Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Muhammad Saboor, University of Sharjah, United Arab Emirates

REVIEWED BY Issaka Sagara, Université des Sciences, des Techniques et des Technologies de Bamako, Mali Simone Martins de Castro, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil

\*CORRESPONDENCE Ahmed Alaskar ⊠ askaras@mngha.med.sa

RECEIVED 04 November 2024 ACCEPTED 25 March 2025 PUBLISHED 17 April 2025

#### CITATION

Shaheen N, Thomas S, Almoghairi A and Alaskar A (2025) Hematological parameters' reference intervals in apparently healthy individuals in Saudi Arabia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Med.* 12:1522492. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2025.1522492

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Shaheen, Thomas, Almoghairi and Alaskar. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Hematological parameters' reference intervals in apparently healthy individuals in Saudi Arabia: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Naila Shaheen<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Seena Thomas<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Areej Almoghairi<sup>2,5,6</sup> and Ahmed Alaskar $0^{2,4,6,7*}$ 

<sup>1</sup>Division of Biostatistics, Department of Population Health, King Abdullah International Medical Research Center, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, <sup>2</sup>King Saud Bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, <sup>3</sup>Ministry of National Guard Health Affairs (MNGHA), Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, <sup>4</sup>Saudi Society of Blood and Marrow Transplant "SSBMT", Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, <sup>5</sup>Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicale, King Abdul-Aziz Medical City, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, <sup>6</sup>King Abdullah International Medical Research Center, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, <sup>7</sup>Division of Adult Hematology and SCT, King Abdul-Aziz Medical City, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

**Background:** The study aimed to perform a systematic review/meta-analysis of observational studies conducted in Saudi Arabia to identify the patterns of reported hematological parameters' reference intervals (RIs).

**Methods:** The literature search was performed using PubMed and Google Scholar. Observational studies that reported hematological parameters measured under normal physiological conditions in apparently healthy individuals were included. Studies conducted on non-healthy individuals and/or on pregnant women; those related to basic science, methodology, physiology, and non-physiological state; and those conducted on patients having co-morbidities were excluded. Studies on the pediatric population were also excluded from the meta-analysis. The methodological quality was assessed using standard critical appraisal instruments from the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Critical Appraisal Checklist. R software was used to run the random-effects models. The results were reported as weighted mean differences and 95% confidence intervals. The complete blood count (CBC) parameter means were compared by sex using an independent samples *t*-test.

**Results:** In total, 12 studies were included in the systematic review from all regions—Central (n = 5), Western (n = 5), Southern (n = 1), and Northern (n = 1). A total of eight (66%) studies focused on adults, and four (33.3%) studies reported a sample of adolescents/children. In addition, seven studies were not included in the meta-analysis for the following reasons: three studies reported only white blood cell (WBC) parameters, two studies had only abstracts available, and two studies involved newborns. High heterogeneity was demonstrated for all hematological parameters: red blood cell (RBC), hemoglobin (Hb), or mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC) ( $I^2 = 100\%$ ); mean corpuscular volume (MCV) ( $I^2 = 98\%$ ); and WBC ( $I^2 = 90\%$ ). The RBC (p = 0.009) and Hb (p = 0.0006) values were higher in the male participants. The PLT (p = <0.0001) values were higher in female participants. The remaining hematological parameters' RIs were not statistically significant.

**Conclusion:** The findings indicated some differences in the hematological parameters' RIs reported across Saudi Arabia. We recommend establishing hematological parameters' RIs based on the Saudi Arabian population to determine when to refer a patient with abnormal counts and to identify when to request further diagnostic work-up.

#### KEYWORDS

hematological profile, hematological indices, reference intervals, reference values, reference range, healthy individuals, Saudi Arabia

# Background

Hematological parameters are essential elements for assessing disease status (1). The complete blood count (CBC) is a routinely used test in clinics to establish disease diagnosis, the response to therapies, management, and follow-up (2). The currently used hematological parameters' reference intervals (RIs) are based on the Western population (3). Normal ranges for hematological parameters' RIs vary across populations. Hematological parameters' RIs also vary by age, sex, ethnicity, environment, lifestyle, genetics, geographical location, analytical methods of testing, and diurnal variations (4). Hence, it is crucial to establish hematological parameters' RIs based on each population. Hematological parameters' RIs may also differ across regions of a country (5). Age-specific hematological parameters' RIs have also been endorsed (6).

Extensive data have been reported for hematological parameters' RIs in the Western population (3, 7). In the past decade, hematological parameters' RIs have been reported in the Middle Eastern region (4, 5, 8-10). As reported in the literature, some hematological parameters, such as low neutrophil ranges, could be in the normal range for certain populations (11). Improper utilization of the CBC has been reported in the literature. It has also been reported that CBC results could be misinterpreted (12), which, in turn, impacts the quality of patient care. This finding highlights the need to establish hematological parameters' RIs for each population.

The theory behind RIs was developed in 1969 by Grasbeck and Saris (13). The characterization and use of decision limits were published several years later by Galen and Gambino (14). However, despite the theory being well-defined, its clinical application continues to evolve; hence, the topic remains relevant in the hematology community. There are several reasons for this topic to be relevant: (i) a significant gap in the application of hematological parameters' RIs exist, (ii) hematological parameters' RIs need to be established based on individual populations, and (iii) some low values are not considered abnormal for certain populations (5, 11, 15–17), i.e., it is not necessary to consider values as abnormal if they are outside the normal range (13). The aim of this study was to perform a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies to identify the patterns of reported hematological parameters' RIs in Saudi Arabia. The primary research question was "What are

the ranges of the reported hematological parameters' RIs among healthy adults in Saudi Arabia?"

# **Methods**

### Objectives

The objectives of the study were as follows: (i) to identify all studies published in Saudi Arabia reporting hematological parameters and (ii) to identify the reported hematological parameters' RIs in healthy Saudi adults.

