Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Maria Pini, Haute Autorité de Santé (HAS), France

REVIEWED BY Audrey Joannes

INSERM U1085 Institut de Recherche en Santé, Environnement et Travail, France Silvia Agarbati, Marche Polytechnic University, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Yulan Zeng ⊠ 1989LY0551@hust.edu.cn

RECEIVED 26 November 2024 ACCEPTED 16 May 2025 PUBLISHED 18 June 2025

CITATION

Sun J and Zeng Y (2025) Identification and analysis of diverse programmed cell death patterns in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis using microarray-based transcriptome profiling and single-nucleus RNA sequencing. *Front. Med.* 12:1534903. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2025.1534903

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Sun and Zeng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Identification and analysis of diverse programmed cell death patterns in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis using microarray-based transcriptome profiling and single-nucleus RNA sequencing

Jiazheng Sun and Yulan Zeng*

Department of Respiration, Liyuan Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China

Background: Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) is a chronic, progressive pulmonary disorder marked by the gradual substitution of lung tissue with fibrotic tissue, resulting in respiratory failure. While the precise etiology of IPF remains unclear, an increasing number of studies have indicated that programmed cell death (PCD) significantly contributes to the onset and advancement of IPF. PCD is implicated not only in the impairment of alveolar epithelial cells during fibrosis but also in the alterations of immune cells inside the fibrotic milieu. Investigating the PCD patterns offers a novel approach to the early diagnosis and prognostic evaluation of IPF.

Methods: The study utilized microarray-based transcriptome profiling and single-nucleus RNA sequencing to identify and analyze diverse PCD patterns in IPF. IPF-related genes were identified based on differential expression analysis, univariate Cox regression analysis, the "Scissor" program, and the "Findmarkers" program. A combination of machine learning was employed to develop stable predictive and diagnostic signatures associated with IPF, based on the filtered relevant genes.

Results: The stable PCDI.prog signature was established through the integration of 101 distinct machine-learning techniques, which exhibited superior efficacy in predicting outcomes in IPF patients through the validation of multiple datasets. Integrating PCDI.prog signature with patient clinical information, such as age, gender, and GAP score, enables the prediction of disease progression rates and patient survival. Additional PCDI.diag signature can offer insights into the early diagnosis of IPF.

Conclusion: In summary, PCDI.prog signature and PCDI.diag signature offer critical insights for the early diagnosis, prognostic evaluation, and personalized treatment of IPF.

KEYWORDS

idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, programmed cell death, prognostic signature, diagnostic signature, microenvironment

1 Introduction

Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) is a chronic interstitial lung disease characterized by progressive and irreversible fibrosis of the lung parenchyma (1). This fibrosis leads to a gradual decline in lung function. IPF patients have a bleak outlook, with a median survival of approximately 2-3 years from diagnosis (2) and a 5-year survival rate of less than 40% (3). Therefore, the early diagnosis and prognosis evaluation of IPF patients are very important. Cell death patterns can be categorized into two groups based on the rate of occurrence and susceptibility to medications or genes: accidental cell death (ACD) and programmed cell death (PCD) (4). ACD is an instantaneous and uncontrollable cell death caused by extreme biological, physical, chemical, or mechanical damage to the cytoplasmic membrane in the external environment, mostly manifested as necrosis (5). Previously, Ellson et al. (6) studied the significance of dangerous associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) in IPF in persistent inflammation and fibrosis, linking necrosis to the ongoing pathological processes in IPF. Furthermore, Emura et al. (7) studied the systemic consequences of acute exacerbation of IPF. They found that TNF- α positive cells were involved in the systemic circulation during acute exacerbation, which indicated that necrosis and inflammatory responses may extend beyond the lungs, leading to multi-organ damage and highlighting the systemic nature of the disease.

The pivotal role of PCD in maintaining *in vivo* homeostasis, host defense against pathogens, cancer development, and various other pathologies has been extensively documented (8). As research into cell death (CD) continues to deepen, numerous types of CD have been identified. However, the classification of certain types remains controversial. For example, autophagy, entosis, and methuosis continue to be subjects of debate. Some studies propose that these processes should be classified as PCD-vacuole presenting (9). Additionally, NETosis and netotic cell death were initially considered to contribute to immune responses by defending against pathogens. However, recent evidence suggests that they represent controlled forms of CD, potentially categorizing them as specialized types of PCD (10, 11). In this study, 20 distinct PCD patterns (Supplementary Table 1) were included.

PCD plays a critical role in the pathogenesis of IPF. Tsuburai et al. (12) demonstrated that adenovirus-mediated transfer and overexpression of heme oxygenase 1 (HO-1) cDNA in the lung can prevent bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis by attenuating apoptotic cell death. This suggests that using HO-1 overexpression strategies could be effective in treating IPF. Carnesecchi et al. (13) further supported this by showing strong expression of NOX4, a key player in epithelial cell death, in the lungs of IPF patients. The interactions between epithelial cells and fibroblasts are also crucial in the development of pulmonary fibrosis. Sakai et al. (14) highlighted various signaling molecules involved in these interactions, including transforming growth factor- β and reactive oxygen species. Additionally, Mccubbrey et al. (15) found that deletion of the antiapoptotic protein c-FLIP from CD11bhi macrophages prevented the development of bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis, indicating the importance of cell death regulation in fibrotic processes. Ryter et al. (16) also highlighted the role of mitochondrial dysfunction in chronic lung diseases, including IPF, and its implications in regulating cell death programs like necroptosis. Baek et al. demonstrated that Spermidine mitigates the development of lung fibrosis caused by bleomycin by promoting autophagy and suppressing cell death generated by endoplasmic reticulum stress (ERS) in mice (17). Additionally, the suppression of ferroptosis and iron accumulation alleviated pulmonary fibrosis (18). Senescence plays a pivotal role in fibrosis, as fibroblasts transition into a senescent state and exhibit resistance to apoptosis (19). According to Hohmann et al. (20), quercetin has been shown to restore the susceptibility of senescent IPF fibroblasts to apoptotic stimuli, thereby alleviating bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis. Quercetin demonstrates therapeutic potential by upregulating the expression of FasL receptor and caveolin-1, inhibiting AKT activation, and mitigating pulmonary fibrosis progression in aging mice. Additionally, Shen et al. revealed that senescent myofibroblasts resist apoptosis through the upregulation of BAX and the modulation of BCL-2/BCL-XL proteins, leading to BAX inactivation. The BAX activator BTSA1 promotes apoptosis in senescent cells and decelerates pulmonary fibrosis progression, offering a novel senescence clearance strategy for treating pulmonary fibrosis via the promotion of apoptosis in senescent cells (21). In conclusion, the studies suggest that PCD mechanisms play a critical role in the development of IPF.

