



## The Skin Microbiome: Is It Affected by UV-induced Immune Suppression?

#### VijayKumar Patra<sup>1,2</sup>, Scott N. Byrne<sup>3</sup> and Peter Wolf<sup>1</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup> Research Unit for Photodermatology, Department of Dermatology, Medical University of Graz, Graz, Austria, <sup>2</sup> Center for Medical Research, Medical University of Graz, Graz, Austria, <sup>3</sup> Cellular Photoimmunology Group, Infectious Diseases and Immunology, Sydney Medical School, The Charles Perkins Center Hub at The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

Human skin apart from functioning as a physical barricade to stop the entry of pathogens, also hosts innumerable commensal organisms. The skin cells and the immune system constantly interact with microbes, to maintain cutaneous homeostasis, despite the challenges offered by various environmental factors. A major environmental factor affecting the skin is ultraviolet radiation (UV-R) from sunlight. UV-R is well known to modulate the immune system, which can be both beneficial and deleterious. By targeting the cells and molecules within skin, UV-R can trigger the production and release of antimicrobial peptides, affect the innate immune system and ultimately suppress the adaptive cellular immune response. This can contribute to skin carcinogenesis and the promotion of infectious agents such as herpes simplex virus and possibly others. On the other hand, a UV-established immunosuppressive environment may protect against the induction of immunologically mediated skin diseases including some of photodermatoses such as polymorphic light eruption. In this article, we share our perspective about the possibility that UV-induced immune suppression may alter the landscape of the skin's microbiome and its components. Alternatively, or in concert with this, direct UV-induced DNA and membrane damage to the microbiome may result in pathogen associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) that interfere with UV-induced immune suppression.

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Martin Grube, University of Graz, Austria

#### Reviewed by:

Juris A. Grasis, San Diego State University, USA David William Waite, University of Queensland, Australia

#### \*Correspondence:

Peter Wolf peter.wolf@medunigraz.at

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Microbial Symbioses, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

**Received:** 14 March 2016 **Accepted:** 25 July 2016 **Published:** 10 August 2016

#### Citation:

Patra V, Byrne SN and Wolf P (2016) The Skin Microbiome: Is It Affected by UV-induced Immune Suppression? Front. Microbiol. 7:1235. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.01235 Keywords: skin microbiome, ultraviolet radiation, immune suppression, innate immunity, environmental factors

## **SKIN MICROBIOME**

#### Introduction

The human skin is the largest organ of the body with a diverse physical, chemical, and biological ecosystem over a surface of 1.8 m<sup>2</sup>. The epithelial surface engages itself in a mutualistic relationship with a wide range of composite microorganisms, including bacteria, fungi, viruses, and mites, all of them residing on or within the skin. Around 1 million bacteria reside per square centimeter of skin surface, making up an estimated total of 10<sup>10</sup> bacterial cells growing on the entire skin of the human body (Grice et al., 2008; Hannigan and Grice, 2013). The majority of those bacteria are commensals or transients. The diversity of the skin's microbiome is due to the diverse environments present on the skin, resulting from a divergent physical nature of the skin, humidity, temperature, pH, lipid and sebum content, as well as antimicrobial peptide (AMP) expression (Nakatsuji et al., 2013). Considering the capacity for a robust cutaneous immune system to rapidly detect and eliminate foreign invaders, it is intriguing that innumerable numbers of microorganisms reside on the skin surface and also extend to sub-epidermal compartments, associated appendages such as, hair follicles and sebaceous glands (Nakatsuji et al., 2013; Belkaid and Segre, 2014).

1

Sequencing the bacterial 16S small-subunit ribosomal RNA gene reveals that the skin surface is dominated by Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Bacteriodetes, and Firmicutes (Grice et al., 2008). Colonization varies topographically; for example, Proteobacteria and Staphylococcus spp. are abundantly present on the skin surface and are deeply intertwined between themselves and other microorganisms. Recent studies indicate that specific bacterial communities are associated with moist, dry or sebaceous microenvironments of the skin. Moist areas such as umbilicus, the axillary vault, inguinal crease, gluteal crease, foot, popliteal fossa, and the antecubital fossa have an abundance of Staphylococcus and Corynebacterium spp. and these organisms are well known to prefer skin sites with high humidity. The dry areas such as buttocks, forearms and certain other parts of the hand have the most intertwined collection of phyla Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria, Firmicutes, and Bacteriodetes (Grice et al., 2008). Interestingly, these dry sites are known to have an abundance of gram-negative bacteria which were thought to colonize very rarely on the skin. When compared to the gut or the oral cavity, dry skin sites are home to a much greater phylogenetic diversity of bacteria (Gao et al., 2007; Costello et al., 2009; Grice et al., 2009). The lowest bacterial diversity is seen around the sebaceous sites, which suggests that only few bacterial communities can flourish under those conditions (Costello et al., 2009). The forehead, retroauricular crease (behind the ears), the back and the alar crease (sides of the nose) are few of the sebaceous sites containing low phylotype richness. Propionibacterium spp. dominate the sebaceous skin areas like the hair follicle, hair shaft, and the sebaceous gland (Costello et al., 2009).

#### Fungi

The skin microbiome is not only limited to bacteria, but extends to fungal species as well, which have major roles in health, and disease. Genomic methods to characterize fungal species are very limited when compared to that available for the bacteria. Studies done by Findley et al. (2013) described fungal communities residing in the skin by sequencing 18s rRNA (phylogenetic marker within ribosomal RNA region) and ITS1 (internal transcribed spacer 1 region). They observed that the genus Malassezia predominated across the 11 core body and arm sites of their study (back, occiput, external auditory canal, inguinal crease, retroauricular crease, glabella, manubrium, nare, anticubital fossa, volar forearm, hypothenar palm, plantar heel, toe nail, and toe-web space). Plantar heel had the highest diversity of fungal species, with a mixed representation of Malassezia, Aspergillus, Cryptococcus, Rhodotorula, Epicoccum, and few others (Findley et al., 2013). ITS1 sequencing also revealed that Candida species like tropicalis, parapsilosis, and orthopsilosis, and Cryptococcus species flavus, dimennae, and diffluens were observed on and within the skin. This may be important as these species are thought to be potential pathogens in wounds of immunocompetent subjects or immunocompromised patients in general (Larone, 2002; Findley et al., 2013).

#### Archaea

Probst et al. (2013) reported about the less known archaea found on the human skin based on the 16S rRNA sequencing. They observed around 4% of the overall microbial genes was found to be archaeal 16s rRNA genes. They also found that around 88% of all the observed operational taxonomical units (OTUs) consisted of phyla Thaumarchaeota with the rest being Euryarchaeota (Probst et al., 2013). As bacteria constitute the bulk of the microbiome, archaea have received little research attention. Advances in detection technologies now allow to detect all the archaeal taxa and study its potential prevalence on the skin and understand its impact on health and diseases. The prevalence of archaea within the human microbiome has been addressed in a recent review by Horz (2015).

#### Viruses

Skin viral microbiota is one of the most rarely investigated subsets of the human microbiome, as the skin related viruses cannot be cultivated and do not portray consensus sequences to be detected by molecular methods. In recent years, there has been increasing evidence suggesting that healthy skin harbors resident or short-lived viruses, such as, human alpha, beta, and gamma papillomaviruses ( $\alpha$ -HPV,  $\beta$ -HPV, and  $\gamma$ -HPV) present on and within the upper layers of human skin (Chen et al., 2008; Antonsson et al., 2003a,b). By applying the functional metagenomic methods (Hannigan et al., 2015) to skin samples have also led to observing new viral species such as Polyomoaviridae family (Foulongne et al., 2012). Foulongne et al. (2012) reported that eukaryotic DNA viruses on human skin consisted of Papillomaviridae, Polyomoaviridae, and Circoviridae. They also observed 13 new  $\gamma$ -HPV strains present on the healthy skin (Foulongne et al., 2012). These reports indicate the presence of cutaneous viral microbiota and their possible involvement in various proliferative skin diseases.

