Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Wensi Tao, University of Miami Health System, United States

REVIEWED BY Essam Mohamed Elmatbouly Saber, Benha University, Egypt Yuan Liu, University of Miami Health System, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Jianmin Zhu ⊠ 486447292@qq.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 06 November 2024 ACCEPTED 02 May 2025 PUBLISHED 26 May 2025

CITATION

Jin Q, Huang J, Gao L and Zhu J (2025) Association between triglyceride-glucose index and cataract among outpatient US adults. *Front. Med.* 12:1523711. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2025.1523711

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Jin, Huang, Gao and Zhu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Association between triglyceride-glucose index and cataract among outpatient US adults

Qi Jin^{1†}, Jin Huang^{2†}, Liyun Gao¹ and Jianmin Zhu^{1*}

¹The First People's Hospital of Xiaoshan District, Xiaoshan Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Zhejiang, China, ²National Clinical Research Center for Ocular Diseases, Eye Hospital, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China

Background: Although numerous studies have associated a higher TyG index with various diseases, there is limited research on its potential link to cataract. This study seeks to investigate the relationship between the TyG index and cataract in the outpatient adult population in the United States.

Methods: Our study used NHANES data from the 1999–2008 cycles. We applied weighted multivariate logistic regression to investigate the relationship between the TyG index and cataract in the United States and conducted subgroup analysis to assess the robustness of these associations across different populations.

Results: Among 5,433 adults [2,699 (46.8%) male; 2,734 (53.2%) female], 1,038 (15.4%) had cataract. A fully adjusted model (i.e., model 2) showed that the highest quartile array of TyG index (Quartile IV) was positively associated with a higher risk of cataract among men (OR = 1.63 (1.10–2.43), p = 0.016). No difference was found in the female population.

Conclusion: Our research reveals that the highest quartile array of TyG index (Quartile IV) is associated with a higher risk of cataract among men. This suggests that elevated levels of this index may contribute to the likelihood of developing cataracts, emphasizing the need to consider this metabolic parameter when assessing eye health in male participants.

KEYWORDS

cataract, triglyceride-glucose (TyG) index, cross-sectional study, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), outpatient US adults

1 Introduction

Globally, 94 million people are blind or visually impaired, with cataract being the most common cause of blindness, particularly affecting the elderly (1–4). In the United States, the prevalence rises from 24.4% among individuals aged 40 and older to over 50% for those aged 75 and above, largely attributed to the aging population (5). Nutritional health, alcohol intake, and tobacco use can significantly impact cataract development, which has also been associated with various systemic issues, including diabetes, high blood pressure, and obesity (6, 7). While cataract surgery is effective, economic barriers can limit access, especially in less economically developed countries.

The TyG index, calculated from TG and FPG levels, is a reliable surrogate marker of insulin resistance (8–10). Research indicates that in patients with type 2 diabetes, longer diabetes duration and poor metabolic control, particularly hypercholesterolemia, alongside

elevated diastolic blood pressure and reduced kidney function, are significant risk factors for cataract development (11). In addition, a study showed that increased serum levels of LDL-C and TG are independent risk factors for cataract in both male and female for inclusion in our str

study showed that increased serum levels of LDL-C and TG are independent risk factors for cataract in both male and female participants (12). A study conducted in Saudi Arabia found that in patients with type 2 diabetes, cataract is significantly associated with factors such as age, duration of diabetes, and blood pressure. Notably, the study also revealed that a later onset of diabetes is correlated with a higher incidence of cataract (13). In a related study, it was revealed that diabetic retinopathy significantly increases the risk of developing sight-threatening cataract that require surgery (14). Patients with diabetic cataract show a similar overall bacterial composition to non-diabetic patients but with a significant decrease in beneficial bacteria and an increase in pathogenic strains, indicating a greater susceptibility to infections associated with cataract development (15).

Existing research indicates that both high blood glucose and elevated lipid levels are significant, independent contributors to the development of cataract. The TyG index, calculated from TG and FPG levels, has not been studied in relation to cataract, but it is likely associated with this condition.