### Search strategy

The literature search was performed using PubMed and Google Scholar to identify articles published in English from 1960 to March 2023. The search strategy employed Medical Subject Headings and keywords that expressed the terms "hematological parameters," "complete blood count," "hematological profile," "hematological reference intervals," "reference intervals," "reference ranges," "reference values," and "reference parameters," crossed with the operator AND and terms such as "adults" and "Saudi Arabia." The search strategy for PubMed is summarized in Table 1. Full-text articles were retrieved after reviewing the title and abstract.

### Inclusion and exclusion criteria

### Inclusion criteria

Observational studies conducted in Saudi Arabia, published in English until March 2023, and reporting hematological parameters were included in the systematic review. Studies that reported the hematological parameter measure outcome and the mean and

TABLE 1 Search strategy in PubMed database.

Search key words	Results
"Reference Intervals" OR "Saudi Arabia"	129,161
"Hematological Profile"[Title/Abstract] OR "Reference Intervals"[Title/Abstract]	4,941
"Complete Blood Count" OR "Saudi Arabia"	645
"Hematological Profile" Middle East	352
*Filters: English	Total = 135,099

Abbreviations: CBC, Complete Blood Count; Hb, Hemoglobin; HCT, Hematocrit; MCV, Mean Corpuscular Volume; MCH, Mean Corpuscular Hemoglobin; MCHC, Mean Corpuscular Hemoglobin Concentration; PLT, Platelets; RBC, Red Blood Cell Count; RIs, Reference Intervals; WBC, White Blood Cell Counts.

standard deviation for hematocrit (HCT), red blood cells (RBCs), hemoglobin (Hb), mean corpuscular volume (MCV), mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH), mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration.

(MCHC), white blood cells (WBCs), platelet (PLT), and WBC differentials (neutrophil, eosinophil, basophil, monocytes, and lymphocytes) were included in the meta-analysis.

### **Exclusion criteria**

Any study conducted on non-healthy individuals and/or pregnant women; those related to basic science, methodology, physiology, and non-physiological states; those involving individuals with co-morbid conditions; and those conducted in other parts of the world and the Middle East region were excluded from the systematic review. Studies on the pediatric population were also excluded from the meta-analysis.

### Selection of studies

The authors (NS and AA) independently checked the titles and abstracts. The references were managed using Mendeley. The screening was performed to select articles published in English. The systematic review included primary research articles that reported a CBC test and included participants from all age groups. The meta-analysis was conducted for five studies only, with hematological parameters' RIs data available for all CBC parameters in individuals aged  $\geq$ 14 years (Table 2).

### TABLE 2 Studies flow chart.



### Assessment of methodological quality

The selected studies were assessed for methodological quality by two independent reviewers (NS and AA) using standard critical appraisal instruments from the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Critical Appraisal Checklist (18).

The methodological assessment criteria were based on the eight elements of the JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist (Figures 1a,b). A cut-off score of at least 60% was considered the inclusion criterion. The study complied with the Meta-analyses Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) Checklist (19).

### Data extraction

Data were extracted by the authors using a standardized data extraction sheet. The extracted data included the year of publication, the location of the study, sample size, hematological parameters' RIs, and mean and standard deviation both for male and female participants (Table 3).

# Statistical analysis

For continuous variables, weighted mean differences (SD) and 95% confidence intervals were estimated. Depending on the degree of study heterogeneity, a random-effects model was employed to obtain the pooled estimate of the results. The I<sup>2</sup> statistic and corresponding *p*-value were used to evaluate the degree to which statistical heterogeneity in the meta-analyses was caused by variations between the studies rather than by chance. Forest plots were used to represent the type of statistical heterogeneity. The data for CBC parameters were normally distributed, except for neutrophils. The mean values of the parameters were compared between male and female individuals using an independent samples *t*-test. All statistical analyses were performed using R software version 4.3.2.

### **Publication bias**

Funnel plots are graphical tools commonly used in observational studies to assess publication bias and evaluate the presence of small study effects. These plots provide a visual representation of the relationship between effect size estimates from individual studies and their corresponding precision measures, such as standard errors or sample sizes (20). In a funnel plot, each point on the graph represents a study, with the effect size displayed on the horizontal axis and the precision measure on the vertical axis. In the current study, the funnel plot displays mean values on the horizontal axis and standard errors on the vertical axis. A vertical line through the center of the plot typically represents the true effect size. The shape of the funnel is determined by the inherent variability in effect sizes due to sampling error, with larger studies having smaller standard errors and thus being more precise (21). In the absence of

(a)				
Q1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?			
Q2	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?			
Q3	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?			
Q4	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?			
Q5	Were confounding factors identified?			
Q6	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?			
Q7	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?			
Q8	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?			

Q1     Q2     Q3     Q4     Q5     Q6     Q7     Q       Elhazmi et al 1982     Image: Constraint of the state of the	Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q5 Q6	Q5	Q4	03	01		
Elhazmi et al 1982Image: Constraint of al 1987Image: Constraint of al 1987Ghafouri et al 1987Image: Constraint of al 1996Image: Constraint of al 1996					Q2	Q1	
Ghafouri et al 1987 Image: Constraint of the second se				$\bigcirc$			Elhazmi et al 1982
Basuni et al 1996		$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$			Ghafouri et al 1987
		$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$			Basuni et al 1996
Albuharain et al 1999	99 🕒 🕒 🕒 🔶	$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$			Albuharain et al 1999
Elhazmi et al 2001		$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$			Elhazmi et al 2001
Gari et al 2015		$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$			Gari et al 2015
Elderdery 2017		$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$			Elderdery 2017
Alharbi 2017		$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$			Alharbi 2017
Alkhaldy 2020		$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$			Alkhaldy 2020
Awan et al 2021		$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$			Awan et al 2021
Bakr et al 2022		$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$			Bakr et al 2022
Shaheen et al 2022       Image: Constraint of the state of the		$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$			Shaheen et al 2022
Yes	Yes				Yes		
No	No				No		
Not Applicable	Not Applicable		$\bigcirc$	able	Not Applicable		

FIGURE 1

(a) The Joanna Briggs Institute's (JBI) critical appraisal checklist for analytical cross-sectional studies; (b) quality assessment of the cross-sectional studies.

publication bias, the points on the plot are expected to be symmetrically distributed around the true effect line. Studies in the upper portion of the plot with low standard errors are expected to cluster closer to the true effect line, resulting in a narrower funnel. In the lower portion of the figure, the funnel opens up, and with increasing standard errors, effect sizes are expected to scatter more widely to the left and right of the true effect. Supplementary Figures S1, S2 represent the funnel plots. Egger's test was performed to quantify publication bias. Supplementary Table S1 shows the absence of publication bias, as the *p*-values were > 0.05 for all of the reported variables (21).