#### Mites

Small arthropods such as *Demodex* mites (*Demodex folliculorum* and *Demodex brevis*) have long been associated with rosacea and other skin conditions such as chronic blepharitis (Georgala et al., 2001; Lacey et al., 2007; Elston, 2010). These mites are usually found on the facial skin, around the pilosebaceous glands and the hair follicles and are thought to be a part of commensal skin microbiota, as they have prevalence rates between 23 and 100% in healthy individuals (Norn, 1971; Rufli and Mumcuoglu, 1981). Furthermore, *Demodex mites* are also associated with cutaneous conditions of rosacea-like appearance, commonly clubbed as demodicosis or demodicidosis, though their presence on the skin most commonly remains asymptomatic.

## **Factors Affecting Skin**

The skin is one the most exposed organs of our body, which provides a physical defense from the external environment and most often reacts appropriately to the wide range of hazards encountered. To list only a few of the environmental hazards to the skin microbiome, exposure to UV-R, pollutants, climatic elements at certain geographic locations, occupation, and exaggerated hygiene have to be noted. Despite all these environmental assaults, the microbiome and the host must maintain cutaneous homeostasis to sustain normal physiological behavior.

### **UV-R AND IMMUNE SUPPRESSION**

Among the above mentioned factors, UV-R is one of the most prominent factors linked to skin hazards. UV-R, especially UV-B (280-315 nm) and UV-A (315-400 nm) are known to be involved in skin freckling, wrinkling, photo allergic, and phototoxic responses and tumor induction and progression (Krutmann, 2000; Lee et al., 2013). One of the widely known aspects of UV-R on the skin is the ability to induce photoproducts such as cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers and subsequently occurring mutations, which are linked to carcinogenesis (Lee et al., 2013). Immune suppression is also induced by UV-R and is considered to be another of its harmful impacts (Phan et al., 2006). Kripke et al. (1977) first discovered that UV-R exposure and immune suppression were linked to UV-induced carcinogenesis (Schwarz, 2010). Since then, the immunomodulating properties of UV-R were confirmed by employing contact hypersensitivity (CHS) models in mice (Toews et al., 1980; Elmets et al., 1983) as well as in humans (Cooper et al., 1992; Kelly et al., 2000; Wolf et al., 2003). UV-R-induced immune suppression is known be mediated through T cells (Elmets et al., 1983). The relation of immune suppression linked to various subtypes of regulatory immune cells such as regulatory T cells (Tregs) (Schwarz, 2008; Schweintzger et al., 2015; Schweintzger et al., 2016) and regulatory B cells (Bregs) (Byrne and Halliday, 2005) depend on UV-R doses, antigens and type of immune response (i.e., CHS vs. DTH). Some of the key events observed in the skin after the UV-R exposure are shown in Figure 1. The most studied photochemical reactions triggered by UV-R are DNA damage (Applegate et al., 1989), isomerization of urocanic acid (De Fabo and Noonan, 1983), and formation of reactive biophospholipids such as platelet activating factor (PAF) (Wolf et al., 2006) in the skin. Cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-a (Wolf et al., 2000), interleukin (IL)-4, IL-10, IL-33 (Byrne et al., 2011), and prostaglandin E2 (Shreedhar et al., 1998) are expressed and upregulated after UV-R exposure. In healthy skin, the expression of these cytokines by UV-R leads to infiltration of suppressor macrophage and neutrophils (Cooper et al., 1985, 1986, 1993. Furthermore, UV-R also induces the emigration of Langerhans cells (LC) from the epidermis into the draining lymph nodes (Toews et al., 1980; Noonan et al., 1984) and affects mast cells which are known to be involved in immune suppression (Hart et al., 2001). In addition to DNA damage, cis-urocanic acid (UCA) is a UV-induced immunosuppressive molecule (De Fabo and Noonan, 1983), acting via the 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> receptor (Walterscheid et al., 2006; Wolf et al., 2016). Upon exposure to UV-R, transurocanic acid (trans-UCA) is converted to the cis isoform, which accumulates on the stratum corneum and epidermis. Kubica et al. (2014) observed temperate changes in the skin microbiome of capase-14 deficient mice, which are generally characterized by reduced overall levels of UCA (Kubica et al., 2014). Interestingly,

it is known that caspase-14 controls the proteolysis of fillagrin and patients with fillagrin mutations are more likely to develop atopic dermatitis (AD), which in turn is associated with alterations of microbial load on the skin (McAleer and Irvine, 2013). Another immunosuppressive factor released upon UV exposure is PAF that binds to the PAF receptor, resulting in a cascade of downstream events that lead to the release of IL-10, and ultimately immune suppression (Walterscheid et al., 2002; Wolf et al., 2006).

Since UV-R suppresses the immune system and previous research has shown in experimental models that UV-R can suppress the immune response to infectious microorganisms (Chapman et al., 1995), one can speculate that exposure to UV-R could enhance susceptibility to microbial infections and/or it could worsen infectious diseases. However, clinical evidence of the increased infections after UV-R exposure remains very low, with a few exceptions. It has been known for a long time that UV exposure can trigger and/or exacerbate herpes simplex virus (HSV) manifestations (Norval, 2006). A UV dose dependent induction was observed on the lips of patients harboring the latent infections of HSV-1 (type 1). This was also reported in cases of HSV-2 (type 2). Local modifications of the immune system are thought to be the involved in the reactivation of the latent HSV infection (Wheeler, 1975; Tesini et al., 1977; Spruance, 1985). In immunocompetent humans, Candida albicans is known to cause (minor) infections of the skin and mucosa of the genital and gastrointestinal tract, however, in immunosuppressed patients it can cause life-threatening systemic infections. Importantly to note, suppression of the response to candida albicans antigen is used in one of the standard assays to quantify immune suppression induced by UV-R (Wolf et al., 2016). In mice, it is also known that UV-R decreases the delayed type hypersensitivity (DTH) responses and significantly modifies the course of the infection caused by Mycobacterium bovis bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG), which is closely related to the organism causing tuberculosis in humans (Jeevan and Kripke, 1989). Higher number of viable bacilli were observed in the peripheral lymph nodes of mice irradiated with UV, compared to that of unirradiated mice (Jeevan and Kripke, 1989). This phenomenon was similarly observed in mice infected with Mycobacterium lepraemurium, a pathogen known to cause infections which to some extent resemble leprosy in humans (Jeevan et al., 1992). In humans, experimental exposure to UV-R reduced the granulomatous reaction in individuals sensitized with lepromin (antigens of Mycobacterium lepraemurium) (Cestari et al., 1995). Brown et al. (2001) reported that exposure to UV-R can alter the immune responses in mice infected by Borrelia burgdorferi and intensify the associated arthritic component (that resembles Lyme disease in humans) (Brown et al., 2001).

#### **Antimicrobial Peptides**

AMPs are small proteins, known to have microbicidal activity. Besides being microbicidal, they possess immunomodulating properties. AMPs are mostly produced by the cells in constant exposure to microorganisms. In the skin there are two main classes of AMPs, i.e.,  $\beta$ -defensins and cathelicidins. In humans LL-37 is the only cathelicidin found, which is expressed by



various epithelial cells such as keratinocytes in inflamed skin (Nivonsaba et al., 2007). Apart from defensins and cathelicidins, skin also constitutively expresses a wide range of AMPs like RNase 7 (ribonuclease 7), S100A7 (Psoriasin, calcium binding protein), and dermcidin (that is sweat gland derived). AMPs production can be increased during inflammation or in cases of infection. The production of these AMPs, including beta defensins, cathelicidins, ribonucleases, and S100 proteins, are triggered by various pathogen or damage associated molecular patterns (PAMPs/DAMPs), exogenous microbial danger signals like Toll-like receptor (TLR) agonists, or endogenous mediators of inflammation such as TNF-α, IL-1, IFN-γ, and IL-17 (Biragyn et al., 2002; Chadebech et al., 2003; Joly et al., 2005; Kolls et al., 2008). It is also known that UV-R induces productio of AMPs, as essential components and triggers of the innate immune system. Studies have shown that UV-R induces human beta defensin 2 (hBD2), hBD3, ribonuclease 7 (RNase7), S100A7 (psoriasin), S100A12 and elafin by keratinocytes in vitro and in vivo (Yang et al., 2002; Hong et al., 2008; Gläser et al., 2009; Felton et al., 2013; Kennedy Crispin et al., 2013). One mechanism of UV-induction of AMPs could involve production of the active form of Vitamin D (Wang et al., 2004). Alternatively, or in concert with this, Vitamin D<sub>3</sub> itself could be suppressing adaptive immune responses (Damian et al., 2010) and/or tempering inflammatory events in UV-exposed skin (Mason et al., 2010).