Therefore, understanding the regulation of cell death pathways and targeting key molecules involved could provide potential therapeutic strategies for treating IPF. The study utilized microarraybased transcriptome profiling and single-nucleus RNA sequencing to identify and analysis of diverse PCD patterns in IPF. Prognostic genes were screened utilizing differential expression analysis and univariate Cox regression analysis based on the bulk RNAseq dataset. The "Scissor" R package was utilized to discern prognostically significant cells, utilizing the scRNA-seq dataset, and the "Findmarkers" function was employed to ascertain marker genes for prognostically significant cells based on the scRNA-seq dataset. IPF-related genes were identified by overlapping prognostic genes and marker genes. Based on the identified IPF-related genes, 101 distinct combinations of machinelearning techniques were employed to develop stable prognostic signatures. Validation of several datasets and feature comparison were employed to evaluate the superiority and generalizability of prognostic signature. Additionally, the study employed a combination of machine learning techniques to develop a signature for diagnosing IPF, which may serve as a reference for the early identification of IPF. Figure 1 illustrates the precise procedure of the study.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 The availability of PCD-related genes

Key regulatory genes associated with 20 PCD patterns were sourced from multiple repositories, including the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) Database (22), the GeneCards Database (23), the Molecular Signatures Database (24), the Reactome Database, FerrDb database, the Human Autophagy Database, and published articles. The comprehensive gene list comprising 20 distinct PCD patterns (Supplementary Table 2), encompassing 7 alkalosisrelated genes (25), 338 anoikis-related genes (26, 27), 579 apoptosisrelated genes, 19 Cuproptosis-related genes (28–30), 10 disulfidptosisrelated genes (31), 23 entosis-related genes, 15 entotic cell deathrelated genes, 34 immune cell death (32), 220 lysosome dependent cell death-related genes, 8 methuosis-related genes, 39 MPT driven



necrosis, 101 necroptosis-related genes, 24 NETosis-related genes, 8 netotic cell death-related genes, 5 oxeiptosis-related genes, 9 parthanatos-related genes, 59 parapoptosis-related genes (33), 52 pyroptosis-related genes (34), 367 autophagy-related genes and 88 ferroptosis-related genes.

2.2 Differential expression analysis

The R package "limma" was employed to extract differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between the IPF cohort and health control cohort in the IPF dataset (p value < 0.05).

2.3 Functional enrichment analysis

Further, the study performed the gene ontology (GO), and Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes (KEGG) enrichment analysis of all the DEGs using the "ClusterProfiler" R package.

2.4 Single-cell analysis

The GSE122960 (35) dataset provided scRNA-seq information from the lung tissue of three IPF patients and three healthy donors. To ensure the correctness and reliability of the information, the scRNA-seq information underwent stringent screening and quality control. It excluded cells with mitochondrial gene expression levels beyond 20% from the dataset. Furthermore, cells containing fewer than 300 genes and genes represented by fewer than five cells are excluded. The selected cells were subsequently subjected to downstream analysis. The scRNA-seq information was normalized by the "NormalizeData" function, which was then converted to Seurat objects and the first 1,500 highly variable genes were identified using the "FindVariableFeatures" function. Afterward, the "RunPCA" function of the "Seurat" R package was applied to perform principal component analysis (PCA) to reduce the dimensionality of the scRNA-seq data based on the top 1,500 genes. The functions "FindNeighbors" and "FindClusters" were used for cell clustering analysis.

Cell clusters were annotated using reference data from the Human Cell Atlas and were subsequently refined based on specific cell biomarkers including Type I Alveolar Epithelial (AT1) Cells (AGER and RTKN2), Type II Alveolar Epithelial (AT2) Cells (LAMP3), Club Cells (SCGB3A2), Ciliated Cells (TPPP3), Basal Cells (KRT5 and TP63), Macro/Mono (CD68 and CD14), Dendritic Cells (CLEC10A), T/NKT Cells (CD3D), Plasma Cells (IGHG4), B Cells (MS4A1), Mast Cells (TPSB2), Endothelial Cells (VWF), Fibroblasts (DCN).

Furthermore, the "Scissor" R package was employed to integrate scRNA-seq information, bulk RNA-seq information, and phenotypic information to identify cell subpopulations that display significant correlations with the prognosis of IPF patients. The "IPF-related genesEA" R package was employed to conduct gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) on the scRNAseq dataset based on the "AUCell," "UCell," "singscore," and "ssgsea" algorithms.

2.5 Construction and validation of prognostic signature

The datasets enrolled in the study were obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database.¹ The prognosis signature was constructed based on four datasets, including GSE27957 (36), GSE28042 (36), GSE70866 (37), and GSE93606 (38). GSE70866 was used as the training set with various clinical features and samples, while the other three data sets were used as the validation sets. Each gene expression was transformed into a z-score across patients in all cohorts. The screening criteria for samples were as follows: (1) The individual received a diagnosis of IPF; (2) The IPF patient possessed comprehensive bulk-RNAseq information; (3) The IPF patient had a survival information record (refer to Supplementary Table 3 for detailed clinical parameters).

To enhance the precision and consistency of the prognostic signature, the study incorporated ten machine-learning algorithms into the analysis. These algorithms encompass random survival forest (RSF) (39), elastic network (Enet), Lasso, Ridge, Stepwise Cox, CoxBoost (40), partial least squares regression for Cox (plsRcox) (41), supervised principal components (SuperPC) (42), generalized boosted regression modeling (GBM) (43), and survival support vector machine (survival-SVM) (44) (refer to Supplementary Table 4 for details). Several algorithms have demonstrated the ability to perform feature selection, which was employed to screen crucial genes, including Lasso, stepwise Cox, Coxboost, and RSF algorithms. Ultimately, we developed 101 algorithmic combinations to enhance the identification of prognostic signatures with commendable accuracy and stability. Subsequent survival analysis and signature comparison were employed to assess the superiority and generalizability of the prognostic signature.

2.6 Construction and validation of the diagnostic signature

GSE150910 (45), GSE24206 (46), GSE28042 (36), GSE53845 (47), and GSE70866 (37) from the GEO database were enrolled to develop the diagnostic signature. The GSE150910 dataset served as the training cohort, while four datasets were utilized as the validation cohorts.

