Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Lei Qin, University of International Business and Economics, China

REVIEWED BY Cesare Miranda, Santa Maria degli Angeli Hospital Pordenone, Italy Vimolmas Tansathitaya, Mahidol University, Thailand

*CORRESPONDENCE

Bin Wang i wangbin197954@163.com Chu Chu i 2711001483@qq.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 18 April 2025 ACCEPTED 11 July 2025 PUBLISHED 21 July 2025

CITATION

Wu Z, Dong J, Li M, Chu C and Wang B (2025) The relationship between at-risk foot and all-cause and cardiovascular mortality among the population with diabetes. *Front. Med.* 12:1584949. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2025.1584949

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Wu, Dong, Li, Chu and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The relationship between at-risk foot and all-cause and cardiovascular mortality among the population with diabetes

Zhe Wu^{1†}, Jin Dong^{2†}, Meng Li³, Chu Chu⁴* and Bin Wang⁵*

¹The First Clinical College, Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Jinan, China, ²Department of Emergency, The Changqing District People's Hospital, Jinan, China, ³Department of Endocrine, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Jinan, China, ⁴Innovative Institute of Chinese Medicine and Pharmacy, Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Jinan, China, ⁵Department of Vascular Surgery, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Jinan, China

Background: Diabetic foot (DF) is a common complication among people with diabetes, typically caused by peripheral neuropathy (PN) and/or peripheral arterial disease (PAD) of the lower limbs. The existing research mainly focuses on cases of diabetic foot ulcers, while the relationship between at-risk foot and all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in the general U.S. population remains unclear.

Methods: This study utilized data from National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 1999–2004 to conduct a cohort study. At-risk foot are defined as those in diabetic people who have concurrent PAD and/or PN, and without the presence of chronic ulcers in the lower extremities. Kaplan–Meier survival analysis and Multivariable Cox regression models were used to analyze the association between at-risk foot and all-cause and cardiovascular mortality, with subgroup analyses conducted.

Results: A total of 946 participants were included in the study, of which 301 had at-risk foot. The median follow-up time was 190 months. Multivariable Cox regression analysis showed that the all-cause mortality (HR: 2.050, 95% CI: 1.524, 2.758) and cardiovascular mortality (HR: 2.494, 95% CI: 1.809, 3.438) in at-risk foot people were significantly higher than in those without at-risk foot. Additionally, people with ischemic at-risk foot had a higher risk of mortality compared to those with non-ischemic neuropathic at-risk foot.

Conclusion: Patients with at-risk foot in the diabetic population are significantly associated with increased all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. Early diagnosis and intervention of PAD and PN in diabetes people are crucial for reducing mortality risk.

KEYWORDS

diabetic foot, at-risk foot, peripheral arterial disease, peripheral neuropathy, mortality

Introduction

Diabetic foot (DF) is a serious complication of diabetes caused by peripheral neuropathy (PN) and/or varying degrees of vascular disease (1–3). Clinical manifestations primarily include pain, sensory abnormalities, and motor dysfunction in the lower limbs. As the disease progresses, patients often develop chronic, non-healing foot ulcers and may experience

gangrene, which can ultimately lead to amputation or even death in severe cases (4, 5). According to the International Diabetes Federation (IDF), over 500 million people globally have diabetes, and by 2045, this number is expected to exceed 700 million (6). As the prevalence of diabetes continues to rise, DF has become a major public health challenge, particularly among aging populations (7). Statistics indicate that approximately 34% of diabetic people will develop DF ulcers (8). About 10% of DF people die within 1 year of ulceration (9, 10), and the five-year mortality rate for DF ulcer people can reach 50–70%, exceeding that of many cancers (4).

We usually call diabetes people with peripheral neuropathy or peripheral arterial disease but without chronic ulcer of lower limbs as "at-risk foot" people. A cohort study of newly diagnosed diabetes patients over 18 years old in the UK showed that the long-term mortality rate of diabetes patients with foot complications was significantly higher (11). However, most of the existing studies focus on people with diabetes foot ulcers in hospitals or clinics (12-15). Research on at-risk foot in the general population is limited, and there is currently a lack of studies examining the relationship between at-risk foot and mortality in the general U.S. population. The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) is a nationally representative project based on the general population in the United States. All NHANES data is publicly available, allowing global researchers to use appropriate statistical techniques for secondary analysis. Relevant examination data on lower limb diseases are provided in NHANES 1999-2004. Based on this, our study intends to use the lower limb disease related data from NHANES 1999-2004 to explore the relationship between at-risk foot and all-cause and cardiovascular mortality among individuals with diabetes in the general U.S. population.

