Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Ata Murat Kaynar, University of Pittsburgh, United States

REVIEWED BY Ahmed G. Elkhouly, Tanta University, Egypt Xin Zhang, Wuxi People's Hospital Affiliated to Nanjing Medical University, China Yangzi Zhu, Xuzhou Central Hospital, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Jie Zhang ☑ zj62484633310@163.com Xindi Wu ☑ cindywu1111@126.com Ke Wang ☑ wangke8430@163.com Tongyu Chen ☑ tonychen080@sina.com

RECEIVED 14 November 2024 ACCEPTED 20 March 2025 PUBLISHED 07 April 2025

CITATION

Zhang J, Wu X, Ju C, Kurexi S, Zhou X, Wang K and Chen T (2025) Efficacy and safety of transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation for preoperative anxiety in thoracoscopic surgery: a randomized controlled trial. *Front. Med.* 12:1527993. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2025.1527993

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Zhang, Wu, Ju, Kurexi, Zhou, Wang and Chen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Efficacy and safety of transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation for preoperative anxiety in thoracoscopic surgery: a randomized controlled trial

Jie Zhang¹*, Xindi Wu¹*, Chenni Ju¹, Subinuer Kurexi¹, Xiaoxiao Zhou², Ke Wang³* and Tongyu Chen¹*

¹Yueyang Hospital of Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai, China, ²Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai, China, ³Yueyang Hospital of Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine, Acupuncture Anesthesia Clinical Research Institute, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai, China

Background: Patients undergoing video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) often experience preoperative anxiety, which can significantly impact the surgical process and postoperative recovery. However, the efficacy of Transcutaneous Electrical Acupoint Stimulation (TEAS) in managing preoperative anxiety in VATS patients is unknown.

Methods: A total of 82 patients scheduled for thoracoscopic surgery were randomly divided into TEAS group (n = 41) and sham TEAS (STEAS) group (n = 41). The TEAS/STEAS intervention began 3 days before the thoracoscopic surgery, with one session lasting 30 min per day for three consecutive days. The primary outcome measure will be the change in Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale scores between the day before surgery and the baseline. Secondary outcome include intraoperative anesthetic consumption, time to postoperative chest tube removal, postoperative analgesic consumption and pain scores, length of postoperative hospital stay, serum concentrations of 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT), norepinephrine (NE), and gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA).

Results: On the third intervention day, anxiety levels in the TEAS group were significantly lower than in the STEAS group (p < 0.01). TEAS patients required less intraoperative sufertanil, remifertanil, and dexamethasone (p < 0.01). Chest tube removal time and hospital stay were shorter in the TEAS group (p < 0.01). Postoperative meperidine consumption and VAS pain scores were lower in the TEAS group (p < 0.01). Serum 5-HT levels were lower in the TEAS group on day three (p < 0.01), while NE levels remained lower from day three of intervention to postoperative day three (p < 0.05). GABA levels were higher in the TEAS group (p < 0.01).

Conclusion: TEAS effectively reduces preoperative anxiety, decreases intraoperative anesthetic and anti-inflammatory drug use, shortens postoperative chest tube removal time and hospitalization, and alleviates postoperative pain. These results indicate that TEAS, as an adjunctive therapy, has valuable potential in improving surgical outcomes and postoperative experience for patients with pulmonary nodules.

Clinical trial registration: https://clinicaltrials.gov, NCT04887090.

KEYWORDS

electrical acupoint stimulation, preoperative anxiety, general anesthesia, videoassisted thoracoscopic surgery, randomized trial, peri-operative

1 Introduction

Preoperative anxiety is generally described as a state of discomfort or tension caused by disease, the ward environment, or worries about anesthesia and surgery before the operation; this state is often accompanied by somatic manifestations such as palpitations, dyspnea, sweating, frequent urination, abdominal pain, diarrhea or sleep disturbance (1). According to the World Health Organization (WHO), between 266 and 360 million surgical procedures are performed annually worldwide (2). It is estimated that over 50% of patients will experience some level of preoperative anxiety (3). It not only affects the psychological well-being of patients but also induces changes in their vital signs, thereby influencing the surgical and anesthetic processes. This, in turn, escalates the incidence of postoperative complications, particularly in severe cases. Furthermore, preoperative anxiety can heighten postoperative pain sensitivity, suppress immune function, increase the likelihood of postoperative infection, and prolong the postoperative recovery period (4). While the level of preoperative anxiety varies depending on the type of surgery (5), 34% of patients referred for thoracic surgery require psychological intervention and are more likely to develop an increased level of anxiety (6). Anxiety before surgery not only increases the risk and difficulty of the management of surgery and anesthesia, but also causes persistent anxiety after surgery, increases postoperative sensitivity to pain, suppresses immune function, increases postoperative infection, and prolongs postoperative recovery time. Even common minimally invasive procedures like VATS can lead to excessive complications and pathophysiological changes due to preoperative anxiety, ultimately affecting patients' postoperative experiences and prognostic outcomes (7).