Apart from participating in innate immune responses, AMPs are also involved in activating and mediating adaptive immune responses (Yang et al., 1999, 2002; Biragyn et al., 2002; Niyonsaba et al., 2007; Navid et al., 2012). The much abundant skin's physiologically beneficial microbiome vastly depends upon AMPs to be kept and maintained in homeostasis in order to release and allow the immune system to mount an immune response, when needed, and protecting against invading pathogens.

# UV-R, SKIN MICROBIOME, AND IMMUNE SYSTEM INTERACTION

In the last years the cellular components of the acquired (i.e., adaptive) immune response and to a lesser extent of the innate immune system and its changes after UV-R were characterized extensively. To date, relatively little is known about the effect of UV-R on the microbiome of the skin and how this affects the immune response after UV-R. Since the skin microbiome is established all over the skin surface and reaches deep down into appendages, logic dictates that it experiences similar impacts from UV-R as mammalian skin cells do (Figure 2). This exposure to UV-R can alter/damage the microbial community,

possibly resulting in disruption of microbial components and/or formation of bacterial antigens, some of which may become immunogenic.

#### UV-R and the Skin Microbiome

Much of the skin microbiome at sun-exposed body sites is directly exposed to solar UV-R, either completely or during much of its life cycle. UV-R can impose an intense change in the microbial species and its genotypic composition at exposed sites, depending upon the exposure time and intensity of UV-R. Some bacteria and fungi show a selective tolerance to UV-R for part of their life cycles, and are often vulnerable to the effects of UV during sporulation, diffusion and other processes such as infection. One of the major effects of UV-R on microbes is DNA damage, which can result in an increase in genetic variation or can alter the landscape of the microbial communities, thus disrupting the healthy microbiome (Rothschild, 1999). However, not all microbes are susceptible to the damaging effects of UV-R. For instance, many fungi show photomorphogenic effects upon exposure to UV-R. Wang et al. (2012) showed that the production of porphyrins by *Propionibacterium acnes (P. acnes)* was decreased with increased doses of UV-R, which provides evidence that facial bacteria are responsive to UV-R. They also observed the decrease of porphyrin production by P. acnes, at doses lower than 20 mJ/cm<sup>2</sup> of UV-B. This indicates that P. acnes responds to UV-B even before a significant skin injury can be detected (Wang et al., 2012).

Malassezia spp. which also belong to the commensal microflora, is commonly causing pityriasis versicolor, a common skin disease condition particularly occurring in tropical regions but also moderate latitudes. At the site of the typical brownishwhite scaly skin lesions of manifested disease, a sunburn response can hardly be provoked. Pityriacitrin, a UV-filtering compound which is produced by Malassezia furfur is believed to be protective. On the other hand, UV-R is well known to inhibit the cellular growth of Malassezia furfur (Wikler et al., 1990). Machowinski et al. (2006) looked into the effects of pityriacitrin on the other commensals residing on skin and observed that Malassezia/Pityrosporum was inhibited by UV-R and was much more sensitive than other commensals. It is hypothesized that fungi developed this UV-filter to reduce UV sensitivity which helps to grow and survive by competing with other commensals. However, Machowinski et al. (2006) they did not find any negative effect of pityriacitrin on other common skin commensals such as Staphyloccoccus aureus, Staphylococcus epidermidis, or Candida albicans.

Among viral populations, UV-R is known to be a stimulus for HSV. UV-R has been found to activate herpes virus promotor(s) and transcription factors such as c-jun (Stein et al., 1992; Loiacono et al., 2003). However, the complete mechanisms by which UV-R triggers clinical HSV manifestations have not been understood so far, but it is thought that UV effects on the immune system may contribute. In addition, there is some evidence that UV exposure may be a reactivation trigger of latent Varicella zoster virus infection, resulting in herpes zoster (Zak-Prelich et al., 2002).

Human papillomaviruses (HPVs), especially which come under the group epidermodysplasia verruciformis (EV) types, are known to be widespread on human skin (Norval, 2006). The EV HPV types have been linked to skin carcinogenesis in the genetic disease of EV (Lewandowsky-Lutz dysplasia). However, EV HPV types are also often found in non-melanoma skin cancers of the normal population though their pathologic significance in the later population remains uncertain. HPV appears to be part of the commensal flora of the skin, making it a challenge identifying causal relationships between HPV presence and skin conditions, including cancer (Neale et al., 2013). For instance, EV HPV was also found in hair follicles of psoriasis patients, in particular treated with psoralen + UV-A radiation, whereas its expression was not observed in patients who had received no treatment (Wolf et al., 2004). Together, however, there is increasing evidence suggesting that UV-R could affect the homeostasis between certain EV HPV types and the host, thus driving the infection from a latent stage to potentially oncogenic (Norval, 2006).

Patients suffering from AD were shown to have increased Staphylococcus aureus colonization on the skin, which was reduced after treatment with UV-B. Interestingly, UV-B had no effect on Staphylococcus epidermidis, possibly due to the fact that Staphylococcus epidermidis is mostly located around hair follicles, whereas Staphylococcus aureus is present on superficial skin layers more accessible for UV-B (Silva et al., 2006; Dotterud et al., 2008). Another study covered the effect of antimicrobial photodynamic therapy (APDT) which involved killing of microbes using light along with a photosensitizer. Almost all species used in the study were susceptible to APDT in vitro. Killing of Staphylococcus epidermidis and Staphylococcus aureus was significantly higher with natural sunlight than with polychromatic visible light produced by a standard slide projector (Zeina et al., 2001). Intriguingly, PDT was found to be effective in antibiotic-resistant folliculitis (Horn and Wolf, 2007). Blue light treatment (Charakida et al., 2004; Noborio et al., 2007) and conventional UV phototherapy (Rassai et al., 2014) may act beneficially in acne vulgaris by altering the skin microbiome and reducing Propionibacterium acnes density. Indeed, UV-R is known to be bactericidal and can break lipopolysaccharides (LPSs), lipoteichoic acids (LTAs) and other bacterial metabolites which have immunomodulatory properties (Liu et al., 2010; Weill et al., 2013). Moreover, PDT light treatment may not only work by direct effects on microorganisms but also by modulating the immune response directed against them (Reginato et al., 2014). However, the exact effects of UV-R on the skin microbiome are largely unexplored and its effects on archaea or skin mites is least known. In depth studies are warranted to understand the effects of UV-R, as with the emerging evidence of the wide arrangement of skin microbiome with the host immune system.

#### Skin Microbiome-Immunity Dialog

The skin immune system and the microbiome have to be in constant communication in order to establish an equilibrium with each other. For this reason, it is important that the immune response is tailored to the appropriate threat, as any immune reaction toward commensals could lead to inflammatory





responses and subsequent disease (SanMiguel and Grice, 2015). To execute these functions, skin is equipped with specialized immune cells of innate and adaptive immune system. An acute damage to skin will lead to production of certain ligands, which can activate keratinocytes and eventually results in the release of inflammatory mediators. Under these conditions LPA, a product of Staphylococcus epidermidis can reduce inflammation and take part in wound healing by binding to Toll-like receptor-2 (TLR-2), which is one of the innate immune receptors (Lai et al., 2009). Recently it has been reported that microbial LPS immunogenicity can lead to autoimmunity in humans (Vatanen et al., 2016). Skin microbiome has the capacity to control the expression of a wide range of innate immune sensors, such as AMPs (Gallo and Hooper, 2012). Most of the AMPs are known be constitutively expressed in the skin, and they can also be triggered by defined microbiota like Propionibacterium species and other gram-positive bacteria (Nagy et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2008; Gallo and Hooper, 2012). The mechanisms by which AMPs shape the microbial landscape remain to be clarified, as AMPs are induced by both UV-R and certain microbes. The multidirectional interaction between AMPs, microbes, and UV-R could be a key factor for the ecosystem of the skin microbiome, and could provide insight into the physiology of healthy skin as well as pathophysiology various acute and chronic inflammatory disorders.

