Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jacopo Troisi, University of Salerno, Italy

REVIEWED BY Tsvetelina Velikova, Sofia University, Bulgaria Mostafa Vaghari-Tabari, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Iran

*CORRESPONDENCE Zhi-Ming Huang 🖾 wyhuangzhiming@126.com Xie-Lin Huang 🖾 wyhuangxielin@126.com

RECEIVED 07 July 2024 ACCEPTED 04 February 2025 PUBLISHED 19 February 2025

CITATION

Chen X-F, Huang Z-M and Huang X-L (2025) Fibrinogen-to-albumin ratio: a new biomarker to identify inflammatory bowel disease in active stage. *Front. Med.* 12:1460975. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2025.1460975

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Chen, Huang and Huang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Fibrinogen-to-albumin ratio: a new biomarker to identify inflammatory bowel disease in active stage

Xiao-Fu Chen¹, Zhi-Ming Huang²* and Xie-Lin Huang²*

¹Center for General Practice Medicine, Department of Gastroenterology, Zhejiang Provincial People's Hospital (Affiliated People's Hospital), Hangzhou Medical College, Hangzhou, China, ²Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China

Introduction: The objective of our study was to externally validate the value of the fibrinogen-to-albumin ratio (FAR), a new biomarker used to identify active inflammatory bowel disease (IBD).

Materials and methods: A total of 245 ulcerative colitis (UC) and 543 Crohn's disease (CD) patients were included in our study. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to investigate the independent association between FAR and disease activity in patients with UC or CD. The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve was used to assess the prediction accuracy of biomarkers in distinguishing disease states.

Results: Multivariate logistic regression analysis identified the FAR as the strongest predictor to discriminate disease activity of UC (odds ratio: 24.871, 95% confidence interval: 9.831–38.912, *p* < 0.001) and CD (odds ratio: 28.966, 95% confidence interval: 21.009–37.250, p < 0.001). The FAR gave the highest area under the curve in identifying both active UC (0.870, 95% confidence interval: 0.824–0.916) and CD (0.925, 95% confidence interval: 0.904–0.946). The probability of both UC and CD patients being in the active stage significantly increased when the FAR was more than or equal to the optimal cutoff values.

Conclusion: The FAR, a simple prognostic indicator, performs well in identifying active IBD.

KEYWORDS

albumin, biomarker, fibrinogen, inflammatory bowel disease, activity

Introduction

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is a chronic and recurrent immunological disorder with an increasing incidence and prevalence over time (1-3). The main types of IBD, Crohn's disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), are believed to be caused by the dysfunction of the immune system (4). Early detection of IBD activity is crucial for timely treatment and effective prevention of complications, ultimately improving patients' quality of life and prognosis (5–7). Endoscopic biopsy remains the gold standard for assessing and monitoring inflammatory activity in IBD, while it is an invasive procedure that poses challenges in specimen collection and can be costly.

The neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), and lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio (LMR) obtained from the complete blood count (CBC) have been demonstrated as effective markers for predicting IBD activity and severity

(8-11). Red blood cell distribution width (RDW) has shown good ability in evaluating disease activity, specifically in CD (12). C-reactive protein (CRP) and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) have been demonstrated as significant biomarkers for early diagnosis of IBD and accurate monitoring of IBD activity (13–15). Inflammation and coagulation are interdependent processes, each activating and propagating the other, which is crucial for the progression of IBD (16, 17). Distinct pro-inflammatory stimuli activate the clotting cascade, which subsequently propagates the inflammatory state by activating signaling pathways or recruiting more inflammatory cells to inflamed tissues (18). Fibrinogen can modify various aspects of inflammatory cell function by engaging leukocytes through different cellular receptors and mechanisms (19). In our previous study, we externally validated for the first time fibrinogen's diagnostic ability to identify patients with IBD in the active stage and demonstrated that fibrinogen had a high discriminative capacity for active IBD (20). Albumin is a negative acute-phase protein, and its synthetic rate has a direct correlation with the severity of acute inflammation (21). Additionally, albumin was deemed to possess a strong discriminatory ability in identifying children with CD (22). Thus, we proposed a novel biomarker, the fibrinogen-to-albumin ratio (FAR), for identifying active IBD. This study aims to assess the association between FAR and IBD activity and to evaluate the diagnostic ability of FAR in detecting active IBD.