### Results

### Selected studies

A total of 1,35,099 articles were identified after searching the PubMed database (Table 1). The details of exclusions are provided in Table 2. In total, 13 studies have reported hematological parameters' RIs in Saudi Arabia from 1982 to present. A latest published study focused on methodology (22) and a pilot study published in 1982 (19) were excluded. A total of 12 studies reporting hematological parameters' RIs under normal physiological conditions across all age

### TABLE 3 Characteristics of the included studies in the systematic review and meta-analysis.

Serial number	Author	Publication year	City; region	Study design	Study subjects	Number of subjects	M/F	Age range	CBC analyzer	Included in meta-analysis	Ref
1	El-Hazmi et al	1982	Riyadh; Central region	Cross-sectional	Young adults	804	578/226	20–29	Not available	No (abstract only)	(23)
2	Ghafouri et al	1987	Jeddah; Western region	Cross-sectional	Birth/Adolescents	1,673	843/830	-	Not available	No (abstract only)	(27)
3	Bassuni et al	1996	Abha; Southern region	Cross-sectional	Newborns	578	-	-	Electronic Coulter counter (Model S + IV)	No (Newborns)	(29)
4	Buhairan et al	1999	Riyadh; Central region	Cross-sectional	Adults	300	150/150	20-40	Coulter Counter STK-S	Yes <i>N</i> = 300	(36)
5	El-Hazmi et al	2001	Riyadh; Central region	Cross-sectional	Children	1,526	-	1-15	Coulter Counter ZF6	Yes <i>N</i> = 97 (Results for only group with age >14–15 were included)	(25)
6	Gari et al	2015	Jeddah; Western region	Cross-sectional	Adults	100, Saudi n = 69	-	18–55	BD FACS Canto II flow cytometer (San Jose, California, USA)	No (only reported overall WBC parameters)	(17)
7	Elderdery et al	2017	Al-Jouf; Northern region	Cross-sectional	Adults	2,040	1,152/888	17–28	BS-320	Yes <i>N</i> = 2040	(30)
8	Alharbi et al.	2017	Jeddah; Western region	Cross-sectional	Newborns	2,163	-	-	BS-320	No (Newborns)	(28)
9	Alkhaldy et al	2020	Jeddah; Western region	Cross-sectional	Adolescents/ Adults	21,550	-	12-60	Sysmex automated analyzers (Sysmex corporation, Kobe, Japan)	No (only reported WBC parameters)	(15)
10	Awan et al	2021	Jeddah; Western region	Cross-sectional	Adolescents/ Adults	91,880	-	13-60	Sysmex automated analyzers (Sysmex Corporation, Kobe, Japan)	No (only reported WBC parameters)	(11)
11	Bakr et al	2022	Riyadh; Central region	Cross-sectional	Adults	637	-	15–65	SYSMEX XN-10 instrument (Sysmex Corporation, Kobe, Japan)	Yes <i>N</i> = 637	(26)
12	Shaheen et al	2022	Riyadh; Central region	Cross-sectional	Adults	1,388	-	18–55	ADVIA2120i (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Deerfield, IL, USA) and Cell-Dyn Sapphire (Abbott Laboratories, IL, USA),	Yes <i>N</i> = 1,388	(5)

groups were included in the systematic review. The published studies originated from all regions: Central (n = 5), Western (n = 5), Southern (n = 1), and Northern (n = 1). The majority of the studies, eight (66%), focused on adults, while four (33.3%) studies reported a sample of adolescents or children (Table 3).

### Characteristics of studies

The characteristics of the included studies are summarized in Table 3. A total of five studies were reported from Riyadh (Central region) (5, 23–26), five from Jeddah (Western region) (11, 15, 17, 27, 28), one from Aljouf (Northern region) (29), and one from Abha (Southern region) (30). All studies were cross-sectional and included both male and female individuals. The twelve studies were included in the systematic review. A total of seven studies were not included in the meta-analysis for the following reasons: three studies reported only WBC parameters, two studies had only abstracts available, and two studies involved newborns (Table 3).

### Meta-analysis outcome

The meta-analysis results of the data driven from the five included studies are summarized in Figures 2–4, presenting data for RBC, Hb, PLT, WBC, HCT, MCV, MCH, and MCHC. High heterogeneity was observed across all hematological parameters: RBC, Hb, or MCHC (I<sup>2</sup> = 100%); MCH or HCT (I<sup>2</sup> = 99%); PLT or MCV (I<sup>2</sup> = 98%); and WBC (I<sup>2</sup> = 90%). The RBC (p = 0.009) and Hb (p = 0.0006) values were higher in male individuals. The PLT values (p = <0.0001) were higher in female individuals. The remaining hematological parameters showed no statistically significant sex differences. The pooled mean estimates for all hematological parameters are summarized in Table 4.

### Risk of bias and sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis was not carried out as it was not applicable due to (i) the included studies having no differences in terms of quality and (ii) the absence of specific sub-groups.

### Discussion

The importance of hematological parameters' RIs can be ascertained by the fact that they are crucial not only for disease diagnosis but also for disease monitoring. It is important to consider that hematological parameters' RIs can be affected by sex, age, ethnicity, altitude, and lifestyle (31). The literature extensively reports on hematological parameters' RIs in the Western population (3, 32). In the past decade, a few studies have been reported in the Middle Eastern region (4, 8–10). Studies have also been reported across Saudi Arabia describing hematological parameters' RIs. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first systematic review and meta-analysis reporting hematological parameters' RIs in Saudi Arabia.

