



Emerging Seafood Preservation Techniques to Extend Freshness and Minimize Vibrio Contamination

Jennifer Ronholm¹*, Fiona Lau^{1,2} and Swapan K. Banerjee¹

¹ Microbiology Research Division, Bureau of Microbial Hazards, Food Directorate, Health Products and Food Branch, Health Canada, Ottawa, ON, Canada, ² University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada

Globally, the popularity of seafood consumption is increasing exponentially. To meet the demands of a growing market, the seafood industry has increasingly been innovating ways to keep their products fresh and safe while increasing production. Marine environments harbor several species of indigenous microorganisms, some of which, including Vibrio spp., may be harmful to humans, and all of which are part of the natural microbiota of the seafood. After harvest, seafood products are often shipped over large geographic distances, sometimes for prolonged periods, during which the food must stay fresh and pathogen proliferation must be minimized. Upon arrival there is often a strong desire, arising from both culinary and nutritional considerations, to consume seafood products raw, or minimally cooked. This supply chain along with popular preferences have increased challenges for the seafood industry. This has resulted in a desire to develop methodologies that reduce pathogenic and spoilage organisms in seafood items to comply with regulations and result in minimal changes to the taste, texture, and nutritional content of the final product. This mini-review discusses and compares several emerging technologies, such as treatment with plant derived natural compounds, phage lysis, high-pressure processing, and irradiation for their ability to control pathogenic vibrios, limit the growth of spoilage organisms, and keep the desired organoleptic properties of the seafood product intact.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Amit Kumar Tyagi, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, USA

Reviewed by:

Louis Coroller, Université de Bretagne Occidentale, France Fatih Ozogul, Cukurova University, Turkey

> *Correspondence: Jennifer Ronholm jennifer.ronholm@hc-sc.gc.ca

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Food Microbiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 26 January 2016 Accepted: 07 March 2016 Published: 22 March 2016

Citation:

Ronholm J, Lau F and Banerjee SK (2016) Emerging Seafood Preservation Techniques to Extend Freshness and Minimize Vibrio Contamination. Front. Microbiol. 7:350. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00350 Keywords: Vibrio, seafood, shelf-life extension, irradiation, phage treatment, ozone treatment

INTRODUCTION

Global seafood consumption has increased dramatically in the last few decades from an average of 9.9 kg per capita in the 1960s to 18.9 kg in 2010, this trend is expected to continue, putting additional pressure on our aquaculture systems (FAO, 2014). Post-harvest seafood harbors microorganisms acquired from the harvest site and some of these organisms can facilitate spoilage or be hazardous to human health. Seafood refers to mollusks (oysters, clams, and mussels), finfish, marine mammals, fish eggs (roe), and crustaceans (shrimp, crab, and lobster); however, some commodities are inherently more risky than others. As an example, there were 122 cases of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* infections reported in western Canada between 2001 and 2006, 66.7% of infections were acquired through the consumption of raw oysters (Khaira and Galanis, 2007). Oysters pose the highest risk of infection for two reasons: oysters feed by filtering large volumes of seawater, during this process they may accumulate and concentrate pathogenic microorganisms

that are naturally present in the water and for culinary reasons they are generally consumed without cooking. Therefore, oysters are a primary focus of novel intervention strategies.

Since the 1970s the bacterial pathogens primarily associated with illness due to seafood consumption have been from the genus Vibrio, specifically the species V. cholerae, V. parahaemolyticus, and V. vulnificus (DePaola et al., 2010). These vibrios occur naturally in marine environments, and with the exception of toxigenic V. cholerae O1, are not associated with fecal pollution (DePaola et al., 2010). Infection with V. vulnificus is rare; for example, in Canada only 2% of Vibrio infections (7 of 330 cases) were due to V. vulnificus between 2007 and 2013 (NESP, 2016). However, V. vulnificus infections can manifest as acute gastroenteritis, necrotizing wound infections, or invasive septicemia and have a mortality rate of approximately 50%, making it the leading cause of seafood associated mortality (Mead, 1999). Infection occurs mainly in individuals with pre-existing conditions who have consumed raw oysters (Nishibuchi and DePaola, 2005). While case fatality is lower, V. parahaemolyticus is the leading cause of acute gastroenteritis associated with the consumption of seafood; V. parahaemolyticus was responsible for 60% of Vibrio infections in the USA in 2013 (CDC, 2015), and 57% of Vibrio infections in Canada during 2007-2013 (NESP, 2016).

