

Molecular tools for differentiation of non-typeable Haemophilus influenzae from Haemophilus haemolyticus

Janessa Pickering¹, Peter C. Richmond^{1,2} and Lea-Ann S. Kirkham^{1,2}*

¹ School of Paediatrics and Child Health, The University of Western Australia, Perth, WA, Australia

² Centre for Vaccine and Infectious Disease Research, Telethon Kids Institute, The University of Western Australia, Perth, WA, Australia

Edited by:

Christina Maria Joseph Elisabeth Vandenbroucke-Grauls, VU University Medical Center, Netherlands

Reviewed by:

Saara Vainio, VU University Medical Center, Netherlands Li Xu, Cornell University, USA

*Correspondence:

Lea-Ann S. Kirkham, School of Paediatrics and Child Health The University of Western Australia. Administration Building, Princess Margaret Hospital, Roberts Road, Perth, WA 6008, Australia e-mail: lea-ann.kirkham@uwa.edu.au

Non-typeable Haemophilus influenzae (NTHi) and Haemophilus haemolyticus are closely related bacteria that reside in the upper respiratory tract. NTHi is associated with respiratory tract infections that frequently result in antibiotic prescription whilst H. haemolyticus is rarely associated with disease. NTHi and H. haemolyticus can be indistinguishable by traditional culture methods and molecular differentiation has proven difficult. This current review chronologically summarizes the molecular approaches that have been developed for differentiation of NTHi from H. haemolyticus, highlighting the advantages and disadvantages of each target and/or technique. We also provide suggestions for the development of new tools that would be suitable for clinical and research laboratories.

Keywords: Haemophilus haemolyticus, NTHi, identification, culture, molecular differentiation

INTRODUCTION

Identification and taxonomic classification of Haemophilus species can be challenging (Norskov-Lauritsen, 2014). This is particularly true for Haemophilus haemolyticus, which is often misidentified as non-typeable H. influenzae (NTHi) despite significant differences in pathogenicity. In a landmark study in 2007, Murphy et al. identified NTHi isolates with altered culture phenotypes from patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (Murphy et al., 2007). To test the hypothesis that the phenotypically different NTHi isolates were also genetically different, the authors analyzed 490 culture-defined NTHi isolates. Using a combination of genetic and immunological techniques, they found that the variant isolates were actually non-hemolytic H. haemolyticus, a closely related respiratory tract commensal that appears similar to NTHi via culture. The NTHi misidentification rate was significant, with 27% (12/44) of nasopharyngeal isolates and 40% (102/258) of sputum isolates misidentified as NTHi by culture. Analysis of 130 culture-defined laboratory NTHi isolates from middle ear effusion found that none were H. haemolyticus. Further analysis of 58 invasive isolates from the United States national collection identified 4 H. haemolyticus isolates that were previously characterized as a cryptic genospecies. This study reaffirmed H. haemolyticus as a respiratory tract commensal that is rarely cultured from sterile sites and highlighted the issue of NTHi misidentification by culture to the scientific community. Since 2007, retrospective analysis of phenotypic NTHi isolates from other studies have identified similar rates of misidentification (Chang et al., 2010; Kirkham et al., 2010; Hare et al., 2012; Pickering et al., 2014b).

The impact of NTHi misidentification is far-reaching given that NTHi-positive cultures are used to diagnose chronic suppurative otitis media and exacerbations of COPD, prescribe antibiotics, and estimate the efficacy of treatments and preventative strategies for NTHi disease including vaccines. Furthermore, the misidentification of *H. haemolyticus* as NTHi has potentially impacted on estimations of the proportion of antibiotic resistant NTHi (Witherden et al., 2013).

X (hemin) and/or V (β-nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide) factor requirement is routinely used in diagnostic laboratories to distinguish between Haemophilus species, however NTHi and H. haemolyticus require both X and V factors. The principal phenotypic difference between NTHi and H. haemolyticus is the production of a hemolysin by H. haemolyticus allowing species differentiation on blood agar plates (Kilian, 1976b). However, this difference is often unreliable as H. haemolyticus can lose the defining hemolytic phenotype upon passage (Sandstedt et al., 2008), or the hemolytic phenotype may be absent from the outset. Earlier, it was suggested that H. haemolyticus is a hemolytic variant of H. influenzae (Broom and Sneath, 1981). However, modern phylogenetic studies have identified clear species differences (McCrea et al., 2008; Norskov-Lauritsen, 2011). It is now widely accepted that culture alone cannot reliably distinguish NTHi from H. haemolyticus.

An ideal molecular tool for NTHi and H. haemolyticus differentiation is one that is rapid, robust, inexpensive, requiring standard laboratory equipment, and limited technical expertise. A superior tool would be one that unambiguously determines whether an isolate is NTHi or H. haemolyticus in a single reaction to reduce the time and cost for identification. However, the

development of such tools for NTHi and *H. haemolyticus* differentiation has been difficult due to extensive genetic similarities between these species. Over the last decade, considerable research effort has focused on identifying molecular targets and suitable methodologies to differentiate NTHi from *H. haemolyticus*. A chronological review of each potential target and discussion of the advantages and disadvantages of the methodologies is given below and summarized in **Table 1**.