When locally published studies were compared, sex differences were reported in all studies. Overall, the reported hematological parameters' RIs (RBC, Hb, HCT, MCV, and MCH) were higher in male individuals compared to female individuals. The WBC and platelet counts were higher in female individuals compared to male individuals. These observations are consistent with those reported in the literature (10, 32-35).

In the current meta-analysis, the average Hb level in male and female individuals was similar across studies (5, 25, 26, 30, 36). The Hb level reported by El-Hazmi et al. was one unit lower, which could most likely be attributed to participants' age range of 14-15 years (25). The Hb RI was reported to be lower in Saudi female individuals compared to other Middle Eastern populations and Caucasians (5). One possible explanation for the reported variation could be the menstrual cycle duration across populations (37). Another possible explanation is dietary habits, including nutritional factors (e.g., iron-enriched food), which can lead to iron deficiency anemia. A study reported lower average food intake compared to the dietary requirements in Saudi women (38). A study conducted on Saudi female students reported that 64% were anemic despite being on iron supplements (39). Another study from the Western region reported an overall prevalence of anemia of 39%, with higher rates in female individuals 68%. However, it could not be ascertained whether the participants were only locals (40). The lower limit of the pooled mean Hb in male individuals exceeded values reported for the Western population (1, 32, 41).

PLT was consistently higher in female individuals across the studies compared to male individuals, and this finding might be related to hormonal changes due to estrogen-promoting platelet production (42), the menstrual cycle (43), or genetic variability (44). Low iron stores in the body can also be a contributing factor (45).

A study from Riyadh, in the Central region, reported slightly higher WBC counts in male individuals compared to other studies (25). This difference could be attributed to the younger age of the participants (14–15 years) compared to the other four studies. It is most likely related to the development of the immune system because the study population was from the pediatric age group. Of the included studies in the meta-analysis, only two were qualified to report neutrophils. Slight differences were observed in the neutrophil counts for male individuals reported by the two studies (5, 26).

Benign ethnic neutropenia (BEN) was first reported in 1941 by Forbes et al. and is common in African, African Caribbean, Ethiopian, Yemenite Jew, and Arab populations (16). BEN is commonly reported in the Arab population (17, 46). It is a commonly observed finding, based on our clinical practice observations and received referrals from other disciplines. The reported BEN prevalence ranged from 11 to 23% across Saudi Arabia (5, 11, 17). However, the results cannot be generalized, either due to low sample size (11) or the use of different cut-offs based on hospital reference intervals in the studies. Moreover, in the current meta-analysis, only two studies reported neutrophil counts, so it is not justified to comment further. Caution should be exercised when requesting additional diagnostic work-up as this may subject patients to unnecessary testing and anxiety.

Sex-related differences were not reported in newborns or children. As part of normal physiological changes over time, variations in hematological parameters' RIs were reported in a study conducted on individuals from birth through adolescence (27). Hematological parameters' RIs were also reported to differ between low and high altitudes.

It has been previously reported that hematological parameters' RIs could vary across regions in Saudi Arabia (5). The differences could be attributed to several factors (11). However, in the current

Study or Mean Mean Mean SD Total Weight IV, Random, 95% Cl IV, Random, 95% Cl	Study or Mean Mean Mean Mean SD Total Weight IV, Random, 95% CI IV, Random, 95% CI
Male       Al-Buhairan et al, 1999       5.20       0.0.40       149       10.0%       5.20       [5.14; 5.26]       Image: State of the stat	Male       Al-Buhairan et al, 1999       15.20       1.02       149       10.0%       15.20       [15.04; 15.36]         El-Hazmi et al, 2001       14.20       1.10       43       9.8%       14.20       [13.87; 14.53]         Elderdery et al, 2017       15.20       2.30       1152       10.0%       15.20 [15.07; 15.33]         Bakr et al, 2022       15.40       12.0       478       10.1%       15.70 [15.51]         Shaheen et al, 2022       15.70       1.60       747       10.0%       15.70 [15.59; 15.81]         Total (95%, CI)       2659       50.0%       15.16 [14.68; 16.63]       •         Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.2859; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 91.14, df = 4 (P < 0.01); f <sup>2</sup> = 96%       •       •
Female         Al-Buharian et al, 1999       4.50       0.38       149       10.0%       4.50       [4.44; 4.56]         El-Hazmi et al, 2001       4.90       0.59       43       9.6%       4.90       [4.72; 5.08]         Elderdery et al, 2017       4.10       0.50       1152       10.1%       4.10       [4.72; 4.13]         Bakr et al, 2022       4.50       0.04       478       10.1%       4.50       [4.56; 4.62]       1         Total (95% Cl)       2569       9.8%       4.57       [4.30; 4.84]       1         Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.0944; Ch <sup>2</sup> = 1054.42, cf = 4 (P < 0.01); T = 100%	Female       Al-Buhairan et al, 1999       12.90       0.94       149       10.0%       12.90       [12.75; 13.05]       Image: Constraint of the constra
Total (95% CI)       5138 100.0%       4.88 [4.62; 5.15]         Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.1776; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 5353.38, df = 9 (P = 0); l <sup>2</sup> = 100%       1       1       1         Test for subgroup differences: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 11.53, df = 1 (P < 0.01)	Total (95% CI)       5138 100.0%       14.22 [13.54; 14.90]         Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 1.1863; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 2836.20, df = 9 (P = 0); I <sup>2</sup> = 100%       1
Subgroup       Image:       State integrine       Total integrine       The integrin <td>Male       Constraint (1)       Male       Constraint (1)       Constraint (1)</td>	Male       Constraint (1)
$\begin{array}{c} Altiholization for the field of the$	Female       Al-Buhairan et al, 1999     7.20     2.36     149     9.9%     7.20 [6.82; 7.58]       El-Hazmi et al, 2001     7.10     2.10     43     7.5%     7.10 [6.47; 7.73]       Elderdery et al, 2017     6.50     2.60     1152     11.6%     6.50 [6.35; 6.65]       Bakr et al, 2022     7.00     1.80     4.70     10.684; 7.16]       Shaheen et al, 2022     6.47     1.98     747     11.7%     6.47 [6.33; 6.61]       Total (95% CI)     2569     52.3%     6.81 [6.50; 7.12]     14       Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.1007; Ch <sup>2</sup> = 37.93, df = 4 (P < 0.01); <sup>2</sup> = 69%     56     56
	Total (95% Cl) 5138 100.0% 6.75 [6.47; 7.03]

FIGURE 2

Mean red blood cell (RBC), hemoglobin, platelets (PLT), and white blood cell (WBC) counts in apparently healthy male and female individuals in Saudi Arabia.