Several recent epidemiological studies have shown that general measures aimed at preventing environmental contamination and temperature control can be very effective at increasing shelf-life and reducing the prevalence of seafood-borne infections. For example, from 1999 to 2001, in response to a record number (12,318) of cases of V. parahaemolyticus in 1998, Japan released new regulations concerning how commercial enterprises handle seafood, including: the use of sterile or artificial seawater for washing, soaking, preserving, and cooling seafood after it has been boiled, maintaining fresh seafood at or below a temperature of 10°C, not consuming raw seafood with a V. parahaemolyticus level above 100 MPN/g, and consuming food within 2 h of being removed from refrigeration (Hara-Kudo and Kumagai, 2014). These control measures reduced infections rates by 99-fold the year after they were introduced (Hara-Kudo and Kumagai, 2014). In 2003, California instituted a regulation indicating that oysters to be consumed raw could not be harvested from the Gulf of Mexico during April 1-October 1, corresponding to the warmer high-risk season, in an effort to lower the incidence of V. vulnificus infection, which varied between 0 and 6 cases annually. After 2003 no further infections of V. vulnificus have been recorded in that state (Vugia et al., 2013).

The ultimate goal is to safely consume raw seafood, particularly oysters, from all harvest grounds year round. Therefore, novel and non-thermal technologies that are able to reduce pathogens, extend shelf-life, and preserve the nutritional and culinary benefits of the raw product, are strongly desired. Here, we review emerging technologies in terms of efficiency of treatment, mechanism of action, effects on the bacterial cell (**Figure 1**), effects on the food, and overall safety of use (**Table 1**).

OZONE TREATMENT

Ozone treatment, either by gaseous or dissolved forms, is among one of the most powerful oxidizing and food contact sanitizing treatments approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Ozone treatments oxidize various cellular components leading to membrane leakage and eventually cell death (Figure 1), it has high biocidal activity, requires short contact times, and can take place at the aquaculture level or on the final product. As an example of the former, applying 0.07 mg/L of ozone directly to seawater at shrimp hatcheries has been shown to allow the survival of shrimp, but eliminate pathogenic vibrios (Blogoslawski and Stewart, 2011). Studies on seafood spoilage are generally assessed based on several metrics including bacterial levels (CFU/g), the levels of gases produced from seafood breakdown such as total volatile basic nitrogen (TVB-N), trimethylamine nitrogen (TMA-N), total volatile acid (TVA), and ranking the food on fit-for-consumption scales based on sight and smell (Ordóñez et al., 2000; Arkoudelos et al., 2007; Pantazi et al., 2008). These methods are complementary, but each has a high-level of consensus when determining if a seafood product is spoiled (Pantazi et al., 2008). Most research in this area has focused on the ability of ozone to extend shelf-life. Ozone treatment of freshly harvested shrimp was evaluated for the ability to extend shelf-life using bacterial levels, TVB-N, TMA-N, and sensory characteristics as the evaluation criteria. After washing shrimp in ozone treated water for 1 min shelf-life was extended by 1.75 days (Okpala, 2014). Trout filets treated with ozone for two hours, were assessed by (TVB-N) measures, and found to have a shelf-life of 6 days, as compared to 4 days for untreated filets (Dehkordi and Zokaie, 2010). Shucked and vacuum-packaged mussels were assessed by spoilage bacteria levels, TVB-N, and sensory evaluation and were shown to have a shelf-life of 12 days after ozone treatment, as opposed to 9 days without treatment (Manousaridis et al., 2005).