GENETIC TARGETS INVESTIGATED FOR DISCRIMINATION OF NTHI FROM *H. HAEMOLYTICUS*

The original discriminatory method used to distinguish NTHi from H. haemolyticus was a combination of 16SrDNA PCR, a monoclonal antibody targeting an epitope of the outer membrane protein (OMP) P6 of NTHi known as 7F3, and multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA) (Murphy et al., 2007). 16SrDNA PCR permitted easy identification of NTHi and H. haemolyticus, but only for 90% of strains. Recognition of the limitation of 16SrDNA PCR as a discriminatory tool for NTHi and H. haemolyticus is now widely accepted (Norskov-Lauritsen, 2011; Binks et al., 2012). In 2011, Norksov-Lauritsen further investigated the apparent low resolution of classification schemes based on 16SrDNA (Norskov-Lauritsen, 2011). 16SrDNA genes are historically recognized as being universally distributed and therefore appropriate targets for assessing lineages. However, further investigation into the NTHi/H. haemolyticus species border found high numbers of polymorphic nucleotide positions due to intragenomic 16SrDNA gene heterogeneity in isolates that were not NTHi. The increased level of 16SrDNA gene polymorphism in commensal taxa (not including pathogenic H. influenzae) could not be explained but did provide a reason for the difficulties of Haemophilus speciation using 16SrDNA gene-based classification. The 7F3 monoclonal antibody was found to be NTHi-specific and had the best differentiation capability, however its limited availability meant that widespread use of this method was unfeasible. Moreover, due to the cost and time, immunoblotting is not ideal for species identification in clinical diagnostic settings and subsequent studies have found that the 7F3 antibody does not identify all NTHi strains (McCrea et al., 2008). Multilocus sequence typing (MLST) is a standardized sequence-based profiling system that has been used to investigate NTHi diversity (Kaur et al., 2011; Schumacher et al., 2012; Puig et al., 2013) but, as discussed later, is not suitable for discrimination of NTHi from H. haemolyticus. MLSA is an extension of MLST that involves application of mathematical algorithms to assemble consensus trees (Tateno et al., 1994). In the Murphy study, MLSA identified that *H. haemolyticus* strains clustered separately to NTHi strains. Although MLSA is useful for understanding species boundaries, it requires a high level of technical expertise and is time consuming and therefore not ideal for routine diagnostics.

Another molecular target with the potential ability to completely differentiate NTHi from *H. haemolyticus* was simultaneously described by Fung et al. (2006). The *sodC* gene, which encodes the copper- and zinc-containing superoxide dismutase CuZnSOD, was found to be present in 20 *H. haemolyticus* isolates and absent in 20 NTHi isolates. Initial PCR results were confirmed by Southern and Western blotting. However, subsequent application of the *sodC* PCR to a larger collection of isolates in 2010 (110 *H. haemolyticus* and 169 NTHi) revealed that 9% of NTHi also possessed the *sodC* gene (McCrea et al., 2010a), demonstrating that the *sodC* gene was not a suitable target for complete discrimination of NTHi from *H. haemolyticus*.

In 2008, McCrea et al. thoroughly investigated the relationship of NTHi to hemolytic and non-hemolytic H. haemolyticus strains (McCrea et al., 2008). Taxonomic traits, MLSA and the presence of NTHi virulence-associated genes encoding lipooligosaccharide (licA, lic2A, lgtC), and IgA protease were compared. Eightyeight capsulated and non-typeable H. influenzae (breakdown not given), and 109 culture-defined H. haemolyticus isolates were examined. The 109 H. haemolyticus isolates were not bound by iga hybridization probes, and this was the only target that differentiated all H. influenzae and H. haemolyticus isolates in the study. Whilst taxonomic traits such as H₂S and indole production, urease and ornithine decarboxylase activity and hemolysis (Kilian, 1976b,a) were found to correlate with species identification, no trait completely differentiated NTHi from H. haemolyticus (McCrea et al., 2008). A main finding was that although hemolytic and non-hemolytic H. haemolyticus strains did not cluster as two separate subspecies, some NTHi genes (licA) and traits (urease activity) were more common in hemolytic strains compared with non-hemolytic strains. The authors remarked that no rapid, clinically useful marker was available to differentiate NTHi and H. haemolyticus, however X and V factor testing was sufficient for distinguishing H. influenzae from other haemophili that infect normally sterile sites and cause serious disease. The authors proposed that precise taxonomic division of these species is elusive, particularly with the high potential for genetic recombination between NTHi and H. haemolyticus that has since been demonstrated in vitro (Sondergaard et al., 2014). At this stage H. haemolyticus had not been associated with disease: there were two rare cases of H. haemolyticus causing endocarditis in 1923 (De Santo and White, 1933) and 1933 (Miller and Branch, 1923). The recent retrospective molecular analysis of NTHi culture-defined isolates has revealed additional cases in which H. haemolyticus was the apparent cause of bacteremia and septic arthritis (Anderson et al., 2012; Morton et al., 2012). Although H. haemolyticus infection is still rare, these cases reiterate the need for specific identification tools.

In 2008, Sandstedt et al. compared the generation of minimum evolution trees from concatenated sequences of 5 housekeeping genes (adk, pgi, recA, infB, and 16SrDNA), which had previously been shown to be the best method for distinguishing NTHi from *H. haemolyticus* (Norskov-Lauritsen et al., 2005; McCrea et al., 2008), with rapid and more cost-effective methods (Sandstedt et al., 2008). The three methods evaluated were DNA hybridization-based microarrays (targeting conserved and variable iga regions), genomic dot blot hybridization (also targeting conserved and variable iga regions), and dot blot immunoassays for OMP P6 with monoclonal antibody 7F3. Genomic dot blots targeting the iga variable region correlated most closely with the minimum evolution trees, whereas microarray detection of the variable iga region was favored for being high-throughput. Methods utilizing the conserved portion of the iga gene did not discriminate NTHi from H. haemolyticus. The authors recognized