The skin microbiome also induces the expression of other conserved pathways of the host immune system, such as components of the complement systems which contain large amounts of proteins. These proteins can react with each other and can take part in opsonization of a pathogen and induce other inflammatory responses to clear the pathogens (Belkaid and Segre, 2014). Germ-free mice, which are raised in absence of microbes, are known that they have a decreased expression of the C5aR, a component of the complement system, which results in reduced expression of AMPs and other pro-inflammatory factors. These are the changes which are commonly associated with dysbiosis of the skin microbiome (Naik et al., 2012; Chehoud et al., 2013). Exposure of mice to UV-R is known to activate both the classical (Hammerberg et al., 1998) and alternative (Stapelberg et al., 2009) complement pathways in skin, an event that precipitates immune suppression. However, the impact UVactivation of complement has on commensals remains to be investigated.

The skin microbiome itself can also control the expression of IL-1, which is actively involved in initiating and amplifying immune response (Naik et al., 2012). Upregulation of innate immunity by the skin microbiome in this way may lead to subsequent activation of the adaptive immune system. Indeed, the skin microbiome is known to functionally modulate T-cells by adjusting the local innate immune setting, especially via IL-1 production. This ultimately leads to increased production of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-17A and interferon- $\gamma$  (IFN- $\gamma$ ) by T cells.

Commensals may have evolved by distinctly controlling the network of the immune system depending upon environmental conditions. Since the skin has one of the largest pools of immune cells, and there is an enormous amount of pressure exerted by the skin microbiome, the cells of the innate immune system of the skin may commonly recognize the skin microbial antigens and prevent spread (Belkaid and Segre, 2014). Moreover, mice lacking adaptive immunity fail to recognize and restrain their commensal skin microbiota which leads to microbial diffusion to the local lymph nodes (Shen et al., 2014). In perspective with inflammation, various changes within the skin such as barrier permeability or increased contact with the resident microbiome can increase the local immune responses and with the ability of the skin microbiome to co-control both innate and adaptive immune response. Thus, the skin microbiome is likely the main driver and amplifier of various skin pathologies (Figure 2). Finally, DNA repair enzymes produced by evolutionary UVresistant microbes such us Micrococcus luteus colonizing the human skin (Tomlin et al., 1978) may be capable of not only repairing UV-induced damage to their own DNA but potentially transfer this help to other commensals and possibly even cells of the human skin. For instance, endonuclease T4N5 from the bacteriophage T4 encapsulated in multilamellar liposomes can penetrate human cells, is delivered to the nucleus and has been shown repairing UV-induced DNA damage in cell culture and human skin explants (Ceccoli et al., 1989; Yarosh et al., 1991; Gilchrest et al., 1993). Preparations with liposomes containing T4N5 have been extensively investigated in mice (Wolf et al., 1993, 1995; Yarosh et al., 1994) and have been employed in prevention studies of skin cancer in patients with the genetic disease Xeroderma pigmentosum and other individuals prone to multiple skin cancers (Wolf et al., 2000; Yarosh et al., 2001). Similarly, photolyase from Cyanobacteria and enzymes with DNA repair activity from Micrococcus luteus have been formulated into liposomes for use on humans' skin (Stege, 2001; Hofer et al., 2011). Such preparations have been administered in clinical studies and helped to modulate the UV-induced immune response, as described by its experimental use in patients with polymorphic light eruption (Hofer et al., 2011) and in turn may lead to changes in microbiome colonization.

#### PERSPECTIVE

It is likely that UV-R leads to various changes within the landscape of microbial communities of the skin. We hypothesize that exposure to UV-R leads to alterations in skin commensals leading to change in quantity and spread of certain, defined bacteria or growth of opportunistic microorganisms. This could disrupt the equilibrium with the host immune system and trigger a local immune response. For example, when skin is colonized with Staphylococcus aureus, this can lead to induction of a local response by producing  $\delta$ -toxin, which is involved in mast cell degranulation and thus promotes innate and adaptive type 2 response, as seen in allergic skin disease (Nakamura et al., 2013). However, on the other side it is known that UV-R can reduce the growth of Staphylococcus aureus in vivo and in vitro (Jekler et al., 1992; Yoshimura et al., 1996; Thyssen et al., 2015) and also decrease the production of super antigens, which are known to be potential triggers of immune responses (Yoshimura-Mishima et al., 1999; Thyssen et al., 2015). UV-R can also directly breakdown cell structures of microbes, leading to production of various microbial signals (Figure 2). They can then be recognized by the immune system and reacted against appropriately. UV-R can induce pyrimidine dimers in DNA and can have a drastic effect on the microbial communities. Furthermore, microbial DNA photoproducts could even be a potential trigger of immune responses (Rothschild, 1999). New technologies such as high-throughput sequencing and the availability of germ-free animal models, will allow us to extend our knowledge of the relationship between UV-R, the skin microbiome and immunity. One could directly study the effects of UV-R on the microbiome by taking skin swabs from the human body and directly extract DNA for further analysis but also cultivating skin microbes obtained from skin swabs and exposing them in vitro to different doses of UV-R in order to study the response, damage, mutations etc. This will lead to a better understanding of various photosensitive conditions, give further insights into the mechanisms of medical phototherapy and elucidate the role of the skin microbiome and its potential modulation by UV-induced immune suppression (Bouslimani et al., 2015).

## CONCLUSION

The skin microbiome plays an important role in developing and maintaining homeostasis and regulation of the host immune system. With increasing incidence of UV-induced skin conditions, the importance of the skin immune system in maintaining tolerance toward the resident microbiome may be crucial. Since the skin microbiome can interact with and co-control both the innate and adaptive immune system, it is of great importance to understand its relationship with the host immune system.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

VP drafted the manuscript. PW and SB contributed to the draft. All authors revised and approved the final version of the manuscript.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

PhD student VP received funding from the Austrian Science Fund FWF (W1241) and the Medical University of Graz through the PhD Program Molecular Fundamentals of Inflammation (DK-MOLIN).