An efficient diagnostic signature for accurate prediction of IPF was developed by merging diverse machine-learning techniques based on PCD-related genes. The machine learning algorithms encompass Lasso, Ridge, Stepglm, XGBoost, Random Forest (RF) (39), Elastic Net (Enet), Partial Least Squares Regression for Generalized Linear Models (plsRglm), Generalized Boosted Regression Modeling (GBM), Naive Bayes (48), Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) (49), Generalized Linear Model Boosting (glmBoost), and Support Vector Machine (SVM). Ultimately, a total of 101 signatures were developed.

For each signature, the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) values were calculated across all validation datasets, and the signature exhibiting the highest average AUC in the validation cohort was deemed the superior diagnostic signature, owing to overfitting in the training cohort. The diagnostic model's superiority was established by comparing its AUC value with that of the clinical features.

2.7 Drug sensitivity analysis

The "pRRophetic" (50) R package was applied to predict the therapeutic response of IPF patients to common drugs, and the value of the PCDI.prog signature in guiding the selection of drugs for IPF patients was assessed based on the IC50 values in different PCDI.prog score groupings.

2.8 Statistical analysis

Statistical differences between groups were determined by Student's t-test for normally distributed variables, and for non-normally distributed variables, statistical differences between groups were determined by the Wilcoxon test. The statistical studies were conducted using the R project (version 4.3.3).

3 Results

3.1 The pathway activity profiling of PCD patterns in normal and fibrotic lung tissues

In this study, we collected a total of 20 PCD patterns and 2013 key regulatory genes from the existing published articles and online databases. We removed 449 duplicate gene symbols, resulting in 1,564 PCD-related genes for subsequent analysis (Figure 2A).

A total of 524 genes with significant differential expression (p-value < 0.05) were identified in the GSE70866 cohort (Figure 2B). Furthermore, the DEGs are associated with many PCD pathways and signal transduction pathways related to IPF, as demonstrated by the KEGG and GO enrichment studies (Figures 2C,D).

In addition, the study investigated the profiling of pathway activity in normal and fibrotic lung tissues to analyze PCD patterns (Figure 2E). The results suggested that except NETosis pattern, the activity of other PCD patterns decreased in fibrotic lung tissue. The vast majority of PCD patterns showed significant differences in activity in normal and fibrotic lung tissues.

3.2 Dissection of the microenvironment of IPF based on PCD patterns

A total of 9,295 cells, obtained from lung tissue samples of three IPF individuals and three healthy individuals, were grouped into 23 unique clusters using the "Seurat" and "clustree" R packages (Supplementary Figures 1A,B). A total of 13 unique cell types were distinguished using particular cell marker genes (Supplementary

¹ http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/



Figure 1C; Figure 3A). The population of epithelial cells in the lungs of individuals with IPF was shown to have a higher percentage of airway epithelial cells (specifically basal cells, ciliated cells, and club cells) and a notable drop in alveolar epithelial cells (AT1 cells

and AT2 cells). This pattern aligns with prior findings (51, 52) (Figure 3B).

Furthermore, the study provided an initial description of the variations in PCD-related pathway activity among different cell



obtained from bulk RNAseq dataset and 1,392 marker genes of prognostically significant cells. Scissor + cells, Cells positively associated with OS in patients with IPF; Scissor – cells, Cells negatively associated with OS in patients with IPF; other cells, Cells unrelated to OS in patients with IPF.

subtypes in fibrotic lung tissues based on the integration of four algorithms (Figures 3C,D). As we all know, fibroblasts play a crucial role in the development of IPF by promoting the production of collagen and cell proliferation, leading to the formation of fibrous tissue (53). The study suggested that there is a difference in the behavior of PCD patterns in fibroblasts in

fibrotic lung tissues, especially heightened activity in the anoikis pathway in fibroblasts. Previous studies have demonstrated that anoikis takes place in lung fibroblasts during the development of fibrosis and has been identified as a significant factor in the progression of IPF (54), indicating possible treatment targets for IPF.

3.3 Identification of IPF-related genes

A univariate regression analysis was conducted on a set of 524 DEGs to find 137 prognostic genes. Furthermore, the "Scissor" R package was employed to integrate the scRNA-seq GSE122960 dataset and the bulk-RNAseq GSE70866 dataset. We identified 1,934 cells positively associated with OS in patients with IPF and 2,041 cells that were negatively associated with OS in patients with IPF (Figure 3E). Additionally, the "Findmarkers" function was used to identify 1,392 marker genes for cells that had prognostic significance. Ultimately, a total of 44 IPF-related genes (Supplementary Table 5) were determined by identifying the common genes that serve as both prognostic genes and marker genes (Figure 3F).

3.4 Construction of the PCDI.prog signature

Subsequently, we employed a total of 10 distinct machine-learning algorithms to develop and construct 101 prognostic signatures. The robustness of these signatures was evaluated using a 10-fold cross-validation approach in four distinct cohorts (GSE70866 as the training cohort, and three external validation cohorts including GSE27957, GSE28042, and GSE93606 cohort).

The best-performing predictive signature was determined as the signature with the greatest mean C-index in three external validation cohorts, due to overfitting in the training cohort (Figure 4A). The findings indicated that the CoxBoost + Enet[alpha = 0.1] algorithm combination demonstrated the highest average C-index (0.676), making it the optimal algorithm combination for developing the programmed cell death index prognostic (PCDI.prog) signature.

Afterward, the PCDI.prog score for each patient was calculated. The samples were then categorized into the low PCDI.prog score group and the high-PCDI.prog score group based on the optimal threshold value of the PCDI.prog score determined using the R package "survminer." Subsequently, KM survival analysis and assessment of prognostic performance were conducted. Demonstrating a substantial difference in OS between the low-PCDI. prog score group and the high-PCDI.prog score group in all four cohorts (Figure 4B).

3.5 Validation and clinical application of PCDI.prog signature

The accuracy and reliability of the PCDI.prog signature predicting 1-,2-, 3-, 4-, and 5-year survival of IPF patients was supported by empirical evidence that the area under the curve (AUC) values exceeded 0.65 in multiple distinct cohorts (Figure 4C).

Furthermore, due to the scarcity of prognostic models for non-tumor diseases compared to tumors, and the limited availability of datasets including comprehensive gene expression data for genes associated with signatures, a total of 10 prognostic models published in IPF were ultimately gathered from the existing literature. The features encompass a range of biological processes observed in the IPF cohort, such as hypoxia, autophagy, pyroptosis, epithelialmesenchymal transition, epigenetic regulation, and inflammation (Supplementary Table 6). These features were then compared to the C-index of the PCDI.prog signature. The findings indicated that the PCDI.prog signature exhibited superior performance compared to the majority of the signatures within their respective categories (Figure 4D).