Materials and methods

Materials

The research was a retrospective observational study. We included IBD patients admitted to the First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University from September 2011 to September 2019. The diagnosis of IBD was based on clinical evidence such as diarrhea, abnormal laboratory findings, imaging findings, endoscopic findings, and histopathology. Patients meeting the following criteria were excluded: other immune-related diseases, infectious disease, carcinoma, liver cirrhosis, renal or heart or respiratory failure, and loss of fibrinogen or albumin data on admission. We excluded patients with clinical, laboratory, and histological evidence of infectious colitis, radiation colitis, indeterminate colitis, microscopic colitis, or with a doubtful diagnosis of IBD. The clinical data we collected included demographic data, laboratory parameters, and endoscopic findings. The FAR was calculated by dividing fibrinogen by albumin. Disease activity of UC and CD was assessed by the Total Mayo Score and Crohn's Disease Activity Index (CDAI), respectively. Total Mayo Score was a comprehensive scoring system that contained stool frequency, rectal bleeding, endoscopic findings, and the physician's global assessment (23, 24). The Total Mayo Score can simply and effectively classify patients with UC, and a score of more than 2 indicated that patients with UC were in the active stage (23, 24). The CDAI criteria included body weight, general health condition, hematocrit, abdominal pain severity, daily blood stool count, and complications (25). The CDAI of more than 150 indicated that patients with CD were in the active stage (25). The ethics committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University approved this research.

Statistical methods

The Mann-Whitney U-test was used to compare quantitative variables, which were presented as median [interquartile range (IQR)]. The chi-square test or Fisher's exact test was used to compare categorical variables, which were presented as absolute numbers (frequencies). Multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to investigate the independent association between biomarkers and disease activity in patients with UC or CD to calculate the odds ratio with a confidence interval of 95%. The relationship between FAR and IBD activity was determined by the Spearman's correlation analysis. The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) was used to assess the prediction accuracy of biomarkers in distinguishing disease states. The AUROCs of various biomarkers were compared using the DeLong test (26). We compared sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, positive likelihood ratio, and negative likelihood ratio at an optimum cutoff value according to the curve. We divided patients in UC and CD cohorts, respectively, into two groups based on the optimum cutoff values for the FAR. All tests were two-sided, and a p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical procedures were performed with STATA (version 14.0; StataCorp, State of Texas, USA).

Results

Our study included 788 IBD patients, of whom 245 (31.1%) had UC and 543 (68.9%) had CD. Overall, patients in the UC group were older than those in the CD group. In addition, the CD group had a higher proportion of male patients than the UC group. More details about the characteristics of the IBD cohort are listed in Table 1. The

TABLE 1 Characteristics of the inflammatory bowel disease co	bhort.
--	--------

Parameter	UC cohort (<i>N</i> = 245)	CD cohort (<i>N</i> = 543)
Age (years)	49 (37–60)	27 (22–33)
Sex: male	129 (52.7)	396 (72.9)
BMI (kg/m²)	19.5 (18.4–21.2)	18.9 (17.4–20.9)
Smoking: yes	39 (15.9)	84 (15.5)
Drinking: yes	22 (9.0)	44 (8.1)
Duration of disease (years)	1.7 (0.5–4.0)	1.8 (0.6–3.5)
Endoscopic inflamma	tory localization of d	isease
Proctitis	45 (18.4)	-
Left-side colitis	107 (43.7)	-
Extensive colitis	93 (38.0)	-
Terminal ileitis	-	130 (23.9)
Colitis	-	107 (19.7)
Ileocolitis	-	306 (56.4)
Remission stage	73 (29.8)	257 (47.3)
Active stage	172 (70.2)	286 (52.7)

Values are expressed as n (%) or median (IQR). UC, ulcerative colitis; CD, Crohn's disease; BMI, body mass index.