For preoperative anxiety, the main clinical use of psychotherapy and drug therapy. Psychotherapy, while effective, demands a significant amount of time, incurs high costs, and may have limited applicability in the preoperative setting. As pharmacological treatments have adverse effects such as breathing problems, drowsiness, interfering with anesthetic drugs, and prolonged recovery, non-pharmacological interventions are becoming more commonly used. It is reported that non-pharmacological interventions are more commonly used by anesthesiologists compared to pharmacologic ones in both pediatric and adult anesthesia procedures (8). Prior research has demonstrated the effectiveness of acupuncture in alleviating preoperative anxiety and promoting postoperative recovery, without the common side effects associated with pharmaceuticals (9).

TEAS is a contemporary therapy derived from traditional acupuncture, utilizing electrical pulses delivered to acu-points via surface skin electrodes (10). Compared to acupuncture, TEAS involves placing surface electrodes on acupuncture points, allowing the current to stimulate the acupoint area through the skin. This method avoids the invasive procedure of needle insertion, offering several advantages, including being non-invasive, high acceptability, extremely low infection rates, ease of repetition, and low cost. Most trials have primarily concentrated on perioperative analgesia, gastrointestinal function adjustment, and early recovery with TEAS (11). Based on previous research and clinical experience, acupuncture has been shown to improve mental states and alleviate postoperative pain (12). Consequently, TEAS therapy can be a beneficial intervention in the management of preoperative anxiety.

Although clinical studies have established that acupuncture is an effective method for postoperative pain control and anxiety reduction (13), there is limited evidence regarding the effectiveness and safety of TEAS in managing preoperative anxiety in patients undergoing thoracoscopic surgery. Thus, we have designed a prospective pilot randomized controlled trial comparing TEAS and STEAS to acquire more robust evidence supporting the efficacy and safety of TEAS in the preoperative management of anxiety before thoracoscopic surgery.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 General materials

A total of 82 eligible participants with pulmonary nodules (size ≥ 8 mm) at Yueyang Hospital of Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine, affiliated with Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, from late June 2021 to March 2023, were randomly allocated to either the TEAS group (n = 41) or the STEAS group (n = 41). This study received ethical approval from the Ethics Committee of Yueyang Hospital of Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine, affiliated with Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine (approval number: 2021–023). This trial protocol has been registered on ClinicalTrials.gov PRS¹ with the registration number: NCT04887090. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. The study flowchart is presented in Figure 1.

2.2 Inclusion criteria

Patients were included if they met the diagnosed as pulmonary nodules requiring VATS (14); were aged 18–75 years old (either sex); $4 \leq$ generalized Anxiety Disorder-7 (GAD-7) score \leq 9 and have no previous mental illness and no use of anti-anxiety medications or psychotropic drugs within 2 weeks (15); were fully conscious; have not previously received TEAS treatment; agreed to sign an informed consent form; were not enrolled in or were participating in other clinical trials 1 month prior to the enrolment.

¹ https://clinicaltrials.gov



2.3 Exclusion criteria

2.4 Randomization and blinding

Patients with local acupoint skin infection; upper or lower limb nerve injury; who with implanted pacemaker.

Randomization was carried out using IBM SPSS Statistics Version 21.0 software. The group assignments, performed in a randomized

sequence, were placed inside sealed confidential envelopes. Eligible participants were then randomized in a 1: 1 ratio to either the TEAS group or the STEAS group.

To ensure the integrity of the blinding process, all researchers involved in the study, with the exception of the acupuncturists, remained blinded to the group assignments. Additionally, both efficacy evaluators and statisticians were kept separate and also remained blinded throughout the study.

In order to assess the effectiveness of participant blinding, all participants were asked to guess whether they had received TEAS or STEAS within 5 min after one of the treatment sessions preceding VATS.

2.5 Rejection, suspension and dropout criteria

Participants experiencing serious adverse reactions; Individuals with worsening symptoms or life-threatening illnesses that make it impossible to continue treatment; Identification by the principal investigator of an unacceptable risk of serious adverse events (AEs) during the study period; Patients who are unable to complete the study plan due to factors such as speech difficulties, infectious diseases, or other medical history issues; Participants who voluntarily withdraw from the study.

2.6 Standard treatment protocol

According to the consensus on VATS (Video-Assisted Thoracic Surgery) pulmonary resection in thoracic surgery, each patient underwent the same standardized surgical protocol and perioperative management. All VATS procedures in our study were performed using a single port approach. Except for TEAS, other perioperative adjunctive treatments, including benzodiazepines and other anxiolytic sedatives, cognitive behavioral therapy, music therapy, and massage therapy, were not permitted during the study period.

Intraoperative anesthesia included a loading dose of dexmedetomidine at 1 μ g/kg for 10–15 min, followed by a continuous infusion of 0.5 μ g/kg/h after the initial injection, with a concentration of 4 μ g/mL. Small doses of sufentanil (5 μ g) were administered in divided doses intravenously, with a typical dose of 10–15 μ g; remifentanil was given at a dose of 0.5–1 μ g/kg in intervals via intravenous bolus. The depth of sedation was adjusted according to the Ramsay Sedation Scale, with a target score of 3, meaning the patient was sedated but still responsive to commands; the BIS (Bispectral Index) was maintained between 60 and 80 to avoid excessive sedation affecting respiration.