In addition, we compared the predictive value of the PCDI.prog signature with other clinical variables (Figure 5A). The C-index of the PCDI.prog signature was significantly higher than other clinical variables, covering GAP score, age, and gender. The univariate Cox regression analysis showed that compared with other features, PCDI. prog signature was regarded as a risk factor (Figure 5B). Finally, to facilitate clinical application, a nomogram was created, integrating the factors of age, gender, GAP score, and PCDI.prog score (Figure 5C).

The "pRRophetic" R package is a computational model that predicts chemotherapy responses based on gene expression data (55). Nintedanib, an orally administered small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitor initially designed for lung cancer, has been approved for the treatment of IPF. It serves as an example of an anti-tumor medication associated with medications used for IPF. Furthermore, the addition of pirfenidone (56) can diminish the efficacy of the paclitaxel and carboplatin combination. Therefore, we believe that the identification of related anti-tumor drugs has potential significance for IPF treatment. Eight potential drugs for IPF patients were obtained through drug susceptibility analysis (Figure 5D). Individuals with low PCDI.prog scores exhibited a notable rise in sensitivity to staurosporine, linsitinib, sapitinib, taselisib, and alpelisib. Individuals with high PCDI.prog scores exhibited a notable rise in sensitivity to palbociclib, sabutoclax, and mitoxantrone. It is suggested that the PCDI.prog signature has a potential guiding effect on the treatment of IPF patients.

3.6 Construction and validation of PCDI. diag signature

A total of 101 diagnostic signatures of GSE150910 were evaluated using 10-fold cross-validation (Figure 6A). The AUC values for each signature were computed across all validation datasets, including GSE110147, GSE24206, GSE28042, GSE53845, and GSE70866. The combination of Stepglm[both] and NaiveBayes was recognized as the optimal signature, yielding the greatest average AUC value of 0.856. The Stepglm[both] algorithm identified 9 crucial genes (SLC39A8, HIF1A, TIMP1, ACSL1, ALOX5, MET, IL1R1, HTRA1, TP53INP1) (Figure 6B), whereas the NaiveBayes algorithm developed the programmed cell death diagnostic (PCDI.diag) signature.

The diagnostic effectiveness of the PCDI.diag signature in predicting IPF was compared with other clinical factors in both the training and validation cohorts (Figure 6C). Regrettably, the GSE110147 and GSE24206 cohorts lack comprehensive clinical data. The findings indicated that the PCDI.diag signature exhibited markedly superior accuracy compared to other clinical features in GSE150910, GSE28042, GSE53845, and GSE70866, including age, rs35705950_genotype, and gender. The results suggest that the PCDI.diag signature, derived from nine crucial genes, may offer novel insights into the preliminary diagnosis of IPF. It is worth noting that the AUC values of gender in multiple datasets are weak, which means that gender cannot well distinguish the IPF patient group from the healthy control group.