Methods

Data source

NHANES is an ongoing survey conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) to assess the nutrition and health status of the non-institutionalized population in the United States. In NHANES 1999–2004, 9,970 participants aged 40 and older underwent lower limb disease examinations. We initially included all participants who participated in lower limb related disease examinations. Exclusion criteria: (1) Participants without ankle brachial index (ABI) measurement (n = 3,020); (2) Participants with ABI > 1.4 (n = 113); (3) Participants without available peripheral neuropathy data (=227); (4) Participants without covariate data (n = 516); (5) Participants without follow-up data (n = 9); (6) Participants with chronic ulcers in the lower limbs (n = 161); (7) Participants without diabetes (n = 4,978). Ultimately, 946 participants were included in the study (Figure 1).

At-risk foot

At-risk foot are defined as those in diabetic people who have concurrent peripheral arterial disease (PAD) and/or PN, and without the presence of chronic ulcers in the lower extremities. Diabetes was defined as fasting blood glucose \geq 7 mmol/L, random blood glucose



 \geq 11.1 mmol/L, HbA1c \geq 6.5%, two-hour OGTT blood glucose \geq 11.1 mmol/L, or a physician's diagnosis or use of hypoglycemic medication.

Participants aged 40 and older were required to undergo lower limb disease examinations. Trained health technicians measured the systolic pressure of the posterior tibial artery on both sides as the systolic ankle pressure and the systolic pressure of the right brachial artery as the systolic arm pressure (if the right arm could not be measured or might affect the results, the left arm was measured). The ABI was calculated as the systolic ankle pressure divided by the systolic arm pressure. PAD was defined as an ankle-brachial index <0.9 on either side. In addition, health technicians used a standard monofilament (5.07 Semmes-Weinstein nylon) to test three areas of each foot (the plantar first metatarsal head, the plantar fifth metatarsal head, and the plantar big toe) to check for sensory abnormalities. PN was defined as having at least one insensitive area on both feet. The prevalence of chronic ulcers in the lower extremities was determined through a questionnaire. Participants were asked, "Have you ever had an ulcer or sore on your leg or foot that took more than 4 weeks to heal?" A "yes" response indicated the presence of chronic lower extremity ulcers.

Outcome assessment

Survival status data and follow-up information for all individuals were collected until December 31, 2019. All-cause mortality was determined using survival data from the National Death Index (NDI). Cardiovascular mortality was identified using ICD-10 codes (I00-I09, I11, I13, I20-I51, I60-I69).

Covariates

Covariates included age, sex, race, body mass index (BMI), total cholesterol, aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), smoking history, drinking history, and whether the participant had hypertension, cardiovascular disease (CVD), or chronic kidney disease (CKD). Hypertension was defined as average systolic pressure \geq 140 mmHg, average diastolic pressure \geq 90 mmHg, or a physician's diagnosis or use of antihypertensive medication. CVD was obtained through self-reporting. CKD was defined as an estimated glomerular filtration rate <60 mL/min/1.73 m².

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using R Studio (version 4.2.1). All statistical analyses were weighted for population data. Continuous variables were compared using t-tests or Mann-Whitney U tests, and categorical variables were compared using chi-squared tests. Continuous variables that followed a normal distribution are represented as mean (standard error), while those that did not are represented as median (interquartile range). Categorical variables are represented as numbers (weighted percentage). Participants were divided into two groups based on whether they had at-risk foot. Additionally, for practical purposes, at-risk foot people were categorized into two types: non-ischemic neuropathic at-risk foot (Group 1) and ischemic at-risk foot (Group 2) (16). Kaplan-Meier (KM) survival analysis was used to study long-term survival among different groups. A multivariable Cox regression model was employed to estimate the relationship between at-risk foot and all-cause and cardiovascular mortality, with subgroup analyses conducted based on age, sex, race, smoking history, drinking history, and the presence of hypertension, CVD, and CKD.