If the intraoperative respiratory rate was too high, it was controlled by additional doses of 5 µg of sufentanil or by adjusting the target concentration of remifentanil to achieve smooth respiratory motion with tidal volume of 3–5 mL/kg, respiratory rate of 8–15 bpm, and stable PaCO2 levels. If the patient experienced persistent hypoxemia, severe dyspnea, frequent coughing, inadequate pain control, or hemodynamic instability, conversion to general anesthesia and endotracheal intubation should be considered. Additionally, if dense adhesions, massive bleeding, or anatomical abnormalities were discovered during the surgery, conversion to general anesthesia and endotracheal intubation should also be considered.

At the end of the thoracic procedure, after placement of the drainage tube, the patient was instructed to take deep breaths and cough to facilitate lung re-expansion. All anesthetic agents were stopped before suturing the skin, and the patient was allowed to wake further. Pethidine hydrochloride (meperidine) was used as the postoperative analgesic, administered via intramuscular injection at a dose of 0.3 mg/kg initially, with additional doses provided as needed for pain management.

2.7 Interventions

TEAS group: In the TEAS group, 30 min of TEAS treatment was performed by an experienced acupuncturist. TEAS was performed at the DU20 (Baihui), EX-HN3 (Yintang) and both sides of LI4 (Hegu), LR3 (Taichong). Starting on 3 days before VATS, by means of a stimulator (HANS200A Beijing Huawei) (16). Additionally, The 30-min treatments were delivered 1 time a day for three consecutive days. The TEAS device was calibrated before each treatment and was set to 2 Hz / 100 Hz for effective anxiety relief (17). The current intensity was adjusted individually, starting at 1 mA and gradually increased to the maximum current tolerated by the patient.

Except for TEAS, other therapies aimed at reducing anxiety levels, such as anxiolytic-sedative drugs like benzodiazepines, cognitivebehavioral therapy, music therapy, and massage therapy, are prohibited during the study period. Additionally, every patient will follow a consistent and standardized surgical protocol and perioperative management (18).

STEAS group: the same acupoints are selected as those in the TEAS group, and other intervention measures are also the same as those in the TEAS group, except that the current intensity is set to 0 mA. The acupuncturist responsible for the operation will tell patients in STEAS group that this is a type of stimulus without perception.

2.8 Outcome measures

The primary outcome is the change in GAD-7 scores between the baseline (Day 0; the day of enrollment), the day before surgery (Day 1; 3 days before surgery, prior to TEAS/STEAS treatment), and after the third treatment (Day 3; the day before surgery, after the third TEAS/STEAS treatment). The GAD-7, a seven-item scale designed to assess anxiety disorders (where higher scores indicate more severe anxiety symptoms), will be used for this assessment (19).

The secondary outcomes included intraoperative anesthetic consumption, time to postoperative chest tube removal, postoperative pain, length of postoperative hospital stay, and 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT), norepinephrine (NE), and gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA). Detection method: Peripheral venous blood was collected from patients 3 days before surgery, 1 day before surgery, on postoperative Day 1, and on postoperative Day 2, after TEAS treatment. The blood was centrifuged to separate the serum and stored at -80° C until analysis. The serum concentrations of 5-HT, NE, and GABA were measured using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). The total amount of pethidine hydrochloride used for

postoperative pain relief was recorded within 72 h after surgery. Postoperative pain was then assessed daily for 2 days following VATS using the Visual Analog Scale (VAS), ranging from 0 (no pain) to 10 (unbearable pain). Additionally, the length of the postoperative hospital stay was recorded.

2.9 Safety outcomes

Adverse reactions associated with TEAS, particularly skin itching, rash, or swelling related to the electrodes, as well as mood disturbances, will be vigilantly monitored. This monitoring will occur through spontaneous reports by participants, clinical observations by acupuncturists and surgeons, or by direct inquiries to participants with open-ended questions. In the event of any adverse reactions, TEAS/STEAS administration will be promptly discontinued. Additionally, appropriate corrective measures will be implemented as necessary. If required, participants will be referred for expectant treatment of the symptoms.

Detailed records will be maintained in the electronic case report form (eCRF) regarding the time of occurrence, duration, severity of symptoms, potential causes, and the corresponding management of adverse events (AEs).

In cases of severe AEs, the ethics committee will be promptly notified.

2.10 Sample size

Primary outcome indicator in this trial is the GAD-7 score variation between the day before VATS with the baseline. The sample size was calculated on the grounds of our preliminary trial (online supplemental material 1). Reference for optimal effectiveness in clinical trials of two sample mean comparison, using formula for calculating sample size of clinical trials as follow.

GAD-7 score change in TEAS group increase the divergence between the STEAS group and the SD by 73%. $\delta/\sigma = 0.73$, test level bilateral $\alpha = 0.05$, test power $1 - \beta = 0.9$. Through calculation, each group required sample size is 40 samples. The submitted trial will demand 82 participants to be divided into two groups of 41 in consideration of the 15% probability of lost to follow-up.

2.11 Statistical analysis

Blinded independent statisticians will analyze the data using IBM SPSS Statistics Version 21.0. Continuous variables will be presented as Mean \pm SD for normally distributed data and as median with IQR for skewed data. Categorical variables will be represented as percentages and analyzed with the χ^2 test.

