 3.0) and mean itch intensity 2.6 (median 2.0).



CT may add more to the quality of life than nb-UVB, but differences are small, and a head-to-head trial would be needed to confirm this. Some discrepancies in the nb-UVB study compared to our study are age (mean 51 vs. 23.5), baseline PASI (selfadministered PASI 11.7 vs. mean 4.5), and higher use of systemic treatments in our study. Many phase 3 trials for biologic therapies including TNF inhibitors, IL23 inhibitors, and IL17 inhibitors have included secondary outcomes including symptoms of depression and anxiety apart from quality of life. As such guselkumab (IL23i) and adalimumab (TNFi) have been shown to have effects on DLQI and HADS scores even after adjusting for indirect effects mediated by skin improvement (21). The mean HADS-A and HADS-D improvement at week 16 in the Phase 3 VOYAGE 2 study (22) was -1.7 and -1.6 for guselkumab and -1.1 and -1.2 for adalimumab-which are somewhat modest improvements compared to the results of our study. A randomized trial evaluated the benefit of a 3-week course of thermal spa therapy (balneotherapy) on psychosocial parameters and compared it with a group having usual treatment (23). All participants were adults with plaque psoriasis and had a DLQI of >10 at inclusion (mean 16.7). The assessment was done 4.5 months after the intervention. In the spa group, 66.1% achieved DLQI ≤ 10 compared with 41.4% in the placebo group. In addition, itch intensity (pruritus VAS) and PSS were assessed. There was no significant difference in PSS, but itch intensity significantly decreased from 6.7 to 4.3 in the treatment group compared with 6.8 to 5.4 in the control group. The higher impact on psychosocial

parameters in our study could be attributed to a shorter follow-up time and younger age of our participants, but it is also possible that the effect of CT is superior to that seen with balneotherapy.

PASI was reduced to a median of 0.4 and itch intensity to a median of 0.0 after CT (Figure 2). PASI was not assessed at 3 months as participants were recruited from all of Sweden and assessment was not regarded as logistically feasible. The increment in itch seen at 3 months could suggest that some participants may have had a partial relapse of skin disease. Medium-to long-term PASI results after CT have been studied before which has shown that one-fifth of patients will have sustained treatment effect at 4- to 6-month follow-up (PASI75) (9). The median PASI score before the start of treatment was lower in the current study than previous studies on CT. This is probably attributed to the high prevalence of systemic treatments in this cohort (44%). The higher prevalence of systemic treatment is probably attributed to the general change in how psoriasis is treated in Sweden (national treatment goals are currently PASI <3 and DLQI ≤5). CT participants were encouraged to continue their baseline psoriasis treatment during the follow-up period. The psychosocial impact seen despite a relatively low PASI score before the start of treatment may suggest that not only scheduled sunbathing but also the other interventions of CT contribute to positive psychological effects. Future studies with a mixed-method approach including both quantitative and qualitative assessments may clarify which components of CT that exert the effects found. It is possible that such studies could facilitate the design of interventions to help young patients with the psychosocial burden of disease also without travel.

5 Limitations

As in most studies on CT, the participants served as their own controls, which arguably is a weakness. In a randomized trial with a separate control group, an "unhappiness-bias" might emerge. A degree of a negative psychosocial impact could be attributed to the disappointment of being assigned to the control group and not being able to join the CT. Participants of *Ung med psoriasis* CT trips apply to the trips themselves (although a dermatologist assessment is obligatory). It is assumable that individuals only will apply if they believe that the treatment modality could be of benefit to them, which could lead to a selection bias. Furthermore, the lack of a 3-month PASI assessment makes it difficult to compare psychosocial effects with effects on skin disease activity at this time point, which is a weakness.

6 Conclusion

The results suggest that CT could be an attractive treatment for young individuals with psoriasis with a marked psychosocial disease burden. Further studies are needed to determine what mediates the observed effects and to determine whether the effects are still standing beyond 3-month follow-up.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by The Swedish Ethical Review Authority (Lund). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The ethics committee/institutional review board waived the requirement of written informed consent for participation from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin because according to Swedish law written informed consent from legal guardians is required under age of 15 not 18. The study has been performed under Swedish regulations.