#### REFERENCES

- Antonsson, A., Erfurt, C., Hazard, K., Holmgren, V., Simon, M., Kataoka, A., et al. (2003a). Prevalence and type spectrum of human papillomaviruses in healthy skin samples collected in three continents. *J. Gen. Virol.* 84, 1881–1886. doi: 10.1099/vir.0.18836-0
- Antonsson, A., Karanfilovska, S., Lindqvist, P. G., and Hansson, B. G. (2003b). General acquisition of human papillomavirus infections of skin occurs in early infancy. J. Clin. Microbiol. 41, 2509–2514. doi: 10.1128/JCM.41.6.2509-2514.2003
- Applegate, L. A., Ley, R. D., Alcalay, J., and Kripke, M. L. (1989). Identification of the molecular target for the suppression of contact hypersensitivity by ultraviolet radiation. J. Exp. Med. 170, 1117–1131. doi: 10.1084/jem.170.4.1117
- Belkaid, Y., and Segre, J. A. (2014). Dialogue between skin microbiota and immunity. *Science* 346, 954–959. doi: 10.1126/science.1260144
- Biragyn, A., Ruffini, P. A., Leifer, C. A., Klyushnenkova, E., Shakhov, A., Chertov, O., et al. (2002). Toll-like receptor 4-dependent activation of dendritic cells by beta-defensin 2. *Science* 298, 1025–1029. doi: 10.1126/science.1075565
- Bouslimani, A., Porto, C., Rath, C. M., Wang, M., Guo, Y., Gonzalez, A., et al. (2015). Molecular cartography of the human skin surface in 3D. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 112, E2120–E2129. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1424409112
- Brown, E. L., Ullrich, S. E., Pride, M., and Kripke, M. L. (2001). The effect of UV irradiation on infection of mice with *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *Photochem. Photobiol*. 73, 537–544. doi: 10.1562/0031-8655(2001)0730537TEOUIO2.0.CO2
- Byrne, S. N., Beaugie, C., O'sullivan, C., Leighton, S., and Halliday, G. M. (2011). The immune-modulating cytokine and endogenous Alarmin interleukin-33 is upregulated in skin exposed to inflammatory UVB radiation. *Am. J. Pathol.* 179, 211–222. doi: 10.1016/j.ajpath.2011.03.010
- Byrne, S. N., and Halliday, G. M. (2005). B cells activated in lymph nodes in response to ultraviolet irradiation or by interleukin-10 inhibit dendritic cell induction of immunity. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 124, 570–578. doi: 10.1111/j.0022-202X.2005.23615.x
- Ceccoli, J., Rosales, N., Tsimis, J., and Yarosh, D. B. (1989). Encapsulation of the UV-DNA repair enzyme T4 endonuclease V in liposomes and delivery to human cells. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 93, 190–194. doi: 10.1111/1523-1747.ep12277569
- Cestari, T. F., Kripke, M. L., Baptista, P. L., Bakos, L., and Bucana, C. D. (1995). Ultraviolet radiation decreases the granulomatous response to lepromin in humans. J. Invest. Dermatol. 105, 8–13. doi: 10.1111/1523-1747.ep12312309
- Chadebech, P., Goidin, D., Jacquet, C., Viac, J., Schmitt, D., and Staquet, M. J. (2003). Use of human reconstructed epidermis to analyze the regulation of betadefensin hBD-1, hBD-2, and hBD-3 expression in response to LPS. *Cell Biol. Toxicol.* 19, 313–324. doi: 10.1023/B:CBTO.0000004975.36521.c8
- Chapman, R. S., Cooper, K. D., De Fabo, E. C., Frederick, J. E., Gelatt, K. N., Hammond, S. P., et al. (1995). Solar ultraviolet radiation and the risk of infectious disease: summary of a workshop. *Photochem. Photobiol.* 61, 223–247. doi: 10.1111/j.1751-1097.1995.tb03966.x
- Charakida, A., Seaton, E. D., Charakida, M., Mouser, P., Avgerinos, A., and Chu, A. C. (2004). Phototherapy in the treatment of acne vulgaris: what is its role? *Am. J. Clin. Dermatol.* 5, 211–216. doi: 10.2165/00128071-200405040-00001
- Chehoud, C., Rafail, S., Tyldsley, A. S., Seykora, J. T., Lambris, J. D., and Grice, E. A. (2013). Complement modulates the cutaneous microbiome and inflammatory milieu. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110, 15061–15066. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1307855110
- Chen, A. C.-H., Mcmillan, N. A. J., and Antonsson, A. (2008). Human papillomavirus type spectrum in normal skin of individuals with or without a history of frequent sun exposure. J. Gen. Virol. 89, 2891–2897. doi: 10.1099/vir.0.2008/003665-0
- Cooper, K. D., Duraiswamy, N., Hammerberg, C., Allen, E., Kimbrough-Green, C., Dillon, W., et al. (1993). Neutrophils, differentiated macrophages, and monocyte/macrophage antigen presenting cells infiltrate murine epidermis after UV injury. J. Invest. Dermatol. 101, 155–163. doi: 10.1111/1523-1747.ep12363639
- Cooper, K. D., Fox, P., Neises, G., and Katz, S. I. (1985). Effects of ultraviolet radiation on human epidermal cell alloantigen presentation: initial depression of Langerhans cell-dependent function is followed by the appearance of T6-Dr+ cells that enhance epidermal alloantigen presentation. *J. Immunol.* 134, 129–137.

- Cooper, K. D., Neises, G. R., and Katz, S. I. (1986). Antigen-presenting OKM5+ melanophages appear in human epidermis after ultraviolet radiation. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 86, 363–370. doi: 10.1111/1523-1747.ep12285600
- Cooper, K. D., Oberhelman, L., Hamilton, T. A., Baadsgaard, O., Terhune, M., Levee, G., et al. (1992). UV exposure reduces immunization rates and promotes tolerance to epicutaneous antigens in humans: relationship to dose, CD1a-DR+ epidermal macrophage induction, and Langerhans cell depletion. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 89, 8497–8501. doi: 10.1073/pnas.89.18.8497
- Costello, E. K., Lauber, C. L., Hamady, M., Fierer, N., Gordon, J. I., and Knight, R. (2009). Bacterial community variation in human body habitats across space and time. *Science* 326, 1694–1697. doi: 10.1126/science.1177486
- Damian, D. L., Kim, Y. J., Dixon, K. M., Halliday, G. M., Javeri, A., and Mason, R. S. (2010). Topical calcitriol protects from UV-induced genetic damage but suppresses cutaneous immunity in humans. *Exp. Dermatol.* 19, e23–e30. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0625.2009.00955.x
- De Fabo, E. C., and Noonan, F. P. (1983). Mechanism of immune suppression by ultraviolet irradiation in vivo. I. Evidence for the existence of a unique photoreceptor in skin and its role in photoimmunology. J. Exp. Med. 158, 84–98. doi: 10.1084/jem.158.1.84
- Dotterud, L. K., Wilsgaard, T., Vorland, L. H., and Falk, E. S. (2008). The effect of UVB radiation on skin microbiota in patients with atopic dermatitis and healthy controls. *Int. J. Circumpolar Health* 67, 254–260. doi: 10.3402/ijch.v67i2-3.18282
- Elmets, C. A., Bergstresser, P. R., Tigelaar, R. E., Wood, P. J., and Streilein, J. W. (1983). Analysis of the mechanism of unresponsiveness produced by haptens painted on skin exposed to low dose ultraviolet radiation. *J. Exp. Med.* 158, 781–794. doi: 10.1084/jem.158.3.781
- Elston, D. M. (2010). Demodex mites: facts and controversies. Clin. Dermatol. 28, 502–504. doi: 10.1016/j.clindermatol.2010.03.006
- Felton, S., Navid, F., Schwarz, A., Schwarz, T., Glaser, R., and Rhodes, L. E. (2013). Ultraviolet radiation-induced upregulation of antimicrobial proteins in health and disease. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 12, 29–36. doi: 10.1039/ c2pp25158b
- Findley, K., Oh, J., Yang, J., Conlan, S., Deming, C., Meyer, J. A., et al. (2013). Topographic diversity of fungal and bacterial communities in human skin. *Nature* 498, 367–370. doi: 10.1038/nature12171
- Foulongne, V., Sauvage, V., Hebert, C., Dereure, O., Cheval, J., Gouilh, M. A., et al. (2012). Human skin microbiota: high diversity of DNA viruses identified on the human skin by high throughput sequencing. *PLoS ONE* 7:e38499. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0038499
- Gallo, R. L., and Hooper, L. V. (2012). Epithelial antimicrobial defence of the skin and intestine. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 12, 503–516. doi: 10.1038/nri3228
- Gao, Z., Tseng, C. H., Pei, Z., and Blaser, M. J. (2007). Molecular analysis of human forearm superficial skin bacterial biota. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104, 2927–2932. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0607077104
- Georgala, S., Katoulis, A. C., Kylafis, G. D., Koumantaki-Mathioudaki, E., Georgala, C., and Aroni, K. (2001). Increased density of *Demodex folliculorum* and evidence of delayed hypersensitivity reaction in subjects with papulopustular rosacea. *J. Eur. Acad. Dermatol. Venereol.* 15, 441–444. doi: 10.1046/j.1468-3083.2001.00331.x
- Gilchrest, B. A., Zhai, S., Eller, M. S., Yarosh, D. B., and Yaar, M. (1993). Treatment of human melanocytes and S91 melanoma cells with the DNA repair enzyme T4 endonuclease V enhances melanogenesis after ultraviolet irradiation. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 101, 666–672. doi: 10.1111/1523-1747.ep12371673
- Gläser, R., Navid, F., Schuller, W., Jantschitsch, C., Harder, J., Schroder, J. M., et al. (2009). UV-B radiation induces the expression of antimicrobial peptides in human keratinocytes in vitro and in vivo. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 123, 1117–1123. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2009.01.043
- Grice, E. A., Kong, H. H., Conlan, S., Deming, C. B., Davis, J., Young, A. C., et al. (2009). Topographical and temporal diversity of the human skin microbiome. *Science* 324, 1190–1192. doi: 10.1126/science.1171700
- Grice, E. A., Kong, H. H., Renaud, G., Young, A. C., Program, N. C. S., Bouffard, G. G., et al. (2008). A diversity profile of the human skin microbiota. *Genome Res.* 18, 1043–1050. doi: 10.1101/gr.075549.107
- Hammerberg, C., Katiyar, S. K., Carroll, M. C., and Cooper, K. D. (1998). Activated complement component 3 (C3) is required for ultraviolet induction of immunosuppression and antigenic tolerance. *J. Exp. Med.* 187, 1133–1138. doi: 10.1084/jem.187.7.1133