Target	Method	Nu	umber of isolates	References	Outcomes
		H. haemolyticus	H. influenzae		
16SrDNA	DNA seq, PCR	114 (102 SP, 12 NP)	318 (156 SP, 32 NP, 130 MEE)	Murphy et al., 2007	Dendrogram of 16SrDNA sequences clusters NTHi and H. haemolyticus separately. 16SrDNA PCR yields unequivocal results for $\sim 90\%$
	DNA seq	7 (1 ref, 5 SP, 1 dental plaque)	8 (1 PS, 1 SP, 1 UN, 1 CSF, 3 blood, 1 LS)	Norskov-Lauritsen et al., 2009	<i>H. influenzae</i> strains cluster but there is no clear resolution for <i>H. haemolyticus</i> and other closely related strains
	PCR	1 (LS)	12 (LS)	Abdeldaim et al., 2009	16SrDNA PCR amplified H. influenzae and not H. haemolyticus
	DNA seq	7 (1 ref, 5 SP, 1 dental plaque)	8 (1 PS, 1 SP, 1 UN, 1 CSF, 3 blood, 1 LS)	Norskov-Lauritsen, 2011	Increased level of <i>16SrDNA</i> gene polymorphism in <i>H. haemolyticus</i> and other non-NTHi strains makes classification with <i>16SrDNA</i> difficult
	DNA seq PCR, DNA seq	44 (44 RT) 28 (27 NP, 1 reference)	78 (78 RT) 27 (21 NP, 6 refs) 11 equivocal (NP)	Theodore et al., 2012 Binks et al., 2012	Six distinct phylogenetic groups observed ^b 16SrDNA PCR results in equivocal isolates. NTHi and H. haemolyticus 16SrDNA genes cluster separately with equivocal strains falling in between both species
	seq of concatenated 16SrDNA and recA genes	20 (PS-asymptomatic)	52 (5 PS-asymptomatic, 47 PS-URTI)	Zhu et al., 2013	16SrDNA-recA phylogeny is used to compare new differentiation methods of NTHi/H. <i>haemolyticus</i> such as MALDI-TOF (which is much faster)
	FISH	7 (1 ref, 2 SP, 1 BAL, 1 PS, 1 U, 1 GF)	50 (8 SP, 9 NP, 8 TS, 4 BAL, 4 PS, 6 ES, 5 NS, 1 BS, 2 EARS, 1 MSS, 1 ref)	Frickmann et al., 2013	16SrDNA gene probes specific for NTHi and H. haemolyticus resulted in 85% correct identification
sodC	PCR, Southern blot, Dot blot, IEF	20 ^a	20 ^a	Fung et al., 2006	sodC only found in <i>H. haemolyticus</i> and can be used for differentiation
	Hybridization blots	1 (LS)	480 (241 RT, 161 MEE, 68 CS, 10 from other sites-2 blood)	Norskov-Lauritsen, 2009	1.6% of H. influenzae isolates encoded sodC
	Microarray, MLSA, IEF, Southern blot, DNA seq	110 ^a	169ª	McCrea et al., 2010a	<i>sodC</i> found in 9% of NTHi strains and should not be used to discriminate NTHi and <i>H. haemolyticus</i>
ompP6	DNA seq, Immuno-blot, 7F3 MAb	° G	12 ^c	Murphy et al., 2007	H. haemolyticus strains lack 7F3 epitope of P6 gene therefore 7F3 monoclonal antibody detects NTHi only
	Immuno-blot	109 (63 HT, 46 SP)	88 (44 ME, 44 HT)	McCrea et al., 2008; Sandstedt et al., 2008	P6 detected ~97% of NTHi strains and ~12% of H. haemolyticus strains and therefore is not completely discriminatory
	PCR	1 (LS)	12 (LS)	Abdeldaim et al., 2009	ompP6 PCR amplified both H. influenzae and H. haemolyticus
	PCR	12 (oropharyngeal)	151 (50 oropharyngeal, 50 nasopharyngeal, 51 MEE)	Chang et al., 2010	P6 is not completely conserved in NTHi, and not all NTHi can be reliably distinguished from <i>H. haemolyticus</i> with <i>ompP6</i> sequence analysis and 7F3 reactivity
	PCR HRM	28 (27 NP, 1 ref)	27 (21 NP, 6 ref) 11 equivocal (11 NP)	Binks et al., 2012	ompP6 PCR and PCR HRM were both 94% sensitive, and 63% and 67% specific respectively for study-defined NTHi

Target	Method	N	Number of isolates	References	Outcomes
		H. haemolyticus	H. influenzae		
mbP	PCR	1 (LS)	12 (LS)	Abdeldaim et al., 2009	rnpB PCR amplified both H. influenzae and H. haemolyticus
bexA	PCR	1 (LS)	12 (LS)	Abdeldaim et al., 2009	<i>bexA</i> PCR did not amplify <i>H. haemolyticus</i> but also failed to amplify 9 <i>H. influenzae</i> isolates
LOS genes (licA, lic2A, and lgtC)	PCR, DNA hybridization, Southern and Western blot	109 (63 HT, 46 SP)	88 (44 MEE, 44 HT)	McCrea et al., 2008, 2010b	<i>licA</i> , <i>lic2A</i> , and <i>lgtC</i> genes were more prevalent in <i>H. influenzae</i> isolates, but variable presence in <i>H. haemolyticus</i> means they are unsuitable targets for discrimination. Other <i>lic</i> genes found in <i>H. influenzae</i> and <i>H. haemolyticus. lic1</i> locus not part of the
	PCR	28 (27 NP, 1 ref)	27 (21 NP, 6 ref) 11 equivocal (11 NP)	Binks et al., 2012	<i>lgtC</i> PCR did not amplify all NTHi strains and was therefore 80.6% sensitive and 94.8% specific for study-defined NTHi
iga	Micro-array, dot blot	50 (HT, SP, micro-array) 109 (HT, SP, dot blot)	50 (HT, SP and ME, micro-array) 88 (HT, SP and ME, dot blot)	McCrea et al., 2008; Sandstedt et al.,	The <i>iga</i> variable region probe used in dot blot hybridization was 100% accurate for categorizing strains that had been previously defined by their adv. and instand the AMM security
	lgA1 protease activity, DNA seq PCR	7 (1 ref, 5 SP, 1 dental plaque) 28 (27 NP, 1 ref)	8 (1 PS, 1 SP, 1 UN, 1 CSF, 3 blood, 1 LS) 27 (21 NP, 6 ref) 11 equivocal (11 NP)	2000 Norskov-Lauritsen et al., 2009 Binks et al., 2012	defined by their adv, <i>yey, rech, initia</i> and <i>routive</i> sequences <i>iga</i> was found to have limited discriminatory value for NTHi and <i>H. haemolyticus</i> differentiation The <i>iga</i> PCR was 88.9% sensitive and 91.7% specific for study-defined NTHi
House- keeping	MLST	7 (1 LS, 6 variants)	90 (1 LS, 1 COPD, 88 MLST database)	Murphy et al., 2007	Variant strains did not encode <i>fucK</i> , but clustered with <i>H. haemolyticus</i> , and were distinct from <i>H. influenzae</i>
	MLST	7 (1 ref, 5 SP, 1 dental plaque)	8 (1 PS, 1 SP, 1 unknown origin, 1 CSF, 3 blood, 1 LS)	Norskov-Lauritsen et al., 2009	<i>fucK</i> was absent in 32 study strains (consisting of 7 <i>H. haemolyticus</i> , 8 NTHi, 1 <i>H. aeqyptus</i> , 2 variant haemophili, 4 Genospecies biotype IV and 20 <i>H. intermedius</i>) and removed from multi-locus sequence analysis. Strains of the <i>H. influenzae</i> cluster
	DNA seq, PCR, Neighbor joining tree analysis, MLST	1 (LS)	627 (MLST database, 7 ref, 1 LS, 31 variants)	Ridderberg et al., 2010	are more similar than variant strains <i>H. influenzae</i> may be untypable by MLST due to complete deletion of the fucose operon in some isolates
þdų	RTPCR	17 (1 invasive sequencing), 16 carriage isolates	247 (6 capsulate strains, 4 invasive NTHi (sequencing). 208 invasive, 29 carriage isolates (sens/spec calcs)	Wang et al., 2011	<i>hpd</i> #3 RTPCR was designed from <i>H. influenzae</i> specific regions of <i>hpd</i> and was found to be sensitive and specific for <i>H. influenzae</i> detection in CSF samples
	RTPCR	44 (44 PS carriage)	78 (78 PS carriage)	Theodore et al., 2012	9 of 78 H. influenzae isolates were not positive for hpd#3 RTPCR
	RTPCR	28 (27 NP, 1 ref)	27 (21 NP, 6 ref)	Binks et al., 2012	possible because of deletions of sequence variation hpd#3 RTPCR outperformed other H. influenzae assays with 88.9%
	HRM-PCR	32 (1 ref, 31 NP) sequencing, 54 (NP, BA1_throat) HRM	11 equivocal (11 NP) 138 (NP, BAL, throat) for HRM	Pickering et al., 2014a	sensitivity and 91.7% specificity hpd HRM PCR was 96% sensitive and 92% specific compared to the hpd#3 assay however hpd was not present in 11% of strains