Study or Mean Subgroup HCT Mean SD Total Weight IV, Random, 95% Cl	Mean IV, Random, 95% CI	Study or Mean Mean Subgroup MCV Mean SD Total Weight IV, Random, 95% Cl IV, Random, 95% Cl
Alale Al-Subniaran et al, 1999 0.45 2.99 149 0.7% 0.45 [-0.03; 0.93] ← Al-Subniaran et al, 2017 0.43 0.03 1152 39.0% 0.43 [0.43; 0.43] Shaheen et al, 2022 0.53 1.63 747 8.7% 0.53 [0.41; 0.65] Fortal (95% CI) 2048 44.5% 0.46 [0.38; 0.54] tetrogenetiv: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.0027; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 2.82, df = 2 (P = 0.24); P = 2.9% Female		Male         AI-Butharian et al, 1999       86.40       4.29       149       10.2%       86.40       [85.71; 87.09]         El-Hazmiet al, 2001       81.00       6.40       43       8.7%       81.00       [79.09]       82.91]         Elderdery et al, 2017       83.80       56.00       1152       10.4%       83.80       [83.48]       84.12]         Bakr et al, 2022       86.60       4.30       47.47       10.4%       87.05       [86.60]       [82.97]       10.1%       11.1%       10.1%       10.1%       10.1%       10.1%       10.1%       10.1%       10.1%       10.1%       10.1%       10.1%       10.1%       10.1%
\Euhamma et al. 1999 0.38 2.73 149 0.8% 0.38 [-0.06; 0.82] ← [discreder et al. 2027 0.39 0.05 1152 33.9% 0.39 (0.39; 0.39) Shaheen et al. 2022 0.44 1.32 747 11.9% 0.44 [0.39; 0.53] fotal (95% CI) 2048 f.6% 0.39 [0.38; 0.40] leterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = <0.0001; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1.07, df = 2 (P = 0.58); f <sup>2</sup> = 0% Total (95% CI) 4096 100.0% 0.42 [0.38; 0.46] [leterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.010; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 545.78, df = 6 (P < 0.01); f <sup>2</sup> = 99%		Female       Female         AL-Bubairan et al, 1999       84.00       5.55       149       10.0%       84.00       [83.11; 84.89]         El-Hazmi et al, 2001       81.00       6.40       43       8.7%       81.00       [79.09; 82.91]         Elderdery et al, 2017       84.10       5.70       1152       10.4%       84.10       [83.77; 84.43]         Bakr et al, 2022       87.10       4.30       478       10.4%       87.10       [86.71; 87.49]         Shaheen et al, 2022       85.94       65.94       85.94       [85.49; 86.39]       10.4%         Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 4.5742; Ch <sup>2</sup> = 169.82, df = 4 (P < 0.01); P = 98%
Study or Mean	Mean	Total (95% Cl)       5138 100.0% 84.83 [83.48; 86.18]         Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 4.5197; Ch <sup>2</sup> = 397.28, df = 9 (P < 0.01); l <sup>2</sup> = 98%         Test for subgroup differences: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.13, df = 1 (P = 0.72)         75       80         85       90         Study or       Mean
Study or Subgroup       Mean       SD       Total       Weight IV, Random, 95% CI         Male       N-Buhairan et al, 1999       29.30       1.70       149       10.2%       29.30       [29.03; 29.57]         L+lazmi et al, 2001       27.80       1.80       4.3       9.3%       27.80       [27.26; 28.34]         Baker et al, 2022       28.50       1.80       478       1.04%       28.50       [28.42; 28.66]         Shaker et al, 2022       28.50       1.80       478       1.04%       28.50       [28.42; 12.95:1]         Shaker et al, 2022       28.50       2.19       747       10.4%       28.80       [28.64; 28.96]       [57.14]         Shaker et al, 2022       25.80       2.50%       2.86.96       [56.84]       5.80%       2.86; 2.31; 2.95:1]       [48.47]       [48.47]       [49.51]       [49.51]       [49.51]       [49.53]       [49.54]       [40.51]       [40.56]       [40.56]       [40.56]       [40.56]       [40.56]       [40.56]       [40.56]       [40.56]       [40.56]       [40.56]       [40.56]       [40.56]       [40.56]       [40.56]       [40.56]       [40.56]       [40.56]       <	Mean IV, Random, 95% CI	Male       Mean       Mean       Mean         Albuharan et al, 1999       33.90       0.61       149       10.2%       33.90       (33.80; 34.61)         Albuharan et al, 2002       32.70       1.00       11.2%       33.90       (33.80; 34.00)         Bakr et al, 2022       32.70       1.00       11.2%       33.90       (33.80; 34.00)         El-Hazmi et al, 2022       32.70       1.00       1.2%       33.90       (33.80; 34.00)         Bakr et al, 2022       32.70       1.01       49.2%       32.70       (37.61)       10.9%         Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 4.901; Ch <sup>2</sup> = 1709.23, df = 4 (P = 0); l <sup>2</sup> = 100%       10.30       2.00       11.5%       10.1%       34.00       13.67; 34.33         Elderdery et al, 2017       36.30       2.00       11.5%       10.2%       33.90; 33.61;       10.1%
Study or Subgroup       Mean       SD Total       Weight IV, Random, 95% CI         Male       N-Bubdigroup       Mean       SD Total       Weight IV, Random, 95% CI         Male       N-Bubdigroup       28.0       1.80       4.3       9.3%       27.80 [27.26; 28.34]         Liderdery et al, 2017       29.80       2.00       1152       10.5%       29.80 [29.68; 29.92]         Sakr et al, 2022       28.50       1.80       478       10.4%       28.50 [28.42; 12.86; 10.16%         Shaheen et al, 2022       28.80       2.19       747       10.4%       28.50 [28.42; 12.96; 10.16%         Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.5314; Ch <sup>2</sup> = 227.36, df = 4 (P < 0.01); h <sup>2</sup> = 98%       26.96       50.4%       28.68 [23.1; 29.51]         Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.5314; Ch <sup>2</sup> = 227.36, df = 4 (P < 0.01); h <sup>2</sup> = 98%       28.90 [28.75; 29.05]       38.4K et al, 2001       27.10 2.90       43       7.8% [27.96] [27.63; 27.69]         Shaheen et al, 2001       27.10 2.90       43       7.8% [27.96] [27.63; 27.85]       Total [95% CI]       26.69       49.2% (28.07; 28.33]       27.69 [27.53; 27.85]         Shaheen et al, 2022       27.69 [27.69] [27.69] [27.69] [27.63] [27.69] [27.53] [27.69] [27.53] [27.69] [27.53] [27.69] [27.53] [27.69] [27.53] [27.69] [27.53] [27.69] [27.53] [27.69] [27.	Mean IV, Random, 95% Cl	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