A CoxBoost+Enet[alpha=0.1]	0.732	0.631	0.664	0.676	в	PCDI score +	High 📥 Low	PCDI scor	e 📥 High 📥 Low
CoxBoost+Enet[CoxBoost	0.730	0.640	0.633	0.667	1.00			1.00-	
CoxBoost+Enet[alpha=0.6]	0.732	0.625	0.639	0.666		1			-leng
CoxBoost+Enet	Lasso	0.720	0.633	0.637	0.663	0.75	4	L	0.75-	
CoxBo	iost+Lasso	0.724	0.629	0.637	0.663	ival	1		lival	Lang
CoxBoost+Enet[alpha=0.8]	0.724	0.622	0.643	0.663	2ng 0.50	<u> </u>		2 0.50-	The second second
CoxBoost+Enet[alpha=0.3]	0.737	0.621	0.630	0.663	erall			erall	L.
CoxBoost+Enet[alpha=0.5]	0.727	0.622	0.637	0.662	Ó 0.25.			• б 025-	
CoxBo	ost+Ridge	0.712	0.630	0.638	0.66	0.20	p = 0.00029		p = 0.043	
RSF+Enet[alpha=0.6]	0.689	0.640	0.649	0.659					
CoxBoost+Enet[alpha=0.2]	0.724	0.616	0.635	0.659	0.00	0 1	2	0.00	2 3 4
RSF+Enet[alpha=0.5]	0.695	0.643	0.638	0.659		Time(y	ears)	1	ime(years)
CoxBoost+StepCo	Dx[forward]	0.728	0.607	0.641	0.659	c	SSE70866 PCDI score	High 📥 Low	GSE93606 PCDI scor	e 📥 High 📥 Low
RSF+Enet[alpha=0.4]	0.695	0.646	0.632	0.658				_	
Lasso+Enet[alpha=0.7]	0.720	0.626	0.623	0.656	1.00 -	The.		1.00-	
CoxBoos	t+plsRcox	0.705	0.632	0.631	0.656		12			
RSF+Enet[alpha=0.3]	0.691	0.645	0.631	0.656	0.75			0.75-	5
CoxBoost	+SuperPC	0.705	0.623	0.638	0.656	Iniva	4		RAIN L	1
RSF+Enet[alpha=0.7]	0.689	0.638	0.639	0.655	S 0.50	1 7		B 0.50 -	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Lasso+Enet[alpha=0.3]	0.712	0.627	0.624	0.654	Dver	1	-	Over	and the second
Lasso+Enet[alpha=0.4]	0.710	0.626	0.626	0.654	0.25	0 < 0 0001		0.25-	L
RSF+Enet[alpha=0.8]	0.687	0.635	0.640	0.654		p = 0.0001		p = 0.00076	
Lasso+Enet[alpha=0.8]	0.722	0.618	0.621	0.654	0.00	~~~		0.00 -	
D05.5	SuperPC	0.666	0.643	0.651	0.653			5 6 7 8	ò i ,	ime(years)
CoxBoost+StepCov	aipha=0.9] [backward]	0.689	0.634	0.636	0.653	C	interve and a second seco			in the second seco
CoxBoost+Ste	pCox[both]	0.730	0.613	0.613	0.652	0			-	
R	SF+Lasso	0.687	0.635	0.635	0.652		GSE27957	GSE28042	GSE70866	GSE93606
StepCox[both]+Enet[+SuperPC	0.728	0.615	0.612	0.652					
RS	F+plsRcox	0.641	0.660	0.652	0.651	5-уел	ar -		0.917	
StepCox[backward]+Enet[alpha=0.8]	0.726	0.618	0.609	0.651					•
StepCox[both]+Enet[alpha=0.8]	0.726	0.618	0.609	0.651					
Lasso	+CoxBoost	0.712	0.629	0.611	0.65	4-yei	ar •		0.861	
StepCox[bo	oth]+Lasso	0.724	0.613	0.611	0.649					
StepCox[both]+Enet[alpha=0.3]	0.712	0.625	0.611	0.649					sample
StepCox[backward]+Enet[alpha=0.7]	0.714	0.621	0.611	0.648	3-yea	ar-	0.744	0.825	GSE2/95/ GSE28042
StepCox[backwa	ard]+Lasso	0.724	0.607	0.614	0.648					GSE70866
StepCox[both]+Enet[alpha=0.6]	0.718	0.621	0.606	0.648					GSE93606
StepCox[backward]+Enet[alpha=0.4]	0.712	0.620	0.611	0.647	2-100	0.704	0.748	0.844	0.663
Lasso+Enet[alpha=0.1]	0.695	0.630	0.617	0.647	*)e	0.704	0.740	0.044	0.003
StepCox[both]+Enet[alpha=0.7]	0.718	0.618	0.606	0.647					
StepCox[backward]+Enet[alpha=0.2]	0.714	0.621	0.606	0.647	1	0.770	0.000	0.000	0.70
StepCox[backward]+Enet[alpha=0.6]	0.714	0.621	0.606	0.647	1-96	0.779	0.633	0.832	0.72
StepCox[both]+Enet[+CoxBoost	0.722	0.618	0.601	0.647					
StepCox[both]+RSF	0.718	0.599	0.623	0.647		0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.	.80.0 0.2 0.4 0.6	0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 C-index	0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6
StepCox[backward]	+CoxBoost	0.720	0.619	0.599	0.646	D				
StepCox[backward]+Enet[alpha=0.1]	0.645	0.633	0.603	0.645	D				
Lasso+Enet[alpha=0.9]	0.724	0.603	0.607	0.645		GSE279	157		GSE28042
StepCox[both]+Enet[alpha=0.5]	0.714	0.618	0.601	0.644	PCDI.prog	-		sig.36578501 -	
StepCox/backv	vard]+RSF	0.726	0.598	0.619	0.642	sig.36578501	-		PCDI.prog-	
otopoonloadin	RSF	0.672	0.576	0.675	0.641	sig.35559024	-		sig.35754799 -	
CoxE	Boost+RSF	0.674	0.590	0.653	0.639	sig.37051233	-		sig.34790776 -	
Lasso+su StepCox[backward]+Enet[alpha=0.11	0.672	0.625	0.600	0.638	sig.35754799		and the second	sig.37051233	
L	asso+RSF	0.674	0.587	0.634	0.632	sig.34790776	6		sig.36147332	
Lasso+StepCox	[backward]	0.707	0.592	0.579	0.626	sig 34194423			sig.35559024	
Lasso+Step	SF+Ridge	0.618	0.592	0.633	0.626	sig 36211205			sig 34194422	
StepCox[both]+Enet[alpha=0.1]	0.654	0.619	0.597	0.623	sig.30211385			aig.34134423	
RSF	+SuperPC	0.618	0.623	0.623	0.621	sig.36147332	1		sig.36474231	
StepCox[both]+Epet[alpha=0.21	0.654	0.558	0.643	0.618	sig.38192798	1		sig.38192798 -	
StepCox[backward]	+SuperPC	0.643	0.609	0.601	0.618	sig.36474231	1		sig.36211385 -	
StepCox[both]	+SuperPC	0.643	0.609	0.601	0.618		0.0 0.2 0.4	0.6	0.0 0	.2 0.4 0.6
C-index	pisRcox	0.624	0.587	0.641	0.617		C-inde	ex		C-index
0.7 CoxBoost+su	rvivalSVM	0.680	0.573	0.599	0.617		GSE708	66		GSE93606
0.6	GBM	0.624	0.590	0.636	0.617	PCDI area	552700		PCDI proc	
0.5 La	isso+GBM	0.645	0.573	0.613	0.61	- CDI.prog			- outprogram	
StepCox[backw	ard]+GBM	0.618	0.579	0.594	0.597	sig.35754799	1		sig.34790776 -	
RSF+su	rvivalSVM	0.573	0.600	0.609	0.594	sig.34790776	5		sig.34194423 -	
StepCox[backward]+su	rvivalSVM	0.637	0.584	0.552	0.591	sig.34194423	3		sig.36474231 -	
StepCox[backward]+plsRcox	0.585	0.587	0.587	0.586	sig.37051233	3		sig.38192798 -	
StepCox[both]+plsRcox	0.585	0.587	0.587	0.586	sig.36211385	5		sig.35559024 -	
StepCox[b	SE+GBM	0.600	0.574	0.582	0.585	sig.36147332	2		sig.36578501 -	
StepCox[backwa	ard]+Ridge	0.548	0.605	0.557	0.57	sig.36474231	-		sig.35754799 -	
Cohort StepCox	[backward]	0.598	0.532	0.497	0.542	sig.35559024	-		sig.36211385	
GSE27957 Step GSE28042 Step C	pCox[both]	0.598	0.532	0.497	0.542	sig.38192798	3		sig.37051233	
CSED2606 DOC OLD	ox[forward]	0.481	0.534	0.532	0.516	sig 26570504			eig 26147222	
GSE93000 RSF+StepCo			the second se		and the second se	2011 2022 (252)[1]				

FIGURE 4

Construction and validation of the PCDI.prog signature. (A) A total of 101 combinations of machine learning algorithms for the PCDI.prog signatures via a 10-fold cross-validation framework based on the GSE70866 cohort. The C-index of each model was calculated across validation datasets, including the GSE27957, GSE28042, and GSE93606 cohort. (B) Kaplan–Meier survival curve of OS between patients with high-PCDI.prog scores and low-PCDI. prog scores in the training and validation cohorts. (C) ROC analysis of PCDI.prog signature in the training and validation cohorts. (D) C-index comparison of PCDI.prog signature and 10 previously published signatures in the training and validation cohorts.



FIGURE 5

Establishment of the nomogram survival model. (A) The predictive performance of the PCDI.prog signature was compared with common clinical variables in the training and validation cohorts. (B) Univariate analysis for the clinicopathologic characteristics and PCDI.prog in the training and validation cohorts. (C) A nomogram was established to predict the prognostic of IPF patients based on PCDI.prog score, age, gender, and GAP score. (D) Drug sensitivity analysis of IPF patients in different PCDI.prog score group.



FIGURE 6

Construction and validation of PCDI.diag signature. (A) A total of 101 diagnostic signatures were assessed utilizing a 10-fold cross-validation framework, and the AUC value for each signature was subsequently computed across all validation cohorts. (B) Nine crucial genes identified by the StepgIm[both] algorithm. (C) ROC curves illustrate the efficacy of IPF predictions made by PCDI.diag signature, age, rs35705950 genotype, and gender in the training and validation cohorts.