Ozonised water can be used to produce slurry ice; however, the results of using this product to extend the shelf-life of seafood appear to depend on the nature of the seafood product. While, one study found that storage on ozonised slurry ice extended the shelf-life of sardines from 15 to 19 days (Campos et al., 2005), a more recent study found no increase in shelf-life of Tiger grouper, a reef fish, after storage on ozonised slurry ice (Karim et al., 2015).

NATURAL ORGANIC TREATMENTS

Adding essential oils, tea polyphenols, and organic acids to seafood products has been suggested to extend shelf-life, limit pathogen proliferation, and maintain a synthetic preservative free marketing status. Essential oils such as thyme, oregano, rosemary, turmeric, and shallots have been shown to decrease the levels of non-pathogenic spoilage bacteria in seafood, when used in concentrations as low as 0.05 mg/mL (Harpaz et al., 2003; Pezeshk et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012). A variety of polyphenols including catechins, epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG), epigallocatechin,



epicatechin gallate, and epicatechin, can be extracted from tea and have been shown to have antioxidant and antimicrobial properties (Fujiki, 1999). For example, immersing shrimp in a 0.01% catechin solution for only 15 min slowed the growth of spoilage bacteria, reduced the Enterobacteriaceae count, and had other quality enriching effects on the shrimp such as reducing lipid oxidation and melanosis (Nirmal and Benjakul, 2009). Dipping treatments of dried-seasoned jumbo squid in a mixed tea phenol solution also showed a protective effect against bacterial spoilage, moisture loss, oxidation of lipids, and degradation of lipids (Dong et al., 2013). Tea phenol treatment has also been shown to have a synergistic effect when combined with ozone treatment to extend shelf-life, and reduce nucleotide breakdown and lipid oxidation (Feng et al., 2012). Organic acids such as citric acid (300 mg/mL) and lactic acid (150 mg/mL) have been shown to reduce growth of spoilage organisms in freshly shucked oyster sample; in addition, dipping treatments of oysters in each of these organic acids showed a reduction of potentially pathogenic V. vulnificus below the detection level of 1.0 log/g from an initial artificially inoculated concentration of 6.0 log/g (Mahmoud, 2013).

PHAGE TREATMENT

Two different phage groups have shown promise in controlling populations of V. parahaemolyticus in raw oysters: a Siphoviridae phage pVp-1 (Jun et al., 2014), and VPp1a phage isolated from V. parahaemolyticus (Peng et al., 2013). Depuration is a control process in which molluscs are held in potable water that has been treated with chlorine, ozone, or UV light, for a few hours before consumption to reduce their bacterial loads through the process of filter feeding. While this method is very effective in reducing coliform counts, unless it is carried out at low temperature and for a period of days, depuration is generally not effective against vibrios (Phuvasate et al., 2012). However, depuration in the presence of the phage VPp1a was able to reduce V. parahaemolyticus concentrations by 2.35-2.76 log CFU/g over a period of 36 h at 16°C (Rong et al., 2014). The phage pVp-1 was investigated for its ability to eliminate V. parahaemolyticus contamination as applied as both a bath immersion and directly to contaminated oyster meat. Both application strategies resulted in decreases in V. parahaemolyticus population, bath immersion treatment reduced the bacterial counts from 8.9×10^6 CFU/g to 14 CFU/g after 72 h; however, direct application of phage to contaminated meat almost eliminated contamination within 12 h at 18°C, with 1.4×10^6 CFU/g in the control and just 1.9 CFU/g in the treated samples (Jun et al., 2014). However, shellfish presents several challenges for phage treatment, the large and uneven surface area, when applied on in-shell oysters, limits contact time between phage particles and bacterial targets (Guenther et al., 2009).

