Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Cong-Qiu Chu, Oregon Health and Science University, United States

REVIEWED BY Jean-Baptiste Telliez, Pfizer, United States Sabine Arve-Butler, Amgen, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Anikó E. Malik ⊠ aniko.malik@dal.ca

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share last authorship

RECEIVED 17 July 2024 ACCEPTED 12 September 2024 PUBLISHED 27 September 2024

CITATION

Malik AE, Slauenwhite D, McAlpine SM, Hanly JG, Marshall JS, Dérfalvi B and Issekutz TB (2024) Differential type I and type III interferon expression profiles in rheumatoid and juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *Front. Med.* 11:1466397. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2024.1466397

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Malik, Slauenwhite, McAlpine, Hanly, Marshall, Dérfalvi and Issekutz. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Differential type I and type III interferon expression profiles in rheumatoid and juvenile idiopathic arthritis

Anikó E. Malik^{1,2}*, Drew Slauenwhite^{1,2}, Sarah M. McAlpine^{1,2}, John G. Hanly^{3,4}, Jean S. Marshall⁵, Beáta Dérfalvi^{1,2†} and Thomas B. Issekutz^{1,2†}

¹IWK Health Centre, Halifax, NS, Canada, ²Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada, ³Division of Rheumatology, Faculty of Medicine, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada, ⁴Queen Elizabeth II Health Sciences Centre, Halifax, NS, Canada, ⁵Department of Microbiology & Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada

Background: The role of type I and type III interferons (IFNs) in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) is still poorly understood. The objective of this study was to examine the hypothesis that IFN expression profiles in the peripheral blood differ between subsets of arthritic subjects. Multiple type I and type III IFNs were examined in patients with RA and JIA, as well as among subtypes of JIA.

Methods: Treatment-naïve RA and JIA patients were enrolled. Droplet digital PCR was used to measure the expression of type I, II, and III interferons in blood and synovial fluid leukocytes. Dendritic cell subsets were isolated from synovial fluid to examine IFN expression in each subset. Additionally, synovial mononuclear cells and JIA-derived fibroblast-like synoviocytes were stimulated with TNF, IFN_Y, and poly(I:C) to examine inducible IFN expression.

Results: The predominant type I IFN gene expressed by blood leukocytes was *IFN*_K and was significantly lower in RA than JIA and controls. Oligoarticular and psoriatic JIA subgroups showed higher *IFN*_K expression compared to polyarticular JIA and RA. JIA synovial fluid leukocytes expressed abundant *IFN*_Y and type III IFNs (*IFN*_λ1, *IFN*_λ3), with distinct dendritic cell subset contributions. JIA fibroblast-like synoviocytes produced IFN_β, IFN_λ1, and IFN_λ2 mRNA upon poly(I:C) stimulation.

Conclusion: This study revealed differences in IFN expression patterns in RA and JIA, with notable differences between JIA subtypes. The expression levels of *IFNĸ*, *IFNγ*, *IFNλ1* and *IFNλ3* in JIA suggest specific roles in disease pathology, influenced by disease subtype and joint microenvironment. This study contributes to understanding IFN-mediated mechanisms in arthritis, potentially guiding targeted therapeutic strategies.

KEYWORDS

rheumatoid arthritis, juvenile idiopathic arthritis, interferon, dendritic cell, synovial fluid, cytokine

Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a complex systemic disease characterized by heterogeneous clinical presentations, ranging from mild symptoms to severe inflammation and early joint destruction. This clinical heterogeneity reflects diversity within cellular and molecular immunopathology (1). Similarly, juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) is the most common chronic rheumatologic disease of childhood, with multiple subtypes including oligoarticular, polyarticular, and psoriatic JIA (2). In both RA and JIA, prognosis and treatment responses vary widely, highlighting the need for biological markers to guide treatment choices.

The latest approved class of disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs), Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitors, offers promising therapeutic options for patients refractory to treatment with conventional DMARDs and biologics (3). The JAK/signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) pathways are crucial in interferon (IFN) signaling, underscoring the importance of understanding IFN contributions to autoimmune arthritis.

IFNs have been implicated in the pathogenesis of RA and JIA (4). IFNs are pleiotropic cytokines divided into three groups termed type I (α 1-13, β , ε , κ and ω 1), type II (IFN γ), and type III (λ 1, λ 2, λ 3, and λ 4) (5, 6). Although type I and type III IFNs are structurally distinct, they have overlapping functions, and while binding to specific receptors they both signal through the JAK/STAT pathway to induce transcription of IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) (7). This study focuses on type I and type III IFNs, with type II IFN examined for comparison, since its role in arthritis has been thoroughly investigated (8).