Author contributions

MN: Data curation, Formal analysis, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. AD: Conceptualization, Data curation, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

References

1. Danielsen K, Duvetorp A, Iversen L, Ostergaard M, Seifert O, Tveit KS, et al. Prevalence of psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis and patient perceptions of severity in Sweden, Norway, and Denmark: results from the Nordic patient survey of psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis. *Acta Derm Venereol.* (2019) 99:18–25. doi: 10.2340/00015555-3017

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. The present study was supported by funding from "Stiftelsen Gösta A Karlssons 60-årsfond" with Legal Entity Identifier (LEI) code: 549300YUGLOS0IS242 and "Stiftelsen Psoriasfonden" with LEI code 5493000323AT9D7EB366.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank *Ung med psoriasis* for practical support during the study, *Psoriasisförbundet*, for economic support and Florence Dalgard, M.D., Ph.D., for advice on questionnaire selection and her valuable insights.

Conflict of interest

Max Nordgren has (with no compensation) participated in a Health Technology Assessment (HTA) pre-study at HTA Syd, Region Skåne, Sweden regarding climatotherapy and has received honoraria when attending advisory boards for Abbvie, Leo Pharma and Lilly and participated in educational events sponsored by Abbvie and Leo Pharma. Albert Duvetorp has attended advisory board for Lilly, Almirall, participated in educational events sponsored by or has received direct honoraria from Abbvie, UCB, Boehringer Ingelheim, Janssen, Ung med Psoriasis, participated in research collaborations initiated by Leo Pharma and Celgene.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed.2024.1458394/ full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S1 Application form.

^{2.} Iskandar IYK, Parisi R, Griffiths CEM, Ashcroft DMGlobal Psoriasis Atlas. Systematic review examining changes over time and variation in the incidence and prevalence of psoriasis by age and gender. *Br J Dermatol.* (2021) 184:243–58. doi: 10.1111/bjd.19169

3. Cohen BE, Martires KJ, Ho RS. Psoriasis and the risk of depression in the US population: National Health and nutrition examination survey 2009-2012. *JAMA Dermatol.* (2016) 152:73–9. doi: 10.1001/jamadermatol.2015.3605

4. Gupta MA, Schork NJ, Gupta AK, Kirkby S, Ellis CN. Suicidal ideation in psoriasis. Int J Dermatol. (1993) 32:188–90. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-4362.1993.tb02790.x

5. Rapp SR, Feldman SR, Exum ML, Fleischer AB Jr, Reboussin DM. Psoriasis causes as much disability as other major medical diseases. J Am Acad Dermatol. (1999) 41:401–7.

6. Remrod C, Sjostrom K, Svensson A. Psychological differences between early- and late-onset psoriasis: a study of personality traits, anxiety and depression in psoriasis. *Br J Dermatol.* (2013) 169:344–50. doi: 10.1111/bjd.12371

7. Harari M, Czarnowicki T, Fluss R, Ruzicka T, Ingber A. Patients with early-onset psoriasis achieve better results following Dead Sea climatotherapy. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol.* (2012) 26:554–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-3083.2011.04099.x

8. Emmanuel T, Lybaek D, Johansen C, Iversen L. Effect of dead sea climatotherapy on psoriasis: a prospective cohort study. *Front Med (Lausanne)*. (2020) 7:83. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2020.00083

9. Trostrup H, Riis PT, Heidenheim M, Bryld LE, Jemec GB. Long-term evaluation of climatotherapy for psoriasis. *Dermatol Ther.* (2020) 33:e13432. doi: 10.1111/dth.13432