HIGH PRESSURE PROCESSING

High pressure processing (HPP), is commercially used at a range between 200 and 600 MPa, as an alternative to thermal processing (Considine et al., 2008). HPP treatment as short as 1–2 min on oysters increases shelf-life by as many as 11 days, by lowering the overall bacterial load and in the process kills the oyster causing the adductor muscle to release and making shucking easier (He et al., 2002). Particular attention has been paid to the ability of HPP to reduce *V. parahaemolyticus* and *V. vulnificus* in oysters. At harvest, the density of *V. parahaemolyticus* is generally less than 10³ MPN/g; however, pathogen levels rapidly increase to >10⁶ MPN/g if the storage temperature is not properly controlled (Gooch et al., 2001), and these levels are hazardous to human health. Considerable differences in pressure resistance between V. parahaemolyticus strains have been reported (Kural, 2008) making guidelines for effective HPP treatments to remove V. parahaemolyticus difficult. Differences of $1 \rightarrow 7 \log$ reductions in V. parahaemolyticus have been reported, based on several variables including: pressure level, suspension medium, processing time, processing temperature, and whether a whole shelled oyster or just meat tissue is assayed (Calik et al., 2006; Kural, 2008; Phuvasate and Su, 2015). While increasing pressure and processing time increase the log-reductions observed for V. parahaemolyticus, HPP appears to be more effective against V. parahaemolyticus when carried out at lower temperatures (Phuvasate and Su, 2015). If the temperature is lowered to 1.5°C from 20°C, the processing time can be lowered from 10 to 5 min and the pressure can be lowered to 250 MPa from 300 MPa without a loss in log reduction (Phuvasate and Su, 2015). Storage temperatures of seafood prior to HPP do not appear to affect resistance of V. parahaemolyticus to HPP; however, cold storage may increase the resistance of V. vulnificus to HPP treatment by increasing the percentage of polyunsaturated fatty acid in the cell membrane (Ye et al., 2013). Post-HPP cold storage has been shown to cause additional reductions in cell number after HPP-treatment, which may be occurring due to inhibition of recovery of sub-lethally injured cells (Ye et al., 2013). Despite its advantages, HPP also leads to meat becoming more opaque (Murchie et al., 2005), and results in the cooked

TABLE 1 | Principle, mechanisms of resistance, primary hazards, and the impact on food of each emerging technology.

	Principle	Impact on organoleptic seafood qualities	Mechanisms of bacterial resistance	Primary hazards
Ozone	Oxidizes biologically active macromolecules	 Neutral* Does not oxidize seafood lipids (Chawla et al., 2007) 	– None reported*	 – GRAS – Residues of treatment include CO₂ and H₂O (Gonclaves, 2009)
Phage treatment	Bacteriophages infect and lyse bacterial cells	– Neutral*	 Blocking phage receptors Production of extracellular matrix Preventing DNA entry (Labrie et al., 2010) 	 – GRAS – Naturally found in most food products (Jun et al., 2014)
Natural organic treatments	Natural organic treatments change parameters of the food making it difficult for microbial survival and proliferation	– Variable	– Variable	 Not Hazardous Naturally occurs in foods
High pressure processing	HPP damages cellular membranes, changes cell morphology, and denatures proteins	 Negative Oyster meat becomes whiter and more opaque with increased pressure (Murchie et al., 2005) Results in the cooked appearance fish (Master et al., 2000) 	 Bacterial strains have high variability in resistance, the reasons are not understood* 	– GRAS – Leaves no residue
Irradiation	Directly damages DNA of living organisms	 Neutral No change in the sensory characteristics of oyster meat (Andrews et al., 2003; Jakabi et al., 2003; Song et al., 2009) 	 Variable Low resistance in food borne bacteria 	 – GRAS – Leaves no residue – Approved by several governments including the FDA, UK, and France (Wang et al., 2015) – Can be used on frozen food without the requirement of thawing

*Indicates that additional research is required in this area. GRAS - generally recognized as safe.

appearance of several types of fish (Master et al., 2000), two factors that may limit its acceptance by consumers (**Table 1**).