Target	Method	Nu	umber of isolates	References	Outcomes
		H. haemolyticus	H. influenzae		
omp p2	PCR	28 (27 NP, 1 ref)	27 (21 NP, 6 ref) 11 equivocal (11 NP)	Binks et al., 2012	omp P2 PCR was 81% sensitive and 92% specific for study-defined NTHi
recA	DNA seq	28 (27 NP, 1 ref)	27 (21 NP, 6 ref) 11 equivocal (11 NP)	Binks et al., 2012	recA genes from NTHi and <i>H. haemolyticus</i> isolates did not cluster separately in all cases. Equivocal isolates and isolates related to <i>H. parainfluenzae</i> were intermingled between the predominant NTHi and <i>H. harmoluticus</i> clusters
	DNA seq of concatenated 16SrDNA and recA	20 (PS-asymptomatic)	52 (5 PS-asymptomatic, 47 PS from patients with URTI)	Zhu et al., 2013	<i>16SrDNA-rec4</i> phylogeny is used to compare new differentiation methods of NTHi/H. <i>haemolyticus</i> such as MALDI-TOF (which is much faster)
fucK	Hybridization blots	1 (LS)	480 (241 RS, 161 MEE, 68 CS, 10 from other cites-2 blood)	Norskov-Lauritsen, 2009	fucK outperformed hap and sodC targets for H. influenzae
	Sequencing, PCR, Neighbor-joining tree analvsis	1 (LS)	627 (MLST database, 7 ref. 1 LS, 31 variants)	2010 2010	Occasional isolates of <i>H. influenzae</i> lack the entire fucose operon including <i>fucK</i>
	PCR	44 (44 PS carriage)	78 (78 PS carriage)	Theodore et al., 2012	22/78 H. influenzae isolates were not positive for fuck PCR and one
	PCR	28 (27 NP, 1 ref)	27 (21 NP, 6 ref) 11 equivocal (11 NP)	Binks et al., 2012	ru: neerinopricus was pusitive fucK PCR was 75% sensitive and 75% specific for study-defined NTHi
	qPCR	1 (LS)	12 (LS)	Abdeldaim et al., 2013	Specifically detects <i>H. influenzae</i> and not the <i>H. haemolyticus</i> strain tested
hap	Hybridization blots	1 (LS)	480 (241 RS, 161 MEE, 68 CS, 10 from other sites-2 blood)	Norskov-Lauritsen, 2009	<i>hap</i> probe did not perform well because it failed to hybridize some <i>H. influenzae</i> and hybridized to several isolates excluded from <i>H. influenzae</i>
Antibiotic resistance genes	RTPCR	50 (URT)	50 (URT)	Witherden et al., 2013	Phenotypic and genotypic β-lactam resistance is present in NTHi and <i>H. haemolyticus</i> therefore PCRs based on resistance genes are not discriminatory for either species
Mass- MALDI- spectrometry (Bruker)	MALDI-TOF MS ry (Bruker)	20 (PS-asymptomatic)	52 (5 PS-asymptomatic, 47 PS-URTI)	Zhu et al., 2013	Extending the original reference database provided with the Bruker biotype software to include more strains enabled 100% detection of NTHi and H harmolyticus isolates to species level
	MALDI-TOF-MS (Bruker/Shimadzu)	7 (1 ref, 2 SP, 1 BAL, 1 PS, 1 U, 1 GF)	50 (8 SP, 9 NP, 8 TS, 4 BAL, 4 PS, 6 ES, 5 NS, 1 BS, 2 EARS, 1 MSS, 1 ref)	Frickmann et al., 2013	The Bruker MALDI-TOF device outperformed the Shimadzu, but MALDI-TOF was not completely discriminatory for NTHi and <i>H. haemolyticus</i>
^a , unknown s bronchial seı isoelectric fit	ite of isolation; ^b , data not cretion; CS, conjunctival sv ald: LS, laboratory strain; MS ocus sequence typing; MS	^a , unknown site of isolation; ^b , data not shown; ^c , unclear whether bronchial secretion; CS, conjunctival swab; CSF, cerebrospinal flui isoelectric field; LS, laboratory strain; MALDI-TOF MS, matrix assist MLST, multilocus sequence typing; MSS, Maxillary sinus swab; NS	typeable or non-typeable H. influenzae stre 3; EARS, ear swab; ES, eye swab; FISH, fl ed laser desorption ionization time of flight 3; nasal secretion; NP, nasopharyngeal; PCF	ains were assessed. BAL, I luorescent in situ hybridiza mass spectrometry. MEE, 3, polymerase chain reactic	^a , unknown site of isolation; ^b , data not shown; ^c , unclear whether typeable or non-typeable H. influenzae strains were assessed. BAL, bronchoalveolar lavage; BLNAR, β-lactam negative ampicillin resistant; BS, bronchial secretion; CS, conjunctival swab; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; EARS, ear swab; ES, eye swab; FISH, fluorescent in situ hybridization; GS, gastric fluid; HT, healthy throat; HRM, high resolution melt; IEF, isoelectric field; LS, laboratory strain; MALDI-TOF MS, matrix assisted laser desorption ionization time of flight mass spectrometry; MEE, middle ear effusion; ME, middle ear; MLSA, multilocus sequence analysis; MLST, multilocus sequence ettain; NR, multilocus subsection; PS, pharyngeal swab; GSR, quantitative PCR; ref. reference strain; RT, multilocus sequence strain; NT, multilocus sequence strain; NT, multilocus sequence ettain; NE, middle ear effusion; MS, quantitative PCR; ref. reference strain; RT, multilocus sequence typing; MSS, Maxillary sinus swab; NS, nasal secretion; NP, nasopharyngeal; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PS, pharyngeal swab; qPCR, quantitative PCR; ref. reference strain; RT, multilocus sequence typing; MSS, Maxillary sinus swab; NS, masal secretion; NP, nasopharyngeal; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PS, pharyngeal swab; qPCR, quantitative PCR; ref. reference strain; RT, multilocus sequence typing; MSS, Maxillary sinus swab; NS, masal secretion; NP, nasopharyngeal; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PS, pharyngeal swab; qPCR, quantitative PCR; reference strain; RT, multilocus sequence typing; MSS, MAXIIII, sinus swab; NS, MAX, MAX, MAX, MAX, MAX, MAX, MAX, MAX