2 Methods

2.1 Data sources and study design

Cataract information was only available in the NHANES cycles from 1999 to 2004 for adults aged 50 and older and in the 2005 to 2008 cycles for those aged 20 and older (16). In this study using data from the 1999– 2008 NHANES, adults aged 50 years or older were extracted. All data were analyzed between September and November 2024, and this study followed the STROBE reporting guideline.

First of all, we identified and selected 11,831 adults aged 50 and older for inclusion in our study. Then, we excluded 6,392 adults who had missing the TyG index data and 6 adults who had missing cataract data. Finally, a total of 5,433 adults were included in the analysis (see Figure 1).

2.2 Assessment of TyG index and cataract

The TyG index was calculated as ln [TG \times FPG/2] (17–19). Cataract diagnosis in this study was based on the question "Have you ever had a cataract operation?" [NHANES Variable Name: VIQ070 (from 1999–2002 cycles) and VIQ071 (from 2003–2008 cycles)], with response options of "yes" or "no." A positive response "yes" was considered indicative of a cataract (5, 16, 20).

2.3 Covariates

This cross-sectional study examined several potential confounding factors, including sociodemographic variables [age, sex (female/male), race and ethnicity, family income (low/medium/high), educational level, and marital status (married/not married)], NHANES cycles, BMI, alcohol drinking status, and smoking status.

2.4 Statistical analysis

Our analysis, which utilized NHANES data from the 1999–2008 cycles, took into account the complex design and applied appropriate



weights. Weighting was performed using the NHANESrecommended method, with the fasting subsample weight for the 10-year MEC calculated by dividing the 2-year MEC weight by 5 (21–23).

In the model 1, adjustments were made for sociodemographic factors and NHANES cycles. The model 2 included additional adjustments for BMI, alcohol drinking status, and smoking status. Finally, we performed a subgroup analysis based on sex to examine how it may influence the association between the TyG index and cataract.

Given that the amount of missing data for each variable was minimal, we did not apply any imputation techniques. All statistical analyses were conducted using R.

3 Results

As shown in Figure 1, 39,792 adults <50 years old were excluded, and 6,392 adults were excluded for missing the TyG index data. After removing 6 adults missing cataract data, 5,433 adults were included in the analysis (see Figure 1). This study included 5,433 adults, consisting of 2,699 male (46.8%) and 2,734 female (53.2%) participants. In this study, the TyG index was divided into four quartiles (see Table 1), with the highest quartile being more likely to be male (p < 0.001).

There was no significant difference between the TyG index and cataract in the fully adjusted model (Model 2, see Table 2). In the male subgroup, it demonstrated that the highest quartile array of TyG index (Quartile IV) was associated with a higher risk of cataract [OR = 1.63 (1.10–2.43), p = 0.016]. No difference was found in the female subgroup.

4 Discussion

Cataract is a condition characterized by the gradual clouding of the eye's natural lens. This clouding interferes with the passage of light to the retina, leading to blurry vision. Existing research indicates that both high blood glucose and elevated lipid levels are significant, independent contributors to the development of cataract. The TyG index, calculated from TG and FPG levels, is a reliable surrogate marker of insulin resistance. Some studies have shown that the higher TyG index levels are significantly associated with the presence and severity of diabetic retinopathy (24–26), but there is a lack of largescale studies that directly explore the relationship between the TyG index and cataract. In this study of 5,433 participants, we found that the highest quartile array of TyG index is linked to an increased risk of cataract in men. Therefore, the TyG index, with its low cost and non-invasive methodology, could serve as a valuable biomarker for cataract in this population.

This study examined the relationship between the TyG index and cataract in men, finding that the highest quartile array of TyG index (Quartile IV) was associated with a higher risk of cataract in men. Biological, behavioral, and sociological factors likely underlie the sex-specific association between the TyG index and cataract risk. Anatomically, men's central obesity promotes insulin resistance and raises the TyG index, increasing cataract risk, while pre-menopausal women's estrogen boosts insulin sensitivity and mitigates the metabolic harm of high TyG levels, and post-menopausal women experience less drastic body fat changes. Behaviorally, men's higher smoking and alcohol use disrupt metabolism and amplify the impact of a high TyG index, compounded by greater exposure to environmental stressors from physical labor and outdoor activities, whereas women's emphasis on health management preserves metabolic health and weakens this link. Socially, men's greater economic stress dysregulates metabolism and heightens risk when TyG is elevated, while women's proactive health-seeking behavior allows for earlier intervention, reducing the association between the TyG index and cataract development. This suggests that lifestyle changes, such as lowering blood glucose and lipid levels, could be beneficial for individuals with a high TyG index.