Current knowledge indicates that type I IFNs (IFN α and IFN β) play significant roles in RA and JIA pathogenesis, contributing to inflammation, joint destruction and autoimmunity (9). Type I IFNs activate various cell types crucial in RA pathogenesis, including macrophages, dendritic cells (DC), B cells, and fibroblast-like synoviocytes (FLS), enhancing the production of inflammatory cytokines like IL-6, matrix metalloproteinases, and autoantibodies. Clinical trials blocking type I IFN signaling pathways using monoclonal antibodies against their receptor subunit IFNAR1 have shown efficacy in reducing RA disease activity (10). However, there is still much to learn about the expression patterns of other potent type I IFNs such as IFN ε , IFN κ , and IFN ω 1, which also signal through the type I IFN receptor and have not been widely studied in these conditions. By studying a broader range of type I IFNs, we can potentially identify new therapeutic targets and gain a better understanding of their roles in disease pathology.

Type III IFNs exert both pro- and anti-inflammatory effects on innate and adaptive immunity (11). IFN λ 1 enhances the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, leading to immune cell recruitment to sites of inflammation (12). IFN λ 2 also has antiinflammatory effects including inhibition of neutrophil reactiveoxygen-species production, degranulation, formation of neutrophilextracellular-traps, and chemotaxis (13, 14). This anti-inflammatory effect is similar to IL-10, with which IFN λ s share sequence homology and signaling through the shared IL-10R2 subunit (15).

In RA, serum IFN λ 1 has been shown to be elevated, particularly among autoantibody-positive patients, but no correlation was observed with disease activity (DA) (16). There is no published data on the expression and function of type III IFNs in JIA. While IFN α and IFN β are known to be expressed in the blood and synovium of RA and JIA patients (17, 18), the expression of other type I and type III IFNs in blood leukocytes and inflamed synovial fluid cells has not been studied. Moreover, FLS in the synovial lining mediate joint destruction by perpetuating inflammation via cytokine production (including type I IFNs) (19). Type I IFN expression in JIA has also not been examined.

We hypothesize that there are distinct differences in the expression of type I and type III IFNs between RA and JIA and among subtypes of JIA, which could be significant for disease mechanisms, potential response to treatment, and outcomes. We observed a previously unrecognized dysregulation of IFN κ and notable differences between JIA and RA profiles as well as altered expression among subsets of JIA patients. This study contributes to our understanding of IFN-mediated mechanisms in arthritis. By identifying IFN expression patterns in RA and JIA, as well as among different JIA subtypes, this study seeks to provide insights into disease pathology and guide the development of targeted anti-IFN therapies. This could lead to more effective therapeutic strategies for patients with RA and JIA.

Materials and methods

Patients and sample selection

Treatment-naïve RA patients who met the 2010 American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) classification criteria were recruited from the Rheumatology Clinic at The Arthritis Center, Queen Elizabeth II Health Sciences Center in Halifax, Canada (20). Patients with moderate-to-high disease activity [Disease Activity Score in 28 joints using the erythrocyte sedimentation rate (DAS28-ESR of >3.2)] and no previous exposure to glucocorticosteroids were included. Clinical parameters including disease duration, C-reactive protein (CRP), erythrocytesedimentation rate (ESR), and autoantibody serostatus [of rheumatoid factor (RF) and anti-citrullinated protein antibodies (ACPA) were obtained]. Response to therapy was determined based on DAS28-ESR score of \leq 3.2 after 6 months of methotrexate (MTX) treatment. Treatment-naïve oligoarticular, polyarticular, and psoriatic JIA patients without exposure to glucocorticosteroids and fulfilling the International League Against Rheumatism (ILAR) classification criteria for JIA were enrolled from the Rheumatology Clinic at IWK Health in Halifax, Canada (21). Exclusion criteria for all groups included individuals with current infections, malignant diseases, and other systemic autoimmune diseases. Healthy volunteers of similar age and sex to the RA patients with no infections or systemic autoimmune diseases were included as healthy controls (HCs). Studies were approved by the Nova Scotia Health Authority and IWK Health research ethics boards. All participants or legal guardians provided written informed consent.

Blood and synovial fluid sample processing

Heparinized blood was collected from all patients. Platelet-free plasma was separated, erythrocytes were lysed, leukocytes were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), then lysed in RNA lysis buffer (Qiagen) and stored at -80° C.

Synovial fluid (SF) was collected from inflamed knee joints of treatment-naïve JIA patients in acid citrate dextrose. Cell-free SF was separated, bulk SFL were washed twice in PBS, lysed in Qiazol (Qiagen), and stored at -80° C. SF mononuclear cells (SFMNCs) were purified by layering over Ficoll-Paque Plus, and centrifuged at 540 x g for 25 min. SFMNCs were washed three times using RPMI-1640 containing 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco) and stored in liquid nitrogen.

Sorting of dendritic cell subsets

SFMNCs of three oligoarticular JIA patients were stained with the antibodies panel in Supplementary Table 1, washed, resuspended in PBS containing albumin, and sorted using BD FACS Aria Fusion (BD Biosciences).

