Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Iain Brownlee, Northumbria University, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY Aleksandra Kezic, University Clinical Center of Serbia, Serbia Wen Hou, Tianjin First Central Hospital, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Yufang Leng ⊠ lengyf@lzu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 17 December 2024 ACCEPTED 06 May 2025 PUBLISHED 22 May 2025

CITATION

Zhao Z, Wu Y, Leng Y, Chang L, Wang Y, Li D and Xing Y (2025) Probiotics improve intestinal ischemia–reperfusion injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Med.* 12:1546650. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2025.1546650

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Zhao, Wu, Leng, Chang, Wang, Li and Xing. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Probiotics improve intestinal ischemia–reperfusion injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Zicen Zhao¹, Yuxuan Wu², Yufang Leng^{1,3}*, Liya Chang¹, Yu Wang¹, Dongbin Li¹ and Yang Xing¹

¹The First Clinical Medical College, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, China, ²Clinical Medicine Department, Fenyang College of Shanxi Medical University, Fenyang, China, ³The Department of Anesthesiology, The First Hospital of Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, China

Background: Intestinal ischemia-reperfusion injury (IRI) is a common complication of intestinal surgery and carries the risk of patient death. The treatment of intestinal IRI is an important direction of current research. This study aimed to analyze animal experiments and thus investigate the effects of probiotics administration on intestinal IRI and its mechanisms.

Methods: We included a total of 12 studies from 5 databases (PubMed, Web of Science, Cochrane, Embase, and Scopus), incorporating outcome metrics including Chiu's score, levels of malondialdehyde (MDA), myeloperoxidase (MPO) tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α), IL-6, IL-1 β , occludin, zonula occludens-1 (ZO-1), FITC-dextran and intestinal bacteria (Lactobacillus, Bacteroides and Bifidobacteria). Statistical analysis was performed using Review Manager 5.3.

Results: We found that probiotic-added animals had less intestinal damage after IRI compared to controls, as evidenced by a more intact intestinal barrier [occludin (2.83, 95% CI: 1.46 to 4.20, p < 0.0001), ZO-1 (3.30, 95% CI: 1.58 to 5.01, p = 0.0002) and FITC-dextran (-3.83, 95% CI: -5.83 to -2.29, p < 0.0001)], lower Chiu score (-1.83, 95% CI: -2.47 to -1.20, p < 0.0001), fewer inflammatory factors [IL-6 (-2.19, 95% CI: -3.98 to -0.39, p = 0.02), TNF- α (-2.24, 95% CI: -4.15 to -0.33, p = 0.02)], and lower levels of oxidative stress [MDA (-2.46, 95% CI: -4.62 to -0.30, p = 0.03), MPO (-0.97, 95% CI: -1.77 to -0.17; p = 0.02)]. In addition, probiotic supplementation increased the relative abundance of Lactobacillus (0.90, 95% CI: 0.33 to 1.48, p = 0.002) and Bacteroides (0.81, 95% CI: 0.14 to 1.49, p = 0.02), thus maintaining the stability of the gut microbiota.

Conclusion: In conclusion, the mechanisms by which probiotic therapy attenuates intestinal IRI may be related to decreased levels of inflammation and oxidative stress, increased probiotic abundance, and increased expression of tight junction (TJ) proteins.

Systematic Review Registration: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/view/CRD42024577459.

KEYWORDS

intestine, ischemia-reperfusion injury, probiotics, systematic review, meta-analysis

1 Introduction

Intestinal IRI is a severe clinical condition that frequently arises as a complication of intestinal surgeries and critical illnesses, such as traumatic shock, severe burns, and strangulated bowel obstruction (1). The pathophysiology of intestinal IRI involves two distinct phases: an ischemic phase, during which blood flow to the intestines is restricted, and a reperfusion phase, during which the restoration of blood flow paradoxically exacerbates tissue damage. This process results in intestinal epithelial cell injury, oxidative stress, disruption of the intestinal barrier, interstitial edema, and the release of inflammatory mediators (2). These events can trigger systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS), which may progress to multi-organ dysfunction and even death (3). Despite advances in surgical techniques and critical care management, intestinal IRI remains associated with high morbidity and mortality rates, underscoring the urgent need for effective therapeutic interventions.

Recent studies have highlighted the pivotal role of the gut microbiota in the pathogenesis of intestinal IRI (4). Ischemiareperfusion disrupts the balance of the intestinal microbiota, leading to dysbiosis characterized by the overgrowth of pathogenic bacteria, such as Escherichia coli, and a reduction in beneficial bacteria, such as Lactobacillus. This microbial imbalance exacerbates intestinal inflammation by activating the immune system and promoting a strong pro-inflammatory response (1). Probiotics, as modulators of the gut microbiome, have emerged as a promising therapeutic strategy to counteract these effects. Probiotics are commensal microorganisms that naturally reside in the gastrointestinal tract, with major genera including Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium, Enterococcus, and Escherichia coli (5, 6). These beneficial microbes play a critical role in maintaining intestinal homeostasis by inhibiting the colonization of pathogenic bacteria, restoring microbial balance, enhancing intestinal barrier function, and modulating immune responses (7). In recent years, probiotics have gained increasing attention for their ability to modulate the immune system and maintain intestinal integrity, leading to their widespread use in the prevention and treatment of various diseases (8). Notably, probiotics have demonstrated significant potential in mitigating the effects of intestinal IRI. For instance, Bifidobacterium longum has been shown to attenuate IRI-induced inflammation and oxidative stress by inhibiting the NF-KB inflammatory pathway and activating the Keap1/ Nrf2 signaling pathway, thereby reducing intestinal tissue damage in rat models (9). These findings highlight the therapeutic potential of probiotics in managing IRI and its associated complications.