Previous studies have also shown that Although IPF seems to affect older men predominantly, the true prevalence of IPF in women is difficult to establish, and women may be underdiagnosed while men are overdiagnosed with IPF based on gender alone (57).

4 Discussion

IPF is a lethal condition characterized by the progressive fibrosis of lung tissue, defined by the aberrant proliferation of interstitial lung cells, an inflammatory response, the advancement of fibrosis, and a gradual decline in pulmonary function. The precise pathophysiology of IPF remains incompletely elucidated, and the current study indicates a significant association between PCD and IPF. The cytokines and necrotic materials released during PCD not only directly promote the proliferation and activation of fibroblasts but also exacerbate the local inflammatory response by attracting immune cells, such as macrophages and neutrophils, ultimately resulting in the worsening of pulmonary fibrosis. The regulatory genes of PCD typically participate in this process; therefore, analyzing the expression patterns of these genes can yield critical insights for the early diagnosis, prognosis evaluation, and personalized treatment of IPF.

The study utilized microarray-based transcriptome profiling and single-nucleus RNA sequencing to identify and analysis of diverse PCD patterns in IPF. A subsequent study identified IPF-related genes that are crucial in the advancement of IPF. The stable PCDI.prog signature was established through the integration of 101 distinct machine-learning techniques, which exhibited superior efficacy in predicting outcomes in IPF patients through the validation of multiple datasets. Integrating PCDI.prog signature with patient clinical information, such as age, gender, and GAP score, enables the prediction of disease progression rates and patient survival. Additional PCDI.diag signature can offer insights for the early diagnosis of IPF. To facilitate clinical application, PCDI. Prog signature and PCDI. Diag signatures are integrated into the "PCDI" R package and are available at https://github.com//sjz17//IPF.

Furthermore, the majority of genes enrolled in the PCDI.prog signature and PCDI.diag signature have been confirmed to be involved in PCD patterns and regulating fibrotic processes (Supplementary Table 7). Interestingly, TIMP1, MET, and HTRA1 are present in both the PCDI.prog and PCDI.diag signatures.

The studies from Jamie et al. (58) showed that TIMP1 may play a role in regulating fibrosis in the microenvironment of IPF and fibroblasts are the most important cells in driving TIMP-1 dysregulation. Shibnath et al. (59) have shown an increased MET expression in lung fibroblasts from patients with pulmonary fibrosis as compared with lung fibroblasts from normal people. Moreover, MET has been implicated in driving profibrotic phenotypes and leading to pulmonary fibrosis (60). In the realm of pulmonary pathology, quantitative proteomic analysis has recognized HTRA1 as a protein implicated in tissue remodeling associated with IPF (61). Additionally, the overexpression of HTRA1 in BAL cells from patients with IPF was associated with a significantly poor prognosis (62). Furthermore, Chio et al. (63) demonstrated that the loss of function of HtrA1 has been shown to induce EMT by activating TGF-b and Notch signaling pathways, contributing to the progression of fibrosis in the lungs (64).

Despite the significant promise of prognostic and diagnostic signatures derived from PCD-related genes, several problems persist. Initially, IPF patients exhibit significant heterogeneity at both the molecular level and in clinical manifestations, leading to considerable variability in the expression of certain genes among people, which impacts the model's accuracy. Secondly, IPF is an uncommon condition, particularly in its initial phases, and the patient cohort is quite small, potentially impacting the precision of genetic screening and the robustness of the model. Ultimately, PCD constitutes only a component of IPF progression, and models must consider environmental factors (such as smoking, genetic predisposition, immunological responses, and additional variables). Future research can enhance the diagnostic and prognostic signatures of IPF through extensive, multicenter clinical trials, liquid biopsy methodologies, and multidimensional information integration to offer more accurate and personalized therapy alternatives for clinical practice.

5 Conclusion

In summary, the PCDI.prog signature and PCDI.diag signature offer critical insights for the early diagnosis, prognostic evaluation, and personalized treatment of IPF.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Author contributions

JS: Investigation, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YZ: Methodology, Software, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

All authors would like to express our sincere thanks for sharing the online databases.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations,

References

1. Richeldi L, Collard HR, Jones MG. Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Lancet*. (2017) 389:1941–52. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30866-8

2. King TE, Albera C, Bradford WZ, Costabel U, Du Bois RM, Leff JA, et al. All-cause mortality rate in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Implications for the design and execution of clinical trials. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2014) 189:825–31. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201311-1951OC

3. Cai M, Zhu M, Ban C, Su J, Ye Q, Liu Y, et al. Clinical features and outcomes of 210 patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Chin Med J.* (2014) 127:1868–73. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.0366-6999.20132528

4. Gao W, Wang X, Zhou Y, Wang X, Yu Y. Autophagy, ferroptosis, pyroptosis, and necroptosis in tumor immunotherapy. *Signal Transduct Target Ther.* (2022) 7:196. doi: 10.1038/s41392-022-01046-3

5. Radi ZA, Stewart ZS, O'Neil SP. Accidental and programmed cell death in investigative and Toxicologic pathology. *Curr Protoc Toxicol.* (2018) 76:e51. doi: 10.1002/cptx.51

6. Ellson CD, Dunmore R, Hogaboam CM, Sleeman MA, Murray LA. Dangerassociated molecular patterns and danger signals in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol.* (2014) 51:163–8. doi: 10.1165/rcmb.2013-0366TR

7. Emura I, Usuda H. Acute exacerbation of IPF has systemic consequences with multiple organ injury, with SRA+ and TNF- α + cells in the systemic circulation playing central roles in multiple organ injury. *BMC Pulm Med.* (2016) 16:138. doi: 10.1186/s12890-016-0298-x

8. Tang D, Kang R, Berghe TV, Vandenabeele P, Kroemer G. The molecular machinery of regulated cell death. Cell Res. (2019) 29:347-64. doi: 10.1038/s41422-019-0164-5

9. Fuchs Y, Steller H. Live to die another way: modes of programmed cell death and the signals emanating from dying cells. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* (2015) 16:329–44. doi: 10.1038/nrm3999

10. Vorobjeva NV, Chernyak BV. NETosis: molecular mechanisms, role in physiology and pathology. *Biochemistry*. (2020) 85:1178–90. doi: 10.1134/S0006297920100065

11. Brinkmann V, Reichard U, Goosmann C, Fauler B, Uhlemann Y, Weiss DS, et al. Neutrophil extracellular traps kill bacteria. *Science*. (2004) 303:1532–5. doi: 10.1126/science.1092385