FIGURE 3

Mean hematocrit (HCT), mean corpuscular volume (MCV), mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH), and mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC) in apparently healthy male and female individuals in Saudi Arabia.



meta-analysis, it is difficult to comment on the differences in hematological parameters' RIs across regions, as the majority of the studies (4/5) were reported from the Central region.

Smoking is another factor that has been reported to impact the levels of WBC, HB, MCV, and MCHC, typically increasing them (47). In the current meta-analysis, smoking was either not reported in the included studies (25, 26, 36) or was excluded (30). The studies showed an increase in RBC parameters in male individuals over time in the Saudi population (5, 26, 30, 36), which could be attributed to the increase in smoking prevalence in Saudi Arabia over the years (48). Notably, the prevalence of smoking (14%) in Saudi Arabia was reported to be higher in male individuals (25%) compared to female individuals (1.9%) (49).

### Challenges in study comparison

The comparison across the studies was challenging due to variations in study settings, sample sizes, analytic conditions including the technology used for blood counters—assessed parameters, the populations under study, the methods for selecting the study population, age ranges, and hematological parameters' RIs, which were based on local laboratory standards for each study. Although the studies used terms such as "volunteers," "healthy individuals," and "participants coming for routine check-ups," it was still challenging to identify a healthy individual in the Saudi population due to the high prevalence of disorders related to Hb, iron deficiency anemia, and endemic viral infections.

### Recommendations

Several countries have established RIs based on their populations (41, 50). It is well documented in the literature that reported RIs vary across populations (51, 52). Therefore, we recommend establishing hematological parameters' RIs based on the Saudi population on a broader scale. Special precautions should be taken when designing studies reporting hematological parameters' RIs, taking into consideration factors such as geographical region, altitude, genetic factors, lifestyle, risk factors, and the baseline health status of participants.

TABLE 4 The estimated pooled mean and 95% CI of hematological parameters' reference intervals among apparently healthy people in Saudi Arabia.

Complete	М	ale	Fen	nale	
blood count parameters	Mean 95%Cl		Mean	95%CI	
RBCs (10 <sup>12</sup> /l)	5.19	4.96-5.42	4.57	4.30-4.84	
Hemoglobin (g/dl)	15.15	14.68-15.63	13.29	12.87-13.71	
Platelets (10 <sup>6</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup> )	252.79	250.3-255.2	287.18	280.4-293.9	
WBCs (10 <sup>9</sup> /l)	6.70	6.16-7.25	6.81	6.50-7.12	
Hematocrit (%)	0.46	0.38-0.54	0.39	0.38-0.40	
MCV (fl)	85.08	82.97-87.19	84.55	82.63-86.47	
MCH (pg)	28.86	28.21-29.51	28.11	28.21-29.51	
MCHC (g/dl)	34.03	32.80-35.25	33.51	32.16-34.87	

Future studies focusing on hematological parameters should also consider confounders such as smoking status and iron store assessments.

The CBC is a commonly requested laboratory test, but it can be misinterpreted. Caution should be exercised when interpreting CBC results as hematological parameters' RIs vary across laboratories. It is important to recognize that inappropriate interpretation of hematological parameters' RIs might lead to unnecessary further investigations or a failure to identify the underlying disease. This finding, in turn, can affect the quality of patient care and have an impact on the economy.

# Conclusion

The findings of the current review indicated some differences in the reported hematological parameters' RIs across Saudi Arabia. We recommend establishing hematological parameters' RIs based on the Saudi population. We also emphasize the need for a consensus (i) to establish the cut-off value for hematological parameters' RIs for the Saudi

population, (ii) to determine when to refer a patient with abnormal counts, and (iii) to identify when to request further diagnostic work-up.

### Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

## Author contributions

NS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. ST: Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. ArA: Writing – review & editing. AhA: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

### Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### References

1. Troussard X, Vol S, Cornet E, Bardet V, Couaillac JP, Fossat C, et al. Full blood count normal reference values for adults in France. *J. Thromb. Haemost.* (2014) 67:341–4. doi: 10.1136/jclinpath-2013-201687

2. Jacob EA. Complete blood cell count and peripheral blood film, its significant in laboratory medicine: a review study. Science Publishing Group. (2016). Available at: https://www.sciencepublishinggroup.com/article/10.11648/j.ajlm.20160103.12

3. Kratz A, Ferraro M, Sluss PM, Lewandrowski KB. Normal reference laboratory values. N Engl J Med. (2004) 351:1548-63. doi: 10.1056/NEJMcpc049016

4. Al-Mawali A, Pinto AD, Al-Busaidi R, Al-Lawati RH, Morsi M. Comprehensive haematological indices reference intervals for a healthy Omani population: first comprehensive study in gulf cooperation council (GCC) and middle eastern countries based on age, gender and ABO blood group comparison. *PLoS One*. (2018) 13:e0194497. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0194497