Establishment and activation of fibroblast-like synoviocytes

Primary FLS were cultivated from SF samples of treatment-naïve JIA patients, as previously described (22). Activation assays were initiated on the fourth passage at 80% confluency. Each culture was treated with TNF, IFN γ (PeproTech), or the TLR3 ligand high molecular weight poly(I:C). After 6 h, supernatants were removed, and cell monolayers were lysed with 700 µL Qiazol.

Total RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

Total RNA was extracted from $600\,\mu$ L blood leukocyte (BL) and synovial fluid leukocyte (SFL) lysates using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) as per the manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA extracts were stored at -80° C. Synthesis of cDNA from 200 ng total RNA per reaction was performed using an iScriptTM cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad) and cDNA samples were stored at -20° C.

Reverse Transcription Quantitative Real-Time PCR

ISG expression (ISG15, OAS1, IFIT1, CXCL10) in leukocytes and IFN expression in FLS were assessed in duplicate by qPCR using SYBR Green (Bio-Rad) on the CFX Connect Real-Time Thermocycler (Bio-Rad) for a total of 40 cycles. *Actin Gamma 1 (ACTG1)* and *Hypoxanthine Phosphoribosyl Transferase 1 (HPRT1)* served as reference genes. Negative controls ('no reverse transcriptase' and no template controls) were included according to MIQE (Minimum Information for Publication of Quantitative Real-Time PCR Experiments) guidelines (23).

Droplet digital PCR

IFN expression (IFN λ 1, IFN λ 2, IFN λ 3, IFN α 1, IFN β 1, IFN ϵ , IFN κ and IFN ω 1) in leukocytes was assessed by ddPCR using EvaGreen Supermix (Bio-Rad) and primers described in

Supplementary Table 2. Droplets were generated using a QX200 Droplet Generator and thermocycling was performed in a C1000 Touch Thermocycler (Bio-Rad). Droplets were read on a QX200 Droplet Reader (Bio-Rad). The absolute quantity of DNA per sample (copies/ μ L) was calculated using QuantaSoft (v.1.7.4.0917). The relative expression was calculated by ΔC_T method.

ELISA

IFN λ 1 protein level in diluted (1:4) plasma and synovial fluid duplicate samples from JIA patients was measured using enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ThermoFisher) as per the manufacturer's instruction.

Statistical analyses

Data are presented as individual points with medians. Statistical significance was determined using the non-parametric Mann–Whitney or Wilcoxon Sign Rank test. Linear correlation coefficients were calculated using Spearman's correlation test. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software). p values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Characteristics of study subjects

The RA cohort included 35 DMARD-naïve patients with recentonset RA. After 6 months of MTX treatment, 15 patients (42.9%) achieved remission based on their DAS28-ESR score, while 20 patients (57.1%) had persistent moderate-to-high disease activity. No significant differences were observed in mean age, gender distribution, baseline DAS28-ESR score, CRP level, ESR, or ACPA/RF serostatus between the two groups (Supplementary Table 3). The JIA cohort included 16 treatment-naïve patients (61% female) of oligoarticular (56%), polyarticular (25%), and psoriatic (19%) subtypes, enrolled at the time of intraarticular steroid injection.

\textit{IFN}_{κ} was the major type I IFN expressed in the blood leukocytes of both RA and JIA patients

RNA expression of selected type I (α 1, β 1, ε , κ , ω 1), type II (γ) and type III (λ 1, λ 2, λ 3) IFNs in HCs and treatment-naïve RA and JIA patients was determined by ddPCR. The expression of IFN α 1, IFN β , IFN ε and IFN ω 1 mRNA was low to undetectable in all BL and SFL patient and HC samples, while IFN κ and IFN γ were readily detectable in all groups (Figure 1). RA BL had significantly lower IFN κ expression compared to HCs and JIA patients (Figure 1A). JIA SFL showed significantly higher IFN κ expression compared RA SFL (Figure 1A). Grouping JIA patients based on disease subtype, revealed higher BL IFN κ expression among oligoarticular and psoriatic JIA patients, compared to RA patients (Figure 1B). The polyarticular JIA samples



ACTG1 and show the median and interquartile range of 4–18 individuals per group. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.001 by Mann–Whitney test or Wilcoxon test for matched comparisons.

were similar to that of patients with RA. There was no difference in IFN λ 1 and IFN λ 3 expression in JIA subtypes.

Type II IFN γ expression was highly elevated at the site of inflammation

IFN γ was significantly higher in the SFL of RA and JIA patients compared to the matched BL (Figure 1C). No significant differences

of BL IFN γ expressions were observed among HCs, RA, and JIA patients, or JIA subtypes (Supplementary Figure 1A).

Type III IFN $\lambda 1$ was differentially expressed in RA and JIA

Evaluation of type III IFNs demonstrated that IFN λ 1 was significantly lower in RA patients' BL compared to HCs (Figure 1D).