Results

Baseline characteristics

We divided the 946 participants into two groups based on the presence of at-risk foot. There were 301 cases in the at-risk foot group and 645 cases in the non at-risk foot group. Table 1 shows significant differences between the two groups in terms of age, sex, race, ABI, total cholesterol, and the prevalence of hypertension, CKD, and CVD. The median follow-up time for the study was 190 months.

KM analysis

The Kaplan–Meier (KM) curves demonstrate that both all-cause and cardiovascular mortality rates are significantly higher in at-risk foot people compared to those without at-risk foot (Figure 2).

Multivariable cox regression

To further explore the relationship between at-risk foot and all-cause and cardiovascular mortality, we conducted multivariable Cox regression. After full adjustment for covariates, a significant positive association remained between at-risk foot and both all-cause mortality (HR: 2.050, 95% CI: 1.524, 2.758) and cardiovascular mortality (HR: 2.494, 95% CI: 1.809, 3.438). Moreover, compared to the non at-risk foot group, the all-cause (HR: 1.732, 95% CI: 1.237, 2.426) and cardiovascular mortality rates (HR: 2.389, 95% CI: 1.654, 3.451) were significantly elevated in the non-ischemic neuropathic at-risk foot group. People with ischemic at-risk foot exhibited even higher all-cause mortality (HR: 2.590, 95% CI: 1.886, 3.557) and cardiovascular mortality (HR: 2.647, 95% CI: 1.653, 4.238) (Table 2).

Subgroup analysis

Adjustments were made for covariates other than grouping variables in subgroup analysis. The results indicate that the positive correlation between at-risk foot and all-cause mortality remains significant in all subgroups except for the subgroup of participants with no drinking history. The relationship between at-risk foot and cardiovascular mortality remained significant in all subgroups except for the subgroup of participants with CVD (Figure 3).

Sensitivity analysis

After excluding data on deaths occurring within 2 years of follow-up, we re-conducted the multivariable Cox regression, yielding consistent results with the previous findings (Table 3). This indicates that our results are stable.

Discussion

Our study is the first to investigate the association between at-risk foot and all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in diabetic people within the general U.S. population. The results indicate that individuals with at-risk foot among diabetic people have significantly increased risks of all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. Notably, compared to at-risk foot people solely caused by PN, at-risk foot people with PAD face a higher risk of long-term mortality.

Previous studies on DF and mortality have predominantly focused on DF ulcer people, overlooking early DF populations (17–19). Our study indicates that diabetic people with either PAD or PN, even in the absence of chronic lower extremity ulcers, experience significantly increased mortality rates. In fact, DF ulcers do not appear suddenly. Statistics show that the prevalence of PAD among diabetic people is four times that of non-diabetic people (20). Additionally, arterial

TABLE 1 Population characteristics stratified by at-risk foot.

Variable	Non at-risk foot (n = 645)	At-risk foot (n = 301)	<i>p</i> -value
Age (years)	58.000 (50.000, 67.000)	65.000 (56.000, 73.000)	<0.001
Sex			0.001
Male	333 (51.908)	193 (67.442)	
Female	312 (48.092)	108 (32.558)	
Race			0.030
White	262 (65.713)	131 (70.038)	
Black	129 (11.171)	80 (14.836)	
Mexican American	191 (7.623)	74 (6.062)	
Other Race	63 (15.493)	16 (9.065)	
BMI (kg/m ²)	30.612 (0.335)	31.244 (0.460)	0.280
ABI	1.103 (0.005)	0.962 (0.016)	<0.001
ALT (µ/L)	29.199 (1.315)	28.548 (2.208)	0.799
AST (µ/L)	26.100 (1.009)	26.582 (1.472)	0.776
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	212.188 (3.557)	199.553 (3.782)	0.013
Smoking history			0.356
No	292 (45.545)	119 (40.468)	
Yes	353 (54.455)	182 (59.532)	
Drinking history			0.560
No	106 (15.714)	58 (17.581)	
Yes	539 (84.286)	243 (82.419)	
Hypertension			0.016
No	198 (34.861)	71 (23.848)	
Yes	447 (65.139)	230 (76.152)	
CKD			<0.001
No	419 (68.105)	148 (47.117)	
Yes	226 (31.895)	153 (52.883)	
CVD			<0.001
No	519 (82.777)	197 (60.887)	
Yes	126 (17.223)	104 (39.113)	



TABLE 2 Multivariate cox regression analysis of at-risk foot and all-cause and cardiovascular mortality.