12. Tsuburai T, Suzuki M, Nagashima Y, Suzuki S, Inoue S, Hasiba T, et al. Adenovirusmediated transfer and overexpression of heme oxygenase 1 cDNA in lung prevents bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis via a Fas-Fas ligand-independent pathway. *Hum Gene Ther.* (2002) 13:1945–60. doi: 10.1089/10430340260355356

13. Carnesecchi S, Deffert C, Donati Y, Basset O, Hinz B, Preynat-Seauve O, et al. A key role for NOX4 in epithelial cell death during development of lung fibrosis. *Antioxid Redox Signal.* (2011) 15:607–19. doi: 10.1089/ars.2010.3829

14. Sakai N, Tager AM. Fibrosis of two: epithelial cell-fibroblast interactions in pulmonary fibrosis. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* (2013) 1832:911–21. doi: 10.1016/j.bbadis.2013.03.001

15. McCubbrey AL, Barthel L, Mohning MP, Redente EF, Mould KJ, Thomas SM, et al. Deletion of c-FLIP from CD11bhi macrophages prevents development of Bleomycininduced lung fibrosis. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol.* (2018) 58:66–78. doi: 10.1165/rcmb.2017-0154OC

16. Ryter SW, Rosas IO, Owen CA, Martinez FJ, Choi ME, Lee CG, et al. Mitochondrial dysfunction as a pathogenic mediator of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Ann Am Thorac Soc.* (2018) 15:S266–72. doi: 10.1513/AnnalsATS.201808-585MG

17. Baek AR, Hong J, Song KS, Jang AS, Kim DJ, Chin SS, et al. Spermidine attenuates bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis by inducing autophagy and inhibiting endoplasmic reticulum stress (ERS)-induced cell death in mice. *Exp Mol Med.* (2020) 52:2034–45. doi: 10.1038/s12276-020-00545-z

18. Pei Z, Qin Y, Fu X, Yang F, Huo F, Liang X, et al. Inhibition of ferroptosis and iron accumulation alleviates pulmonary fibrosis in a bleomycin model. *Redox Biol.* (2022) 57:102509. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2022.102509

or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed.2025.1534903/ full#supplementary-material

19. Portilla D. Apoptosis, fibrosis and senescence. Nephron Clin Pract. (2014) 127:65-9. doi: 10.1159/000363717

20. Hohmann MS, Habiel DM, Coelho AL, Verri WA, Hogaboam CM. Quercetin enhances ligand-induced apoptosis in senescent idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis fibroblasts and reduces lung fibrosis in vivo. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol.* (2019) 60:28–40. doi: 10.1165/rcmb.2017-0289OC

21. Shen M, Fu J, Zhang Y, Chang Y, Li X, Cheng H, et al. A novel senolytic drug for pulmonary fibrosis: BTSA1 targets apoptosis of senescent myofibroblasts by activating BAX. *Aging Cell*. (2024) 23:e14229. doi: 10.1111/acel.14229

22. Kanehisa M, Goto S. KEGG: Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. (2000) 28:27-30. doi: 10.1093/nar/28.1.27

23. Stelzer G, Rosen N, Plaschkes I, Zimmerman S, Twik M, Fishilevich S, et al. The GeneCards suite: from gene data mining to disease genome sequence analyses. *Curr Protoc Bioinformatics*. (2016) 54:1.30.1–1.30.33. doi: 10.1002/cpbi.5

24. Liberzon A, Birger C, Thorvaldsdóttir H, Ghandi M, Mesirov JP, Tamayo P. The molecular signatures database (MSigDB) hallmark gene set collection. *Cell Syst.* (2015) 1:417–25. doi: 10.1016/j.cels.2015.12.004

25. Luo Y, Liu L, Zhang C. Identification and analysis of diverse cell death patterns in diabetic kidney disease using microarray-based transcriptome profiling and single-nucleus RNA sequencing. *Comput Biol Med.* (2024) 169:107780. doi: 10.1016/j.compbiomed.2023.107780

26. Sun Z, Zhao Y, Wei Y, Ding X, Tan C, Wang C. Identification and validation of an anoikis-associated gene signature to predict clinical character, stemness, IDH mutation, and immune filtration in glioblastoma. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:939523. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.939523

27. Diao X, Guo C, Li S. Identification of a novel anoikis-related gene signature to predict prognosis and tumor microenvironment in lung adenocarcinoma. *Thorac Cancer*. (2023) 14:320–30. doi: 10.1111/1759-7714.14766

28. Liu H. Pan-cancer profiles of the cuproptosis gene set. Am J Cancer Res. (2022) 12:4074–81.

29. Wang W, Lu Z, Wang M, Liu Z, Wu B, Yang C, et al. The cuproptosis-related signature associated with the tumor environment and prognosis of patients with glioma. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:998236. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.998236

30. Zhang G, Sun J, Zhang X. A novel Cuproptosis-related LncRNA signature to predict prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Sci Rep.* (2022) 12:11325. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-15251-1

31. Liu X, Nie L, Zhang Y, Yan Y, Wang C, Colic M, et al. Actin cytoskeleton vulnerability to disulfide stress mediates disulfidptosis. *Nat Cell Biol.* (2023) 25:404–14. doi: 10.1038/s41556-023-01091-2

32. Cai J, Hu Y, Ye Z, Ye L, Gao L, Wang Y, et al. Immunogenic cell death-related risk signature predicts prognosis and characterizes the tumour microenvironment in lower-grade glioma. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:1011757. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1011757

33. Qian XF, Zhang JH, Mai YX, Yin X, Zheng YB, Yu ZY, et al. A novel insight into Paraptosis-related classification and signature in lower-grade gliomas. *Int J Genomics*. (2022) 2022:1–32. doi: 10.1155/2022/6465760

34. Chen H, Luo H, Wang J, Li J, Jiang Y. Identification of a pyroptosis-related prognostic signature in breast cancer. *BMC Cancer*. (2022) 22:429. doi: 10.1186/s12885-022-09526-z

35. Reyfman PA, Walter JM, Joshi N, Anekalla KR, McQuattie-Pimentel AC, Chiu S, et al. Single-cell transcriptomic analysis of human lung provides insights into the pathobiology of pulmonary fibrosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. (2019) 199:1517–36. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201712-2410OC

36. Herazo-Maya JD, Noth I, Duncan SR, Kim S, Ma SF, Tseng GC, et al. Peripheral blood mononuclear cell gene expression profiles predict poor outcome in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Sci Transl Med.* (2013) 5:964. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3005964