that the adoption of phylogenetic or molecular methods for NTHi/*H. haemolyticus* differentiation is dependent on the number of strains being analyzed and the purpose of doing so, which varies from laboratory to laboratory.

In 2009, the sodC, fucK (encodes fuculokinase), and hap (haemophilus adhesion protein) genes were investigated for their combined suitability to selectively identify NTHi (Norskov-Lauritsen, 2009). H. influenzae isolates (typeable and nontypeable) were expected to be *sodC*-, *fucK*+, and *hap*+. The fuck PCR gave the best discrimination between the 480 isolates investigated. It was suggested that phenotypic H. influenzae isolates lacking fuck were not H. influenzae and development of a fucK- based molecular discriminatory tool was proposed. Soon after this publication, the same group published a more detailed investigation into the delineation of H. influenzae by phenotype, multilocus sequence phylogeny and detection of marker genes (16SrDNA, hap, fucK, sodC, and virulence-associated genes hia, hmw1A, hmwC, hif, iga, lic2B) (Norskov-Lauritsen et al., 2009). In this study, the species borders for H. influenzae with (1) H. haemolyticus, (2) cryptic genospecies biotype IV, and (3) the then un-validated species "H. intermedius" were interrogated with MLSA for 6 of the 7 MLST housekeeping genes: adk, atpG, frdB, mdh, pgi, and recA (fucK was excluded from the MLST due to its absence in 42 strains). Individually, 16SrDNA, hap, fucK, and sodC genes correlated with the concatenated multilocus sequence phylogeny, but iga was found to have limited discriminatory value for NTHi and H. haemolyticus differentiation. This contrasted with previous studies detailing the discriminatory power of iga for H. influenzae detection (McCrea et al., 2008; Sandstedt et al., 2008). The virulence associated markers hia, hmw1a, hmwC, and hif were variably expressed in H. influenzae and therefore not discriminatory. Multilocus sequence phylogeny of H. haemolyticus strains produced separate lineages that also included H. intermedius and the cryptic genospecies biotype IV. This finding emphasized the difficulty of defining taxonomic boundaries within Haemophili. The authors observed that sequence analysis did not align with taxonomy, and suggested that different strains of H. haemolyticus may not share a common ancestor.

By 2010, it became apparent that not all strains of *H. influenzae* encode the *fucK* house-keeping gene, with some strains missing the entire fucose operon (Ridderberg et al., 2010). Therefore, the standardized MLST assay for *H. influenzae* is not suitable for all strains. In the same year, another study reported on variations in the OMP P6 (*omp P6*) gene of NTHi that obscures NTHi and *H. haemolyticus* differentiation (Chang et al., 2010). At this stage, none of the previously characterized targets remained attractive candidates for accurate identification of *H. influenzae* or *H. haemolyticus*.

Real-time (RT) PCR assays targeting *16SrDNA* (Abdeldaim et al., 2009), *omp P6* (Nelson et al., 1991; Abdeldaim et al., 2009), *bexA* (Corless et al., 2001), *rnpB* (Abdeldaim et al., 2009), and *fucK* (Abdeldaim et al., 2013) genes were developed for diagnostic detection of *H. influenzae*, however each was limited by poor specificity and/or sensitivity. For example, the *rnpB* RTPCR amplifies both NTHi and *H. haemolyticus* (Abdeldaim et al., 2009), whereas the *bexA* PCR does not amplify *H. haemolyticus*,