Result	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
	HR (95%CI)	<i>p</i> -value	HR (95%CI)	<i>p</i> -value	HR (95%CI)	<i>p</i> -value
All-cause mortality	2.798 (2.107, 3.715)	<0.001	2.197 (1.650, 2.924)	<0.001	2.050 (1.524, 2.758)	<0.001
Non at-risk foot ($n = 645$)	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Group 1 (<i>n</i> = 169)	2.061 (1.504, 2.824)	< 0.001	1.793 (1.282, 2.506)	<0.001	1.732 (1.237, 2.426)	0.001
Group 2 (<i>n</i> = 132)	4.625 (3.557, 6.015)	<0.001	2.974 (2.256, 3.919)	<0.001	2.590 (1.886, 3.557)	< 0.001
Cardiovascular Mortality	3.626 (2.658, 4.948)	< 0.001	2.857 (2.119, 3.850)	<0.001	2.494 (1.809, 3.438)	< 0.001
Non at-risk foot ($n = 645$)	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Group 1 (<i>n</i> = 169)	2.867 (1.900, 4.325)	< 0.001	2.507 (1.703, 3.691)	<0.001	2.389 (1.654, 3.451)	< 0.001
Group 2 (<i>n</i> = 132)	5.480 (3.974, 7.555)	<0.001	3.511 (2.404, 5.130)	<0.001	2.647 (1.653, 4.238)	<0.001

Adjusted variables: Model 1: unadjusted. Model 2: age, sex, race. Model 3: age, sex, race, BMI, smoking history, total cholesterol, ALT, AST, hypertension, CKD, CVD.

Variables	All-Cause Mortality	HR(95%CI)	P for interaction	Cardiovascular Mortality	HR(95%CI)	P for interaction
Age			0.298	1		0.665
< 55	⊢	2.559(1.079,6.065)		⊢	5.139(2.092,12.624)	
55-70	⊢●	2.137(1.515,3.016)		⊢● ──I	2.773(1.512,5.085)	
≥ 70	⊢● →	1.782(1.223,2.595)		⊢● —1	2.098(1.137,3.869)	
Sex			0.775			0.099
Male	⊢● ––1	2.064(1.408,3.026)		⊢● ──1	3.340(2.119,5.263)	
Female	⊢● −−1	2.398(1.708,3.369)		⊢●──┤	2.149(1.293,3.571)	
Race			0.186			0.274
White	⊢● ──	2.466(1.707,3.561)		⊢● →	3.314(2.194,5.006)	
No-White	H•1	1.767(1.277,2.445)		⊦●──I	2.069(1.271,3.367)	
Smoking history	r		0.199			0.931
No	⊢ ●−−−1	2.721(1.791,4.134)		⊢● —-	2.935(1.757,4.904)	
Yes	⊢● −−1	1.963(1.327,2.904)		H 1	3.148(1.890,5.241)	
Drinking history	7		0.288			0.745
No	.	1.692(0.885,3.237)		⊢ ●——i	2.361(1.190,4.682)	
Yes	⊢● !	2.275(1.614,3.206)		⊷	2.856(2.003,4.072)	
Hypertension			0.630			0.057
No	⊢	2.604(1.326,5.116)		\mapsto	8.214(2.709,24.909)	
Yes	⊢● −−1	2.118(1.501,2.989)		H	2.373(1.733,3.249)	
CVD			0.412			0.130
No	→	2.182(1.503,3.168)		H 	3.136(1.866,5.272)	
Yes	⊢● ──1	1.842(1.237,2.743)		•	1.707(0.969,3.008)	
CKD			0.530			0.550
No	⊢ ● − −−1	2.347(1.383,3.984)		H-	3.517(1.816,6.808)	
Yes	⊢●──	1.974(1.446,2.694)		H•	2.462(1.604,3.779)	
	0 2 4 6			0 5 10		
URE 3						
ogroup analysis	S.					

occlusion in diabetic people often occurs below the knee and is typically characterized by long segment occlusions (21). Moreover, the arteries below the knee are relatively narrow, leading to more severe consequences after occlusion. Insufficient foot perfusion due to ischemia is a significant cause of delayed ulcer healing (22, 23). PN is a primary cause of most DF ulcers, with over 82% of DF people exhibiting this condition (24). Our study also indicates a higher prevalence of PN compared to PAD. PN leads to sensory abnormalities in the foot, causing people to be unaware of excessive or repeated pressure, ultimately resulting in foot ulcers (25–28). When DF people develop ulcers, it usually means that the disease has entered the late stage. Despite undergoing revascularization or neurotrophic therapy, the rates of amputation and ulcer recurrence are still relatively high (29–31). Thus, early screening and diagnosis of DF are crucial.