IFN λ 2 and IFN λ 3 expression in BL was low or undetectable across all groups (Figure 1D). Like IFN κ , significantly higher IFN λ 1 and IFN λ 3 was observed in JIA patient SFL compared to RA SFL. Moreover, IFN λ 1 and IFN λ 3 expression was higher in SFL compared to BL in JIA but not in RA patients. IFN λ 1 protein was measured in both the plasma and SF of JIA patients, and showed higher protein level of IFN λ 1 in the SF (Supplementary Figure 2). No differences in IFN λ 1 and IFN λ 3 expression were found among JIA subtypes (Supplementary Figures 1B,C).

We found no correlation between levels of IFN expression and baseline DAS28-ESR, inflammatory parameters (CRP, ESR), or ACPA/ RF serostatus in RA patients (Supplementary Figure 3). The expression of IFN κ , IFN γ and IFN λ 1 was compared based on grouping of RA patients relative to response to MTX treatment. No significant differences in expression between patient groups was observed (data not shown).

IFN-stimulated gene expression in RA and JIA

The ability of IFN mRNAs expressed in BL and SFLs to stimulate active protein and in turn induce downstream IFN stimulated gene (ISG) message in RA, JIA and control subjects was determined by qPCR in the same samples (Figure 2). We measured ISG15, IFIT1 and OAS1 mRNA expressions to assess interferon signature in our samples. These genes are commonly used as markers to measure the activity of type I and type III interferons because they are strongly upregulated in response to interferon signaling (7). In RA, ISG15, IFIT1 and OAS1 expressions are often elevated, which correlates with disease activity; the overexpression of these genes can lead to increased inflammation and joint damage (24). CXCL10 was used to measure the downstream effect of type II IFNy. We found, that ISG15, IFIT1 and CXCL10 mRNA expression were increased in SFL compared to BL. This difference was greatest in the case of CXCL10 but IFIT in RA SFL and ISG15 in JIA SFL were significantly higher than in BL from the same groups. CXCL10 mRNA was higher in RA and JIA BL compared to HCs. No differences in SFL ISGs were observed between RA and JIA patients.

ISG expression did not correlate with clinical parameters or disease activity. However, IFN κ correlated with ISG15 (r=0.59 and p=0.03) and IFIT1 (r=0.63 and p=0.02) expression in the BL of JIA patients (Supplementary Figure 4). ISG expression did not differ between RA MTX responders and non-responders (data not shown). IFN γ showed a positive trend with CXCL10 (Supplementary Figure 5).

Distinct IFN mRNA expression by DC subsets in the synovium

DCs are a major source of type I and type III IFNs (25). To investigate which DC subsets (cDC1: CD11c⁺CD141⁺, cDC2: CD11c⁺CD1c⁺, pDC: CD11c⁻CD123⁺) may be the source of the increased expression of IFN κ and IFN λ 1 in the inflamed joint, DCs were sorted from SFMNC of oligoarticular JIA patients (*N*=3; Supplementary Figure 6). IFN α 1 mRNA was primarily produced by pDCs as expected (Figure 3). However, IFN κ was expressed primarily by cDC2 and pDC subsets, and IFN λ 1 was mainly expressed by cDC1 cells (Figure 3).

Type I and type III IFN production by JIA FLS

Although DCs are the primary source of type I and III IFNs, other cells can also produce these cytokines (26). Primary JIA FLS were stimulated with TNF, IFN γ and the TLR3 ligand poly(I:C). The increase in expression of IFNs and (the positive control) CXCL10 was determined relative to unstimulated FLS, in which IFN expression was very low or undetectable (Figure 4). IFN β expression was significantly induced by poly(I:C) and TNF, while IFN λ 1 and IFN λ 2 expression were induced exclusively by poly(I:C) and not the cytokines (Figure 4). CXCL10 was strongly induced by all stimuli.





pDC) were sorted from SFMNC of oligoarticular JIA patients. Expression of selected type I and type III IFNs was measured by ddPCR. Data are the median and the range, symbols represent the individual patient samples (n = 3 in case of cDC1 and cDC2; n = 2 in case of pDC).



FIGURE 4

Fibroblast-like synoviocytes contribute to the inflammatory environment by producing specific type I and type III interferons in JIA patients. Fibroblast-like synoviocytes (FLS) were treated with poly(I:C; 10 mg/mL), IFNγ (100 IU/mL), or tumor necrosis factor (TNF; 50 ng/mL) for 6 h. Type I IFNs (IFNa1, IFN β , IFN $\epsilon,$ and IFN $\kappa)$ and type III IFNs (IFNλ1 and IFNλ2) mRNA induction were measured by qPCR. The interferon-stimulated gene CXCL10 was a positive control. Data are shown as the fold change relative to unstimulated control of normalized expression values (using reference genes HPRT1 and ACTG1). Data show the median, maximum, and minimum values of cultures from four patients. *p < 0.05 by Wilcoxon-test. The dotted line represents the normalized expression in non-treatment controls.