10. Harari M, Novack L, Barth J, David M, Friger M, Moses SW. The percentage of patients achieving PASI 75 after 1 month and remission time after climatotherapy at the Dead Sea. *Int J Dermatol.* (2007) 46:1087–91. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-4632.2007.03278.x

11. Cohen AD, Van-Dijk D, Naggan L, Vardy DA. Effectiveness of climatotherapy at the Dead Sea for psoriasis vulgaris: a community-oriented study introducing the 'Beer Sheva psoriasis severity Score'. *J Dermatolog Treat.* (2005) 16:308–13. doi: 10.1080/09546630500375841

12. Kotb IS, Lewis BJ, Barker RN, Ormerod AD. Differential effects of phototherapy, adalimumab and betamethasone-calcipotriol on effector and regulatory T cells in psoriasis. *Br J Dermatol.* (2018) 179:127–35. doi: 10.1111/bjd.16336

13. Duvetorp A, Pettersson K, Soderman J, Assarsson M, Seifert O. Narrowband-UVB treatment reduces levels of mediators of the Th17 pathway and chemotaxis in psoriatic skin without any concurring effect on mediator levels in serum. *Eur J Dermatol.* (2022) 32:250–9. doi: 10.1684/ejd.2022.4243

14. Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. *Acta Psychiatr Scand.* (1983) 67:361–70. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0447.1983.tb09716.x

15. Cohen S, Williamson GM. Perceived stress in a probability sample of the United-States In: S Spacapan and S Oskamp, editors. The social psychology of health. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc. (1988). 31–67.

16. Lawrence JW, Fauerbach JA, Heinberg LJ, Doctor M, Thombs BD. The reliability and validity of the perceived stigmatization questionnaire (PSQ) and the social comfort questionnaire (SCQ) among an adult burn survivor sample. *Psychol Assess.* (2006) 18:106–11. doi: 10.1037/1040-3590.18.1.106

17. Finlay AY, Khan GK. Dermatology life quality index (DLQI)--a simple practical measure for routine clinical use. *Clin Exp Dermatol.* (1994) 19:210–6. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2230.1994.tb01167.x

18. Jorngarden A, Wettergen L, von Essen L. Measuring health-related quality of life in adolescents and young adults: Swedish normative data for the SF-36 and the HADS, and the influence of age, gender, and method of administration. *Health Qual Life Outcomes.* (2006) 4:91. doi: 10.1186/1477-7525-4-91

19. Nordin M, Nordin S. Psychometric evaluation and normative data of the Swedish version of the 10-item perceived stress scale. *Scand J Psychol.* (2013) 54:502–7. doi: 10.1111/sjop.12071

20. Vakeva L, Niemela S, Lauha M, Pasternack R, Hannuksela-Svahn A, Hjerppe A, et al. Narrowband ultraviolet B phototherapy improves quality of life of psoriasis and atopic dermatitis patients up to 3 months: results from an observational multicenter study. *Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed.* (2019) 35:332–8. doi: 10.1111/phpp.12479

21. Armstrong AW, Foley P, Liu Y, Miller M, Teneralli RE, Bewley A, et al. Direct and indirect effect of Guselkumab on anxiety, depression, and quality of life in patients with moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis: a mediation analysis. *Dermatol Ther (Heidelb).* (2024) 14:2577–89. doi: 10.1007/s13555-024-01250-9

22. Gordon KB, Armstrong AW, Han C, Foley P, Song M, Wasfi Y, et al. Anxiety and depression in patients with moderate-to-severe psoriasis and comparison of change from baseline after treatment with guselkumab vs. adalimumab: results from the phase 3 VOYAGE 2 study. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol.* (2018) 32:1940–9. doi: 10.1111/jdv.15012

23. Beylot-Barry M, Mahe E, Rolland C, de la Breteque MA, Eychenne C, Charles J, et al. Evaluation of the benefit of thermal spa therapy in plaque psoriasis: the PSOTHERMES randomized clinical trial. *Int J Biometeorol.* (2022) 66:1247–56. doi: 10.1007/s00484-022-02273-7