A key strength of our study is its focus on a general population sample rather than hospital or clinic-based cohorts. Few studies have conducted ABI testing and screening for PN in general populations. NHANES provides an excellent sample for investigating disease risk factors and mortality in a true general population. Most DF people in the general population are in the early stages of the disease and have

TABLE 3 Sensitivity analysis.

Result	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
	HR (95%CI)	<i>p</i> -value	HR (95%CI)	<i>p</i> -value	HR (95%CI)	<i>p</i> -value
All-cause mortality	2.737 (2.016, 3.717)	<0.001	2.162 (1.588, 2.944)	<0.001	2.026 (1.476, 2.779)	< 0.001
Non at-risk foot ($n = 630$)	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Group 1 (<i>n</i> = 165)	2.125 (1.529, 2.954)	<0.001	1.847 (1.309, 2.605)	<0.001	1.783 (1.261, 2.521)	0.001
Group 2 (<i>n</i> = 114)	4.311 (3.234, 5.747)	<0.001	2.798 (2.027, 3.861)	<0.001	2.463 (1.722, 3.524)	< 0.001
Cardiovascular Mortality	3.446 (2.473, 4.800)	<0.001	2.780 (2.030, 3.808)	<0.001	2.460 (1.766, 3.428)	< 0.001
Non at-risk foot ($n = 630$)	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Group 1 (<i>n</i> = 165)	2.789 (1.830, 4.250)	<0.001	2.483 (1.687, 3.653)	<0.001	2.389 (1.652, 3.457)	< 0.001
Group 2 (<i>n</i> = 114)	5.092 (3.578, 7.247)	<0.001	3.359 (2.170, 5.199)	<0.001	2.570 (1.542, 4.282)	< 0.001

Adjusted variables: Model 1: unadjusted. Model 2: age, sex, race. Model 3: age, sex, race, BMI, smoking history, total cholesterol, ALT, AST, hypertension, CKD, CVD.

not progressed to ulceration or gangrene. Studies suggest that targeted treatments for early DF people, such as intensive glycemic control, lifestyle changes, and regular screening for foot complications, can prevent disease progression (32–34). Moreover, we further classified high-risk foot people based on whether they were ischemic, which further improved our results. In addition, Because NHANES is linked with the NDI, our research benefits from a sufficiently long follow-up period and accurate mortality causes with minimal loss to follow-up.

Our findings have several important clinical implications. First, even in the absence of DF ulcers, comprehensive screening for PN and PAD in diabetic people is necessary, with targeted treatment for those with abnormal results to reduce long-term mortality risks. Second, our study highlights the importance of patient education in preventing DF complications. Patients should recognize the significance of regular foot examinations and appropriate foot care, with timely treatment of any foot-related issues to prevent more severe complications, including amputations.

Despite the strengths of our study, several limitations should be considered when interpreting the results. First, our research is observational, limiting the ability to determine the specific causal mechanisms linking at-risk foot to increased all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. Secondly, the sample size related to cardiovascular mortality is relatively small, which may introduce some degree of error in the results.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our study indicates that at-risk foot is significantly associated with increased risks of all-cause and cardiovascular mortality, particularly among at-risk foot people with PAD. These findings emphasize the importance of early diagnosis and intervention of PAD and PN in diabetes people to reduce the risk of death. Future research should focus on identifying the most effective strategies for managing at-risk foot in the general population to improve patient outcomes and quality of life.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by National Center for Health Statistics Institutional Review Board. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