- Hannigan, G. D., and Grice, E. A. (2013). Microbial ecology of the skin in the era of metagenomics and molecular microbiology. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med.* 3:a015362. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a015362
- Hannigan, G. D., Meisel, J. S., Tyldsley, A. S., Zheng, Q., Hodkinson, B. P., SanMiguel, A. J., et al. (2015). The human skin double-stranded DNA virome: topographical and temporal diversity, genetic enrichment, and dynamic associations with the host microbiome. *MBio* 6:e1578-15. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01578-15
- Hart, P. H., Grimbaldeston, M. A., and Finlay-Jones, J. J. (2001). Sunlight, immunosuppression and skin cancer: role of histamine and mast cells. *Clin. Exp. Pharmacol. Physiol.* 28, 1–8. doi: 10.1046/j.1440-1681.2001. 03392.x
- Hofer, A., Legat, F. J., Gruber-Wackernagel, A., Quehenberger, F., and Wolf, P. (2011). Topical liposomal DNA-repair enzymes in polymorphic light eruption. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 10, 1118–1128. doi: 10.1039/c1pp05009e
- Hong, S. P., Kim, M. J., Jung, M. Y., Jeon, H., Goo, J., Ahn, S. K., et al. (2008). Biopositive effects of low-dose UVB on epidermis: coordinate upregulation of antimicrobial peptides and permeability barrier reinforcement. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 128, 2880–2887. doi: 10.1038/jid.2008.169
- Horn, M., and Wolf, P. (2007). Topical methyl aminolevulinate photodynamic therapy for the treatment of folliculitis. *Photodermatol. Photoimmunol. Photomed.* 23, 145–147. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0781.2007.00290.x
- Horz, H. P. (2015). Archaeal lineages within the human microbiome: absent, rare or elusive? *Life (Basel)* 5, 1333–1345. doi: 10.3390/life5021333
- Jeevan, A., Gilliam, K., Heard, H., and Kripke, M. L. (1992). Effects of ultraviolet radiation on the pathogenesis of *Mycobacterium lepraemurium* infection in mice. *Exp. Dermatol.* 1, 152–160. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0625.1992.tb00008.x
- Jeevan, A., and Kripke, M. L. (1989). Effect of a single exposure to ultraviolet radiation on *Mycobacterium bovis* bacillus Calmette-Guerin infection in mice. *J. Immunol.* 143, 2837–2843.
- Jekler, J., Bergbrant, I. M., Faergemann, J., and Larko, O. (1992). The in vivo effect of UVB radiation on skin bacteria in patients with atopic dermatitis. *Acta Derm. Venereol.* 72, 33–36.
- Joly, S., Organ, C. C., Johnson, G. K., Mccray, P. B. Jr., and Guthmiller, J. M. (2005). Correlation between beta-defensin expression and induction profiles in gingival keratinocytes. *Mol. Immunol.* 42, 1073–1084. doi: 10.1016/j.molimm.2004.11.001
- Kelly, D. A., Young, A. R., Mcgregor, J. M., Seed, P. T., Potten, C. S., and Walker, S. L. (2000). Sensitivity to sunburn is associated with susceptibility to ultraviolet radiation-induced suppression of cutaneous cell-mediated immunity. *J. Exp. Med.* 191, 561–566. doi: 10.1084/jem.191.3.561
- Kennedy Crispin, M., Fuentes-Duculan, J., Gulati, N., Johnson-Huang, L. M., Lentini, T., Sullivan-Whalen, M., et al. (2013). Gene profiling of narrowband UVB-induced skin injury defines cellular and molecular innate immune responses. J. Invest. Dermatol. 133, 692–701. doi: 10.1038/jid.2012.359
- Kolls, J. K., Mccray, P. B. Jr., and Chan, Y. R. (2008). Cytokine-mediated regulation of antimicrobial proteins. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 8, 829–835. doi: 10.1038/nri2433
- Kripke, M. L., Lofgreen, J. S., Beard, J., Jessup, J. M., and Fisher, M. S. (1977). In vivo immune responses of mice during carcinogenesis by ultraviolet irradiation. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 59, 1227–1230.
- Krutmann, J. (2000). Ultraviolet A radiation-induced biological effects in human skin: relevance for photoaging and photodermatosis. J. Dermatol. Sci. 23 (Suppl. 1), S22–S26.
- Kubica, M., Hildebrand, F., Brinkman, B. M., Goossens, D., Del Favero, J., Vercammen, K., et al. (2014). The skin microbiome of caspase-14-deficient mice shows mild dysbiosis. *Exp. Dermatol.* 23, 561–567. doi: 10.1111/exd.12458
- Lacey, N., Delaney, S., Kavanagh, K., and Powell, F. C. (2007). Mite-related bacterial antigens stimulate inflammatory cells in rosacea. *Br. J. Dermatol.* 157, 474–481. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2133.2007.08028.x
- Lai, Y., Di Nardo, A., Nakatsuji, T., Leichtle, A., Yang, Y., Cogen, A. L., et al. (2009). Commensal bacteria regulate Toll-like receptor 3-dependent inflammation after skin injury. *Nat. Med.* 15, 1377–1382. doi: 10.1038/nm.2062
- Larone, D. H. (2002). Medically Important Fungi: A Guide to Identification. Washington, DC: ASM Press.
- Lee, C. H., Wu, S. B., Hong, C. H., Yu, H. S., and Wei, Y. H. (2013). Molecular mechanisms of UV-induced apoptosis and its effects on skin residential cells: the implication in UV-based phototherapy. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 14, 6414–6435. doi: 10.3390/ijms14036414