Discussion

Our study identified striking differences in the expression of type I and type III IFNs in RA and JIA. Of the numerous type I IFNs, IFN κ was the only type I IFN detected in substantial amounts. RA BL and SFL expressed very little IFNĸ, with BL expression significantly less than that in HC. JIA BLs and SFLs expressed IFNĸ, and this was significantly more than that in RA leukocytes. IFNy was detectable in both RA and JIA and it was significantly higher in SFL than BL. Type III IFNs, like type I IFNs, were also low in RA leukocytes, while elevated expressions of IFN\l and IFN\l were readily detectable in JIA SFL and significantly higher than in RA SFLs. IFNA3 was much higher in JIA SFL than in RA, where none was present. Interestingly, despite these differences in IFN expression, some ISG expression was elevated in both RA and JIA SFL. This also demonstrated that the IFN mRNA induced IFN proteins and, in turn, activated ISGs. Interestingly, CXCL10 was the only ISG which consistently showed an increased expression in BL of arthritic subjects compared with healthy controls.

Our novel finding of IFNk expression in JIA, particularly in oligoarticular and psoriatic subtypes, compared to polyarticular JIA and RA, suggests a role for IFNĸ in JIA pathogenesis. IFNĸ plays a nuanced role in human diseases (27). Unlike IFN α and IFN β , IFN κ has unique characteristics and expression patterns. In the skin, IFN κ is predominantly expressed in keratinocytes (28). It is elevated in keratinocytes following viral infection, exposure to double-stranded RNA, or IFN γ and IFN β (29). It was shown, that serum IFN κ was elevated in inflamed skin diseases, such as cutaneous lupus and psoriasis (30, 31). IFN κ is also produced by monocytes and DCs (32). The finding that IFNk expressions are low in both polyarticular JIA and RA aligns with the concept of shared characteristics between these conditions, potentially informing JIA classification and may be useful in management strategies (2, 33). Understanding the mechanisms behind the differential IFNk expression in specific JIA subtypes may reveal distinct disease-specific pathways and offer new therapeutic options.

IFN α , IFN β , IFN ϵ and IFN ω 1 mRNA were low to undetectable in BL and SFLs from both RA and JIA patients. Previous studies suggest that soluble factors, such as TGF β , may impair the ability of pDCs to mature and release type I IFNs into RA SF (34). These regulatory factors may account for the low or absent levels measured in our patients. Our results suggest distinct pathways regulating IFNĸ. Others have reported better responses to TNF-inhibitors in RA patients with a lower IFN β to IFN α ratio (35, 36). However, in these studies, the IFN activity was measured by reporter cell assays using patient's serum in the presence of anti-IFN α or anti-IFN β , and the activity of other type I and type III IFNs and IFN message in blood leukocytes were not determined.

Prior information on the expression of type III IFNs in JIA is limited to a single study reporting lower intraocular IFN λ 1 in JIA-associated uveitis compared to idiopathic uveitis (36). We found elevated IFN λ 1 and IFN λ 3 expression in SFL at the site of inflammation but not in the blood. This is consistent with data showing high IFN λ in the synovial tissue of adult patients with autoimmune rheumatic diseases (37). We also demonstrated that IFN λ 1 is primarily produced by the CD141⁺ cDC1s, which are likely one of the key regulators of the immune response in the joint, such as found in RA and psoriatic arthritis (38).

To our knowledge, we are the first to measure IFN λ 2 expression in JIA SFL. We found that IFN λ 2 expression was low to undetectable in BL and SFL. In a mouse model of RA, IFN λ 2 treatment reversed arthritis by suppressing IL-1 β and restricting neutrophil recruitment to inflammatory sites (39). The lack of IFN λ 2 in both RA and JIA suggests a possible loss of these anti-inflammatory effects in arthritis. Insufficient IFN λ 2 levels may shift the balance toward a pro-inflammatory state. Hypothetically, therapeutic administration of recombinant IFN λ 2 could help resolve arthritis in patients by restoring anti-inflammatory functions; this may represent a novel biological treatment approach to consider. The low levels of endogenous IFN λ 2 suggests that specifically blocking IFN λ 1 may be a more beneficial strategy than generalized IFN λ inhibition.

Preliminary investigations into the role of specific DC subsets revealed that cDC2 cells are a significant source of IFN κ production. In contrast, cDC1 cells predominantly expressed IFN λ 1, underscoring the potential subtype-specific roles of DCs in modulating IFN responses. The purpose of this analysis was to identify which DC subsets were responsible for producing specific IFNs within the synovial fluid. The DCs were isolated from the synovial fluid of three patients, who had oligoarticular JIA. While the JIA subtype could influence the overall level of IFN expression, we believe that it should not affect the cellular source of the IFNs, which was the focus of this experiment.