ZW: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. JD: Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft. ML: Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft. CC: Conceptualization, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. BW: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by the Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation Innovation and Development Joint Fund (ZR2022LZY011) and the Science and Technology Department of the State Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine (GZY-KJS-SD-2023-046).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The authors declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Schaper NC, van Netten JJ, Apelqvist J, Bus SA, Fitridge R, Game F, et al. Practical guidelines on the prevention and management of diabetes-related foot disease (IWGDF 2023 update). *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.* (2024) 40:e3657. doi: 10.1002/dmrr.3657

2. Mishra SC, Chhatbar KC, Kashikar A, Mehndiratta A. Diabetic foot. BMJ. (2017) 359:j5064. doi: 10.1136/bmj.j5064

3. van Netten JJ, Bus SA, Apelqvist J, Lipsky BA, Hinchliffe RJ, Game F, et al. Definitions and criteria for diabetic foot disease. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.* (2020) 36:e3268. doi: 10.1002/dmrr.3268

4. McDermott K, Fang M, Boulton AJM, Selvin E, Hicks CW. Etiology, epidemiology, and disparities in the burden of diabetic foot ulcers. *Diabetes Care*. (2023) 46:209–21. doi: 10.2337/dci22-0043

5. American Diabetes Association. Preventive foot Care in Diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. (2004) 27:s63–4. doi: 10.2337/diacare.27.2007.S63

6. Sun H, Saeedi P, Karuranga S, Pinkepank M, Ogurtsova K, Duncan BB, et al. IDF diabetes atlas: global, regional and country-level diabetes prevalence estimates for 2021 and projections for 2045. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* (2022) 183:109119. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2021.109119

7. Bandyk DF. The diabetic foot: pathophysiology, evaluation, and treatment. *Semin Vasc Surg.* (2018) 31:43–8. doi: 10.1053/j.semvascsurg.2019.02.001

8. Armstrong DG, Boulton AJM, Bus SA. Diabetic foot ulcers and their recurrence. *N Engl J Med.* (2017) 376:2367–75. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra1615439

9. Hoffstad O, Mitra N, Walsh J, Margolis DJ. Diabetes, lower-extremity amputation, and death. *Diabetes Care*. (2015) 38:1852-7. doi: 10.2337/dc15-0536

10. Meloni M, Izzo V, Giurato L, Lázaro-Martínez JL, Uccioli L. Prevalence, clinical aspects and outcomes in a large cohort of persons with diabetic foot disease: comparison between neuropathic and ischemic ulcers. *J Clin Med.* (2020) 9:1780. doi: 10.3390/jcm9061780

11. Wang Z, Hazlehurst J, Subramanian A, Tahrani AA, Hanif W, Thomas N, et al. Diabetic foot risk classification at the time of type 2 diabetes diagnosis and subsequent risk of mortality: a population-based cohort study. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. (2022) 13:888924. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.888924

 Ghanassia E, Villon L, Thuan Dit Dieudonné J-F, Boegner C, Avignon A, Sultan A. Long-term outcome and disability of diabetic patients hospitalized for diabetic foot ulcers: a 6.5-year follow-up study. *Diabetes Care*. (2008) 31:1288–92. doi: 10.2337/dc07-2145

13. Aragón-Sánchez J, Víquez-Molina G, López-Valverde ME, Aragón-Hernández J, Rojas-Bonilla JM, Murillo-Vargas C. Long-term mortality of a cohort of patients undergoing surgical treatment for diabetic foot infections. An 8-year follow-up study. *Int J Low Extrem Wounds.* (2023) 22:314–20. doi: 10.1177/15347346211009425

14. Morbach S, Furchert H, Gröblinghoff U, Hoffmeier H, Kersten K, Klauke G-T, et al. Long-term prognosis of diabetic foot patients and their limbs: amputation and death over the course of a decade. *Diabetes Care.* (2012) 35:2021–7. doi: 10.2337/dc12-0200

15. Vitale M, Orsi E, Solini A, Garofolo M, Resi V, Bonora E, et al. Independent association of history of diabetic foot with all-cause mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes: the renal insufficiency and cardiovascular events (RIACE) Italian Multicenter study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2024) 23:34. doi: 10.1186/s12933-023-02107-9

16. Edmonds ME. The diabetic foot: pathophysiology and treatment. *Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (1986) 15:889–916. doi: 10.1016/s0300-595x(86)80079-2