FAR, CRP, ESR, PLR, and NLR levels in both active UC and CD patients scored significantly higher compared with those in the remission stage, whereas the LMR levels scored significantly lower (all p < 0.001), as shown in Tables 2, 3. Multivariate logistic regression analysis identified that FAR and CRP were independent predictors for identifying both active UC and CD. Table 4 lists the multivariate logistic regression analysis results. Table 5 presents significantly positive correlations of both Total Mayo Score and CDAI with FAR, NLR, PLR, RDW, CRP, and ESR, and negative correlations with LMR (all p < 0.001). Table 5 indicates correlations among biomarkers and disease activity of IBD. FAR, RDW, ESR, CRP, NLR, and PLR had significant positive correlations with the Total Mayo Score of UC, whereas LMR was negatively related to the Total Mayo Score of UC (all p < 0.001). In addition, the correlation analysis showed significant positive correlations of CDAI with the FAR, RDW, ESR, CRP, NLR, and PLR and negative correlations with LMR (all p < 0.001). Figures 1, 2 show that the FAR gave the highest area under the curve in identifying both active UC and CD compared with NLR, LMR, PLR, RDW, ESR, and

TABLE 2 Epidemiology and laboratory parameters of ulcerative colitis patients, stratified by disease activity.

Parameter	UC remission (N = 73)	UC active (<i>N</i> = 172)	p-value
Age (years)	47 (37–57)	50 (38–61)	0.331
Sex: male	32 (43.8)	97 (56.4)	0.072
BMI (kg/m ²)	20.6 (18.8–21.7)	19.3 (18.3–20.8)	0.001
Smoking: yes	10 (13.7)	29 (16.9)	0.536
Drinking: yes	7 (9.6)	15 (8.7)	0.828
Duration of disease (years)	2.0 (0.7–4.3)	1.1 (0.3–3.8)	0.042
Neutrophils (10 ⁹ /L)	3.3 (2.7-4.4)	4.6 (3.4–7.2)	< 0.001
Monocytes (10 ⁹ /L)	0.4 (0.4–0.6)	0.7 (0.5-0.9)	< 0.001
Lymphocytes (10 ⁹ /L)	1.7 (1.5–2.2)	1.8 (1.3–2.2)	0.428
Hb (g/dL)	12.8 (12.0–13.5)	11.9 (10.4–13.2)	< 0.001
RDW (%)	13.2 (12.7–13.9)	13.3 (12.7–14.3)	0.635
Platelet (10 ⁹ /L)	235 (201–270)	281 (214–376)	< 0.001
PT (seconds)	13.4 (12.9–13.7)	13.7 (13.1–14.6)	< 0.001
INR	1.0 (1.0–1.1)	1.1 (1.0–1.1)	<0.001
Fibrinogen (g/L)	2.7 (2.3-3.2)	4.0 (3.0-5.0)	< 0.001
CRP (mg/L)	3.0 (1.5-3.2)	12.8 (3.8-32.8)	< 0.001
ESR (mm/h)	6 (2–15)	21 (11-37)	< 0.001
Albumin (g/L)	41.6 (38.6-44.8)	33.6 (30.5–38.8)	<0.001
NLR	1.8 (1.3–2.7)	2.8 (1.9-4.2)	<0.001
PLR	130.0 (100.0–174.1)	166.4 (121.6– 222.5)	<0.001
LMR	4.2 (3.4–5.1)	2.7 (1.8-3.5)	<0.001
FAR	0.063 (0.057–0.078)	0.116 (0.084– 0.153)	<0.001

Values are expressed as *n* (%) or median (IQR), compared by the Mann–Whitney *U*-test and the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test (p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant). UC, ulcerative colitis; BMI, body mass index; Hb, hemoglobin; RDW, red cell distribution width; PT, prothrombin time; INR, international normalized ratio; CRP, C-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLR, platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; LMR, lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio; FAR, fibrinogen-to-albumin ratio.