Although some studies have investigated the role of probiotics in intestinal IRI, the current body of evidence remains limited, and the precise mechanisms underlying their protective effects are not yet fully understood. Therefore, this meta-analysis aims to systematically evaluate the effects of probiotic therapies in animal models of intestinal IRI. By synthesizing the available evidence, this study seeks to establish a foundation for future clinical trials and to inform the development of novel therapeutic strategies targeting the gut microbiome for the effective management of intestinal IRI.

2 Methods

This study has been registered with the Prospective Registry for Systematic Reviews platform (PROSPERO; registration ID: CRD42024577459), and all relevant information and modifications have been documented.

2.1 Search strategy

We conducted a comprehensive literature search in the following databases: PubMed, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, Embase, and Scopus. The search utilized the terms "ischemia–reperfusion injury, ""intestines, "and "probiotics" to retrieve all relevant literature (as detailed in Supplementary Table 1). The search was performed independently by two authors, Zicen Zhao and Yuxuan Wu.

2.2 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria: (1) Studies that utilized models of intestinal ischemia-reperfusion involving superior mesenteric artery occlusion (regardless of species or gender); (2) Research that was designed to examine changes in factors related to probiotics. Exclusion criteria: (1) Studies that involved animals with pre-existing comorbidities; (2) *In vitro* studies or computer simulations; (3) Intestinal ischemia-reperfusion models that did not employ superior mesenteric artery occlusion; (4) Studies that lacked accessible data or from which data could not be extracted; (5) Duplicate publications.

2.3 Data collection

Data were independently extracted from each relevant article by two authors, Zicen Zhao and Yuxuan Wu, using a standardized data extraction form that was developed for this purpose. The authors initially attempted to extract numerical data from the text, tables, or figures. If data were not reported in these formats, WebPlotDigitizer was employed to extract information from graphical representations. The extracted data included the following:

Study information: First author, year of publication, language, and country.

Animal characteristics: Species, gender, age, and weight.

Model details: Duration of ischemia–reperfusion (including clamping and unclamping times of the superior mesenteric artery), anesthetic agents used, probiotic strains administered, dosages, and intervention methods.

Outcome measures: Chiu's score, intestinal levels of MDA, MPO, occludin, ZO-1, FITC-dextran and intestinal microbiota composition (Lactobacillus, Bacteroides, and Bifidobacteria), as well as serum levels of IL-1 β , IL-6 and TNF- α .

2.4 Data analysis

2.4.1 Risk of bias assessment

Two authors, Liya Chang and Dongbin Li, independently evaluated the included studies using the Systematic Review Center for

Laboratory Animal Experiments (SYRCLE) risk of bias tool (10). Any disagreements between the authors were resolved through discussion or with input from a third author, Yang Xing.

2.4.2 Assessment of heterogeneity

Heterogeneity was assessed using the I² statistic. An I² value of \leq 50% indicated small heterogeneity, prompting the use of a fixedeffects model. Conversely, an I² value of > 50% suggested significant heterogeneity, warranting the application of a random-effects model. An I² value of \geq 75% was considered substantial heterogeneity (11).

2.4.3 Data analysis

Data were synthesized using Review Manager (RevMan) version 5.4 software. The standardized mean difference (SMD) with a 95% confidence interval (CI) was employed to analyze continuous data (11). In instances where $I^2 > 50\%$, potential sources of heterogeneity were explored through subgroup analyses and sensitivity analyses within the meta-analysis framework. If $I^2 \ge 5\%$

and the cause of heterogeneity remained undetermined, a descriptive analysis was conducted. Additionally, funnel plots were generated when more than 10 studies were included to assess publication bias.

3 Results

3.1 Study selection

A total of 1873 articles were retrieved from the five databases. Following the removal of 991 duplicates, 860 articles were excluded after reviewing titles and abstracts. The remaining 22 articles were assessed in full independently by two authors. These articles were evaluated based on the predetermined inclusion and exclusion criteria, resulting in the inclusion of 12 studies (9, 12–22). The retrieval process is illustrated in Figure 1.



3.2 Basic characteristics of the included studies

The final analysis comprised 12 studies involving a total of 186 animals. We summarized the key characteristics of the included studies, which are detailed as follows: (1) first author; (2) year of publication; (3) language; (4) species and gender of the animals; (5) age and weight of the animals; (6) duration of ischemia/reperfusion; (7) anesthetic agents used (Table 1).

3.3 Quality assessment of research

The quality of the 12 studies was assessed independently using the 10-item system outlined in the SYRCLE risk of bias tool, and the results are presented in Figure 2. The majority of the studies received scores of 6 or above, indicating satisfactory study quality. All animals were randomized, and complete outcome data were reported in each study, with no indication of selective reporting. However, half of the studies were classified as having unclear randomization. None of the studies specified the methods of blinding or concealment of allocation order implemented for trial caregivers and researchers. Overall, the included studies demonstrated moderate quality.

3.4 Probiotic supplementation attenuated ischemia–reperfusion-induced intestinal injury

To assess the impact of probiotics on intestinal ischemiareperfusion injury, Chiu's score was selected as the primary outcome measure. Five studies evaluated Chiu's score, revealing a significant reduction in the probiotic group compared to the control group, with a mean difference of (-1.83, 95% CI: -2.47 to -1.20, p < 0.0001), suggesting that probiotics attenuated intestinal tissue damage. Notably, heterogeneity ($I^2 = 0$) decreased when Chiu's score was analyzed by the country of study, indicating that geographic variability may have contributed to the heterogeneity observed in Chiu's score (Figure 3).