Inter-group differences will be assessed with Independent two-sample t-tests, while intra-group comparisons will use paired t-tests for normally distributed variables and the Mann–Whitney rank sum test for skewed variables. Generalized linear models will be employed for repeated measurements of continuous variables.

Statistical significance will be defined as p < 0.05 (two-sided, 95% CI), and Kappa analysis will determine whether participants correctly guessed their group allocation.

3 Results

3.1 Baseline characteristics

Based on the intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis, there were no statistically significant differences in baseline characteristics between the two groups, indicating comparability. The demographic, clinical, and surgical characteristics of the patients are summarized in Table 1.

3.2 Generalized anxiety disorder scale scores

On Day 0 (baseline), there was no statistically significant difference between the groups, with GAD-7 scores (p = 0.62). By Day 1, the GAD-7 scores had increased slightly in both groups, but was no statistically significant difference (p = 0.10). However, by Day 3, the TEAS group showed a marked reduction in GAD-7 scores, with the statistically significant difference (p < 0.01; Table 2).

3.3 Intraoperative anesthetic consumption

No significant difference was observed in the dexmedetomidine (Dex) dose administered before anesthesia induction between the

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics.

Project	TEAS (<i>n</i> = 41)	STEAS (<i>n</i> = 41)	р
Age (yr)	59.63 ± 11.56	61.83 ± 9.03	0.34
Female	26 (63.42)	19 (63.41)	0.12
Male	15 (36.58)	22 (36.59)	
BMI (kg/m2)	23.55 ± 3.77	23.42 ± 3.08	0.86
FEV1 (L)	2.28 ± 0.75	2.33 ± 0.62	0.70
FEV1/FVC (%)	80.07 ± 10.74	80.45 ± 10.18	0.87
GAD-7	4.76 ± 1.04	4.39 ± 0.66	0.62
Surgical Time (min)	94.27 ± 35.79	101.07 ± 28.31	0.34
Cerebral oxygen saturation	98.83 ± 1.18	98.85 ± 1.51	0.93
PaO ₂	104.85 ± 35.50	105.68 ± 23.79	0.90
PaCO ₂	42.07 ± 3.94	43.15 ± 4.97	0.28
Wedge resection of the lung	15 (36.58)	13 (31.71)	0.90
egmental Resection of the	13 (31.71)	14 (34.14)	
Lung			
Lobectomy	13 (31.71)	14 (34.14)	
Hypertension	12 (29.27)	13 (31.71)	1.59
Diabetes mellitus	4 (9.76)	3 (7.32)	
Coronary artery disease	2 (4.88)	3 (7.32)	
Coronary artery disease	2 (4.88)	3 (7.32)	

BMI (kg/m²): Body Mass Index, calculated as weight (kg) divided by height (m²).FEV₁ (L): Forced Expiratory Volume in 1 s, measured in liters. FEV₁/FVC (%): Ratio of Forced Expiratory Volume in 1 s (FEV₁) to Forced Vital Capacity (FVC), expressed as a percentage. Cerebral Oxygen Saturation: The oxygen saturation level in the brain, measured by nearinfrared spectroscopy. PaO₂: Partial pressure of oxygen in arterial blood, measured in mmHg. PaCO₂: Partial pressure of carbon dioxide in arterial blood, measured in mmHg. Comparison of baseline demographic and clinical characteristics [*n* (%), Mean ± SD].

Time	TEAS (n = 41)	STEAS (n = 41)	р
Day 0	4.76 ± 1.04	4.39 ± 0.66	0.62
Day 1	6.95 ± 1.28	6.54 ± 1.00	0.10
Day 3	2.73 ± 1.62	6.71 ± 1.25	< 0.01**

Day 0: Baseline (the day of enrollment); Day 1: Pre-intervention (3 days before surgery, prior to TEAS/STEAS treatment); Day 3: Post-third treatment (the day before surgery, after the third TEAS/STEAS treatment).TEAS: Electrical Acupoint Stimulation, STEAS: Sham TEAS. Comparison of GAD-7 Scores between Two Groups (mean ± SD).

TEAS and STEAS groups (p = 0.69). However, during surgery, the TEAS group required significantly lower doses of Dex (p < 0.01), remifentanil (p < 0.01), and sufentanil (p < 0.01; Table 3) compared to the STEAS group.

3.4 Postoperative analgesic use and pain score assessment

The TEAS group showed a significant reduction in meperidine consumption within 72 h postoperatively compared to the STEAS group (p < 0.01; Table 4).

From 2 h postoperatively onward, the TEAS group had significantly lower VAS scores compared to the STEAS group (p < 0.01; Table 4).

3.5 Chest tube removal time and postoperative hospital stay duration

The time to chest tube removal after surgery was significantly shorter in the TEAS group compared to the STEAS group (p < 0.01; Table 4).

Postoperative hospital stay was significantly shorter in the TEAS group compared to the STEAS group (p < 0.01; Table 4).

3.6 Neurotransmitter indicators

On Day 1, there was no statistically significant difference in serum 5-HT concentrations between the TEAS and STEAS groups (p = 0.56). However, by Day 3, the TEAS group exhibited significantly lower 5-HT levels compared to the STEAS group (p < 0.01). Although a trend toward lower 5-HT levels persisted in the TEAS group on Day 5, the difference was no significant difference (p = 0.06). On Day 6, there was no significant difference between the two groups (p = 0.44; Table 5).