IRRADIATION

Irradiation of food products has become an emerging technology with promising features to enhance the safety and shelf-life of many different food types. Irradiation offers several unique characteristics including direct inactivation of organisms in frozen foods (Farkas, 1998). The use of gamma irradiation and more recently X-rays to eliminate pathogenic strains of bacteria such as vibrios in live oysters is becoming a popular alternative to thermal treatment (Grodner and Andrews, 1996; Farkas, 1998; Andrews et al., 2003; Jakabi et al., 2003; Mahmoud, 2009).

Gamma irradiation dose levels from 0.5–3.0 kGy have been tested on live oysters with studies showing that the maximum dose of 3.0 kGy did not kill the oysters or affect any of their sensory attributes. Although, reductions of 6-log *V. parahaemolyticus* were observed when dosage levels as low as 1.0 kGy were used (Jakabi et al., 2003). X-ray treatments on laboratory inoculated *V. parahaemolyticus* ready to eat shrimp products treated with 0.1–4 kGy X-ray levels showed a 6-log reduction in CFUs at 3 kGy (Mahmoud, 2009). To achieve a 6log reduction of *V. vulnificus* in oysters 1.0 kGy was required for half shell oysters and 3.0 kGy for whole shell oysters (Mahmoud, 2009).

REFERENCES

- Andrews, L., Jahncke, M., and Mallikarjunan, K. (2003). Low dose gamma irradiation to reduce pathogenic vibrios in live oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*). J. Aquat. Food Prod. Technol. 12, 71–82. doi: 10.1300/J030v12n03_07
- Arkoudelos, J., Stamatis, N., and Samaras, F. (2007). Quality attributes of farmed eel (*Anguilla anguilla*) stored under air, vacuum and modified atmosphere packaging at 0 degrees C. *Food Microbiol.* 24, 728–735. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2007.03.008
- Blogoslawski, W. J., and Stewart, M. E. (2011). Some ozone applications in seafood. *Ozone Sci. Eng.* 33, 368–373. doi: 10.1080/01919512.2011.602006
- Calik, H., Morrissey, M. T., Reno, P. W., and An, H. (2006). Effect of high-pressure processing on Vibrio parahaemolyticus strains in pure culture and pacific oysters. J. Food Sci. 67, 1506–1510. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2621.2002.tb10313.x
- Campos, C. A., Rodriguez, O., Losada, V., Aubourg, S. P., and Barros-Velazquez, J. (2005). Effects of storage in ozonised slurry ice on the sensory and microbial quality of sardine (*Sardina pilchardus*). *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 103, 121–130.
- CDC (2015). Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network (FoodNet): FoodNet Surveillance Report for 2013 (Final Report). Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, CDC.
- Chawla, A., Bell, J., and Marlene, E. J. (2007). Optimization of ozonated water treatment of wild-caught and mechanically peeled shrimp meat. J. Aquat. Food Process. Technol. 16, 41–56. doi: 10.1300/J030v16n02_05
- Considine, K. M., Kelly, A. L., Fitzgerald, G. F., Hill, C., and Sleator, R. D. (2008). High-pressure processing effects on microbial food safety and food quality. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 281, 1–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2008.01084.x
- Daglia, M. (2012). Polyphenols as antimicrobial agents. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 23, 174–181. doi: 10.1016/j.copbio.2011.08.007
- Dave, S. A. (1999). Efficacy of Ozone Against Salmonella Enteritidis in Aqueous Suspensions and on Poultry Meat. Master thesis, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio. 26–68.
- Dehkordi, B. M., and Zokaie, N. (2010). Extension of fish shelf life by ozone treatment. *Int. J. Environ. Chem. Ecol. Geol. Geophys. Eng.* 4, 108–110.