3.5 Effectiveness of secondary indicators

We examined intestinal levels of MDA, MPO, occludin, ZO-1 and FITC-dextran, as well as the composition of the intestinal microbiota, including Lactobacillus, Bacteroides, and Bifidobacterium. In addition, we assessed serum levels of IL-1 β , IL-6, and TNF- α . These indicators were used as secondary outcome measures.

🖶 Low risk 🕚	Unc	lear ris	ĸ	-	High risk							
	Jakesevic 2011	Wang 2013	Duranti 2018	Hu 2022	Wang 2011	Håkansson 2006	Salim 2013	Gao 2024	Takayama 2022	Tang 2023	Tang 2024	Chen 2024
Sequence generation	+	N	+	+	+	+	N	N	N	N	N	N
Baseline characteristics	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+
Allocation concealment	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Random housing	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	÷	+	+
Blinding of experimentalists	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Random outcome assessment	•	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Blinding of outcome assessors	+	Ŧ	+	+	Ŧ	Ŧ	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	+
Incomplete outcome data	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+
Selective outcome reporting	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Other sources of bias	+	+	+	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	+	+
Total points	7	6	7	7	7	7	5	6	6	6	6	6

Overall quality of included studies assessed with SYRCLE risk of bias assessment tool.

TABLE 1 Characteristics of included studies.

Name	Country	Language	Species / Gender	Age /Weight	I/R duration	Anesthetic	Probiotic strains/ Dosage	Intervention method
Jakesevic 2011 (12)	Sweden	English	BALBcJ /Male	U /20 g	30 min/4 h	7.5 mg Ketamine (50 mg/mL) and 2.5 mg Xylazine (20 mg/ mL)/100 g	<i>L. plantarum</i> HEAL19/1·10 ⁸ cfu per day	Feed
Wang 2013 (13)	China	English	BALBc /Male	6 ~ 8w /20 ~ 25 g	60 min/3 h	Ketamine (120 mg/kg, intraperitoneally)	Bifidobacteria/1·10 ⁹ cells in 0.2 mL for 2w	Oral ingestion
Duranti 2018 (14)	Italy	English	Swiss /Female	U /18 ~ 25 g	45 min/5 h	Pentobarbital (70 mg/kg i.p.)	<i>B. bifidum</i> PRL2010/10 ⁹ cells per day for 5d	U
Hu 2022 (15)	China	English	C57BL6J /Male	6 ~ 8w /20 ~ 25 g	60 min/2 h	4% Isoflurane	Lactobacillus murinus/7d with 200 $\mu L~6.8 \times 10^8~CFU$	Gavage
Wang 2011 (16)	China	English	SD /Female	6 mth /180 ~ 220 g	30 min/4 h	Ketamine (100 mg/kg, i.p.)	<i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i> L2/14d with 2*10 ¹⁰ CFU	Gavage
Håkansson 2006 (17)	Sweden	English	BALBcJ /Male	U /20 g	30 min/4 h	7.5 mg Ketamine (50 mg/mL) and 2.5 mg Xylazine (20 mg/ mL)/100 g	<i>L. plantarum</i> DSM 9843/1 mL,10° CFU	U
Salim 2013 (18)	Canada	English	129SvEv /Male	U	60 min/2 h	ketamine (120 mg/kg) and xylazine (16 mg/kg)	VSL#3 probiotics/3 mg/mL	Gavage
Gao 2024 (19)	China	English	C57BL6J /Male	6 ~ 8w /U	30 min/U	Isoflurane	Akkermansia muciniphila 200 μL 1*10º CFUs/mL	Oral ingestion
Takayama 2022 (20)	Japan	English	ICR/ Male	5w/U	30 min /24 h	ketamine (100 mg/kg) and xylazine (10 mg/kg)	<i>Lactobacillus bulgaricus</i> and Streptococcus thermophiles	Feed
Tang 2023 (21)	China	English	SD /Male	8w/U	45 min/2 h	U	Lactiplantibacillus plantarum GL001 1*10º CFU/mL per day	Oral ingestion
Tang 2024 (9)	China	English	SD /Male	8w/U	45 min/2 h	U	Bifidobacterium longum GL001 1*10° CFU/mL per day	Oral ingestion
Chen 2024 (22)	China	English	C57BL6J /Male	8–10w/U	30 min/6 h	2.5% 2,2,2-Tribromoethanol 100–150 μL	Saccharomyces cerevisiae (ATCC204991) 108 CFU	Gavage

SD, Sprague–Dawley; ICR, Institute of Cancer Research; U, unclear; min, minute(s); h, hour.

		iotics		Con				Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	
1.2.1 China										
Chen 2024	1.8	0.77	6	3	0.61	6	21.5%	-1.59 [-2.97, -0.22]		
Hu 2022	2.31	0.68	8	4.17	0.686	6	17.2%	-2.55 [-4.09, -1.01]		
Wang 2011	2.31	0.68	8	4.17	0.79	8	21.7%	-2.39 [-3.75, -1.02]		
Wang 2013	2.136929	0.54		3.7344398	0.7	8	21.5%			
Subtotal (95% CI)			30			28	81.9%	-2.22 [-2.92, -1.52]	◆	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	1.11, df =	3 (P =	0.77); 1	$^{2} = 0\%$						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 6.18 (F	< 0.0	0001)							
1.2.2 Italy										
Duranti 2018	4.25	0.5	4	4.33	1.15	3	18.1%	-0.08 [-1.58, 1.42]		
Subtotal (95% CI)			4			3	18.1%	-0.08 [-1.58, 1.42]		
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable									
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.11 (F	P = 0.9	1)							
Fotal (95% CI)			34			31	100.0%	-1.83 [-2.47, -1.20]	◆	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	7.52, df =	4 (P =	0.11);	$^{2} = 47\%$					-4 -2 0 2	+
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.64 (F	P < 0.0	0001)						Favours [Probiotics] Favours [control]	4
Test for subgroup diff	erences: Ch	$i^2 = 6$	41, df	= 1 (P = 0.0)	1), $ ^2 = 1$	84.4%				