In addition, the TLR3 agonist poly(I:C) upregulated not only IFN β , but IFN λ 1 and IFN λ 2 expression in the FLS from JIA patients suggesting that FLS can also produce both type I and type III IFNs and likely contribute to the inflammatory environment in the joint. Synoviocytes are the dominant non-immune cells of the synovial tissue and contribute to joint destruction via multiple mechanisms (40). These findings suggest which synovial cells could be therapeutic targets, although macrophages, T and B cells may also contribute substantially to IFN production. Understanding IFN sources in the joint facilitates selectively targeted delivery of pathway inhibitors to relevant cell populations.

The IFN pathway is an attractive therapeutic target, and several drugs are currently under investigation for treatment of systemic autoimmune diseases (41). Biologics blocking the IFN receptor subunits of IFNAR1 or IFNLR1 may have differential effects based on IFN profiles. The distinct IFN profiles among arthritis subtypes imply that targeted anti-IFN treatments need to be tailored based on disease specifics. The varying abundance of specific IFNs between disease subtypes suggests relative efficacy of JAK inhibitors may differ. For example, higher synovial IFN λ 1 in JIA indicates JAK inhibitors may have greater benefit in JIA by inhibiting IFN λ 1 activity, versus RA where IFN λ 1 is lower. IFN κ inhibitors may have particular utility in oligo/psoriatic JIA given the high levels observed. Additionally, patients with very high synovial IFN levels

may benefit most from localized intra-articular anti-IFN agents. Measuring IFN subtype levels could help identify patients most likely to respond to JAK pathway blockade based on their dominant IFN species. Our findings support the development of more selective inhibitors of specific IFN subtypes, as opposed to broad JAK inhibitors (42). Moreover, the reduced IFN λ 2 levels imply loss of natural inflammation control mechanisms in arthritis pathogenesis. Boosting IFN λ 2 could theoretically exert protective actions, while IFN λ 2-sparing interventions may be preferred therapeutically to maintain any residual anti-inflammatory activity. Further mechanistic studies on the drivers of diminished IFN λ 2 in arthritis are warranted.

The small sample size is a limitation of this study, underscoring the need for larger, more comprehensive investigations. In addition, longitudinal studies with objective clinical parameters would evaluate the predictive role of type I and type III IFNs on the disease outcome in JIA patients.

In conclusion, our findings suggest that IFN κ and the type III IFNs, plays a more prominent role in the pathophysiology of JIA compared to RA, especially in oligoarticular and psoriatic JIA subtypes. This supports the concept that the pathophysiology of these conditions is influenced by unique IFN-mediated pathways, underscoring the need for subtype-specific approaches in their management and potentially more targeted and effective therapeutic strategies.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Nova Scotia Health Authority IWK Health. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardians/next of kin.

Author contributions

AM: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition, Project administration. DS: Methodology, Writing – review & editing, SM: Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization. JH: Conceptualization, Data curation, Writing – review & editing, Investigation. JM: Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Funding acquisition. BD: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. TI: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing, Formal analysis.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Canadian Institute of Health Research [THC-135230]; IWK Health [#20294, #1023393]; and the Nova Scotia Health Research Foundation [Establishment Grant #1045, Scotia Scholar Award #1638].

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the following staff rheumatologists for their support in recruiting patients and obtaining samples for the study: S. Shatshat, E. Murphy, T. Taylor, V. Bakowsky, J. Wong, E. Shaw, E. Sutton, J. Lazovskis, J. Roberts, S. Ramsey, B. Lang, E. Stringer, and A. Huber. We also thank participating patients from the Rheumatology Clinic at the Queen Elizabeth II Health Sciences Center and the Pediatric Rheumatology Clinic at IWK Health, and healthy control volunteers for donating samples. We are grateful to Sarah Roberts, Alexander Edgar, and Tatjana Brauer-Chapin for their excellent technical assistance. Additional acknowledgments include the staff of the Flow Cytometry Core Facility of Dalhousie University for the sorting experiments.

References

1. Rivellese F, Pitzalis C. Cellular and molecular diversity in rheumatoid arthritis. Semin Immunol. (2022) 58:101519. doi: 10.1016/j.smim.2021.101519

2. Martini A, Lovell DJ, Albani S, Brunner HI, Hyrich KL, Thompson SD, et al. Juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. (2022) 8:5. doi: 10.1038/ s41572-021-00332-8

3. Kubo S, Nakayamada S, Tanaka Y. JAK inhibitors for rheumatoid arthritis. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs*. (2023) 32:333-44. doi: 10.1080/13543784.2023.2199919

4. Muskardin TLW, Niewold TB. Type I interferon in rheumatic diseases. *Nat Rev Rheumatol.* (2018) 14:214–28. doi: 10.1038/nrrheum.2018.31

5. Kotenko SV, Gallagher G, Baurin VV, Lewis-Antes A, Shen M, Shah NK, et al. IFNlambdas mediate antiviral protection through a distinct class II cytokine receptor complex. *Nat Immunol.* (2003) 4:69–77. doi: 10.1038/ni875