No significant difference was found in serum NE concentrations on Day 1 between the TEAS and STEAS groups (p = 0.45). By Day 3, NE levels were significantly lower in the TEAS group compared to the STEAS group (p = 0.02). This significant reduction in NE concentration in the TEAS group persisted through Day 5 (p = 0.03) and Day 6 (p = 0.02; Table 5).

On Day 1, no significant difference in serum GABA concentrations was observed between the TEAS and STEAS groups (p = 0.71). However, by Day 3, the TEAS group showed a significantly higher GABA concentration than the STEAS group (p < 0.01). This difference remained significant on Day 5 (p < 0.01) and Day 6 (p < 0.01; Table 5).

TABLE 3 Intraoperative anesthetic consumption.

Observation index	TEAS (<i>n</i> = 41)	STEAS (n = 41)	р
Dex before induction of anesthesia	63.89 ± 11.91	64.87 ± 10.47	0.69
Dex	77.72 ± 25.88	245.97 ± 73.41	< 0.01
Remifentanil	509.14 ± 261.17	707.92 ± 334.87	< 0.01
Sufentanil	13.59 ± 5.35	18.47 ± 8.53	< 0.01

TEAS, Electrical acupoint stimulation; STEAS, Sham TEAS. Comparison of intraoperative anesthetic dosage between two groups (μ g, mean \pm SD).

TABLE 4 Postoperative analgesic use and pain score assessment.

Observation index	TEAS (<i>n</i> = 41)	STEAS (n = 41)	р
Pethidine hydrochloride(mg)	24.39 ± 38.52	54.88 ± 46.17	< 0.01
Postoperative VAS scores			
Postoperative 1 h	5.37 ± 1.01	5.20 ± 0.95	0.43
Postoperative 2 h	3.76 ± 1.65	6.02 ± 1.31	< 0.01
Postoperative 24 h	2.56 ± 1.00	5.27 ± 1.26	< 0.01
Postoperative 48 h	0.85 ± 0.98	2.8 ± 0.90	< 0.01
Postoperative 72 h	0.29 ± 0.51	2.02 ± 0.75	< 0.01
Time to chest tube removal after surgery(h)	52.24 ± 20.60	98.20 ± 43.17	<0.01
Postoperative hospital stay (days)	6.37 ± 1.62	8.73 ± 2.46	< 0.01

TEAS Electrical Acupoint Stimulation, STEAS sham TEAS. Comparison of Piperidine Hydrochloride Usage and Postoperative VAS Scores between TEAS and STEAS Groups (mean \pm SD).

4 Discussion

This study explored the effects of TEAS on preoperative anxiety in patients undergoing thoracoscopic surgery. The results showed that TEAS not only significantly reduced preoperative anxiety but also decreased intraoperative anesthetic consumption and postoperative pain, promoting patient recovery. Compared to the STEAS group, the GAD-7 scores in the TEAS group were significantly lower. Additionally, no serious adverse events or safety concerns related to TEAS were observed, indicating that TEAS is a safe, effective, and clinically acceptable method for managing preoperative anxiety.

Preoperative anxiety is characterized by restlessness and tension, often accompanied by abnormal activation of the autonomic nervous system (20). Research indicates that over 70% of surgical patients experience some level of preoperative anxiety (21). In this study, TEAS significantly improved patients' anxiety levels, consistent with the findings of previous research (22).

When preoperative anxiety is accompanied by significant stress, it can lead to postoperative complications, prolonged hospital stays, delayed recovery, and increased reliance on higher doses of anesthetics and pain medications (23). Our study found that TEAS reduced intraoperative anesthetic consumption, shortened the time required for chest tube removal, and alleviated postoperative pain. Previous studies have also shown that TEAS can effectively reduce postoperative pain by lowering local pain thresholds and relieving discomfort (24).

Neurotransmitter	Time	TEAS (<i>n</i> = 41)	STEAS (<i>n</i> = 41)	р
5-HT	Day 1	371.56 ± 337.74	361.72 ± 324.98	0.56
	Day 3	195.27 ± 182.76	331.24 ± 297.31	<0.01
	Day 5	235.09 ± 223.45	324.09 ± 305.27	0.06
	Day 6	279.10 ± 266.60	312.28 ± 300.79	0.44
NE	Day 1	5.01 ± 3.15	5.17 ± 4.02	0.45
	Day 3	4.17 ± 2.03	7.40 ± 5.22	0.02
	Day 5	4.59 ± 2.16	7.31 ± 5.16	0.03
	Day 6	4.32 ± 2.21	6.81 ± 5.04	0.02
GABA	Day 1	2.62 ± 2.20	2.34 ± 2.00	0.71
	Day 3	42.58 ± 38.17	3.91 ± 3.17	<0.01
	Day 5	37.63 ± 34.89	3.50 ± 2.79	<0.01
	Day 6	39.13 ± 33.54	8.45 ± 4.99	<0.01

TABLE 5 Serum neurotransmitters concentration between two groups (ng/mL, mean ± SD).