3.5.1 Probiotic supplementation attenuated ischemia-reperfusion-induced oxidative stress

Five studies assessed MDA as a marker of oxidative stress. MDA served as a measure of oxidative stress, and our analysis of all literature measuring MDA indicated that MDA levels decreased in the probiotic group compared to the control group (-2.46, 95% CI: -4.62 to -0.30, p = 0.03) (Figure 4A). Additionally, elevated levels of MPO were associated with increased oxidative stress. Two studies analyzed MPO levels, and the probiotic group demonstrated a reduction in MPO expression (-0.97, 95% CI: -1.77 to -0.17; p = 0.02) compared to controls (Figure 4B).

3.5.2 Probiotic supplementation attenuated ischemia–reperfusion-induced intestinal barrier disruption

Six studies evaluated the expression of tight junction (TJ) proteins (occludin and ZO-1), three studies examined the levels of FITC-dextran, an indicator of intestinal permeability. Integrated analyses demonstrated a significant increase in the expression levels of occludin (2.83, 95% CI: 1.46 to 4.20, p < 0.0001) and ZO-1 (3.30, 95% CI: 1.58 to 5.01, p = 0.0002) in the probiotic group compared to controls (Figures 5A,B). Figure 5C showed that the level of FITC-dextran (-3.83, 95% CI: -5.83 to -2.29, p < 0.0001) was



significantly lower in the probiotic group compared to the control group, which suggested that intestinal permeability was reduced and the intestinal barrier was protected by probiotic supplementation. In addition, subgroup analyses revealed a reduction in the heterogeneity of the occludin parameter, while the heterogeneity of the ZO-1 parameter decreased in the 45-min and 60-min ischemia groups. This suggests that different ischemic durations may contribute to the heterogeneity observed in the detection of TJ proteins.

3.5.3 Probiotic supplementation increased the relative abundance of intestinal Lactobacillus and Bacteroides

Four studies investigated the relative abundance of Lactobacillus, three studies assessed the levels of Bacteroides, and two studies examined the levels of Bifidobacteria. The findings indicated a slight increase in the relative abundance of Lactobacillus (0.90, 95% CI: 0.33 to 1.48, p = 0.002) and Bacteroides (0.81, 95% CI: 0.14 to 1.49, p = 0.02) in the probiotic group. Although Bifidobacteria exhibited an

	Probiotics	Cont	rol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean SD T	otal Mean	SD 1	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
1.6.1 ischemic 30min							
Jakesevic 2011	6.01 0.46	9 5.34		9	29.2%		
Takayama 2022	26.1 6.3	3 23.5	6.3	3	12.3%		
Wang 2011 Subtotal (95% CI)	8.66 1.73	8 8.23 20	1.36	8 20	33.6% 75.1%	0.26 [-0.72, 1.25] 0.71 [0.05, 1.37]	
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 2$ Test for overall effect: 2				20	73.170	0.71 [0.03, 1.37]	
1.6.2 ischemic 60min							
	7.8655462 0.66	8 6.9579832	0.48	8	24.9%	1.49 [0.34, 2.63]	
Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: 2		8		8	24.9%	1.49 [0.34, 2.63]	
Total (95% CI)		28		28	100.0%	0.90 [0.33, 1.48]	
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 3$.91, df = 3 (P = 0.2						
Test for overall effect: Test for subgroup diffe			, I ² = 2	4.5%			-2 -1 0 1 2 Favours [Probiotics] Favours [control]
	Probiotics	Con	trol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup		Total Mean		Total	Weight		IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
1.7.1 ischemic 30min							
Takayama 2022	10.6 2.8	3 10.2	2.8	3	17.9%	0.11 [-1.49, 1.72]	
Wang 2011	8.92 2.69		3.36	8	44.0%		
Subtotal (95% Cl) Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0 Test for overall effect: 2		11 3); $l^2 = 0\%$		11	61.9%	0.55 [-0.31, 1.41]	
1.7.2 ischemic 60min							
Wang 2013	8.80672269 0.85	8 7.7478991	0.76	8	38.1%	1.24 [0.14, 2.34]	_
Subtotal (95% CI)		8		8	38.1%	1.24 [0.14, 2.34]	
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: 2							
Total (95% CI)		19		19	100.0%	0.81 [0.14, 1.49]	-
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 1$.34, df = 2 (P = 0.5	1); $I^2 = 0\%$					-4 -2 0 2 4
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.35 (P = 0.02)						-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours [Probiotics] Favours [control]
Test for subgroup diffe	rences: $Chi^2 = 0.94$,	df = 1 (P = 0.33),	$I^2 = 09$	6			
	Probiotics	Control			Std.	Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup			Total	Weig		, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Wang 2011	8.97 1.92	8 7.84 3.03	8	52.9		0.42 [-0.57, 1.42]	
Wang 2013	8.72 0.57	8 7.15 0.62	8	47.1		2.49 [1.10, 3.89]	-
Total (95% CI)	1	16	16	100.0)%	1.40 [-0.63, 3.42]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =							
Test for overall effect			-/,1 -	02/0			-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours [Probiotics] Favours [control]

increase in relative abundance (1.40, p = 0.18), this result was not statistically significant (Figures 6A–C).