- Lee, D. Y., Yamasaki, K., Rudsil, J., Zouboulis, C. C., Park, G. T., Yang, J. M., et al. (2008). Sebocytes express functional cathelicidin antimicrobial peptides and can act to kill propionibacterium acnes. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 128, 1863–1866. doi: 10.1038/sj.jid.5701235
- Liu, P., Duan, W., Wang, Q., and Li, X. (2010). The damage of outer membrane of *Escherichia coli* in the presence of TiO2 combined with UV light. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces* 78, 171–176. doi: 10.1016/j.colsurfb.2010.02.024
- Loiacono, C. M., Taus, N. S., and Mitchell, W. J. (2003). The herpes simplex virus type 1 ICP0 promoter is activated by viral reactivation stimuli in trigeminal ganglia neurons of transgenic mice. J. Neurovirol. 9, 336–345. doi: 10.1080/13550280390201047
- Machowinski, A., Kramer, H. J., Hort, W., and Mayser, P. (2006). Pityriacitrin–a potent UV filter produced by *Malassezia furfur* and its effect on human skin microflora. *Mycoses* 49, 388–392. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0507.2006.01265.x
- Mason, R. S., Sequeira, V. B., Dixon, K. M., Gordon-Thomson, C., Pobre, K., Dilley, A., et al. (2010). Photoprotection by 1alpha,25-dihydroxyvitamin D and analogs: further studies on mechanisms and implications for UV-damage. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 121, 164–168. doi: 10.1016/j.jsbmb.2010.03.082
- McAleer, M. A., and Irvine, A. D. (2013). The multifunctional role of filaggrin in allergic skin disease. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 131, 280–291. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2012.12.668
- Nagy, I., Pivarcsi, A., Kis, K., Koreck, A., Bodai, L., Mcdowell, A., et al. (2006). *Propionibacterium acnes* and lipopolysaccharide induce the expression of antimicrobial peptides and proinflammatory cytokines/chemokines in human sebocytes. *Microbes Infect.* 8, 2195–2205. doi: 10.1016/j.micinf.2006.04.001
- Naik, S., Bouladoux, N., Wilhelm, C., Molloy, M. J., Salcedo, R., Kastenmuller, W., et al. (2012). Compartmentalized control of skin immunity by resident commensals. *Science* 337, 1115–1119. doi: 10.1126/science.1225152
- Nakamura, Y., Oscherwitz, J., Cease, K. B., Chan, S. M., Munoz-Planillo, R., Hasegawa, M., et al. (2013). *Staphylococcus* delta-toxin induces allergic skin disease by activating mast cells. *Nature* 503, 397–401. doi: 10.1038/nature12655
- Nakatsuji, T., Chiang, H. I., Jiang, S. B., Nagarajan, H., Zengler, K., and Gallo, R. L. (2013). The microbiome extends to subepidermal compartments of normal skin. *Nat. Commun.* 4:1431. doi: 10.1038/ncomms2441
- Navid, F., Boniotto, M., Walker, C., Ahrens, K., Proksch, E., Sparwasser, T., et al. (2012). Induction of regulatory T cells by a murine beta-defensin. J. Immunol. 188, 735–743. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1100452
- Neale, R. E., Weissenborn, S., Abeni, D., Bavinck, J. N., Euvrard, S., Feltkamp, M. C., et al. (2013). Human papillomavirus load in eyebrow hair follicles and risk of cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 22, 719–727. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-12-0917-T
- Niyonsaba, F., Ushio, H., Nakano, N., Ng, W., Sayama, K., Hashimoto, K., et al. (2007). Antimicrobial peptides human beta-defensins stimulate epidermal keratinocyte migration, proliferation and production of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines. J. Invest. Dermatol. 127, 594–604. doi: 10.1038/sj.jid.5700599
- Noborio, R., Nishida, E., Kurokawa, M., and Morita, A. (2007). A new targeted blue light phototherapy for the treatment of acne. *Photodermatol. Photoimmunol. Photomed.* 23, 32–34. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0781.2007.00268.x
- Noonan, F. P., Bucana, C., Sauder, D. N., and De Fabo, E. C. (1984). Mechanism of systemic immune suppression by UV irradiation in vivo. II. The UV effects on number and morphology of epidermal Langerhans cells and the UV-induced suppression of contact hypersensitivity have different wavelength dependencies. *J Immunol* 132, 2408–2416.
- Norn, M. S. (1971). Demodex folliculorum. Incidence, regional distribution, pathogenicity. Dan. Med. Bull. 18, 14–17.
- Norval, M. (2006). The effect of ultraviolet radiation on human viral infections. *Photochem. Photobiol.* 82, 1495–1504. doi: 10.1562/2006-07-28-IR-987
- Phan, T. A., Halliday, G. M., Barnetson, R. S., and Damian, D. L. (2006). Spectral and dose dependence of ultraviolet radiation-induced immunosuppression. *Front. Biosci.* 11:394–411. doi: 10.2741/1807
- Probst, A. J., Auerbach, A. K., and Moissl-Eichinger, C. (2013). Archaea on human skin. PLoS ONE 8:e65388. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0065388
- Rassai, S., Rafeie, E., Ramirez-Fort, M. K., and Feily, A. (2014). Adjuvant narrow band UVB improves the efficacy of oral azithromycin for the treatment of moderate to severe inflammatory facial acne vulgaris. J. Cutan. Aesthet. Surg. 7, 151–154. doi: 10.4103/0974-2077.146664
- Reginato, E., Wolf, P., and Hamblin, M. R. (2014). Immune response after photodynamic therapy increases anti-cancer and

anti-bacterial effects. World J. Immunol. 4, 1-11. doi: 10.5411/wji. v4.i1.1

- Rothschild, L. J. (1999). The influence of UV radiation on protistan evolution. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol. 46, 548–555. doi: 10.1111/j.1550-7408.1999.tb06074.x
- Rufli, T., and Mumcuoglu, Y. (1981). The hair follicle mites *Demodex folliculorum* and *Demodex brevis*: biology and medical importance. A review. *Dermatologica* 162, 1–11. doi: 10.1159/000250228
- SanMiguel, A., and Grice, E. A. (2015). Interactions between host factors and the skin microbiome. *Cell Mol. Life Sci.* 72, 1499–1515. doi: 10.1007/s00018-014-1812-z
- Schwarz, T. (2008). 25 years of UV-induced immunosuppression mediated by T cells-from disregarded T suppressor cells to highly respected regulatory T cells. *Photochem. Photobiol.* 84, 10–18. doi: 10.1111/j.1751-1097.2007.00223.x
- Schwarz, T. (2010). The dark and the sunny sides of UVR-induced immunosuppression: photoimmunology revisited. J. Invest. Dermatol. 130, 49–54. doi: 10.1038/jid.2009.217
- Schweintzger, N., Gruber-Wackernagel, A., Reginato, E., Bambach, I., Quehenberger, F., Byrne, S. N., et al. (2015). Levels and function of regulatory T cells in patients with polymorphic light eruption: relation to photohardening. *Br. J. Dermatol.* 173, 519–526. doi: 10.1111/bjd.13930
- Schweintzger, N. A., Gruber-Wackernagel, A., Shirsath, N., Quehenberger, F., Obermayer-Pietsch, B., and Wolf, P. (2016). Influence of the season on vitamin D levels and regulatory T cells in patients with polymorphic light eruption. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 15, 440–446. doi: 10.1039/C5PP00398A
- Shen, W., Li, W., Hixon, J. A., Bouladoux, N., Belkaid, Y., Dzutzev, A., et al. (2014). Adaptive immunity to murine skin commensals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 111, E2977–E2986. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1401820111
- Shreedhar, V., Giese, T., Sung, V. W., and Ullrich, S. E. (1998). A cytokine cascade including prostaglandin E2, IL-4, and IL-10 is responsible for UV-induced systemic immune suppression. J. Immunol. 160, 3783–3789.
- Silva, S. H., Guedes, A. C., Gontijo, B., Ramos, A. M., Carmo, L. S., Farias, L. M., et al. (2006). Influence of narrow-band UVB phototherapy on cutaneous microbiota of children with atopic dermatitis. *J. Eur. Acad. Dermatol. Venereol.* 20, 1114–1120. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-3083.2006.01748.x
- Spruance, S. L. (1985). Pathogenesis of herpes simplex labialis: experimental induction of lesions with UV light. J. Clin. Microbiol. 22, 366–368.
- Stapelberg, M. P., Williams, R. B., Byrne, S. N., and Halliday, G. M. (2009). The alternative complement pathway seems to be a UVA sensor that leads to systemic immunosuppression. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 129, 2694–2701. doi: 10.1038/jid.2009.128
- Stege, H. (2001). Effect of xenogenic repair enzymes on photoimmunology and photocarcinogenesis. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B 65, 105–108. doi: 10.1016/S1011-1344(01)00246-9
- Stein, B., Angel, P., Van Dam, H., Ponta, H., Herrlich, P., Van Der Eb, A., et al. (1992). Ultraviolet-radiation induced c-jun gene transcription: two AP-1 like binding sites mediate the response. *Photochem. Photobiol.* 55, 409–415. doi: 10.1111/j.1751-1097.1992.tb04255.x
- Tesini, D., Chang, T. W., Ficarelli, J., and Kane, J. (1977). Recurrent herpes simplex virus infection. Part II: treatment and pathogenesis. *J. Pedod.* 1, 208–224.
- Thyssen, J. P., Zirwas, M. J., and Elias, P. M. (2015). Potential role of reduced environmental UV exposure as a driver of the current epidemic of atopic dermatitis. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 136, 1163–1169. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2015.06.042
- Toews, G. B., Bergstresser, P. R., and Streilein, J. W. (1980). Epidermal Langerhans cell density determines whether contact hypersensitivity or unresponsiveness follows skin painting with DNFB. *J. Immunol.* 124, 445–453.
- Tomlin, N. V., Aprelikova, O. N., and Barenfeld, L. S. (1978). Enzymes from *Micrococcus luteus* involved in the initial steps of excision repair of spontaneous DNA lesions: uracil-DNA-glycosidase and apurinic-endonucleases. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 5, 1413–1428. doi: 10.1093/nar/5.4.1413
- Vatanen, T., Kostic, A. D., d'Hennezel, E., Siljander, H., Franzosa, E. A., Yassour, M., et al. (2016). Variation in microbiome LPS immunogenicity contributes to autoimmunity in humans. *Cell* 165, 842–853. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.04.007
- Walterscheid, J. P., Nghiem, D. X., Kazimi, N., Nutt, L. K., Mcconkey, D. J., Norval, M., et al. (2006). Cis-urocanic acid, a sunlight-induced immunosuppressive factor, activates immune suppression via the 5-HT2A receptor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 103, 17420–17425. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0603119103