CONCLUSION

Here, we have presented a short overview of several novel seafood preservation interventions along with the effect they have on bacterial cells (Figure 1), seafood quality, and possible hazards (Table 1). None of the technologies reviewed here represents a hazard in the food supply. However, some have unique advantages: the effectiveness of irradiation on frozen food without the need for thawing prior to treatment, and disadvantages: the bleaching of oyster meat exposed to HPP. Based on the variability of the effectiveness of the intervention, and the effects of the intervention on the seafood product we note the importance of validation of each sanitization strategy on each seafood product prior to routine use.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors listed, have made substantial, direct and intellectual contribution to the work, and approved it for publication.

FUNDING

This study was funded from Heath Canada A-Base funding.

- DePaola, A., Jones, J. L., Woods, J., Burkhardt, W., Calci, K. R., Krantz, J. A., et al. (2010). Bacterial and viral pathogens in live oysters: 2007 united states market survey. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 76, 2754–2768. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02590-09
- Dong, L., Zhu, J., Li, X., and Li, J. (2013). Effect of tea polyphenols on the physical and chemical characteristics of dried-seasoned squid (*Dosidicus gigas*) during storage. *Food Control* 31, 586–592. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.10.014
- FAO (2014) The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture, Part I, World Review of Fisheries and Aquaculture, 3–93. Available at: http://www.fao.org/publicat ions/card/en/c/097d8007-49a4-4d65-88cd-fcaf6a969776/ [accessed January 22, 2016].
- Farkas, J. (1998). Irradiation as a method for decontaminating food. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 44, 189–204. doi: 10.1016/S0168-1605(98)00132-9
- Feng, L., Jiang, T., Wang, Y., and Li, J. (2012). Effects of tea polyphenol coating combined with ozone water washing on the storage quality of black sea bream (*Sparus macrocephalus*). *Food Chem.* 135, 2915–2921. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.07.078
- Fujiki, H. (1999). Two stages of caner provention with green tea. J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol. 125, 589–597. doi: 10.1007/s004320050321
- Gonclaves, A. (2009). Ozone an emerging technology for the seafood industry. *Braz. Arch. Biol. Technol.* 52, 1527–1539. doi: 10.1590/S1516-89132009000600025
- Gooch, J. A., DePaola, A., Kaysner, C. A., and Marshall, D. L. (2001). Evaluation of two direct plating methods using nonradioactive probes for enumeration of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in oysters. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 67, 721–724. doi: 10.1128/AEM.67.2.721-724.2001
- Grodner, R. M., and Andrews, L. S. (1996). Effects of low dose gamma irradiation on the bacterial microflora of freshly picked crabmeat. *AGRIS* 48, 211–216.
- Guenther, S., Huwyler, D., Richard, S., and Loessner, M. J. (2009). Virulent bacteriophage for efficient biocontrol of listeria monocytogenes in ready-to-eat foods. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 75, 93–100. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01711-08
- Hamelin, C. (1985). Production of single- and double- stranded breaks in plasmid DNA by ozone. Oncol. Biol. Phys. 11, 253–257. doi: 10.1016/0360-3016(85)90146-4