3.5.4 Probiotic supplementation reduced serum inflammatory factor levels

Three studies evaluated serum levels of IL-1 β , while five studies assessed serum levels of TNF- α and IL-6. The probiotic group demonstrated a significant reduction in serum IL-6 (-2.19, 95% CI: -3.98 to -0.39, p = 0.02) and TNF- α (-2.24, 95% CI: -4.15 to -0.33, p = 0.02) compared to the control group (Figures 7B,C). Although IL-1 β levels were reduced in the probiotic group, the decrease was not statistically significant (p = 0.08) (Figure 7A). However, subgroup analysis based on ischemia duration revealed a statistically significant reduction in IL-1 β levels in the 45-min ischemia group (-4.92, 95% CI: -8.93 to -0.91, p = 0.02).

4 Discussion

This review provides a comprehensive summary of all preclinical studies investigating the efficacy of probiotics for the treatment of intestinal IRI. While animal experiments have inherent limitations, the findings still provide valuable guidance for the design of clinical trials. Our review included 12 different studies that examined the beneficial effects of probiotics on intestinal IRI. The results showed that animals with intestinal IRI showed an increase in the relative abundance of intestinal bacteria, especially Lactobacillus and Lactobacillus, after probiotic supplementation; the integrity of the intestinal barrier was also protected to some extent, with a significant reduction in intestinal mucosal damage and a lessened inflammatory response. The following are possible mechanisms by which probiotics ameliorate intestinal damage:



4.1 Anti-oxidative stress

Oxidative stress, characterized by an imbalance between pro-oxidants and antioxidants, plays a pivotal role in the pathophysiology of intestinal IRI. It enhances neutrophil infiltration and leads to increased secretion of pro-inflammatory proteins. During ischemic episodes, mitochondria generate substantial quantities of reactive oxygen species (ROS), including superoxide anions (O2 \cdot -), hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), and hydroxyl radicals (\cdot OH) (23, 24). Upon restoration of blood flow to the intestinal tissue, a significant

influx of oxygen activates oxidative stress through ROS, thereby initiating a cascade of signaling pathways and inflammatory responses (25).

Lipids represent a major class of molecules affected by oxidative stress, undergoing peroxidation and yielding various secondary products, with MDA serving as a common biomarker for oxidative stress and cellular membrane damage (24). Our findings demonstrated that probiotics lowered MDA levels and alleviated oxidative stress damage in intestinal tissues subjected to IRI (9, 14, 21). Moreover, probiotic supplementation was shown to reduce levels of MPO (14, 18) and ROS (9, 21). Elevated MPO activity promoted ROS production, thereby exacerbating lipid peroxidation and elevating MDA levels (26). The correlations observed in these parameters underscore a significant relationship between probiotic therapy and the management of oxidative stress in the context of intestinal IRI.

4.2 Protection of the intestinal barrier

The intestinal epithelial cells are integral to maintaining a barrier that facilitates nutrient absorption while simultaneously protecting the body from microbial invasion (27). The functionality of this epithelial barrier is critically dependent on TJ proteins, which are essential for the integrity of the mechanical barrier. Intestinal IRI can compromise this barrier through various mechanisms, including the action of pro-inflammatory cytokines and the augmented production of ROS, resulting in increased intestinal permeability and a reduction in TJ proteins such as ZO-1 and occludin (28, 29).

Occludin and ZO-1 are vital components of TJ proteins that regulate the proliferation and survival of epithelial cells. Notably, the overexpression of occludin has been shown to enhance epithelial barrier function (30). ZO-1, a member of the membrane-associated guanylate kinase homolog family, plays a crucial role in connecting TJ membrane proteins to the cytoskeleton, in collaboration with ZO-2 and ZO-3 (31). Probiotics have been demonstrated to have beneficial effects on the integrity of the intestinal barrier, for instance, Lactobacillus plantarum HNU082 alleviates ulcerative colitis by modulating gut microbiota and its metabolites, leading to increased expression of ZO-1 and occludin (32). This protective effect is facilitated by the U5 domain of probiotics, which is essential for maintaining the integrity of apical membranes and microvilli (33). In our compilation of studies examining the effects of probiotics on animals with intestinal IRI, results showed that probiotic supplementation led to a significant increase in the levels of TJ proteins ZO-1 and occludin (9, 13, 15, 19, 21), thereby enhancing the integrity of the intestinal barrier and confirming the beneficial impacts of probiotics.

In addition, FITC-dextran, diamine oxidase (DAO), and D-lactic (D-LAC) serve as important biomarkers for assessing intestinal barrier function. A compromised intestinal barrier permits the translocation of intestinal bacteria and undigested food components into the bloodstream, leading to elevated serum levels of DAO (34). Similarly, the presence of elevated D-lactic acid, a byproduct of bacterial fermentation, and increased levels of FITC-dextran, a macromolecular glycan, indicate impaired intestinal barrier function (35, 36). Therefore, the monitoring of these biomarkers is crucial for

evaluating intestinal barrier integrity. Our results indicate that probiotic treatment significantly reduced the levels of FITC-dextran (15, 19, 22), serum DAO, and D-LAC (9, 21), thereby protecting the intestinal mucosal barrier from IRI-induced functional impairment.

4.3 Regulation of intestinal flora abundance

The intestinal microbiota comprises a diverse array of microorganisms that play a crucial role in gut health and are closely associated with intestinal IRI. Major microbial groups within the intestinal flora include Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium, Bacteroides, and *Escherichia coli*, among others (37). Intestinal IRI disrupts the microenvironment, resulting in notable alterations in microbial composition, characterized by an increase in pathogenic organisms such as *E. coli* and *Clostridium difficile*, alongside a reduction in beneficial flora like Lactobacillus (1).