17. Chammas NK, Hill RLR, Edmonds ME. Increased mortality in diabetic foot ulcer patients: the significance of ulcer type. *J Diabetes Res.* (2016) 2016:2879809–7. doi: 10.1155/2016/2879809

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

18. Qiu L, Li Y, Yang C, Mao X, Mai L, Zhu L, et al. Influence of foot ulceration on all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in diabetic patients: a case-control study. *J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs.* (2022) 49:175–9. doi: 10.1097/WON.000000000000856

19. Van GH, Amouyal C, Bourron O, Aubert C, Carlier A, Mosbah H, et al. Diabetic foot ulcer management in a multidisciplinary foot Centre: one-year healing, amputation and mortality rate. *J Wound Care*. (2021) 30:S34–41. doi: 10.12968/jowc.2021.30.Sup6.S34

20. Kannel WB, McGee DL. Diabetes and glucose tolerance as risk factors for cardiovascular disease: the Framingham study. *Diabetes Care.* (1979) 2:120–6. doi: 10.2337/diacare.2.2.120

21. Song X-L, Zhu Y-Q, Lu H-T, Liu F, Wei L-M, Kang HK, et al. Predictors for better blood-flow restoration of long-segmental below-the-knee chronic Total occlusions after endovascular therapy in diabetic patients. *Korean J Radiol.* (2016) 17:874–81. doi: 10.3348/kjr.2016.17.6.874

22. Singh N, Armstrong DG, Lipsky BA. Preventing foot ulcers in patients with diabetes. *JAMA*. (2005) 293:217–28. doi: 10.1001/jama.293.2.217

23. Brownrigg JRW, Hinchliffe RJ, Apelqvist J, Boyko EJ, Fitridge R, Mills JL, et al. Performance of prognostic markers in the prediction of wound healing or amputation among patients with foot ulcers in diabetes: a systematic review. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.* (2016) 32:128–35. doi: 10.1002/dmrr.2704

24. Armstrong DG, Lavery LA. Diabetic foot ulcers: prevention, diagnosis and classification. *Am Fam Physician*. (1998) 57:1337–8.

25. Barrell K, Smith AG. Peripheral neuropathy. Med Clin North Am. (2019) 103:383–97. doi: 10.1016/j.mcna.2018.10.006

26. Castelli G, Desai KM, Cantone RE. Peripheral neuropathy: evaluation and differential diagnosis. *Am Fam Physician*. (2020) 102:732–9.

27. Selvarajah D, Kar D, Khunti K, Davies MJ, Scott AR, Walker J, et al. Diabetic peripheral neuropathy: advances in diagnosis and strategies for screening and early intervention. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* (2019) 7:938–48. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(19)30081-6

28. Kirthi V, Perumbalath A, Brown E, Nevitt S, Petropoulos IN, Burgess J, et al. Prevalence of peripheral neuropathy in pre-diabetes: a systematic review. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care*. (2021) 9:e002040. doi: 10.1136/bmjdrc-2020-002040

29. Phirom K, Rerkasem K. High mortality in patients with an ischemic foot ulcer following revascularization. *Int J Low Extrem Wounds*. (2024) 23:43–8. doi: 10.1177/15347346231204237

30. Boulton AJM, Vileikyte L, Ragnarson-Tennvall G, Apelqvist J. The global burden of diabetic foot disease. *Lancet*. (2005) 366:1719–24. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67698-2

31. Ogurtsova K, Morbach S, Haastert B, Dubský M, Rümenapf G, Ziegler D, et al. Cumulative long-term recurrence of diabetic foot ulcers in two cohorts from centres in Germany and the Czech Republic. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* (2021) 172:108621. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2020.108621

32. Ren M, Yang C, Lin DZ, Xiao HS, Mai LF, Guo YC, et al. Effect of intensive nursing education on the prevention of diabetic foot ulceration among patients with high-risk diabetic foot: a follow-up analysis. *Diabetes Technol Ther.* (2014) 16:576–81. doi: 10.1089/dia.2014.0004

33. Wang J, Xue T, Li H, Guo S. Nomogram prediction for the risk of diabetic foot in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. (2022) 13:890057. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.890057

34. Hoogeveen RC, Dorresteijn JAN, Kriegsman DMW, Valk GD. Complex interventions for preventing diabetic foot ulceration. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2015) 2015:CD007610. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD007610.pub3