6. Sheppard P, Kindsvogel W, Xu W, Henderson K, Schlutsmeyer S, Whitmore TE, et al. IL-28, IL-29 and their class II cytokine receptor IL-28R. *Nat Immunol.* (2003) 4:63–8. doi: 10.1038/ni873

7. Lazear HM, Schoggins JW, Diamond MS. Shared and distinct functions of type I and type III interferons. *Immunity*. (2019) 50:907–23. doi: 10.1016/j. immuni.2019.03.025

8. Kato M. New insights into IFN- γ in rheumatoid arthritis: role in the era of JAK inhibitors. Immunological Med. (2020) 43:72–8. doi: 10.1080/25785826.2020.1751908

9. Lopez de Padilla CM, Niewold TB. The type I interferons: basic concepts and clinical relevance in immune-mediated inflammatory diseases. *Gene.* (2016) 576:14–21. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2015.09.058

10. Karonitsch T, Yeghiazaryan L, Lackner A, Brezinsek HP, Stamm TA, Konig F, et al. Targeting type I interferon (IFN) signalling in patients with RA with a high type I IFN gene signature. *RMD Open*. (2022) 8:e002525. doi: 10.1136/rmdopen-2022-002525

11. Goel RR, Kotenko SV, Kaplan MJ. Interferon lambda in inflammation and autoimmune rheumatic diseases. *Nat Rev Rheumatol.* (2021) 17:349–62. doi: 10.1038/ s41584-021-00606-1

12. Xu L, Peng Q, Xuan W, Feng X, Kong X, Zhang M, et al. Interleukin-29 enhances synovial inflammation and cartilage degradation in osteoarthritis. *Mediat Inflamm*. (2016) 2016:1–13. doi: 10.1155/2016/9631510

13. Broggi A, Tan Y, Granucci F, Zanoni I. IFN-lambda suppresses intestinal inflammation by non-translational regulation of neutrophil function. *Nat Immunol.* (2017) 18:1084–93. doi: 10.1038/ni.3821

14. Adkar SS, Brunger JM, Willard VP, Wu CL, Gersbach CA, Guilak F. Genome engineering for personalized arthritis therapeutics. *Trends Mol Med.* (2017) 23:917–31. doi: 10.1016/j.molmed.2017.08.002

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed.2024.1466397/ full#supplementary-material

15. Andreakos E, Zanoni I, Galani IE. Lambda interferons come to light: dual function cytokines mediating antiviral immunity and damage control. *Curr Opin Immunol.* (2019) 56:67–75. doi: 10.1016/j.coi.2018.10.007

16. Chang QJ, Lv C, Zhao F, Xu TS, Li P. Elevated serum levels of interleukin-29 are associated with disease activity in rheumatoid arthritis patients with anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibodies. *Tohoku J Exp Med.* (2017) 241:89–95. doi: 10.1620/tjem.241.89

17. van Holten J, Smeets TJ, Blankert P, Tak PP. Expression of interferon beta in synovial tissue from patients with rheumatoid arthritis: comparison with patients with osteoarthritis and reactive arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2005) 64:1780–2. doi: 10.1136/ard.2005.040477

18. Gattorno M, Chicha L, Gregorio A, Ferlito F, Rossi F, Jarrossay D, et al. Distinct expression pattern of IFN-alpha and TNF-alpha in juvenile idiopathic arthritis synovial tissue. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. (2007) 46:657–65. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/kel346

19. Bartok B, Firestein GS. Fibroblast-like synoviocytes: key effector cells in rheumatoid arthritis. *Immunol Rev.* (2010) 233:233–55. doi: 10.1111/j.0105-2896.2009.00859.x

20. Aletaha D, Neogi T, Silman AJ, Funovits J, Felson DT, Bingham CO 3rd, et al. 2010 rheumatoid arthritis classification criteria: an American College of Rheumatology/ European league against rheumatism collaborative initiative. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2010) 69:1580–8. doi: 10.1136/ard.2010.138461

21. Petty RE, Southwood TR, Manners P, Baum J, Glass DN, Goldenberg J, et al. International league of associations for rheumatology classification of juvenile idiopathic arthritis: second revision, Edmonton, 2001. *J Rheumatol.* (2004) 31:390–2.