Based on our findings, the TyG index appears to be a promising biomarker that could be integrated into broader cataract risk assessments, particularly in high-risk populations, such as those with diabetes or metabolic syndrome. By incorporating the TyG index into routine risk evaluations, healthcare providers could gain valuable insights into an individual's likelihood of developing cataract, enabling more targeted and personalized approaches to prevention. Routine screening may involve measuring the TyG index alongside other established risk factors for cataract formation, such as age, family history, alcohol, smoking, and UVB exposure.

For individuals with an elevated TyG index, early interventions could focus on lifestyle changes that have been shown to positively impact metabolic health. This might include dietary modifications—such as increasing the intake of antioxidant-rich foods, reducing the consumption of processed sugars, and maintaining a balanced intake of healthy fats—and encouraging regular physical activity to improve insulin sensitivity. For those at even higher risk, medical interventions could be considered, possibly including pharmacological treatments to manage blood sugar and lipid levels.

In addition, increased frequency of eye exams could be recommended for individuals with a high TyG index as regular monitoring would allow for the early detection of cataract development and timely intervention.

5 Conclusion

In this study involving 5,433 adults from the 1999–2008 NHANES cycles, we found that the highest quartile array of TyG index (Quartile IV) was associated with a higher risk of cataract in men. It provides important insights into the association between the TyG index and cataract among US adults. Promoting lifestyle changes, such as lowering blood glucose and lipid levels, could be beneficial for individuals with a high TyG index.

Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/.

TABLE 1 Characteristics of participants according to TyG index.

	Participants	TyG index				
Characteristic	Total (N = 5,433)	Quartile I (N = 1,360)	Quartile II (N = 1,354)	Quartile III (N = 1,360)	Quartile IV (N = 1,359)	<i>p</i> -value
Age (median [IQR])	61.00 [55.00, 71.00]	60.00 [54.00, 70.00]	62.00 [55.00, 73.00]	63.00 [55.00, 71.00]	61.00 [55.00, 70.00]	0.001
BMI (median [IQR])	27.78 [24.42, 31.84]	25.45 [22.60, 29.37]	27.02 [24.09, 31.20]	28.55 [25.47, 32.58]	29.59 [26.83, 33.60]	<0.001
Sex						<0.001
Male	2,699 (46.8)	657 (42.6)	666 (46.1)	659 (46.4)	717 (52.5)	
Female	2,734 (53.2)	703 (57.4)	688 (53.9)	701 (53.6)	642 (47.5)	
Marital status						0.522
Married	3,191 (65.2)	774 (64.8)	789 (64.1)	824 (67.2)	804 (64.7)	
Not married	2,242 (34.8)	586 (35.2)	565 (35.9)	536 (32.8)	555 (35.3)	
Educational level						<0.001
High school or less	3,223 (49.0)	723 (42.1)	781 (47.5)	807 (49.5)	912 (57.6)	
Some college	1,209 (26.7)	324 (26.7)	300 (27.1)	299 (26.3)	286 (26.6)	
College graduate or higher	988 (24.3)	312 (31.2)	267 (25.4)	251 (24.2)	158 (15.8)	
Race and ethnicity						< 0.001
Mexican American	997 (4.2)	144 (2.4)	213 (3.6)	275 (4.7)	365 (6.5)	
Non-Hispanic White	3,076 (79.6)	769 (79.1)	794 (81.1)	783 (80.0)	730 (78.3)	
Non-Hispanic Black	911 (8.1)	361 (12.7)	228 (7.8)	179 (6.1)	143 (5.6)	
Other	449 (8.0)	86 (5.8)	119 (7.5)	123 (9.2)	121 (9.6)	
Family income ^a						<0.001
Low	1,318 (16.6)	304 (14.8)	314 (16.6)	311 (15.2)	389 (20.0)	
Medium	1994 (37.8)	462 (33.6)	492 (36.6)	543 (42.4)	497 (38.8)	
High	1,613 (45.6)	458 (51.6)	426 (46.7)	383 (42.4)	346 (41.2)	
Smoking status ^b						0.051
Never	2,483 (44.5)	657 (47.6)	648 (45.2)	612 (45.3)	566 (39.7)	
Former	2074 (38.5)	501 (37.2)	487 (37.0)	528 (37.6)	558 (42.5)	
Current	867 (16.9)	200 (15.2)	216 (17.8)	218 (17.1)	233 (17.8)	
Alcohol drinker ^c						0.203
Yes	3,622 (67.8)	916 (69.3)	932 (69.7)	875 (66.3)	899 (65.6)	
No	1811 (32.2)	444 (30.7)	422 (30.3)	485 (33.7)	460 (34.4)	
Hypertension						< 0.001
Yes	2,781 (48.1)	618 (38.5)	649 (45.8)	714 (50.7)	800 (58.3)	
No	2,652 (51.9)	742 (61.5)	705 (54.2)	646 (49.3)	559 (41.7)	
Hyperlipidemia						< 0.001
Yes	2,362 (52.1)	442 (37.7)	551 (48.1)	644 (57.1)	725 (66.6)	
No	3,071 (47.9)	918 (62.3)	803 (51.9)	716 (42.9)	634 (33.4)	
Diabetes mellitus						< 0.001
Yes	967 (13.7)	104 (5.7)	143 (7.2)	220 (12.2)	500 (30.8)	
No	4,466 (86.3)	1,256 (94.3)	1,211 (92.8)	1,140 (87.8)	859 (69.2)	
Cataract ^d						0.002
Yes	1,038 (15.4)	241 (13.2)	294 (18.3)	245 (14.2)	258 (15.8)	
No	4,395 (84.6)	1,119 (86.8)	1,060 (81.7)	1,115 (85.8)	1,101 (84.2)	