- Hara-Kudo, Y., and Kumagai, S. (2014). Impact of seafood regulations for Vibrio parahaemolyticus infection and verification by analyses of seafood contamination and infection. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 142, 2237–2247. doi: 10.1017/S0950268814001897
- Harpaz, S., Glatman, L., Drabkin, V., and Gelman, A. (2003). Effects of herbal essential oils used to extend the shelf life of freshwater-reared Asian sea bass fish (*Lates calcarifer*). J. Food Prot. 66, 410–417.
- He, H., Adams, R. M., Farkas, D. F., and Morrissey, M. T. (2002). Use of highpressure processing for oyster shucking and shelf-life extension. J. Food Sci. 67, 640–645. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2621.2002.tb10652.x
- Jakabi, M., Gelli, D. S., Torre, J. C. M. D., Rodas, M. A. B., Franco, B. D. G. M., Destro, M. T., et al. (2003). Inactivation by ionizing radiation of *Salmonella* enteritidis, *Salmonella* infantis, and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in oysters (*Crassostrea brasiliana*). J. Food Prot. 66, 1025–1029.
- Jun, J. W., Kim, H. J., Yun, S. K., Chai, J. Y., and Park, S. C. (2014). Eating oysters without risk of vibriosis: application of a bacteriophage against *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in oysters. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 188, 31–35. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2014.07.007
- Karim, N. U., Nasir, N., Arifin, B., and Ismail, M. (2015). Effect of salt and ozonizedslurry ice on the quality indices of Tiger grouper. J. Sustainab. Sci. Manag. 10, 97–102.
- Khaira, G., and Galanis, E. (2007). Descriptive epidemiology of Vibrio parahaemolyticus and other Vibrio species Infections in British Columbia: 2001-2006. Can. Commun. Dis. Rep. 33:11.
- Kural, A. (2008). Conditions for a 5-log reduction of Vibrio vulnificus in oysters through high hydrostatic pressure treatment. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 122, 180– 187. doi: 10.1016/j.jjfoodmicro.2007.11.074
- Labrie, S. J., Samson, J. E., and Moineau, S. (2010). Bacteriophage resistance mechanisms. Nat. Rev. Micribiol. 8, 317–327. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2315
- Lambert, R. J., Skandamis, P. N., Coote, P. J., and Nychas, G. J. (2001). A study of the minimum inhibitory concentration and mode of action of oregano essential oil, thymol and carvacrol. J. Appl. Microbiol. 91, 453–462.
- Li, T., Li, J., Hu, W., Zhang, X., Li, X., and Zhao, J. (2012). Shelf-life extension of crucian carp (*Carassius auratus*) using natural preservatives during chilled storage. *Food Chem.* 135, 140–145. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.04.115
- Mahmoud, B. S. M. (2009). Effect of X-ray treatments on inoculated Escherichia coli O157: H7, Salmonella enterica, Shigella flexneri and Vibrio parahaemolyticus in ready-to-eat shrimp. Food Microbiol. 26, 860–864. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2009.05.013
- Mahmoud, B. S. M. (2013). Controlling Vibrio vulnificus and spoilage bacteria in fresh shucked oysters using natural antimicrobials. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 58, 1–7. doi: 10.1111/lam.12152
- Manas, P., and Pagan, R. (2005). Microbial inactivation by new technologies of food preservation. J. Appl. Microbiol. 98, 1387–1399.
- Manousaridis, G., Nerantzaki, A., Paleologos, E. K., Tsiotsias, A., Savvaidis, I. N., and Kontominas, M. G. (2005). Effect of ozone on microbial, chemical and sensory attributes of shucked mussles. *Food Microbiol.* 22, 1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2004.06.003
- Master, A. M., Stegeman, D., Kals, J., and Bartels, P. V. (2000). Effects of high pressure on colour and texture of fish. *Int. J. High Pressure Res.* 19, 109–115. doi: 10.1080/08957950008202543
- Mead, P. (1999). Food-related illness and death in the united states. *Emerg. Infect.* Dis. 5, 607–625. doi: 10.3201/eid0505.990502
- Murchie, L. W., Cruz-Romero, M., Kerry, J. P., Linton, M., Patterson, M. F., Smiddy, M., et al. (2005). High pressure processing of shellfish: a review of microbiological and other quality aspects. *Innov. Food Sci. Emerg. Technol.* 6, 257–270. doi: 10.1016/j.ifset.2005.04.001
- NESP (2016). National Enteric Surveillance Program (NESP), National Microbiology Laboratory, Public Health Agency of Canada. Available at: https://www.nml-ln m.gc.ca/NESP-PNSME/index-eng.htm (accessed January, 2016).
- Nirmal, N. P., and Benjakul, S. (2009). Melanosis and quality changes of pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) treated with catechin during iced storage. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 57, 3578–3586. doi: 10.1021/jf900051e