but also failed to amplify all H. influenzae strains (Corless et al., 2001). In 2011, a quantitative RTPCR (hpd#3) based on the protein D gene (hpd) was developed that was sensitive and appeared to be specific for *H. influenzae* identification (Wang et al., 2011). Sixteen H. haemolyticus isolates were tested with the hpd#3 RTPCR and none were positive. A major advantage of the hpd#3 RTPCR was that it could be used directly on clinical samples, reducing cost and preparation time for H. influenzae identification. In 2012, the hpd#3 RTPCR assay was further investigated in a collection of 60 culture-defined NTHi from the nasopharynx of children with and without recurrent acute otitis media (Binks et al., 2012). 16SrDNA PCR had previously identified that only 37% (22/60) of the isolates were true NTHi, 27 were H. haemolyticus and the remaining 18% (11/60) could neither be defined as NTHi nor H. haemolyticus and were termed equivocal (Kirkham et al., 2010). Sequencing and concatenation of 16SrDNA and recA genes in this collection of isolates provided insight into the previously ambiguous equivocal isolates. Whilst 16SrDNA PCR-defined H. haemolyticus and NTHi strains were clearly separated from one another on the phylogenetic tree, the equivocal strains sat in the middle and were considered to either be divergent H. haemolyticus strains becoming NTHi or vice versa. An evolutionary continuum between the two species was suggested. This collection of isolates was considered to be ideal to test the limitations of existing discriminatory assays in their ability to identify the NTHi and H. haemolyticus. Seven of the most promising PCR targets (hpd, omp P2, omp P6, lgtC, 16SrDNA, fucK, and iga) were assessed (Binks et al., 2012). The study conceded that NTHi and H. haemolyticus could not be completely differentiated with any single gene target, however the hpd#3 RTPCR was superior for differentiating closely related strains. A subsequent study suggested conducting 3 tests: hpd#3 RTPCR, fucK PCR and then 16SrDNA sequencing for NTHi and H. haemolyticus differentiation (Theodore et al., 2012). However, the identification of strains lacking fuck remains an issue for its broad application, and conducting 2 PCRs followed by sequencing increases the cost and time for identification. Such lengthy and expensive tests are not ideal for clinical diagnostics or large-scale surveillance studies.

Recently, we developed a HRM (high resolution melt)-PCR to further investigate the potential use of the *hpd* gene to detect and differentiate NTHi and H. haemolyticus (Pickering et al., 2014a). The advantage of the PCR-HRM is low cost, speed and that only one reaction is required for differentiation of the two species. However, application of this assay to 180 clinical isolates revealed that even hpd, a host colonization-associated gene (Johnson et al., 2011) that was previously reported to be highly conserved (Song et al., 1995), was not present in 11% (19/180) of the isolates tested. Absence or variability of the hpd gene in NTHi has since been confirmed (Zhu et al., 2013; Smith-Vaughan et al., 2014) and suggests that the hpd gene is less conserved than originally thought. The hpd HRM-PCR is limited like all other single-target tools tested to date. Summarizing all H. influenzae/H. haemolyticus differentiation studies, it appears that single gene target approaches for discrimination are not ideal and that rapid tests incorporating multiple targets are required.

PROTEOMIC AND WHOLE GENOME APPROACHES TO DISCRIMINATION OF NTHI AND *H. HAEMOLYTICUS*

Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time of flight (MALDI-TOF) analysis is revolutionizing the diagnostic laboratory. MALDI-TOF compares the spectral profiles of bacterial colonies to a database of species with known spectral profiles. The first assessment of the utility of MALDI-TOF to differentiate H. influenzae from H. haemolyticus reported 100% differentiation of 52 NTHi and 20 H. haemolvticus strains with the adaptation of a new reference database on the Bruker MALDI-TOF platform (Zhu et al., 2013). A second study compared two MALDI-TOF platforms (Shimadzu and Bruker) with fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) to identify 50 H. influenzae, 25 H. parainfluenzae, 7 H. haemolyticus, and 2 H. parahaemolyticus isolates (Frickmann et al., 2013). FISH failed to identify strains from all Haemophilus species tested including a high proportion (14%) of H. influenzae isolates. Neither MALDI-TOF platform correctly identified any H. haemolyticus isolates but addition of an H. haemolyticus reference spectrum to the Bruker database resulted in identification of all seven H. haemolyticus isolates. This demonstrates that the discriminatory power of MALDI-TOF is highly dependent on the comprehensiveness of species databases, which varies between laboratories. Improvement and global standardization of reference databases for H. influenzae and H. haemolyticus may permit the use of MALDI-TOF for high-throughput speciation of H. influenzae and H. haemolyticus in diagnostic laboratories in the near future.

An alternative approach to identifying and developing tools for multiple discriminatory targets of *H. influenzae* and *H. haemolyticus* is the use of whole genome sequencing and comparative genomics. There are several large-scale *Haemophilus* whole genome sequencing projects underway that will assist in development of such methods. Recently, comparison of 97 NTHi genomes revealed an NTHi population structure of 6 distinct clades. This high-resolution study is the first to identify a clonal-based evolution of NTHi (De Chiara et al., 2014). *H. haemolyticus* strains were not included in this study.

In summary, although the need for molecular identification is acknowledged, no single target or current methodology has been identified that can accurately identify all *H. influenzae* or *H. haemolyticus* strains. This is further complicated by the genetic relatedness of these species and the demonstration that inter-species horizontal gene transfer occurs. When new discriminatory tests are developed they must be validated on a large and diverse collection of strains. Future large-scale comparative genomic studies that compare *H. influenzae* core and accessory genes with *H. haemolyticus* have the potential to reveal new discriminatory targets and provide greater definition of species borders. This in turn will improve the accuracy of *H. influenzae* and *H. haemolyticus* identification for improved disease diagnosis and surveillance.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Janessa Pickering prepared the manuscript, Peter C. Richmond and Lea-Ann S. Kirkham critically reviewed the manuscript. The authors do not have any competing interests.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Janessa Pickering is a recipient of an National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) postgraduate award and Princess Margaret Hospital Foundation scholarship. Lea-Ann S. Kirkham is recipient of an NHMRC career development fellowship #1061428.