CRP (all p < 0.05). Referring to the area under the curve (AUC) of these markers, we found that, while the FAR is better than CRP in identifying active CD, the difference is not significant. Tables 6, 7 show more details about the diagnostic performance of biomarkers. According to the FAR classification of UC patients (Group A: <0.076 and Group B: ≥0.076), the proportion of active UC patients in Groups A and B was 30.8% (24/78) and 88.6% (148/167), respectively, and the difference was statistically significant (p < 0.001). According to the FAR classification of CD patients (Group C: <0.107 and group D: ≥0.107), the incidence of active CD in Groups C and D was 18.2% (50/275) and 88.1% (236/268), respectively (p < 0.001). It can be seen that the probability of IBD patients being active increased significantly when the FAR was more than or equal to the optimal threshold. Based on the FAR classification for UC patients (Group A: <0.076 and Group B: \geq 0.076), the active UC patients' rates among Groups A and B were 30.8% (24/78) and 88.6% (148/167), respectively (p < 0.001). Based on the FAR

TABLE 3 Epidemiology and laboratory parameters of Crohn's disease patients, stratified by disease activity.

Parameter	CD remission (N = 257)	CD active (<i>N</i> = 286)	<i>p</i> -value
Age (years)	27 (22–30)	26 (22-36)	0.641
Sex: male	185 (72.0)	211 (73.8)	0.639
BMI (kg/m ²)	19.6 (18.3–21.6)	18.2 (16.4–20.2)	< 0.001
Smoking: yes	35 (13.6)	49 (17.1)	0.258
Drinking: yes	14 (5.4)	30 (10.5)	0.032
Duration of disease (years)	2.0 (1.0-3.5)	1.1 (0.3–3.5)	<0.001
Neutrophils (10 ⁹ /L)	3.5 (2.7-4.4)	5.1 (3.5–7.1)	< 0.001
Monocytes (10 ⁹ /L)	0.5 (0.4–0.6)	0.7 (0.5–0.9)	< 0.001
Lymphocytes (10º/L)	1.5 (1.1–1.9)	1.2 (0.9–1.7)	<0.001
Hb (g/dL)	13.5 (12.2–14.7)	11.5 (10.1–12.6)	<0.001
RDW (%)	13.4 (12.7–14.9)	14.8 (13.3–16.6)	<0.001
Platelet (10 ⁹ /L)	246 (206–294)	328 (254–414)	< 0.001
PT (seconds)	13.5 (13.1–14.0)	14.0 (13.3–14.7)	< 0.001
INR	1.1 (1.0–1.1)	1.1 (1.0–1.2)	<0.001
Fibrinogen (g/L)	3.0 (2.4–3.6)	4.7 (3.9–5.6)	< 0.001
CRP (mg/L)	3.0 (1.6-8.6)	30.0 (15.6-60.4)	< 0.001
ESR (mm/h)	7 (2–14)	31 (17–48)	<0.001
Albumin (g/L)	41.8 (38.2-45.1)	33.3 (30.2–36.0)	<0.001
NLR	2.2 (1.6-3.3)	4.1 (2.8–6.2)	<0.001
PLR	168.3 (118.8– 231.9)	264.8 (192.1– 370.0)	<0.001
LMR	3.0 (2.3-4.2)	1.9 (1.4–2.6)	< 0.001
FAR	0.069 (0.057– 0.092)	0.142 (0.117– 0.177)	<0.001

Values are expressed as n (%) or median (IQR), compared by the Mann–Whitney *U*-test and the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test (p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant). CD, Crohn's disease; BMI, body mass index; Hb, hemoglobin; RDW, red cell distribution width; PT, prothrombin time; INR, international normalized ratio; CRP, C-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLR, platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; LMR, lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio; FAR, fibrinogen-to-albumin ratio.