22. Hargreaves BKV, Roberts SE, Derfalvi B, Boudreau JE. Highly efficient serum-free manipulation of miRNA in human NK cells without loss of viability or phenotypic alterations is accomplished with TransIT-TKO. *PLoS One.* (2020) 15:e0231664. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0231664

23. Huggett JF, Foy CA, Benes V, Emslie K, Garson JA, Haynes R, et al. The digital MIQE guidelines: minimum information for publication of quantitative digital PCR experiments. *Clin Chem.* (2013) 59:892–902. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2013.206375

24. Castaneda-Delgado JE, Bastian-Hernandez Y, Macias-Segura N, Santiago-Algarra D, Castillo-Ortiz JD, Aleman-Navarro AL, et al. Type I interferon gene response is increased in early and established rheumatoid arthritis and correlates with autoantibody production. *Front Immunol.* (2017) 8:285. doi: 10.3389/ fmmu.2017.00285

25. Bencze D, Fekete T, Pazmandi K. Type I interferon production of Plasmacytoid dendritic cells under control. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2021) 22:190. doi: 10.3390/ijms22084190

26. Swiecki M, Colonna M. Type I interferons: diversity of sources, production pathways and effects on immune responses. *Curr Opin Virol.* (2011) 1:463–75. doi: 10.1016/j.coviro.2011.10.026

27. Hile GA, Gudjonsson JE, Kahlenberg JM. The influence of interferon on healthy and diseased skin. *Cytokine*. (2020) 132:154605. doi: 10.1016/j.cyto.2018.11.022

28. Li Y, Song Y, Zhu L, Wang X, Richers B, Leung DYM, et al. Interferon kappa is important for keratinocyte host Defense against herpes simplex Virus-1. *J Immunol Res.* (2020) 2020:1–8. doi: 10.1155/2020/5084682

29. LaFleur DW, Nardelli B, Tsareva T, Mather D, Feng P, Semenuk M, et al. Interferonkappa, a novel type I interferon expressed in human keratinocytes. *J Biol Chem.* (2001) 276:39765–71. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M102502200

30. Sarkar MK, Hile GA, Tsoi LC, Xing X, Liu J, Liang Y, et al. Photosensitivity and type I IFN responses in cutaneous lupus are driven by epidermal-derived interferon kappa. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2018) 77:1653–64. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2018-213197

31. Li Y, Song Y, Zhu L, Wang X, Yang B, Lu P, et al. Interferon kappa is up-regulated in psoriasis and it up-regulates psoriasis-associated cytokines in vivo. *Clin Cosmet Investig Dermatol.* (2019) 12:865–73. doi: 10.2147/CCID.S218243

32. Nardelli B, Zaritskaya L, Semenuk M, Cho YH, LaFleur DW, Shah D, et al. Regulatory effect of IFN-kappa, a novel type I IFN, on cytokine production by cells of the innate immune system. *J Immunol.* (2002) 169:4822–30. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.169.9.4822

33. Nigrovic PA, Colbert RA, Holers VM, Ozen S, Ruperto N, Thompson SD, et al. Biological classification of childhood arthritis: roadmap to a molecular nomenclature. *Nat Rev Rheumatol.* (2021) 17:257–69. doi: 10.1038/s41584-021-00590-6

34. Saas P, Perruche S. Functions of TGF-beta-exposed plasmacytoid dendritic cells. Crit Rev Immunol. (2012) 32:529–53. doi: 10.1615/CritRevImmunol.2013005868 35. Wampler Muskardin T, Vashisht P, Dorschner JM, Jensen MA, Chrabot BS, Kern M, et al. Increased pretreatment serum IFN-beta/alpha ratio predicts non-response to tumour necrosis factor alpha inhibition in rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2016) 75:1757–62. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2015-208001

36. Mavragani CP, La DT, Stohl W, Crow MK. Association of the response to tumor necrosis factor antagonists with plasma type I interferon activity and interferon-beta/ alpha ratios in rheumatoid arthritis patients: a post hoc analysis of a predominantly Hispanic cohort. *Arthritis Rheum.* (2010) 62:392–401. doi: 10.1002/art.27226

37. Wang F, Xu L, Feng X, Guo D, Tan W, Zhang M. Interleukin-29 modulates proinflammatory cytokine production in synovial inflammation of rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Res Ther.* (2012) 14:R228. doi: 10.1186/ar4067

38. Canavan M, Walsh AM, Bhargava V, Wade SM, McGarry T, Marzaioli V, et al. Enriched Cd141+ DCs in the joint are transcriptionally distinct, activated, and contribute to joint pathogenesis. JCI. *Insight*. (2018) 3:228. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.95228

39. Blazek K, Eames HL, Weiss M, Byrne AJ, Perocheau D, Pease JE, et al. IFN-lambda resolves inflammation via suppression of neutrophil infiltration and IL-1beta production. *J Exp Med.* (2015) 212:845–53. doi: 10.1084/jem.20140995

40. Yoshitomi H. Regulation of immune responses and chronic inflammation by fibroblast-like Synoviocytes. *Front Immunol.* (2019) 10:1395. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.01395

41. Jiang J, Zhao M, Chang C, Wu H, Lu Q. Type I interferons in the pathogenesis and treatment of autoimmune diseases. *Clin Rev Allergy Immunol.* (2020) 59:248–72. doi: 10.1007/s12016-020-08798-2

42. Virtanen AT, Haikarainen T, Raivola J, Silvennoinen O. Selective JAKinibs: prospects in inflammatory and autoimmune diseases. *BioDrugs*. (2019) 33:15–32. doi: 10.1007/s40259-019-00333-w