Day 1: Three days before surgery, prior to TEAS/STEAS intervention; Day 3: One day before surgery, after the third TEAS/STEAS intervention; Day 5: Postoperative Day 1, after TEAS/STEAS intervention; Day 6: Postoperative Day 2, after TEAS/STEAS intervention. TEAS Electrical Acupoint Stimulation, STEAS sham TEAS, 5-HT 5-hydroxytryptamine, NE norepinephrine, GABA gamma-aminobutyric acid. Statistical significance was defined as *p* < 0.05.

TEAS has been demonstrated to alleviate postoperative symptoms, which aligns with the findings of other studies (25).

For postoperative pain, studies have shown that electroacupuncture can upregulate endocannabinoid levels, directly inhibiting pain by activating cannabinoid receptor 2, thereby reducing sensory nerve activity, as observed in a rat pain model (26). Similarly, TEAS has been demonstrated to produce comparable therapeutic effects to electroacupuncture (27). Second, electroacupuncture at the LI4 (Hegu) acupoint has been shown to alter the phosphorylation level of the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor subunit 2B in the C1-C3 segment of the spinal cord, while also upregulating the expression of 5-hydroxytryptamine 2A receptor mRNA and protei, which could effectively increase the pain threshold and relieve pain (28). Furthermore, experimental studies have demonstrated that alternating between low (2 Hz) and high (100 Hz) frequency stimulation induces a stronger release of endorphins in the central nervous system compared to single-frequency stimulation (29). Clinical practice has confirmed that alternating low and high frequencies enhances the analgesic effect (30). Therefore, we have chosen to use both 2 Hz and 100 Hz frequencies in our study to ensure the therapeutic efficacy.

Moreover, the TEAS group exhibited significantly shorter chest tube removal times and postoperative hospital stays. This outcome serves as further evidence that TEAS can facilitate postoperative recovery. An RCT showed that TAES treatment can increase the serum levels of IL-2 and IFN-c, and decreased IL-4 secretion and return the aforementioned cellular immune factors to the preoperative control value at a faster rate (31). There is research evidence to suggest that TAES can alleviate postoperative immune dysfunction by modulating the expression of TH1/TH2 cell-associated cytokines (32). TEAS can promote gastrointestinal motility and reduce the occurrence of nausea and vomiting through the stimulation of 5-HT and norepinephrine fibers (33). This can help mitigate the side effects associated with opioid medications. This provides a reference for studying the mechanism of TEAS in promoting postoperative recovery.

HT, NE, and GABA are all considered closely related to the pathophysiology of anxiety and exhibit interconnections in terms of

neurobiology (34). Patients with anxiety often demonstrate dysregulation of these neurotransmitters, and treatments targeting the 5-HT system may directly or indirectly affect other neurotransmitter systems, including GABA and NE. Our findings indicate that TEAS can reduce preoperative GAD-7 scores, decrease the concentrations of 5-HT and NE, and increase GABA levels, thereby demonstrating that preoperative TEAS treatment can alleviate preoperative anxiety.

EA enhances 5-HT function by reducing HPA axis hyperactivity, lowering cortisol (CORT) levels, and promoting 5-HT synthesis and release (35). Additionally, EA may upregulate 5-HT receptors (5-HT1A, 5-HT1B), enhancing 5-HT-mediated neurotransmission, which helps improve mood (36).

For NE regulation, EA inhibits sympathetic nervous activity and enhances vagal nerve function, reducing NE secretion from sympathetic nerve terminals. EA also downregulates the SAM axis, lowering NE and epinephrine release, while reducing CORT levels to indirectly suppress NE overactivation (37).

EA also enhances GABAergic activity by upregulating glutamate decarboxylase (GAD65 and GAD67), increasing GABA levels, and restoring inhibitory neurotransmission, contributing to its anxiolytic and antidepressant effects (38).

These findings suggest that EA balances excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmission through the coordinated regulation of 5-HT, NE, and GABA systems, alleviating stress-related dysfunction and stabilizing emotional states.

It is noteworthy that some limitations remain in this study. First, the study only included a sample from a single center and may have some selection bias. Secondly, this study only observed the short-term effect after the intervention, the follow-up time is relatively short, and the long-term effect needs further study.

5 Conclusion

TEAS can effectively reduce preoperative anxiety in patients undergoing thoracoscopic surgery, reduce the consumption of

anesthesia and anti-inflammatory drugs during surgery, significantly reduce postoperative pain, and accelerate the recovery of patients. Furthermore, no serious adverse events related to TEAS and the occurrence of treatment-related safety issues were reported in the included studies. These findings suggest that TEAS, as an adjuvant therapy, is relatively safe and effective in clinical applications.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Ethics Committee of Yueyang Hospital of Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

JZ: Writing – original draft. XW: Data curation, Writing – original draft. CJ: Methodology, Writing – original draft. SK: Validation, Writing – original draft. XZ: Investigation, Writing – original draft. KW: Writing – review & editing. TC: Writing – review & editing.