REFERENCES

- Abdeldaim, G. M., Stralin, K., Kirsebom, L. A., Olcen, P., Blomberg, J., and Herrmann, B. (2009). Detection of *Haemophilus influenzae* in respiratory secretions from pneumonia patients by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction. *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 64, 366–373. doi: 10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2009.03.030
- Abdeldaim, G. M., Stralin, K., Olcen, P., Blomberg, J., Molling, P., and Herrmann, B. (2013). Quantitative fucK gene polymerase chain reaction on sputum and nasopharyngeal secretions to detect *Haemophilus influenzae* pneumonia. *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 76, 141–146. doi: 10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2013. 02.015
- Anderson, R., Wang, X., Briere, E. C., Katz, L. S., Cohn, A. C., Clark, T. A., et al. (2012). *Haemophilus haemolyticus* isolates causing clinical disease. J. Clin. Microbiol. 50, 2462–2465. doi: 10.1128/JCM.06575-11
- Binks, M. J., Temple, B., Kirkham, L. A., Wiertsema, S. P., Dunne, E. M., Richmond, P. C., et al. (2012). Molecular surveillance of true nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae*: an evaluation of PCR screening assays. *PLoS ONE* 7:e34083. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0034083
- Broom, A., and Sneath, P. H. (1981). Numerical taxonomy of Haemophilus. J. Gen. Microbiol. 126, 123–149.
- Chang, A., Adlowitz, D. G., Yellamatty, E., and Pichichero, M. (2010). Haemophilus influenzae outer membrane protein P6 molecular characterization may not differentiate all strains of H. Influenzae from H. haemolyticus. J. Clin. Microbiol. 48, 3756–3757. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01255-10
- Corless, C. E., Guiver, M., Borrow, R., Edwards-Jones, V., Fox, A. J., and Kaczmarski, E. B. (2001). Simultaneous detection of *Neisseria meningitidis*, *Haemophilus influenzae*, and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in suspected cases of meningitis and septicemia using real-time PCR. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 39, 1553–1558. doi: 10.1128/JCM.39.4.1553-1558.2001
- De Chiara, M., Hood, D., Muzzi, A., Pickard, D. J., Perkins, T., Pizza, M., et al. (2014). Genome sequencing of disease and carriage isolates of nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae* identifies discrete population structure. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 111, 5439–5444. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1403353111
- De Santo, D. A., and White, M. (1933). *Hemophilus hemolyticus* endocarditis. *Am. J. Pathol.* 9, 381–392.
- Frickmann, H., Christner, M., Donat, M., Berger, A., Essig, A., Podbielski, A., et al. (2013). Rapid discrimination of *Haemophilus influenzae*, *H. parainfluenzae*, and H. haemolyticus by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) and two matrixassisted laser-desorption-ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS) platforms. *PLoS ONE* 8:e63222. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0063222
- Fung, W. W., O'dwyer, C. A., Sinha, S., Brauer, A. L., Murphy, T. F., Kroll, J. S., et al. (2006). Presence of copper- and zinc-containing superoxide dismutase in commensal *Haemophilus haemolyticus* isolates can be used as a marker to discriminate them from nontypeable *H. influenzae* isolates. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 44, 4222–4226. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01376-06
- Hare, K. M., Binks, M. J., Grimwood, K., Chang, A. B., Leach, A. J., and Smith-Vaughan, H. (2012). Culture and PCR detection of *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Haemophilus haemolyticus* in Australian Indigenous children with bronchiectasis. J. Clin. Microbiol. 50, 2444–2445. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00566-12
- Johnson, R. W., McGillivary, G., Denoel, P., Poolman, J., and Bakaletz, L. O. (2011). Abrogation of nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae* protein D function reduces phosphorylcholine decoration, adherence to airway epithelial cells, and fitness in a chinchilla model of otitis media. *Vaccine* 29, 1211–1221. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2010.12.003
- Kaur, R., Chang, A., Xu, Q., Casey, J. R., and Pichichero, M. E. (2011). Phylogenetic relatedness and diversity of non-typable *Haemophilus influenzae* in the nasopharynx and middle ear fluid of children with acute otitis media. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 60, 1841–1848. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.034041-0
- Kilian, M. (1976a). The haemolytic activity of *Haemophilus* species. *Acta Pathol. Microbiol. Scand. B* 84B, 339–341.