		95%	95% CI				
	OR	Lower	Upper	P-value			
UC							
CRP	1.059	1.001	1.122	0.048			
FAR	24.871	9.831	38.912	<0.001			
CD							
Age	1.047	1.014	1.082	0.005			
Sex: male	6.386	2.713	15.032	<0.001			
BMI	0.755	0.666	0.856	<0.001			
Duration of	0.988	0.979	0.997	0.011			
disease							
Neutrophils	1.301	1.076	1.573	0.007			
Lymphocytes	0.551	0.321	0.947	0.031			
Hb	0.535	0.433	0.660	<0.001			
CRP	1.084	1.042	1.128	<0.001			
FAR	28.966	21.009	37.250	<0.001			

TABLE 4 Multivariate logistic regression analysis results of disease activity for patients with inflammatory bowel disease.

ORs and *p*-values were estimated using multivariate logistic regression. Age, sex, and variables that were statistically significant in the tests were included in the multivariate analysis. OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; UC, ulcerative colitis; BMI, body mass index; CRP, C-reactive protein; CD, Crohn's disease; Hb, hemoglobin; FAR, fibrinogen-to-albumin ratio.

TABLE 5 Spearman's correlation coefficients between biomarkers and disease activity of patients with inflammatory bowel disease.

		al Mayo ore)	CD ((CDAI)
Biomarkers	<i>r</i> -value <i>p</i> -value		<i>r</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value
RDW	0.147	0.022	0.361	<0.001
ESR	0.438	< 0.001	0.636	< 0.001
CRP	0.599	< 0.001	0.753	< 0.001
NLR	0.399	< 0.001	0.444	<0.001
PLR	0.311	< 0.001	0.428	< 0.001
LMR	-0.499	< 0.001	-0.452	< 0.001
FAR	0.676	< 0.001	0.758	<0.001

p- and *r*-values were estimated using Spearman's correlation analysis. UC, ulcerative colitis; CD, Crohn's disease; CDAI, Crohn's disease activity index; RDW, red cell distribution width; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP, C-reactive protein; NLR, neutrophil-tolymphocyte ratio; PLR, platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; LMR, lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio; FAR, fibrinogen-to-albumin ratio.

classification for CD patients (Group C: <0.107 and Group D: ≥0.107), the active CD patients' rates among Groups C and D were 18.2% (50/275) and 88.1% (236/268), respectively (p < 0.001). It is clear that the probability of IBD patients in the active stage significantly increased when the FAR was more than or equal to the optimal cutoff values.

Discussion

The research externally validated for the first time a new and non-invasive biomarker, the FAR's diagnostic ability to identify active IBD patients.



FIGURE 1

Receiver operating characteristic curves of various biomarkers in identifying active ulcerative colitis. RDW, red cell distribution width; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP, C-reactive protein; NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLR, platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; LMR, lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio; FAR, fibrinogen-to-albumin ratio.



Timely diagnosis of the disease activity of IBD can help us choose the best treatment plan and improve the prognosis of patients. Endoscopic biopsy remains the gold standard for assessing and monitoring inflammatory activity in IBD. Fecal calprotectin was a good biological index to evaluate IBD activity (27–29). However, this index had a high cost, long time, and inconvenient sample collection and processing. Thus, there is an urgent need for a simple, readily obtainable, low-cost, and efficient biomarker to optimally manage IBD patients.

Fibrinogen deposits are a near-universal feature of tissue injury, including injury driven by immunological derangements (19). Low albumin levels often indicate malnutrition, while TABLE 6 Diagnostic accuracy of various biomarkers in identifying ulcerative colitis in the active stage.

Biomarkers	AUROC	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value	Cut-off	Sensitivity	Specificity	PV+	PV-	LR+	LR–
RDW	0.519	0.443-0.596	< 0.001	13.7	0.40	0.71	0.77	0.34	1.39	0.84
ESR	0.764	0.701-0.828	0.001	16.0	0.67	0.77	0.87	0.50	2.90	0.42
CRP	0.814	0.760-0.868	0.040	3.32	0.79	0.75	0.88	0.60	3.21	0.28
NLR	0.683	0.611-0.756	< 0.001	2.01	0.67	0.63	0.81	0.45	1.82	0.52
PLR	0.643	0.570-0.716	<0.001	132	0.70	0.56	0.79	0.44	1.59	0.54
LMR	0.762	0.697-0.826	0.004	3.59	0.77	0.73	0.87	0.58	2.82	0.31
FAR	0.870	0.824-0.916	Ref	0.076	0.86	0.74	0.89	0.69	3.31	0.19