Data are presented as unweighted number (weighted percentage) unless otherwise indicated.

 a Categorized into the following three levels based on the family poverty income ratio: low income (≤ 1.3), medium income (> 1.3 to 3.5), and high income (> 3.5).

^bWe categorized smoking status into the following three groups: never smoked (smoked <100 cigarettes in life), former smoker (smoked at least 100 cigarettes in life but has quit), and current smoker (smoked at least 100 cigarettes in life and is now still smoking).

Determined by answering the following question: "In any 1 year, have you had at least 12 drinks of any type of alcoholic beverage?"

^dCataract operation was determined by asking participants the question, "Have you ever had a cataract operation?" (VIQ070: 1999–2002; VIQ071: 2003–2008), with answers "yes" or "no." If the answer was "yes," the participant was diagnosed with a cataract.

TABLE 2 Association between TyG index and cataract.

	TyG index						
	Quartile I	Quartile II (OR, 95% CI, <i>p</i> -value)	Quartile III (OR, 95% CI, <i>p</i> -value)	Quartile IV (OR, 95% CI, <i>p</i> -value)			
Overall							
Crude modelª	1.0 (Reference)	1.47 (1.20–1.80) $p < 0.001$	1.09 (0.87–1.35) $p = 0.454$	1.23 (1.01–1.49) $p = 0.036$			
Model 1 ^b	1.0 (Reference)	1.39 (1.06–1.83) $p = 0.019$	1.05 (0.79–1.40) $p = 0.737$	1.33 (1.03–1.71) $p = 0.027$			
Model 2 ^c	1.0 (Reference)	1.32 (1.01–1.73) $p = 0.041$	$0.98\ (0.73{-}1.32)\ p=0.897$	1.22 (0.94–1.57) $p = 0.128$			
Sex							
Female							
Crude model	1.0 (Reference)	1.62 (1.25–2.10) $p < 0.001$	1.19 (0.91–1.56) $p = 0.209$	1.42 (1.10–1.83) $p = 0.008$			
Model 1	1.0 (Reference)	1.26 (0.89–1.78) $p = 0.183$	0.89 (0.63 - 1.26) p = 0.506	1.09 (0.76–1.58) $p = 0.638$			
Model 2	1.0 (Reference)	1.14 (0.82–1.59) $p = 0.425$	$0.79\ (0.58{-}1.09)\ p = 0.151$	$0.90\ (0.64{-}1.27)\ p=0.550$			
Male							
Crude model	1.0 (Reference)	1.30 (0.94–1.80) $p = 0.111$	0.97 (0.68 - 1.40) p = 0.885	1.09 (0.79 - 1.50) p = 0.593			
Model 1	1.0 (Reference)	1.57 (1.07–2.31) $p = 0.021$	1.34 (0.88-2.03) p = 0.170	1.71 (1.20–2.43) <i>p</i> = 0.003			
Model 2	1.0 (Reference)	1.53 (1.03–2.29) <i>p</i> = 0.038	1.24 (0.77–1.99) $p = 0.369$	1.63 (1.10–2.43) $p = 0.016$			