- Kilian, M. (1976b). A taxonomic study of the genus *Haemophilus*, with the proposal of a new species. *J. Gen. Microbiol.* 93, 9–62. doi: 10.1099/00221287-93-1-9
- Kirkham, L. A., Wiertsema, S. P., Mowe, E. N., Bowman, J. M., Riley, T. V., and Richmond, P. C. (2010). Nasopharyngeal carriage of *Haemophilus haemolyticus* in otitis-prone and healthy children. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 48, 2557–2559. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00069-10
- McCrea, K. W., Wang, M. L., Xie, J., Sandstedt, S. A., Davis, G. S., Lee, J. H., et al. (2010a). Prevalence of the sodC gene in nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Haemophilus haemolyticus* by microarray-based hybridization. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 48, 714–719. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01416-09
- McCrea, K. W., Xie, J., Lacross, N., Patel, M., Mukundan, D., Murphy, T. F., et al. (2008). Relationships of nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae* strains to hemolytic and nonhemolytic *Haemophilus haemolyticus* strains. J. Clin. Microbiol. 46, 406–416. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01832-07
- McCrea, K. W., Xie, J., Marrs, C. F., and Gilsdorf, J. R. (2010b). Prevalence of genetic differences in phosphorylcholine expression between nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Haemophilus haemolyticus*. *BMC Microbiol*. 10:286. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-10-286
- Miller, C., and Branch, A. (1923). Subacute bacterial endocarditis due to a hemolytic hemophilic bacillus. *Arch. Intern. Med.* 32, 911–923. doi: 10.1001/archinte.1923.00110240104006
- Morton, D. J., Hempel, R. J., Whitby, P. W., Seale, T. W., and Stull, T. L. (2012). An invasive *Haemophilus haemolyticus* isolate. J. Clin. Microbiol. 50, 1502–1503. doi: 10.1128/JCM.06688-11
- Murphy, T. F., Brauer, A. L., Sethi, S., Kilian, M., Cai, X., and Lesse, A. J. (2007). *Haemophilus haemolyticus*: a human respiratory tract commensal to be distinguished from *Haemophilus influenzae*. J. Infect. Dis. 195, 81–89. doi: 10.1086/509824
- Nelson, M. B., Munson, R. S. Jr., Apicella, M. A., Sikkema, D. J., Molleston, J. P., and Murphy, T. F. (1991). Molecular conservation of the P6 outer membrane protein among strains of *Haemophilus influenzae*: analysis of antigenic determinants, gene sequences, and restriction fragment length polymorphisms. *Infect. Immun.* 59, 2658–2663.
- Norskov-Lauritsen, N. (2009). Detection of cryptic genospecies misidentified as *Haemophilus influenzae* in routine clinical samples by assessment of marker genes fucK, hap, and sodC. J. Clin. Microbiol. 47, 2590–2592. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00013-09
- Norskov-Lauritsen, N. (2011). Increased level of intragenomic 16S rRNA gene heterogeneity in commensal strains closely related to *Haemophilus influenzae*. *Microbiology* 157, 1050–1055. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.047233-0
- Norskov-Lauritsen, N. (2014). Classification, identification, and clinical significance of haemophilus and aggregatibacter species with host specificity for humans. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 27, 214–240. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00 103-13
- Norskov-Lauritsen, N., Bruun, B., and Kilian, M. (2005). Multilocus sequence phylogenetic study of the genus *Haemophilus* with description of *Haemophilus pittmaniae* sp. nov. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 55, 449–456. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.63325-0
- Norskov-Lauritsen, N., Overballe, M. D., and Kilian, M. (2009). Delineation of the species *Haemophilus influenzae* by phenotype, multilocus sequence phylogeny, and detection of marker genes. *J. Bacteriol.* 191, 822–831. doi: 10.1128/JB.00782-08
- Pickering, J., Binks, M. J., Beissbarth, J., Hare, K. M., Kirkham, L. A., and Smith-Vaughan, H. (2014a). A PCR-high-resolution melt assay for rapid differentiation of nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Haemophilus haemolyticus*. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 52, 663–667. doi: 10.1128/JCM.02191-13
- Pickering, J., Smith-Vaughan, H., Beissbarth, J., Bowman, J. M., Wiertsema, S., Riley, T. V., et al. (2014b). Diversity of nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae* strains colonizing Australian Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal children. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 52, 1352–1357. doi: 10.1128/JCM.03448-13
- Puig, C., Calatayud, L., Marti, S., Tubau, F., Garcia-Vidal, C., Carratala, J., et al. (2013). Molecular epidemiology of nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae*

causing community-acquired pneumonia in adults. *PLoS ONE* 8:e82515. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0082515

- Ridderberg, W., Fenger, M. G., and Norskov-Lauritsen, N. (2010). *Haemophilus influenzae* may be untypable by the multilocus sequence typing scheme due to a complete deletion of the fucose operon. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 59, 740–742. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.018424-0
- Sandstedt, S. A., Zhang, L., Patel, M., McCrea, K. W., Qin, Z., Marrs, C. F., et al. (2008). Comparison of laboratory-based and phylogenetic methods to distinguish between *Haemophilus influenzae* and *H. haemolyticus. J. Microbiol. Methods* 75, 369–371. doi: 10.1016/j.mimet.2008.06.023
- Schumacher, S. K., Marchant, C. D., Loughlin, A. M., Bouchet, V., Stevenson, A., and Pelton, S. I. (2012). Prevalence and genetic diversity of nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae* in the respiratory tract of infants and primary caregivers. *Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J.* 31, 145–149. doi: 10.1097/INF.0b013e3182 3aaeb3
- Smith-Vaughan, H. C., Chang, A. B., Sarovich, D. S., Marsh, R. L., Grimwood, K., Leach, A. J., et al. (2014). Absence of an important vaccine and diagnostic target in carriage- and disease-related nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae*. *Clin. Vaccine Immunol*. 21, 250–252. doi: 10.1128/CVI.00632-13
- Sondergaard, A., Witherden, E. A., Norskov-Lauritsen, L., and Tristram, S. (2014). "Horizontal transfer of chromosomally-encoded resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics between *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Haemophilus haemolyticus*," in *International Pasteurellaceae Conference 2014* (Prato).
- Song, X. M., Forsgren, A., and Janson, H. (1995). The gene encoding protein D (hpd) is highly conserved among *Haemophilus influenzae* type b and nontypeable strains. *Infect. Immun.* 63, 696–699.
- Tateno, Y., Takezaki, N., and Nei, M. (1994). Relative efficiencies of the maximumlikelihood, neighbor-joining, and maximum-parsimony methods when substitution rate varies with site. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 11, 261–277.
- Theodore, M. J., Anderson, R. D., Wang, X., Katz, L. S., Vuong, J. T., Bell, M. E., et al. (2012). Evaluation of new biomarker genes for differentiating *Haemophilus influenzae* from *Haemophilus haemolyticus*. J. Clin. Microbiol. 50, 1422–1424. doi: 10.1128/JCM.06702-11
- Wang, X., Mair, R., Hatcher, C., Theodore, M. J., Edmond, K., Wu, H. M., et al. (2011). Detection of bacterial pathogens in Mongolia meningitis surveillance with a new real-time PCR assay to detect *Haemophilus influenzae*. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 301, 303–309. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmm.2010.11.004
- Witherden, E. A., Kunde, D., and Tristram, S. G. (2013). PCR screening for the N526K substitution in isolates of *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Haemophilus haemolyticus*. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 68, 2255–2258. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkt189
- Zhu, B., Xiao, D., Zhang, H., Zhang, Y., Gao, Y., Xu, L., et al. (2013). MALDI-TOF MS distinctly differentiates nontypable *Haemophilus influenzae* from *Haemophilus haemolyticus*. *PLoS ONE* 8:e56139. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0056139

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 13 October 2014; paper pending published: 08 November 2014; accepted: 15 November 2014; published online: 02 December 2014.

Citation: Pickering J, Richmond PC and Kirkham L-AS (2014) Molecular tools for differentiation of non-typeable Haemophilus influenzae from Haemophilus haemolyticus. Front. Microbiol. **5**:664. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00664

This article was submitted to Infectious Diseases, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology.

Copyright © 2014 Pickering, Richmond and Kirkham. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.