Maintaining the stability of the intestinal microbiota is essential for preserving gut homeostasis. Our findings indicated that probiotics significantly enhanced the abundance of beneficial genera, notably Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium, and Bacteroides (12, 13, 16, 20). Specifically, probiotics were shown to increase *Lactobacillus murinus* while concurrently decreasing levels of Prevotella (15, 20). These regulatory effects contribute to the maintenance of a balanced intestinal microenvironment, reducing bacterial translocation and mitigating epithelial cell damage in intestinal tissues subjected to ischemia–reperfusion.

4.4 Anti-inflammatory

The inflammatory response serves as a critical mechanism in the pathophysiology of intestinal IRI. During episodes of IRI, there is a marked infiltration of leukocytes and neutrophils, which produce a range of chemokines and inflammatory mediators that compromise intestinal barrier function (38). This process activates pivotal cellular signaling pathways, including the nuclear factor kappa B (NF-KB) and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways. In the NF-ĸB signaling pathway, the IKB kinase complex becomes activated, leading to the phosphorylation and degradation of the IkB protein. This action allows NF-kB transcription factors to translocate to the nucleus, where they form active transcription complexes (39). Conversely, the MAPK pathway is triggered via the Raf-MEK-ERK cascade, facilitating the phosphorylation of various MAPKs (e.g., ERK, JNK, p38), which then enter the nucleus to activate transcription factors (40, 41). Activation of these pathways ultimately results in increased mRNA expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and interleukin-1 beta (IL-1 β). These mediators then enter the systemic circulation, fostering a systemic inflammatory response (39, 42). Our studies demonstrated that probiotics mitigated inflammatory damage induced by ischemiareperfusion by reducing the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines, including TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-1β (9, 13, 16, 21). Notably, a study by Salim et al. reported a slight increase in TNF- α , IL-6, and IL-1β levels post-probiotic supplementation compared to the control group; however, this increase was not statistically significant (18). This discrepancy may be attributed to the use of a mixed probiotic formulation in Salim's study rather than a focused single strain approach.

In summary, probiotics can exert protective effects on intestinal IRI animal models through multiple mechanisms. However, due to the potential differences between animal models and humans in terms of immune system response, gut microbiota composition, and intestinal barrier function, there are still many challenges in applying probiotics to the clinical improvement of injuries caused by intestinal ischemia in patients, and more clinical trials are needed to verify its feasibility.

5 Advantages and limitations of this review

5.1 Strengths

This review has several notable strengths. First, it represents the first meta-analysis of preclinical studies investigating the effects of probiotics on intestinal ischemia–reperfusion injury. Second, we comprehensively evaluated the role of probiotics in various aspects, including oxidative stress, intestinal barrier integrity, gut microbiota composition, and inflammatory factors. This multifaceted approach provides a valuable reference for future preclinical studies and clinical treatments related to intestinal IRI.

5.2 Limitations

Despite its strengths, this review has certain limitations. First, the studies included varied in terms of animal models, duration of modeling, and types of probiotic strains used, which may have influenced the results to some extent. Second, the overall number of animals included in the studies reviewed is relatively small, which may impact outcomes. Last, the limited number of studies included in this review precluded the possibility of assessing publication bias, hindering further validation of our findings.

6 Conclusion and perspectives

In conclusion, this review evaluated the effects of probiotic supplementation on intestinal IRI in animal studies. The results indicate that probiotic therapy reduces inflammation and oxidative stress, enhances the expression of TJ proteins—particularly occludin and ZO-1—and increases the relative abundance of beneficial intestinal bacteria, thereby mitigating the impact of intestinal IRI. Moreover, these findings offer valuable insights for the design of clinical trials, particularly concerning the use of probiotics from Lactobacillus and Bacteroides to elective intestinal surgery to prevent ischemia–reperfusion injury in the intestines during the surgical procedure.

In addition to these findings, the studies included in this review also examined the effects of probiotics on various other factors. Notably, one study found that probiotic supplementation elevated the levels of several short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), including acetate, propionate, butyrate, and valerate, in mice compared to controls. This suggests that probiotic therapy may significantly influence SCFA production, indicating that the underlying mechanisms merit further investigation. These insights could offer new perspectives for clinical trials and therapeutic approaches targeting intestinal IRI.

Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found at: the authors will supply the relevant data in response to reasonable requests.

Author contributions

ZZ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YWu: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Software, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YL: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. LC: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YWa: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. DL: Data curation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YX: Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 82260381).

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank review manager service utilized to create all forest plots, and WebPlotDigitizer service utilized to get numeric data.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The authors declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations,

References

1. Chen J, Wang Y, Shi Y, Liu Y, Wu C, Luo Y. Association of gut Microbiota with Intestinal Ischemia/reperfusion injury. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol.* (2022) 12:962782. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2022.962782

2. Zhong J, Sun Y, Han Y, Chen X, Li H, Ma Y, et al. Hydrogen sulfide-loaded microbubbles combined with ultrasound mediate thrombolysis and simultaneously mitigate ischemia-reperfusion injury in a rat hindlimb model. *J Thromb Haemost*. (2021) 19:738–52. doi: 10.1111/jth.15110

3. Gutiérrez-Sánchez G, García-Alonso I, de Santa María GS, Alonso-Varona A, de la Parte BH. Antioxidant-based therapy reduces early-stage intestinal ischemia-reperfusion injury in rats. *Antioxidants*. (2021) 10:853. doi: 10.3390/antiox10060853