37. Prasse A, Binder H, Schupp JC, Kayser G, Bargagli E, Jaeger B, et al. BAL cell gene expression is indicative of outcome and airway basal cell involvement in idiopathic

pulmonary fibrosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. (2019) 199:622-30. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201712-2551OC

38. Molyneaux PL, Willis-Owen SAG, Cox MJ, James P, Cowman S, Loebinger M, et al. Host-microbial interactions in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2017) 195:1640–50. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201607-1408OC

39. Rigatti SJ. Random Forest. J Insur Med. (2017) 47:31-9. doi: 10.17849/insm-47-01-31-39.1

40. Binder H, Allignol A, Schumacher M, Beyersmann J. Boosting for highdimensional time-to-event data with competing risks. *Bioinformatics*. (2009) 25:890–6. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp088

41. Bertrand F, Maumy-Bertrand M. Fitting and cross-validating Cox models to censored big data with missing values using extensions of partial least squares regression models. *Front Big Data*. (2021) 4:684794. doi: 10.3389/fdata.2021.684794

42. Bair E, Tibshirani R. Semi-supervised methods to predict patient survival from gene expression data. *PLoS Biol.* (2004) 2:E108. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0020108

43. Guo CY, Chang KH. A novel algorithm to estimate the significance level of a feature interaction using the extreme gradient boosting machine. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2022) 19:2338. doi: 10.3390/ijerph19042338

44. Van Belle V, Pelckmans K, Van Huffel S, Suykens JAK. Improved performance on high-dimensional survival data by application of survival-SVM. *Bioinformatics*. (2011) 27:87–94. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btq617

45. Furusawa H, Cardwell JH, Okamoto T, Walts AD, Konigsberg IR, Kurche JS, et al. Chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis, an interstitial lung disease with distinct molecular signatures. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. (2020) 202:1430–44. doi: 10.1164/rccm.202001-0134OC

46. Meltzer EB, Barry WT, D'Amico TA, Davis RD, Lin SS, Onaitis MW, et al. Bayesian probit regression model for the diagnosis of pulmonary fibrosis: proof-of-principle. BMC Med Genet. (2011) 4:70. doi: 10.1186/1755-8794-4-70

47. DePianto DJ, Chandriani S, Abbas AR, Jia G, N'Diaye EN, Caplazi P, et al. Heterogeneous gene expression signatures correspond to distinct lung pathologies and biomarkers of disease severity in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Thorax.* (2015) 70:48–56. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2013-204596

48. Zhang Z. Naïve Bayes classification in R. Ann Transl Med. (2016) 4:241. doi: 10.21037/atm.2016.03.38

49. Xu L, Raitoharju J, Iosifidis A, Gabbouj M. Saliency-based multilabel linear discriminant analysis. *IEEE Trans Cybern*. (2022) 52:10200–13. doi: 10.1109/TCYB.2021.3069338

50. Geeleher P, Cox N, Huang RS. pRRophetic: an R package for prediction of clinical chemotherapeutic response from tumor gene expression levels. *PLoS One.* (2014) 9:e107468. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0107468

51. Adams TS, Schupp JC, Poli S, Ayaub EA, Neumark N, Ahangari F, et al. Single-cell RNA-seq reveals ectopic and aberrant lung-resident cell populations in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Sci Adv.* (2020) 6:1983. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aba1983

52. Xu Y, Mizuno T, Sridharan A, Du Y, Guo M, Tang J, et al. Single-cell RNA sequencing identifies diverse roles of epithelial cells in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *JCI Insight*. (2016) 1:e90558. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.90558

53. Selman M, Gonzalez G, Bravo M, Sullivan-Lopez J, Ramos C, Montano M, et al. Effect of lung T lymphocytes on fibroblasts in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis and extrinsic allergic alveolitis. *Thorax.* (1990) 45:451–5. doi: 10.1136/thx.45.6.451

54. Yin J, Wang J, Zhang X, Liao Y, Luo W, Wang S, et al. A missing piece of the puzzle in pulmonary fibrosis: anoikis resistance promotes fibroblast activation. *Cell Biosci.* (2022) 12:21. doi: 10.1186/s13578-022-00761-2

55. Altmann A, Toloși L, Sander O, Lengauer T. Permutation importance: a corrected feature importance measure. *Bioinformatics.* (2010) 26:1340–7. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btq134

56. Branco H, Oliveira J, Antunes C, Santos LL, Vasconcelos MH, Xavier CPR. Pirfenidone sensitizes NCI-H460 non-small cell lung Cancer cells to paclitaxel and to a combination of paclitaxel with carboplatin. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2022) 23:3631. doi: 10.3390/ijms23073631

57. Assayag D, Morisset J, Johannson KA, Wells AU, Walsh SLF. Patient gender bias on the diagnosis of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Thorax.* (2020) 75:407–12. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2019-213968

58. Todd JL, Vinisko R, Liu Y, Neely ML, Overton R, Flaherty KR, et al. Circulating matrix metalloproteinases and tissue metalloproteinase inhibitors in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis in the multicenter IPF-PRO registry cohort. *BMC Pulm Med.* (2020) 20:64. doi: 10.1186/s12890-020-1103-4

59. Ghatak S, Bogatkevich GS, Atnelishvili I, Akter T, Feghali-Bostwick C, Hoffman S, et al. Overexpression of c-met and CD44v6 receptors contributes to autocrine TGF-β1 signaling in interstitial lung disease. *J Biol Chem.* (2014) 289:7856–72. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M113.505065

60. Wilson CL, Hung CF. Another weapon in the Battle against idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis? Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. (2019) 60:386–7. doi: 10.1165/rcmb.2018-0387ED

61. Åhrman E, Hallgren O, Malmström L, Hedström U, Malmström A, Bjermer L, et al. Quantitative proteomic characterization of the lung extracellular matrix in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *J Proteome*. (2018) 189:23–33. doi: 10.1016/j.jprot.2018.02.027

62. Xia Y, Lei C, Yang D, Luo H. Construction and validation of a bronchoalveolar lavage cell-associated gene signature for prognosis prediction in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Int Immunopharmacol.* (2021) 92:107369. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2021.107369

63. Oka C, Saleh R, Bessho Y, Reza HM. Interplay between HTRA1 and classical signalling pathways in organogenesis and diseases. *Saudi J Biol Sci.* (2022) 29:1919–27. doi: 10.1016/j.sjbs.2021.11.056

64. Kim HS, Go H, Akira S, Chung DH. TLR2-mediated production of IL-27 and chemokines by respiratory epithelial cells promotes bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis in mice. *J Immunol.* (2011) 187:4007–17. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1101654