References

1. Stamenkovic DM, Rancic NK, Latas MB, Neskovic V, Rondovic GM, Wu JD, et al. Preoperative anxiety and implications on postoperative recovery: what can we do to change our history. *Minerva Anestesiol.* (2018) 84:1307–17. doi: 10.23736/S0375-9393. 18.12520-X

2. Weiser TG, Haynes AB, Molina G, Lipsitz SR, Esquivel MM, Uribe-Leitz T, et al. Size and distribution of the global volume of surgery in 2012. *Bull World Health Organ.* (2016) 94:201–209F. doi: 10.2471/BLT.15.159293

3. Bedaso A, Mekonnen N, Duko B. Prevalence and factors associated with preoperative anxiety among patients undergoing surgery in low-income and middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open.* (2022) 12:e058187. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-058187

4. Takenaka S, Hirose M. Preoperative anxiety and intraoperative nociception in patients undergoing thoracic surgery. *J Surg Res.* (2020) 249:13–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2019.12.017

5. Guo P, Li P, Zhang X, Liu N, Wang J, Yang S, et al. The effectiveness of aromatherapy on preoperative anxiety in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Int J Nurs Stud.* (2020) 111:103747:103747. doi: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu. 2020.103747

6. Cruzado JA, Martínez García V, Salas Gutiérrez V, Jarabo Sarceda JR, Fraile Olivero CA, Fernández Martín E, et al. Implementing a distress screening program in a thoracic surgery service. *Cir Esp (Engl Ed).* (2019) 97:275–81. doi: 10.1016/j.ciresp.2019.01.002

7. Bendixen M, Jørgensen OD, Kronborg C, Andersen C, Licht PB. Postoperative pain and quality of life after lobectomy via video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery or anterolateral thoracotomy for early stage lung cancer: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol.* (2016) 17:836–44. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(16)00173-X

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China [grant number 81973644], the key construction discipline of National Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine [grant number ZYYZDXK-2023068], the Evidence-based research project of acupuncture and moxibustion in the treatment of specialised diseases of the State Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine [grant number 2019XZZX-ZJ0011], the Shanghai Clinical Research Center for Acupuncture and Moxibustion [grant number 20MC1920500] and the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality [grant number 21Y31920100].

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The authors declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

8. Wang R, Huang X, Wang Y, Akbari M. Non-pharmacologic approaches in preoperative anxiety, a comprehensive review. *Front Public Health.* (2022) 10:854673. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.854673

9. Zanella S, Buccelletti F, Vassiliadis A, de Bortoli R, Visentini S, Pedrotti G, et al. Preoperative anxiety management: acupuncture vs. pharmacological treatment - a prospective study. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* (2022) 26:900–5. doi: 10.26355/eurrev_202202_27999

10. Francis RP, Johnson MI. The characteristics of acupuncture-like transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (acupuncture-like TENS): a literature review. *Acupunct Electrother Res.* (2011) 36:231–58. doi: 10.3727/036012911803634139

11. Li Y, Chu L, Li X, Zhai W, Ma Y, He Y, et al. Efficacy of different-frequency TEAS on acute pain after the total knee arthroplasty: a study protocol for a parallel group randomized trial. *Trials.* (2019) 20:306. doi: 10.1186/s13063-019-3379-3

12. Fu WB, Fan L, Zhu XP, He Q, Wang L, Zhuang LX, et al. Depressive neurosis treated by acupuncture for regulating the liver--a report of 176 cases. *J Tradit Chin Med.* (2009) 29:83–6. doi: 10.1016/s0254-6272(09)60037-6

13. Li S, Hu C, Zhu J, Zhou Y, Cui Y, Xu Q, et al. Effects of preoperative Electroacupuncture on remifentanil-induced post-infusion hyperalgesia in patients undergoing thyroidectomy: a double-blind randomized controlled trial. *J Pain Res.* (2022) 15:1465–74. doi: 10.2147/JPR.S365587

14. MacMahon H, Naidich DP, Goo JM, Lee KS, Leung AN, Mayo JR, et al. Guidelines for Management of Incidental Pulmonary Nodules Detected on CT images: from the Fleischner society 2017. *Radiology*. (2017) 284:228–43. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2017161659

15. Kato M, Hori H, Inoue T, Iga J, Iwata M, Inagaki T, et al. Discontinuation of antidepressants after remission with antidepressant medication in major depressive disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Mol Psychiatry*. (2021) 26:118–33. doi: 10.1038/s41380-020-0843-0

16. Wu X, Chen T, Wang K, Wei X, Feng J, Zhou J. Efficacy and safety of transcutaneous electrical acupoints stimulation for preoperative anxiety in thoracoscopic surgery: study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. *BMJ Open.* (2023) 13:e067082. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-067082

17. Wang Y, Li J. Clinical application of transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation for relieving perioperative anxiety. *Int J Anesthesiol Resusc.* (2021) 42:523–6. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.cn321761-20200730-00288

18. Yan TD. Video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery. Ann Cardiothorac Surg. (2014) 3:131–2. doi: 10.3978/j.issn.2225-319X.2014.03.12

19. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JBW, Löwe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. *Arch Intern Med.* (2006) 166:1092–7. doi: 10.1001/archinte.166.10.1092

20. Munafo MR, Stevenson J. Anxiety and surgical recovery. Reinterpreting the literature. J Psychosom Res. (2001) 51:589–96. doi: 10.1016/s0022-3999(01)00258-6