4. Yoshiya K, Lapchak PH, Thai TH, Kannan L, Rani P, Lucca JJD, et al. Depletion of gut commensal bacteria attenuates intestinal ischemia/reperfusion injury. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol*. (2011) 301:G1020–30. doi: 10.1152/ajpgi.00239.2011

5. Nami Y, Vaseghi Bakhshayesh R, Mohammadzadeh Jalaly H, Lotfi H, Eslami S, Hejazi MA. Probiotic properties of Enterococcus isolated from artisanal dairy products. *Front Microbiol.* (2019) 10:300. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.00300

6. Miri ST, Sotoodehnejadnematalahi F, Amiri MM, Pourshafie MR, Rohani M. The impact of Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium probiotic cocktail on modulation of gene expression of gap junctions dysregulated by intestinal pathogens. *Arch Microbiol.* (2022) 204:417. doi: 10.1007/s00203-022-03026-1

 Simon E, Călinoiu LF, Mitrea L, Vodnar DC. Probiotics, prebiotics, and synbiotics: implications and beneficial effects against irritable bowel syndrome. *Nutrients*. (2021) 13:2112. doi: 10.3390/nu13062112

8. Bravo JA, Forsythe P, Chew MV, Escaravage E, Savignac HM, Dinan TG, et al. Ingestion of Lactobacillus strain regulates emotional behavior and central GABA receptor expression in a mouse via the vagus nerve. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2011) 108:16050–5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1102999108

9. Tang J, Zhao M, Miao X, Chen H, Zhao B, Wang Y, et al. *Bifidobacterium longum* GL001 alleviates rat intestinal ischemia-reperfusion injury by modulating gut microbiota composition and intestinal tissue metabolism. *Food Funct.* (2024) 15:3653–68. doi: 10.1039/d3fo03669c

 Hooijmans CR, Rovers MM, de Vries RB, Leenaars M, Ritskes-Hoitinga M, Langendam MW. SYRCLE's risk of bias tool for animal studies. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. (2014) 14:43. doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-14-43

11. Huang BH, Guo ZW, Lv BH, Zhao X, Li YB, Lv WL. A role for curcumin in preventing liver fibrosis in animals: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Pharmacol.* (2024) 15:1396834. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2024.1396834

12. Jakesevic M, Aaby K, Borge GI, Jeppsson B, Ahrné S, Molin G. Antioxidative protection of dietary bilberry, chokeberry and *Lactobacillus plantarum* HEAL19 in mice subjected to intestinal oxidative stress by ischemia-reperfusion. *BMC Complement Altern Med.* (2011) 11:8. doi: 10.1186/1472-6882-11-8

13. Wang H, Zhang W, Zuo L, Zhu W, Wang B, Li Q, et al. Bifidobacteria may be beneficial to intestinal microbiota and reduction of bacterial translocation in mice following ischaemia and reperfusion injury. *Br J Nutr.* (2013) 109:1990–8. doi: 10.1017/s0007114512004308

14. Duranti S, Vivo V, Zini I, Milani C, Mangifesta M, Anzalone R, et al. *Bifidobacterium bifidum* PRL2010 alleviates intestinal ischemia/reperfusion injury. *PLoS One.* (2018) 13:e0202670. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0202670

15. Hu J, Deng F, Zhao B, Lin Z, Sun Q, Yang X, et al. *Lactobacillus murinus* alleviate intestinal ischemia/reperfusion injury through promoting the release of interleukin-10 from M2 macrophages via toll-like receptor 2 signaling. *Microbiome*. (2022) 10:38. doi: 10.1186/s40168-022-01227-w

16. Wang B, Huang Q, Zhang W, Li N, Li J. *Lactobacillus plantarum* prevents bacterial translocation in rats following ischemia and reperfusion injury. *Dig Dis Sci.* (2011) 56:3187–94. doi: 10.1007/s10620-011-1747-2

17. Håkansson A, Stene C, Mihaescu A, Molin G, Ahrné S, Thorlacius H, et al. Rose hip and *Lactobacillus plantarum* DSM 9843 reduce ischemia/reperfusion injury in the mouse colon. *Dig Dis Sci.* (2006) 51:2094–101. doi: 10.1007/s10620-006-9170-9

or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed.2025.1546650/ full#supplementary-material

18. Salim SY, Young PY, Lukowski CM, Madsen KL, Sis B, Churchill TA. VSL#3 probiotics provide protection against acute intestinal ischaemia/reperfusion injury. *Benef Microbes.* (2013) 4:357–65. doi: 10.3920/bm2013.0026

19. Gao X, Lin C, Feng Y, You Y, Jin Z, Li M, et al. Akkermansia muciniphila-derived small extracellular vesicles attenuate intestinal ischemia-reperfusion-induced postoperative cognitive dysfunction by suppressing microglia activation via the TLR2/4 signaling. Biochim Biophys Acta, Mol Cell Res. (2024) 1871:119630. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2023.119630

20. Takayama H, Fukatsu K, Takahashi K, Noguchi M, Watkins A, Matsumoto N, et al. Influences of a fermented milk with Lactobacillus bulgaricus and Streptococcus thermophiles on gut associated lymphoid tissue, mucosal IgA, and gut flora in mice. *Clin Nutr Open Sci.* (2022) 42:36–48. doi: 10.1016/j.nutos.2022.01.007

21. Tang JL, Zhao MC, Chen H, Zhao BE, Wang YY, Guo YC, et al. Lactiplantibacillus plantarum GL001 alleviates jejunal oxidative damage induced by intestinal ischemiareperfusion injury by influencing jejunal tissue metabolism through the improvement of jejunal microbial composition. *Life Sci.* (2023) 334:122234. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2023.122234