5. Shaheen NA, Rehan H, Moghairi A, Gmati G, Damlaj M, Salama H, et al. Hematological indices in the adult saudi population: reference intervals by gender, age, and region. *Front Med.* (2022) 9:901937. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.901937

6. Zeh C, Amornkul PN, Inzaule S, Ondoa P, Oyaro B, Mwaengo DM, et al. Population-based biochemistry, immunologic and hematological reference values for adolescents and young adults in a rural population in Western Kenya. *PLoS One.* (2011) 6:e21040. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0021040

7. Cheng CKW, Chan J, Cembrowski GS, van Assendelft OW. Complete blood count reference interval diagrams derived from NHANES III: stratification by age, sex, and race. *Lab Hematol.* (2004) 10:42–53. doi: 10.1532/lh96.04010

 Abdullah DA, Mahmood GA, Rahman HS. Hematology reference intervals for healthy adults of the City of Sulaymaniyah. *Iraq Int J Gen Med.* (2020) 13:1249–54. doi: 10.2147/IJGM.S270800

9. Al-Jafar H. Provisional study of Kuwait adult hematology reference range (2016) 2:1-4. doi: 10.13188/2380-6842.1000013,

10. Moradinazar M, Najafi F, Pasdar Y, Hamzeh B, Shakiba E, Bohn MK, et al. Establishing hematological reference intervals in healthy adults: Ravansar non-

# **Generative AI statement**

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed.2025.1522492/ full#supplementary-material

#### SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S1

Publication bias for CBC parameters [Mean Red Blood Cells (RBC), Hemoglobin, platelets (PLT), and White Blood Cell Count (WBC)].

#### SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S2

Publication bias for CBC parameters [Mean Hematocrit (HCT), Mean Corpuscular Volume (MCV), Mean Corpuscular Hemoglobin (MCH), Mean Corpuscular Hemoglobin Concentration (MCHC)].

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 1 Publication Bias across studies.

communicable disease cohort study, Iran. Int J Lab Hematol. (2021) 43:199–209. doi: 10.1111/ijlh.13371

11. Awan ZA, Al Amoudi SM, Saboor MAH. Isolated neutropenia/benign ethnic neutropenia: a common clinical and laboratory finding in southern and Western Saudi Arabia. *Int J Gen Med.* (2021) 14:451–7. doi: 10.2147/IJGM.S300690

12. Tefferi A, Hanson CA, Inwards DJ. How to interpret and pursue an abnormal complete blood cell count in adults. *Mayo Clin Proc.* (2005) 80:923–36. doi: 10.4065/80.7.923

13. Ceriotti F, Hinzmann R, Panteghini M. Reference intervals: the way forward. *Ann Clin Biochem.* (2009) 46:8–17. doi: 10.1258/acb.2008.008170

14. Galen RS, Gambino SR. Beyond normality: The predictive value and efficiency of medical diagnoses. New York (N.Y.): Wiley; (1975). Available online at: http://lib.ugent.be/catalog/rug01:000494058

15. Alkhaldy HYA, Awan Z, Abouzaid AA, Elbahaie HM, Al Amoudi SM, Andarawi M, et al. The prevalence of isolated neutropenia at high altitude in southern Saudi Arabia: does altitude affect leucocyte count? *Int J Gen Med.* (2020) 13:1373–9. doi: 10.2147/ijgm.s284133

16. Atallah-Yunes SA, Ready A, Newburger PE. Benign ethnic neutropenia. *Blood Rev.* (2019) 37:100586. doi: 10.1016/j.blre.2019.06.003

17. Gari M, Dakhakhni M, Gari A, Alshihri E, al-Jahdali R, Narasimhan K, et al. Incidence and potential causative factors associated with chronic benign neutropenia in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *BMC Proc.* (2015) 9:S1. doi: 10.1186/1753-6561-9-S2-S1

18. Moola S, Munn Z, Tufanaru C, Aromataris E, Sears K, Sfetcu R, et al. Systematic reviews of etiology and Risk In: AE MZ, editor. Joanna Briggs institute Reviewer's manual. Adelaide, South Australia: The Joanna Briggs Institute (2017)

19. Brooke BS, Schwartz TA, Pawlik TM. MOOSE reporting guidelines for Metaanalyses of observational studies. *JAMA Surg.* (2021) 156:787–8. doi: 10.1001/jamasurg.2021.0522

20. Light RJ, Pillemer DB. Summing up: The science of reviewing research. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press (1984).

21. Egger M, Smith GD, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ*. (1997) 315:629–34. doi: 10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629

22. Borai A, Ichihara K, Bahijri S, Almasoud A, Tamimi W, Abdulhadi W, et al. Establishment of reference intervals for hematological parameters of adult population in the western region of Saudi Arabia. *PLoS One.* (2023) 18:e0281494. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0281494

23. El-Hazmi MA, Al-Faleh FZ, Al-Mofleh IA, Warszy AS, Al-Askah AK. Establishment of normal "reference" ranges for haematological parameters for healthy Saudi Arabs. *Trop Geogr Med.* (1982) 34:333–9.

24. El-Hazmi MA, Warsy AS. Appraisal of sickle-cell and thalassaemia genes in Saudi Arabia. *East Mediterr Heal J.* (1999) 5:1147–53.

25. El-Hazmi MA, Warsy AS. Normal reference values for hematological parameters, red cell indices, HB A2 and HB F from early childhood through adolescence in Saudis. *Ann Saudi Med.* (2001) 21:165–9. doi: 10.5144/0256-4947.2001.165

26. Bakr S. Hematologic reference values for healthy adult Saudis. *Hematol Transfus Cell Ther.* (2021) 43:S53. doi: 10.1016/j.htct.2021.10.1065

27. Ghafouri H, Al Fares A, Islam S, Ahmed AJM. Hematological reference values assessed from birth to adolescence in Saudi subjects in the area of Jeddah Hassan M., Ghafouri. *Saudi Med J.* (1987) 8:575–82.

