

OPEN ACCESS

*CORRESPONDENCE

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Marios Kyriazis, National Gerontology Centre, Cyprus

Ismail Zaed ⊠ ismailzaed1@gmail.com

RECEIVED 19 August 2025 ACCEPTED 29 August 2025 PUBLISHED 12 September 2025

CITATION

Zaed I, Capo G, Nouri A and Ricciardi L (2025) Editorial: Management of spine pathologies in geriatric patients. *Front. Med.* 12:1688766. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2025.1688766

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Zaed, Capo, Nouri and Ricciardi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these

Editorial: Management of spine pathologies in geriatric patients

Ismail Zaed^{1,2*}, Gabriele Capo³, Aria Nouri⁴ and Luca Ricciardi^{5,6}

¹Department of Neurosurgery, Neurocenter of South Switzerland, Ente Ospedaliero Cantonale, Lugano, Switzerland, ²Department of NESMOS, Sapienza University, Rome, Italy, ³Department of Neurosurgery, IRCCS Humanitas Research Hospital, Milan, Italy, ⁴Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Geneva University Hospitals, Geneva, Switzerland, ⁵UOC di Neurochirurgia, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Sant'Andrea, Rome, Italy, ⁶Dipartimento NESMOS, Sapienza Università di Roma, Rome, Italy

KEYWORDS

spine, geriatric, osteoporosis, fracture, degenerative spine

Editorial on the Research Topic

Management of spine pathologies in geriatric patients

Population aging is reshaping spine care. Older adults often present with a dense tangle of multimorbidity, frailty, osteoporosis, sarcopenia, polypharmacy, and goals of care that prioritize function and independence as much as pain relief (1, 2). This Research Topic set out to synthesize pragmatic, patient-centered advances across the continuum—from diagnosis and anesthesia to intervention, complication surveillance, and rehabilitation—to help clinicians individualize decisions for geriatric patients with spine disease.

The eight contributions collected here move the field forward in four overarching ways: (1) sharpening risk prediction, (2) elevating peri-procedural safety, and (3) testing realistic rehabilitative and non-pharmacologic strategies, while also spotlighting emerging (4) diagnostic tools.

1) Sharpening risk prediction in osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures (OVCF).

Two original studies offered complementary perspectives on why some older patients sustain new vertebral compression fractures (NVCFs) after vertebral augmentation, and how to anticipate that risk in a clinical setting. A large single-center study (n=420) developed and internally validated a streamlined nomogram in which cement leakage, poor cement dispersion, and pre-existing endplate fractures were independent predictors of NVCFs, demonstrating very strong discrimination (training AUC 0.974; validation AUC 0.965). The model is simple enough to implement at the point of care and focuses attention on modifiable intraoperative quality targets (e.g., cement handling and dispersion) (Gao et al.). A second, two-cohort analysis (internal n=235; external n= 105) integrated paraspinal muscle health into risk stratification. It showed that the multifidus skeletal muscle index (SMI)—a surrogate of sarcopenia—along with surgical approach and spinal CT values, predicted NVCFs; the resulting nomogram demonstrated reasonable performance across validations and, notably, greater negative predictive value, which is useful for reassuring low-risk patients. The message is clear: bone and muscle form a functional unit in the aging spine, and sarcopenia matters when counseling about augmentation (Tang et al.).

Zaed et al. 10.3389/fmed.2025.1688766

Together, these studies support pre- and intra-operative checklists that couple imaging of paraspinal muscle quality with cement technique standards. They also justify post-procedure secondary prevention that addresses both osteoporosis and sarcopenia.

2) Improving safety around interventions.

Minimally invasive augmentation is effective for selected OVCFs, but geriatric anesthesia and rare complications remain important considerations. In a randomized comparison of sedative regimens during percutaneous kyphoplasty, ciprofol (a propofol analog) was found to provide more stable hemodynamics and less respiratory depression than traditional propofol while achieving comparable sedation—an attractive profile for older adults with limited cardiopulmonary reserve (Yao et al.). At the other end of the safety spectrum, a vivid case report described a patient who experienced simultaneous spinal subdural and epidural hematomas after percutaneous kyphoplasty (PKP), reminding us that even "routine" procedures can produce rare, catastrophic bleeds. The clinical pearl is vigilance: prompt recognition of disproportionate pain or a new neurological deficit post-PKP should trigger urgent imaging and decompression when indicated, with careful attention to coagulation status during the preoperative workup (Tang et al.). Finally, this Research Topic included the protocol for a randomized controlled trial directly comparing percutaneous vertebroplasty vs. optimized conservative care in OVCFs, an area where practice varies. By specifying outcomes a priori and enrolling a geriatric cohort, the trial is poised to clarify effect sizes that matter to older patients—pain relief trajectories, mobility, and downstream fracture risk (Gao et al.).