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; TyG index, triglyceride-glucose index.

^aCrude model: adjusted for none.

^bAdjusted for sociodemographic variables (age, sex, race and ethnicity, family income, educational level, and marital status) and NHANES cycles.

^cAdjusted for sociodemographic variables, NHANES cycles, BMI, alcohol drinking status, and smoking status.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the NCHS research ethics review board approved the NHANES study protocol, and participants provided written informed consent at enrolment. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

QJ: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JH: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. LG: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JZ: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

References

1. Liu Y-C, Wilkins M, Kim T, Malyugin B, Mehta JS. Cataracts. Lancet Lond Engl. (2017) 390:600–12. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30544-5

2. Lee CM, Afshari NA. The global state of cataract blindness. *Curr Opin Ophthalmol.* (2017) 28:98–103. doi: 10.1097/ICU.00000000000340

3. Delbarre M, Froussart-Maille F. Signs, symptoms, and clinical forms of cataract in adults. J Fr Ophtalmol. (2020) 43:653-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jfo.2019.11.009

4. Cicinelli MV, Buchan JC, Nicholson M, Varadaraj V, Khanna RC. Cataracts. Lancet Lond Engl. (2023) 401:377–89. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(22)01839-6

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The authors declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

5. Zhang Y, Zhou J, Shen W, Grzybowski A, Jin K, Ye J. Association between dietary inflammation index and cataract: a population-based study from NHANES 2005-2008. *Front Nutr.* (2024) 11:1379317. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2024.1379317

6. Ang MJ, Afshari NA. Cataract and systemic disease: a review. *Clin Experiment Ophthalmol.* (2021) 49:118–27. doi: 10.1111/ceo.13892

7. Kai J-Y, Zhou M, Li D-L, Zhu K-Y, Wu Q, Zhang X-F, et al. Smoking, dietary factors and major age-related eye disorders: an umbrella review of systematic reviews and metaanalyses. *Br J Ophthalmol.* (2023) 108:51–7. doi: 10.1136/bjo-2022-322325 8. Ramdas Nayak VK, Satheesh P, Shenoy MT, Kalra S. Triglyceride glucose (TyG) index: a surrogate biomarker of insulin resistance. *JPMA J Pak Med Assoc.* (2022) 72:986–8. doi: 10.47391/JPMA.22-63

9. Tahapary DL, Pratisthita LB, Fitri NA, Marcella C, Wafa S, Kurniawan F, et al. Challenges in the diagnosis of insulin resistance: focusing on the role of HOMA-IR and Tryglyceride/glucose index. *Diabetes Metab Syndr.* (2022) 16:102581. doi: 10.1016/j.dsx.2022.102581

10. Tian X, Chen S, Wang P, Xu Q, Zhang Y, Luo Y, et al. Insulin resistance mediates obesity-related risk of cardiovascular disease: a prospective cohort study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2022) 21:289. doi: 10.1186/s12933-022-01729-9

11. Tomić M, Vrabec R, Raštegorac P, Ljubić S, Bulum T, Rahelić D. Hypertension and hypercholesterolemia are associated with cataract development in patients with type 2 diabetes. *High Blood Press Cardiovasc Prev.* (2021) 28:475–81. doi: 10.1007/s40292-021-00472-8