28. Alharbi S, Alkhotani A. Hematological reference values for full-term, healthy newborns of Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. *J Clin Neonatol.* (2017) 6:19–22. doi: 10.4103/2249-4847.199758

29. Wagih B, Andrew AA, Syed MF, Badawi H, Sirajuddin DZ, Kumar AR. Hemoglobin and hematocrit values of Saudi newborns in the high altitude of Abha, Saudi Arabia. *Ann Saudi Med.* (1996) 16:527–9. doi: 10.5144/0256-4947. 1996.527

30. Elderdery AY, Alshaiban AS. Reference value profile for healthy individuals from the Aljouf region of Saudi Arabia. *J Hematol.* (2017) 6:6–11. doi: 10.14740/jh316e

31. Fulgoni VL 3rd, Agarwal S, Kellogg MD, Lieberman HR. Establishing pediatric and adult RBC reference intervals with NHANES data using piecewise regression. *Am J Clin Pathol.* (2019) 151:128–42. doi: 10.1093/ajcp/aqy116

32. Rosenfeld LG, Malta DC, Szwarcwald CL, Bacal NS, Cuder MAM, Pereira CA, et al. Reference values for blood count laboratory tests in the Brazilian adult population, National Health Survey. *Rev Bras Epidemiol.* (2019) 22:E190003.SUPL.2. doi: 10.1590/1980-549720190003.supl.2

33. Nah EH, Kim S, Cho S, Cho HI. Complete blood count reference intervals and patterns of changes across pediatric, adult, and geriatric ages in Korea. *Ann Lab Med.* (2018) 38:503–11. doi: 10.3343/alm.2018.38.6.503

34. Siraj N, Issac J, Anwar M, Mehari Y, Russom S, Kahsay S, et al. Establishment of hematological reference intervals for healthy adults in Asmara. *BMC Res Notes*. (2018) 11:55. doi: 10.1186/s13104-018-3142-y

35. Wu X, Zhao M, Pan B, Zhang J, Peng M, Wang L, et al. Complete blood count reference intervals for healthy Han Chinese adults. *PLoS One*. (2015) 10:e0119669. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0119669

36. Al-Buhairan AM, Khalil SH, Oluboyede OA. Reference range values of hematological parameters in Saudi healthy adults. *Saudi Med J.* (1999) 20:757–62.

37. Dasharathy SS, Mumford SL, Pollack AZ, Perkins NJ, Mattison DR, Wactawski-Wende J, et al. Menstrual bleeding patterns among regularly menstruating women. *Am J Epidemiol.* (2012) 175:536–45. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwr356

38. AlFaris N, ALTamimi J, AlKehayez N, AlMushawah F, AlNaeem A, AlAmri N, et al. Prevalence of Anemia and associated risk factors among non-pregnant women in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia: a cross-sectional study. *Int J Gen Med.* (2021) 14:765–77. doi: 10.2147/IJGM.S299450

39. Al Hassan NN. The prevalence of iron deficiency anemia in a Saudi university female students. *J Microsc Ultrastruct*. (2015) 3:25–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jmau.2014.11.003

40. Arbaeen AF, Iqbal MS. Anemia Burden among Hospital Attendees in Makkah, Saudi Arabia. *Anemia*. (2022) 2022:4709119. doi: 10.1155/2022/4709119

41. Adeli K, Raizman JE, Chen Y, Higgins V, Nieuwesteeg M, Abdelhaleem M, et al. Complex biological profile of hematologic markers across pediatric, adult, and geriatric ages: establishment of robust pediatric and adult reference intervals on the basis of the Canadian health measures survey. *Clin Chem.* (2015) 61:1075–86. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2015.240531

42. Daly ME. Determinants of platelet count in humans. *Haematologica*. (2011) 96:10–3. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2010.035287

43. Arbiol-Roca A, Imperiali CE, Montserrat MM, Cerro AS, Bosch de Basea AC, Navarro LS, et al. Reference intervals for a complete blood count on an automated haematology analyser Sysmex XN in healthy adults from the southern metropolitan area of Barcelona. *EJIFCC*. (2018) 29:48–54.

44. Biino G, Santimone I, Minelli C, Sorice R, Frongia B, Traglia M, et al. Age- and sex-related variations in platelet count in Italy: a proposal of reference ranges based on 40987 subjects' data. *PLoS One.* (2013) 8:e54289–9. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0054289

45. Kadikoylu G, Yavasoglu I, Bolaman Z, Senturk T. Platelet parameters in women with iron deficiency anemia. J Natl Med Assoc. (2006) 98:398–402.

46. Denic S, Showqi S, Klein C, Takala M, Nagelkerke N, Agarwal MM. Prevalence, phenotype and inheritance of benign neutropenia in Arabs. *BMC Blood Disord*. (2009) 9:3. doi: 10.1186/1471-2326-9-3

47. Malenica M, Prnjavorac B, Bego T, Dujic T, Semiz S, Skrbo S, et al. Effect of cigarette smoking on Haematological parameters in healthy population. *Med Arch (Sarajevo, Bosnia Herzegovina)*. (2017) 71:132–6. doi: 10.5455/medarh.2017.71.132-136

48. Saudi Arabia Smoking Rate 2000–2023. Accessed November 9, (2023). Avalable online at: https://www.macrotrends.net/countries/SAU/saudi-arabia/smoking-rate-statistics%0A

49. Qattan AMN, Boachie MK, Immurana M, Al-Hanawi MK. Socioeconomic determinants of smoking in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2021) 18:5665. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18115665

50. Sinclair L, Hall S, Badrick T. A survey of Australian haematology reference intervals. *Pathology*. (2014) 46:538-43. doi: 10.1097/PAT.00000000000148

51. Lim E, Miyamura J, Chen JJ. Racial/ethnic-specific reference intervals for common laboratory tests: a comparison among Asians, blacks, Hispanics, and white. *Hawai'i J Med public Heal a J Asia Pacific Med Public Heal.* (2015) 74:302–10.

52. Sundaram M, Mohanakrishnan J, Murugavel KG, Shankar EM, Solomon S, Srinivas CN, et al. Ethnic variation in certain hematological and biochemical reference intervals in a south Indian healthy adult population. *Eur J Intern Med.* (2008) 19:46–50. doi: 10.1016/j.ejim.2007.06.010