3) Real-world rehabilitation and non-pharmacologic options.

Functional recovery and preservation of quality of life are generally the main aims in the management of geriatric patients. In a pragmatic inpatient study of older adults with degenerative spinal disease, robot-assisted gait training did outperform conventional therapy in terms of walking distance and satisfaction over a short, two-week horizon; however, it was most helpful for those with severe baseline mobility limitations, suggesting a role as a targeted adjunct rather than a universal solution. For resource-constrained systems, this nuance matter (Jee et al.). Complementing this, a multicenter randomized placebo-controlled trial protocol tested the effectiveness of acupuncture in treating degenerative lumbar spinal stenosis, an archetypal geriatric condition where pharmacologic options are limited and surgery is not always preferable. Positive data about the effectiveness and safety of optimal acupuncture therapy could expand low-risk, scalable treatments that align with older patients' preferences (Shi et al.).

4) Emerging diagnostics for smarter decisions.

Beyond structure, metabolism matters. A systematic review and meta-analysis highlighted proton MR spectroscopy in cervical spondylotic myelopathy (CSM), linking metabolite ratios to clinical severity and functional outcomes. Although currently limited by small samples and technical variability, MR spectroscopy shows promise as a non-invasive biomarker for refining the timing of surgery and personalizing follow-up. Larger, standardized studies are warranted (Montes-González et al.).

Where do these studies leave us? In our opinion, there are three different practical takeaways from these articles:

- 1. Think "bone-muscle" when planning augmentation. Incorporate paraspinal muscle assessment alongside bone health and prioritize cement technique. Use simple nomograms to flag risk factors early—and to guide conversations about expectations and prevention (Tang et al.).
- 2. Match intervention intensity to physiologic reserve. Favor hemodynamically gentle sedation strategies for PKP in frail patients, and maintain a low threshold for investigating atypical pain or neurologic changes in rare hematomas. Protocolized trials comparing augmentation to high-quality conservative care will help determine appropriate indications (Chen et al.; Deng and Liu).
- 3. Prioritize function with scalable support. Robot-assisted training may be most valuable for those who are most impaired; meanwhile, rigorous trials of acupuncture may broaden low-risk options for lumbar stenosis (Jee et al.).

Future directions

The common theme throughout this Research Topic is personalization—using better predictors, gentler anesthetic choices, vigilant monitoring of complications, and realistic rehabilitation plans to address the needs of older adults. Future work should emphasize multicenter external validation of risk tools (including muscle metrics), standardized safety protocols for augmentation procedures, and patient-reported outcomes that capture mobility and independence (3, 4). The promise of metabolic imaging in CSM is real, and investment in technical standardization could transform it from a research tool to a bedside biomarker.

Author contributions

IZ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. GC: Data curation, Investigation, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. AN: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. LR: Conceptualization, Investigation, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Acknowledgments

We thank all the authors and reviewers for advancing evidence that is both scientifically rigorous and meaningfully applicable to the geriatric spine population. Zaed et al. 10.3389/fmed.2025.1688766

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Any alternative text (alt text) provided alongside figures in this article has been generated by Frontiers with the support of artificial intelligence and reasonable efforts have been made to ensure accuracy, including review by the authors wherever possible. If you identify any issues, please contact us.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

- 1. Girdler SJ, Lindsey MH, Sebastian AS, Nassr A. Osteoporosis evaluation and management in spine surgery. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* (2024) 32:e909–18. doi: 10.5435/JAAOS-D-24-00311
- 2. Zaed I, Marchi F, Milani D, Cabrilo I, Cardia A. Role of enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocol in the management of elderly patients with glioblastoma. *J Clin Med.* (2023) 12:6032. doi: 10.3390/jcm12186032
- 3. Ricciardi L, Scerrati A, Olivi A, Sturiale CL, De Bonis P, Montano N. The role of cervical collar in functional restoration and fusion after anterior cervical

discectomy and fusion without plating on single or double levels: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Spine J.* (2020) 29:955–60. doi: 10.1007/s00586-019-06270-0

4. Staartjes VE, Stumpo V, Ricciardi L, Maldaner N, Eversdijk HAJ, Vieli M, et al. FUSE-ML: development and external validation of a clinical prediction model for mid-term outcomes after lumbar spinal fusion for degenerative disease. *Eur Spine J.* (2022) 31:2629–38. doi: 10.1007/s00586-022-07135-9