22. Chen Y, Han B, Guan X, du G, Sheng B, Tang X, et al. Enteric fungi protect against intestinal ischemia-reperfusion injury via inhibiting the SAA1-GSDMD pathway. *J Adv Res.* (2024) 61:223–37. doi: 10.1016/j.jare.2023.09.008

23. Han X, Yao W, Liu Z, Li H, Zhang ZJ, Hei Z, et al. Lipoxin A4 preconditioning attenuates intestinal ischemia reperfusion injury through Keap1/Nrf2 pathway in a Lipoxin A4 receptor independent manner. *Oxidative Med Cell Longev*. (2016) 2016:9303606. doi: 10.1155/2016/9303606

24. Bhattacharyya A, Chattopadhyay R, Mitra S, Crowe SE. Oxidative stress: an essential factor in the pathogenesis of gastrointestinal mucosal diseases. *Physiol Rev.* (2014) 94:329–54. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00040.2012

25. Wang F, Huang H, Wei X, Tan P, Wang Z, Hu Z. Targeting cell death pathways in intestinal ischemia-reperfusion injury: a comprehensive review. *Cell Death Discov.* (2024) 10:112. doi: 10.1038/s41420-024-01891-x

26. Quinn M, Zhang RYK, Bello I, Rye KA, Thomas SR. Myeloperoxidase as a promising therapeutic target after myocardial infarction. *Antioxidants*. (2024) 13:788. doi: 10.3390/antiox13070788

27. Odenwald MA, Turner JR. The intestinal epithelial barrier: a therapeutic target? *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2017) 14:9–21. doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2016.169

28. Gao J, Wang Y, Jia Z, Xue J, Zhou T, Zu G. (–)-Epigallocatechin-3-gallate promotes intestinal epithelial proliferation and barrier function after ischemia/reperfusion injury via activation of Nurr1. *Pharm Biol.* (2023) 61:1310–7. doi: 10.1080/13880209.2023.2245445

29. Ejima R, Mishima R, Sen A, Yamaguchi K, Mitsuyama E, Kaneko H, et al. The impact of fermented Milk products containing *Bifidobacterium longum* BB536 on the gut environment: a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *Nutrients.* (2024) 16:3580. doi: 10.3390/nu16213580

30. Buschmann MM, Shen L, Rajapakse H, Raleigh DR, Wang Y, Wang Y, et al. Occludin OCEL-domain interactions are required for maintenance and regulation of the tight junction barrier to macromolecular flux. *Mol Biol Cell*. (2013) 24:3056–68. doi: 10.1091/mbc.E12-09-0688

31. Heinemann U, Schuetz A. Structural features of tight-junction proteins. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2019) 20:6020. doi: 10.3390/ijms20236020

32. Wu Y, Jha R, Li A, Liu H, Zhang Z, Zhang C, et al. Probiotics (*Lactobacillus plantarum* HNU082) supplementation relieves ulcerative colitis by affecting intestinal barrier functions, immunity-related gene expression, gut microbiota, and metabolic pathways in mice. *Microbiol Spectr.* (2022) 10:e0165122. doi: 10.1128/spectrum.01651-22

33. Odenwald MA, Choi W, Kuo WT, Singh G, Sailer A, Wang Y, et al. The scaffolding protein ZO-1 coordinates actomyosin and epithelial apical specializations in vitro and in vivo. *J Biol Chem.* (2018) 293:17317–35. doi: 10.1074/jbc.RA118.003908

34. He S, Bian G, Guo Y, Guo J. Hesperidin helps improve the intestinal structure, maintain barrier function, and reduce inflammation in yellow-feathered broilers exposed to high temperatures. *Animals.* (2024) 14:2585. doi: 10.3390/ani14172585

35. Remund B, Yilmaz B, Sokollik C. D-lactate: implications for gastrointestinal diseases. *Children*. (2023) 10:945. doi: 10.3390/children10060945

36. Liu J, Teng PY, Kim WK, Applegate TJ. Assay considerations for fluorescein isothiocyanate-dextran (FITC-d): an indicator of intestinal permeability in broiler chickens. *Poult Sci.* (2021) 100:101202. doi: 10.1016/j.psj.2021.101202

37. Adak A, Khan MR. An insight into gut microbiota and its functionalities. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* (2019) 76:473–93. doi: 10.1007/s00018-018-2943-4

38. Kvietys PR, Granger DN. Role of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species in the vascular responses to inflammation. *Free Radic Biol Med.* (2012) 52:556–92. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2011.11.002

39. Mitchell S, Vargas J, Hoffmann A. Signaling via the NFKB system. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Syst Biol Med. (2016) 8:227-41. doi: 10.1002/wsbm.1331

40. Sun Y, Liu WZ, Liu T, Feng X, Yang N, Zhou HF. Signaling pathway of MAPK/ERK in cell proliferation, differentiation, migration, senescence and apoptosis. *J Recept Signal Transduct Res.* (2015) 35:600–4. doi: 10.3109/10799893.2015.1030412

41. Hammouda MB, Ford AE, Liu Y, Zhang JY. The JNK signaling pathway in inflammatory skin disorders and cancer. *Cells.* (2020) 9:857. doi: 10.3390/cells9040857

42. Vidya MK, Kumar VG, Sejian V, Bagath M, Krishnan G, Bhatta R. Toll-like receptors: significance, ligands, signaling pathways, and functions in mammals. *Int Rev Immunol.* (2018) 37:20–36. doi: 10.1080/08830185.2017.1380200