DeLong test was used to compare the AUROC between fibrinogen-to-albumin ratio and other biomarkers and estimate *p*-values and FAR was the reference. AUROC, area under the receiver operating characteristic curve; CI, confidence interval; PV+, positive predictive value; PV-, negative predictive value; LR+, positive likelihood ratio; LR-, negative likelihood ratio; RDW, red cell distribution width; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP, C-reactive protein; NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLR, platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; LMR, lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio; FAR, fibrinogen-to-albumin ratio.

TABLE 7 Diagnostic accuracy of various biomarkers in identifying Crohn's disease in the active stage.

Biomarkers	AUROC	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value	Cutoff	Sensitivity	Specificity	PV+	PV-	LR+	LR–
RDW	0.661	0.615-0.707	< 0.001	14.0	0.65	0.62	0.66	0.61	1.71	0.57
ESR	0.849	0.816-0.882	< 0.001	21.0	0.70	0.87	0.86	0.72	5.45	0.35
CRP	0.916	0.893-0.938	0.374	14.8	0.77	0.89	0.89	0.78	7.32	0.26
NLR	0.758	0.717-0.798	<0.001	3.32	0.66	0.75	0.75	0.67	2.65	0.45
PLR	0.739	0.698-0.781	< 0.001	247	0.57	0.79	0.75	0.62	2.75	0.55
LMR	0.754	0.714-0.795	<0.001	2.67	0.79	0.61	0.69	0.73	2.04	0.34
FAR	0.925	0.904-0.946	Ref	0.107	0.83	0.88	0.88	0.82	6.66	0.20

DeLong test was used to compare the AUROC between fibrinogen-to-albumin ratio and other biomarkers and estimate *p*-values and FAR was the reference. AUROC, area under the receiver operating characteristic curve; CI, confidence interval; PV+, positive predictive value; PV-, negative predictive value; LR+, positive likelihood ratio; LR-, negative likelihood ratio; RDW, red cell distribution width; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP, C-reactive protein; NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLR, platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; LMR, lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio; FAR, fibrinogen-to-albumin ratio.

patients' nutriture is associated with disease activity, and the nutriture of active IBD patients is worse. In addition, the inflammatory response can influence albumin synthesis (30). Thus, we proposed the FAR to identify active IBD patients. The FAR has its unique advantages. First, the FAR can be rapidly calculated using two parameters and an easy formula without the need for a tedious calculation process. Second, fibrinogen and albumin levels can be easily determined using an inexpensive and non-invasive blood test. The FAR is a biomarker of high prediction accuracy and practicality and is objectively assessed. Third, the FAR is a potential biomarker that may have high accuracy and practicality. According to our findings, a FAR greater than 0.076 in UC and 0.107 in CD may indicate the active phase of the disease. Of course, the value of FAR requires further verification by a prospective multi-center large-sample study. Finally, the FAR may have potential clinical applications in the evaluation of the therapeutic effect and prediction of the complications of IBD. These need further study.

However, the research has its limitations. First, this study was retrospective single-center research. We will conduct a prospective multi-center large-sample study in the future. In addition, we did not take into account several factors, including immunosuppressant and corticosteroid use, which may have an impact on inflammatory biomarker levels. In the following research, we will distinguish between new cases and those that are under treatment. Finally, we included some commonly used inflammatory biomarkers but others were excluded. Problems will be addressed in the following studies.