12. Li S, Li D, Zhang Y, Teng J, Shao M, Cao W. Association between serum lipids concentration and patients with age-related cataract in China: a cross-sectional, case-control study. *BMJ Open*. (2018) 8:e021496. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-021496

13. Km A. Senile cataract in patients with diabetes with and without diabetic retinopathy: a community-based comparative study. *J Epidemiol Glob Health.* (2022) 12:56–63. doi: 10.1007/s44197-021-00020-6

14. Cw N, Cy L, Hc C, Sc C, Hj H, Sh T, et al. The elevated risk of sight-threatening cataract in diabetes with retinopathy: a retrospective population-based cohort study. *BMC Ophthalmol.* (2021) 21:349. doi: 10.1186/s12886-021-02114-y

15. Shao Z, Shan X, Jing L, Wang W, Li W, Ren Z, et al. Metagenome investigation of ocular microbiota of cataract patients with and without type 2 diabetes. *Transl Vis Sci Technol.* (2023) 12:1. doi: 10.1167/tvst.12.6.1

16. Huang J, Wu H, Yu F, Wu F, Hang C, Zhang X, et al. Association between systemic immune-inflammation index and cataract among outpatient US adults. *Front Med.* (2024) 11:1469200. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2024.1469200

17. Jiang Y, Shen J, Chen P, Cai J, Zhao Y, Liang J, et al. Association of triglyceride glucose index with stroke: from two large cohort studies and Mendelian randomization analysis. *Int J Surg Lond Engl.* (2024) 110:5409–16. doi: 10.1097/JS9.000000000001795

18. Fritz J, Jochems SHJ, Bjørge T, Wood AM, Häggström C, Ulmer H, et al. Body mass index, triglyceride-glucose index, and prostate cancer death: a mediation analysis in eight European cohorts. *Br J Cancer*. (2024) 130:308–16. doi: 10.1038/s41416-023-02526-1

19. Behnoush AH, Mousavi A, Ghondaghsaz E, Shojaei S, Cannavo A, Khalaji A. The importance of assessing the triglyceride-glucose index (TyG) in patients with depression: a systematic review. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* (2024) 159:105582. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2024.105582

20. Zhou J, Lou L, Jin K, Ye J. Association between healthy eating Index-2015 and age-related cataract in American adults: a cross-sectional study of NHANES 2005-2008. *Nutrients.* (2022) 15:98. doi: 10.3390/nu15010098

21. Ruan Z, Lu T, Chen Y, Yuan M, Yu H, Liu R, et al. Association between psoriasis and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease among outpatient US adults. *JAMA Dermatol.* (2022) 158:745–53. doi: 10.1001/jamadermatol.2022.1609

22. Ding Q, Li X, Lin L, Xie X, Jing W, Chen X, et al. Association between systemic immunity-inflammation index and psoriasis among outpatient US adults. *Front Immunol.* (2024) 15:1368727. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1368727

23. Ding Q, Lin L, Li X, Xie X, Lu T. Association between systemic immuneinflammation index and atopic dermatitis: a cross-sectional study of NHANES 2001–2006. *Front Med*. (2024) 11:1461596. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2024.1461596

24. Neelam K, Aung KCY, Ang K, Tavintharan S, Sum CF, Lim SC. Association of Triglyceride Glucose Index with prevalence and incidence of diabetic retinopathy in a Singaporean population. *Clin Ophthalmol Auckl NZ*. (2023) 17:445–54. doi: 10.2147/OPTH.S382336

25. Yao L, Wang X, Zhong Y, Wang Y, Wu J, Geng J, et al. The triglyceride-glucose index is associated with diabetic retinopathy in Chinese patients with type 2 diabetes: a hospital-based, nested, case-control study. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes.* (2021) 14:1547–55. doi: 10.2147/DMSO.S294408

26. Zhou Y, Lu Q, Zhang M, Yang L, Shen X. The U-shape relationship between triglyceride-glucose index and the risk of diabetic retinopathy among the US population. *J Pers Med.* (2023) 13:495. doi: 10.3390/jpm13030495