- Nishibuchi, M., and DePaola, A. (2005). Foodborne Pathogens Microbiology and Molecular Biology. Norfolk: Caister Academic Press.
- Okpala, C. O. R. (2014). Quality evaluation and shelf life of minimal ozone-treated Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) stored on ice. *J. Verbr. Lebensm.* 10, 49–57. doi: 10.1007/s00003-014-0904-x
- Ordóñez, J. A., López Gálvez, D. E., Fernández, M., Hierro, E., and de la Hoz, L. (2000). Microbial and physicochemical modifications of hake (*Merluccius merluccius*) steaks stored under carbon dioxide enriched atmospheres. J. Sci. Food Agric. 80, 1831–1840. doi: 10.1002/1097-0010(200010)80:13<1831::AID-JSFA707>3.0.CO;2-Z
- Pantazi, D., Papavergou, A., Pournis, N., Kontominas, M. G., and Savvaidis, I. N. (2008). Shelf-life of chilled fresh Mediterranean swordfish (*Xiphias gladius*) stored under various packaging conditions: microbiological, biochemical and sensory attributes. *Food Microbiol.* 25, 136–143. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2007.06.006
- Peng, Y., Ding, Y. J., Lin, H., and Wang, J. X. (2013). Isolation, identification and lysis properties analysis of a *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* phage VPp1. *Mar. Sci.* 37, 96–101.
- Pezeshk, S., Rezaei, M., and Hosseini, H. (2011). Effects of turmeric, shallot extracts, and their combination on quality characteristics of vacuum-packaged rainbow trout stored at 4±1 °C. *J. Food Sci.* 76, M387–M391. doi: 10.1111/j.1750-3841.2011.02242.x
- Phuvasate, S., Chen, M. H., and Su, Y. C. (2012). Reductions of Vibrio parahaemolyticus in Pacific oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*) by depuration at various temperatures. Food Microbiol. 31, 51–56. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2012.02.004
- Phuvasate, S., and Su, Y.-C. (2015). Efficacy of low-temperature high hydrostatic pressure processing in inactivating *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in culture suspension and oyster homogenate. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 196, 11–15. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2014.11.018
- Ricke, S. C. (2003). Perspectives on the use of organic acids and short chain fatty acids as antimicrobials. *Poult. Sci.* 82, 632–639. doi: 10.1093/ps/82.4.632
- Rong, R., Lin, H., Wang, J., Khan, M. N., and Li, M. (2014). Reductions of Vibrio parahaemolyticus in oysters after bacteriophage application during depuration. Aquaculture 41, 171–176. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2013.09.028
- Song, H. P., Kim, B., Jung, S., Choe, J. H., Yun, H., Kim, Y. J., et al. (2009). Effect of gamma and electron beam irradiation on the survival of pathogens inoculated into salted, seasoned, and fermented oyster. *Food Sci. Technol.* 42, 1320–1324.
- Sykes, G. (1965). *Disinfection and Sterilization*, 2nd Edn. London: E. & F.N. Spon., Ltd.
- Trudil, D. (2015). Phage lytic enzymess: a history. Virol. Sin. 30, 26–32. doi: 10.1007/s12250-014-3549-0
- Vugia, D. J., Tabnak, F., Newton, A. E., Hernandez, M., and Griffin, P. M. (2013). Impact of 2003 state regulation on raw oyster–associated Vibrio vulnificus illnesses and deaths, California, USA. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 19, 1276–1280. doi: 10.3201/eid1908.121861
- Wang, W., Li, M., and Li, Y. (2015). Intervention strategies for reducing Vibrio parahaemolyticus in seafood: a review. J. Food Sci. 80, R10–R19. doi: 10.1111/1750-3841.12727
- Ye, M., Huang, Y., Gurtler, J. B., Niemira, B. A., Sites, J. E., and Chen, H. (2013). Effects of pre- or post-processing storage conditions on high-hydrostatic pressure inactivation of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* and *V. vulnificus* in oysters. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 163, 146–152. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2013.02.019

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Ronholm, Lau and Banerjee. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.