21. Caumo W, Schmidt AP, Schneider CN, Bergmann J, Iwamoto CW, Adamatti LC, et al. Risk factors for postoperative anxiety in adults. *Anaesthesia*. (2001) 56:720-8. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2044.2001.01842.x

22. Zhang YF, Li XY, Liu XY, Zhang Y, Gong LR, Shi J, et al. Transcutaneous electrical Acupoints stimulation improves spontaneous voiding recovery after laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a randomized clinical trial. *World J Surg.* (2023) 47:1153–62. doi: 10.1007/s00268-023-06924-7

23. Maranets I, Kain ZN. Preoperative anxiety and intraoperative anesthetic requirements. *Anesth Analg.* (1999) 89:1346–51. doi:10.1213/00000539-199912000-00003

24. Liu LY, Su Y, Wang RR, Lai YY, Huang L, Li YT, et al. Transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation benefits postoperative pain relief of oocyte retrieval: a randomized controlled trial. *J Integr Med.* (2024) 22:32–8. doi: 10.1016/j.joim.2024.01.005

25. Chang XL, Liu XM, An LX, Zheng JY, Zhang K. Effects of transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation (TEAS) on postoperative pain in patients undergoing gastric and esophageal ESD surgery: a study protocol for a prospective randomized controlled trial. *BMC Complement Med Ther.* (2023) 23:253. doi: 10.1186/s12906-023-04075-9

26. Anand P, Whiteside G, Fowler CJ, Hohmann AG. Targeting CB2 receptors and the endocannabinoid system for the treatment of pain. *Brain Res Rev.* (2009) 60:255–66. doi: 10.1016/j.brainresrev.2008.12.003

27. Wu MS, Chen KH, Chen IF, Huang SK, Tzeng PC, Yeh ML, et al. The efficacy of acupuncture in post-operative pain management: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. *PLoS One.* (2016) 11:e0150367. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0150367

28. Qiao LN, Yang YS, Wang JY, Gao YH, Han YJ, Chen SP, et al. Effects of electroacupuncture at "Futu" (LI 18), etc. on expression of spinal 5-HT 1 AR mRNA, 5-HT 2 AR mRNA and protein in rats with neck incision pain. *Zhen Ci Yan Jiu*. (2011) 36:391–6. doi: 10.13702/j.1000-0607.2011.06.002

29. Sun Y, Gan TJ, Dubose JW, Habib AS. Acupuncture and related techniques for postoperative pain: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Br J Anaesth.* (2008) 101:151–60. doi: 10.1093/bja/aen146

30. Wang B, Tang J, White PF, Naruse R, Sloninsky A, Kariger R, et al. Effect of the intensity of transcutaneous acupoint electrical stimulation on the postoperative analgesic requirement. *Anesth Analg.* (1997) 85:406–13. doi: 10.1097/00000539-199708000-00029

31. Tai JB, Hong L, Ma ME, Xu J, Fang JQ, Jiang YQ. Evaluation of therapeutic effect of transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation on bone metastasis pain and its influence on immune function of patients. *Ann Palliat Med.* (2020) 9:2538–44. doi: 10.21037/apm-19-434

32. Wang D, Mao Y, Song QM, Yan CC, Zhao QY, Yang M, et al. Efficacy and safety of transcutaneous electrical Acupoint stimulation for postoperative pain: a Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Pain Res Manag.* (2022) 2022:7570533. doi: 10.1155/2022/9922879

33. Chen J, Tu Q, Miao S, Zhou Z, Hu S. Transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation for preventing postoperative nausea and vomiting after general anesthesia: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Int J Surg.* (2020) 73:57–64. doi: 10.1016/j.ijsu.2019.10.036

34. Xie CT, Tan ML, Li YW, Chen QL, Shen YJ, Liu ZH. Chronic exposure to environmentally relevant concentrations of carbamazepine interferes with anxiety response of adult female zebrafish through GABA /5-HT pathway and HPI axis. *Comp Biochem Physiol C Toxicol Pharmacol.* (2023) 266:109574. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpc. 2023.109574

35. Liu C, Zhao Y, Qin S, Wang X, Jiang Y, Wu W. Randomized controlled trial of acupuncture for anxiety and depression in patients with chronic insomnia. *Ann Transl Med.* (2021) 9:1426. doi: 10.21037/atm-21-3845

36. Lee MJ, Ryu JS, Won SK, Namgung U, Jung J, Lee SM, et al. Effects of acupuncture on chronic stress-induced depression-like behavior and its central neural mechanism. *Front Psychol.* (2019) 10:1353. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01353

37. Ye Z, Zhu L, Li XJ, Gao HY, Wang J, Wu SB, et al. PC6 electroacupuncture reduces stress-induced autonomic and neuroendocrine responses in rats. *Heliyon.* (2023) 9:e15291. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e15291

38. Qiao LN, Liu JL, Tan LH, Yang HL, Zhai X, Yang YS. Effect of electroacupuncture on thermal pain threshold and expression of calcitonin-gene related peptide, substance P and gamma-aminobutyric acid in the cervical dorsal root ganglion of rats with incisional neck pain. *Acupunct Med.* (2017) 35:276–83. doi: 10.1136/acupmed-2016-011177