In conclusion, the laboratory-based FAR is a simple and practical biomarker to identify active IBD patients. Further study is needed to explore and validate other potential clinical application values of FAR.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the ethics committee of First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

X-FC: Writing – original draft. Z-MH: Writing – review & editing. X-LH: Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

1. Molodecky NA, Soon IS, Rabi DM, Ghali WA, Ferris M, Chernoff G, et al. Increasing incidence and prevalence of the inflammatory bowel diseases with time, based on systematic review. *Gastroenterology*. (2012) 142:46–54.e42. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2011.10.001

2. Xavier RJ, Podolsky DK. Unravelling the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease. *Nature*. (2007) 448:427–34. doi: 10.1038/nature06005

3. Jeong DY, Kim S, Son MJ, Son CY, Kim JY, Kronbichler A, et al. Induction and maintenance treatment of inflammatory bowel disease: a comprehensive review. *Autoimmun Rev.* (2019) 18:439–54. doi: 10.1016/j.autrev.2019.03.002

4. Park JH, Peyrin-Biroulet L, Eisenhut M, Shin JI. IBD immunopathogenesis: a comprehensive review of inflammatory molecules. *Autoimmun Rev.* (2017) 16:416–26. doi: 10.1016/j.autrev.2017.02.013

5. Magro F, Gionchetti P, Eliakim R, Ardizzone S, Armuzzi A, Barreiro-de Acosta M, et al. Third European evidence-based consensus on diagnosis and Management of Ulcerative Colitis. Part 1: definitions, diagnosis, extra-intestinal manifestations, pregnancy, Cancer surveillance, surgery, and Ileo-anal pouch disorders. *J Crohns Colitis*. (2017) 11:649–70. doi: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjx008

6. Gomollón F, Dignass A, Annese V, Tilg H, van G, Lindsay JO, et al. 3rd European evidence-based consensus on the diagnosis and Management of Crohn's disease 2016: part 1: diagnosis and medical management. J Crohns Colitis. (2017) 11:3–25. doi: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/ijw168

7. Walsh AJ, Bryant RV, Travis SP. Current best practice for disease activity assessment in IBD. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2016) 13:567–79. doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2016.128

8. Torun S, Tunc BD, Suvak B, Yildiz H, Tas A, Sayilir A, et al. Assessment of neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio in ulcerative colitis: a promising marker in predicting disease severity. *Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol.* (2012) 36:491–7. doi: 10.1016/j.clinre.2012.06.004

9. Gao SQ, Huang LD, Dai RJ, Chen DD, Hu WJ, Shan YF. Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio: a controversial marker in predicting Crohn's disease severity. *Int J Clin Exp Pathol.* (2015) 8:14779–85.

10. Akpinar MY, Ozin YO, Kaplan M, Ates I, Kalkan IH, Kilic ZMY, et al. Platelet-tolymphocyte ratio and neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio predict mucosal disease severity in ulcerative colitis. *J Med Biochem*. (2018) 37:155–62. doi: 10.1515/jomb-2017-0050

11. Cherfane CE, Gessel L, Cirillo D, Zimmerman MB, Polyak S. Monocytosis and a low lymphocyte to monocyte ratio are effective biomarkers of ulcerative colitis disease activity. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* (2015) 21:1769–75. doi: 10.1097/MIB.00000000000427

12. Yeşil A, Senateş E, Bayoğlu IV, Erdem ED, Demirtunç R, Kurdaş Övünç AO. Red cell distribution width: a novel marker of activity in inflammatory bowel disease. *Gut Liver*. (2011) 5:460–7. doi: 10.5009/gnl.2011.5.4.460

13. Henriksen M, Jahnsen J, Lygren I, Stray N, Sauar J, Vatn MH, et al. C-reactive protein: a predictive factor and marker of inflammation in inflammatory bowel disease. Results from a prospective population-based study. *Gut.* (2008) 57:1518–23. doi: 10.1136/gut.2007.146357

14. Lewis JD. The utility of biomarkers in the diagnosis and therapy of inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterology*. (2011) 140:1817–1826.e2. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2010.11.058

15. Yoon JY, Park SJ, Hong SP, Kim TI, Kim WH, Cheon JH. Correlations of C-reactive protein levels and erythrocyte sedimentation rates with endoscopic activity indices in patients with ulcerative colitis. *Dig Dis Sci.* (2014) 59:829–37. doi: 10.1007/s10620-013-2907-3