- Walterscheid, J. P., Ullrich, S. E., and Nghiem, D. X. (2002). Plateletactivating factor, a molecular sensor for cellular damage, activates systemic immune suppression. *J. Exp. Med.* 195, 171–179. doi: 10.1084/jem.200 11450
- Wang, T. T., Nestel, F. P., Bourdeau, V., Nagai, Y., Wang, Q., Liao, J., et al. (2004). Cutting edge: 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 is a direct inducer of antimicrobial peptide gene expression. J. Immunol. 173, 2909–2912. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.173.5.2909
- Wang, Y., Zhu, W., Shu, M., Jiang, Y., Gallo, R. L., Liu, Y. T., et al. (2012). The response of human skin commensal bacteria as a reflection of UV radiation: UV-B decreases porphyrin production. *PLoS ONE* 7:e47798. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0047798
- Weill, F. S., Cela, E. M., Paz, M. L., Ferrari, A., Leoni, J., and Gonzalez Maglio, D. H. (2013). Lipoteichoic acid from *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG as an oral photoprotective agent against UV-induced carcinogenesis. *Br. J. Nutr.* 109, 457–466. doi: 10.1017/S0007114512001225
- Wheeler, C. E. Jr. (1975). Pathogenesis of recurrent herpes simplex infections. J. Invest. Dermatol. 65, 341–346.
- Wikler, J. R., Janssen, N., Bruynzeel, D. P., and Nieboer, C. (1990). The effect of UVlight on pityrosporum yeasts: ultrastructural changes and inhibition of growth. *Acta Derm. Venereol.* 70, 69–71.
- Wolf, P., Byrne, S. N., Limon-Flores, A. Y., Hoefler, G., and Ullrich, S. E. (2016). Serotonin signaling is crucial in the induction of PUVA-induced systemic suppression of delayed type hypersensitivity but not local apoptosis or inflammation of the skin. *Exp. Dermatol.* 25, 537–543. doi: 10.1111/exd.12990
- Wolf, P., Cox, P., Yarosh, D. B., and Kripke, M. L. (1995). Sunscreens and T4N5 liposomes differ in their ability to protect against ultraviolet-induced sunburn cell formation, alterations of dendritic epidermal cells, and local suppression of contact hypersensitivity. J. Invest. Dermatol. 104, 287–292. doi: 10.1111/1523-1747.ep12612828
- Wolf, P., Hoffmann, C., Quehenberger, F., Grinschgl, S., and Kerl, H. (2003). Immune protection factors of chemical sunscreens measured in the local contact hypersensitivity model in humans. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 121, 1080–1087. doi: 10.1046/j.1523-1747.2003.12361.x
- Wolf, P., Maier, H., Mullegger, R. R., Chadwick, C. A., Hofmann-Wellenhof, R., Soyer, H. P., et al. (2000). Topical treatment with liposomes containing T4 endonuclease V protects human skin in vivo from ultraviolet-induced upregulation of interleukin-10 and tumor necrosis factor-alpha. J. Invest. Dermatol. 114, 149–156. doi: 10.1046/j.1523-1747.2000.00839.x
- Wolf, P., Nghiem, D. X., Walterscheid, J. P., Byrne, S., Matsumura, Y., Matsumura, Y., et al. (2006). Platelet-activating factor is crucial in psoralen and ultraviolet A-induced immune suppression, inflammation, and apoptosis. *Am. J. Pathol.* 169, 795–805. doi: 10.2353/ajpath.2006.060079
- Wolf, P., Seidl, H., Back, B., Binder, B., Hofler, G., Quehenberger, F., et al. (2004). Increased prevalence of human papillomavirus in hairs plucked from patients with psoriasis treated with psoralen-UV-A. Arch. Dermatol. 140, 317–324. doi: 10.1001/archderm.140.3.317
- Wolf, P., Yarosh, D. B., and Kripke, M. L. (1993). Effects of sunscreens and a DNA excision repair enzyme on ultraviolet radiation-induced inflammation, immune suppression, and cyclobutane pyrimidine dimer formation in mice. J. Invest. Dermatol. 101, 523–527. doi: 10.1111/1523-1747.ep12365902
- Yang, D., Biragyn, A., Kwak, L. W., and Oppenheim, J. J. (2002). Mammalian defensins in immunity: more than just microbicidal. *Trends Immunol.* 23, 291–296. doi: 10.1016/S1471-4906(02)02246-9
- Yang, D., Chertov, O., Bykovskaia, S. N., Chen, Q., Buffo, M. J., Shogan, J., et al. (1999). Beta-defensins: linking innate and adaptive immunity through dendritic and T cell CCR6. *Science* 286, 525–528. doi: 10.1126/science.286.5439.525
- Yarosh, D., Bucana, C., Cox, P., Alas, L., Kibitel, J., and Kripke, M. (1994). Localization of liposomes containing a DNA repair enzyme in murine skin. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 103, 461–468. doi: 10.1111/1523-1747.ep12395551
- Yarosh, D., Klein, J., O'Connor, A., Hawk, J., Rafal, E., and Wolf, P. (2001). Effect of topically applied T4 endonuclease V in liposomes on skin cancer in xeroderma pigmentosum: a randomised study. Xeroderma Pigmentosum Study Group. *Lancet* 357, 926–929. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(00)04214-8
- Yarosh, D. B., Kibitel, J. T., Green, L. A., and Spinowitz, A. (1991). Enhanced unscheduled DNA synthesis in UV-irradiated human skin explants treated with T4N5 liposomes. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 97, 147–150. doi: 10.1111/1523-1747.ep12479314

- Yoshimura, M., Namura, S., Akamatsu, H., and Horio, T. (1996). Antimicrobial effects of phototherapy and photochemotherapy in vivo and in vitro. *Br. J. Dermatol.* 135, 528–532. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2133.1996.tb 03825.x
- Yoshimura-Mishima, M., Akamatsu, H., Namura, S., and Horio, T. (1999). Suppressive effect of ultraviolet (UVB and PUVA) radiation on superantigen production by *Staphylococcus aureus*. J. Dermatol. Sci. 19, 31–36. doi: 10.1016/S0923-1811(98)00046-2
- Zak-Prelich, M., Borkowski, J. L., Alexander, F., and Norval, M. (2002). The role of solar ultraviolet irradiation in zoster. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 129, 593–597. doi: 10.1017/S0950268802007793
- Zeina, B., Greenman, J., Purcell, W. M., and Das, B. (2001). Killing of cutaneous microbial species by photodynamic therapy. Br. J. Dermatol. 144, 274–278. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2133.2001.04013.x

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The handling Editor declared a shared affiliation, though no other collaboration, with the authors VP and PW, and states that the process nevertheless met the standards of a fair and objective review.

Copyright © 2016 Patra, Byrne and Wolf. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.