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

16. Danese S, Papa A, Saibeni S, Repici A, Malesci A, Vecchi M. Inflammation and coagulation in inflammatory bowel disease: the clot thickens. *Am J Gastroenterol.* (2007) 102:174–86. doi: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2006.00943.x

17. Owczarek D, Cibor D, Głowacki MK, Rodacki T, Mach T. Inflammatory bowel disease: epidemiology, pathology and risk factors for hypercoagulability. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2014) 20:53–63. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i1.53

18. Yoshida H, Granger DN. Inflammatory bowel disease: a paradigm for the link between coagulation and inflammation. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* (2009) 15:1245–55. doi: 10.1002/ibd.20896

19. Luyendyk JP, Schoenecker JG, Flick MJ. The multifaceted role of fibrinogen in tissue injury and inflammation. *Blood.* (2019) 133:511–20. doi: 10.1182/blood-2018-07-818211

20. Chen XF, Zhao Y, Guo Y, Huang ZM, Huang XL. Predictive value of fibrinogen in identifying inflammatory bowel disease in active stage. *BMC Gastroenterol.* (2021) 21:472. doi: 10.1186/s12876-021-02040-9

21. Don BR, Kaysen G. Serum albumin: relationship to inflammation and nutrition. *Semin Dial.* (2004) 17:432–7. doi: 10.1111/j.0894-0959.2004.17603.x

22. Daniluk U, Daniluk J, Krasnodebska M, Lotowska JM, Sobaniec-Lotowska ME, Lebensztejn DM. The combination of fecal calprotectin with ESR, CRP and albumin discriminates more accurately children with Crohn's disease. *Adv Med Sci.* (2019) 64:9–14. doi: 10.1016/j.advms.2018.08.001

23. D'Haens G, Sandborn WJ, Feagan BG, Geboes K, Hanauer SB, Irvine EJ, et al. A review of activity indices and efficacy end points for clinical trials of medical therapy in adults with ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology.* (2007) 132:763–86. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2006.12.038

24. Schroeder KW, Tremaine WJ, Ilstrup DM. Coated oral 5-aminosalicylic acid therapy for mildly to moderately active ulcerative colitis. A randomized study. *N Engl J Med.* (1987) 317:1625–9. doi: 10.1056/NEJM198712243172603

25. Best WR, Becktel JM, Singleton JW, Kern F Jr. Development of a Crohn's disease activity index. National Cooperative Crohn's Disease Study. *Gastroenterology*. (1976) 70:439-44. doi: 10.1016/S0016-5085(76)80163-1

26. DeLong ER, DeLong DM, Clarke-Pearson DL. Comparing the areas under two or more correlated receiver operating characteristic curves: a nonparametric approach. *Biometrics*. (1988) 44:837–45. doi: 10.2307/2531595

27. Mosli MH, Zou G, Garg SK, Feagan SG, MacDonald JK, Chande N, et al. C-reactive protein, fecal calprotectin, and stool Lactoferrin for detection of endoscopic activity in symptomatic inflammatory bowel disease patients: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. *Am J Gastroenterol.* (2015) 110:802–19. doi: 10.1038/ajg.2015.120

28. Cerrillo E, Moret I, Iborra M, Pamies J, Hervás D, Tortosa L, et al. A nomogram combining fecal calprotectin levels and plasma cytokine profiles for individual prediction of postoperative Crohn's disease recurrence. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* (2019) 25:1681–91. doi: 10.1093/ibd/izz053

29. Manceau H, Chicha-Cattoir V, Puy H, Peoc'h K. Fecal calprotectin in inflammatory bowel diseases: update and perspectives. *Clin Chem Lab Med.* (2017) 55:474–83. doi: 10.1515/cclm-2016-0522

30. Ruot B, Béchereau F, Bayle G, Breuillé D, Obled C. The response of liver albumin synthesis to infection in rats varies with the phase of the inflammatory process. *Clin Sci.* (2002) 102:107–14. doi: 10.1042/cs1020107